

### LJMU Research Online

Sutehall, S, Malinsky, F, Voss, S, Chester, N, Xu, X and Pitsiladis, Y

Practical steps to develop a transcriptomic test for blood doping

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/25601/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Sutehall, S, Malinsky, F, Voss, S, Chester, N, Xu, X and Pitsiladis, Y (2024) Practical steps to develop a transcriptomic test for blood doping. Translational Exercise Biomedicine, 1 (2). pp. 105-110. ISSN 2942-6812

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

#### Perspective

Shaun Sutehall, Fernanda Malinsky, Sven Voss, Neil Chester, Xiao Xu and Yannis Pitsiladis\* **Practical steps to develop a transcriptomic test for blood doping** 

https://doi.org/10.1515/teb-2024-0010 Received May 16, 2024; accepted July 3, 2024; published online July 22, 2024

Abstract: Blood doping remains a significant problem that threatens the integrity of sport. The current indirect method for detecting blood doping involves the longitudinal measurement of an athlete's haematological variables and identification of suspicious results that are indicative of doping (i.e., the athlete biological passport). While this has played a significant role in the protection of clean sport, improvements are needed. The development of a transcriptomic test, that can be used to detect the use of blood doping has been discussed and researched for decades and yet, an anti-doping test that can be adopted by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) is yet to be established. With recent advancements in our understanding, as well as in methods of sample collection, the possibility of a transcriptomic test that can be used by WADA, is imminent. There are, however, several practical considerations that must first be made, that will be highlighted in this perspective article.

**Keywords:** anti-doping; testing; blood doping; EPO; transcriptomics

#### Introduction

In endurance sports, blood doping has the potential to significantly improve endurance performance, primarily by

**Shaun Sutehall**, Clincial Research Division, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK; and Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

Xiao Xu, MGI Tech, Shenzhen, China

increasing the oxygen carrying capacity of the users' blood [1]. The use of rHuEpo to enhance athletic performance was prohibited by the International Olympic Committee (IOC) in 1990, however its detection to this day remains a challenge. In 1997, a "no start" rule was introduced by the Union Cycliste Internationale (UCI), with athletes providing a blood sample prior to competition [2] and if their Haematocrit (HCT) exceeded the predetermined limit, they were not permitted to race. The Athlete Biological Passport (ABP) was later introduced by the UCI in 2008 [3] and soon after adopted by WADA. Since then, it has been a critical element of anti-doping testing programmes.

The ABP measures an athlete's haematological variables longitudinally, and with the use of Bayesian statistics, generates an individual upper and lower limit [3]. If an athlete's haematological variables exceed the calculated upper or lower limit, it suggests that there is only a 1:100 chance that this is due to "natural" physiological variance [4] and can be used as evidence of doping and result in the sanctioning of an athlete [5]. It has, however, been demonstrated that it is possible to use low doses of Recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEpo) and avoid detection by the ABP [6], and despite improvements to the ABP [7], questions remain about its reliability as a method of detecting doping and its efficacy as a deterrent, on a global scale [8].

A transcriptomic method of detecting rHuEpo abuse was described in 2001 [9], a year after the first direct test for rHuEpo in urine was established [10]. Recent research into transcriptomics for anti-doping has focused on identifying specific transcriptomic markers that are associated with rHuEpo abuse [11, 12], confounding variables such as altitude exposure [13] and reproducibility of transcriptomic markers in differing sample collection matrixes such as Dried Blood Spot (DBS) [14].

Despite significant research, a transcriptomic test, that is either standalone, or an addition to the current ABP has yet to be established. There are several theoretical and practical hurdles that must be overcome prior to the adoption of a transcriptomic test as an anti-doping tool. The summary of this article is presented in Figure 1.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Yannis Pitsiladis, Professor, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China, E-mail: ypitsiladis@hkbu.edu.hk

Fernanda Malinsky, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China

Sven Voss, Institute of Doping Analysis and Sport Biochemistry, Kreischa, Germany

Neil Chester, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

# Practical steps to develop a transcriptomic test for blood doping



Figure 1: Graphical representation of this article. Figure created with BioRender.

### Identifying transcriptomic markers

Numerous studies have characterised the transcriptomic response to blood doping in whole blood (e.g., Table 1, [15]) and DBS [16], along with conditions that may confound results, such as altitude exposure [13] and iron injections [17]. There is yet to be consensus on what markers should be used to indicate blood doping, or which confounding factors should be accounted for in an anti-doping test.

