



## LJMU Research Online

Parkinson, JA, Plummer, TW, Oliver, JS and Bishop, LC

**Meat on the menu: GIS spatial distribution analysis of bone surface damage indicates that Oldowan hominins at Kanjera South, Kenya had early access to carcasses**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/15923/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Parkinson, JA, Plummer, TW, Oliver, JS and Bishop, LC (2021) Meat on the menu: GIS spatial distribution analysis of bone surface damage indicates that Oldowan hominins at Kanjera South, Kenya had early access to carcasses. Quaternary Science Reviews: the international multidisciplinary**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Meat on the menu: GIS spatial distribution analysis of bone surface damage indicates that**  
2 **Oldowan hominins at Kanjera South, Kenya had early access to carcasses**

3  
4 Jennifer A. Parkinson <sup>a\*</sup>, Thomas W. Plummer <sup>b</sup>, James S. Oliver <sup>c</sup>, Laura C. Bishop <sup>d,e</sup>

5  
6  
7 <sup>a</sup> Department of Anthropology, University of San Diego, 5998 Alcalá Park, San Diego, CA 92110, USA,  
8 jparkinson@sandiego.edu

9  
10 <sup>b</sup> Department of Anthropology, Queens College, CUNY, the CUNY Graduate Center, and NYCEP, 65-30 Kissena  
11 Blvd, Flushing, NY 11367, USA

12  
13 <sup>c</sup> Anthropology Section, Illinois State Museum - Research & Collections Center, 1011 East Ash St., Springfield IL  
14 62703, USA

15  
16 <sup>d</sup> The Sino-British College, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 1195 Middle Fuxing Road,  
17 Shanghai 20031, PR China

18  
19 <sup>e</sup> Research Centre in Evolutionary Anthropology and Palaeoecology, School of Biological and Environmental  
20 Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, James Parsons Building, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

21  
22 \* Corresponding author

23  
24 **ABSTRACT**

25 The shift to increased meat consumption is one of the major adaptive changes in hominin dietary  
26 evolution. Although meat eating by Oldowan hominins is well evidenced at Pleistocene  
27 archaeological sites in eastern Africa by butchery marks on bones, the methods through which  
28 carcasses were acquired (i.e., hunting vs. scavenging) and extent of their completeness (fleshed  
29 vs. defleshed) is less certain. This study addresses these issues through a geographic information  
30 systems (GIS) comparative analysis of bone modification patterns created by hominins and  
31 carnivores observed in the ca. 2.0 Ma assemblage from Kanjera South, Kenya and those of  
32 several modern, experimentally modified bone assemblages. Comparison of GIS-generated  
33 models shows that the pattern of bone preservation at Kanjera South is similar to that found in  
34 experimental bone assemblages that were first butchered and hammerstone fractured by humans,  
35 and subsequently scavenged by carnivores. The distribution of bone modifications on the

36 Kanjera fauna also suggests hominins had early access to small bovids. Butchery marks appear  
37 almost exclusively in ‘hot zones’—areas where flesh does not typically survive lion  
38 consumption—further suggesting Kanjera hominins were not scavenging carnivore kills. Our  
39 findings support previous claims that the Kanjera assemblage offers the earliest clear evidence of  
40 repeated butchery of antelope carcasses by Early Stone Age ( Oldowan) hominins and perhaps  
41 the earliest evidence for hunting. Kanjera carnivore damage frequencies are lower than those  
42 reported for the slightly younger site of FLK Zinj (Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania), suggesting  
43 differing competitive regimes at the two sites.

44

45 **Keywords:** FLK Zinj; Hunting; Scavenging; Taphonomy; Carnivores; Butchery

46

## 47 **1. Introduction**

48 Understanding the diet and subsistence behaviors of Early Stone Age (Oldowan)  
49 hominins is central to a broader understanding of early hominin behavioral ecology. As a  
50 calorically dense resource that provides protein, fat, and many micronutrients, the importance of  
51 animal tissue in the diet and its method of acquisition are of particular interest. Early evidence  
52 for meat eating is documented by infrequent occurrences of cut marked bone from the Ethiopian  
53 locality of Gona (2.6 Ma; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2005) as well as Ain Boucherit, Algeria  
54 (2.4 Ma; Sahnouni et al., 2018). More controversial evidence comes from Dikika at ca. 3.4 Ma  
55 (McPherron et al, 2010; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2010) and Bouri, ca. 2.5 Ma, Ethiopia (de  
56 Heinzelin et al., 1999; Sahle et al., 2017). These limited occurrences make it unclear how  
57 frequent meat-eating was in the early Oldowan (Plummer and Finestone, 2018). After 2 Ma there  
58 seems to be more consistent evidence of meat eating at sites such as Kanjera South, Kenya

59 (Ferraro et al., 2013); FLK Zinj and DK, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania; (Potts, 1988; Oliver, 1994;  
60 Blumenschine, 1995; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2007a); FwJj20, Koobi Fora (McCoy, 2009;  
61 Braun et al., 2010) and other sites in East Turkana, Kenya (Pobiner et al., 2008). This evidence  
62 for a shift toward including a greater amount of animal tissue in the diet occurs at a critical time  
63 in hominin evolution and is roughly coeval with the appearance of larger bodied *Homo*  
64 (Plummer and Finestone, 2018; Swedell and Plummer, 2019), although it overlaps with the  
65 presence of *Paranthropus* as well.

66 Inclusion of animal tissue in the early hominin diet has been tied to a range of biological  
67 adaptations including brain enlargement, increased body mass, tooth size reduction, decreased  
68 gut size (e.g., Aiello and Wheeler, 1995; Aiello and Wells, 2002; Haeusler and McHenry, 2004;  
69 Pontzer, 2012), as well as socioecological adaptations including food sharing, changes in group  
70 structure, and hominin ability to compete with carnivores for food resources (e.g., Isaac, 1978;  
71 Oliver, 1994, 2015; Rose, 2001; see Plummer, 2004 for a review of the importance of meat in the  
72 hominin diet). Evaluation of the strength of this relationship, particularly socioecological  
73 adaptations tied to meat eating requires knowledge of how meat was acquired and the extent  
74 to which hominins competed with carnivores over this resource. Current hypotheses argue for  
75 various modes of carcass acquisition by hominins including hunting (Domínguez-Rodrigo and  
76 Pickering, 2003; Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba, 2006; Bunn and Pickering, 2010); active  
77 scavenging, where hominins drove carnivores away from fresh kills (Bunn and Ezzo, 1993;  
78 Bunn, 2001); or passive scavenging, where hominins acquired parts late in the consumption  
79 sequence of a carcass (Blumenschine, 1988, 1995; Pante et al., 2012; Pobiner, 2015). Patterns of  
80 hominin-produced butchery marks and carnivore gnaw marks on fossil mammalian remains  
81 provide insight into these activities. However, our current understanding is largely limited to

82 analyses of hominin and carnivore damages from a single archaeological site with a large fossil  
83 assemblage—the 1.8 Ma site of FLK Zinj, Bed I, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania.

84         The 2.0 Ma Oldowan site of Kanjera South (KJS), Kenya comprises a well-preserved  
85 fossil assemblage larger than the FLK Zinj assemblage and offers an opportunity to build on our  
86 understanding of hominin carcass acquisition. Previous work has documented element  
87 representation, butchery and carnivore tooth mark frequencies, and mortality patterns indicating  
88 Kanjera hominins had early access to bovid carcasses (Ferraro et al., 2013; Oliver et al., 2019).  
89 Here we apply a GIS-based method to analyze the spatial distribution of bone modification  
90 patterns on limb elements. Using this method, we superimpose GIS shapefiles of each bone  
91 fragment, which results in a composite image of bone preservation and fragmentation for each  
92 element in an assemblage. We also examine the distribution of carnivore tooth marks and  
93 butchery marks by plotting them onto element “maps.” We use modern carnivore-gnawed  
94 assemblages created under controlled conditions as a baseline for interpreting the KJS  
95 assemblage. We also apply Domínguez-Rodrigo et al.’s (2007a) hot zone model to differentiate  
96 whether carnivores or hominins had first access to bovid carcasses. This model allows evaluation  
97 of the location of butchery marks in relation to areas that are known to be stripped of meat by  
98 lion feeding. Because the KJS assemblage is dominated by green bone fractures (i.e., bones were  
99 broken while fresh, not long after deposition), this GIS-based method to examine bone  
100 fragmentation and surface damage patterns in conjunction with the “hot zone” model gives us  
101 further insight into the nature and order of hominin and carnivore involvement with the KJS  
102 assemblage.

103

104 *1.1. Kanjera South site context*

105           The approximately 2.0 Ma site of Kanjera South is located on the Homa Peninsula of  
106 Lake Victoria in Western Kenya (Fig. 1). Excavations by the Homa Peninsula  
107 Paleoanthropological Project from 1995–2001 and in 2009 recovered abundant, *in situ* Oldowan  
108 artifacts and fauna from KJS (Ditchfield et al., 1999; Plummer et al., 1999; Bishop et al., 2006;  
109 Plummer and Bishop, 2016; Ditchfield et al., 2019). Excavations 1 and 2 have yielded more than  
110 2900 Oldowan artifacts and over 3500 identifiable fossils, including cut-marked bones, from an  
111 approximately 3 m-thick sequence of the three lowermost beds at the site, KS-1 through KS-3  
112 (Plummer et al., 1999; Bishop et al., 2006; Ferraro, 2007; Plummer et al., 2009a; Ferraro et al.,  
113 2013). Each bed is described in detail by Ditchfield et al. (1999, 2019).

114           Taphonomic, zooarchaeological, and geological analyses indicate that the site formed  
115 predominantly through hominin activities with little contribution from abiotic processes (Ferraro,  
116 2007; Plummer, 2009b; Ferraro et al., 2013; Ditchfield et al., 2019). The KS-1 through KS-3  
117 sequence is composed primarily of sands and sandy silts deposited near an ancient lake margin  
118 by ephemerally flowing, low aspect channels. Rapid sedimentation, minimal sedimentary  
119 abrasion and bone weathering, and the absence of bone or artifact rounding suggest the fauna and  
120 artifacts in each bed accumulated relatively rapidly (Ditchfield et al., 2019). Further, KJS formed  
121 in a relatively open ( $C_4$  grass-dominated) context, as indicated by taxonomic representation and  
122 isotopic analyses (Plummer et al., 2009b). This contrasts with most other Oldowan occurrences,  
123 including FLK Zinj, which formed in a woodland setting (Cerling and Hay, 1986; Sikes,  
124 1994; Ashley et al., 2010) and the occurrences at Koobi Fora that are reconstructed as habitat  
125 mosaics (Quinn et al., 2007). The combined evidence indicating primary context for fossils and

126 artifacts at KJS and its unique paleoenvironment make KJS an ideal candidate for addressing  
127 questions about early hominin foraging ecology .

