

LJMU Research Online

Gallagher, CT, Hanley, P and Lane, KE

Pattern analysis of vegan eating reveals healthy and unhealthy patterns within the vegan diet

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/14974/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Gallagher, CT, Hanley, P and Lane, KE (2021) Pattern analysis of vegan eating reveals healthy and unhealthy patterns within the vegan diet. Public Health Nutrition. ISSN 1368-9800

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1 Abstract:

Objective: This study aimed to identify the types of foods that constitute a vegan diet and establish patterns within the diet. Dietary pattern analysis, a key instrument for exploring the correlation between health and disease was used to identify patterns within the vegan diet.

6 Design: A modified version of the EPIC-Norfolk food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was
7 created and validated to include vegan foods and launched on social media.

8 **Setting:** UK participants, recruited online

9 Participants: A convenience sample of 129 vegans voluntarily completed the FFQ.
10 Collected data was converted to reflect weekly consumption to enable factor and cluster
11 analyses.

12 **Results:** Factor analysis identified four distinct dietary patterns including: 1) convenience, 13 (22%); 2) health conscious, (12%); 3) unhealthy, (9%); and 4) traditional vegan (7%). 14 Whilst two healthy patterns were defined, the convenience pattern was the most identifiable 15 pattern with a prominence of vegan convenience meals and snacks, vegan sweets and 16 desserts, sauces, condiments and fats. Cluster analysis identified three clusters, cluster one 17 'convenience' (26.8%), cluster two, 'traditional' (22%) and cluster 3 'health conscious' 18 (51.2%). Clusters one and two consisted of an array of ultra-processed vegan food items. 19 Together, both clusters represent almost half of participants and yielding similar results to 20 the predominant dietary pattern, strengthens the factor analysis.

21 Conclusions: These novel results highlight a need for further dietary pattern studies with
22 full nutrition and blood metabolite analysis in larger samples of vegans to enhance and ratify
23 these results.

- 25 Introduction
- 26

27 Over half a million people in the UK ($\approx 1\%$ of the population) follow a vegan diet where 28 all animal sources are substituted with plant-based alternatives. Veganism quadrupled between 2014 and 2019 in the UK⁽¹⁾ with 600,000 vegans reported in 2019^(2; 3), while 29 the popularity in vegan diets continues to grow worldwide ⁽⁴⁾. The food industry are 30 31 responding to this by producing more processed vegan food and drink products than ever before ^(2; 5). In January 2021, 'Veganuary' saw over 440,000 people in the UK committing 32 to a vegan diet ⁽⁶⁾, raising the profile of plant-based eating which has been associated with 33 34 a range of health benefits ⁽⁷⁾.

It is reported that a well-planned vegan diet can meet all the nutritional requirements 35 necessary for health ⁽⁸⁾. There is still some debate, however, about the nutritional quality 36 37 of vegan diets and the risk of nutritional deficiencies, notably some key micronutrients such as vitamin B12, vitamin D, iron, calcium, iodine, omega-3, selenium and zinc in 38 poorly adapted or non-fortified vegan diets (9). In dietary terms, a traditional vegan diet 39 40 refers to a diet that omits all products derived wholly or partly from animal origin. The diet focuses more on wholegrains, pulses, fruit and vegetables⁽¹⁰⁾. It remains unclear if 41 42 modern vegan dietary adaptation methods can deliver the same health advantages as traditional vegan diets. For example if vegans are choosing ultra-processed vegan 43 44 products over more natural plant based alternative sources, could this compromise the overall quality of the vegan diet? ⁽¹¹⁾. By way of definition, ultra-processed foods refer to 45 products mostly or entirely formulated from substances derived from foods that typically 46 contain little or no whole foods⁽¹²⁾. These products are usually high in saturated fat, sugar 47 48 and salt. The majority of these food items are also considered poor sources of protein, fibre and micronutrients^(13; 14). Studies over the past two decades have provided important 49 50 information on the diet quality of various types of vegetarians but no single study has

addressed the quality of specific vegan diets. Orlich et al. (7) reveals Adventist vegans 51 52 consumed the lowest amounts of foods and snacks high in added sugars and saturated 53 fats, in comparison to non-vegetarians and other vegetarian groups. This argument is consistent with much of the literature surrounding vegan diets ^(15; 16; 17; 18). However, the 54 55 main weakness with this research is that it is outdated and perhaps not considering the 56 increasing variety of processed food and drinks that are now available to vegans. In 2018 the UK developed more vegan products than any other nation ⁽⁵⁾. Popular UK 57 58 supermarkets are reacting by producing vegan wines with a pledge to ensure their full range is suitable for vegans in the coming years ⁽¹⁹⁾. In 2019 Galaxy launched a vegan 59 Mars bar in the UK ⁽²⁰⁾ and in 2020 Mc Donald's launched its first vegan meal ⁽²¹⁾. Thus, 60 61 the production of vegan alternatives including vegan snacks and fast foods is prevalent 62 and represents one of the main product development trends within the food and retail 63 industry. However, many of these food items can be high in saturated fats and sugars and 64 if eaten regularly may pose a risk to health. Therefore, a review of current vegan dietary 65 patterns is urgently required to address these uncertainties.

66 Several studies have evaluated the dietary patterns of omnivores, pesco, lacto, ovo and semi vegetarians in comparison to vegan diets (22; 23; 24; 25; 26), but none to date has 67 68 subjected vegan diets to dietary pattern analysis. It is important to establish whether the increased availability of processed vegan replacements for animal based products is 69 70 leading to habitual consumption of an array of ultra-processed foods. The methodology 71 for this unique study includes an innovative dietary pattern analysis of vegan diets. Dietary pattern analysis offers an effective way of understanding the diverse eating 72 patterns within vegan diets by evaluating methods of adaptation and substitution ⁽²⁷⁾. It 73 74 was hypothesised that some vegan diets would incorporate a range of food groups 75 representing a traditional well-planned vegan diet. This was expected to be the most 76 common dietary pattern. The vegan food industry has evolved therefore it was predicted 77 that a convenience style eating pattern could also emerge, representing a small proportion of the participants. 78

79 This study aimed to identify patterns within the vegan diet by establishing the everyday foods that vegans are choosing to consume enabling an evidence-based evaluation of the 80 81 vegan diet.

