

LJMU Research Online

Roesner, S, Beadle, JD, Tam, LKB, Wilkening, I, Clarkson, GJ, Raubo, P and Shipman, M

Development of oxetane modified building blocks for peptide synthesis

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/23115/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Roesner, S, Beadle, JD, Tam, LKB, Wilkening, I, Clarkson, GJ, Raubo, P and Shipman, M (2020) Development of oxetane modified building blocks for peptide synthesis. Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry, 18 (28). pp. 5400-5405. ISSN 1477-0520

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

PAPER

Development of Oxetane Modified Building Blocks for Peptide Synthesis

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Stefan Roesner,^a Jonathan D. Beadle,^a Leo K. B. Tam,^a Ina Wilkening,^a Guy J. Clarkson,^a Piotr Raubo^b and Michael Shipman^{*a}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The synthesis and use of oxetane modified dipeptide building blocks in solution and solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) is reported. The preparation of building blocks containing nonglycine residues at the N-terminus in a stereochemically controlled manner is challenging. Here, a practical 4-step route to such building blocks is demonstrated, through the synthesis of dipeptides containing contiguous alanine residues. The incorporation of these new derivatives at specific sites along the backbone of an alanine-rich peptide sequence containing eighteen amino acids is demonstrated *via* solid-phase peptide synthesis. Additionally, new methods to enable the incorporation of all 20 of the proteinogenic amino acids into such dipeptide building blocks are reported through modifications of the synthetic route (for Cys and Met) and by changes to the protecting group strategy (for His, Ser and Thr).

Introduction

Peptides and peptidomimetics attract considerable attention as therapeutic agents due to their synthetic accessibility, high degree of specific binding, and their ability to target protein surfaces, one of the most challenging biological targets.^{1,2} Much of this work has focused on the development of peptidomimetics, to overcome issues with proteolytic stability and pharmacokinetic properties of conventional peptides.³ An increasing number of approved therapeutics and clinical candidates are based on peptidomimetics, and this area continues to offer enormous potential for drug development.⁴ Recently, the four-membered oxetane ring has found application in peptide science,^{5,6,7} and more generally in medicinal chemistry,⁸ as a bioisosteric replacement for the carbonyl group. This work has led to the development of a new type of peptidomimetic, in which one or more of the backbone amide C=O bonds is substituted with an oxetane ring (Figure 1a).^{6,7} As proteolysis revolves around peptide bond cleavage, replacing an amide bond with a non-cleavable oxetane residue should increase the metabolic stability of peptidomimetics, while minimally disturbing the overall structure. Indeed, the increased proteolytic stability of an oxetane modified dipeptide able to form hydrogels has recently been demonstrated.⁹ Additionally, Carreira has shown that an oxetane modified Leuenkephalin analogue is less vulnerable towards proteolytic degradation increasing its serum half-life while retaining *in vivo* analgesic properties.¹⁰

(a) Generalised strategy for replacement of backbone C=O with oxetane ring:



(b) Established synthetic route to oxetane modified building blocks (R¹ = H only):



(c) Examples of natural product OMP analogues made:



Figure 1. Oxetane Modified Peptidomimetics.

^{a.}Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: m.shipman@warwick.ac.uk

^{b.} Medicinal Chemistry, Research and Early Development, Oncology R&D, AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterisation data for all new compounds, copies of HPLC traces, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and XRD structures. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

PAPER

In order to study the impact of oxetane modification on the structure and properties of a range of biologically important peptides, we have previously developed a solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) strategy to oxetane modified peptides (OMPs) using orthogonally protected dipeptide building blocks **3** (Figure 1b).¹¹ These compounds are readily accessible from C-terminal protected amino acid and nitroalkenes *via* conjugate addition followed by reduction of the nitro group and *in situ* Fmoc protection. After hydrolysis of the C-terminus cumyl ester, the utility of these building blocks was demonstrated through the preparation of OMP analogues of several naturally occurring linear and cyclic peptides *via* SPPS (Figure 1c).^{11,12}

Clearly, there is considerable scope to use this chemistry to make much larger and more complex OMP libraries for medicinal chemistry.¹³ However, the feasibility of this is hampered by the rather incomplete coverage of R¹ and R² within the dipeptide building blocks. Within 3, R¹ has been essentially restricted to hydrogen,¹⁴ allowing access to oxetane modified glycine residues only (Figure 1b). Furthermore, with respect to R², only nine of the twenty proteinogenic amino acids (A, D, F, G, K, P, R, S, and V) have been incorporated.^{11,12} Of the remaining R² side chains, incompatibility with one or more steps in the synthesis were foreseen (Figure 1b).¹¹ Here, we report improved synthetic routes to the building blocks that allow all twenty proteinogenic amino acids to be installed at R². Additionally, we extend the method such that it offers a strategy to building blocks where $R^1 \neq H$. This is illustrated by the synthesis of Fmoc-AOx-Ala-OCumyl¹⁵ and its use in the sitespecific replacement of various C=O bonds along an eighteenresidue helical peptide by SPPS.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of alanine-derived oxetane modified building blocks