128

### 129 *1.2. Kanjera South zooarchaeology overview*

130 Bovid remains dominate the KJS assemblage (followed by equids and suids), and  
131 represent a minimum of 56 individuals (Ferraro et al., 2013), making this one of the larger, if not  
132 the largest, Oldowan faunal assemblages. The majority of bovid individuals are small (size class  
133 1 and 2; Bunn, 1982) or medium (size class 3) in size, and many of these (about 50%) are  
134 subadult (Ferraro, 2007; Oliver et al., 2019). Larger bovids (size 3b and above) are not well  
135 represented, and so the discussion here will mainly focus on the small and medium-sized  
136 animals. Initial zooarchaeological analysis of the KJS fauna (Ferraro, 2007; Ferraro et al., 2013;  
137 Oliver et al., 2019) concluded that hominins had early access to small (size 1 and 2) bovids at the  
138 site, probably obtained through hunting. This argument is based on element survivorship,  
139 mortality patterns, low frequency of carnivore damage, and hominin damage to bones. While  
140 there is evidence of carnivore activity at the site (Fig. 2), carnivore tooth mark frequencies are  
141 low, similar to those from experimental models where carnivores had secondary access to  
142 defleshed and demarrowed bones following hominin processing of fauna (Ferraro et al., 2013).

143 This study uses GIS-based methods and the hot zone model to examine the patterning of  
144 hominin- and carnivore-produced bone surface modifications on the Kanjera South fauna. Based  
145 on experimental bone damage studies and previous work at KJS, we have the following  
146 expectations:

147 **Expectation 1:** If hominins were the primary agent of bone breakage at KJS, we expect  
148 the fragmentation pattern to best match experimental models of hammerstone percussed  
149 bone rather than carnivore fragmented bone.

150

151 **Expectation 2:** Given evidence of minimal carnivore feeding on the assemblage (Ferraro  
152 et al., 2013), we expect moderate to high preservation of bovid limb bone epiphyses  
153 relative to experimental models of carnivore consumed bone.

154  
155 **Expectation 3:** Given evidence of minimal carnivore feeding on the assemblage (Ferraro  
156 et al., 2013), we do not expect GIS models of tooth mark distribution to show a  
157 significant pattern of tooth mark clustering.

158  
159 **Expectation 4:** If hominins had early access to carcasses at KJS, we should find cut  
160 marks in hot zones on bovid limb bones.

## 162 2. Materials and methods

163 This study applies a GIS spatial analysis method to examine bone preservation and  
164 modification patterns in the KJS assemblage. Patterns are assessed relative to visual models of  
165 cut and tooth mark distributions in experimental bone assemblages created by humans and  
166 various species of large carnivores. Although not strictly quantitative, the GIS method used  
167 provides the benefit of a clear visualization of bone surface damage patterns which can be  
168 evaluated in conjunction with previously published quantitative analyses.

### 170 2.1. Fossil collections

171 KJS data collection was conducted by J.A.P at the National Museums of Kenya in 2011.  
172 All limb elements from bovids of all size classes from Excavations 1 and 2 were examined. Limb  
173 specimens from the 2009 excavations (n=20) are included and reported on here for the first time.  
174 We report on the subset of the assemblage that included bovid limb bone specimens identifiable  
175 to element and side (n=318 or 53% of the Ferraro et al., 2013 sample that includes taxonomically  
176 indeterminate specimens and fragments not identifiable to limb element).

177 Bovid limb fragments were sorted into three size categories (small, medium, and large)  
178 with size classes following Bunn (1982). Small (size 1) bones are Thomson's gazelle-sized



179 (*Gazella* [=*Eudorcas*] *thomsonii*). Medium (size 2–3a) fossils are Grants gazelle (*Gazella granti*)  
180 to topi (*Damaliscus lunatus*)-sized. Large (size 3b) bones are wildebeest-sized (*Connochaetes*  
181 *spp.*). No size 4 or larger specimens were included in this analysis. We have placed size 2  
182 specimens in the medium bovid category to facilitate comparison with the available experimental  
183 assemblages, most of which are size class 2 animals.

184 KS-1 through KS-3 fossils were combined for most analyses because depositional  
185 processes and faunal assemblage composition of all beds are similar (Ferraro, 2007). KS-1 and  
186 KS-2 alone had sufficiently large sample sizes to allow inclusion in the GIS bone portion  
187 survivorship analyses for small and medium-sized bovids, but other analyses combine fossils  
188 from all three beds. Fossils from conglomerate facies (CP levels described in Plummer et al.,  
189 1999) are excluded from surface modification analyses due to their possible accumulation by  
190 water flow. Specimens from Excavations 1 and 2 were grouped together.

191

## 192 2.2. Identification of bone surface modifications

193 All specimens and surface damages in the sample were examined by J.A.P. with a 10×  
194 hand lens under oblique light. We used the following standard, published criteria for identifying  
195 bone surface modifications created by hominin and carnivore agents:

196 Carnivore tooth pits are circular or oval marks on bone resulting from the tooth pressing against  
197 the bone surface. Tooth punctures result when the cortical bone collapses under the pressure of  
198 the tooth (Binford 1981; Haynes 1980; Lyman 1994 and references therein) and are more likely  
199 in regions with substantial trabecular bone.

200 Tooth scores are linear marks (at least three times as long as they are wide) that result from the  
201 tooth dragging across the surface of the bone. Scores are U-shaped in cross section, lack internal  
202 striations, and are often perpendicular to the bone's long axis. (Haynes 1980; Binford 1981;  
203 Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba 2006).

204

205 Cut marks, in general, are linear marks distinguished from carnivore tooth scores by their V-  
206 shaped cross section, presence of micro-striae within the mark, usual straight linearity, and a  
207 typical orientation oblique to the bone's long axis. Other features sometimes present on  
208 experimental cut marks and used in cut mark identification include the presence of a shoulder  
209 and chattering (aka flaking) at the juncture of the cortical surface and mark wall. To rule out  
210 tramping and sedimentary abrasion marks, features often created by these processes were  
211 considered, including: curved or multi-directional marks,  
212 presence of intersecting micro-striae, presence of flat spots in the mark base, and presence of  
213 nearby abrasions with a haphazard orientation (Binford 1981; Bunn 1981; Potts and Shipman  
214 1981; Shipman 1981; Behrensmeyer et al., 1986; Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba, 2006;  
215 Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2010; Fernández-Jalvo and Andrews, 2016. The context of the mark  
216 under evaluation, including particle size of the surrounding sediment, overall fossil preservation,  
217 presence or absence of miscellaneous scratches and/or trample marks, and associated cut marks,  
218 was also carefully considered before a mark was confidently identified as a cut mark.

219

220 Percussion marks refer to both "pits" and "striae" as defined by Blumenshine and Selvaggio  
221 (1988). Percussion pits usually have multiple small patches of micro-striations emanating from

222 them due to bone slippage against the hammerstone or anvil during impact. Percussion marks are  
223 often associated with percussion notches.

224 Percussion notches are “semicircular or arcuate indentations on the fracture edge of a long bone”  
225 (Capaldo and Blumenschine 1994). These are produced by dynamic loading on the cortical  
226 surface of the bone, and display an adjacent negative flake scar on the medullary surface.  
227 Percussion notches are distinguished from tooth notches based on their broad shape in cortical  
228 view. Tooth notches tend to be more semicircular than percussion notches, and have a flake  
229 release angle closer to perpendicular compared with the obtuse release angle for hammerstone  
230 produced flakes (Capaldo and Blumenschine 1994).

231         Recent work indicates that crocodile tooth marks may mimic hominin-produced cut  
232 marks or percussion marks (Njau and Blumenschine, 2006; Njau and Gilbert, 2016. Sahle et al.,  
233 2017; Domínguez-Rodrigo and Baquedano, 2018). Although crocodiles are known to produce  
234 a range of pits and large and often deep scores on bones, none of the KJS fauna bear the  
235 distinctive bisected pits and punctures or hook scores associated with crocodile feeding (Njau  
236 and Blumenschine, 2006). Further, because KJS was deposited in a grassland environment with  
237 crocodile and fish fossils rarely preserved, we have ruled out crocodiles as a major taphonomic  
238 agent at the locality.

239         Bone modifications at KJS have previously been jointly identified by a ‘round table’ of  
240 three investigators (Ferraro et al., 2013). In this study, J.A.P. initially identified modifications  
241 independently, and then checked against the list of previous identifications. The identifications  
242 presented here are in concordance with what Ferraro et al.’s (2013) ‘round table’ (in which  
243 multiple mark features were considered and discussed before mark identification) found and

244 were not out of the small range of variation seen among the three original observers (88.5%  
245 concordance with at least two other analysts for tooth mark identifications and 92% concordance  
246 with at least two other analysts for cut mark identifications; see Supplementary Table 1). Bone  
247 surface modifications from the 2009 excavation had not been previously analyzed and were  
248 assessed in the same three-person format by J.A.P., J.S.O., and another experienced taphonomist  
249 (F. Forrest). Fossils with poor surface preservation were excluded from the analysis .

250

### 251 2.3. Comparative experimental bone collections

252 We used GIS models of bone preservation and damage patterns based on several modern  
253 experimentally-modified bone collections previously described by Parkinson and colleagues  
254 (Parkinson et al., 2015; Parkinson, 2018). The experiments that these models are based on are  
255 described below and are summarized in Table 1.

256 Blumenschine's (1988) feeding experiments, conducted in Tanzania's Serengeti National  
257 Park and Ngorongoro Crater, model differential access to carcasses by carnivores and humans.  
258 These collections, housed in the Department of Anthropology at Rutgers University at the time  
259 of study, have been described in previous publications (Blumenschine, 1988, 1995;  
260 Blumenschine and Selvaggio, 1988; Capaldo and Blumenschine, 1994). This study uses GIS  
261 models of these collections created by Parkinson (2013, 2018). These models include only  
262 fragments from Blumenschine's collection that were large enough to be accurately placed on  
263 GIS templates and exclude any of Blumenschine's experiments in which carnivores other than  
264 spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) were involved. Small (size 1) bovids were represented by  
265 Thomson's gazelle (*Gazella [=Eudorcas] thomsonii*), medium (size 2–3a) carcasses included  
266 Grant's gazelle (*Gazella [=Nanger] granti*), impala (*Aepyceros melampus*), and topi

267 (*Damaliscus lunatus*). Large (size 3b) carcasses were represented by wildebeest (*Connochaetes*  
268 *taurinus*).

269 Hammerstone-only Blumenschine's hammerstone-only experiments include bones defleshed  
270 with a metal knife and broken using a hammerstone and anvil to replicate hominin-only access  
271 including marrow extraction.

272 Hammerstone-to-carnivore The hammerstone-to-carnivore experiments (simulated sites)  
273 replicate carnivore scavenging of bones broken and demarrowed by hominins. Defleshed bones  
274 were broken using hammerstone percussion and then set out on the landscape for carnivores to  
275 scavenge. Spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) were the sole scavengers in all experiments  
276 included in the GIS models, although minor disturbance by jackals or mongooses cannot be ruled  
277 out.