There is growing evidence for specific transcriptomic markers as candidates for a transcriptomic test. For example, the transcript 5'-Aminolevulinate Synthase 2 (ALAS2) has been shown to be differentially expressed following both high [11] and low [12] doses of rHuEpo in whole blood in addition to being identifiable following high doses of rHuEpo in DBS [18]. Although ALAS2 appears to also be differentially expressed to altitude exposure [13], which might reduce its sensitivity to detecting blood doping, a recent pilot study has demonstrated that the administration of rHuEpo at altitude, remains detectable, using this marker [19].

There is currently no consensus on how to integrate gene expression data into a test. Broadly, it appears that there are two likely methods. Firstly, the integration of transcriptomic biomarkers into the existing ABP, which show evidence that their differential gene expression indicates doping [20]. For this method, upper and lower limits should be determined and if exceeded, the data sent to a panel for review. Secondly, a standalone test, that uses numerous transcripts to create a signature of doping, which will require a regulatory approach more similar to a direct test of rHuEpo in urine [10]. It is not yet obvious which method will prove most useful to anti-doping efforts, with more research required once a more substantial number of suitable transcriptomic markers has been established.

## Future developments in identifying transcriptomic markers

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) develops, it could be harnessed to review the substantial data outputs from Next generation sequencing (NGS) [21] and create a "signature" of blood doping, highlighting unique signatures over and above current methods of identifying transcriptomic markers and/or create a "profiling" approach as has been theorised [22]. The better the quality data used, the better the generative AI prediction. We would expect the prediction of doping to improve as the AI learns from doping cases. However, there are AI-specific challenges that should be addressed, including the requirement to have large training datasets to create robust algorithms. More importantly, the development of explainable AI algorithms is crucial, as these would allow researchers and regulators to understand the decision-making process of the AI, ensuring transparency and trust in its findings [23].

As transcriptomic markers are identified and validated, there exists an opportunity to develop hybrid testing models, combining the outputs of transcriptomic tests with outputs from other, complimentary tests to provide a more comprehensive understanding of an athlete's physiology. There are numerous methods of doping detection that could be used as part of a hybrid model of anti-doping testing, many of which have promising areas of development [24]. The development of hybrid model of anti-doping seems most likely to incorporate aspects of the "OMICS" cascade, incorporating information from genomic, transcriptomic, metabolomic and proteomic analysis [25]. A hybrid testing approach will take a considerable time to develop, as the influence of confounding factors and individual variation for each aspect of the test must be validated prior to incorporation into anti-doping testing. While the development of transcriptomic markers in whole blood and other sample matrixes is yielding potential new biomarkers, other matrixes should also be explored in the OMICS cascade. For example, investigation of metabolomics and proteomics in cell-free matrixes such as plasma and serum may provide another avenue for developing anti-doping biomarkers. Similarly, the investigation of spatial transcriptomics may yield additional biomarkers, however a significant amount of further research is required before it will be of practical use for anti-doping efforts.

# Blood collection and long-term storage

In order to integrate a transcriptomic test within the current anti-doping system, methods of collection, transport and long-term storage should align closely with those described in the WADA International Standard for Testing and Investigations (ISTI) [26] and sample collection guidelines [27]. For example, there are a series of conditions/situations that an athlete should declare when a sample is collected (e.g., recent significant blood loss or exposure extreme environmental conditions), however, the effect of these on transcriptomic markers has not yet been established.

Studies that have collected samples for transcriptomic analysis have predominantly collected whole blood in Tempus<sup>TM</sup> Blood RNA tubes (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) which contain reagents to stabilise Ribonucleic acid (RNA). These are not currently approved for use by WADA, with K<sub>2</sub>EDTA tubes used for the collection of blood for analysis of gene doping [27]. An initial study has demonstrated the stability of transcriptomic material using K<sub>2</sub>EDTA collection tubes [28], suggesting integration with existing sample collection guidelines will be possible.

Recently, the use of DBS as a sample collection method was approved and adopted by WADA [26]. The use of DBS in anti-doping offers unique advantages beyond traditional venepuncture collection including greater convenience for athletes [29] and improved sample stability at room temperature [30]. Research has demonstrated that DBS is a suitable matrix for monitoring transcriptomic markers [14, 31].

If using an ABP-like strategy, a single A-sample, which can be discarded within a month should be sufficient for transcriptomic testing. However, to create a transcriptomic "signature" of blood doping, both an A- and B- sample would be necessary to be compliant with WADA's analytical testing procedures. Long-term storage would provide the opportunity to store blood for new analyses when new RNA biomarkers are discovered that better characterise doping.