We began by exploring the synthesis of protected building block **3** in which $R^1 \neq H$ (Figure 1b). Specifically, we chose to focus on the synthesis of alanine based system, Fmoc-AOx-Ala-OCumyl where R¹, R² = Me. Previous work suggested that conjugate addition of a chiral amine to nitroalkene 5 would proceed without stereocontrol leading to a mixture of diastereoisomers.⁶ Indeed, when H-Ala-OCumyl (6) was added to nitroalkene 5, a 50:50 mixture of diastereomers 7 was formed (Scheme 1a). Unfortunately, it was neither possible to separate these diastereomers after conjugate addition nor after reduction of the nitro group and Fmoc protection. As an alternative, we investigated the addition of αmethylbenzylamine, (R)-8 to nitroalkene 5 to generate a 60:40 mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 1b). In this case, the isolation of the major diastereomer could be readily achieved by column chromatography to provide (S,R)-9 with >95:5 dr in good yield. Reduction of the nitro group and cleavage of α methylbenzylamine using catalytic hydrogenation gave the corresponding 1,2-diamine. Finally, the sterically less hindered amine was selectively protected with FmocOSu to provide (S)-10. Correspondingly (R)-10 was synthesised starting from nitroalkene 5 and (S)-8.16 The absolute configuration of compound (*R*)-**10** was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis after acylation with 4-bromobenzoyl chloride (Scheme 1c).¹⁶



Scheme 1. (a) Addition of H-Ala-OCumyl (6) to nitroalkene 5; (b) synthesis of enantiopure amino oxetane (*S*)-**10** *via* conjugate addition of α-methylbenzamine, (*R*)-**8** to 5; (c) proof of absolute stereoconfiguration *via* XRD. ^σ Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^b Major diastereoisomer isolated in >95:5 dr as determined by ¹H NMR.

Applying a strategy previously developed by Carreira,¹⁰ triflate 13 was prepared in situ from hydroxyester (R)-12, derived from L-(+)-lactic acid after cumyl ester formation (2-phenyl-2methylethyl ester), Mitsunobu inversion and hydrolysis.¹⁶ The cumyl ester was chosen as C-terminal protecting group as it can be quantitatively hydrolysed under weakly acidic conditions with only 2% TFA leaving other acid sensitive amino acid side chain protecting groups untouched.¹⁷ Nucleophilic substitution of triflate **13** with (S)-**10** provided the desired oxetane modified dipeptide building block Fmoc-AOx-Ala-OCumyl, (S,S)-14 (Scheme 2). Correspondingly oxetane modified (R,S)-14 was prepared from triflate 13 and stereoisomer (R)-10. Chiral HPLC analysis confirmed that both building blocks were single diastereomers, indicating that the substitution proceeds exclusively in an S_N2 fashion without epimerisation.¹⁸ Using the same reaction sequence outlined in Scheme 2 starting from (S)-12, the cumyl ester of L-(+)-lactic acid, the preparation of stereoisomeric building blocks (S,R)-14 and (R,R)-14 would be possible in an analogous manner.

Next, we sought to confirm that these new alanine based building blocks can be integrated into conventional SPPS. Alanine-rich peptide **18** forms a stable and well-characterised α -helix that has previously been used to probe the impact of site-specific structural changes on helix stability and secondary structure.¹⁹ Hence, the synthesis of derivatives of **18** in which specific C=O amide bonds are replaced by oxetane rings would allow an exploration of the impact of this carbonyl analogue on

F

Journal Name

helix stability.²⁰ Using Fmoc-AOx-Ala-OCumyl, (*S*,*S*)-**14**, or previously reported Fmoc-GOx-Ala-OCumyl (**15**),^{12,15} we have successfully synthesised three such derivatives **19-21** using SPPS (Table 1). After initial acid catalysed deprotection of the C-terminal cumyl ester to give **16** and **17** (Scheme 3a),¹⁷ the building blocks were successfully incorporated into the growing peptide chain by double, manual couplings. The final peptides **19-21** were isolated in good purity after preparative, reverse-phase HPLC (Scheme 3b).¹⁶



Scheme 2. Preparation of oxetane modified dipeptide building blocks (S,S)-14 and (R,S)-14 Fmoc-AOx-Ala-OCumyl. ^o Determined by chiral HPLC.