278 Carnivore-only (hyaenid) Blumenschine's carnivore-only experiments include limb bones from  
279 carcasses scavenged by spotted hyenas in the wild. Because hyenas nearly completely destroyed  
280 bones from smaller carcasses, this model is only compared with larger bovids from KJS.

281 Carnivore-only (felid) The felid carnivore models are based on feeding experiments conducted  
282 by Parkinson et al. (2015) with captive large felids, including lions (*Panthera leo*) and tigers  
283 (*Panthera tigris*) at the Carolina Tiger Rescue in North Carolina. Although captive, the animals  
284 in these experiments are a good model for wild felid behavior, as they are housed in natural  
285 habitat enclosures and routinely fed a whole carcass diet. In these experiments, animals were fed  
286 whole deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) carcasses or half carcasses obtained as road kill. The  
287 detailed methodology for these experiments is described by Parkinson et al. (2015). We chose a  
288 felid carnivore-only model to compare with the KJS small and medium bovids, as the bones in

289 the hyaenid-only experiments exhibited far more damage than what was seen in the KJS bovid  
290 sample (Parkinson et al., 2015).

291

#### 292 *2.4. GIS image-analysis*

293 As noted above, bones from the experimental assemblages and the fossils from KJS were  
294 sorted into three groups for analysis. The small bovid group includes size 1, the medium group  
295 includes size classes 2–3a, and the large group includes size 3b (wildebeest-sized). GIS was used  
296 to document and visualize patterns of bone fragmentation following the method of Parkinson and  
297 colleagues (Parkinson, 2013, 2018; Parkinson et al., 2014, 2015). This method, originally  
298 developed by Marean et al. (2001), treats each element as a ‘map’ onto which the bone fragment  
299 outline and any bone surface modifications are recorded. ArcGIS 9.3 and the Spatial Analyst  
300 extension were used to conduct this analysis (ESRI, 2008).

301 To examine bone portion survivorship, each fragment was digitally drawn as a vector  
302 shapefile over a photographic template of a complete, similarly sized element in ArcGIS.  
303 Fragments were positioned on the photo template by lining up anatomical landmarks. Only those  
304 fragments identified to element portion and side were used ( $n = 318$ ). The shapefiles of each  
305 fragment were then overlapped, converted to grids (where grid cells for each fragment were  
306 assigned a value of one), and grids were added together using the grid calculator (raster  
307 calculator) in ArcGIS. This produces a shaded ‘map’ of bone survival that is a composite image  
308 of overlapping fragments illustrating which bone portions are frequently preserved and which are  
309 frequently absent. The most heavily shaded portions represent the total number of skeletal  
310 elements that occupy that space. Bone survivorship maps for the FLK Zinj assemblage and

311 experimental assemblages were created in the same way (Parkinson, 2018). Detailed instructions  
312 for this method are outlined in Marean et al. (2001) and Parkinson et al. (2014).

313         In order to examine the distribution of bone surface modifications, tooth marks, cut  
314 marks, and percussion marks were plotted onto the bone templates in ArcGIS. The combined  
315 maps yielded composite images of tooth, cut, and percussion mark distribution by element. For  
316 experimental assemblages, the Kernel density estimation (ESRI, 2008) was used to identify and  
317 build models of tooth mark clustering patterns on specific elements. This tool builds a rasterized  
318 image of point clustering (where each point represents a surface modification). It uses the  
319 quadratic kernel formula to fit a smoothly tapered surface to each point, which spreads out to a  
320 specified radius around the point. The highest cell value (1) is at the center of the spread, with  
321 the value tapering to 0 at the boundary of the search radius distance. The sum of intersecting  
322 spreads is then calculated for each cell in the output raster. Using this kernel function rather than  
323 the simple point density function takes into account the margin of error that may be associated  
324 with hand-plotting the tooth mark location points on the original GIS template because it weighs  
325 cell values in a radius surrounding each point. Step by step instructions for this analysis are  
326 outlined in Parkinson et al. (2014)

327

## 328 *2.5. Hot zone analysis*

329         In addition to GIS analyses, we apply the ‘hot zone’ approach described by Domínguez-  
330 Rodrigo et al. (2007a) to assess whether hominins had initial access to carcasses or if they may  
331 have scavenged from large felid kills. A study by Domínguez-Rodrigo and colleagues  
332 documenting kills made by lions in the wild found that lions do not always consume all the flesh  
333 on bones (Domínguez-Rodrigo, 1999; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2007a). They presented a

334 model in which cut marks seen on anatomical areas where flesh *never* survives felid  
335 consumption (hot zones) indicate primary access by hominins, regardless of cutmark frequency.  
336 In contrast, ‘cold zones’ are those areas where flesh remains after felid consumption. Priority of  
337 neither hominin nor carnivore access can be inferred from cut marks in cold zones. An  
338 experiment of flesh availability following large felid consumption conducted by Parkinson et al.  
339 (2015) confirmed the ‘hot zone’ pattern observed by Domínguez-Rodrigo (1999), where upper  
340 and intermediate limb elements are typically completely defleshed and flesh scraps remain only  
341 at the joints.

342 Documenting the presence or absence of cut marks in hot zones allows assessment of  
343 whether Kanjera South hominins had primary access to carcass parts or were potentially  
344 scavenging from felid kills. We apply the hot zone approach to the KJS fauna by mapping bone  
345 regions that should not preserve flesh following felid consumption over the Kanjera GIS images  
346 for cut mark distribution. Hot zones only model flesh distribution on upper and intermediate limb  
347 elements (humerus, femur, radio-ulna, and tibia) —felids typically leave metapodials untouched  
348 as there is no meat on them. Hot zone images are only shown when cut marks are present, as  
349 they do not occur on every element in the KJS assemblage.

350

### 351 **3. Results**

352

#### 353 *3.1. Summary of bone portion survivorship in experimental models*

354 Hammerstone only When humans are the sole modifier of an assemblage, a general pattern is  
355 apparent where limb bone epiphyses are typically preserved but limb shafts are heavily  
356 fragmented. Limb shaft fragments typically do not preserve the full circumference of the shaft.



357 Limb shaft fragments commonly preserved in these experiments include anterior and distal parts  
358 of the femur and humerus, posterior surface of the tibia, proximal midshaft of the radius, and  
359 posterior and medial sides of the metatarsal.

360 Hammerstone-to-carnivore When humans precede carnivores in processing carcasses, a pattern  
361 almost the reverse of the hammerstone model is apparent, where limb shaft fragments are  
362 preserved, but epiphyses of most limb bones are destroyed.

363 Carnivore-only When large felids are the sole modifier of a carcass, a mixed pattern of  
364 preservation is apparent, where the greasy, less dense epiphyseal ends are destroyed (distal  
365 femur, greater trochanter, proximal humerus, proximal tibia, olecranon process of the ulna, distal  
366 radius), but shaft cylinders remain, and denser epiphyseal ends are also preserved (distal  
367 humerus, tibia, and metatarsal) (see also Pobiner et al., 2020). When hyaenids are involved in  
368 modifying carcasses, the same general pattern is observed, but with greater fragmentation of the  
369 limb shafts.

370

### 371 *3.2. Bone portion survivorship*

372 Results of the GIS analyses of KJS bone portion survivorship for small and medium size  
373 bovids compared with several experimental assemblages are illustrated in Figures 3 and 4. Bone  
374 portion survivorship patterns for KJS large bovids compared with experimental assemblages are  
375 illustrated in Figures 5 and 6.

376 Small and medium bovids Medium-sized bovid femora at KJS show a survivorship pattern  
377 similar to the hammerstone-to-carnivore experimental model, with a high degree of preservation  
378 in the midshaft area, and a lack of complete shaft cylinders (Fig. 3a). Although slightly better

379 preserved than in the experimental model, KJS epiphyses are not well preserved. Small bovid  
380 femora are somewhat more complete and more closely resemble the hammerstone only model.

381 The medium-sized tibiae at KJS also display high preservation of midshafts and distal  
382 epiphyses, but a loss of proximal epiphyses (Fig. 3b). The small bovid tibiae show greater  
383 preservation than the medium-sized tibiae. The low preservation of the distal tibia in small  
384 bovids may be due to the lack of unfused distal epiphyses in juvenile tibiae, not carnivore  
385 involvement. Juveniles account for 33% (4 out of 12) of the small bovid tibiae in this study.

386 Medium-sized metatarsals show higher preservation at the proximal end, but lower  
387 preservation at the distal end (Fig. 3c). This metatarsal preservation pattern is most consistent  
388 with the hammerstone-only model, while the hammerstone-to-carnivore model is too small for  
389 useful comparison. The sample of small bovid metatarsals from KJS is small, but they are  
390 mostly complete, save for one small proximal fragment.

391 KJS humeri are highly fragmented, and show a similar pattern to the hammerstone-only  
392 and hammerstone-to-carnivore models for both small and medium bovids. The KJS epiphyses  
393 are preserved less often than in the hammerstone-only model, perhaps indicating carnivores may  
394 have deleted some KJS epiphyses. In contrast to the humeri in the carnivore-only model, which  
395 are mainly preserved as cylinders without the proximal epiphysis, the KJS humeri are highly  
396 fragmented, preserving less than 50% of the shaft circumference (Fig. 4a).

397 KJS medium-sized bovid radii are highly fragmented, but preservation is highest at the  
398 proximal and distal ends—a pattern most closely resembling the hammerstone-only model (Fig.  
399 4b). The small bovid radii are highly fragmented and preserve almost exclusively proximal ends.

400 Preservation of medium-sized ulnae at KJS resembles the carnivore-only models, except  
401 that the shaft in the KJS specimens shows greater destruction (Fig. 4c). The olecranon process is

402 moderately destroyed. Preservation of the small bovid ulnae is similar. The hammerstone-to-  
403 carnivore model only contains one specimen, so it is not possible to compare it to the KJS fossil  
404 preservation.

405 Both medium and small metacarpals from KJS are highly fragmented (Fig. 4d) with good  
406 representation of fragments across all bone areas, though preservation is highest at the proximal  
407 end. Smaller bovids show somewhat better preservation, a pattern opposite that which would be  
408 expected if carnivores were active at KJS. The patterns at KJS follow the pattern from the  
409 hammerstone-only models although the hammerstone-to-carnivore model is difficult to use  
410 because it is comprised of a single fragment.

411 The fragmentation pattern of small and medium sized bovids at KJS is consistent with  
412 experimental models of hominin primary access, supporting Expectation 1. Further, the more  
413 frequent preservation of limb bone epiphyses relative to the carnivore-only models supports the  
414 prediction of minimal carnivore involvement in Expectation 2. Overall, limbs from small (size 1)  
415 carcasses are more complete, preserving epiphyses more frequently than medium-sized (size 2–  
416 3a) carcasses. This pattern seems to rule out density-mediated destruction by carnivores which  
417 are expected to more completely destroy smaller elements. Instead, these differences may reflect  
418 different hominin strategies in the transport of small and medium-sized carcasses to KJS.  
419 Ferraro et al. (2013) have made a similar argument based on their analysis of skeletal part  
420 frequencies.

