

## LJMU Research Online

Grand, RJJ, Kawata, D and Cropper, M

Dynamics of stars around spiral arms in an N-body/SPH simulated barred spiral galaxy

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/19364/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Grand, RJJ, Kawata, D and Cropper, M (2012) Dynamics of stars around spiral arms in an N-body/SPH simulated barred spiral galaxy. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 426 (1). pp. 167-180. ISSN 0035-8711

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 426, 167–180 (2012)

# Dynamics of stars around spiral arms in an *N*-body/SPH simulated barred spiral galaxy

### Robert J. J. Grand,\* Daisuke Kawata and Mark Cropper

Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St. Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT

Accepted 2012 July 17. Received 2012 July 12; in original form 2012 February 28

#### ABSTRACT

We run N-body smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations of a Milky Way-sized galaxy. The code takes into account hydrodynamics, self-gravity, star formation, supernova and stellar wind feedback, radiative cooling and metal enrichment. The simulated galaxy is a barred spiral galaxy consisting of a stellar and gas disc, enveloped in a static dark matter halo. Similar to what is found in our pure *N*-body simulation of a non-barred galaxy in Grand et al., we find that the spiral arms are transient features whose pattern speeds decrease with radius, in such a way that the pattern speed is similar to the rotation of star particles. Compared to the non-barred case, we find that the spiral arm pattern speed is slightly faster than the rotation speed of star particles: the bar appears to boost the pattern speed ahead of the rotational velocity. We trace particle motion around the spiral arms at different radii, and demonstrate that there are star particles that are drawn towards and join the arm from behind (in front of) the arm and migrate towards the outer (inner) regions of the disc until the arm disappears as a result of their transient nature. We see this migration over the entire radial range analysed, which is a consequence of the spiral arm rotating at similar speeds to star particles at all radii, which is inconsistent with the prediction of classical density wave theory. The bar does not prevent this systematic radial migration, which is shown to largely preserve circular orbits. We also demonstrate that there is no significant offset of different star-forming tracers across the spiral arm, which is also inconsistent with the prediction of classical density wave theory.

**Key words:** galaxies: evolution – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: spiral – galaxies: structure.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

The most well-known theory of spiral arm structure is the so-called spiral density wave theory, which describes the spiral arms as quasistationary density waves (Lindblad 1960; Lin & Shu 1964) that rotate with the same pattern speed at every radius. The spiral arm can therefore be described by a wavefunction. To describe the spiral arm with a wavefunction is beneficial because it is then possible to extract analytic solutions of quantities such as the dispersion relation by use of Euler's equations of motion. For these solutions, the tight-winding approximation is made, which require very tight spirals whose pitch angles remain constant (see Athanassoula 1984 and references therein). Spiral galaxies have been discussed in the context of global density wave patterns (e.g. Amaral & Lepine 1997; Yano, Chiba & Gouda 2002; Antoja et al. 2011; Lépine et al. 2011). While some studies (Donner & Thomasson 1994) argue the existence of long-lived patterns in their simulations, the longlived classic spiral density structure has never been reproduced self-consistently, and in all numerical simulations, the spiral arm is seen to be a transient structure (Sellwood 2011).

The transient property exhibited by simulated spiral arms has stimulated the emergence of new discussion of spiral arm evolution. A recent suggestion includes multiple wave modes of different pattern speeds that create the transient nature of spiral arms by constructively and destructively interfering with one another, thereby ensuring the growth and decay of the stellar density enhancement (e.g. Sellwood & Kahn 1991; Masset & Tagger 1997; Minchev & Quillen 2006; Quillen et al. 2011; Roškar et al. 2011).

Another possible explanation of the transient nature is the corotating spiral arm. Here, the spiral arm is considered to be rotating with the material at every radius. Naturally, the transient property of the arm is manifested by the winding, which propagates to breaks and bifurcations of the spiral arm. Such breaks in the spiral arm structure were found in Wada, Baba & Saitoh (2011) and Grand et al. (2012), who performed high-resolution *N*-body smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations (and in the latter case, pure *N*-body simulations) of an isolated spiral galaxy. They show that

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: rjg2@mssl.ucl.ac.uk

the pattern speed of the spiral arms decreases with radius, such that it follows the circular velocity of star particles.

The corotating spiral arm is found to have significant consequences on the radial migration of star particles. Grand et al. (2012) demonstrated a new type of systematic motion of star particles close to the spiral arm in their simulation that leads to large, efficient radial migration of star particles all along the arm as opposed to the currently considered case of a single corotation radius (Lynden-Bell & Kalnajs 1972; Sellwood & Binney 2002). The star particles were shown to join the arm from both sides. Star particles behind (in front of) the arm were accelerated (decelerated) continually because the similar rotation speeds of the star particles and spiral arm allowed the migrating star particles to stay very close to the density enhancement of the spiral arm. This mechanism is responsible for the steady gain/loss of angular momentum of the migrating star particles, whereby the star particle is allowed us to find a new equilibrium in a higher/lower energy circular orbit, without scattering kinematically. The star particles never crossed the arm as they migrated, and stopped migrating when the high amplitude of the density enhancement disappeared owing to the transient nature of the arms. Because this simulation was an N-body simulation of a pure stellar disc with no bar or bulge, the only factor that could be responsible for the observed motion was the spiral arm features.

Complementary to numerical simulations, observational tests of the pattern speed have been made by Speights & Westpfahl (2011), who use the solutions to the Tremaine-Weinberg equations (Tremaine & Weinberg 1984) to perform a statistical analysis on NGC 1365, and find the best solution to be a pattern speed that decreases as 1/r (see also Merrifield, Rand & Meidt 2005, 2006; Meidt et al. 2008: Meidt, Rand & Merrifield 2009). Another test is the presence of (or lack of) a clear offset between different starforming tracers across a spiral arm. In the context of density waves, star particles should flow through the spiral arm (everywhere except at a corotation radius) if the pattern speed is constant. As gas flows into the spiral arm from behind the arm inside corotation and from in front of the arm outside corotation, it is compressed into molecular clouds (MCs). Stars are born from MCs and age as they continue to flow relative to the arm, leaving a clear trail of stellar evolution (star-forming tracers) from one side of the arm to the other. This has recently been tested for NGC 4321 by Ferreras et al. (2012), who find no apparent offset between  $H_{\alpha}$  and ultraviolet (UV) sources. Foyle et al. (2011) also find no offset in 12 nearby spiral galaxies by observing the star-forming tracers H1 and CO, 24 µm emission and UV emission to trace atomic gas, molecular gas, enshrouded stars and young stars, respectively. Both studies are evidence against long-lived spiral arms.