#### Laboratory sample processing

WADA has established the standards related to the laboratory procedures for the analysis of anti-doping samples within the International Standard for Laboratories (ISL) [32] and any methods developed for the analysis of a transcriptomic markers should adhere to these. As with all blood tests, a degree of processing is required once a sample arrives in the laboratory. While RNA extraction, for transcriptomic analysis is no longer considered a complicated process, there are several methodologies available and, to establish international harmonisation, a single method should be selected that is quick, easy to perform, cost-effective and reliable in "real-world" settings.

Following on from RNA extraction, the level of expression of a transcriptomic marker must be established. The most widely available method, Real Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR), was developed ~ 40 years ago [33] and its use was prolific during the COVID-19 pandemic [34]. There exist guidelines for laboratories to use RT-qPCR in WADA-accredited laboratories as a method of detecting gene doping [35]. While this method is based on replication of DNA. the methodological approach that is needed to measure transcripts, is largely similar. As with RNA extraction, the subsequent analysis would require international harmonisation and therefore, decisions related to the type of real-time chemistry (e.g. TaqMan vs. SYBR), reverse transcription method and assay design and quantitation, would need to be made. Particular attention should be paid to developing methods to minimise the variation that is often introduced into results of transcriptomic analysis (i.e. [36]), with methods harmonised across countries.

Other methods are available that could be used as part of a transcriptomic anti-doping test, such as short- and long-read sequencing [37] or microarray analysis [38]. The complexity of these technologies currently hinders their use in anti-doping laboratories, however continual advances in the simplification of such technologies, make this a possibility in the future. Similarly, advances in robotic technologies provide the possibility of automating a significant portion of the laboratory process. By removing the human interaction in the sample handling and analysis, rates of human errors and contamination that may affect the analysis should decrease. For example, an automated transcriptomics analysis method can be developed, from sample to report (Figure 2). The process begins with the collection of blood samples from test subjects, which are then transferred, using robotics, from tubes to 96-well plates. Once loaded, an automated nucleic acid extractor extracts total RNA from the blood samples. Following this, the samples undergo quality control checks and normalization procedures, ensuring the quality and quantity of RNA is suitable for sequencing. The extracted RNA is then enriched, library prepared, and further sample preparation completed before sequencing, all of which can also be completed by an automated sample preparation system. Once the samples are loaded into flow cells, which are in turn, loaded into the sequencer, sample analysis begins. The raw data outputs are then sent to a data centre that integrates a laboratory information management system, bioinformatics accelerator, and high-performance data storage. For transcriptomics analysis, a comprehensive gene expression report along with a report detailing Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions is created. To ensure a fully automated and unmanned operation, a robot transfers samples and consumables in and out of each automated instrument, with an automatic scheduling system managing and controlling the entire experimental process.

Using a pipeline illustrated in Figure 2, it is possible to run four flow-cells at one time, each containing 232 samples, resulting in 928 samples analysed in 4–5 days. The data from the analysis can then be integrated into an ABP-style system, or a more complex system that identifies "signatures" of doping.

#### Legal considerations

Strict international harmonisation in collection, storage and analysis will be necessary to legally defend a transcriptomic biomarker. When compared to the haematological biomarkers, the development of transcriptomic markers is in





relatively infancy. Therefore, there are no reference values available, which contrasts with the haematological markers used in the ABP, such as for haemoglobin which have been measured for over 100 years. Additionally, the confounding factors are not yet well known, but there is growing literature on this topic.

Currently, outliers in an athletes' ABP are evaluated by a group of experts. However, it would be challenging to find enough experts who are able to identify doping using transcriptomic markers. Further research is urgently needed to generate the knowledge required in the field. Integration of transcriptomic markers in routine testing could prove beneficial as the data generated could be used as additional evidence and simultaneously develop the necessary knowledge and experience over time. However, ethical and legal concerns related to the secondary use of samples for research would need to be addressed.

#### Conclusions

With the advancement of doping practices, more sophisticated methods of doping detection are required. The growing number of studies identifying transcriptomic markers characterising blood doping makes the development of an effective transcriptomic anti-doping test ever more likely. This perspective article has outlined some limitations of a transcriptomic anti-doping test and described the most pressing steps needed to develop and integrate it within an effective testing programme, following guidance issued within the ISTI and ISL. Further collaborative work is needed between sport scientists, bioinformaticians, anti-doping organisations and regulators to develop transcriptomic approaches to anti-doping and further investigate the integration of AI into routine testing.