421 Although some of the KJS small bovid limbs lack epiphyses and thus superficially  
422 resemble those from the carnivore-only experiments, many are juveniles with unfused epiphyses.  
423 These specimens account for 13% of the limb bones included in this GIS analysis (42 out of 318  
424 limb fragments had at least one unfused epiphysis). Only 4 (<10%) of these juvenile specimens,

425 display evidence of carnivore gnawing indicating carnivore involvement is not the main factor  
426 driving low epiphyseal representation relative to shaft representation.

427 Large bovids Large (size 3b) bovids from KJS are less well represented than small and medium  
428 bovids. Large bovids from KJS are compared in Figures 5 and 6 with GIS models based on  
429 Blumenschine's experimental assemblages. Hammerstone-only models were not available for the  
430 femora and tibiae. Blumenschine's spotted hyena damaged assemblage was used for the  
431 carnivore-only model as Parkinson's (2015) felid experiments had too small of a sample size for  
432 large animals.

433 The large bovid bone survivorship pattern is more difficult to assess due to small sample  
434 sizes in both the KJS fossil assemblage and experimental models, however, the data are generally  
435 consistent with Expectation 1, with fragmentation of midshafts similar to hammerstone fractured  
436 assemblages rather than carnivore fragmented assemblages. Midshafts are preserved as  
437 fragments, not cylinders as felids typically produce. Preservation of the large bovid limb bone  
438 epiphyses is less consistent with Expectation 2, indicating some likely carnivore involvement,  
439 which we discuss further below.

440

### 441 *3.3. Bone modification patterns*

442 The best way to interpret the contribution of hominin and carnivore activities to an  
443 assemblage is to examine multiple lines of evidence simultaneously. For this reason, we assess  
444 bone modification patterns in conjunction with the patterns of bone portion survivorship and  
445 preservation described above. Here, a spatial analysis of the distribution of various types of  
446 hominin- and carnivore-induced damage to bones from KJS is detailed and discussed within the  
447 comparative framework of GIS models based on the actualistic research described above.

448           The patterns and specific damages discussed below are those that occur on specimens  
449 with clear anatomical landmarks and could therefore be reliably placed on GIS element  
450 templates. As such, the GIS images represent plots of modifications that could be reliably  
451 assigned locations on element templates, and do not reflect total bone surface modification  
452 counts that have been reported previously (Ferraro, 2007; Ferraro et al., 2013). Bone surface  
453 modification data included in this spatial analysis are summarized in Table 2. We describe the  
454 location of particular surface modification patterns that are potentially informative regarding the  
455 order of access of hominins and carnivores to carcasses at KJS in light of GIS experimental  
456 models.

457 Patterns of carnivore damage Figure 8 shows plots of individual tooth marks on element  
458 templates for the KJS femora and humeri (sample sizes for tooth marks on other elements are too  
459 small to consider). Density analyses of tooth marks on experimental collections for felid  
460 experiments (Parkinson et al., 2015) and for hammerstone-to-carnivore-experiments (Parkinson,  
461 2018) are shown for comparison. Large bovids are not considered here as the sample size of  
462 tooth marks that could be reliably mapped onto GIS templates is small.

463           The small- and medium-sized bovid femora from KJS do not show tooth mark clustering,  
464 but the small sample size (8 tooth marks) makes this difficult to assess (Fig. 8a). Tooth marks on  
465 tibiae (not figured) also do not show clustering. This lack of identifiable tooth mark patterning is  
466 probably a reflection of the low overall tooth mark frequency, and an indication of low carnivore  
467 involvement in assemblage formation.

468           The carnivore damage pattern on the humeri (Fig. 8b) most closely resembles the  
469 carnivore-only experiments. One difference, however, is that more damage has been noted on the  
470 proximal humerus compared to the distal end in carnivore-only felid feeding experiments

471 (Pobiner et al., 2020; Parkinson et al., 2015), and the KJS tooth mark distribution shows more  
472 damage at the distal end. The sample size for the hammerstone-to-carnivore experimental model  
473 is small (consisting of 3 elements in 5 fragments). Although the small and medium bovid radii  
474 and ulnae epiphyses from KJS (not figured) have some tooth marking, it is significant that the  
475 grease-filled olecranon process is typically well-preserved (Fig. 6b). Had carnivores had sole  
476 access to this element, more intensive damage and destruction would be expected.

477 Taken together, these data support Expectation 3: Carnivore toothmarks show little  
478 clustering, and destruction of attractive, grease-rich epiphyses is minimal. This is consistent with  
479 minimal or secondary carnivore involvement in forming the KJS assemblage.

480 Patterns of hominin damage Hominin-induced damage to the KJS small and medium femora  
481 includes cut marks, as well as percussion marks and notches. Only one cut mark located on the  
482 femoral head, a location indicative of disarticulation (Domínguez-Rodrigo, 1997; Nilssen, 2000),  
483 occurs in a cold zone. All other cut marks found on the KJS femora occur in hot zones (Fig. 9a).

484

485 Most cut marks on small and medium bovid tibiae also occur in hot zones (Fig. 9b). The  
486 KJS tibiae preserve numerous percussion marks and percussion notches documenting marrow  
487 processing of these elements by hominins. Small and medium bovid metatarsals at KJS also  
488 display both cut marks (e.g., Ferraro et al., 2013: Fig. 2a) and percussion notches .

489 Cut marks do not occur on the small and medium bovid humeri, radii or ulnae  
490 examined in this study, although one humeral shaft that could not be placed on a GIS template  
491 was identified as cut marked in Ferraro's (2007: Table 9) study. Percussion damage is present on  
492 the radii. Finally, the metacarpals at KJS show a single episode of cut marking on a posterior  
493 distal metaphysis. There is no identifiable percussion damage on metatarsals.

494           The only hominin-induced damage visible on size 3b bovids at KJS is on the  
495 forelimbs. Cut marking on the humerus occurs directly on the insertion point for teres major  
496 suggesting early access even though it is in a cold zone (Fig. 9c). The proximal radio-ulnae have  
497 cut marks that occur in cold zones, and are thus uninformative regarding hominin order of  
498 access. Hominin-induced damage does occur on other large (size 3–4) bovid specimens (at a  
499 frequency of 0–25% depending on observer and bed; see Ferraro, 2007: Appendix 22a–c), but  
500 the marks could not be reliably placed on element templates.

501           Overall, the presence of cutmarks in hot zones suggests early access by hominins to  
502 fleshed carcasses of small and medium sized bovids, supporting Expectation 4. Hominins may  
503 have practiced a more mixed strategy to access larger carcasses which we discuss below.

504

#### 505 **4. Discussion**

506           Results of the GIS spatial analyses of bone fragmentation and surface modification  
507 patterns in the archaeofaunal assemblage from Kanjera South presented here support previous  
508 interpretations of the site, suggesting that hominins had early access to relatively complete, often  
509 juvenile, small bovids, and at least occasional access to larger bovids perhaps acquired through  
510 scavenging (Plummer, 2004; Ferraro et al., 2013; Oliver et al., 2019).

511           Overall, small and medium bovids at KJS exhibit a fracture pattern most consistent  
512 with the hammerstone-only experimental models. Limbs of small and medium-sized bovids  
513 show some epiphyseal deletion, but not to the extent seen in Blumenschine’s (1995)  
514 experimental hammerstone-to-carnivore models. The fact that carnivores were not completely  
515 consuming the epiphyses indicates the KJS ecosystem had a lower degree of competition than  
516 was present in Blumenschine’s experiments. It should be noted that Blumenschine’s experiments

517 were conducted in the Serengeti and Ngorongoro crater, which are highly competitive  
518 environments (Kruuk, 1972; Schaller, 1972; Tappen, 1995, 2001), and his experimental models  
519 seem to reflect this (but see Volmer and Hertler, 2016 for a discussion of the complexities of  
520 competition). Relative to GIS models of ‘carnivore-only’ scenarios, the higher preservation of  
521 epiphyses at Kanjera suggests carnivores were not forming or greatly modifying the assemblage.  
522 Although carnivores were present (fossils of size 2 and 3 felids, an indeterminate hyaenid, and  
523 *Crocota cf. dietrichi* have been found; Plummer et al., 2009a), there seems to have been low on-  
524 site competition at Kanjera. Further, 24% of the size 1 bovids (17/72 specimens in this study)  
525 were juveniles missing at least one unfused epiphysis. Thus, carnivores are not likely to account  
526 for size 1 bovid epiphyseal deletion.

527         Limbs from small carcass are better preserved than those from medium-sized carcasses.  
528 This pattern seems to rule out density-mediated destruction due to carnivore involvement  
529 because carnivores are able to destroy smaller elements more thoroughly. Smaller-sized  
530 carcasses are normally at greater risk of destruction than medium-sized carcasses, particularly in  
531 grassland contexts (like KJS) where they are typically completely consumed by lions and/or  
532 hyenas within several hours after death (Blumenschine, 1987; Domínguez-Rodrigo, 2001). The  
533 preservation differences seen between the small- and medium-sized carcasses may reflect  
534 differences in completeness of large and small bovid carcasses that impacted subsequent hominin  
535 transport and processing. Based on their study of skeletal part frequencies, Ferraro et al. (2013)  
536 also argued that hominins at KJS may have engaged in separate foraging activities for large and  
537 small carcasses. They argued that the high representation of smaller bovid elements likely  
538 reflects hominin access to and transport of complete carcasses to the locality. The higher  
539 representation of meaty limb bones and particularly head elements of medium-sized bovids



540 suggests hominins may have at times been scavenging and transporting carcass segments rather  
541 than whole carcasses.

542 Carnivore secondary access is suggested by the low frequency and random distribution of  
543 carnivore tooth marking on the small and medium bovid limbs at KJS. Furthermore, the low  
544 frequency of carnivore damage but frequent preservation of epiphyseal ends at KJS suggests low  
545 on-site competition over carcasses.

546 The occurrence of cut marks in hot zones on the meaty hindlimb elements (“meaty” =  
547 humerus, radio-ulna, femur, and tibia following Bunn and Kroll, 1986) of small and medium  
548 bovids at KJS suggests hominins had primary access to fleshed carcasses. Flesh typically does  
549 not survive felid consumption in hot zones, so there would have been little to no flesh left to  
550 remove in these areas if hominins were scavenging from felid kills. Further, the presence of  
551 percussion marks and notches and the high degree of fragmentation (the majority of it green  
552 bone fracturing) of most of the long bones indicate hominin exploitation of within-bone  
553 resources.

554 For the size 3b bovids, tooth mark distribution is more difficult to interpret, as  
555 placement on the GIS templates was only possible for a single radio-ulna which was highly tooth  
556 marked (not figured). Nevertheless, the numerous tooth marks on this specimen is consistent  
557 with the interpretation that KJS hominins may have scavenged some larger bovid carcasses  
558 initially fed on by carnivores. Hominin involvement with large bovids is evidenced by cut and  
559 percussion damage to the humeri. Significantly, cut marks on these humeri are not present in hot  
560 zones, a pattern consistent with the interpretation that hominins occasionally scavenged  
561 carnivore-killed carcasses.

