



LJMU Research Online

Johnston, E, Kindermann, G, O Callaghan, J, Burke, D, McLaughlin, C, Horgan, S, Mc Donnell, R, Williams, CD and Gormally, M

Monitoring the EU protected *Geomalacus maculosus* (Kerry Slug): what are the factors affecting catch returns in open and forested habitats?

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/5416/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Johnston, E, Kindermann, G, O Callaghan, J, Burke, D, McLaughlin, C, Horgan, S, Mc Donnell, R, Williams, CD and Gormally, M (2017) Monitoring the EU protected *Geomalacus maculosus* (Kerry Slug): what are the factors affecting catch returns in open and forested habitats? *Ecological Research*.

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Monitoring the EU protected *Geomalacus maculosus* (Kerry Slug): what are the factors**
2 **affecting catch returns in open and forested habitats?**

3 Erin Johnston¹, Gesche Kindermann¹, Jack O'Callaghan¹, Daniel Burke¹, Cillian
4 McLaughlin¹, Sinéad Horgan¹, Rory Mc Donnell², Christopher Williams³, Michael
5 Gormally¹

6

7 ¹ Applied Ecology Unit, Centre for Environmental Science, National University of Ireland
8 Galway, Galway, Ireland

9 ² Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR 97331, USA

10 ³ School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool,
11 L3 3AF, United Kingdom

12

13 **Abstract**

14 *Geomalacus maculosus* is a slug species protected under EU law with a distribution limited to
15 the west of Ireland and north-west Iberia. The species, originally thought to be limited within
16 Ireland to deciduous woodland and peatland, has been found in a number of commercial
17 conifer plantations since 2010. While forest managers are now required to incorporate the
18 protection of the species where it is present, no clear species monitoring protocols are
19 currently available. This study examines the efficacy of De Sangosse refuge traps across three
20 habitats frequently found associated with commercial forest plantations in Ireland and
21 compares them with hand searching, a commonly used method for slug monitoring. Catch
22 data during different seasons and under different weather conditions are also presented.
23 Results indicate that autumn is the optimal time for sampling *G. maculosus* but avoiding
24 extremes of hot or cold weather. While refuge traps placed at 1.5m on trees in mature conifer
25 plantations and directly on exposed rock in blanket peatlands result in significantly greater
26 catches, hand searching is the most successful approach for clear-fell areas. Hand searches in
27 clear-fell preceded by rain are likely to result in greater numbers caught. The results of this
28 study form, for the first time, the basis for *G. maculosus* monitoring guidelines for forestry
29 managers

30 **Keywords:** Refuge traps, hand searching, sampling methods, Gastropods, protected species,
31 monitoring

32 **Introduction**

33 The phylum Mollusca, with 85,000 (approx.) described species across aquatic and terrestrial
34 habitats (Chapman, 2009), is one of the most successful animal groups ranked after
35 arthropods and vertebrates (South, 1992). Nevertheless, 42% of all animal extinctions since
36 the 1500s have been molluscan species (Lydeard, 2004). The number of molluscan
37 extinctions alone in the last 400 years outweighs that of birds, mammals, reptiles and
38 amphibians put together (Bouchet et al., 1999). Within Ireland, 150 species of native non-
39 marine molluscs have been evaluated for conservation status and *Geomalacus maculosus*
40 Allman is one of six legally protected mollusc species under European legislation (Byrne et
41 al., 2009). Given the restricted distribution of the species to the west of Ireland and north-
42 west Iberia, *G. maculosus* is protected under Appendix II of the Berne Convention, as well as
43 Annex II and IV of the European Union Habitats Directive (92/43/EC). Irish populations are
44 considered to be of international importance as the Iberian range of the species has been
45 reported as severely threatened and declining (Platts & Speight, 1988; Byrne et al., 2009) and
46 *G. maculosus* is currently listed as vulnerable in Spain (Verdú & Galante, 2006).