In this study, our aim is to build upon our previous study (Grand et al. 2012) that focused on N-body dynamics in a pure stellar disc, and extend this research on the spiral arm pattern speed and star particle dynamics in high-resolution N-body/SPH simulations of a barred spiral galaxy. This will enable us to study the spiral arm and its effects in a more realistic context, and to determine whether or not the presence of gas, star formation and a bar produces any significant effect on particle motion that may be distinguished from those seen in the pure N-body simulation. In comparison to Grand et al. (2012), we present a more robust method for determining the apparent pattern speed of the spiral arm, and attention is given to the energy evolution of particles that undergo radial migration at many radii. Additionally, we show the distribution of young star particles of different ages to check for offsets in different star-forming tracers. Although the analysis could be extended to the bar region, this paper focuses on the spiral arm. Hence we

leave the analysis of the structure and evolution of bars to future studies.

In Section 2, a description of the SPH code is given before the model set-up and the chosen initial parameters are outlined. In Section 3 we present the results of our analysis, compare them with previous studies and discuss their implications. In Section 4 we summarize the significance of the results and remark upon the value of the simulations and future work.

#### 2 METHOD AND MODEL SET-UP

#### 2.1 GCD+ CODE

In our simulation, we use an updated version of the original galactic chemodynamical evolution code, GCD+, developed by Kawata & Gibson (2003). A detailed description of the code is seen in Rahimi & Kawata (2012). Here we give a brief outline. GCD+ is a threedimensional tree N-body/SPH code (Gingold & Monaghan 1977; Lucy 1977; Barnes & Hut 1986; Hernquist & Katz 1989; Katz, Weinberg & Hernquist 1996) that incorporates self-gravity, hydrodynamics, radiative cooling, star formation, supernova feedback and metal enrichment. This latest version of GCD+ takes into account metal diffusion as suggested by Greif et al. (2009). The scheme follows that of Rosswog & Price (2007): we use their artificial viscosity switch (Morris & Monaghan 1997) and artificial thermal conductivity to resolve Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (Kawata et al. 2009). Further adaptations include those of adaptive softening (Price & Monaghan 2007) and an individual time step limiter (Saitoh et al. 2008) in order to correctly resolve particle response to shock lavers ploughing through material from supernova and wind-blown bubbles (e.g. Merlin et al. 2010; Durier & Dalla Vecchia 2012).

Radiative cooling and heating is calculated with CLOUDY (v08.00; Ferland et al. 1998). UV background radiation is also taken into account (Haardt & Madau 1996). Our star formation formula corresponds to the Schmidt law. We set a threshold density for star formation,  $n_{th}$ , which means that star formation will occur for any region that exceeds this density and the velocity field is convergent.

We assume that stars are distributed according to the Salpeter (1955) initial mass function (IMF). Chemical enrichment by both Type II (Woosley & Weaver 1995) and Type Ia supernovae (Iwamoto et al. 1999; Kobayashi, Tsujimoto & Nomoto 2000) and mass loss from intermediate-mass stars (van den Hoek & Groenewegen 1997) are taken into account. The new version of GCD+ uses a different scheme for star formation and feedback (see Rahimi & Kawata 2012). We now keep the mass of the baryon (gas and star) particles completely the same, unlike our old version (Kawata & Gibson 2003) or the majority of SPH simulations which include star formation.

The main parameters that govern star formation and supernova feedback (Rahimi & Kawata 2012) are set as follows: the star formation density threshold,  $n_{\rm th} = 1.0 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ ; star formation efficiency,  $C_* = 0.1$ ; supernova energy input,  $E_{\rm SW} = 10^{50} \,{\rm erg}$  per supernova; and stellar wind energy input,  $E_{\rm SW} = 10^{36} \,{\rm erg} \,{\rm s}^{-1}$ . Each particle in the simulation is assigned a unique ID number. This makes it easy to trace any particle during the evolution of the simulation.

#### 2.2 Simulation set-up

Our simulated galaxy consists of aspherical static dark matter halo and two live discs: a stellar disc and a gas disc. The dark matter halo density profile follows that of Navarro, Frenk & White (1997):

$$\rho_{\rm dm} = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} (1+z_0)^3 \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega(z)} \frac{\rho_{\rm c}}{cx(1+cx)^2},\tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_c$  is the characteristic density described by Navarro et al. (1997), the concentration parameter,  $c = r_{200}/r_s$  and  $x = r/r_{200}$ . The scale length is  $r_s$ , and  $r_{200}$  is the radius inside which the mean density of the dark matter sphere is equal to  $200\rho_{crit}$  (where  $\rho_{crit} = 3H_0^2/8\pi G$ ; the critical density for closure):

$$r_{200} = 1.63 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{M_{200}}{h^{-1} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}}\right)^{1/3} \left[\frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega(z_0)}\right]^{-1/3} (1+z_0)^{-1} \,h^{-1} \mathrm{kpc.}$$
(2)

We assume  $M_{200} = 1.5 \times 10^{12} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ , c = 10,  $\Omega_0 = 0.266$ ,  $z_0 = 0$ and  $H_0 = 71 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}}$ .

The stellar disc is assumed to follow an exponential surface density profile:

$$\rho_{\rm d,*} = \frac{M_{\rm d,*}}{4\pi z_{\rm d,*} R_{\rm d,*}} {\rm sech}^2 \left(\frac{z}{z_{\rm d,*}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_{\rm d,*}}\right),\tag{3}$$

where the disc mass,  $M_{d,*} = 5 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ , the scale length,  $R_{d,*} = 2.5 \text{ kpc}$  and the scale height  $z_{d,*} = 0.35 \text{ kpc}$ , which is constant over the disc. The velocity dispersion for each three-dimensional position of the disc is computed following Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist (2005) to construct the almost equilibrium initial condition. One free parameter in this method is the ratio of the radial velocity



Figure 1. The rotational velocity at t = 0 (solid black line), t = 1.034 (dot-dashed blue line) and t = 1.393 Gyr (dashed red line).

dispersion to the vertical velocity dispersion,  $f_R$ , which relates as  $f_R = \sigma_R / \sigma_z$ . We choose  $f_R = 2$  in the simulation shown. The initial circular velocity curve is shown in Fig. 1 (solid line). The initial rotation speed at 8 kpc is ~220 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

The gas disc is set up following the method described in Springel et al. (2005). The radial surface density profile is assumed to follow an exponential law like the stellar disc. The initial vertical distribution of the gas is iteratively calculated to be in hydrostatic equilibrium assuming the equation of state calculated from our assumed cooling and heating function. For the gas disc, we set the disc mass,  $M_{\rm d,g} = 1 \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  and the scale length,  $R_{\rm d,g} = 4.0 \,\mathrm{kpc}$ .