82 **Methods**

83

84 Food Frequency Questionnaire

85

A food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was created using LJMU approved Online survey 86 tool, an online food questionnaire creator, to enable the provision of a validated 87 interactive dietary assessment tool (28). The validated EPIC-Norfolk FFQ (29) was 88 89 modified to include questions representative of foods and drinks suitable for vegans. Adaptation followed methods used by Dyett et al. (30) in their evaluation of a validated 90 91 food frequency questionnaire for self-defined vegans in the United States. Vegan food items available in the UK were identified from mainstream UK supermarkets and vegan 92 93 UK forums. A collection of naturally vegan food products and newly emerging ultra-94 processed vegan products were included in the FFQ. Ten vegan volunteers in a UK university who met the study criteria took part in an initial pilot study. Feedback from 95 96 the volunteers was taken on board to further modify the vegan FFQ. To further enhance 97 validation of the vegan adapted FFQ, a focus group of Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) registered dietitians in the UK were then consulted. Modifications and 98 99 additions to the food groups were made accordingly based on the dietitians comments to generate the finalised version of the vegan adapted FFQ (see supplementary material S1).
Questionnaire instructions stated the FFQ must reflect dietary habits over the past month,
and therefore participants must have been following a vegan diet for at least one month.
Further questions were included such as motivations for adopting vegan lifestyle, age,
length of time vegan, cooking skills and supplement use to ensure evaluation of factors
influencing diet choice and nutritional knowledge ⁽³¹⁾.

106

107 Recruitment

108

109 Online social media accounts (Instagram and Facebook) were used to recruit subjects. 110 The FFQ was launched on social media accounts in the UK. The recruitment team asked 111 for vegans in the UK to complete and share the FFQ. In order to reduce bias participants 112 involvement in this study was voluntary. Participants gave informed consent prior to 113 completing the voluntary FFQ. Inclusion criteria required participants to be living in the 114 UK and aged over 18 years old so only adults could take part. Participants were also 115 required to have followed a vegan diet for at least one month. This allowed specific 116 dietary patterns to be captured.

117 Statistical Analysis

118

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 26.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and Microsoft Excel 2013. Data screening and cleaning was conducted to check for any outliers and errors on the categorical and continuous variables. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages were calculated for characterisation of the participants (i.e. gender, age groups, length of time vegan). Statistical tests were used to calculate the significance of error.

126 Data Screening

127

128 Selected frequency of consumption for each food in the FFQ was coded to reflect how 129 often each item was consumed per week for dietary pattern analysis as followed: NEVER 130 or less than once/ month 0, 1-3 per month, once a week, 2-4 per week, 5-6 per week, once a day, 2-3 per day, 4-5 per day, 6+ per day. This design was taken from the validated 131 EPIC-Norfolk FFQ, which has also been used in other studies ^(32; 33). Two methods were 132 133 used to classify the individual food items before applying factor and cluster analysis. In 134 the first instance, the food and drink items were combined and collapsed into 30 food 135 groups and in the second 20 food groups (Table. 1), respectively, with similar nutrient profiles; similarly to previous research by Ashby-Mitchell et al. (34). 136

137

138 Factor Analysis

139

140 Factor analysis with the principal component method was performed in SPSS, with the 141 procedure 'dimension reduction' and 'FACTOR' on both sets of food groups to identify 142 the primary components, which accounted for variation in dietary intake. However, the 143 smaller set of food groups (n = 20) was deemed more appropriate due to the small sample 144 size⁽³⁵⁾. The methods followed previous studies that have used factor analysis as a 145 statistical method to reduce large sets of dietary intake variables into smaller sets of variables that represent eating patterns ^(36; 37). The smaller sets of composite variables 146 147 derived through the principal component method are referred to as 'components' and the variables within these are referred to as 'factors'. The Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin (KMO) 148 149 measure and Bartlett's test of sphericity were undertaken before applying the principal component method, to ensure the data were suitable for factor analysis ⁽³⁸⁾. The 20 food variables from food groups 2 shown in Table 1 were entered into the factor analysis. Oblimin and Varimax rotations were applied. The components derived from the Oblimin rotation were selected similar to previous work by researchers exploring dietary patterns ^(39; 40). The rotation redistributes the variance of each component allowing for a simpler structure ⁽⁴¹⁾. Oblimin rotation was chosen as the preferred method of 'rotation' as it has a range of advantages compared to other types of rotation ⁽⁴²⁾.

The number of components selected was based on assessment of the scree plot, with values greater than one deemed appropriate to establish the patterns that explain the largest proportion of variance ⁽³⁶⁾. Six components had an eigenvalue greater than 1, but there was a gradual break in the scree plot after the fourth component (Fig. 1) therefore, four components were retained. The dietary patterns were characterised by high and low intakes of vegan food and drinks. The patterns were labelled based on the types of factors representing the component and explanations in the literature.

167 Two factor cluster analysis identifies groupings by running pre-clustering first and then by running hierarchical methods to enable automatic selection of the number of clusters⁽³⁵⁾. 168 169 Two factor cluster analysis was performed to order the 20 food groups in a dendrogram, 170 where food groups with the highest correlations were further grouped together while 171 samples with small correlations were widely separated. In particular, the two food groups 172 with the largest correlation were identified and merged into a single 'synthetic' sample. The 173 remaining food groups were then searched for the largest correlation with the synthetic 174 sample. This process was repeated until all samples were merged into a single sample, and 175 the correlations among samples were then expressed as a hierarchical tree⁽⁴³⁾. 176 The dietary patterns were characterised by high and low intakes of vegan food and drinks. The 177 clusters were labelled based on the types of inputs representing the component and explanations 178 in the literature.

179

181 **Results**

182

183 Participant Characteristics

184

- 185 Data collection took place from Monday 2nd March 2020 through Friday 3rd April 2020.
- 186 There were 129 fully completed FFQ. Sample characteristics are presented in Table 2.

187 Most participants were female (87%) and most were aged 18-24 (36%). The most common 188 reason selected for following a vegan lifestyle was 'Health, Environment & Animal welfare' 189 (43%). Health benefits was in the minority with only 3% following the vegan lifestyle primarily 190 for 'health'. It is important to note that on the questionnaire these were presented as separate 191 reasons and not a single reason. Participants were able to select more than one reason. Most 192 vegans (41%) had been following a vegan diet for 1-3 years. Some participants (17%) were 193 eating a vegan diet for less than 6 months; (8%) 6-12 months; (23%) 4-10 years and (11%) 194 over 10 years. From those taking nutritional supplements, the majority took vitamin B12 195 (68%). Almost half took vitamin D (42%). A moderate number (26%) were taking iron 196 supplements and (19%) took calcium supplements. A small number of participants (15%, 12%, 197 14%, 7%) consumed zinc, iodine, omega-3 and selenium supplements respectively. Again 198 these micronutrients were presented in a list on the questionnaire and participants were able to 199 select more than one supplement.