47 Platts and Speight (1988) described *G. maculosus* in Ireland as a “handsome” crepuscular
48 slug, coloured either brown with yellow spots, or grey/black with white spots. Brown
49 specimens are commonly found in woodlands and grey/black specimens in the more open
50 habitats such as blanket bog and heath (Rowson et al., 2014), although some crossover has
51 been found to occur (Platts & Speight, 1988). Originally discovered in Co. Kerry in the
52 south-west of Ireland by Allman in 1842, the species was subsequently found in 1873 and in
53 Portugal and in 1886 in Spain and (Platts & Speight, 1988) with recent research by Reich et
54 al., (2015) indicating that Irish populations are genetically close to those in northern Spain.
55 Given that the species is not found in countries such as France and Britain which lie between
56 north-west Iberia and Ireland (i.e. it has a disjunct distribution), *G. maculosus* is referred to as
57 a Lusitanian species, Lusitania being originally a Roman province corresponding to Portugal
58 and parts of Spain today. In Ireland, *G. maculosus* was traditionally considered to be
59 restricted to the southwest of the country, and within this distribution only in areas of
60 deciduous woodland, blanket bog, unimproved oligotrophic open moor and on lake shores
61 (Anon, 2010). In 2010, however, it was found breeding in a commercial conifer plantation in
62 Co. Galway (Kearney, 2010) 200km (approx.) north of its previously known distribution.
63 Since then, *G. maculosus* has also been discovered in numerous conifer plantations in the
64 south-west of Ireland (Mc Donnell et al., 2011; Reich et al., 2012).

65 The Republic of Ireland is one of the least forested countries in Europe, with just over 10% of
66 the land under forest in 2012 of which 68% consists of commercial forestry (Department of
67 Agriculture Food and Marine (DAFM, 2015). Over half of the national forest estate is owned
68 by the state and of this 93% is owned by the state sponsored company Coillte (NFI, 2012).
69 *Picea stichensis* (Bong.) Carr. is the predominant tree planted in commercial plantations in
70 Ireland (DAFM, 2015) and the Forest Service aims to increase forest cover in Ireland to 17%
71 by 2030, primarily through increases in commercial forestry cover (Forest Service 2008).
72 Forestry management is now considered one of the main threats to *G. maculosus* along with
73 invasive species, agricultural reclamation and habitat fragmentation (NPWS, 2013). Prior to
74 2011, the recommended method for surveying the species was through hand searching
75 (National Roads Authority, 2009). No effective or repeatable trapping method for *G.*
76 *maculosus* existed until Mc Donnell and Gormally (2011) trialled a range of refuge traps and
77 established that De Sangosse refuge traps were the most effective for surveying *G.*
78 *maculosus*. De Sangosse traps (0.25m x 0.25m) consist of a layer of absorbent material
79 sandwiched between an upper reflective surface and a black plastic, perforated lower surface.
80 In addition, while Reich et al. (2012) used these traps to determine the influence of
81 environmental factors (e.g. temperature) on *G. maculosus* and successfully captured the
82 species for the first time on tree stumps in a forest clear-fell, their data were sourced from a
83 single commercial plantation only.

84 The presence of *G. maculosus* in commercial conifer plantations in Ireland means that
85 forestry managers are legally obliged to protect the species while undertaking day-to-day
86 forestry practices (e.g. clear-felling). In addition, managers seeking Forest Stewardship
87 Council (FSC) certification (Principle 6) (2016) are required to conserve biodiversity. This
88 requires the development of standardised monitoring protocols so that managers can
89 determine: a) whether *G. maculosus* is present on site; and b) if present, incorporate
90 appropriate management strategies to ensure its protection. However, standardised protocols
91 are not currently available since the optimal positioning of De Sangosse refuge traps in
92 forests and associated habitats such as clear-fell and unplanted areas has not yet been
93 determined. In addition, no comparison to date has been undertaken to compare the
94 effectiveness of using refuge traps with simple hand searching, another commonly used
95 sampling method for *G. maculosus* (NRA, 2009) and other terrestrial gastropods (Hunter
96 1968). This provided the incentive for this study which for the first time, examines different
97 trapping methods across a range of open and forested sites.

