

LJMU Research Online

Sabino, CP, Wainwright, M, Ribeiro, MS, Sellera, FP, Dos Anjos, C, Baptista, MDS and Lincopan, N

Global priority multidrug-resistant pathogens do not resist photodynamic therapy.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/13004/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Sabino, CP, Wainwright, M, Ribeiro, MS, Sellera, FP, Dos Anjos, C, Baptista, MDS and Lincopan, N (2020) Global priority multidrug-resistant pathogens do not resist photodynamic therapy. Jouranl of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology. 208. ISSN 1011-1344

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1 Original Article

2 Global priority multidrug-resistant pathogens do not

3 resist photodynamic therapy

4 Caetano Padial Sabino^{1,2*}, Mark Wainwright³, Martha Simões Ribeiro⁴, Fábio

5 Parra Sellera⁵, Carolina dos Anjos⁵, Mauricio da Silva Baptista⁶ and Nilton

6 Lincopan^{2,7}

- 7
- ⁸ ¹ BioLambda, Scientific and Commercial LTD, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

9 ² Department of Clinical Analysis, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences,

- 10 University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- ¹¹ ³ School of Pharmacy & Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores
- 12 University, Liverpool, UK.
- ⁴ Center for Lasers and Applications, Nuclear, and Energy Research Institute,

14 National Commission for Nuclear Energy, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

- ¹⁵ ⁵ Department of Internal Medicine, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal
- 16 Science, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- ⁶ Department of Biochemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo,
- 18 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
- ⁷ Department of Microbiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of
- 20 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- 21
- 22 *Corresponding author. Tel: +55 11 982428544; E-mail:
- 23 caetanosabino@gmail.com

24 Abstract

25 Microbial drug-resistance demands immediate implementation of novel therapeutic strategies. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) combines 26 27 the administration of a photosensitizer (PS) compound with low-irradiance light to induce photochemical reactions that yield reactive oxygen species (ROS). 28 29 Since ROS react with nearly all biomolecules, aPDT offers a powerful 30 multitarget method to avoid selection of drug-resistant strains. In this study, we 31 assayed photodynamic inactivation under a standardized method, combining 32 methylene blue (MB) as PS and red light, against global priority pathogens. The 33 species tested include Acinetobacter baumannii, Klebsiella aerogenes, 34 Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas Escherichia coli, aeruginosa, 35 Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, 36 Candida albicans and Cryptococcus neoformans. Our strain collection presents 37 resistance to all tested antimicrobials (>50). All drug-resistant strains were 38 compared to their drug-sensitive counterparts. Regardless of resistance 39 phenotype, MB-aPDT presented species-specific dose-response kinetics. 40 More than 5log₁₀ reduction was observed within less than 75 seconds of 41 illumination for A. baumannii, E. coli, E. faecium, E. faecalis and S. aureus and 42 within less than 7 minutes for K. aerogenes, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, C. 43 albicans and C. neoformans. No signs of cross-resistance or -tolerance in 44 between aPDT and standard chemotherapy was observed. Therefore, MB-45 aPDT can provide effective therapeutic protocols for a very broad spectrum of 46 pathogens. Hence, we believe that this study represents a very important step 47 to bring aPDT closer to implementation into mainstream medical practices.

48 **Keywords:** Drug resistance; ESKAPE; Multidrug resistance; Photoinactivation.

49 **1. Introduction**

50 The global crisis of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is now accepted both 51 by the research community and health authorities [1–4]. The lack of effective 52 agents could mean the end of modern medicine worldwide, with simple 53 infections again associated with high mortality rates and even routine surgical 54 procedures becoming unsafe [4]. Access to effective conventional therapeutics 55 is also becoming more difficult due to an insufficient pipeline of new drugs [5].

Among bacterial infections, resistance may – of course – be encountered anywhere, but the leading cause of nosocomial infections globally is the ESKAPE group. The members of this group are commonly associated with multidrug-resistance and can thus circumvent the effects of a number of different classes of conventional antibacterial agents [6,7]. Consequently, some illnesses caused by these bacteria are very challenging to treat with the current armamentarium.

63 Such is the gravity of the situation that governments, healthcare 64 providers and the pharmaceutical industry now recognize the requirement for 65 non-conventional therapeutic approaches to combat AMR [4,8]. However, such alternatives must be robust, reliable and offer antimicrobial coverage exceeding 66 67 that of conventional agents, particularly with respect to resistance. Biologicals 68 such as vaccines and phage therapy are among alternatives proposed, but 69 clearly both of these are highly specific in terms of the target rather than 70 representing generally useful antimicrobial approaches with non-conventional 71 routes to target toxicity.

Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) is an interesting method to produce cytotoxic molecular species in a space-time-controlled manner. This

74 light-based technology platform uses low-to-mid irradiance (*i.e.*, non-thermal) 75 light in combination with a non-toxic compound, termed photosensitizer (PS), to treat localized infections [9,10]. Therefore, microbial inactivation mediated by 76 77 photodynamic reactions may only occur where the photosensitizer is present 78 and when it is being activated by light. The light-excited photosensitizer (³PS^{*}) 79 interacts with a biological substrate or molecular oxygen (O_2) , either by charge 80 or energy donation, producing a variety of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and 81 radical reactions [11,12]. Products such as singlet oxygen or hydroxyl radicals 82 are so reactive that in sufficient amounts, they can destroy any type of microbial cell regardless of taxonomy. Hence, this non-conventional mode of action 83 84 provides effective kill regardless of conventional drug-resistance mechanisms 85 [10,13–17].

86 In this study, we assayed the photodynamic antimicrobial efficiency of a 87 broadly available photosensitizer drug (methylene blue, MB) and red light 88 against bacterial and fungal species frequently associated with drug-resistance. 89 We tested bacteria resistant to nearly all antibacterial drugs (>50), including the 90 entire ESKAPE group [1], WHO global priority pathogens [5,18] and azole-91 resistant fungi, to compare them to standard control strains. The study is 92 therefore highly relevant in providing an examination of the efficacy of the 93 photodynamic approach with a single agent against multiple, different microbial 94 resistance mechanisms.

- 96
- 2. Material and Methods
- 97
- 98 **2.1.** Strains and inocula preparation

We used a collection of 23 strains from 8 bacterial species (*E. faecium*, *E. faecalis*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. aerogenes* and *E. coli*), as well as 4 strains from 2 yeast species (*C. albicans*and *C. neoformans*).

103 All bacterial strains were first subcultured from frozen vial stocks onto 104 Muller-Hinton agar for 24 h at 37° C. Yeast were subcultured onto Sabouraud 105 dextrose agar under the same procedure. Individual colonies were then seeded 106 into Muller-Hinton or Sabouraud dextrose broth and incubated overnight under 107 shaking regimen (115 rpm) at 37°C.

108

109

2.2. Qualitative antimicrobial-resistance profile

110 Qualitative susceptibility assay was performed by Kirby-Bauer disk-111 diffusion method with interpretative criteria based on CLSI 2017 112 recommendations of inhibition diameter breakpoints [19]. We assayed a total 113 of 43 representative antimicrobials against Gram-negative and 35 against 114 Gram-positive bacteria. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays were performed, as recommended by CLSI 2017, to determine the vancomycin-115 116 intermediate resistance of S. aureus, colistin resistance of E. coli and 117 fluconazole resistance of fungi.

