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Abstract

Background: Available scientific data related to cardiac troponin I (cTnI) release after intermittent exercise is limited. It is also of interest to determine what personal or environmental factors mediate the exercise-induced release of cTnI. This study had two objectives: 1) to examine the individual release of cTnI to a basketball match; and 2) to establish the influence of athlete status as well as biological age on cTnI release.

Methods: Thirty-six basketball players (12 adult elite [PBA]: 27.3±4.1 years, 12 adult amateur [ABA]: 29.6±2.9 years, and 12 junior elite [JBA]: 16.6±0.9 years) participated in a simulated basketball match with serial assessment of cTnI at rest, immediately post- and at 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h post-exercise.

Results: The basketball match increased cTnI levels (pre: median [range]; 0.006 [0.001–0.026]; peak post: 0.024 [0.004–0.244] µg/L; p=0.000), with substantial individual variability in peak values. PBA and JBA players showed higher baseline and post-exercise cTnI values than ABA (all p<0.05). Peak cTnI exceeded the upper reference limit (URL) in the 26% of players (3 PBA; 6 JBA).

Conclusions: The current results suggest that intermittent exercise can promote the appearance of cTnI and that this is potentially mediated by athlete status.
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Introduction

The release of biomarkers of cardiomyocyte insult during and after exercise, such as cardiac troponins (cTn), is the topic of intense scientific enquiry and debate [1, 2]. Evidence to date suggests a substantial number of athletes exceed the upper reference limit (URL) of cTn after long- [1, 3] and short-duration endurance exercise [4], as well as after moderate- [5] and low-intensity continuous exercise [6].

Beyond description, several recent studies have attempted to determine what personal and exercise-related factors may promote an increase in cTn after exercise. An elevation in cardiac troponin I (cTnI) has been associated with increased exercise duration and intensity [5], exercise mode [7]; training level [8] and biological age [9]. In many of these areas data is contradictory.

For example, available scientific data related to cTn release after intermittent exercise, such as soccer [10, 11], rugby [12], basketball [13] or floorball [14] is limited, often poorly controlled [12] and with limited sampling times post-exercise [11, 12, 14]. Consequently this has produced contradictory data. Further it has been proposed that less experienced endurance athletes are more likely to exhibit detectable cTn levels than more experienced athletes after exercise [8, 15–19]. These data are largely derived from field-base studies with limited post-exercise sample points in amateur runners that may underestimate peak cTn release [20]. The cTn release in professional elite athletes is less well understood with a few, older data sets also limited by sampling frequency [21, 22]. Unlike most of the previous results, we have recently observed in untrained subjects that a controlled
endurance training intervention resulted in higher pre- and post-exercise values of cTn [23]. Nevertheless, the influence of training level on cTn release has not yet been evaluated in a controlled study with disparate groups in terms of training or athletic status. Evidence to date also suggests increased cTn appearance after exercise in adolescent athletes, possibly due to their immature cardiac muscle [9, 18, 19, 24, 25], although only Tian et al. [9] directly compared adult and adolescent athletes in a controlled study. Currently, it is unknown if the cTn response to intermittent exercise is similar in adults and adolescents.

In an attempt to resolve these issues we examined, employing multiple sampling points during 24 h recovery from exertion, the influence of a simulated basketball match on the cTnI appearance in players with different status/training level (elite and amateur) as well as adult and adolescent basketball players. We hypothesise that cTnI appearance during recovery would be increased in adolescent and amateur players.

Materials and methods

Subjects and design

A total of 36 male basketball players (12 adult, elite, professional players from Spanish ACB League: [PBA], 12 adult, amateur players from a local league [ABA], and 12 elite junior players [Spanish Junior Top-Division; JBA]) were recruited and gave personal (and parental in JBA) written informed consent to participate in a simulated basketball match with serial assessment of cTnI during the first 24 h of recovery. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Government of Aragón (Spain), and all players and their parents were informed of the purpose, nature, testing procedures, possible risks, and their right to terminate participation at will. The study complies with the principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964, and recently amended at the 59th World Medical Assembly, Seoul, Korea, October 2008.

Table 1: Characteristics of the basketball cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Body mass, kg</th>
<th>Height, cm</th>
<th>VO2max, mL/kg/min</th>
<th>Basketball training history, years</th>
<th>Basketball training frequency, sessions/week</th>
<th>Basketball training volume, h/week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult elite (PBA; n = 12)</td>
<td>27.3±4.1a</td>
<td>98.3±12.9c</td>
<td>199±7a</td>
<td>58±3</td>
<td>17±5c</td>
<td>6±0c</td>
<td>16±0c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult amateur (ABA; n = 12)</td>
<td>29.6±2.9b</td>
<td>83.8±12.9</td>
<td>184±6a</td>
<td>56±7</td>
<td>13±3b</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>8±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior elite (JBA; n = 11)</td>
<td>16.6±0.9</td>
<td>82.8±10.3</td>
<td>192±8</td>
<td>58±3</td>
<td>8±4</td>
<td>4±0</td>
<td>8±0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean±SD. *Significant difference between PBA and ABA basketball players. †Significant difference between ABA and JBA basketball players. ‡Significant difference between PBA and JBA basketball players.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM Statistical Package of Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics, v. 20.0 for WINDOWS). Data are expressed as the mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to analyze for normal distribution and consequently cTnI data were log-transformed prior to inferential statistical testing. To measure the impact of sampling time during recovery (pre, 5 min, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h post-exercise) and athletes status (PBA vs. ABA vs. JBA) upon cTnI, a mixed model two-way ANOVA were performed with post-hoc Bonferroni tests employed when appropriate. The association between an increase in cTnI (the difference between baseline and peak post-exercise value) and other relevant variables (e.g. baseline cTnI, mean and max exercise HR during simulated basketball play) were assessed using bivariate Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficients in the entire study cohort. The values were considered to be significant if p < 0.05.