200

201

Inspection of the correlation matrix revealed the presence of many coefficients of 0.3 and
above. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value was 0.727, reaching the recommended value of 0.5 ⁽³⁸⁾
The Barlett's Test of Sphericity ⁽⁴⁴⁾ reached statistical significance, supporting the factorability
of the correlation matrix ⁽³⁵⁾.

209 Factor analysis with the principal component method revealed the presence of six components 210 with eigenvalues exceeding 1, explaining 22%, 12%, 9%, 7%, 7% and 5% of the variance respectively. However, inspection of the scree plot (Fig. 1) revealed a gradual break after the 211 212 fourth component. Therefore, the first four components explain the largest proportion of 213 variance in the dietary intake data and were retained as 'dietary patterns'. Together these 214 components represent a cumulative percentage of 50% of the inter-individual variability. To 215 aid the interpretation of these four components, oblimin rotation was performed, representing 216 four definite dietary patterns (Table. 3). The first component in the matrix could be described 217 as a 'convenience pattern' with high positive loadings for vegan sweets and desserts 0.802 218 vegan crisps 0.760 vegan sauces and condiments 0.591 vegan biscuits and cakes 0.536, fats 219 and oils 0.49, vegan convenience meals & snacks 0.440 and dairy alternatives 0.363. For the 220 second component it was evident the high positive loadings included cooking from scratch 221 0.846, creating recipes 0.785 and protein alternatives to meat/fish 0.445, this suggests a more 222 health conscious vegan who is paying close attention to the types of foods in the vegan diet. 223 The third component was characterised by high positive loadings for alcohol 0.800, takeaways 224 0.478 and salt 0.459. The fourth pattern was characterised by positive loadings for potatoes 225 0.849, vegetables 0.660, fruit 0.625 and refined grains 0.492. This pattern shares similarities to 226 that of a traditional vegan definition. Much of the current literature supports that plant based foods, fruit and vegetables are strongly associated with vegan eating (24; 45; 46; 47). 227

230 To further strengthen the findings from the factor analysis, two factor cluster analysis was 231 performed. The cluster analysis clearly identified the number of participants that represent 232 each cluster and the percentage of participants that are regularly consuming the food items 233 within each cluster. A cut off point of 0.40 factor of importance was used to identify the most prevalent cluster groupings⁽³⁵⁾. Two factor cluster analysis indicated the presence of 234 235 three different clusters. This analysis explained the groupings for 127 of the participants, 236 two participants did not belong to any of the clusters. The clusters were categorised as shown 237 in Table 4.

Cluster one, 'convenience', representing 26.8% (n=34) of the sample. Shows reliance on processed foods with minimum preparation for convenience perhaps because these are now readily available featuring vegan sauces & condiments, desserts, convenience meals/snacks & processed meat alternatives, refined grains. Also incorporating non-processed vegan foods (fruit, vegetables, fats and oils, protein alternatives to meat/fish). Foods that are quick and easy to prepare e.g. fruit/nuts. Possibly mindful of their protein intake having natural protein alternatives and ultra-processed versions. This cluster lacks dairy alternatives.

Cluster two, 'traditional', representing 22% (n=28) of the sample. Mainly featuring traditional vegan foods, high amounts of fruit, vegetables, potatoes and wholegrains, however also with the most vegan convenience meals/snacks/sweets/desserts, fat and oils and dairy and protein alternatives. Perhaps representing those who are now trying some of the new vegan products on the market but are still health conscious enough to have their traditional balanced diet of protein, carbohydrates, fruit and vegetables.

Cluster three 'health conscious' representing 51.2% (n=65) of the sample. The majority of
the sample fit into this cluster. Vegans in this cluster are excluding most processed products,

253 whilst opting for fruit/vegetable/protein alternatives to meat /fish and refined grains. There 254 may be some potential for undereating; this cluster had the lowest mean values for dairy 255 refined alternatives, fruit. whole and grains, vegan convenience 256 meals/snacks/sweets/desserts and potatoes. This cluster could represent vegans following 257 the diet for weight loss purposes or perhaps those who are committed to veganism for 258 reasons outside of health /diet perhaps with less interest in food.

259 **Discussion**

260

261 Dietary Patterns

262

263 Factor analysis with the principal component method identified four distinct dietary patterns 264 outlined in Fig. 2 and Table 3, cumulatively accounting for 50% of the total variance. The 265 convenience dietary pattern was the most identifiable dietary behaviour to emerge from the 266 analysis. It was characterised as a 'Convenience' pattern because the diet centred on vegan 267 convenience meals and snacks, vegan sweets and desserts, sauces, condiments and fats. 268 Similarly, the cluster analysis had two clusters focusing on processed vegan products such as convenience meals and snacks, sauces, condiments, desserts and processed meat 269 270 alternatives. The association between these processed products is noteworthy considering the growth of veganism and the rapid rise in the production of vegan products ^(3; 48). A 271 272 convenience pattern suggests some respondents are using a range of processed vegan 273 products, therefore not solely using natural ingredients to prepare meals. Similar findings 274 were reported in South Asian vegetarians who use unhealthy convenience products ⁽⁴⁹⁾. The 275 second component of factor analysis; represented vegans cooking from scratch and creating 276 their own recipes whilst opting for natural protein sources such as soy and pulses over 277 processed protein alternatives thus, component 2 could be described as the 'Health

278 Conscious' dietary pattern. The current research demonstrates that vegans report cooking 279 from scratch regularly irrespective of how long they have been vegan. Vegans of all age brackets report to 'cook from scratch' twice per week or more. It remains unknown what 280 281 they are using to cook from scratch. This is important considering the most common dietary 282 pattern was that of a convenience style pattern. To meaningfully address what vegans are 283 cooking with, it will be necessary to refine the definition of 'cooking from scratch' in future 284 questionnaires. Alternatively, the use of food diaries could further validate the findings of 285 the food frequency questionnaire. It is clear that the health-conscious group are cooking 286 from scratch as well as eating more protein alternatives such as nuts, soya, legumes rather 287 than ultra-processed alternatives such as meat free burgers or bacon. The cluster analysis 288 supported this recognising that some vegans (51%) were consuming high intakes of fruit, 289 vegetables and non-processed meat alternatives. Despite this healthy focus, there are still 290 potential health issues as the cluster analysis also revealed these vegan diets had low intakes 291 of dairy alternatives. It is unclear if this group were considering their micronutrient levels 292 and taking nutritional supplements in place of dairy alternatives. In this study, not everyone 293 was supplementing with vitamin B12 which is found mainly in animal products. To explain 294 this, it is possible individuals focused more on diet, to obtain specific nutrients from food, 295 rather than using supplements. However, considering the vegan dietary patterns revealed in 296 this study, another possible explanation could be that some vegans are not focusing on the 297 nutritional quality of their diet. By way of illustration, less than half of the vegans in this 298 study irrespective of motivation for veganism were supplementing their diet with key 299 micronutrients such as iodine, iron, calcium, zinc, selenium and omega-3. This suggests 300 some vegan dietary patterns are not conducive to achieving recommended nutritional 301 requirements. This is a particularly important question due to the vegan diet emerging as one of the most popular diet searches according to google trend ⁽⁴⁾ 302