562

563 *4.1. How does Kanjera South compare with FLK Zinj?*

564 Because of its large fossil assemblage with well-preserved bone surfaces, much of the  
565 research on Oldowan hominin meat acquisition has been based on a single archaeological site:  
566 FLK Zinj, Bed I, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. Kanjera South has a larger fossil assemblage with  
567 comparable preservation, and thus provides an opportunity to compare two large Oldowan fossil  
568 assemblages and assess variability in carcass acquisition and processing by hominins. The sites  
569 are similar in age—FLK Zinj is slightly younger at 1.84 Ma (Deino, 2012)—and they have  
570 roughly similar faunal and lithic compositions. Significantly, however, their paleohabitats  
571 differed. While FLK Zinj formed in a woodland habitat (Arráiz et al., 2017), KJS accumulated in  
572 an open grassland (Plummer et al., 2009b). The FLK Zinj assemblage has played a central role in  
573 the hunting/scavenging debate, with some researchers suggesting hominins scavenged from felid  
574 kills at the site (Blumenschine, 1995; Capaldo, 1997; Selvaggio, 1998). Others suggest that  
575 hominins had earlier access to carcasses, and may have hunted the smaller (and perhaps the  
576 larger) bovids at FLK Zinj (Bunn, 2001; Bunn and Kroll, 1986; Oliver 1994; Domínguez-  
577 Rodrigo and Barba, 2006; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2007a; Parkinson et al., 2018; Oliver et al.,  
578 2019). Key questions to consider are whether FLK Zinj represents evidence of typical Oldowan  
579 hominin carcass acquisition activities, and how environmental setting may have impacted  
580 hominin carcass acquisition strategies (Oliver et al., 2019).

581 Bone portion survivorship at Kanjera South and FLK Zinj Based on GIS image analyses of bone  
582 portion survivorship (Figs. 3–6), bones from both KJS and FLK Zinj are highly fragmented.  
583 Overall however, bones from FLK Zinj show a more even representation of fragments across  
584 element portions (including epiphyses), while elements from KJS preserve epiphyseal portions  
585 less often. As discussed above, one likely explanation for the lower epiphyseal preservation at

586 KJS is that a number of the small bovids are juveniles with unfused epiphyses. One other  
587 possibility is that some epiphyses were destroyed during marrow processing and consumption by  
588 hominins. The Hadza hunter gatherers of Tanzania have been observed pounding and destroying  
589 epiphyseal ends to gain access to the fat-rich cancellous tissue (Oliver, 1994)—early hominins  
590 may have done the same.

591         The GIS bone preservation analyses from both Kanjera and FLK Zinj are most consistent  
592 with experimental models where humans fragmented long bone midshafts and carnivores  
593 removed or destroyed epiphyses to varying degrees. When compared to experimental models,  
594 epiphyseal preservation at FLK Zinj is often higher than in the hammerstone-to-carnivore  
595 models. This may indicate lower competition in the Olduvai paleoecosystem than has been  
596 modeled experimentally.

597 Tooth mark frequencies at Kanjera South and FLK Zinj Assemblage-wide tooth mark  
598 frequencies by skeletal element calculated in this study are shown in Figure 10a and Table 3. The  
599 frequency reported here—14%—is consistent with midshaft tooth mark frequencies reported by  
600 three observers in Ferraro et al.’s study (2013; summed beds KS-1–KS-3, all size classes) which  
601 are between 8 and 14%. These frequencies all fall within or slightly below the range of  
602 experimental ‘hominin first’ models where carnivores had secondary access to carcass remains  
603 defleshed and demarrowed by humans (5–15% of NISP tooth marked in these experiments;  
604 Blumenschine, 1995; Capaldo, 1998; Marean et al., 2000). Tooth mark frequencies on midshafts  
605 from KJS are *well below* the range in experimental ‘carnivore-only’ models, in which carnivores  
606 including spotted hyenas had sole access (>75% of NISP tooth marked in these experiments;  
607 Blumenschine, 1995; Marean et al., 2000), although they are within the range produced by large

608 felids in experimental feeding studies reported by Parkinson et al. (2015) (Fig. 11;  
609 Supplementary Table 2).

610 Widely divergent tooth mark frequencies have been reported for the FLK Zinj  
611 assemblage (Oliver 1994; Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba, 2006; Blumenschine et al., 2007;  
612 Parkinson, 2018) (Fig. 10a). Blumenschine (1995) originally reported an assemblage-wide tooth  
613 mark frequency of 61% of the NISP, but other studies reported much lower frequencies (Oliver,  
614 1994; Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba, 2006; Parkinson, 2018). Some marks originally identified  
615 as tooth marks by Blumenschine (1995) may instead have been produced by biochemical  
616 processes, which Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba (2006) identified evidence for in their study of  
617 the assemblage. An independent analysis by Parkinson (2018) also reported the presence of  
618 biochemical damage and calculated the tooth mark frequency at FLK Zinj to be 24% of the  
619 NISP—more consistent with the level of carnivore damage reported by Oliver (1994) and  
620 Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba (2006). The shared sample analyzed by both Domínguez-  
621 Rodrigo and Barba (2006) and Parkinson showed 92.5% concordance (Parkinson, 2018). Tooth  
622 mark frequencies reported by Parkinson (2018) for FLK Zinj fall within the 95% confidence  
623 interval of experimental models where carnivore activity followed initial hominin access (Fig.  
624 11), indicating early access by hominins.

625 Because it also formed in a grassland setting and contains many small bovids, another  
626 Olduvai Gorge site, HWK EE, Bed II at 1.7 Ma (Pante et al. 2018), provides an additional  
627 interesting comparison to Kanjera South. Tooth mark frequencies in the two main levels at HWK  
628 EE (Lower Augitic Sandstones [LAS] and Lemuta) are 33.7% (LAS) and 37.4% (Lemuta) for  
629 size 1–2 bovids and 25% (LAS) and 45.7% (Lemuta) for size 3–4 bovids (Pante et al., 2018).  
630 These frequencies are higher than both KJS (as described above) and FLK Zinj, and are

631 intermediate between experimental assemblages modeling hominin first and carnivore first  
632 access (Fig. 12). Pante and colleagues (Pante et al., 2018; Pante and de la Torre, 2018) have  
633 interpreted the strong carnivore signal at the site as evidence that hominins mainly acquired  
634 carcasses through scavenging. Given that many of the cut marks on the HWK EE fauna are  
635 located near places of muscle attachment, carnivore scavenging of HWK EE hominin food refuse  
636 is equally, if not more plausible. Furthermore, HWK EE is the only other Oldowan site with an  
637 open habitat signature like KJS, and Pante et al. (2018) note that hominins appear to have  
638 obtained substantial amounts of flesh and marrow from small (size 1-2) bovids. This may  
639 indicate that like KJS hominins, the hominins forming HWK EE also had early access to small  
640 bovids.

641 Hominin produced damage at Kanjera South and FLK Zinj For the small and medium bovids at  
642 KJS, cut mark frequency varies between 2.0–5.9% depending on observer (Ferraro et al., 2013:  
643 Table S1). Our updated frequencies which include newly excavated material are within this  
644 range at 3.6% (Table 4). The occurrence of cut marks on meaty limb elements indicates early  
645 hominin access at KJS.

646 As Figure 12 illustrates, the frequency of hominin- and carnivore-induced damage is  
647 higher in the FLK Zinj assemblage than in the KJS assemblage, suggesting perhaps more  
648 thorough processing of carcasses by both hominins and carnivores at FLK Zinj despite the  
649 evidence for early hominin access at both sites. Alternatively, the lower frequency of cut marks  
650 at KJS may reflect the presence of many small bovids that were likely more easily butchered,  
651 than the larger bovids that dominate the FLK Zinj assemblage. Although cut mark frequency has  
652 not been shown to correlate with intensity of butchery in experimental scenarios (Egeland, 2003;  
653 Pobiner and Braun, 2005), we can view it as a byproduct of hominin involvement with carcasses.

654 Cut mark *distribution* is a better indicator of the nature of hominin involvement with carcasses  
655 (Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba, 2007).

656 The GIS mapping of cut mark distribution in the KJS assemblage demonstrates that the  
657 majority of marks on size 1–3a bovids (9 out of 16 marks: 56%) fall within the ‘hot zone’ areas  
658 defined by Domínguez-Rodrigo et al. (2007a) as areas where flesh typically does not survive  
659 felid consumption. However, it is the presence or absence of marks in hot zones (rather than the  
660 frequency) that indicates hominin primary access. The presence of cut marks in hot zones is  
661 suggestive of early access by hominins to size 1–3a carcasses at KJS. Cut marks do not occur in  
662 hot zones on large bovids at KJS, but the sample size of size 3b bovids is small. In comparison,  
663 Domínguez-Rodrigo et al. (2007a) showed that in the FLK Zinj assemblage, cut marks also fall  
664 within hot zones. They reported 40% of marks on the femora and 20% of marks on the tibiae of  
665 small bovids occur in hot zones, and an even higher percentage occur in hot zones for medium  
666 sized bovids, suggesting early access by hominins to fleshed carcasses at this site as well.

667

#### 668 4.2. *How did hominins gain early access to carcasses at Kanjera South and FLK Zinj?*

669 Given the evidence of early access by hominins to fleshed carcasses at Kanjera South and  
670 FLK Zinj, how would hominins have achieved this? Hunting and/or aggressive scavenging are  
671 two potential methods of acquiring complete carcasses. Oldowan hominins had a relatively  
672 limited tool kit consisting of cores and flakes, and there is no evidence for hunting technology  
673 (i.e., spears) in the archaeological record before about 500 ka (Wilkins et al., 2012). The absence  
674 of hunting technology in the Oldowan may be a preservation issue. We do not have a record of  
675 tools made out of perishable materials such as wood (Plummer, 2004). However, Lemorini et al.  
676 (2014, 2019) demonstrated that use-wear on stone tools from Kanjera South indicates tools were

677 used for cutting and scraping wood, presumably to make wooden tools, possibly including  
678 spears. Given that wild chimpanzees have been observed making and using sharpened sticks to  
679 hunt bushbabies (Pruetz and Bertolani, 2007), it seems likely that Oldowan hominins with  
680 greater technological and cognitive abilities may have fashioned simple spears for hunting. If  
681 Oldowan hominins were hunting, they could have accomplished this through persistence hunting  
682 (i.e., running animals to exhaustion; Bramble and Lieberman, 2004) or by ambushing prey with  
683 spears. Both of these techniques would lead to a pattern of bone surface damage indicating early  
684 access similar to what is seen for smaller bovids in the KJS and FLK Zinj assemblages.

685         If hominins were obtaining carcasses through persistence hunting, bovid mortality  
686 profiles should match kill profiles created by modern cursorial predators such as African wild  
687 dogs or hyaenas in which relatively vulnerable young and old individuals are most numerous  
688 (i.e., an attritional profile following Bertram, 1979; Klein, 1982). On the other hand, if hominins  
689 were ambushing their prey, bovid mortality profiles should follow a pattern similar to those  
690 created by modern ambush predators such as lions and leopards: a living structure profile (Stiner,  
691 1990).