Scheme 3. (a) C-terminal deprotection of dipeptide building blocks; (b) solidphase synthesis of OMPs with eighteen amino acid residues. Key: *N*methylmorpholine (NMM), triisopropylsilane (TIS).

Table 1. Synthesis of alanine-rich OMPs by SPPS.

Entry	Peptide Sequence	HRMS		Purity
		Calculated	Observed	(%) ^a
1	Ac-KAAAA-KAAAA-KAAAA- KGY-NH ₂ , 18	822.9808 [M+2H] ²⁺	822.9808 [M+2H] ²⁺	92
2	Ac-KAAAA-KA AOx AA-KAAAA- KGY-NH ₂ , 19	858.9784 [M+2Na] ²⁺	858.9766 [M+2Na] ²⁺	90
3	Ac-KA AOx AA-KAAAA-KAAAA- KGY-NH ₂ , 20	558.3334 [M+3H] ³⁺	558.3331 [M+3H] ³⁺	76
4	Ac-KA GOx AA-KAAAA-KAAAA- KGY-NH ₂ , 21	851.9706 [M+2Na] ²⁺	851.9701 [M+2Na] ²⁺	90

^a Measured at 212 nm, lowest purity of two gradient runs.

Preparation of glycine-derived oxetane modified building blocks

We sought to expand the synthesis of oxetane modified dipeptide building blocks of the general type Fmoc-GOx-AA-OCumyl to include all twenty of the proteinogenic amino acids. First, following our previously reported synthetic strategy, new cumyl esters **1a-g** were prepared.¹¹ After Fmoc deprotection, conjugate addition to 3-(nitromethylene)oxetane afforded nitroalkenes **2a-g** in moderate to good yield (Table 2, step 1). Then, reduction of the nitro group using hydrogen and Raney nickel in the presence of FmocOSu gave the required oxetane modified dipeptide building blocks Fmoc-GOx-AA-OCumyl **3a-g** for a range of amino acids (Table 2, step 2). While some of the transformations proceeded in modest yields, the strength of this methodology lies in the structural variety and scalability of the procedure providing enatiomerically pure and bench-stable derivatives **3a-g**.

 Table 2. Synthesis of oxetane containing cumyl ester dipeptide building blocks.

$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0\\ mocHN \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \vdots\\ R^{2}\\ 1 a \cdot g \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ R^{2}\\ 1 a \cdot g \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ CH_{2}Cl_{2} \\ CH_{2}Cl_{2} \\ CH_{2}Cl_{2} \\ Step 1 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} NO_{2}\\ N\\ NO_{2}\\ N\\ N \\ R^{2}\\ 2 a \cdot g \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ NO_{2}\\ N\\ R^{2}\\ R^{2}\\ 2 a \cdot g \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ NaHCO_{3}\\ THF/H_{2}O, rt \\ Step 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ Step 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3a \cdot g \\ Step 2 \end{array} $				
Entry	Amino acid	Yield (%) Step 1	Structure of 3	Yield (%) Step 2
1	E, Glu(<i>t</i> Bu)	49%	FmocHN H CO2tBu	43%
2	I, Ile	73%		31%
3	L, Leu	64%		51%
4	N, Asn(Trt)	51%		21%
5	Q, Gln(Trt)	49%	FmocHN B B B B B B B B	62%
6	W, Trp(Boc)	50%	FmocHN O Ph Sf	44%
7	Y, Tyr(<i>t</i> Bu)	62%		58%

Unfortunately, for amino acids containing sulfur, Cys and Met, Raney nickel reduction of nitro alkenes **2h** and **2i** led to partial desulfurisation¹⁶ and an alternative procedure for the reduction of the nitro group was required. This problem was solved by using Zn dust and acetic acid for the reduction step providing access to Cys- and Met-containing dipeptide building blocks **3h** and **3i** (Scheme 4).²¹ Notably, the cumyl ester was not hydrolysed under the acidic reductive conditions. We note however that these conditions are generally less efficient than the Raney Ni reduction, and so are recommended only for the synthesis of sulfur containing building blocks.



Unfortunately, the C-terminal cumyl ester protecting group proved unsuitable for three amino acids. When oxetane modified dipeptide building block Fmoc-GOx-His(Trt)-OCumyl (3j) was treated with 2% TFA in dichloromethane, concomitant deprotection of the acid labile trityl group was observed giving a mixture of dipeptide building block 4j and Trt-deprotected 22 (Scheme 5a). An additional problem arose during the deprotection of tert-butyl protected aliphatic alcohols after incorporation into peptide sequences. Removal of the tertbutyl groups of either Ser(tBu) or Thr(tBu) required high concentrations of TFA leading partially to diol 23 caused by hydrolysis of the four-membered oxetane ring upon extended acid treatment (Scheme 5b). Alternatively, replacing the tertbutyl group on Ser and Thr with a more labile trityl group led to partial deprotection during hydrolysis of the cumyl ester as previously observed for 3j.