303 The third component of factor analysis constituted alcohol, vegan takeaways, and salt. 304 This component was comparable to an 'unhealthy' 'takeaway' dietary pattern that 305 comprises processed meat alternatives that can still be high in salt. In this study 36% of 306 respondents were aged 18-24 years limiting the generalisability of the patterns but 307 perhaps this pattern could be related to student lifestyles. Although the respondents were 308 not asked if they were students, previous studies have reported that students often have poor diets and binge drink alcohol, increasing their risk of disease ^(50; 51). The fourth 309 310 component of factor analysis identified a 'traditional' vegan dietary pattern accounting 311 for 7% of total variance. Contrary to expectations, this pattern had the lowest variance in 312 comparison to the other three. This pattern is in line with the typical vegan definition. 313 The Vegan Society highlights that vegans follow strictly plant based diets which exclude all animal products ⁽¹⁰⁾. Yet, the small variance reflected from this pattern suggests that 314 315 with the rise in vegan products, fewer vegans are following traditional vegan approaches, potentially compromising the nutritional quality of their diet. Espinosa-Marrón et al. (52) 316 317 supports this concept by acknowledging changes in eating habits and food availability will affect the dietary choices that vegans make. 318

320

319 The Vegan Food industry

A growing appetite for vegan foods has now gained the attention of the food industry. It is estimated the global value of meat alternative products will reach over £22 billion by 2023 (⁵³⁾. Our pattern analyses clearly indicates the vegan food industry is impacting vegan dietary choices. According to Fardet and Boirie ⁽⁵⁴⁾ the health benefits of plant-based diets are closely associated to the fact that such foods require the least amount of processing. In contrast, factor analysis in this study found the main vegan dietary pattern was a convenience, ultra-processed diet. Similarly, the third pattern identified, represents unhealthy lifestyle behaviours featuring alcohol, takeaways and salt. Cluster analysis reveals
clusters one and two (27% and 22% of the sample respectively) comprised of foods such as
sauces, condiments, fats, processed meat alternatives and convenience foods. Together these
findings are particularly concerning as they raise questions regarding the impact of ultraprocessed foods on the quality of some vegan diets.

333 Despite the growing number of people choosing to follow a vegan diet, there are still no 334 specific official dietary guidelines for vegans in the UK. The Vegan Eatwell Guide is a 335 relatively new resource that provides additional supportive information reinforcing key 336 considerations for planning the diet. However, the unexpected findings from this study do 337 not represent the Vegan Eatwell guide. Our cluster analysis showed, although cluster three 338 (health conscious) represented most of the participants (51%) and was made up of an array of healthy foods such as fruit, meat alternatives and vegetables it did not consist of foods 339 340 from each of the main food groups. For example, dairy alternative items did not factor at all 341 in this cluster. The main dietary patterns presented in this study depict diets high in 342 processed meat alternatives such as vegan burgers, nuggets, sausage rolls in contrast to 343 natural plant based proteins such as pulses, soya and tofu, which are recommended on the 344 Vegan Eatwell guide.

345

346 Potential Concerns within Vegan Dietary Patterns

347

Although full nutritional analysis was not conducted in this study, the findings from the factor and cluster analysis suggests some vegan diets are poorly constructed. Within this study, these findings warrant concern that some vegans may be at potential risk of nutritional deficiencies. Respondents were often on more than one supplement although exact intakes were not recorded. The analysis revealed 68% were supplementing one or more of the main nutrients of concern⁽⁹⁾ representing vitamin B12 (42%), vitamin D (14%), omega-3 (12%) iodine (26%),
Iron (19%) calcium (15%) zinc and selenium (8%) respectively.

355

356 Dairy alternatives were the only identified food group with potential to enhance B12 intakes; 357 however, they only featured in the first identified dietary pattern for factor analysis and in the 358 second cluster which represented only 22% of the sample. Previous research has established that vegans consume sufficient amounts of dietary iron, which prevents anaemia⁽⁵⁵⁾. Food 359 groups that could provide iron in the vegan diet include vegetables, protein alternatives to 360 361 meat/fish and refined (incorporating fortified white flour) grains ^(55; 56), however these groups 362 did not feature highly in any of identified clusters. The factor analysis also showed none of the identified patterns featured all of these food groups. Adequate consumption of fortified plant 363 364 milks and soya products such as yoghurt can help vegans to meet dietary requirements for 365 calcium, therefore dietary adaptations are an important consideration to support bone health ⁽²⁷⁾. Dairy alternatives were a component in the 'convenience' factor analysis dietary pattern 366 367 but not the other three and did not have a high predictor of importance for in the cluster analysis. 368 This also has potential implications for iodine status in vegans. Cow's milk is one of the best 369 sources of iodine in the UK diet; however, with plant-based milks more popular than ever before, the UK population are at risk of mild iodine deficiency ^(57; 58). Vegans fitting the 370 371 'convenience' dietary pattern did incorporate dairy alternatives, thus potentially meeting iodine 372 requirements. However, the alternative vegan dietary patterns warrant concern as they are all 373 absent of dairy substitutes. This is particularly alarming as the majority of participants in this 374 study were young females, who are thought to be particularly at risk of iodine deficiency in the UK ⁽⁵⁹⁾. 375

The dietary patterns and clusters 'convenience' and 'unhealthy' revealed in this study also warrant concern for omega-3 status in vegans. It has been reported in the USA that some processed foods, meat substitutes and salad dressings have high quantities of omega-6 linolenic acid present, which could further impair omega-3 status ⁽⁶⁰⁾. Thus, nutritional data about the processed vegan products that have recently launched in the UK are urgently required.

In contrast to earlier findings, the dietary patterns found in this study suggest some vegan diets are highly processed with lower intakes of natural vegan foods. This is an important consideration especially as evidence reveals the level of processing can affect the nutritional quality of a food ^(61; 62). In light of the increasing numbers of people choosing to follow a vegan diet and the availability of ultra-processed vegan food in the market our findings suggest future studies examining vegan dietary patterns that incorporate nutritional and blood analysis into the study design should be a priority.