118

119

2.3. Photosensitizer and light source

We used the phenothiazine salt methylene blue hydrate (MB, purity >
95%, Sigma-Aldrich) as a representative PS compound. Stock solutions of MB
were prepared in type-1 Milli-Q water, at 10 mM. All MB stock solutions were
filtered by 0.22 μm membrane for microbial decontamination, aliquoted into

Eppendorf tubes and stored in the dark before use. MB working concentration was set at 100 μ M in PBS. All experiments were performed with 1 mL of MBinocula solution in wells of a 12-well cell culture plate (length of the optical path in solution was 2 mm).

We used a prototype LED system (660 +/- 10 nm, LEDsaber Prototype 129 1, BioLambda, Brazil) that was previously characterized by an UV-VIS 130 spectrophotometer (Flame, Ocean Optics, USA). Light irradiance was adjusted 131 to a standard irradiance of 100 mW/cm² measured at the sample bottom. Beam 132 spot diameter was the same as a well of the 12-well plate (i.e., 25 mm), with 133 maximum irradiance variation between center and border below 10%.

134

135

2.4. aPDT studies for planktonic suspensions

We performed standard aPDT susceptibility tests based on the study published by Sabino *et al.* [20]. Inocula were prepared from overnight broth cultures under shaking regimen. Inocula concentrations were adjusted to obtain OD of 0.09 at 540 nm and 625 nm resulting in 1-2 x 10⁶ CFU/mL of fungal cells and 1-2 x 10⁸ CFU/mL of bacterial cells, respectively. Inocula were then diluted to a working concentration of 1-2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL of fungi or 1-2 x 10⁷ CFU/mL of bacteria.

Before irradiation, cells were incubated with MB in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min at room temperature and in the dark, to allow initial cellular uptake. To avoid cross light exposure, 1 mL of each sample was placed in the 12-well plate only for irradiation procedure. Three types of controls were used for all experiments: non-treated cells (PBS only), light alone (highest light

148 dose without MB exposure) and MB alone (MB exposure without light during149 the entire experimental period).

Experimental procedure for irradiation was performed with variable 150 151 radiant exposure. Radiant exposure levels were varied according to each microbial species sensitivity MB-aPDT as previously determined in pilot 152 153 experiments. Basically, species were divided into 2 radiant exposure ranges: 1 J/cm² steps (A. baumannii, E. faecalis, E. faecium, E. coli and S. aureus) or 154 155 5 J/cm² steps (K. aerogenes, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, C. albicans and 156 C. neoformans). Radiant exposure was calculated as the product of irradiance (W/cm^2) and the exposure time (seconds). 157

Following irradiation procedures, each bacterial suspension was serially diluted in PBS and 10 μ L aliquots of each dilution were seeded onto Muller-Hinton (bacteria) or Sabouraud dextrose (yeast) agar plates in triplicate and incubated at 37° C overnight. On the next day, colonies were counted and converted into normalized log₁₀ units of CFU/mL for survival fraction analysis.

163

164

2.5. Statistical analysis

All data were obtained from at least three independent experiments,
each performed in triplicate, resulting in a minimum of nine samples per group.
Quantitative data are presented as log₁₀ of normalized means and standard
deviation of means.

All inactivation kinetics data were fitted by power-law (**eq. 1**) for statistical comparison of each inactivation kinetics curve according to Sabino et al. [20]. LD₉₀ and T (tolerance factor) values were initially obtained for each strain by non-linear regression analysis. Then the same analysis was performed for the

average inactivation kinetics of each species. Using the average inactivation
kinetics of each species, we also calculated the LD_{99.9}, LD_{99.999} using equation
2.

$$log_{10}\left(\frac{N_0}{N}\right) = \left(\frac{Dose}{LD_{90}}\right)^T$$
 Equation

$$LD_i = LD_{90} \left(-\log_{10} \left(1 - \frac{i}{100} \right) \right)^{1/T}$$
 Equation 2

176 where:

177 N_0 = initial microbial burden; N= final microbial burden; Dose= light 178 exposure (e.g. J, J/cm², time units, *Absorbed Photons*/cm³, etc.); LD_{90} = lethal 179 dose for 90% of microbial burden (in light exposure units); *T*= tolerance factor; 180 *i*= inactivation percentage (%).

181

182 Statistical differences of all LD₉₀, LD_{99.9}, LD_{99.999} and T values were then 183 compared in between strains and species using one-way ANOVA with Tukey 184 as post-test. Statistical results were considered significant if p < 0.05 and are 185 presented in the supplementary information section.

186

187 **3. Results**

Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of all strains are presented in supplementary tables S1-3. The inhibition diameters of unclassified antimicrobials are presented in numeric values (mm). For all species, we had one drug-sensitive strain and at least one multidrug-resistant (MDR) and/or extensively drug-resistant (XDR) representative strain [21]. Thus, we used ten drug-sensitive controls (*S. aureus* ATCC 29923; *E. faecium* ATCC BAA2127;

8

194 E. faecalis ATCC 29212; P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853; A. baumannii ATCC 19606; K. aerogenes ATCC 13048; E. coli ATCC 25922; K. pneumoniae ATCC 195 700603; C. albicans ATCC 90028; C. neoformans KN99a), thirteen MDR (S. 196 197 aureus VRSA-BR-4; E. faecium ATCC 700221; E. faecalis ATCC 51299; K. pneumoniae KP-BR-1, 11978, ATCC BAA1705; A. baumannii 804, LDC; K. 198 199 aerogenes E0083033-1; E. coli 19B, ICBEC7P; C. albicans IAL2151; C. neoformans H99) and four XDR strains (K. pneumoniae KP148/PINH-4900; P. 200 201 aeruginosa 1997A-48, PA64, ICBDVIM2). In a general perspective, resistance 202 to all tested antibiotics except for linezolid was detected (tables S1-3). Fluconazole resistance was confirmed for C. albicans (IAL2151) and C. 203 204 neoformans (H99).

205 A remarkable fact that can be extracted from our data (figure 1-2) is that 206 microbial inactivation kinetics induced by MB-aPDT do not seem to depend on 207 the conventional drug-resistance profile of different strains. At least for the 208 representative MDR and XDR strains tested, current drug-resistance does not impose any further challenges for MB-aPDT. For all tested strains, LD₉₀ and T 209 210 values did not show any statistically significant differences within the same 211 species. On the other hand, inactivation kinetics present great variations among 212 different species of bacteria and yeast.

Regardless of taxonomy, all strains presented >5log₁₀ of burden reduction for radiant exposures greater than 40 J/cm² (**figure 1-2**). However, *C. albicans, C. neoformans, K. pneumoniae, K. aerogenes* and *P. aeruginosa* presented a distinguishable tolerance to MB-aPDT. Light-doses required to inactivate 99.999% of these species were 2 to 10 times higher when compared to *A. baumannii, E. coli, E. faecalis, E. faecium* and *S. aureus*. However, it

should be noted that this would not be problematic from a clinical viewpoint,
since 40 J/cm² of irradiation at 100 mW/cm² (same irradiance as used in this
study) would correspond to less than a 7-minute procedure.

222 The microbial diversity assayed by this study in a standard manner 223 allows us to observe some situations that diverge from some current thinking 224 in the aPDT field. Based on our results, the classic generalization that aPDT 225 sensitivity increases in the order fungi < Gram-negative < Gram-positive is no 226 longer sustained [22]. The Gram-positives E. faecalis and E. faecium, for 227 example, tend to be more tolerant to aPDT than Gram-negative species, such 228 as E. coli and A. baumannii. Even though, S. aureus, E. coli, A. baumannii, E. 229 faecalis and E. faecium do not present statistically significant differences for 230 LD₉₀, LD_{99.9} and LD_{99.999} (supplementary table S4). In addition, the bacterium 231 K. pneumoniae is slightly more tolerant than the C. neoformans yeast, but with no statistical significance. For LD_{99.999}, C. neoformans does not present 232 233 statistically significant differences with the bacteria K. aerogenes and P. 234 aeruginosa. The most tolerant species to MB-aPDT was C. albicans. It does 235 not present statistically significant differences with K. pneumoniae at LD₉₀. However, it was significantly more tolerant than all other species at LD_{99.9} and 236 237 LD99,999.