We use  $N_{d,*} = 2 \times 10^6$  and  $N_{d,g} = 4 \times 10^5$  particles for stars and gas, respectively, and therefore the mass of each particle is 2.5 ×  $10^4 M_{\odot}$ . Fujii et al. (2011) show that if more than one million particles are used to describe the disc component, artificial heating that suppresses the spiral arm formation is not significant. Our simulation uses a total of  $2.4 \times 10^6$  particles and therefore is expected to be less affected by artificial heating. We adopt a softening length equal to the smoothing length but set the minimum softening length to 340 pc for gas particles and apply a fixed softening length of 340 pc for star particles, with the spline softening suggested by Price & Monaghan (2007). These parameters of the stellar component are similar to that of the non-barred spiral galaxy simulated in Grand et al. (2012), but with a higher disc to halo mass ratio. To induce spontaneous bar formation (e.g. Ostriker & Peebles 1973), we have applied a lower concentration parameter, c = 10, in equation (1).

#### **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The simulation set-up in Section 2 was evolved for about 2 Gyr. The stellar and gas components are shown at two different times in Fig. 2, and we see a prominent bar spiral structure in both components. The strong bar develops around t = 1.034, and settles to a smaller bar before t = 1.393 Gyr. Similar to previous studies described in Section 1, we also find that the disc develops transient and recurrent spiral arms. In this paper, we focus our analysis on spiral arms at an early and late epoch in the evolution of the simulated galaxy, highlighted in Fig. 2. These times are referred to as the centre of each epoch throughout the paper. Particular attention is paid to these spiral arms because they are prominent arms, which facilitate our analysis and we are able to extract and more clearly demonstrate the key features that we want to identify, namely the pattern speed and the particle motion around the spiral arm.

The circular velocity at t = 0 and 1.034 Gyr (early epoch) and t = 1.393 Gyr (late epoch) is shown in Fig. 1. The circular velocity in



Figure 2. Snapshots of the face-on view of the simulated galaxy at t = 1.034 Gyr (left) and t = 1.393 Gyr (right). The left-hand images show the stellar density map, and the right-hand images show the gas density map. The bar is strong at the earlier time and becomes smaller at the later time.

© 2012 The Authors, MNRAS **426**, 167–180 Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society © 2012 RAS



**Figure 3.** The ratio of velocity dispersions in the radial and *z*-direction, at t = 0 (solid black line), t = 1.034 (dot–dashed blue line) and t = 1.393 Gyr (dashed red line) plotted as a function of radius.



**Figure 4.** Radial velocity dispersion computed at t = 0 (solid black line), t = 1.034 (dot–dashed blue line) and t = 1.393 Gyr (dashed red line) as a function of radius.

the inner region after t = 0 is significantly different from the initial circular velocity, owing to the strong gravitational field created by the developed bulge. Fig. 3 shows  $f_R$  as a function of radius at the same time steps. The value drops with time in the inner radii (bar region). Outside  $R \sim 5 \text{ kpc}$ ,  $f_R$  increases slightly as the disc is heated by strong spiral structure, which increases the velocity dispersion,  $\sigma_R$ , shown explicitly in Fig. 4. The effect on spiral structure is quantified in Fig. 5, which shows an increase of Toomre's instability parameter,  $Q = \sigma_R \kappa / 3.36 G \Sigma_*$ , in the spiral region, where  $\kappa$  is the epicycle frequency and  $\Sigma_*$  is the surface density of the stellar component. This is contrary to the bar region where Q is lowered owing to the large surface density excess in the central region shown in Fig. 6. A bulge that creates this excess of central density is likely formed through secular evolution caused by the bar (e.g. Pfenniger & Norman 1990; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004 and references therein). The developed bulge is apparent in Fig. 2.

We present analysis and discussion of two spiral arms at an early  $(t \sim 1.034 \text{ Gyr})$  and a later  $(t \sim 1.393 \text{ Gyr})$  epoch of the galaxy's evolution. This is because the bar is strong at the early epoch, in



**Figure 5.** Toomre's instability parameter, Q, computed at t = 0 (solid black line), t = 1.034 (dot–dashed blue line) and t = 1.393 Gyr (dashed red line) as a function of radius.



**Figure 6.** Logarithm of the surface density, computed at t = 0 (solid black line), t = 1.034 (dot–dashed blue line) and t = 1.393 Gyr (dashed red line) as a function of radius.

contrast with the later epoch when the bar is comparatively weak. To quantify the bar strength, we use a gravitational force field method (e.g. Buta & Block 2001; Buta et al. 2005). We first define a circular grid that covers an azimuth range of  $0-2\pi$  and a radial range of 1-5 kpc. At the centre of each grid point, the radial and tangential forces are calculated, which are then used to calculate the ratio:

$$Q_{\rm T}(R,\theta) = \frac{|F_{\rm T}(R,\theta)|}{\bar{F}_R(R,\theta)},\tag{4}$$

where  $F_{\rm T}(R, \theta)$  is the tangential force at a given grid point of coordinates  $(R, \theta)$ , and  $\bar{F}_{\rm R}(R, \theta)$  is the mean radial force averaged over each azimuth at a given radius (Combes & Sanders 1981). A maximum,  $Q_{{\rm b},i}$ , is found in each quadrant, where quadrants i = 1, 2, 3 and 4 are defined by setting the major and minor axes of the bar to the *x*- and *y*-axes, respectively. The bar strength is then defined as the average of these four values:  $Q_{\rm b} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_{{\rm b},i}/4$ . At the early epoch,  $Q_{\rm b} = 0.27$ , and at the late epoch,  $Q_{\rm b} = 0.11$ . According to the classification scheme outlined in Buta & Block (2001), these

First we present the analysis and results of the pattern speeds of the chosen spiral arms. Then we examine the motion of selected particles around the arm, and present and discuss an analysis of their angular momentum and energy evolution. We compute the angular momentum evolution around both spiral arms, and make a comparison between each case. We also examine the position of star particles of different ages in and around the spiral arm, which would be an observational test for pattern speeds of spiral arms (Tamburro et al. 2008; Egusa et al. 2009; Foyle et al. 2011; Ferreras et al. 2012). If the spiral arms rotate with a constant pattern speed, systematic offsets in azimuth between age populations and the spiral arm as a function of radii are expected.

It should be noted, however, that we also applied similar analyses to other spiral arms that developed at different times in this simulation as well as spiral arms in other barred spiral simulations with different initial configurations of the disc and dark matter halo. We find that all the spiral arms we analysed show very similar results to those shown in this section (see also Kawata, Grand & Cropper 2012).