388 Strengths & Limitations

389

390 The evolution of a vegan diet when adapted to replace all animal foods with plant based sources 391 is important. This study is among the first to research the vegan diet specifically, identifying 392 recent dietary patterns in a UK vegan cohort. It is unique for its distribution technique of social 393 media, effectively recruiting a convenience sample to complete the FFQ. Vegan adaptation of 394 the validated EPIC FFQ allowed participants to select from over 150 food items with a wide 395 range of plant based meat and dairy alternatives represented. Participants had the option to 396 select 'other' ensuring a wide range of vegan food and drink items were captured. However, 397 some limitations must be considered. The current analyses was based on a small convenience 398 sample of 129 vegans, recruited through social media, which may affect the validity of the 399 results. The recruitment phase was limited as the Covid 19 pandemic emerged in the UK. The 400 research team were redeployed from their usual roles and a decision was made to stop recruiting

401 to the study to ensure sufficient time to analyse the data. To address demographical limitations, 402 future studies should aim to increase the diversity of participants across gender and ethnicity, 403 amend the inclusion criteria to vegans who have followed the diet for longer than 12 months 404 and include more sociodemographical questions. Although the steps were taken to validate the 405 adapted FFQ, further measures may help to enhance validity. Adapted FFQs are not compatible 406 with Food Frequency Questionnaire European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and 407 Nutrition Tool for Analysis (FETA) software, therefore it may be more appropriate in future 408 studies to ask a subsample of participants to complete a 3 day 24 hour weighed multiple pass 409 recall (24hr MPR) outlining typical portion sizes to validate the FFQ responses⁽⁶³⁾. This would 410 allow future nutritional analysis similar to the work carried out in other studies ^(23; 39). It would 411 have been interesting to perform blood analysis on the participants to compare the nutritional 412 status within each of the identified dietary patterns. Future research utilising interviews could 413 also explore why vegans eat what they do providing a more in depth insight into current vegan 414 dietary patterns.

415 In conclusion, this study is the first to highlight the necessity of further investigations into 416 vegan dietary patterns, particularly as there may be newly emerging dietary patterns that 417 conflict with traditional vegan dietary patterns. If vegan dietary patterns are changing, it is 418 prudent to consider the implications these new dietary choices may be having on health. Factor 419 analysis identified four patterns within the vegan diet: 1) convenience, 2) health conscious, 3) 420 unhealthy and 4) traditional in a cohort of 129 vegans. Whilst two healthy patterns were 421 defined, the convenience pattern was the most identifiable pattern with a prominence of vegan 422 convenience meals and snacks, vegan sweets and desserts, sauces, condiments and fats. Cluster 423 analysis further strengthens these findings by confirming, that like the dietary patterns, the most 424 predominant clusters consisted of an array of processed vegan food items. The association 425 between these processed products is noteworthy considering the growth of veganism and the 426 food industry's response to this by providing a rapid rise in the production of vegan products.

427 Future research has potential to further verify our findings by collecting a proportion of 428 weighed 24 hr MPRs from participants to determine exact portion sizes before undertaking 429 nutritional analysis, following factor and cluster analysis. This research is a starting point but 430 does raise some interesting questions regarding vegan dietary patterns while the vegan food 431 industry continues to grow. The findings from this small study have potential to shape and 432 influence future vegan research. This novel study highlights the need for further vegan dietary 433 pattern analysis studies that include nutritional and metabolic evaluation, particularly well-434 powered multicentre studies to ratify these results.

-

435

437 **1 References**

- 438 1. The Vegan Society (2019) Vegan & Thriving. <u>https://www.vegansociety.com/take-</u>
 439 <u>action/campaigns/vegan-thriving</u> (accessed 09th November 2019
- 440 2. The Vegan Society (2019) Statistics. <u>https://www.vegansociety.com/news/media/statistics</u>
 441 (accessed 09th November 2019)
- 442 3. Statista (2019) Share of young adults who are vegetarian or vegan in selected European countries
- in 2017. <u>https://www.statista.com/statistics/768475/vegetarianism-and-veganism-among-young-</u>
 adults-in-selected-european-countries/ (accessed 09th November 2019
- 445 4. Kamiński M, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Nowak JK *et al.* (2020) Global and local diet popularity 446 rankings, their secular trends, and seasonal variation in Google Trends data. *Nutrition* **79-80**, 447 110759.
- 5. MINTEL PRESS OFFICE (2019) Veganuary: UK overtakes Germany as world's leader for vegan
 food launches. <u>https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/food-and-drink/veganuary-uk-overtakes-</u>
 acrement as worlds leader for vegan food launches (accessed 25th April 2010)
- 450 germany-as-worlds-leader-for-vegan-food-launches (accessed 25th April 2019
- 451 6. Veganuary (2021) Veganuary 2021 is biggest yet and growing every 3 seconds. (accessed
 452 08/02/2021
- 453 7. Orlich MJ, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Sabaté J *et al.* (2014) Patterns of food consumption among 454 vegetarians and non-vegetarians. *Br J Nutr* **112**, 1644-1653.
- 455 8. British Dietetic Association (2017) British Dietetic Association confirms well planned vegan diets
- 456 can support healthy living in people of all ages. <u>https://www.bda.uk.com/news/view?id=179</u>
 457 (accessed 12th November 2019
- 458 9. Craig WJ (2009) Health effects of vegan diets. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, 1627S.
- 459 10. The Vegan Society (2019) Definition of Veganism. <u>https://www.vegansociety.com/go-</u>
 460 <u>vegan/definition-veganism</u> (accessed 14th August 2019
- 461 11. Bellard A (2020) Plant-based vs Plant-processed Protein Sources. *CN-Focus* **12**, 50-52.
- 462 12. Moubarac J-C, Parra DC, Cannon G *et al.* (2014) Food classification systems based on food 463 processing: significance and implications for policies and actions: a systematic literature review and
- 464 assessment. Curr Obes Rep 3, 256-272.
- 465 13. Gibney MJ (2018) Ultra-Processed Foods: Definitions and Policy Issues. *Curr Dev Nutr* 3, nzy077-nzy077.
- 467 14. Monteiro CA, Moubarac JC, Levy RB *et al.* (2018) Household availability of ultra-processed
 468 foods and obesity in nineteen European countries. *Public Health Nutr* 21, 18-26.
- 469 15. Dyett PA, Sabaté J, Haddad E *et al.* (2013) Vegan lifestyle behaviors. An exploration of congruence with health-related beliefs and assessed health indices. *Appetite* **67**, 119-124.
- 471 16. Piccoli G, Clari R, Vigotti F *et al.* (2015) Vegan–vegetarian diets in pregnancy: danger or 472 panacea? A systematic narrative review. *BJOG* **122**, 623-633.
- 473 17. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Rosell MS (2006) Health effects of vegetarian and vegan diets. *Proc Nutr*474 Soc 65, 35-41.
- 475 18. Kwok CS, Umar S, Myint PK *et al.* (2014) Vegetarian diet, Seventh Day Adventists and risk of
 476 cardiovascular mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 176, 680-686.
- 47719.Mintel(2019)M&Smakesveganwinepledge.478https://reports.mintel.com/sinatra/oxygen/display/id=985370 (accessed 20th February 2020
- 479 20. Mintel (2019) Vegan Chocolate Moves From Margins To Mainstream. 480 <u>https://www.mintel.com/blog/food-market-news/vegan-chocolate-moves-from-margins-to-</u>
- 481 <u>mainstream</u> (accessed 25th April 2020
- 482 21. Falcao A (2020) Veganuary supports growing trends towards veganism 30th January 2020.
- 483 <u>https://reports.mintel.com/display/1000344/?fromSearch=%3Ffreetext%3Dconsumption%2520of</u>