Results

The HR\textsubscript{max} attained during the 20 m shuttle run was not different between groups. The mean HR and % HR\textsubscript{max} during the match was lower in PBA compared to ABA and JBA (Table 2).

In the junior basketball match one player was injured and replaced. This subject was excluded from final data analysis. A significant main effect of sampling time was observed for cTnI with increases, compared to pre-exercise, at 1-, 3-, 6-, 12-, and 24-h post-exercise (p = 0.000) (Figure 1). A significant main effect of group was noted with both baseline and recovery cTnI lower in ABA when compared to both PBA and JBA (p = 0.001). In support of this there were differences between groups in the peak post-exercise values of cTnI (ABA: median [range]; 0.015 [0.004–0.039]; PBA: 0.027 [0.007–0.244]; JBA: 0.041 [0.012–0.208] µg/L; p = 0.011). There was no significant group by time interaction term (p = 0.174).

Compared with the basal levels, increased post-effort cTnI values were observed in all individuals. Despite this, individual variability in peak cTnI was noted with 26% of players (3 PBA and 6 JBA) exceeding the URL of cTnI (Figure 1). The maximum post-effort value was observed at 1 h in two individuals, 3 h in two individuals, 6 h in 23 individuals, 12 h in five individuals, and 24 h in two individuals suggesting a degree of heterogeneity in cTnI appearance “kinetics”. It is also pertinent to note one JBA had an elevated cTnI at 24 h post-exercise.

Table 2: Heart rate during the basketball match.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean HR, beats/min</th>
<th>HR\textsubscript{max}, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBA (n = 12)</td>
<td>150±11\textsuperscript{a,b}</td>
<td>79±6\textsuperscript{a,b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA (n = 12)</td>
<td>168±9</td>
<td>87±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JBA (n = 11)</td>
<td>167±10</td>
<td>84±6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD. \textsuperscript{a}Significant difference between PBA and ABA basketball players. \textsuperscript{b}Significant difference between PBA and JBA basketball players.

Figure 1: Individual data points for cTnI (µg/L) in adult elite (PBA; n=12) (A), adult amateur (ABA; n=12) (B) and junior elite (JBA; n=11) (C) basketball players at pre-exercise (PRE), as well as 5 min, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h (0HR, 1HR, 3HR, 6HR, 12HR, 24HR, respectively). The horizontal dotted line is the upper reference limit (99th percentile) at 0.04 µg/L.
Peak post-exercise values of cTnI was not associated with player position, mean HR or % HR$_{\text{max}}$, but was associated with baseline values ($r=0.54$, $p=0.001$).

## Discussion

This is the first study to investigate post-exercise cTnI “kinetics” after intermittent exercise performed in a controlled environment in distinct groups of athletes differentiated by athlete status and biological age. The key findings from our study are; 1) intermittent exercise resulted in a cTnI change in all athletes although the magnitude of response was heterogeneous; 2) the baseline and post-exercise cTnI was lowest in ABA compared to PBA and JBA; and 3) the cTnI response post-exercise was similar in elite adult and junior basketball players.

Previous studies documenting cTn release during intermittent exercise have reported contradictory results. A plausible explanation for this contradictory evidence has been the limited and varied number of biomarker sampling points employed in past studies. Whilst the current study observed an increased in cTnI post-exercise in all participants this runs contrary to both George et al. [12] and Rahnama et al. [11] who did not observe any cTnI increase. The likely explanation for this discrepancy was the use of a simple pre-post exercise sampling regime in previous work. The current data supports Nie et al. [13], in basketball players, and Carranza-García et al. [10], in futsal players, who reported an increase in cTn several hours after simulated match. Consequently, our findings show, in line with continuous endurance exercise studies [4, 20], the importance of obtained multiple blood samples during recovery to observe the maximum post-effort value.

Individual heterogeneity of peak cTnI was observed with 26% of the players presenting with one cTnI value over the URL. This percentage is lower than reported after endurance events, such as marathon [7] or cycling [3], and the more modest changes in the current study likely reflect the low total exercise duration and volume compared to many previous endurance and ultra-endurance activities [7]. Previous studies have noted that the increase in cTnI or cTnT is mainly mediated by the exercise duration when the intensity is controlled [5, 28].