#### 3.1 Pattern speed

Here we present a method for calculating the rate at which the stellar density enhancement rotates as a function of radius, i.e. the pattern speed. The pattern speeds are often measured by spectrogram analysis (e.g. Quillen et al. 2011). However, we focus on the angular pattern speed of the apparent spiral feature, and in this paper we refer to this as the pattern speed. The location of the stellar density peak is found at a range of radii for a series of snapshots. This is done by weighting the positional information of particles close to the arm by their density. First, an azimuth coordinate is chosen close to the peak as an initial guess at a given radius. Then, a suitable azimuthal range centred on the initial guess is applied to select the particles covering the whole spiral arm or bar. From the selected particles at a given radius, we calculate

$$\overline{\theta}_{\rm sp}(r) = \frac{\sum_{i}^{N} \rho_i \theta_i(r)}{\sum_{i}^{N} \rho_i(r)}.$$
(5)

Here,  $\theta_i$  and  $\rho_i$  are the azimuth angle and stellar density at the position of the *i*th star particle. We iteratively find  $\overline{\theta}_{sp}(r)$ , and narrow the sampling range of  $\theta$  progressively. In order to check the reliability of this method and the suitability of spiral arms, we show the density contours plotted over the density map in Figs 7 and 8 at the early and late epoch, respectively. The contours show that at some time steps such as t = 1.026 and 1.385 Gyr, the spiral arm of interest  $[(R(\text{kpc}), \theta(^{\circ})) \sim (5, 50) \text{ in both Figs 7 and 8]}$  has a well-defined single peak, which is more suitable for tracing unambiguously. However, at time t = 1.050 Gyr (t = 1.409 Gyr) in Fig. 7 (Fig. 8), the arm develops two peaks at a radius around 6.5 kpc as it begins to break. Therefore, to remain robust, the peak-tracing method is restricted to those snapshots where the azimuthal density distribution around the spiral arm is made of a single peak at each radius. The bar is unaffected by this caveat and is traced at many snapshots.

The peak density of the spiral arm (5 < R < 10 kpc) and the bar (1 < R < 3 kpc) is shown in Figs 9 and 10 for the early and late epoch, respectively. For clarity, anchors (marked by crosses) are placed at four radii spread over the above radial range of the spiral arm. Their positions are initially selected at t = 1.034 and 1.393 Gyr (early and late epoch, respectively) according to the spiral arm peak

t= 1.026(Gyr)



t = 1.034(Gyr)

![](_page_5_Figure_13.jpeg)

t = 1.042(Gyr)

t = 1.050(Gyr)

![](_page_5_Figure_15.jpeg)

Figure 7. The density distribution plotted in polar coordinates. Density contours are overplotted in white to identify the highest density regions. The black line that indicates the position of the spiral arm of interest is omitted in the bottom right-hand panel because the double peak at  $R \sim 6.5$  kpc presents ambiguity for the density weighting method at this radius.

line traced at that time step. Their positions at other time steps are calculated by rotating the anchors with mean rotational velocity at the radius at which the anchors are located. The gas maps (right-hand panels of Figs 9 and 10) give some indication to how the spiral arm evolves during the formation stage. For example, in Fig. 10, at t = 1.353 Gyr, there appear to be two gas arms in the outer radii,

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 8. Same as Fig. 7, but for the late epoch (weak bar case). The black line that highlights the locus of the spiral arm is omitted in the bottom right-hand panel owing to the double-peak structure at  $R \sim 6.5$  kpc.

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 9.** Snapshots of the stellar and gas disc from t = 0.978 to t = 1.170 Myr. The blue lines mark out the bar and extend from 1 to 3 kpc. The white lines mark out the position of the highest density over the spiral arm found from the method described in the text, and extend from 5 to 10 kpc. The spiral arm lines are shown at the centre and middle left-hand panels only, because the spiral arm in all other snapshots shown here has either not fully formed or displays double-peak structures, and could not be fitted well by our method. Anchors are plotted over the spiral arm, and are rotated from the centre snapshot with the rotational velocity.

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 10. Same as Fig. 9, but for the late epoch (weak bar case).

one of which is marked by the anchor points. This arm seems to originate from a previously wound up arm that merges with another arm to form the spiral arm for which we trace the peak density at t = 1.393 Gyr. The anchors plotted on the star and gas maps clearly show that the apparent spiral arm follows a shearing pattern speed close to the mean rotation of star particles, and helps to define the time of formation,  $t_{\rm f} \sim 0.994$  Gyr and destruction,  $t_{\rm d} \sim 1.170$  Gyr for the early epoch. This gives a lifetime of  $\tau \sim 180$  Myr. For the late epoch, the formation time,  $t_{\rm f} \sim 1.353$  Gyr, and destruction time,  $t_{\rm d} \sim 1.481$  Gyr, give a lifetime of  $\tau \sim 130$  Myr.

We calculate the pattern speed at the early epoch, when the bar is relatively strong. This is shown in Fig. 11, which appears to be decreasing with radius, but is faster than the rotational velocity. For comparison with the relatively weak bar case, we calculate the pattern speed at the late epoch, which is shown in Fig. 12. The pattern speed appears to be similar to the mean rotation of star particles in the inner regions (5 < R < 7 kpc), and is faster in the outer regions (7 < R < 10 kpc). Aside from the kink at  $R \sim 6.5$ -7 kpc, the pattern speed again appears to decrease with the radius. The flattening at  $R \sim 6.5-7$  kpc is approximately the same radius at which a break is observed in the density contours overplotted in Fig. 8. The pattern speed of this late epoch is slower than the pattern speed of the earlier epoch when the bar is stronger. This indicates that the bar may boost the pattern speed somewhat, and cause it to become slightly faster than rotational velocity. Nevertheless, the anchors in Fig. 9 show that the spiral arm rotates in a similar way to the star particles at both epochs.