Non-linear regression results for strain averages of each species are respectively presented in **figures 3** and **4** as values of the tolerance factor (T), and lethal dose for 90% of inactivation (LD_{90}). The tolerance factor T (**figure 3**, statistical analysis in **supplementary table S5**) informs the concavity of the inactivation curves; if T>1, the microbial population is initially tolerant to aPDT but becomes increasingly sensitive; if T<1, the microbial population is initially

sensitive, but some persistent cells remain more tolerant to inactivation as 244 245 irradiation progresses. If T=1, the microbial population presents a constant inactivation kinetics rate. These characteristics are clearly observable in 246 247 figures 1 and 2, where K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, E. faecium, E. faecalis 248 and yeast presented T factors equal or greater than 1. Regarding *E. faecalis*, 249 an interesting feature is highlighted by the use of non-linear regressions: by the 250 beginning of irradiation process this species is more tolerant than E. coli, S. 251 aureus and A. baumannii. However, due to the concavity of its inactivation 252 kinetics curve (*i.e.*, T~1), at LD_{99.999} it becomes the most sensitive species to MB-aPDT (not statistically significant for S. aureus, A. baumannii, E. coli and 253 254 *E. faecium*, supplementary table S4).

255 Regarding lethal doses, an interesting behavior occurs: LD₉₀ values 256 present variations greater than 2 orders of magnitude amongst different 257 species, such as the extreme case of S. aureus and K. pneumoniae, even 258 though, due to variations in T values, all species seem to converge to closer LD_{99.9} and LD_{99.999} values (figure 4). Species with T>1 tend to present higher 259 260 LD₉₀; for those with T<1 the opposite behavior is observed. Regarding the example of S. aureus and K. pneumoniae again, LD99.999 variation is reduced 261 262 to less than one order of magnitude.

263

4. Discussion

Here we used drug-resistant phenotypes for all tested commercial antimicrobials (>50), with a single exception for linezolid. If such drugresistance profiles are detected in clinical cases, prognostics can hardly be

268 optimistic. Consequently, cost and risk management of pathogens
269 significantly increases.

Costs associated with drug-resistant infections could be significantly 270 271 reduced if health insurance companies and public hospitals offered aPDT as 272 an option. Light sources can be reused countless times and PS doses of most 273 commercially available dyes are relatively inexpensive. In our example, a one-274 mL dose of MB at 100 µM costs fractions of US-dollar cents and can be 275 purchased in most pharmacies as a standard drug to treat methemoglobinemia 276 or carbon monoxide poisoning. MB is a particularly interesting photosensitizer 277 since it is cost-effective, safe, globally available and has been proved effective 278 for several clinical applications of aPDT [10,13,23,24]. MB also presents 279 intense light absorption properties (peak at 664nm) in the optical window of light 280 penetration into biological tissues (*i.e.*, 600-1350 nm) [23,25]. Therefore, in our 281 perspective, MB has great potential to be the first PS to be employed in 282 mainstream medical procedures of aPDT applications.

283 Antimicrobial chemotherapeutic strategies generally target singular 284 microbial molecules or metabolic pathway stages to achieve specific microbial 285 inactivation. This approach facilitates the development or selection of resistant 286 populations as they may be just a mutation away. Most antimicrobials are 287 derivatives of natural fungal or bacterial metabolites that have been used by 288 them to gain privileges over ecosystems. In this context, some microorganisms have naturally developed a resistance phenotype to also thrive in the 289 290 environment.

291 Currently, hospital, farm and domestic effluents represent important 292 environments that carry and gather resistance genes. Carbapenemases are

293 being frequently detected in plasmids of Gram-negative clinical isolates of the 294 Enterobacteriaceae family and non-fermenters such as P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii [26,27]. The genetic versatility of the Enterobacteriaceae is 295 considered responsible for the global dissemination of KPC and has most likely 296 297 enabled processes of adaptation and virulence expression in different 298 ecosystems [28]. More worrisomely, the recent identification of the plasmid-299 mediated *mcr-1* gene, which confers resistance to polymyxins – a last-resort 300 drug to treat carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative infections - has added 301 another layer of complexity to therapeutic strategies for nosocomial infections.

Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy offers an effective strategy to 302 303 challenge microbial resistance in local infections as it differs from traditional 304 chemotherapy in one important point: it lacks molecular target specificity. Unlike 305 traditional antimicrobial therapy, aPDT imposes its cytotoxic effects by high 306 yields of ROS generation. ROS do not target a particular pathway but rather 307 destroy proteins, nucleic acids and lipids indiscriminately. Due to their broad 308 reactivity range, the biological target of photodynamically-produced ROS will 309 mostly depend on the cell/tissue compartment in which it was produced (*i.e.*, 310 where the PS accumulates) [29]. Given that in our system each MB molecule 311 can theoretically produce more than 10⁵ singlet oxygen molecules per second, 312 microorganisms simply seem to not be equipped with enough antioxidant 313 capacity to tolerate an attack of this magnitude [30]. Additionally, at low MB-314 aPDT doses (*i.e.* doses that are insufficient to present microbicidal effects), the 315 exposed pathogens remain with transiently inhibited virulence factors, including increased antimicrobial sensitivity [31-34]. We previously reported that low-316 317 doses of systemic aPDT could temporarily inhibit the drug-resistance

phenotype of vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* and fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* [31,32]. Therefore, the combination of aPDT with antimicrobial agents
can potentially present synergistic activity or temporarily return drug-sensitivity.
Furthermore, in combination with the innate immune system it may yet trigger
responses that can lead to resolution of the infection [35].

323 In the related anticancer application, which uses different PS classes, 324 mechanisms of resistance to PDT have already been reported [36]. A classic 325 example is the constant failure to treat melanoma tumors [37]. Melanin is an 326 antioxidant cellular defense and simultaneously blocks light propagation due to 327 intense filter effect [38,39]. Drug sequestration in melanosomes has also been 328 implicated with photosensitizers, as in cases of chemoresistance [40,41]. 329 Similarly, melanization of *C. neoformans* yeast also increases its tolerance to 330 photodynamic inactivation as seen in antifungal chemotherapy and upon gamma irradiation [34,42–44]. In a broader view, several microbial pigments 331 332 can act as potent antioxidant defenses against environmental harms and as an 333 Mycobacteria, immune system evasion strategy. staphylococci, 334 chromobacteria and cryptococci can produce fair amounts of carotenoids and tryptophan-derived pigments to guarantee superior oxidative tolerance through 335 336 ROS quenching [45]. However, it is well known by the aPDT community, and 337 was further confirmed in our study, that the carotenoid-producing S. aureus is 338 one of the most sensitive microorganisms to photo-oxidative inactivation with 339 amphiphilic photosensitizers. Currently, it has not been established whether 340 aPDT could lead to the selection for oxidative tolerant strains that overexpress 341 pigment-producing enzymes and impair the technique effectiveness. Perhaps

342 the most tolerant microbial species used in this study are protected by potent343 antioxidant defense systems.