Our results support heterogeneity in peak cTnI appearance and analysis of time to peak cTnI suggest some degree of variance in the pattern or “kinetics” of cTnI appearance, although it is noted that all participants, bar one JBA, demonstrated a return to baseline levels at the 24 h assessment point. The one JBA with an elevated (above URL) cTnI at 24 h post-exercise was symptom-free and unremarkable in personal or exercise details. Several studies have suggested a single blood sample 3–4 h post-exercise will reflect cTn peak post-exercise [18, 24, 29]. Our results are somewhat different with the most common time for cTnI peak at 6 h post-exercise. These data suggest that time when the peak post-exercise value may be dependent upon exercise mode, duration and/or intensity and further work is required.

The pattern of cTn appearance and clearance post-exercise runs contrary to changes in cTn observed in acute coronary syndromes. This suggests that post-exercise cTn levels may be related to a physiological rather than a pathological response after the exercise stimulus. The hypothesis has been stated that endurance exercise causes an increase in membrane permeability due to the physiological stress placed on the cell, inducing a transient cytosolic leakage due to membrane damage, rather than cardiomyocyte necrosis [2].

Recently, some studies have suggested that cTn release after exercise may be more pronounced in less trained subjects [8, 15–19] as a consequence of a lower myocardial work efficiency. Thus, cTn release during exercise in those with lower training levels or athlete status could be one consequence of the adaptive process in myocardial cells, similarly to the process observed in skeletal muscle, which protects them against future bouts of strenuous exercise [15, 16]. Our results did not support this theory with PBA and JBA demonstrating greater post-exercise values than ABA, even when PBA achieved a slightly lower mean HR and % HR$_{\text{max}}$ during the simulated game. These data are consistent with our recent controlled endurance training intervention [23] and a field-based study with marathoners [30].

The higher post-exercise cTnI values in PBA and JBA than ABA were associated with differences in baseline cTnI. The association between baseline and post-exercise cTnI values have been previously reported [5, 31, 32]. In healthy population little attention has been focused to the variability of baseline cTn values. Mingels et al. [17] obtained significantly higher hs-cTnT in males than in females. The authors speculated that these differences were as a consequence of the heart size of men being larger than women. The same explanation could be used to justify the higher baseline and post-exercise cTnI values our PBA players (adults), because the size of heart is greater in athletes with higher performance [33, 34], although it is not clear if heart size is different in JBA when compared to older ABA. Recent work from Saravia et al. [30] has suggested a link between higher levels
of inflammation and increased cTn in faster marathon runners. Further research into the factors associated with the inter-subject variability in the baseline and exercise-related values of cTn are required.

The lack of differences between PBA and JBA players may indicate that biological age does not mediate significant differences in the cTn response to intermittent exercise in elite athletes. Some caution should be expressed in this comment based on the small, but statistically significant, differences in CV work undertaken during exercise in PBA when compared to JBA as well as that if you compare JBA to ABA (still a biological age comparison with similar weekly training volumes) then a difference is noted with JBA exhibiting a larger response than older ABA. This comparison compares more favorably to past work assessing the impact of biological age on post-exercise cTn appearance [18, 19, 24, 25]. The complex interaction here of biological age, training volume and elite athlete status is complex to “un-pick” and requires further work.

Clinicians should be aware that the release of cTnI is not exclusive to long-term strenuous efforts. cTnI values above the URL can be observed after intermittent exercise, such as basketball match, with heterogeneity noted in peak and kinetic data. From a clinical perspective, there is a limited rationale for full cardiovascular examination all athletes with positive cTn concentrations in the absence of other clinical signs and symptoms. When evaluating cTnI in an emergency setting, detailed information regarding any recent exercise activities should be obtained, especially in the first 24 h post-exercise.

In addition to comments above we note the inherent limitation of cross-sectional studies in that the observed differences in the values of cTnI between PBA and ABA may have resulted from differences other personal factors (e.g. genetic differences) as well as exposure to different training volumes. To resolve this issue it would be interesting to observe the impact of a training program on exercise-induced cardiac biomarker release.

In this study, we highlighted that intermittent high-intensity exercise, as basketball match, resulted in a cTnI change in all athletes although the magnitude of response was heterogeneous. In addition, our results did not support the hypothesis of previous studies suggesting that cTnI release after exercise may be more pronounced in less trained subjects [8]. We also observed that the pattern of cTnI appearance and clearance post-exercise runs contrary to changes in cTn observed in acute coronary syndromes. This suggests that post-exercise cTnI levels may be related to a physiological rather than a pathological response after the exercise stimulus. Finally, this investigation prompts further research into the factors associated with the inter-subject variability in the baseline and exercise-related values of cTnI.

Conclusions

Our results show that intermittent exercise, in this case a simulated basketball match, resulted in an increase in post-exercise cTnI in all athletes with a varied peak and time-to-peak cTnI. The second key finding was that athlete status mediated cTnI appearance with elite athletes presenting with higher values than amateur athletes.
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