On investigation, replacing the C-terminal cumyl group with a simple benzyl ester was the best approach for building blocks containing His, Ser or Thr. Following the same strategy starting from the Fmoc-protected amino acids, C-terminal benzyl protection, Fmoc-deprotection followed by conjugate addition to 3-(nitromethylene)oxetane, and Raney nickel-mediated reduction in the presence of FmocOSu gave oxetane modified dipeptide building blocks **3k-m** (Scheme 6).



These orthogonally protected building blocks enabled peptide coupling after cleavage of the C-terminal benzyl group via Pdcatalysed hydrogenolysis. Previously we reported undesired deprotection of the N-terminal Fmoc group during reduction of C-terminal benzyl esters.¹¹ This side reaction can be largely suppressed by carefully monitoring the reaction progress.¹⁶ The reductions were best carried out in DMF in order to avoid solubility problems of the carboxylic acids, which were used after filtration without further purification. The application of 3k-m in peptide couplings was demonstrated in solution-phase (Scheme 7a) and in SPPS (Scheme 7b). Importantly, peptides 24-26 fully retain their labile trityl protecting groups during these sequences (cf. Scheme 5a). Moreover, analysis by ¹H NMR confirmed that no detectable epimerisation arose during these couplings. These experiments demonstrate that benzyl protected dipeptide building blocks provide a solution for amino acids that are not compatible with C-terminal cumyl ester protection. Taken together with previous studies,^{11,12} the synthesis of glycine-derived oxetane modified building blocks Fmoc-GOx-AA-OR, 3 has now been extended to all twenty proteinogenic amino acids.



Conclusions

We have generalised our strategy for the preparation and use of oxetane containing dipeptide building blocks in solution and solid-phase peptide synthesis. The methodology has been expanded to residues beyond glycine at the N-terminus as exemplified by the synthesis of building blocks containing an

Journal Name

oxetane modified alanine 14. Either enantiomer of Fmocprotected diamine 10 can be made in three simple steps and 22% overall yield. This chemistry reported is much more amenable than earlier work that required twelve steps to provide the corresponding Boc or Cbz-protected variant of this diamine.¹⁰ The approach has potential to be expanded to residues bearing other side chains. Reaction of enantiopure 10 with trifluorosulfonates of hydroxy esters provides oxetane modified dipeptide building block 14. The strategy allows access to all four stereoisomers of 14 using one unified procedure. The incorporation of these new derivatives at specific sites along the backbone of an alanine-rich peptide sequence containing eighteen amino acids is demonstrated via SPPS. At the C-terminus, we have improved the chemistry such that all twenty of the proteinogenic amino acids can be introduced in three simple synthetic steps. Specifically, for sulfur-containing amino acids, the procedure for the nitro reduction had to be adjusted to avoid partial desulfurisation of the side chain. For amino acids containing acid-sensitive tritylprotected side chains, His, Ser and Thr, the C-terminal cumyl ester was replaced by a simple benzyl group without detriment. With this expanded set of building blocks at our disposal, we are now well placed to explore their application in the synthesis interesting and of structurally biologically active peptidomimetics.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank AstraZeneca and the University of Warwick for financial support. We would also like to thank the National Crystallographic Service, University of Southampton, for data collection.^{22,23}

Notes and references

- 1 A. Trabocchi and A. Guarna, *Peptidomimetics in Organic and Medicinal Chemistry: The Art of Transforming Peptides in Drugs*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2014.
- (a) H. Bruzzoni-Giovanelli, V. Alezra, N. Wolff, C.-Z. Dong, P. Tuffery and A. Rebollo, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2018, 23, 272– 285; (b) A. D. Cunningham, N. Qvit and D. Mochly-Rosen, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 2017, 44, 59–66; (c) M. Gao, K. Cheng and H. Yin, *Pept. Sci.*, 2015, 104, 310–316.
- (a) E. Lenci and A. Trabocchi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 3262–3277; (b) D. J. Craik, D. P. Fairlie, S. Liras and D. Price, *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.*, 2013, **81**, 136–147; (c) P. Vlieghe, V. Lisowski, J. Martinez and M. Khrestchatisky, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2010, **15**, 40–56.
- For reviews, see: (a) N. Qvit, S. J. S. Rubin, T. J. Urban, D. Mochly-Rosen and E. R. Gross, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2017, 22, 454–462; (b) I. Avan, C. D. Hall and A. R. Katritzky, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 3575–3594; (c) R. M. J. Liskamp, D. T. S. Rijkers, J. A. W. Kruijtzer and J. Kemmink, *ChemBioChem*, 2011, 12, 1626–1653; (d) A. Choudhary and R. T. Raines, *ChemBioChem*, 2011, 12, 1801–1807; (e) A. Grauer and B. König, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 2009, 5099–5111; (f) J.