692         The bovid mortality profile from KJS reported by Oliver et al. (2019) is heavily biased by  
693 juveniles, matching that created by carnivores operating in open habitats, acquiring kills via  
694 chases. The small bovids from FLK Zinj display a similar attritional pattern, although one that is  
695 dominated by old individuals. The larger bovids at FLK Zinj match the mortality profile created  
696 by ambush predators. Bunn and Gurtov (2014) and Oliver and colleagues (2019) argued that this  
697 prime dominated mortality pattern could indicate ambush hunting of larger bovids by hominins  
698 at FLK Zinj. Aggressive (power) scavenging from felid kills is an alternate explanation that  
699 could account for early access by hominins to larger bovids at FLK Zinj. Given the greater

700 diversity of large felids that existed in the past, it is possible that they would have provided  
701 additional scavenging opportunities for hominins.

702

## 703 **5. Conclusions**

704 In summary, GIS image analysis and hot zone analysis of the Kanjera South bovid fauna  
705 suggest that Oldowan hominins had early access to small bovids and were habitually processing  
706 carcasses at this focal point on the landscape. Cut marks occur in hot zones on bones where flesh  
707 typically does not survive felid consumption, indicating early access to flesh by hominins.  
708 Further, the presence of percussion marking and high degree of fragmentation of the long bones  
709 indicate marrow processing by hominins. Distribution of these hominin-induced damages is  
710 consistent with GIS models based on experiments simulating early hominin access, not models  
711 of carnivore accumulated assemblages.

712 Carnivores were also involved in modifying carcasses to some extent, but do not appear  
713 to have been the agent of transport. This is indicated by the high survivorship of elements from  
714 small carcasses, which would likely have been completely consumed by carnivores if they had  
715 initial access. Low tooth mark frequencies and their random distribution are consistent with low  
716 carnivore involvement and suggest secondary access by carnivores. Relative to GIS models of  
717 carnivore-only scenarios, the higher preservation of epiphyses at KJS suggests carnivores were  
718 not forming or greatly modifying the assemblage. Although carnivores were present, there seems  
719 to have been lower on-site competition at Kanjera compared to FLK Zinj which has both higher  
720 tooth mark and cut mark frequencies.

721 Taken together, the spatial analyses presented here suggest that Kanjera South hominins  
722 had relatively early access to bovid carcasses and may have been hunting smaller bovids,



723 confirming the results of previous studies (Ferarro et al., 2013; Oliver et al., 2019). Kanjera  
724 South thus may provide the oldest evidence of hunting in the archaeological record.

725

## 726 **Acknowledgements**

727 The authors thank the National Museums of Kenya and M. Kibunja, F.K. Manthi, R. Kinyanjui,  
728 J. Kibii, and E. Ndiema for support. J.M. Nume, B. Onyango and K. Kawayo managed the  
729 Kanjera field teams. We also thank members of the Homa Peninsula Paleoanthropological  
730 Project, including F. Forrest who participated in the study of the fossils excavated in 2009, and  
731 we thank the local people of Kanjera for their various contributions. The authors acknowledge  
732 Kenya Government permission granted by the Ministry of Sports, Culture and the Arts and by  
733 NACOSTI permit P/14/7709/701. Funding from the L.S.B. Leakey Foundation, the National  
734 Geographic Society, the National Science Foundation, the Wenner-Gren Foundation, and the  
735 Professional Staff Congress City University of New York Research Award Program to T.W.P.  
736 for Kanjera field work is gratefully acknowledged. The authors would like to thank R. Potts and  
737 the Human Origins Program at the Smithsonian Institution for support during all phases of the  
738 Kanjera research and the Peter Buck Fund for Human Origins Research. Finally, J.A.P.  
739 acknowledges funding for her laboratory work from the National Science Foundation (Grant  
740 #BSC-1035958) and the Wenner-Gren Foundation (Dissertation Fieldwork Grant 8250).

741

742

743 **References**

- 744 Aiello, L. C., Wells, J. C., 2002. Energetics and the evolution of the genus *Homo*. Annual  
745 Review of Anthropology 31, 323-338.
- 746 Aiello, L.C., Wheeler, P., 1995. The expensive-tissue hypothesis. Current Anthropology 36, 199-  
747 221.
- 748 Arráiz, H., Barboni, D., Ashley, G. M., Mabulla, A., Baquedano, E., Domínguez-Rodrigo, M.,  
749 2017. The FLK Zinj paleolandscape: reconstruction of a 1.84 Ma wooded habitat in the  
750 FLK Zinj-AMK-PTK-DS archaeological complex, Middle Bed I (Olduvai Gorge,  
751 Tanzania). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 488, 9-20.
- 752 Ashley, G.M., Barboni, D., Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Bunn, H.T., Mabulla, A.Z.P., Diez Martin,  
753 F., Barba, R., Baquedano, E., 2010. A spring and wooded habitat at FLK Zinj and their  
754 relevance to origins of human behavior. Quaternary Research 74, 304-314.
- 755 Behrensmeier, A.K., Gordon, K.D., Yanagi, G.T. 1986. Trampling as a cause of bone  
756 surface damage and pseudo-cutmarks. Nature 319, 768-771.
- 757 Bertram, B.C.R., 1979. Serengeti predators and their social systems. In: Sinclair, A.R.E., Norton-  
758 Griffiths, M. (Eds.), Serengeti: Dynamics of an Ecosystem. University of Chicago Press,  
759 Chicago, pp. 221-248.
- 760 Binford, L.R., 1981. Bones: Ancient Men, Modern Myths. Academic Press, New York.
- 761 Bishop L.C., Plummer, T.W., Ferraro, J.V., Braun, D., Ditchfield, P.W., Hertel, F., Kingston,  
762 J.D., Hicks, J., Potts, R., 2006. Recent research into Oldowan hominin activities at Kanjera  
763 South, western Kenya. African Archaeological Review 23, 31-40.
- 764 Blumenschine, R.J., 1987. Characteristics of an early hominid scavenging niche. Current  
765 Anthropology 28, 383-407.

766 Blumenschine, R.J., 1988. An experimental model of the timing of hominid and carnivore  
767 influence on archaeological bone assemblages. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 15, 483-  
768 502.

769 Blumenschine, R.J., 1995. Percussion marks, tooth marks and the experimental determinations of  
770 the timing of hominid and carnivore access to long bones at FLK *Zinjanthropus*, Olduvai  
771 Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of Human Evolution* 29, 21-51.

772 Blumenschine, R.J., Prassack, K.A., Kreger, C.D., Pante, M.C., 2007. Carnivore tooth  
773 marks, microbial bioerosion, and the invalidation of Domínguez-Rodrigo and Barba's  
774 (2006) test of Oldowan hominin scavenging behavior. *Journal Human Evolution* 53, 420-  
775 426.

776 Blumenschine, R.J., Selvaggio, M.M., 1988. Percussion marks on bone surfaces as a new  
777 diagnostic of hominid behavior. *Nature* 333, 763-765.

778 Bramble, D.M., Lieberman, D.E., 2004. Endurance running and the evolution of *Homo*. *Nature*  
779 432, 345-352.

780 Braun, D.R., Harris, J.W., Levin, N.E., McCoy, J.T., Herries, A.I., Bamford, M.K., Bishop, L.C.,  
781 Richmond, B.G., Kibunjia, M., 2010. Early hominin diet included diverse terrestrial and  
782 aquatic animals 1.95 Ma in East Turkana, Kenya. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
783 *Sciences USA* 107, 10002-10007.

784 Bunn, H.T., 1981. Archaeological evidence for meat-eating by Plio-Pleistocene hominids from  
785 Koobi Fora and Olduvai Gorge. *Nature* 291, 574-577.

786 Bunn H.T., 1982. Meat-eating and human evolution: studies on the diet and subsistence patterns  
787 of Plio-Pleistocene hominids in East Africa. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California,  
788 Berkeley.

789 Bunn, H.T., 2001. Power scavenging and butchering by Hadza foragers and by Plio-Pleistocene  
790 *Homo*. In: Stanford, C.B., Bunn, H.T. (Eds.), Meat Eating and Human Evolution. Oxford  
791 University Press, Oxford, pp. 199-218.

792 Bunn, H.T., Ezzo, J.A., 1993. Hunting and scavenging by Plio-Pleistocene hominids: nutritional  
793 constraints, archaeological patterns, and behavioral implications. *Journal of Archaeological*  
794 *Science* 20, 365-398.

795 Bunn, H.T., Gurtov, A.N., 2014. Prey mortality profiles indicate that Early Pleistocene *Homo* at  
796 Olduvai was an ambush predator. *Quaternary International* 322, 44-53.

797 Bunn, H.T., Kroll, E.M., 1986. Systematic butchery by Plio-Pleistocene hominids at Olduvai  
798 Gorge, Tanzania. *Current Anthropology* 27, 431-452.

799 Bunn, H.T., Pickering, T.R., 2010. Bovid mortality profiles in paleoecological context falsify  
800 hypotheses of endurance running-hunting and passive scavenging by early Pleistocene  
801 hominins. *Quaternary Research* 74, 395-404.

802 Capaldo, S.D., 1997. Experimental determinations of carcass processing by Plio-Pleistocene  
803 hominids and carnivores at FLK 22 (*Zinjanthropus*), Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of*  
804 *Human Evolution* 33, 555-597.

805 Capaldo, S.D., 1998. Simulating the formation of dual-patterned archaeofaunal assemblages with  
806 experimental control simples. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35, 311-330.

807 Capaldo, S.D., Blumenschine, R.J., 1994. A quantitative diagnosis of notches made by  
808 hammerstone percussion and carnivore gnawing in bovid long bones. *American Antiquity*  
809 59, 724-748.

810 Cerling, T.E., Hay, R.L., 1986. An isotopic study of paleosol carbonates from Olduvai Gorge.  
811 *Quaternary Research* 25, 63-78.

812 Deino, A.L., 2012.  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  dating of Bed I, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania, and the chronology of  
813 early Pleistocene climate change. *Journal of Human Evolution* 63, 251-273.

814 de Heinzelin, J., Clark, J.D., White, T., Hart, W., Renne, P., WoldeGabriel, G., Beyene, Y.,  
815 Vrba, E., 1999. Environment and behavior of 2.5-million-year-old Bouri hominids. *Science*  
816 284, 625-629.

817 Ditchfield, P.W., Whitfield, E., Vincent, T., Plummer, T., Braun, D., Deino, A., Hertel, F.,  
818 Oliver, J.S., Louys, J., Bishop, L.C., 2019. Geochronology and physical context of  
819 Oldowan site formation at Kanjera South, Kenya. *Geological Magazine* 156, 1190-1200.

820 Ditchfield, P., Hicks, J., Plummer, T., Bishop, L. C., Potts, R., 1999. Current research on the  
821 Late Pliocene and Pleistocene deposits north of Homa Mountain, southwestern Kenya.  
822 *Journal of Human Evolution* 36, 123-150.