Further comparison is made with the galaxy presented in Grand et al. (2012), which has no bar or gas component. We apply the same peak-tracing technique in equation (5), and find the pattern speed of arms that have suitable single peaks. This is plotted in Fig. 13. The calculated pattern speed follows the same trend as reported in Grand et al. (2012), i.e.the same as rotational velocity at all radii, and

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 11. The bar and spiral arm pattern speed calculated for the snapshots shown in Fig. 9. The spiral arm pattern speed (solid black line) is averaged over several pattern speeds calculated at different snapshots over the course of the spiral arm's evolution. The rotational velocity is also plotted (solid red line). The bar pattern speed (dashed black line) is found to be ~45 km s<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-1</sup>. The spiral pattern speed exhibits a decreasing trend with radius that is similar to but slightly faster than the rotational velocity.

therefore the spiral arm corotates with the star particles. Therefore, our simulation shows that the transient, winding spiral arms occur in barred galaxy simulations (see also Baba et al. 2009), but the pattern speed appears to be boosted slightly out of corotation by the bar feature. Furthermore, although there are only three cases studied here and the differences are relatively small, comparison between this non-barred case and the two barred cases indicates

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 12. Same as Fig. 11, but obtained the pattern speed of the spiral arm of the late epoch when the bar is relatively weak.

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 13.** The spiral arm pattern speed of the simulated galaxy presented in Grand et al. (2012) (black line) and the rotational velocity at the corresponding time (red line). This galaxy has no bar.

that the pattern speed becomes faster with increasing bar strength, which deserves further investigation.

#### 3.2 Radial migration around the spiral arm

Radial migration at the corotation radius has been predicted to preserve the circular motion of orbits, i.e. not to heat them kinematically (e.g. Lynden-Bell & Kalnajs 1972; Sellwood & Binney 2002; Roškar et al. 2008; Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2009; Minchev & Famaey 2010; Brunetti, Chiappini & Pfenniger 2011; Minchev et al. 2011; Roškar et al. 2011). Grand et al. (2012) find that the spiral arms are corotating at all radii in a non-barred pure *N*-body disc. As a result, radial migration occurs over a wide range of radii. The spiral arms focused on in this paper rotate slightly faster than the mean rotation velocity. We examine if radial migration still occurs at all radii.

Because the results of the early epoch are the same as the late epoch, we present the particle motion from the late epoch only. First, we select a sample of particles around a given radius of our chosen

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

**Figure 14.** The angular momentum, *L*, at the beginning of the late-epoch time period (40 Myr before t = 1.393 Gyr) plotted against the change in angular momentum,  $\Delta L$ , 80 Myr later. The smoothed colour map from low number density (dark blue) to high number density (red) incorporates all disc star particles, and shows a broad angular momentum range for migration. Selected positive (filled symbols) and negative (open symbols) extreme migrators (see text) are highlighted by circle (chosen at a radius of ~6 kpc), triangle (~7 kpc), square (~8 kpc) and star (~9 kpc) symbols. Units are arbitrary.

arm at the t = 1.393 Gyr snapshot in Fig. 2. In order to focus on star particles that are likely affected by the spiral arm, the particle sample is selected to be within the vertical height of |z| < 200 pc and azimuthal width of 4 kpc centred on the highest density point of the arm, i.e. a given radius of the peak line shown in Fig. 9. The radial thickness of the sample is chosen to be 0.25 kpc to ensure that a large sample of stars of approximately the same radius are chosen.

From the selected sample of particles, we compute the angular momentum change,  $\Delta L$ , over a period of 80 Myr and choose those that exhibit the largest values of  $|\Delta L|$ , some of which are highlighted by symbols in Fig. 14. As a fraction of their initial angular momentum, L, this can be up to as much as  $|\Delta L/L| \simeq 50$  per cent. Note that the angular momentum exchanges in this simulation are much stronger than those of Grand et al. (2012), probably because the spiral arm structure is much more prominent in this barred spiral galaxy. The radii of the guiding centres of these high  $|\Delta L|$  particles highlighted in Fig. 14 change significantly, i.e. they migrate radially. We call these strongly migrating particles 'extreme migrators', and further divide them into two subcategories: positive extreme migrators and negative extreme migrators for particles that gain and lose their angular momentum in the sample, respectively.

In Fig. 15 we show three successive snapshots during the migration period of the four extreme migrator samples highlighted in Fig. 14, each selected around radii of 6, 7, 8 and 9 kpc (positive and negative migrators are denoted by filled and open symbols, respectively, where each type of symbol corresponds to a specific selection radius of a sample): 20 Myr before selection (top panel), at selection (middle panel) and 20 Myr after selection (bottom panel). The density snapshots for the stellar component are coordinate transformed from Cartesian to polar in order to make the radial motion of the selected star particles with respect to the arm clearer. The positive migrators are always seen on the trailing side of the spiral arm and migrate towards the outer radii. They are trapped by the potential of the spiral arm, which accelerates them. During migration to outer radii, instead of passing through the spiral arm they remain in the vicinity of the arm on the trailing side. Therefore, they continue to be accelerated until the spiral arm is disrupted. The negative migrators are particles that migrate towards the inner radii on the

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure 15.** Stellar density distribution plotted in polar coordinates showing the time evolution of four sets of extreme migrators selected from particle samples around radii of 6, 7, 8 and 9 kpc at the t = 1.393 Gyr snapshot. The symbols correspond to those of Fig. 14. Azimuth ( $\theta$ ) is expressed in degrees. The particles tend to migrate towards outer radii on the trailing edge of the spiral arm (filled symbols) and inwards on the leading edge (open symbols).

leading side of the spiral arm. They are decelerated as they become caught in the potential of the spiral arm, and they continue to decelerate on the leading side, again until the spiral arm is disrupted. This illustrates the different systematic motion that occurs on each side of the spiral arm, which is reminiscent of the behaviour found in Grand et al. (2012).

The same behaviour is observed at the early epoch as well. It is remarkable that although the spiral arm at the early epoch is systematically faster than the mean rotation velocity, we still observe these systematic migrations of star particles. This is probably because the pattern speed is not too different from the rotation velocity, and some star particles could be in a particular phase of their epicycle motion such that they are ripe for migration. Further studies of the orbits of these migrators are required, and will be studied in a forthcoming paper.

Fig. 8 shows that the spiral arm is not always a strong single-peak structure, owing to the winding and breaking of the spiral arm as it begins to disappear. The evolving structure of the spiral arm may affect the degree of radial migration that occurs over the stages of evolution that span from formation to destruction. Therefore, we select a new sample of stars over the whole spiral arm (5–10 kpc radius) within 2 kpc in the azimuthal direction from the expected arm position if the arm corotates with star particles around t = 1.034 and 1.393 Gyr, i.e. the positions of the anchors shown in Figs 9 and 10. All selected particles are in the plane of the disc (|z| < 200 pc) as before. This is done at the four stages of the spiral arm's evolution: formation, single peak, double peak and finally destruction. In each case, the window of migration is 40 Myr, centred at each of these stages, which in total spans the lifetime of the spiral arm (~180 and 130 Myr for early and late epoch, respectively).