Vagner, H. Qu and V. J. Hruby, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **12**, 292–296.

- (a) O. Boutureira, N. Martínez-Sáez, K. M. Brindle, A. A. Neves, F. Corzana and G. J. L. Bernardes, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 6483–6489; (b) N. Martínez-Sáez, S. Sun, D. Oldrini, P. Sormanni, O. Boutureira, F. Carboni, I. Compañón, M. J. Deery, M. Vendruscolo, F. Corzana, R. Adamo and G. J. L. Bernardes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 14963–14967.
- 6 N. H. Powell, G. J. Clarkson, R. Notman, P. Raubo, N. G. Martin and M. Shipman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8797–8800.
- 7 M. McLaughlin, R. Yazaki, T. C. Fessard and E. M. Carreira, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4070–4073.
- (a) J. A. Bull, R. A. Croft, O. A. Davis, R. Doran and K. F. Morgan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 12150–12233; (b) G. Wuitschik, E. M. Carreira, B. Wagner, H. Fischer, I. Parrilla, F. Schuler, M. Rogers-Evans and K. Müller, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 3227– 3246; (c) J. A. Burkhard, G. Wuitschik, M. Rogers-Evans, K. Müller and E. M. Carreira, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9052–9067.
- 9 L. McDougall, E. R. Draper, J. D. Beadle, M. Shipman, P. Raubo, A. G. Jamieson and D. J. Adams, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 1793–1796.
- 10 G. P. Möller, S. Müller, B. T. Wolfstädter, S. Wolfrum, D. Schepmann, B. Wünsch and E. M. Carreira, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, 19, 2510–2513.
- 11 J. D. Beadle, A. Knuhtsen, A. Hoose, P. Raubo, A. G. Jamieson and M. Shipman, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3303–3306.
- 12 S. Roesner, G. J. Saunders, I. Wilkening, E. Jayawant, J. V. Geden, P. Kerby, A. M. Dixon, R. Notman and M. Shipman, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2465–2472.
- (a) D. S. Mattes, N. Jung, L. K. Weber, S. Bräse and F. Breitling, Adv. Mater., 2019, **31**, 1806656; (b) V. Mäde, S. Els-Heindl and A. G. Beck-Sickinger, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **10**, 1197– 1212.
- 14 Other R¹ substituents (Me, *i*Pr, CH₂CHMe₂, CH₂Ph, CH₂(C₆H₄)*p*OBn, CH₂CO₂tBu, CH₂StBu, Pro) have been incorporated into related oxetane modified dipeptides (see ref 10). However, these derivatives require a lengthy 13-step sequence to make them and have only been used in solution-phase peptide synthesis.
- 15 AOx and GOx correspond to oxetane modified alanine and glycine respectively.
- 16 See Supporting Information for additional details.
- (a) C. Yue, J. Thierry and P. Potier, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 323–326; (b) T. Respondek, E. Cueny and J. J. Kodanko, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 150–153.
- 18 (a) F. Effenberger, U. Burkard and J. Willfahrt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1983, 22, 65–66; (b) F. Effenberger and U. Burkard, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1986, 1986, 334–358; (c) R. W. Feenstra, E. H. M. Stokkingreef, R. J. F. Nivard and H. C. J. Ottenheijm, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 1215–1218.
- (a) S. Marqusee, V. H. Robbins and R. L. Baldwin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1989, **86**, 5286–5290; (b) A. Chakrabartty, J. A. Schellman and R. L. Baldwin, *Nature*, 1991, **351**, 586–588; (c) A. Chakrabartty, T. Kortemme and R. L. Baldwin, *Protein Sci.*, 1994, **3**, 843–852; (d) A. Reiner, D. Wildemann, G. Fischer and T. Kiefhaber, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8079–8084.
- 20 The effect of the oxetane residue on the secondary structure of these linear peptidomimetics will be published in due course.
- 21 Q. Zhu and Y. Lu, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1721–1724.
- 22 Simon J. Coles and Philip A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 683–689.
- 23 CCDC 2005273 (11) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.