823 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., 1997. Meat-eating by early hominids at the FLK 22 *Zinjanthropus* site,  
824 Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania): an experimental approach using cut-mark data. *Journal of*  
825 *Human Evolution* 33, 669-690.

826 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., 1999. Flesh availability and bone modifications in carcasses consumed  
827 by lions: palaeoecological relevance in hominid foraging patterns. *Palaeogeography,*  
828 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 149, 373-388.

829 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., 2001. A study of carnivore competition in riparian and open habitats of  
830 modern savannas and its implications for hominid behavioral modeling. *Journal of Human*  
831 *Evolution* 40, 77-98.

832 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Baquedano, E. 2018. Distinguishing butchery cut marks from  
833 crocodile bite marks through machine learning methods. *Scientific Reports* 8(1), 1-8.

834 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Barba, R., 2006. New estimates of tooth mark and percussion mark  
835 frequencies at the FLK Zinj site: the carnivore-hominid-carnivore hypothesis falsified.  
836 *Journal of Human Evolution* 50, 170-194.

837 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Barba, R. 2007. The behavioral meaning of cut marks at the FLK  
838 Zinj level: the carnivore-hominid-carnivore hypothesis falsified (II). In Domínguez-  
839 Rodrigo, M., Barba, R., Egeland, C.P. (Eds.) *Deconstructing Olduvai: a taphonomic study*  
840 *of the Bed I sites*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 75-100.

841 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Pickering, T.R., 2003. Early hominid hunting and scavenging: a  
842 zooarchaeological review. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 12, 275-282.

843 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Barba, R., Egeland, C.P. (Eds.), 2007a. *Deconstructing Olduvai: A*  
844 *Taphonomic Study of the Bed I Sites*. Springer, Dordrecht.

845 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Pickering, T.R., Bunn, H.T., 2010. Configurational approach to  
846 identifying the earliest hominin butchers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
847 *Sciences USA* 107, 20929-20934.

848 Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Pickering, T.R., Semaw, S., Rogers, M.J. 2005. Cutmarked bones from  
849 Pliocene archaeological sites at Gona, Afar, Ethiopia: implications for the function of the  
850 world's oldest stone tools. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 48(2), 109-121.

851 ESRI, 2008. *ArcGIS Desktop: Version 9.3*. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research  
852 Institute.

853 Egeland, C.P., 2003. Carcass processing intensity and cutmark creation: an experimental  
854 approach. *Plains Anthropologist* 48, 39-51.

855 Fernandez-Jalvo, Y. and Andrews, P. 2016. *Atlas of Taphonomic Identifications: 1001+ images*  
856 *of fossil and recent mammal bone modification*. Springer, Dordrecht.

857 Ferraro, J., 2007. The Late Pliocene zooarchaeology of Kanjera South, Kenya. Ph.D.  
858 Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.

859 Ferraro, J.V., Plummer, T.W., Pobiner, B.L., Oliver, J.S., Bishop, L.C., Braun, D.R., Ditchfield,  
860 P.W., Seaman, J.W. III, Binetti, K.M., Seaman, J.W. Jr., Hertel, F., Potts, R., 2013. Earliest  
861 archaeological evidence for persistent hominin carnivory. PLoS One 8, e62174.

862 Haeusler, M., McHenry, H.M., 2004. Body proportions of *Homo habilis* reviewed. Journal of  
863 Human Evolution 46, 433-465.

864 Haynes, G. 1980. Evidence of carnivore gnawing on Pleistocene and Recent mammalian bones.  
865 Paleobiology 6, 341-351.

866 Isaac, G.L., 1978. The food-sharing behavior of protohuman hominids. Scientific American 238,  
867 90-108.

868 Klein, R.G., 1982. Age (mortality) profiles as a means of distinguishing hunted species from  
869 scavenged ones in Stone Age archaeological sites. Paleobiology 8, 151-158.

870 Kruuk, H., 1972. The Spotted Hyena. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

871 Lemorini, C., Bishop, L. C., Plummer, T. W., Braun, D. R., Ditchfield, P. W., Oliver, J. S., 2019.  
872 Old stones' song—second verse: use-wear analysis of rhyolite and fenitized andesite  
873 artifacts from the Oldowan lithic industry of Kanjera South, Kenya. Archaeological and  
874 Anthropological Sciences 11, 4729-4754.

875 Lemorini, C., Plummer, T.W., Braun, D.R., Crittenden, A.N., Ditchfield, P.W., Bishop, L.C.,  
876 Hertel, F., Oliver, J.S., Marlowe, F.W., Schoeninger, M.J., Potts, R., 2014. Old stones'  
877 song: use-wear experiments and analysis of the Oldowan quartz and quartzite assemblage  
878 from Kanjera South (Kenya). Journal of Human Evolution 72, 10-25.

879 Lupo, K.D., O'Connell, J.F., 2002. Cut and tooth mark distributions on large animal bones:

880 ethnoarchaeological data from the Hadza and their implications for current ideas about  
881 early hominin carnivory. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 29, 85-109.

882 Lyman, R.L. 1994. *Vertebrate Taphonomy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

883 Marean, C.W., Abe, Y., Frey, C.J., Randall, R.C., 2000. Zooarchaeological and taphonomic  
884 analysis of the Die Kelders Cave 1 layers 10 and 11 Middle Stone Age larger mammal  
885 fauna. *Journal of Human Evolution* 38, 197-233.

886 Marean, C.W., Abe, Y., Nilssen, P.J., Stone, E.C., 2001. Estimating the minimum number of  
887 skeletal elements (MNE) in zooarchaeology: a review and a new image-analysis GIS  
888 approach. *American Antiquity* 66, 333-348.

889 Marean, C.W., Ehrhardt, C.L., 1995. Paleoanthropological and paleoecological implications of  
890 the taphonomy of a sabertooth's den. *Journal of Human Evolution* 29, 515-547.

891 McCoy, J.T., 2009. Ecological and behavioral implications of new archaeological occurrences  
892 from upper Burgi exposures at Koobi Fora, Kenya. Ph.D. Dissertation, Rutgers University.

893 McPherron, S.P., Alemseged, Z., Marean, C.W., Wynn, J.G., Reed, D., Geraads, D., 2010.  
894 Evidence for stone-tool-assisted consumption of animal tissues before 3.39 million years  
895 ago at Dikika, Ethiopia. *Nature* 466, 857-860.

896 Nilssen, P.J., 2000. An actualistic butchery study in South Africa and its implications for  
897 reconstructing hominid strategies of carcass acquisition and butchery in the Upper  
898 Pleistocene and Plio-Pleistocene. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Cape Town.

899 Njau, J. K., Blumenshine, R. J. 2006. A diagnosis of crocodile feeding traces on larger  
900 mammal bone, with fossil examples from the Plio-Pleistocene Olduvai Basin, Tanzania.  
901 *Journal of Human Evolution*, 50(2), 142-162.

902 Njau, J., Gilbert, H. 2016. Standardizing terms for crocodile-induced bite marks on bone



903 surfaces in light of the frequent bone modification equifinality found to result from  
904 crocodile feeding behavior, stone tool modification, and trampling. FOROST (Forensic  
905 Osteology) Occasional Publications 3, 1-13.

906 Oliver, J.S., 1994. Estimates of hominid and carnivore involvement in the FLK *Zinjanthropus*  
907 fossil assemblage: some socioeconomic implications. *Journal of Human Evolution* 27, 267-  
908 294.

909 Oliver, J.S., 2015. Diagnosing bone fracture to assess early hominin behaviour, meat-eating, and  
910 socioecology at FLK-*Zinjanthropus*, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. Ph.D. Dissertation,  
911 Liverpool John Moores University.

912 Oliver, J. S., Plummer, T. W., Hertel, F., Bishop, L. C., 2019. Bovid mortality patterns from  
913 Kanjera South, Homa Peninsula, Kenya and FLK-Zinj, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania: evidence  
914 for habitat mediated variability in Oldowan hominin hunting and scavenging behavior.  
915 *Journal of Human Evolution* 131, 61-75.

916 Pante, M.C., Blumenschine, R.J., Capaldo, S.D., Scott, R., 2012. Validation of bone surface  
917 modification models for inferring fossil hominin and carnivore feeding interactions, with  
918 reapplication to FLK 22, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of Human Evolution* 63,395-  
919 407.

920 Pante, M.C., Njau, J.K., Hensley-Marschand, B., Keevil, T. L., Martín-Ramos, C., Peters, R.F.,  
921 de la Torre, I., 2018. The carnivorous feeding behavior of early Homo at HWK EE, Bed II,  
922 Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of Human Evolution* 120, 215-235.

923 Pante, M.C., de la Torre, I., 2018. A hidden treasure of the Lower Pleistocene at Olduvai Gorge,  
924 Tanzania: the Leakey HWK EE assemblage. *Journal of Human Evolution* 120, 114-139.

925 Parkinson, J.A., 2013. A GIS image analysis approach to documenting Oldowan hominin carcass  
926 acquisition: evidence from Kanjera South, FLK Zinj, and neo-taphonomic models of  
927 carnivore bone destruction. Ph.D. Dissertation, City University of New York.

928 Parkinson, J.A., 2018. Revisiting the hunting-versus-scavenging debate at FLK Zinj: a GIS  
929 spatial analysis of bone surface modifications produced by hominins and carnivores in the  
930 FLK 22 assemblage, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*  
931 *Palaeoecology* 511, 29-51.

932 Parkinson, J.A., Plummer, T.W., Bose, R., 2014. A GIS-based approach to documenting large  
933 canid damage to bones. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 409, 57-71.

934 Parkinson, J.A., Plummer, T., Hartstone-Rose, A., 2015. Characterizing felid tooth marking and  
935 gross bone damage patterns using GIS image analysis: An experimental feeding study with  
936 large felids. *Journal of Human Evolution* 80, 114-134.

937 Plummer, T., 2004. Flaked stones and old bones: biological and cultural evolution at the dawn of  
938 technology. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology* 47, 118-164.

939 Plummer, T.W. and Bishop, L.C., 2016. Oldowan hominin behavior and ecology at Kanjera  
940 South, Kenya. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 94, 1-12.

941 Plummer, T. W., Bishop, L. C., Ditchfield, P. W., Ferraro, J. V., Kingston, J. D., Hertel, F.,  
942 Braun, D. R., 2009a. The environmental context of Oldowan hominin activities at Kanjera  
943 South, Kenya. In: Hovers, E., Braun, D.R. (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary approaches to the*  
944 *Oldowan*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 149-160.

945 Plummer, T., Bishop, L.C., Ditchfield, P., Hicks, J., 1999. Research on Late Pliocene Oldowan  
946 sites at Kanjera South, Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 36, 151-170.

947 Plummer, T.W., Ditchfield, P.W., Bishop, L.C., Kingston, J.D., Ferraro, J.V., Braun, D.R.,  
948 Hertel, F., Potts, R., 2009b. Oldest evidence of toolmaking hominins in a grassland-  
949 dominated ecosystem. PLoS One 4, e7199.