The samples selected at the early epoch are plotted in the  $L-\Delta L$ plane in Fig. 16. The largest migration occurs around the singlepeak stage when the arm is fully formed, and less migration occurs after this time when the double peak at  $R \sim 6.5$  kpc develops. However, there appears to be a lot of negative migration at the stage of formation. This may be due to the tightly wound arm seen in the t = 0.978 and 0.994 Gyr panels in Fig. 9. As seen from the anchor points, we sample the leading side of this arm and hence negative migrations are expected. There is also large migration present at low L, owing to the stronger bar at this early epoch. This procedure is repeated for the late epoch, and shown in Fig. 17. The same conclusions can be drawn for this weak bar case. As expected, the most migration occurs at the single-peak stage when the arm is fully formed. At both epochs, significant migration occurs over a large range of radii. Furthermore, many panels show an obvious 'twopronged' structure in the *L*-direction, one at positive  $\Delta L$  and the other at negative  $\Delta L$ . This is a clear indication that radial migration occurs at a wide range of radii. The horizontal features are likely to be caused by a maximum  $|\Delta L|$  in this short time period for migrating star particles along spiral arms.

#### 3.3 Angular momentum and energy evolution

In this section, we focus on the angular momentum-energy evolution at the late epoch only (that of the earlier epoch is the same). The orbital energy of a star particle can be affected by the gain and loss of angular momentum associated with radial migration. As in Grand et al. (2012) and following Sellwood & Binney (2002), we calculate the energy, E, and angular momentum, L, of the extreme migrators in Fig. 15 at 20 Myr before and after the time step at which they were selected (the top and bottom panels in Fig. 15). We call these two time steps the 'initial' and 'final' time steps, respectively. In Fig. 18 we show the position of the extreme migrators at the initial (filled symbols) and final (open symbols) time steps for all migrator samples in Fig. 14. The solid black line indicates the L and E expected for a pure circular orbit at each radius. This represents the minimum energy which a star particle can have at a given angular momentum. We see that the positive migrators (top panel) and negative migrators (bottom panel) move along the circular velocity curve in opposite directions to each other. Because they keep close to the circular velocity curve after migration, their orbits must retain their near circularity, and they gain (or lose) little random energy and are not scattered into higher energy orbits (Sellwood & Binney 2002). In other words, their kinematically cool orbits are largely preserved.

To quantify this, Fig. 19 shows the amount of non-circular kinetic energy change (normalized to total initial energy) over the migration

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Figure 16.** Initial angular momentum, *L*, as a function of the change in angular momentum,  $\Delta L$ , within a 40 Myr window for samples of star particles located around the spiral arm of the early epoch. Each panel represents a stage of the spiral arm lifetime. From top to bottom: formation (centred on t = 0.994 Gyr); fully formed single-peak spiral arm (t = 1.034 Gyr); double-peak spiral arm (t = 1.074 Gyr); and breaking (t = 1.114 Gyr). The strongest migrations occur at the stage when the arm is fully formed and single peaked (second panel). At later stages, the migration is less.

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 17.** Same as Fig. 16, but at the late epoch of the weak bar. Each panel represents a stage of the spiral arm lifetime. From top to bottom: formation (t = 1.353); fully formed single-peak spiral arm (t = 1.393); double-peak spiral arm (t = 1.433); and breaking (t = 1.473 Gyr). The trend is similar to that seen in the early epoch, but without the feature exhibiting large changes in angular momentum at lower initial angular momentum (seen in Fig. 16), which are induced by the strong bar.

period plotted as a function of the amount of angular momentum change that they have undergone. Each migrator shows very little scatter during migration. It appears that each type of migrator is grouped separately, such that the positive migrators become slightly

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 18. The energy, E, and angular momentum, L, distribution of the extreme migrators in Fig. 15 at 20 Myr before (filled symbols) and 20 Myr after (open symbols) the time step at which they were selected. Each symbol represents a specific radius of selection corresponding to Fig. 15. The top (bottom) panel shows the results of the migrators that moved towards the outer (inner) radii. The solid black line indicates the circular orbit. Units are arbitrary.

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

**Figure 19.** The groups of migrators shown in Fig. 18 are plotted here in the  $\Delta L - E_{\text{scatter}}/E_{\text{particle},t_{\text{ini}}}$  plane, where  $E_{\text{scatter}}$  is the change in the quantity defined by  $E_{\text{particle},t} - E_{\text{circ}}$  between the initial and final time.  $E_{\text{particle},t}$  is the total particle energy at a given time and  $E_{\text{circ}}$  is the energy of a test particle of circular orbit for the given angular momentum (i.e. the minimum orbital energy allowed).  $E_{\text{scatter}}/E_{\text{particle},t_{\text{ini}}}$  tells us how much the star particle has gained or lost random energy as a fraction of the initial particle energy during the migration process. We can see the positive (filled symbols) and negative (open symbols) migrators lie in distinct groups, where the former are *cooled* and the latter are *heated*, but only by a small amount.

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 20.** Snapshots of the disc at t = 1.034 Gyr (left) and t = 1.393 Gyr (right), showing only star particles of age: t < 50 Myr (blue), 50 < t < 100 Myr (cyan) and 100 < t < 200 Myr (red). The yellow line indicates the stellar peak density of the spiral arm.

cooler and negative migrators become slightly hotter<sup>1</sup> (see also Roškar et al. 2011; Grand et al. 2012), although this is less than a few per cent of the initial energy.

#### 3.4 Stellar population distribution around spiral arms

Because we have a gas component forming stars, we can now make a further test of whether the spiral arms are long-lived density waves as in spiral density wave theory. The long-lived, stationary wave theory should predict clear azimuthal offsets between young star particles of different ages and MCs that are the seeds of star formation. The single constant pattern speed predicted by this theory would mean that inside the corotation radius, gas and stars would be moving faster than the spiral arm feature. Then gas flows into the spiral arm from behind the arm and is compressed into MCs. This leads to star formation. Newly born stars will then flow through and begin to overtake the arm feature as they age, which naturally leads to a temporal gradient over the spiral arm. Outside of corotation, where material moves slower than the spiral arm feature, the opposite temporal gradient is expected. Therefore, if we group star particles around the spiral arm into age bins, and examine their azimuthal distribution, there should be apparent azimuthal offsets among star particles of different ages, which would become clearer further from corotation. Dobbs & Bonnell (2008) and Dobbs & Pringle (2010) performed a similar test by embedding a rigidly rotating spiral potential with a constant pattern speed.