#### Declarations

Opinions expressed in this publication are the author's own and do not necessarily represent their institutions. None of the authors have any conflicts of interest, other than those detailed within competing interest section.

#### Research ethics: None required.

Informed consent: None required.

**Author contributions:** SS designed the commentary. SS drafted the initial manuscript, with significant input from FM, SV, NC, XX and YP.

**Competing interests:** XX is an employee of MGI Tech, a manufacturer of genetic sequencers. YP is a member of the

IOC Medical and Scientific Commission, a member of the Executive Committee and Chair of the Scientific Commission of the International Sports Medicine Federation, a member of the Scientific and Education Commission of the European Federation of Sports Medicine Associations, and a member of WADA's Health Medical Research Committee.

**Research funding:** No research funding was given for creation of this manuscript.

Data availability: No data included.

#### References

- Thomsen JJ, Rentsch RL, Robach P, Calbet JAL, Boushel R, Rasmussen P, et al. Prolonged administration of recombinant human erythropoietin increases submaximal performance more than maximal aerobic capacity. Eur J Appl Physiol 2007;101:481–6.
- Saugy M, Leuenberger N. Antidoping: from health tests to the athlete biological passport. Drug Test Anal 2020;12:621–8.
- Sottas P-E, Robinson N, Rabin O, Saugy M. The athlete biological passport. Clin Chem 2011;57:969–76.
- World Anti-Doping Agency. Athlete biological passport (ABP) operating guidelines – 2023; 2023. Available from: https://www.wada-ama.org/ sites/default/files/2023-07/guidelines\_abp\_v9\_2023\_final\_eng\_1.pdf.
- CAS 2016/O/4463 International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF) v. All Russia athletics Federation (ARAF) & Kristina Ugarova; 2016. Available from: www.tas-cas.org/fileadmin/user\_upload/Award\_ 4463\_internet\_.pdf.
- Ashenden M, Gough CE, Garnham A, Gore CJ, Sharpe K. Current markers of the Athlete Blood Passport do not flag microdose EPO doping. Eur J Appl Physiol 2011;111:2307–14.
- Bejder J, Breenfeldt Andersen A, Bonne TC, Linkis J, Olsen NV, Huertas JR, et al. Hematological adaptations and detection of recombinant human erythropoietin combined with chronic hypoxia. Drug Test Anal 2021;13:360–8.
- Sutehall S, Muniz-Pardos B, Lima G, Wang G, Malinsky FR, Bosch A, et al. Altitude training and recombinant human erythropoietin: considerations for doping detection. Curr Sports Med Rep 2019;18:97–104.
- Magnani M, Corsi D, Bianchi M, Paiardini M, Galluzzi L, Gargiullo E, et al. Identification of blood erythroid markers useful in revealing erythropoietin abuse in athletes. Blood Cells Mol Dis 2001;27: 559–71.
- 10. Lasne F, de Ceaurriz J. Recombinant erythropoietin in urine. Nature 2000;405:635.
- Durussel J, Haile DW, Mooses K, Daskalaki E, Beattie W, Mooses M, et al. Blood transcriptional signature of recombinant human erythropoietin administration and implications for antidoping strategies. Physiol Genom 2016;48:202–9.
- Wang G, Durussel J, Shurlock J, Mooses M, Fuku N, Bruinvels G, et al. Validation of whole-blood transcriptome signature during microdose recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEpo) administration. BMC Genom 2017;18:817.
- Sutehall S, Malinsky F, Shurlock J, Wang G, Bosch A, Pitsiladis YP. Wholeblood and peripheral mononuclear cell transcriptional response to prolonged Altitude exposure in well-trained runners. Clin J Sport Med 2023;33:e135–44.
- 14. Loria F, Cox HD, Voss SC, Rocca A, Miller GD, Townsend N, et al. The use of RNA-based 5'-aminolevulinate synthase 2 biomarkers in dried blood

spots to detect recombinant human erythropoietin microdoses. Drug Test Anal 2021. https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.3123.