950 Plummer, T.W., Finestone, E., 2018. Archaeological sites from 2.6-2.0 Ma: Towards a deeper  
951 understanding of the early Oldowan. In: Schwartz, J.H. (Ed.), Rethinking Human  
952 Evolution. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp. 267-296.

953 Pobiner, B. L., 2015. New actualistic data on the ecology and energetics of hominin scavenging  
954 opportunities. Journal of Human Evolution 80, 1-16.

955 Pobiner, B.L., Braun, D.R., 2005. Strengthening the inferential link between cutmark frequency  
956 data and Oldowan hominid behavior: results from modern butchery experiments. Journal  
957 of Taphonomy 3, 107-119.

958 Pobiner, B., Dumouchel, L., Parkinson, J. 2020. A new semi-quantitative method for  
959 coding carnivore chewing damage with an application to modern African lion-  
960 damaged bones. Palaios, 35(7), 302-315.

961 Pobiner, B.L., Rogers, M.J., Monahan, C.M. Harris, J.W.K., 2008. New evidence for hominin  
962 carcass processing strategies at 1.5 Ma, Koobi Fora, Kenya. Journal of Human Evolution  
963 55, 103-130.

964 Pontzer, H., 2012. Ecological energetics in early *Homo*. Current Anthropology 53(S6), S346-  
965 S358.

966 Potts, R., 1988. Early Hominid Activities at Olduvai. Aldine, New York.

967 Potts, R., Shipman, P. 1981. Cutmarks made by stone tools on bones from Olduvai Gorge,  
968 Tanzania. Nature 291, 577-580.

969 Pruetz, J.D., Bertolani, P., 2007. Savanna chimpanzees, *Pan troglodytes verus*, hunt with tools.

970 Current Biology 17, 1-6.

971 Quinn, R.L., Lepre, C.J., Wright, J.D., Feibel, C.S. 2007. Paleogeographic variations of  
972 pedogenic carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values from Koobi Fora, Kenya: implications for floral  
973 compositions of Plio-Pleistocene hominin environments. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 53,  
974 560-573.

975 Rose, L., 2001. Meat and the early human diet. In: Stanford, C.B., and Bunn, H.T. (Eds.), *Meat-*  
976 *eating and Human Evolution*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 141-159.

977 Sahle, Y., El Zaatari, S., White, T.D. 2017. Hominid butchers and biting crocodiles in the  
978 African Plio–Pleistocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(50),  
979 13164-13169.

980 Sahnouni, M., Parés, J.M., Duval, M., Cáceres, I., Harichane, Z., Van der Made, J., Pérez-  
981 González, A., Abdessadok, S., Kandi, N., Derradji, A., Medig, M., Boulaghraif, K.,  
982 Semaw, S. 2018. 1.9-million-and 2.4-million-year-old artifacts and stone tool–cutmarked  
983 bones from Ain Boucherit, Algeria. *Science*, 362(6420), 1297-1301.

984 Schaller, G.B., 1972. *The Serengeti Lion: A Study of Predator-Prey Relations*. University of  
985 Chicago Press, Chicago.

986 Selvaggio, M.M., 1998. Evidence for a three-stage sequence of hominid and carnivore  
987 involvement with long bones at FLK *Zinjanthropus*, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of*  
988 *Archaeological Science* 25, 191-202.

989 Sikes, N., 1994. Early hominid habitat preferences in East Africa: paleosol carbon isotopic  
990 evidence. *Journal of Human Evolution* 27, 25-45.

991 Stiner, M.C., 1990. The use of mortality patterns in archaeological studies of hominid predatory  
992 adaptations. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 9, 305-351.

- 993 Swedell, L., Plummer, T., 2019. Social evolution in Plio-Pleistocene hominins: Insights from  
994 hamadryas baboons and paleoecology. *Journal of Human Evolution* 137, 102667.
- 995 Tappen, M., 1995. Savanna ecology and natural bone deposition: implications for early hominid  
996 site formation, hunting, and scavenging. *Current Anthropology* 36, 223-260.
- 997 Tappen, M., 2001. Deconstructing the Serengeti. In: Sanford, C.B., Bunn, H.T. (Eds.), *Meat*  
998 *Eating and Human Evolution*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 13-32.
- 999 Volmer, R., Hertler, C. 2016. The effect of competition on shared food resources in carnivore  
1000 guilds. *Quaternary International*, 413, 32-43.
- 1001 Wilkins, J., Schoville, B.J., Brown, K.S., Chazan, M., 2012. Evidence for early hafted hunting  
1002 technology. *Science* 338, 942-946.

1003 **Figure captions**

1004

1005 **Figure 1.** Location of Kanjera South in relation to some other Oldowan sites.

1006

1007 **Figure 2.** Examples of bone surface modifications found in the Kanjera South assemblage: A)  
1008 femur with percussion notch, view from medullary surface (#5268); B) percussion marks on long  
1009 bone shaft fragment (#24844 from 2009 excavation); C) cut-marked rib (#24434); D) carnivore  
1010 tooth pit on rib (#15054); E) carnivore tooth score on head of femur (#2565).

1011

1012 **Figure 3.** Preservation of small and medium bovid hindlimbs at Kanjera South compared with  
1013 FLK Zinj and experimental models of bone damage to small/medium bovids: A) femur; B) tibia;  
1014 C) metatarsal.  $n$  = greatest number of fragment overlaps. Hammerstone-only and Hammerstone-  
1015 to-Carnivore models are based on Blumenschine's experimental collection, and represent  
1016 right/left composite images shown on a left side template. The felid model is from Parkinson et  
1017 al. (2015). The FLK Zinj model is from Parkinson (2018). Four views are shown for each  
1018 experimental model in the following order (from left to right): anterior, lateral, posterior, and  
1019 medial. Data from Kanjera specimens was recorded separately on right and left. When the  
1020 sample size from the right side was larger, the right side data was transposed onto the left  
1021 template for better visualization.

1022

1023 **Figure 4.** Preservation of small and medium bovid forelimbs at Kanjera South compared with  
1024 FLK Zinj and experimental models of bone damage to small/medium bovids: A) humerus; B)  
1025 radius; C) ulna; D) metacarpal.  $n$  = greatest number of fragment overlaps. Hammerstone-only

1026 and Hammerstone-to-Carnivore models are based on Blumenschine's experimental collection,  
1027 and represent right/left composite images shown on a left side template. The felid model is from  
1028 Parkinson et al. (2015). The FLK Zinj model is from Parkinson (2018). Four views are shown for  
1029 each experimental model in the following order (from left to right): anterior, lateral, posterior,  
1030 and medial. Data from Kanjera specimens was recorded separately on right and left. When the  
1031 sample size from the right side was larger, the right side data was transposed onto the left  
1032 template for better visualization.

1033

1034 **Figure 5.** Preservation of large bovid hindlimbs at Kanjera South compared with FLK Zinj and  
1035 experimental models of bone damage to large bovids: A) femur; B) tibia (only right side  
1036 available); C) metatarsal.  $n$  = greatest number of fragment overlaps. Hammerstone-only,  
1037 Hammerstone-to-Carnivore, and Carnivore-only (hyaenid) models are based on Blumenschine's  
1038 experimental collection, and represent right/left composite images shown on a left side template.  
1039 The FLK Zinj model is from Parkinson (2018). Four views are shown for each experimental  
1040 model in the following order (from left to right): anterior, lateral, posterior, and medial. All  
1041 images show damage on a left side template. Data from KJS specimens was recorded separately  
1042 on right and left. When the sample size from the right side was larger, the right side data was  
1043 transposed onto the left template for better visualization.

1044

1045 **Figure 6.** Preservation of large bovid forelimbs at Kanjera South compared with FLK Zinj and  
1046 experimental models of bone damage to large bovids: A) humerus; B) radio-ulna; C) metacarpal.  
1047  $n$  = greatest number of fragment overlaps. Hammerstone-only, Hammerstone-to-Carnivore, and  
1048 Carnivore-only (hyaenid) models are based on Blumenschine's experimental collection, and

1049 represent right/left composite images shown on a left side template. The FLK Zinj model is from  
1050 Parkinson (2018). Four views are shown for each experimental model in the following order  
1051 (from left to right): anterior, lateral, posterior, and medial. Data from KJS specimens was  
1052 recorded separately on right and left. When the sample size from the right side was larger, the  
1053 right side data was transposed onto the left template for better visualization.

1054

1055 **Figure 7.** Example of percussion notches on large bovid humerus (#7379). Upper is cortical  
1056 view, lower is medullary view.

1057

1058 **Figure 8.** Distribution of carnivore damage on the small and medium bovids from Kanjera South  
1059 compared with experimental models of carnivore tooth-marking on small/medium bovids. Panels  
1060 represent composites of modifications on right and left elements shown on a left side template.  
1061 Distribution of individual tooth pits (green points) are shown for KJS. GIS density analyses of  
1062 carnivore tooth marking are shown for experimental assemblages, which have larger sample  
1063 sizes. The red shaded areas indicate highest concentrations of tooth pits. Tooth marking includes  
1064 both pits and scores.  $n$  = number of specimens bearing tooth marks. The Hammerstone-to-  
1065 Carnivore models are based on Blumenschine's experimental collection, and Carnivore-only  
1066 (felid) models are from Parkinson (2018).

1067

1068 **Figure 9.** Domínguez-Rodrigo's 'cold zones' (blue shading) overlain on the KJS bovid hominin  
1069 modifications: A) small bovid femur; B) small bovid tibia; C) large bovid humerus; large bovid  
1070 radio-ulna. Note cut marks occur almost exclusively in hot zones (unshaded portions) for small  
1071 bovids.



1072

1073 **Figure 10.** Percent of small and medium-sized tooth-marked (A) and cut marked (B) specimens  
1074 from Kanjera South compared with FLK Zinj. KJS data includes Beds KS-1 through KS-3  
1075 combined and includes size classes 1-3a. Data from Tables 3 and 4. Only Blumenschine's total is  
1076 plotted, as his data are not reported by anatomical part.

1077

1078 **Figure 11.** Percentage of tooth-marked (% TM) long-bone midshaft fragments (size 1-2  
1079 carcasses) for Kanjera South (mean of values for KS-1, KS-2, and KS-3 reported by Ferraro et  
1080 al., 2017), FLK Zinj (Parkinson, 2018), and HWK EE (Pante et al., 2018) compared with  
1081 experimental carnivore-first models (Blumenschine, 1995; Marean et al., 2000; Parkinson et al.,  
1082 2015), human-first models (Blumenschine, 1995; Capaldo, 1995), and ethnographic observations  
1083 of the Hadza (Lupo and O'Connell, 2002). Data listed in Supplementary Table 2. Error bars  
1084 represent 95% confidence interval for modern assemblages.

1085

1086 **Figure 12.** Summary of bone surface modification frequencies at Kanjera South and FLK Zinj.  
1087 Frequency data are for assemblage-wide NISP calculated in this study (KJS) and by Parkinson  
1088 (2018; FLK Zinj).