We also analyse the azimuthal distribution of stellar ages found in our simulated galaxy. Note that although Dobbs & Pringle (2010) show similar analysis, they do not explicitly include radiative cooling or star formation, but assume an isothermal gas. They track the orbit of gas particles which have experienced the high-density state, after which time the gas particles are tracked as very young stars (2–100 Myr), assuming that the gas and stellar dynamics are similar in this short period. Therefore, our study is different and complementary. Fig. 20 shows the distribution of a young population,  $t_{age} < 50$  Myr (blue); an intermediate population,  $50 < t_{age} < 100$  Myr (cyan); and a relatively older population,  $100 < t_{age} < 200$  Myr (red). The snapshots shown are at t = 1.034(left) and t = 1.393 Gyr (right).

Inspection by eye indicates that there is no obvious offset between the tracers. To quantify this, we select two samples of star particles:

one between 6 and 7 kpc radius and the other between 7.5 and 8.5 kpc radius, each within  $\pm 2$  kpc from the peak density of the spiral arm in the azimuthal direction. The angular offset distributions from the peak density for selected star particles of different ages are shown in Fig. 21, where the abscissa is azimuth offset angle and the ordinate is the number fraction of star particles. A negative angular offset is taken to mean a position behind the spiral arm, and a positive one means a position in front of the spiral arm. In both cases, no significant offset is seen between star particles of different ages. Neither case finds any systematic spatial offset that would be present if the arm was a Lin-Shu-type density wave. It is clear that the distribution broadens for older star particles, although the peak position remains about the same. Our results are qualitatively similar to the results of the flocculent and interacting galaxy cases in Dobbs & Pringle (2010).<sup>2</sup> As we expect, it is completely different from their fixed pattern speed case.

#### **4** CONCLUSIONS

We have presented three-dimensional *N*-body/SPH simulations of an isolated barred spiral galaxy, and performed a dynamical analysis of the spiral arms and particles around the spiral arms, tracing their evolution and the azimuthal distribution of star particles as a function of age. We come to the following conclusions.

(i) We find in our simulation that spiral arms are transient recurring features: we observe the continuous disappearance of spiral arms and the reappearance of new ones. This transient nature has always been found in numerical simulations.

(ii) Our result shows that the pattern speed is decreasing with radius overall, and may be affected by the presence of a bar. The unbarred case shows convincing corotation with the rotational velocity. The weak bar case shows slight departure from rotational velocity at larger radii, and the stronger bar case shows a systematically faster pattern speed overall. Although we only studied three arms in this detail, this indicates that the bar may boost the pattern speed, and this deserves further study.

(iii) It is demonstrated that despite the differences in pattern speed, each case exhibits the same systematic motion found in Grand et al. (2012), which leads to strong efficient migration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is different from the global heating caused by scattering from spiral arms (see Fujii et al. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Their barred galaxy case focuses on the stellar distribution around the bar not the spiral arm, and is therefore not relevant to our discussion in this section.

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 21.** Histogram of star particles at both early (top row) and late (bottom row) epochs. Particle samples are selected in the 6–7 kpc (left-hand column) and 7.5–8.5 kpc (right-hand column) radial range. Particles are binned according to their azimuthal offset from the peak density line shown in Fig. 20. Negative offsets refer to the trailing side of the arm, and positive offsets refer to the leading side. No systematic offset of stellar ages is apparent.

(iv) The spiral arms analysed are shown to develop a doublepeak substructure as it winds and evolves. The break occurs at the same radius at which the pattern speed kinks. The amount of radial migration is weaker at this stage and subsequent stages of the spiral arm evolution, although it still occurs until the spiral arm disappears. This is valid for both the weak and strong bar cases.

(v) We quantify the amount of heating or cooling of each migrator in terms of random energy gained or lost over the process of migration. It is evident that each positive migrator loses some random energy (cools), while the negative migrators gain some random energy (heated). For each migrator, it is seen that the amount of heating/cooling is less than a per cent of the total energy of a given particle. Hence this migration does not contribute significantly to disc heating. However, the cause of this heating and cooling is not identified (see also Roškar et al. 2011), and is worthy of further study.

(vi) We find no offset between the distributions of young star particles (<200 Myr) of different ages around the spiral arm at two different radii. This is consistent with recent observations of extra galaxies (Foyle et al. 2011; Ferreras et al. 2012).

This study is a follow-up study to our previous paper Grand et al. (2012), which focused on pure *N*-body simulations of a galaxy with no bar. As in that study, we have not thoroughly addressed the mechanism of formation of the spiral arm features nor their destruction, although we gain an insight into how the arm develops a double-peak structure and then breaks. We note that the spiral arm features here are slightly longer lived than our *N*-body galaxy, which could be because the bar is a powerful driver of spiral structure (e.g.

Sparke & Sellwood 1987; Salo et al. 2010), and may help to maintain the feature for longer (e.g. Donner & Thomasson 1994; Binney & Tremaine 2008; Baba et al. 2009, Quillen et al. 2011). We also note that bars can be even stronger than the strong bar case presented here. It would be interesting to study the effects of spiral arm pattern speed on radial migration when the bar is much more prominent.

Again, we find that the spiral arms in this *N*-body/SPH barred galaxy are not consistent with the long-lived, rigidly rotating spiral arms of a classical spiral density wave theory. On the contrary, the spiral arm pattern speed decreases with radius and is similar to but slightly faster than the rotation velocity of the star particles. However, significant radial migration over a wide range of radii is repeatedly observed despite the differences in pattern speed. This suggests the existence of further criteria for radial migration, which will be studied in a forthcoming paper. Future studies should focus on testing this paradigm of the spiral arm with observations of our own Galaxy and of external galaxies (e.g. Meidt et al. 2009; Foyle et al. 2011; Speights & Westpfahl 2011; Ferreras et al. 2012), and comparing with simulations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of the UK's Science & Technology Facilities Council (STFC Grant ST/H00260X/1). The calculations for this paper were performed on Cray XT4 at Centre for Computational Astrophysics, CfCA, of National Astronomical Observatory of Japan and the DiRAC Facility jointly funded by STFC and the Large Facilities Capital Fund of BIS. The authors acknowledge support of the STFC funded Miracle Consortium (part of the DiRAC facility) in providing access to the UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility. The authors additionally acknowledge the support of UCL's Research Computing team with the use of the Legion facility. This work was carried out, in whole or in part, through the *Gaia* Research for European Astronomy Training (GREAT-ITN) network. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement number 264895. The authors thank the referee for a thorough inspection and important checks of the methodology.