Overexpression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters has been 344 345 implicated as another resistance mechanism to aPDT [46]. Tumor and 346 microbial cells can use this mechanism to tolerate chemotherapy and PDT [47-347 49]. ABC-transporters, as P-glycoprotein, are efficient efflux pumps that can 348 inhibit the uptake of multiple drugs and PS that function inside the cell. To avoid 349 or overcome this resistance mechanism, efflux pump inhibitors or PSs that 350 function on the cell surface may be used [50-52]. Trindade et al. reported that 351 MB was able to revert multidrug-resistance phenotypes of cancer cells via 352 oxidation of efflux-pumps [53]. This suggests that synergistic activity between 353 MB-aPDT and fluconazole, on azole-resistant C. albicans, may share the same 354 mechanism [31,54].

355 Trindade et al. also reported that the MDR phenotype of cancer cells, 356 mediated by efflux-pumps, does not impose any further challenge for MB-PDT 357 inactivation [53]. As in our experiments, their results suggest that cellular 358 sensitivity to MB-PDT are rather dependent on the species than the MDR 359 phenotype. Here we used drug-resistant representatives for nearly all classes 360 of antimicrobials. Resistance mechanisms to quinolones, phenicols, 361 tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and fluconazole are highly associated with 362 overexpression of drug-efflux pumps. Even though MB may also be a substrate 363 of efflux proteins, no relevant differences between drug-sensitive and resistant 364 strains could be observed.

365 In the microbiology community, a microbial strain is considered resistant 366 to a certain antimicrobial if its MIC cannot be reached safely in patient's

367 bloodstream via oral or intravenous administration. Therefore, a drug-resistant 368 microorganism is untreatable by the use of certain systemically administered Since aPDT is 369 chemotherapeutic antimicrobials. never systemically 370 administered there is no influence on antimicrobial drug concentration in the 371 bloodstream. Additionally, light dosimetry can always be increased to enhance 372 aPDT microbicidal activity. On the other hand, tolerance is used as a relative 373 term that describes a higher demand of light or photosensitizer dosimetry to 374 reach a certain level of microbial inactivation. Hence, higher tolerance to aPDT 375 does not mean that the effective dose cannot be reached. In regard to the 376 tolerance factor (T) we use to describe inactivation kinetics, it only informs if 377 some specific species is more tolerant to inactivation in the beginning or the 378 end of a light-mediated microbicidal procedure.

379 Fungi and capsule-expressing Gram-negative bacteria, however, indeed 380 present a higher tolerance to aPDT. Prates et al. demonstrated that capsule 381 deletion in *C. neoformans* guarantees greater sensitivity to aPDT mediated by cationic PS, including MB [34]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the capsule 382 383 barrier could protect microorganisms from aPDT, possibly reducing MB incorporation into the cytoplasm. A carbohydrate-rich capsule may act as a 384 385 negatively charged electrostatic pool, diminishing intracellular incorporation of 386 MB; or it may act by simply increasing cellular biomass, bringing further targets 387 for nonspecific photodynamic damage in non-vital structures. For fungi, 388 however, a capsule does not seem to be as important as for bacteria. Even 389 though it was demonstrated that capsule deletion in C. neoformans enhanced 390 its sensitivity to aPDT, C. albicans does not produce a capsule and is more 391 tolerant to aPDT than C. neoformans. We do not provide sufficient data to

elucidate this finding, so we can only hypothesize that *C. albicans* may have
cytosolic, mitochondrial and nuclear ROS defense that is less prevalent *in C. neoformans* [55,56].

395 Although our data seems to suggest that capsule expression in bacterial 396 results in higher aPDT tolerance, capsule presence in yeasts does not seem to 397 increase aPDT tolerance. Capsule presence has been implicated as a relevant 398 tolerance factor to aPDT, especially in the case of C. neoformans [34]. 399 However, our data suggest that there must be other more relevant tolerance 400 factors expressed by different species. Greater MB-aPDT tolerance may be 401 rather related to cellular antioxidant systems, organelle compartmentalization 402 and/or capacity to pump photosensitizers out of the cell through efflux systems 403 [46].

404 Photosensitizers that preferentially undergo type I photodynamic reactions are more susceptible to microbial antioxidant defense since there are 405 406 specific detoxifying enzymes for the photoproducts formed. Constitutive 407 overexpression of superoxide dismutase, catalase, peroxiredoxin and 408 glutathiones, or the accumulation of manganese ions can represent effective protection against oxidation by superoxide and hydroxyl radicals [57-59]. All of 409 410 the mentioned features can be sufficient to impose challenges for PDT to treat 411 tumors and microorganisms resistant to traditional chemotherapy and 412 radiotherapy. However, since no enzymes capable of inactivating singlet 413 oxygen efficiently have ever been reported, and since, in our hands, complete 414 microbial inactivation required only around 7 minutes for the most tolerant 415 species, it remains questionable whether any type of resistance to aPDT could 416 ever be developed.

417 Here, we demonstrated that regardless of taxonomy or drug-resistance 418 profile, all strains among each species are similarly sensitive to aPDT. Our 419 results consequently support the proposition of aPDT as a consistent challenge 420 against drug-resistance in local infections. MB-aPDT effects against these 421 species have indeed been published elsewhere [10,16,24,60]. However, there 422 was never a study performed with all of them being inactivated under 423 standardized experimental parameters. It is widely known that the aPDT 424 community lacks on standards for in vitro aPDT assays and that any changes 425 in inocula concentration, photosensitizer concentration, solvent composition 426 (e.g., water, PBS, saline solution, etc.), light irradiance, optical path, and other 427 factors may lead to diverging results [61-63]. Therefore, it is very unlikely that 428 precise comparisons of inactivation kinetics data are achievable between two 429 studies performed by different teams who varied any of the above-mentioned 430 parameters and analyzed a reduced spectrum of species. For example, such 431 incapacity to compare results from different studies may have led to the 432 persistently mistaken concept that Gram-positives are more sensitive than 433 Gram-negatives that are more sensitive than fungi [22]. This mistaken 434 information has persisted in our community for more than a decade whereas 435 the current manuscript shows that Gram-positives can be more tolerant than 436 Gram-negatives, which can also be more tolerant than fungi.

This information could be mistakenly interpreted again if we did not analyze inactivation kinetics as a curve fit instead of just reading individual data points. Hence, our standardized study of a broad spectrum of global priority pathogens does bring important insights about their sensitivity to MB-aPDT. Furthermore, we recently published a paper presenting a mathematical model

442 of analysis for microbial photoinactivation kinetics. So far, all studies used to 443 compare inactivation kinetics are based on individual dose points, which is another issue that could lead to misleading statistical differences that do not 444 445 represent the actual inactivation kinetics rate. Because of variable tolerance 446 factors (T) the comparison of two different species could be misleading 447 because some data points may not present significant differences, while others 448 do (see the examples of S. aureus versus E. faecalis at 1 and 3 J/cm², Figure 449 1). Because of this, several papers actually show significant differences 450 between different strains of the same species. Even though many studies show 451 that drug-resistant strains are also sensitive to aPDT, many of those do not 452 compare with standard ATCC drug-sensitive controls. When they do, 453 misleading statistics often show differences between strains because of the 454 previously mentioned statistical issue of comparing a single dose point.