98 **Aims**

- 99 1. Assess the impact of De Sangosse refuge trap position in forested and open habitats
100 on *G. maculosus* catches and compare these with hand searching.
- 101 2. Quantify the effects of seasonal variation on catches to determine the optimum
102 sampling season for site assessment.
- 103 3. Determine the influence of temperature and rainfall in forested and open habitats on
104 catches to inform optimum weather conditions during which to undertake sampling.
105

106 **Materials and Methods**

107 Study areas

108 Two studies, one carried out over twelve months (Long-term study) and one over four
109 months (Short-term study) were undertaken in and near commercial conifer plantations
110 within the range of *G. maculosus* in the south-west of Ireland. Four study sites (Fig. 1) were
111 chosen within which were selected:

- 112 1. Compartments (forestry management unit) of mature commercial conifers
113 (predominantly *P. sitchensis*) planted on peatland in the early 1970s (Coillte, 2015).
- 114 2. A compartment, which was clear-felled in 2013 and, at the time of the study, was
115 dominated by *P. sitchensis* tree stumps interspersed with *Digitalis purpurea* L.,
116 *Juncus effusus* L. and mosses.
- 117 3. An adjacent area of unplanted peatland containing predominantly *Molinia caerulea*
118 (L.) Moench, and *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull.

119 While another slug species (*Lehmania marginata* Müller) was also found in conifer
120 plantations during the study, catches were, on average, 96% lower than those for *G.*
121 *maculosus*, the focal species for this investigation.

122 Long-term Study

123 The aim of the long-term study was to record catches of *G. maculosus* from a range of
124 habitats over a full calendar year with a view to determining the optimum season for
125 sampling using refuge traps (De Sangosse, Pont du Casse, France, hereafter referred to as
126 “trap”). In each mature compartment, a stand of nine trees in a 3 x 3 grid was selected, at
127 least 10m from the edge of the forest. As in Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011), a single trap

128 was fixed to the north side of each tree (using nails and string) at 1.5m above ground (Fig.
129 2a). Similarly, in each of the clear-fell compartments, individual traps (secured using nails
130 and string) were placed on the north side and top of 3 x 3 tree stumps (Fig. 2b) situated at
131 least 10m from the compartment edge. At each of the peatland sites, nine traps were placed
132 on rocks as per Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) using tec7 glue, nails and string (Fig 2c). In
133 addition, in each mature conifer and clear-fell compartment and in the peatland sections (at a
134 minimum distance of 45m from the tree, stump or rock traps respectively), nine (3 x 3) traps
135 (1.5m apart) were secured (using tent pegs) over vegetation/bare soil on the ground between
136 the traps on trees, tree stumps and rocks. These traps (hereafter referred to as “ground traps”)
137 were deployed because McDonnell & Gormally (2011) have shown that *G. maculosus* can
138 move between trees.

139 Slug catches under the traps were recorded each day for five consecutive days every month
140 for 12 months from September 2014 to August 2015 and because of this, traps were not
141 baited as in Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) since bait degradation would occur between
142 sampling months, thereby influencing the catches on day 1 of each monthly sampling period.
143 The age (i.e. adult or juvenile) and location (i.e. on tree, stump, rock, or ground) of every *G.*
144 *maculosus* found under the traps were recorded. As the size of *G. maculosus* is difficult to
145 measure, and weighing individuals was problematic in the field, slugs greater than 1cm in
146 diameter when rolled into a defensive ball were deemed to be adults.

147 Short-term study

148 During the final four months of the long-term study, an additional investigation was
149 undertaken to compare the sampling protocols of Mc Donnell and Gormally (2011) with
150 previously untried sampling methods. This study was completed at additional locations
151 within each of the four field sites above but using the same protocol regarding distances
152 between traps. The aims were to compare:

153 a) Efficacy of traps placed on mature trees at 1.5m versus 0.2m above ground

154 For this study, two additional mature stands of nine trees (3 x 3) were selected within each of
155 the mature compartments included in the long-term study. Traps were placed on the north
156 side of the trees at a standard height of 1.5m (stand 1) and at a height of 0.2m from the base
157 of the tree (stand 2). To avoid any potential bias related to individual trees, traps placed at
158 0.2m were relocated to 1.5m on the same tree and vice-versa at the end of each sampling
159 week. Sampling regime followed that of the long-term study.