#### REFERENCES

- Amaral L. H., Lepine J. R. D., 1997, MNRAS, 286, 885
- Antoja T., Figueras F., Romero-Gómez M., Pichardo B., Valenzuela O., Moreno E., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1423
- Athanassoula E., 1984, Phys. Rep., 114, 319
- Baba J., Asaki Y., Makino J., Miyoshi M., Saitoh T. R., Wada K., 2009, ApJ, 706, 471
- Barnes J., Hut P., 1986, Nat, 324, 446
- Binney J., Tremaine S., 2008, Galactic Dynamics, 2nd edn. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ
- Brunetti M., Chiappini C., Pfenniger D., 2011, A&A, 534, A75
- Buta R., Block D. L., 2001, ApJ, 550, 243
- Buta R., Vasylyev S., Salo H., Laurikainen E., 2005, AJ, 130, 506
- Combes F., Sanders R. H., 1981, A&A, 96, 164
- Dobbs C. L., Bonnell I. A., 2008, MNRAS, 385, 1893
- Dobbs C. L., Pringle J. E., 2010, MNRAS, 409, 396
- Donner K. J., Thomasson M., 1994, A&A, 290, 785
- Durier F., Dalla Vecchia C., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 465
- Egusa F., Kohno K., Sofue Y., Nakanishi H., Komugi S., 2009, ApJ, 697, 1870
- Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Verner D. A., Ferguson J. W., Kingdon J. B., Verner E. M., 1998, PASP, 110, 761
- Ferreras I., Cropper M., Kawata D., Page M., Hoversten E. A., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1636
- Foyle K., Rix H.-W., Dobbs C. L., Leroy A. K., Walter F., 2011, ApJ, 735, 101
- Fujii M. S., Baba J., Saitoh T. R., Makino J., Kokubo E., Wada K., 2011, ApJ, 730, 109
- Gingold R. A., Monaghan J. J., 1977, MNRAS, 181, 375
- Grand R. J. J., Kawata D., Cropper M., 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1529
- Greif T. H., Glover S. C. O., Bromm V., Klessen R. S., 2009, MNRAS, 392, 1381
- Haardt F., Madau P., 1996, ApJ, 461, 20
- Hernquist L., Katz N., 1989, ApJS, 70, 419
- Iwamoto K., Brachwitz F., Nomoto K., Kishimoto N., Umeda H., Hix W. R., Thielemann F.-K., 1999, ApJS, 125, 439
- Katz N., Weinberg D. H., Hernquist L., 1996, ApJS, 105, 19
- Kawata D., Gibson B. K., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 908
- Kawata D., Okamoto T., Gibson B. K., Barnes D. J., Cen R., 2009, preprint (arXiv e-prints)
- Kawata D., Grand R. J. J., Cropper M., 2012, in Reylé C., Robin A., Schultheis M., eds, Assembling the Puzzle of the Milky Way. Le Grand-Bornand, France (EPJ Web. Conf., 19, 7006)
- Kobayashi C., Tsujimoto T., Nomoto K., 2000, ApJ, 539, 26

- Kormendy J., Kennicutt R. C., Jr, 2004, ARA&A, 42, 603
- Lépine J. R. D., Roman-Lopes A., Abraham Z., Junqueira T. C., Mishurov Y. N., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 1607
- Lin C. C., Shu F. H., 1964, ApJ, 140, 646
- Lindblad P. O., 1960, Stockholms Obser. Ann., 21, 4
- Lucy L. B., 1977, AJ, 82, 1013
- Lynden Bell D., Kalnajs A. J., 1972, MNRAS, 157, 1
- Masset F., Tagger M., 1997, A&A, 322, 442
- Meidt S. E., Rand R. J., Merrifield M. R., Shetty R., Vogel S. N., 2008, ApJ, 688, 224
- Meidt S. E., Rand R. J., Merrifield M. R., 2009, ApJ, 702, 277
- Merlin E., Buonomo U., Grassi T., Piovan L., Chiosi C., 2010, A&A, 513, A36
- Merrifield M. R., Rand R. J., Meidt S. E., 2005, American Astron. Soc. Meeting, 37, 1313
- Merrifield M. R., Rand R. J., Meidt S. E., 2006, MNRAS, 366, L17
- Minchev I., Famaey B., 2010, ApJ, 722, 112
- Minchev I., Quillen A. C., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 623
- Minchev I., Famaey B., Combes F., Di Matteo P., Mouhcine M., Wozniak H., 2011, A&A, 527, A147
- Morris J. P., Monaghan J. J., 1997, J. Comput. Phys., 136, 41
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1997, ApJ, 490, 493
- Ostriker J. P., Peebles P. J. E., 1973, ApJ, 186, 467
- Pfenniger D., Norman C., 1990, ApJ, 363, 391
- Price D. J., Monaghan J. J., 2007, MNRAS, 374, 1347
- Quillen A. C., Dougherty J., Bagley M. B., Minchev I., Comparetta J., 2011, MNRAS, 417, 762
- Rahimi A., Kawata D., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 2609
- Rosswog S., Price D., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 915
- Roškar R., Debattista V. P., Quinn T. R., Stinson G. S., Wadsley J., 2008, ApJ, 684, L79
- Roškar R., Debattista V. P., Quinn T. R., Wadsley J., 2011, preprint (arXiv e-prints)
- Saitoh T. R., Daisaka H., Kokubo E., Makino J., Okamoto T., Tomisaka K., Wada K., Yoshida N., 2008, PASJ, 60, 667
- Salo H., Laurikainen E., Buta R., Knapen J. H., 2010, ApJ, 715, L56
- Salpeter E. E., 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sánchez-Blázquez P., Courty S., Gibson B. K., Brook C. B., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 591
- Sellwood J. A., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1637
- Sellwood J. A., Binney J. J., 2002, MNRAS, 336, 785
- Sellwood J. A., Kahn F. D., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 278
- Sparke L. S., Sellwood J. A., 1987, MNRAS, 225, 653
- Speights J. C., Westpfahl D. J., 2011, ApJ, 736, 70
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005, MNRAS, 361, 776
- Tamburro D., Rix H.-W., Walter F., Brinks E., de Blok W. J. G., Kennicutt R. C., Mac Low M.-M., 2008, AJ, 136, 2872
- Tremaine S., Weinberg M. D., 1984, ApJ, 282, L5
- van den Hoek L. B., Groenewegen M. A. T., 1997, A&AS, 123, 305
- Wada K., Baba J., Saitoh T. R., 2011, ApJ, 735, 1
- Woosley S. E., Weaver T. A., 1995, ApJS, 101, 181
- Yano T., Chiba M., Gouda N., 2002, A&A, 389, 143

This paper has been typeset from a  $T_{\ensuremath{E}} X/I \!\! \ensuremath{\Delta} T_{\ensuremath{E}} X$  file prepared by the author.