455

456 **5.** Conclusion

In summary, our study unequivocally demonstrates that antimicrobial 457 458 photodynamic inactivation offers a powerful strategy to challenge microbial drug-resistance. For the first time, we compiled a large amount of data under a 459 460 standardized method showing that MB-aPDT is effective against 461 microorganisms that are resistant to more than 50 antimicrobial agents. Regardless of taxonomy or resistance phenotype, MB-aPDT presented 462 463 consistent dose-response kinetics. Therefore, MB-aPDT can provide effective 464 therapeutic protocols for a very broad spectrum of pathogens. This approach can be employed to significantly reduce the use of antimicrobial drugs and 465 466 minimize the risk of us entering into a post-antimicrobial era. Hence, we believe

that this study represents a very important step in bringing aPDT closer toimplementation into mainstream medical practices.

469

470 Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank and technical support offered by the University of São
Paulo, the Nuclear and Energy Research Institute and the start-up company
BioLambda.

474

475 **Funding**

476 This work was supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP,

477 grants 2016/25095-2, 2013/07937-8, 2017/22406-0 and 2016/08593-9) and by

478 the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq,

479 grants 141901/2016-0 and 465763/2014-6, 312249/2017-9 and 433128/2018-

480 6).

481

482 **Transparency declarations**

483 C. P. Sabino is an associate at BioLambda and declares to only have scientific

interest on this study. There are no further conflicts of interest to be declared.

485

486 Supplementary data

487 Tables S1-5 are available as Supplementary data.

488 **References**

- 489 [1] H.W. Boucher, G.H. Talbot, J.S. Bradley, J.E. Edwards, D. Gilbert, L.B.
- 490 Rice, M. Scheld, B. Spellberg, J. Bartlett, Bad Bugs, No Drugs: No
- 491 ESKAPE! An Update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America,
- 492 Clin. Infect. Dis. 48 (2009) 1–12.
- 493 [2] L.B. Rice, Unmet medical needs in antibacterial therapy, Biochem.
 494 Pharmacol. 71 (2006) 991–995.
- 495 [3] L.B. Rice, Federal Funding for the Study of Antimicrobial Resistance in
 496 Nosocomial Pathogens: No ESKAPE, J. Infect. Dis. 197 (2008) 1079–
 497 1081.
- 498 [4] World Health Organization, Antimicrobial resistance: global report on499 surveillance, 2014.
- 500 [5] World Health Organization, Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant 501 bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new
- 502 antibiotics, 2017.
- 503 [6] J.N. Pendleton, S.P. Gorman, B.F. Gilmore, Clinical relevance of the 504 ESKAPE pathogens, Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther. 11 (2013) 297–308.
- 505 [7] L.B. Rice, Mechanisms of resistance and clinical relevance of
- 506 resistance to β -lactams, glycopeptides, and fluoroquinolones, in: Mayo
- 507 Clin. Proc., Elsevier Inc., 2012: pp. 198–208.
- 508 [8] World Health Organization, The evolving threat of antimicrobial
 509 resistance: Options for action, 2014.
- 510 [9] P. Agostinis, K. Berg, K.A. Cengel, T.H. Foster, A.W. Girotti, S.O.
- 511 Gollnick, S.M. Hahn, M.R. Hamblin, A. Juzeniene, D. Kessel, M.
- 512 Korbelik, J. Moan, P. Mroz, D. Nowis, J. Piette, B.C. Wilson, J. Golab,

- 513 Photodynamic therapy of cancer: An update, CA. Cancer J. Clin. 61
- 514 (2011) 250–281.
- 515 [10] M. Wainwright, Photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy (PACT), J.
 516 Antimicrob. Chemother. 42 (1998) 13–28.
- 517 [11] C.S. Foote, Definition of Type I and Type II, Photochem. Photobiol. 54518 (1991) 659.
- 519 [12] M.S. Baptista, J. Cadet, P. Di Mascio, A.A. Ghogare, A. Greer, M.R.
- 520 Hamblin, C. Lorente, S.C. Nunez, M.S. Ribeiro, A.H. Thomas, M.
- 521 Vignoni, T.M. Yoshimura, Type I and II Photosensitized Oxidation
- 522 Reactions: Guidelines and Mechanistic Pathways, Photochem.
- 523 Photobiol. Sci. 93 (2017) 912–919.
- 524 [13] T. Dai, Y.Y. Huang, M.R. Hamblin, Photodynamic therapy for localized
 525 infections-State of the art, Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther. 6 (2009)
 526 170–188.
- 527 [14] X. Hu, Y.Y. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Wang, M.R. Hamblin, Antimicrobial
 528 photodynamic therapy to control clinically relevant biofilm infections,
 529 Front. Microbiol. 9 (2018) 1–24.
- J.P. Lyon, L.M. Moreira, P.C.G. de Moraes, F.V. dos Santos, M.A. de
 Resende, Photodynamic therapy for pathogenic fungi, Mycoses. 54
 (2011) 265–271.
- 533 [16] T. Maisch, S. Hackbarth, J. Regensburger, A. Felgenträger, W.
- 534 Bäumler, M. Landthaler, B. Röder, Photodynamic inactivation of multi-
- 535 resistant bacteria (PIB) a new approach to treat superficial infections in
- 536 the 21st century., J. Der Dtsch. Dermatologischen Gesellschaft. 9
- 537 (2011) 360–366.

- 538 [17] R. Schmidt, Photosensitized Generation of Singlet Oxygen, Photochem.
 539 Photobiol. 82 (2007) 1161–1177.
- 540 [18] E. Tacconelli, E. Carrara, A. Savoldi, S. Harbarth, M. Mendelson, D.L.
- 541 Monnet, C. Pulcini, G. Kahlmeter, J. Kluytmans, Y. Carmeli, M.
- 542 Ouellette, K. Outterson, J. Patel, M. Cavaleri, E.M. Cox, C.R.
- 543 Houchens, M.L. Grayson, P. Hansen, N. Singh, U. Theuretzbacher, N.
- 544 Magrini, A.O. Aboderin, S.S. Al-Abri, N. Awang Jalil, N. Benzonana, S.
- 545 Bhattacharya, A.J. Brink, F.R. Burkert, O. Cars, G. Cornaglia, O.J. Dyar,
- 546 A.W. Friedrich, A.C. Gales, S. Gandra, C.G. Giske, D.A. Goff, H.
- 547 Goossens, T. Gottlieb, M. Guzman Blanco, W. Hryniewicz, D. Kattula,
- 548 T. Jinks, S.S. Kanj, L. Kerr, M.P. Kieny, Y.S. Kim, R.S. Kozlov, J.
- 549 Labarca, R. Laxminarayan, K. Leder, L. Leibovici, G. Levy-Hara, J.
- 550 Littman, S. Malhotra-Kumar, V. Manchanda, L. Moja, B. Ndoye, A. Pan,
- 551 D.L. Paterson, M. Paul, H. Qiu, P. Ramon-Pardo, J. Rodríguez-Baño,
- 552 M. Sanguinetti, S. Sengupta, M. Sharland, M. Si-Mehand, L.L. Silver,
- 553 W. Song, M. Steinbakk, J. Thomsen, G.E. Thwaites, J.W. van der Meer,
- 554 N. Van Kinh, S. Vega, M.V. Villegas, A. Wechsler-Fördös, H.F.L.
- 555 Wertheim, E. Wesangula, N. Woodford, F.O. Yilmaz, A. Zorzet,
- 556 Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO
- 557 priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis, Lancet Infect.
- 558 Dis. 18 (2018) 318–327.
- 559 [19] CLSI, Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing,
 560 27th ed., Wayne, 2017.
- 561 [20] C.P. Sabino, M. Wainwright, C. dos Anjos, F.P. Sellera, M.S. Baptista,
- 562 N. Lincopan, M.S. Ribeiro, Inactivation Kinetics and Lethal Dose