484 <u>%2520healthier%2520food%2520and%2520drinks%2520even%2520in%2520fast%2520food</u>

- 485 (accessed 25th March 2020
- 486 22. Dinu M, Abbate R, Gensini GF et al. (2017) Vegetarian, vegan diets and multiple health
- 487 outcomes: A systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*
- **488 57**, 3640-3649.

- 489 23. Clarys P, Deliens T, Deliens, Huybrechts I et al. (2014) Comparison of Nutritional Quality of
- the Vegan, Vegetarian, Semi-Vegetarian, Pesco-Vegetarian and Omnivorous Diet. *Nutrients* 6, 1318-1332.
- 492 24. Orlich MJ, Fraser GE (2014) Vegetarian diets in the Adventist Health Study 2: a review of initial
 493 published findings. *Am J Clin Nutr* 100, 353S-358S.
- 494 25. Auestad N, Fulgoni VL (2015) What current literature tells us about sustainable diets: emerging
- 495 research linking dietary patterns, environmental sustainability, and economics. *Adv Nutr* **6**, 19-36.
- 496 26. Clarys P, Deriemaeker P, Huybrechts I *et al.* (2013) Dietary pattern analysis: a comparison 497 between matched vegetarian and omnivorous subjects. *Nutrition Journal* **12**, 82.
- 498 27. Harland J, Garton L (2015) White paper- The Plant -based Plan : Alpro Foundation. 499 https://www.alprofoundation.org/files/White-Paper.pdf (accessed 12th November 2019
- 500 28. Liverpool John Moores University (2020) Online Surveys. <u>https://www.ljmu.ac.uk/itservices/it-</u>
 501 <u>service-catalogue/online-surveys</u> (accessed 03rd January 2020
- 502 29. Cade JE, Burley VJ, Warm DL *et al.* (2004) Food-frequency questionnaires: a review of their 503 design, validation and utilisation. *Nutr Res Rev* **17**, 5-22.
- 504 30. Dyett P, Rajaram S, Haddad EH *et al.* (2014) Evaluation of a validated food frequency 505 questionnaire for self-defined vegans in the United States. *Nutrients* **6**, 2523-2539.
- 506 31. Greenebaum J (2012) Veganism, Identity and the Quest for Authenticity. *Food Cult Soc* 15, 129507 144.
- 508 32. Smith ADAC, Emmett PM, Newby PK et al. (2011) A comparison of dietary patterns derived
- 509 by cluster and principal components analysis in a UK cohort of children. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **65**, 1102-510 1109.
- 511 33. Thorpe MG, Milte CM, Crawford D et al. (2016) A comparison of the dietary patterns derived
- by principal component analysis and cluster analysis in older Australians. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **13**, 30.
- 514 34. Ashby-Mitchell K, Peeters A, Anstey KJ (2015) Role of dietary pattern analysis in determining 515 cognitive status in elderly Australian adults. *Nutrients* **7**, 1052-1067.
- 516 35. Pallant J (2010) SPSS survival manual : a step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS. 4th ed.
- 517 ed. Maidenhead: Maidenhead : McGraw Hill/Open University Press.
- 518 36. Cunha DB, Almeida RMVRd, Pereira RA (2010) A comparison of three statistical methods 519 applied in the identification of eating patterns. *Cad Saude Publica* **26**, 2138-2148.
- 520 37. Newby PK, Tucker KL (2004) Empirically Derived Eating Patterns Using Factor or Cluster 521 Analysis: A Review. *Nutr Rev* 62, 177-203.
- 522 38. Field AP (2018) *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. Fifth edition. ed: Los Angeles
 523 : SAGE.
- 524 39. Janssen HG, Davies IG, Richardson L *et al.* (2018) Dietary patterns of takeaway (fast) food 525 consumers in the North West of England: A Pilot study. *Proc Nutr Soc* **77**, E18.
- 526 40. Roberts K, Cade J, Dawson J et al. (2018) Empirically Derived Dietary Patterns in UK Adults
- 527 Are Associated with Sociodemographic Characteristics, Lifestyle, and Diet Quality. *Nutrients* **10**, 528 177.
- 529 41. Northstone K, Emmett P, Rogers I (2008) Dietary patterns in pregnancy and associations with 530 socio-demographic and lifestyle factors. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **62**, 471-479.
- 42. Laerd Statistics (2020) Learn how to use SPSS Statistics to analyse your data.
 https://statistics.laerd.com/features-spss-procedure.php#tests (accessed 20th June 2020
- 533 43. Ferreira L, Hitchcock DB (2009) A Comparison of Hierarchical Methods for Clustering
 534 Functional Data. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation* 38, 1925-1949.
- 44. Barlett MS (1954) A note on the multiplying factors for various chi square approximations. *J R Stat Soc* 16 296-298.
- 537 45. Heiss S, Coffino JA, Hormes JM (2017) Eating and health behaviors in vegans compared to
- 538 omnivores: Dispelling common myths. *Appetite* **118**, 129-135.
- 539 46. Schüpbach R, Wegmüller R, Berguerand C *et al.* (2017) Micronutrient status and intake in 540 omnivores, vegetarians and vegans in Switzerland. *Eur J Nutr* **56**, 283-293.
- 541 47. Bradbury KE, Tong TYN, Key TJ (2017) Dietary Intake of High-Protein Foods and Other Major
- 542 Foods in Meat-Eaters, Poultry-Eaters, Fish-Eaters, Vegetarians, and Vegans in UK Biobank.
- 543 *Nutrients* **9**, 1317.