- Krumm B, Saugy JJ, Botrè F, Donati F, Faiss R. Indirect biomarkers of blood doping: a systematic review. Drug Test Anal 2024;16:49–64.
- Loria F, Stutz AP, Rocca A, Grabherr S, Kuuranne T, Pruijm M, et al. Monitoring of hemoglobin and erythropoiesis-related mRNA with dried blood spots in athletes and patients. Bioanalysis 2022;14: 241–51.
- Loria F, Maret E, Schobinger C, Kuuranne T, Grabherr S, Leuenberger N. The effects of iron injection on blood doping biomarkers in dried blood spots. Drug Test Anal 2023;15:444–8.
- Salamin O, Gottardo E, Schobinger C, Reverter-Branchat G, Segura J, Saugy M, et al. Detection of stimulated erythropoiesis by the RNA-based 5'-aminolevulinate synthase 2 biomarker in dried blood spot samples. Clin Chem 2019;65:1563–71.
- Loria F, Breenfeldt Andersen A, Bejder J, Bonne T, Grabherr S, Kuuranne T, et al. mRNA biomarkers sensitive and specific to microdose erythropoietin treatment at sea level and altitude. Drug Test Anal 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.3665.
- Krumm B, Botrè F, Saugy JJ, Faiss R. Future opportunities for the athlete biological passport. Front Sport Act Living 2022;4. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fspor.2022.986875.
- Warnat-Herresthal S, Oestreich M, Schultze JL, Becker M. Artificial intelligence in blood transcriptomics. In: Artificial Intelligence in Medicine. New York, NY: Springer; 2022:1109–23 pp.
- Iljukov S, Schumacher YO. Performance profiling perspectives for anti-doping and beyond. Front Physiol 2017;8. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fphys.2017.01102.
- 23. Karim MR, Islam T, Shajalal M, Beyan O, Lange C, Cochez M, et al. Explainable ai for bioinformatics: methods, tools and applications. Briefings Bioinf 2023;24. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbad236.
- 24. Lu Y, Yan J, Ou G, Fu L. A review of recent progress in drug doping and gene doping control analysis. Molecules 2023;28:5483.
- 25. Reichel C. OMICS-strategies and methods in the fight against doping. Forensic Sci Int 2011;213:20–34.
- WADA. 2023. World anti-doping code international standard for testing and investigations. [Internet]. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/ default/files/2022-12/isti\_2023\_w\_annex\_k\_final\_clean.pdf [Accessed 16 Apr 2024].

- WADA. 2021. Code implementation support program guidelines for sample collection. [Internet]. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/ files/2023-11/sample\_collection\_final.pdf [Accessed 16 Apr 2024].
- Lima G, Kolliari-Turner A, Malinsky FR, Guppy FM, Martin RP, Wang G, et al. Integrating whole blood transcriptomic collection procedures into the current anti-doping testing system, including long-term storage and re-testing of anti-doping samples. Front Mol Biosci 2021;8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.728273.
- 29. Solheim SA, Ringsted TK, Nordsborg NB, Dehnes Y, Levernæs MCS, Mørkeberg J. No pain, just gain: painless, easy, and fast dried blood spot collection from fingertip and upper arm in doping control. Drug Test Anal 2021;13:1783–90.
- Thevis M. Broadening the horizon of antidoping analytical approaches using dried blood spots. Clin Chem 2021;67:1041–3.
- Loria F, Manfredi M, Reverter-Branchat G, Segura J, Kuuranne T, Leuenberger N. Automation of RNA-based biomarker extraction from dried blood spots for the detection of blood doping. Bioanalysis 2020; 12:729–36.
- World Anti-Doping Agency. 2021. World anti-doping code international standard for laboratories [Internet]. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/ default/files/resources/files/isl\_2021.pdf [Accessed 20 Apr 2024].
- Mullis KB, Faloona FA. [21] Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase-catalyzed chain reaction. In: Recombinant DNA Part F. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press; 1987:335–50 pp.
- 34. Velavan TP, Meyer CG. COVID-19: a PCR-defined pandemic. Int J Infect Dis 2021;103:278–9.
- WADA. Laboratory guidelines. Gene doping detection based on polymerase Chair reaction. [Internet, Version 1.0, January 2021]. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada\_ guidelines\_for\_gene\_doping\_pcr\_test\_v1\_jan\_2021\_eng.pdf [Accessed 27 Apr 2024].
- Molania R, Foroutan M, Gagnon-Bartsch JA, Gandolfo LC, Jain A, Sinha A, et al. Removing unwanted variation from large-scale RNA sequencing data with PRPS. Nat Biotechnol 2023;41:82–95.
- Hu T, Chitnis N, Monos D, Dinh A. Next-generation sequencing technologies: an overview. Hum Immunol 2021;82:801–11.
- Slonim DK, Yanai I. Getting started in gene expression microarray analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 2009;5. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pcbi.1000543.