563		Analysis of Antimicrobial Blue Light and Photodynamic Therapy,
564		Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther. 28 (2019) 186–191.
565	[21]	A.P. Magiorakos, A. Srinivasan, R.B. Carey, Y. Carmeli, M.E. Falagas,
566		C.G. Giske, S. Harbarth, J.F. Hindler, G. Kahlmeter, B. Olsson-
567		Liljequist, D.L. Paterson, L.B. Rice, J. Stelling, M.J. Struelens, A.
568		Vatopoulos, J.T. Weber, D.L. Monnet, Multidrug-resistant, extensively
569		drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert
570		proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance, Clin.
571		Microbiol. Infect. 18 (2011) 268–281.
572	[22]	M.R. Hamblin, T. Hasan, Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial
573		approach to infectious disease?, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 3 (2004)
574		436.
575	[23]	J.P. Tardivo, A. Del Giglio, C.S. De Oliveira, D.S. Gabrielli, H.C.
576		Junqueira, D.B. Tada, D. Severino, R. De Fátima Turchiello, M.S.
577		Baptista, Methylene blue in photodynamic therapy: From basic
578		mechanisms to clinical applications, Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther. 2
579		(2005) 175–191
580	[24]	M. Wainwright, T. Maisch, S. Nonell, K. Plaetzer, A. Almeida, G.P.
581		Tegos, M.R. Hamblin, Photoantimicrobials—are we afraid of the light?,
582		Lancet Infect. Dis. 17 (2017) e49-e55.
583	[25]	C.P. Sabino, A.M. Deana, T.M. Yoshimura, D.F.T. Da Silva, C.M.
584		França, M.R. Hamblin, M.S. Ribeiro, The optical properties of mouse
585		skin in the visible and near infrared spectral regions, J. Photochem.
586		Photobiol. B Biol. 160 (2016) 72–78.
587	[26]	A. Potron, L. Poirel, P. Nordmann, Emerging broad-spectrum resistance

- 588 in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii:
- 589 Mechanisms and epidemiology, Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 45 (2015)
 590 568–585.
- 591 [27] L.S. Tzouvelekis, A. Markogiannakis, M. Psichogiou, P.T. Tassios, G.L.
- 592 Daikos, Carbapenemases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other
- 593 Enterobacteriaceae: An evolving crisis of global dimensions, Clin.

594 Microbiol. Rev. 25 (2012) 682–707.

- 595 [28] L.N. Andrade, T. Curiao, J.C. Ferreira, J.M. Longo, E.C. Clímaco, R.
- 596 Martinez, F. Bellissimo-Rodrigues, A. Basile-Filho, M.A. Evaristo, P.F.
- 597 Del Peloso, V.B. Ribeiro, A.L. Barth, M.C. Paula, F. Baquero, R.
- 598 Cantón, A.L. da C. Darini, T.M. Coque, Dissemination of *bla*_{KPC-2} by the
- 599 Spread of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Clonal Complex 258 Clones (ST258,
- 600 ST11, ST437) and Plasmids (IncFII, IncN, IncL/M) among
- 601 Enterobacteriaceae Species in Brazil, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.
 602 55 (2011) 3579–3583.
- 603 [29] B. Chen, B.W. Pogue, P.J. Hoopes, T. Hasan, Vascular and cellular
- 604 targeting for photodynamic therapy, Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene Expr. 16605 (2006) 279–306.
- 606 [30] I.E. Kochevar, R.W. Redmond, Photosensitized production of singlet
 607 oxygen, Methods Enzymol. 319 (2004) 20–28.
- 608 [31] J. Chibebe Junior, C.P. Sabino, X. Tan, J.C. Junqueira, Y. Wang, B.B.
- 609 Fuchs, A.O. Jorge, G. P, M.R. Hamblin, E. Mylonakis, Selective
- 610 photoinactivation of Candida albicans in the non-vertebrate host
- 611 infection model *Galleria mellonella*, BMC Microbiol. 13 (2013) 217.
- 612 [32] J. Chibebe Junior, B.B. Fuchs, C.P. Sabino, J.C. Junqueira, A.O.C.

- Jorge, M.S. Ribeiro, M.S. Gilmore, L.B. Rice, M.R. Hamblin, E.
 Mylonakis, G.P. Tegos, Photodynamic and Antibiotic Therapy Impair the
 Pathogenesis of *Enterococcus faecium* in a Whole Animal Insect Model,
 PLoS One. 8 (2013) e55926.
- 617 [33] I.T. Kato, Q. Naqvi, B.B. Fuchs, E. Mylonakis, G.P. Tegos, K. Mizuno,
- M.S. Ribeiro, M.R. Hamblin, R.A. Prates, Effect of Virulence Factors on
 the Photodynamic Inactivation of *Cryptococcus neoformans*, PLoS One.
 8 (2013) e54387.
- [34] R.A. Prates, B.B. Fuchs, K. Mizuno, Q. Naqvi, I.T. Kato, M.S. Ribeiro, E.
 Mylonakis, G.P. Tegos, M.R. Hamblin, Effect of Virulence Factors on
 the Photodynamic Inactivation of *Cryptococcus neoformans*, PLoS One.
 8 (2013) e54387.
- 625 [35] M. Tanaka, P. Mroz, T. Dai, L. Huang, Y. Morimoto, M. Kinoshita, Y.

626 Yoshihara, K. Nemoto, N. Shinomiya, S. Seki, M.R. Hamblin,

- 627 Photodynamic therapy can induce a protective innate immune response
- against murine bacterial Arthritis via Neutrophil accumulation, PLoS

629 One. 7 (2012) e398223.

630 [36] A. Casas, G. Di Venosa, T. Hasan, A. Batlle, Mechanisms of

Resistance to Photodynamic Therapy, Curr. Med. Chem. 18 (2011)
2486–2515.

- [37] L. Huang, Y. Xuan, Y. Koide, T. Zhiyentayev, M. Tanaka, M.R. Hamblin,
- 634 Type I and Type II mechanisms of antimicrobial photodynamic therapy:
- An *in vitro* study on Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, Lasers
 Surg. Med. 44 (2012) 490–499.
- 637 [38] N. Kollias, R.M. Sayre, L. Zeise, M.R. Chedekel, New trends in

- 638 photobiology. Photoprotection by melanin, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B
 639 Biol. 9 (1991) 135–160.
- [39] Y. Wang, A. Casadevall, Susceptibility of melanized and nonmelanized
 Cryptococcus neoformans to nitrogen- and oxygen-derived oxidants,
 Infect. Immun. 62 (1994) 3004–3007.
- [40] R. Knörle, E. Schniz, T.J. Feuerstein, Drug accumulation in melanin: An
 affinity chromatographic study, J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl. 714
 (1998) 171–179.

- 646 [41] A. Radwa, T. Frąckowiak, H. Ibrahim, A. -F Aubry, R. Kaliszan,
- 647 Chromatographic modelling of interactions between melanin and
- 648 phenothiazine and dibenzazepine drugs, Biomed. Chromatogr. 9 (1995)
 649 233–237.
- 650 [42] D. Van Duin, A. Casadevall, J.D. Nosanchuk, Reduces Their
- 651 Susceptibilities to Amphotericin B and Caspofungin, Society. 46 (2002)652 3394–3400.
- 653 [43] A. Khajo, R.A. Bryan, M. Friedman, R.M. Burger, Y. Levitsky, A.
- 654 Casadevall, R.S. Magliozzo, E. Dadachova, Protection of Melanized
- 655 *Cryptococcus neoformans* from Lethal Dose Gamma Irradiation
- 656 Involves Changes in Melanin's Chemical Structure and Paramagnetism,
- 657 PLoS One. 6 (2011) e25092.
- 658 [44] J.D. Nosanchuk, A. Casadevall, Impact of melanin on microbial
- 659 virulence and clinical resistance to antimicrobial compounds,
- 660 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50 (2006) 3519–3528.
- 661 [45] G.Y. Liu, V. Nizet, Color me bad: microbial pigments as virulence
 662 factors, Trends Microbiol. 17 (2009) 406–413.