- 544 48. Fuentes C, Fuentes M (2017) Making a market for alternatives: marketing devices and the 545 qualification of a vegan milk substitute. *J Mark Manag* **33**, 529-555.
- 546 49. Jaacks LM, Kapoor D, Singh K *et al.* (2016) Vegetarianism and cardiometabolic disease risk 547 factors: Differences between South Asian and US adults. *Nutrition* **32**, 975-984.
- 548 50. Bennasar-Veny M, Yañez AM, Pericas J *et al.* (2020) Cluster Analysis of Health-Related 549 Lifestyles in University Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **17**, 1776.
- 550 51. Hultgren BA, Turrisi R, Cleveland MJ et al. (2019) Transitions in drinking behaviors across the
- 551 college years: A latent transition analysis. *Addict Behav* **92**, 108-114.
- 552 52. Espinosa-Marrón A, Nuñez-Issac O, Villaseñor-Espinosa MF *et al.* (2019) Nutritional
 553 Imbalances in a Mexican Vegan Community: A Comparative Pilot Study 1.
- 554 53. Mintel (2018) More than half of all meat free new product launches in the UK carried a vegan
- claim in 2017. <u>https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/food-and-drink/more-than-half-of-all-meat-</u>
 free-new-product-launches-in-the-uk-carry-a-vegan-claim-1 (accessed 25th May 2020
- 556 <u>free-new-product-launches-in-the-uk-carry-a-vegan-claim-1</u> (accessed 25th May 2020
 557 54. Fardet A, Boirie Y (2014) Associations between food and beverage groups and major diet-rel
- 54. Fardet A, Boirie Y (2014) Associations between food and beverage groups and major diet-related
 chronic diseases: an exhaustive review of pooled/meta-analyses and systematic reviews. *Nutr Rev*72, 741-762.
- 560 55. Waldmann A, Koschizke JW, Leitzmann C *et al.* (2004) Dietary Iron Intake and Iron Status of
- 561 German Female Vegans: Results of the German Vegan Study. *Ann Nutr Metab* **48**, 103-108.
- 562 56. UK Legislation (1998) The Bread and Flour Regulations, vol. 141 [FaF UK Minister of
 563 Agriculture, the Secretary of State for Health and the Secretary of State for Wales, , editor]. London:
 564 Statutary Instruments.
- 565 57. Bath SC, Hill S, Infante HG *et al.* (2017) Iodine concentration of milk-alternative drinks available in the UK in comparison with cows' milk. *B J Nutr* **118**, 525-532.
- 567 58. Vanderpump MP, Lazarus JH, Smyth PP *et al.* (2011) Iodine status of UK schoolgirls: a cross-568 sectional survey. *Lancet* **377**, 2007-2012.
- 569 Bath S (2018)iodine deficiency 59. in the UK -dietetic implications 570 https://www.bda.uk.com/resource/iodine-deficiency-in-the-uk-dietetic-implications.html (accessed 571 22nd July 2020
- 572 60. Burns-Whitmore B, Froyen E, Heskey C *et al.* (2019) Alpha-Linolenic and Linoleic Fatty Acids
- 572 60. Burns-winning B, Froyen E, Heskey C et al. (2019) Appla-Enforcement and Enforce Party Acids
 573 in the Vegan Diet: Do They Require Dietary Reference Intake/Adequate Intake Special
 574 Consideration? Nutrients 11, 2365.
- 575 61. Frontela C, Ros G, Martínez C (2011) Phytic acid content and "in vitro" iron, calcium and zinc 576 bioavailability in bakery products: The effect of processing. *J Cereal Sci* **54**, 173-179.
- 577 62. Fardet A (2017) 16 New Concepts and Paradigms for the Protective Effects of Plant-Based 578 Food Components in Relation to Food Complexity. In *Vegetarian and Plant-Based Diets in Health*
- 579 *and Disease Prevention*, pp. 293-312 [F Mariotti, editor]. London: Academic Press.
- 580 63. Adamson AJ, Collerton J, Davies K et al. (2009) Nutrition in advanced age: dietary assessment
- 581 in the Newcastle 85+ study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **63 Suppl 1**, S6-18.
- 582

Table 1. Food groups and food items included in the analysis of the FFQ cohort

Food groups 1	Food groups 2 (Variables)	Definition and content
1. Legumes & Nuts 2. Meat Alternatives	1. Protein alternatives to meat & fish	Soya, Tempeh, Tofu, silken tofu, lentils, pulses, nuts, falafel
3.Meat-free processedalternatives4. Fish alternatives	2. Processed Meat Alternatives	Vegan nuggets, burgers, bacon, sausage, no fish fingers, ham slices, turkey slices, chicken slices, meat free mince, vegan chorizo
 5. Vegan Sandwiches 6. Vegan Wraps 7. Ready-prepared foods 	 Convenience Meals & Snacks 	Garlic bread, pizza, sausage rolls, chips, ready prepared mash, selection of pre made vegan sandwiches & wraps, ready meals, Not-zarrella sticks, French fries
 8. Fresh Fruit 9. Tinned Fruit 10. Dried Fruit 	4. Fruit	Apples, pears, oranges, grapefruit, bananas, grapes, melon, peaches, strawberries, avocado, tinned fruit, dried fruit
11. Vegetables12. Soup	5. Vegetables	Carrots, spinach, broccoli, Brussel sprouts, cabbage, peas, green beans, courgettes, cauliflower, parsnips, leeks, onions, garlic, mushrooms, sweet peppers, beansprouts, green salad, mixed vegetables, watercress, tomatoes, sweetcorn, beetroot, coleslaw, vegetable soup, rainbow rice
13. Starchy Carbohydrates:	6. Refined grains	White bread, scones, crackers, pitta, sugary cereal, plain cereal, white rice, pasta, tinned pasta, noodles, lasagne, cereals (except high fibre options)
14.High-FibreCarbohydrates:	7. Wholegrains	Brown bread, wholemeal bread, porridge, all bran, wholegrain cereals, brown rice, wholemeal pasta, wild rice
15. White potatoes16. Sweet potatoes	8. Potatoes	Boiled potatoes, roast potatoes, sweet potatoes, homemade mash, baked potatoes, baby potatoes
17. Plant based Milks18. Vegan Cheese19. Vegan Yoghurts	9. Dairy Alternatives	Oat milk, soya milk, almond milk, rice milk, hazelnut milk, coconut milk hemp, pea milk, Nutritional yeast, vegan hard cheese, Yoghurt alternatives,
20. Fats and oils	10. Fats and oils	Vegan butter spreads, pesto, peanut butter, olive oil, sunflower oil, coconut oil, avocado oil, canola oil, sunflower ghee, rapeseed oil, fry light
21. Cakes & Biscuits	11. Vegan cakes & Biscuits	Cookies, Digestive twists, bourbons, Lotus Biscoff, vegan sponge cake, vegan cereal bars, party ring minis, granola bars,