664		Influence of multidrug efflux systems on methylene blue-mediated
665		photodynamic inactivation of Candida albicans, J. Antimicrob.
666		Chemother. 66 (2011) 1525–1532.
667	[47]	P. Gros, Y. Ben Neriah, J.M. Croop, D.E. Housman, Isolation and
668		expression of a complementary DNA that confers multidrug resistance,
669		Nature. 323 (1986) 728–731.
670	[48]	D. Kessel, K. Woodburn, D. Skalkos, Impaired accumulation of a
671		cationic photosensitizing agent by a cell line exhibiting multidrug
672		resistence, Photochem. Photobiol. 60 (1994) 61–63.
673	[49]	R.A. Moorehead, S.G. Armstrong, B.C. Wilson, G. Singh, Cross-
674		resistance to cisplatin in cells resistant to photofrin-mediated
675		photodynamic therapy, Cancer Res. 54 (1994) 2556–9.
676	[50]	J. Merlin, H. Gautier, M. Barberi-Heyob, MH. Teiten, F. Guillemin, The
677		multidrug resistance modulator SDZ-PSC 833 potentiates the
678		photodynamic activity of chlorin e6 independently of P-glycoprotein in
679		multidrug resistant human breast adenocarcinoma cells, Int. J. Oncol.
680		(2014) 733–739.
681	[51]	D. Preise, O. Mazor, N. Koudinova, M. Liscovitch, A. Scherz, Y.
682		Salomon, Bypass of Tumor Drug Resistance by Antivascular Therapy 1,
683		Neoplasia. 5 (2003) 475–480.
684	[52]	G.P. Tegos, K. Masago, F. Aziz, A. Higginbotham, F.R. Stermitz, M.R.
685		Hamblin, Inhibitors of Bacterial Multidrug Efflux Pumps Potentiate
686		Antimicrobial Photoinactivation, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52
687		(2008) 3202–3209.

[46] R.A. Prates, I.T. Kato, M.S. Ribeiro, G.P. Tegos, M.R. Hamblin,

663

688	[53]	G.S. Trindade, S.L.A. Farias, V.M. Rumjanek, M.A.M. Capella,
689		Methylene blue reverts multidrug resistance: Sensitivity of multidrug
690		resistant cells to this dye and its photodynamic action, Cancer Lett. 151
691		(2000) 161–167.
692	[54]	I.T. Kato, R.A. Prates, C.P. Sabino, B.B. Fuchs, G.P. Tegos, E.
693		Mylonakis, M.R. Hamblin, M.S. Ribeiro, Antimicrobial photodynamic
694		inactivation inhibits Candida albicans virulence factors and reduces in
695		vivo pathogenicity, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57 (2013) 445–451.
696	[55]	E. Fréalle, C. Noël, E. Viscogliosi, D. Camus, E. Dei-Cas, L. Delhaes,
697		Manganese superoxide dismutase in pathogenic fungi: An issue with
698		pathophysiological and phylogenetic involvements, FEMS Immunol.
699		Med. Microbiol. 45 (2005) 411–422.
700	[56]	P. González-Párraga, J.A. Hernández, J.C. Argüelles, Role of
701		antioxidant enzymatic defences against oxidative stress (H2O2) and the
702		acquisition of oxidative tolerance in Candida albicans, Yeast. 20 (2003)
703		1161–1169.
704	[57]	L.F. Agnez-Lima, J.T.A. Melo, A.E. Silva, A.H.S. Oliveira, A.R.S.
705		Timoteo, K.M. Lima-Bessa, G.R. Martinez, M.H.G. Medeiros, P. Di
706		Mascio, R.S. Galhardo, C.F.M. Menck, DNA damage by singlet oxygen
707		and cellular protective mechanisms, Mutat. Res. 751 (2012) 15–28.
708	[58]	V.C. Culotta, M.J. Daly, Manganese Complexes: Diverse Metabolic
709		Routes to Oxidative Stress Resistance in Prokaryotes and Yeast,
710		Antioxid. Redox Signal. 19 (2012) 933–944.
711	[59]	S.Y. Young, N.L. Young, Purification and some properties of superoxide

712 dismutase from *Deinococcus radiophilus*, the UV-resistant bacterium,

- 713 Extremophiles. 8 (2004) 237–242.
- 714 [60] J. Nakonieczna, A. Wozniak, M. Pieranski, A. Rapacka-Zdonczyk, P.
- 715 Ogonowska, M. Grinholc, Photoinactivation of ESKAPE pathogens:
- 716 Overview of novel therapeutic strategy, Future Med. Chem. 11 (2019)
 717 443–461.
- 718 [61] R.A. Prates, E.G. Silva, A.M. Yamada, L.C. Suzuki, C.R. Paula, M.S.
- 719 Ribeiro, Light parameters influence cell viability in antifungal
- photodynamic therapy in a fluence and rate fluence-dependent manner,
- 721 Laser Phys. 19 (2009) 1038–1044.
- 722 [62] S.C. Nuñez, T.M. Yoshimura, M.S. Ribeiro, H.C. Junqueira, C. Maciel,
- M.D. Coutinho-Neto, M.S. Baptista, Urea enhances the photodynamic
 efficiency of methylene blue, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 150
- 725 (2015) 31–37.
- [63] G.A. da Collina, F. Freire, V.S. Barbosa, C.B. Correa, H.R. Nascimento,

A.C.R.T. Horliana, D. de F.T. Silva, R.A. Prates, C. Pavani,

- 728 Photodynamic Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Action of Phenothiazinium
- 729 Dyes in Planktonic *Candida albicans* is increased in Sodium Dodecyl
- 730 Sulfate, Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther. 101612 (2019).
- 731 [64] L.M. Dalla-Costa, J.M. Coelho, H.A.P.H.M. Souza, M.E.S. Castro,
- 732 C.J.N. Stier, K.L. Bragagnolo, A. Rea-Neto, S.R. Penteado-Filho, D.M.
- 733 Livermore, N. Woodford, Outbreak of Carbapenem-Resistant
- 734 Acinetobacter baumannii Producing the OXA-23 enzyme in Curitiba,
- 735 Brazil, J. Cinical Microbiol. 41 (2003) 3403–3406.
- 736 [65] C.S. Antonio, P.R. Neves, M. Medeiros, E.M. Mamizuka, M.R.E. De
- 737 Araújo, N. Lincopan, High prevalence of carbapenem-resistant