22. Sweets and desserts	12. Vegan Sweets & Desserts	Fudge, cheesecake pots, chocolate mousse pots, dark chocolate, non-dairy ice cream, churros, star burst sweets,		
23. Vegan crisps	13. Vegan Crisps	Lentil Chips, Kettle chips, walkers, tortilla chips, vegetable chips, pretzel bites		
24. Sauces & condiments	14. Sauces and condiments	BBQ sauce, cheese sauce, Red lasagne sauce, free from sauce, olive oil, vegetable oils, seeds, tahini, vegetable pates, mayonnaise, hummus, chocolate spread, coleslaw, potato salad		
25. Salt	15. Salt	All added salts		
26. Alcohol	16. Alcohol	Vegan friendly alcohols		
27. Vegan Takeaway	17. Vegan Takeaway	From fast food outlets providing vegan options		
28. Cooking	18. Cooking From Scratch	Additional question to help with establishing vegan patterns		
29. Recipes Used	19. Creating own recipes	Additional question to help with establishing vegan patterns		
30. Use of Vegan Brands	20. Purchasing Vegan Brands	Additional question to help with establishing vegan patterns		

	Sample (n = 129)	Percent %
Age Group		
18-24	47	36
25-39	45	35
40-59	34	26
60-64	3	2
Sex		
Female	113	88
Male	16	13
Reason for adopting a vegan lifestyle		
Health	4	3
Environmental reasons	8	6
Health & environment	6	5
Animal Welfare	28	22
Health & Animal	7	5
Environment & Animal	20	16
Health, Environment & Animal	56	43
Length of time following a vegan diet		
Less than 6 months	22	17
6-12 months	10	8
1-3 years	53	41
4-10 years	30	23
Over 10 years	14	11
Taking Nutritional Supplements		
Vitamin B12	88	68
Vitamin D	54	42
Omega-3	18	14
Iodine	16	12
Iron	33	26
Calcium	25	19
Zinc	19	15
Selenium	10	8
Other	18	13

590 Table 3: Dietary Patterns derived from factor analysis

591

Component Matrix displaying factor loadings

	Component					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Vegan Sauces &	.700					
Condiments						
Vegan Sweets & Desserts	.671	381				
Fruit	.627			.326		
Fats & Oils	.615			385		
Vegan Convenience Meals & Snacks	.612	376				
Vegan Biscuits & Cakes	.557	355				.343
Refined Grains	.548			.361		
Dairy Alternatives	.542			402		
Protein Alternatives To	.514	.335				
Meat/Fish						
Processed Meat Alternatives	.497	414			.476	
Vegetables	.467	.461		.320		
Cooking From Scratch		.827				
Creating Your Own Recipe		.752				
Salt			.765			
Takeaways	.336		.558			
Alcohol			.496			.487
Potatoes	.494		384	.506		
Purchasing Vegan Brands			.343		.553	401
Vegan Crisps	.398				507	
Whole Grains	.341		302		.339	.518

Extraction Method: Principal Component Method.

592

Cluster	3	1	2
Label	Health	Unhealthy/convenien	Traditional/convenien
	conscious	ce	ce
Descriptio	Excluding most	Reliance on processed	Mainly consuming a
n	processed	foods minimal	traditional vegan diet
	products.	preparation	but now trying some of
	Fruit/veg/protei	propulation	the new vegan products
	n, alternatives		on the market
	to meat /fish &		
	refined grains.		
	Highest in		
	cooking from		
	scratch		
Size of	n=65, 51.2%	n=34, 26.8%	n=28, 22.0%
sample			
Input	Inputs		
(predictor	(mean)		
)			
importanc e			
1.00	Vegan Sauces	Vegan Sauces and	Vegan Sauces and
	and Condiments	Condiments	Condiments
	7.28	8.82	15.57
0.93	Vegan Sweets	Vegan Sweets and	Vegan Sweets and
	and desserts	desserts	desserts
	2.91	5.50	8.21
0.69	Vegan	Vegan Convenience	Vegan Convenience
	Convenience	Meals & Snacks	Meals & Snacks
	Meals & Snacks	8.74	10.79
	4.45		
0.68	Fats and oils 14.03	Fats and oils 13.18	Fats and oils 21.29
0.59	Vegan biscuits	Vegan biscuits and	Vegan biscuits and
0.59	and cakes	cakes	cakes
	0.78	2.44	3.46
0.52	Vegan crisps	Vegan crisps	Vegan crisps
0.02	1.80	3.91	3.89
0.51	Creating Your	Creating Your Own	Creating Your Own
	Own Recipe	Recipe	Recipe
	2.78	1.26	3.68
0.47	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit
	13.08	18.00	23.11
0.35	Vegetables	Vegetables	Vegetables
0.31	32.26	29.32	39.75
0.51	Dairy alternatives	Dairy alternatives 3.32	Dairy alternatives 5.86
	3.28	5.54	5.00
0.29	Cooking from	Cooking from scratch	Cooking from scratch
	scratch	3.29	4.71
	4.43		
0.26	Refined grains	Refined grains	Refined grains
	5.06	6.56	8.18
0.25	Potatoes	Potatoes	Potatoes
	3.63	3.97	5.50
0.23	Potatoes	Potatoes	Potatoes

	3.63	3.97	5.50
0.23	Alcohol	Alcohol	Alcohol
	1.54	2.71	1.00
0.21	Protein alternatives to meat/fish 13.08	Protein alternatives to meat/fish 12.47	Protein alternatives to meat/fish17.07
0.17	Takeaways 0.80	Takeaways 1.09	Takeaways 1.50
0.15	Processed meat alternatives 4.46	Processed meat alternatives 6.09	Processed meat alternatives 6.50
0.08	Whole grains 3.25	Whole grains 3.53	Whole grains 4.82
0.06	Salt 2.88	Salt 3.21	Salt 3.89
0.03	Purchasing vegan Brands 2.57	Purchasing vegan Brands 2.91	Purchasing vegan Brands 2.71