738		Acinetobacter baumannii carrying the blaoxA-143 gene in Brazilian
739		hospitals, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55 (2011) 1322–1323.
740	[66]	N. Lincopan, A.M. Carmona-Ribeiro, E.M. Mamizuka, DOD/AMB: In
741		vivo activity of a novel AMB formulation with synthetic cationic bilayer
742		fragments, Brazilian J. Microbiol. 34 (2003) 131–134.
743	[67]	J.C. Campos, M.J.F. Da Silva, P.R.N. Dos Santos, E.M. Barros, M.D.O.
744		Pereira, B.M.S. Seco, C.M. Magagnin, L.K. Leiroz, T.G.M. De Oliveira,
745		C. De Faria-Júnior, L.T. Cerdeira, A.L. Barth, S.C.F. Sampaio, A.P.
746		Zavascki, L. Poirel, J.L.M. Sampaio, Characterization of Tn3000, a
747		transposon responsible for <i>bla</i> NDM-1 dissemination among
748		Enterobacteriaceae in Brazil, Nepal, Morocco, and India, Antimicrob.
749		Agents Chemother. 59 (2015) 7387–7395.
750	[68]	J. Aizawa, N. Neuwirt, L. Barbato, P.R. Neves, L. Leigue, J. Padilha,
751		A.F.P. de Castro, L. Gregory, N. Lincopan, Identification of
752		fluoroquinolone-resistant extended-spectrum β -lactamase (CTX-M-8)-
753		producing Escherichia coli ST224, ST2179 and ST2308 in buffalo
754		(Bubalus bubalis), J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 69 (2014) 2866–2869.
755	[69]	F.P. Sellera, M.R. Fernandes, L. Sartori, M.P.N. Carvalho, F. Esposito,
756		C.L. Nascimento, G.H.P. Dutra, E.M. Mamizuka, P.J. Pérez-Chaparro,
757		J.A. McCulloch, N. Lincopan, Escherichia coli carrying IncX4 plasmid-
758		mediated mcr-1 and blaCTX-M genes in infected migratory Magellanic
759		penguins (Spheniscus magellanicus), J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 72
760		(2017) 1255–1256.
761	[70]	N. Lincopan, J.A. Mcculloch, C. Reinert, A.C. Gales, E.M. Mamizuka,
762		First Isolation of Metallo-β-Lactamase-Producing Multiresistant

- *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from a Patient in Brazil, J. Clin. Microbiol. 43
 (2005) 516–519.
- 765 [71] L. Poirel, C. Héritier, V. Torun, P. Nordmann, Emergence of
- 766 Oxacillinase-Mediated Resistance to Imipenem in *Klebsiella*
- *pneumoniae*, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 48 (2004) 15–22.
- 768 [72] G.R. Francisco, M.F.C. Bueno, L. Cerdeira, N. Lincopan, S. lenne, T.A.
- 769 Souza, D. de Oliveira Garcia, Draft genome sequences of KPC-2- and
- 770 CTX-M-15-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST437 isolated from a
- clinical sample and urban rivers in Sao Paulo, Brazil, J. Glob.
- 772 Antimicrob. Resist. 16 (2019) 74–75.
- [73] M. Polotto, T. Casella, M.G. de Lucca Oliveira, F.G. Rúbio, M.L.
- Nogueira, M.T.G. de Almeida, M.C.L. Nogueira, Detection of *P.*
- aeruginosa harboring blacTX-M-2, blaGES-1 and blaGES-5, blaIMP-1 and
- *bla*_{SPM-1} causing infections in Brazilian tertiary-care hospital, BMC Infect.
- 777 Dis. 12 (2012).
- 778 [74] M.A. Toleman, Molecular characterization of SPM-1, a novel metallo-
- beta-lactamase isolated in Latin America: report from the SENTRY
- 780antimicrobial surveillance programme, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 50
- 781 (2002) 673–679.
- 782 [75] M.R. Fernandes, F.P. Sellera, Q. Moura, M.P.N. Carvalho, P.N. Rosato,
- 783 L. Cerdeira, N. Lincopan, Zooanthroponotic Transmission of Drug-
- 784 Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Brazil, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 24
- 785 (2018) 1160–1162.
- 786 [76] G.A. Oliveira, A.M. DellaÁquila, R.L. Masiero, C.E. Levy, M.S. Gomes,
- L. Cui, H. Hiramatsu, E.M. Mamizuka, Isolation in Brazil of Nosocomial

- *Staphylococcos aureus* With Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin,
- 789 Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 22 (2001) 443–448.

791 Figure legends

792

Figure 1. Inactivation kinetics of bacterial species most sensitive to MB-aPDT
(scale 1) in function of radiant exposure. Average inactivation kinetics data of
all strains of each species in this board is presented in *a*. Inactivation kinetics
of each strain is also shown for *A. baumannii* (*b*), *E. faecalis* (*c*), *E. faecium* (*d*), *E. coli* (*e*) and *S. aureus* (*f*).

798

Figure 2. Inactivation kinetics of bacterial and yeast species most tolerant to
MB-aPDT (scale 5) in function of radiant exposure. Average inactivation
kinetics data of all strains of each species in this board is presented in *a*.
Inactivation kinetics of each strain is also shown for *K. aerogenes* (*b*), *K. pneumoniae* (*c*), *P. aeruginosa* (*d*), *C. neoformans* (*e*) and *C. albicans* (*f*).

804

Figure 3. Tolerance factor (T) of inactivation kinetics calculated for each tested species. If T>1, microbial population is initially tolerant to aPDT but become increasingly sensitive. If T<1, microbial population is initially sensitive, but some persistent cells remain more tolerant to inactivation as irradiation progresses. If T=1, microbial population presents a constant inactivation kinetics rate in a logscale.

811

Figure 4. Lethal dose values of aPDT calculated in function of radiant exposure. LD values correspond to percent of total microbial population reduction. Horizontal dotted line represents the maximum dose (40 J/cm²) required for more than 5Log₁₀ of inactivation.

Table 1. List of microbial strains used in this study.

Bacterial Species	Strain	Capsule
Drug-sensitive control strains		
Acinetobacter baumannii	ATCC 19606	-
Candida albicans	ATCC 90028	-
Cryptococcus neoformans	KN99a	+
Klebsiella aerogenes	ATCC 13048	+
Enterococcus faecalis	ATCC 29212	-
Enterococcus faecium	ATCC BAA-2127	-
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922	-
Klebsiella pneumoniae (ESBL, SHV-18)	ATCC 700603	+
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853	+
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923	-
Drug-resistant strains (resistance phenotype)		
Acinetobacter baumannii (carbapenemase OXA-23)	LDC [64]	-
Acinetobacter baumannii (carbapenemase OXA-143)	804 [65]	-
Candida albicans (azole resistant)	IAL2151 [66]	-
Cryptococcus neoformans (azole resistant)	H99 [54]	+
Klebsiella aerogenes (carbapenemase NDM-1)	E0083033-1 [67]	+
Enterococcus faecalis (vancomycin-resistant VanB)	ATCC 51299	-
Enterococcus faecium (vancomycin-resistant VanA)	ATCC 700221	-
Escherichia coli (ESBL CTX-M-8)	19B [68]	-
Escherichia coli (ESBL CTX-M-1, colistin-resistant MCR-1)	ICBEC7P [69]	-
Klebsiella pneumoniae (metallo-β-lactamase IMP-1, ESBL CTX-M-2)	KP BR-1 [70]	+
Klebsiella pneumoniae (carbapenemase KPC-2)	ATCC BAA1705	+
Klebsiella pneumoniae (carbapenemase OXA-48)	11978 [71]	+
Klebsiella pneumoniae (carbapenemase KPC-2, ESBL CTX-M-15)	KP148/PINH-4900 [72]	+
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (carbapenemase GES-5)	PA64 [73]	+
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (metallo-β-lactamase SPM-1)	1997A-48 [74]	+
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (metallo-β-lactamase VIM-2)	ICBDVIM2 [75]	+
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA, VRSA)	VRSA BR-4 [76]	_