160 b) Efficacy of traps versus hand searching

161 Hand searches were also undertaken in the mature and clear-felled compartments, and in the
162 adjacent peatland at a distance of 45m from all other trapping locations. Hand searches were
163 completed on nine trees (3 x 3) in the mature compartment, nine stumps (3 x 3) in the clear-
164 fell compartment and over a marked area of similar size (5m x 5m), respectively on the
165 peatland outcrops. Hand searches for both adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* were carried out
166 by two people for five minutes per person in each of the designated areas giving a total of ten
167 minutes searching for each sampling day between June and September 2015. This is
168 equivalent to the minimum amount of time it took to check traps for catches within the
169 compartments. Searches involved examining primarily lichens and mosses on tree trunks (to
170 a maximum height of approximately 2m), stumps and rocks in addition to examining the
171 areas in between these features.

172 Temperature and rainfall data collection

173 TinyTag Plus 2 (TGP-4500) environmental data loggers were used to collect temperature data
174 with readings taken every 20 minutes from 19th of September 2014 to the 31st of August
175 2015. Each data logger, placed 1m above ground in a Stephenson's Type Screen (ACS-5050,
176 TinyTag), was placed in each mature conifer and clear-fell compartment and in the peatland
177 sections between the groups of traps. The Screen protects TinyTag loggers from direct
178 sunlight and precipitation when monitoring outdoors (TinyTag, 2016). Hourly rainfall data
179 were obtained from the nearest Met Éireann (Irish National Meteorological Service) stations
180 in Cork Airport, Co. Cork, and Valentia, Co. Kerry to allow for an assessment of the
181 influence of rainfall on capture success. These weather stations were selected as Site 1 was
182 nearest (31 km) to Valencia and Sites 2 (53km), 3 (48km), and 4 (53km) were closest to Cork
183 airport.

184 Statistical analyses

185 All analyses were undertaken using SPSS version 21. Where the assumptions of normality
186 and homogeneity of variance were violated, Welch's T test or ANOVA was used followed by
187 a Games-Howell post hoc test to determine pair-wise differences where more than two
188 groups were examined. Where the assumption of normality was violated but the homogeneity
189 of variance was not, the Kruskal Wallis H test was used followed by a Dunn's post hoc test to
190 compare pair-wise differences. Curve estimation was also used to assess the relationship of
191 examined variables to each other. Where linear relationships were found two-tailed Spearman

192 rank correlations were performed. Mean temperature over seasons was calculated by
193 averaging readings taken every twenty minutes from data loggers over the course of the
194 investigation.

195

196 **Results**

197 Comparison of trap position and hand searching on *G. maculosus* catches in forested /open
198 habitats (Short-term Study)

199

200 Six hundred and fifty-six adult and 63 juvenile (8.8% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were
201 caught on 135 sampling occasions in the mature forest compartments with all individuals
202 caught by hand searching found on tree trunks only (Table 1). Adult / juvenile catches were
203 greatest using traps placed on tree trunks 1.5m above ground (412 / 39), followed by traps
204 placed at 0.2m above ground (219 / 21), hand searching (20 / 3) and traps placed directly on
205 the ground (5 / 0). For adults statistically significant differences were found between all
206 sampling methods ($P < 0.001$, Welch's ANOVA followed by Games-Howell post-hoc
207 analysis) except between traps placed directly on the ground and hand searches. For juveniles
208 statistically significant differences were found only between traps placed at 1.5m and hand
209 searching ($P = 0.020$, Welch's ANOVA with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis). No juveniles
210 were found beneath ground traps. Where juveniles were caught the percentage of the overall
211 catch consisting of juveniles for individual sampling methods was greatest for hand searching
212 (13% of total catch) compared to traps at 1.5m (8.6% of total catch) or 0.2m above ground
213 (9.5% of total catch).

214 One hundred and forty-four adult and 29 juvenile (16.8% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were
215 caught over 80 sampling occasions in the clear-felled compartments (Table 2). Adult /
216 juvenile catches were greatest using hand searching (99 / 27), followed by traps placed on
217 stumps (36 / 2), and traps placed directly on the ground (9 / 0). For adults statistically
218 significant differences were found between all three methods ($P < 0.001$, Welch's ANOVA
219 with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis). For juveniles, statistically significant differences
220 were found between hand searches and traps placed on tree stumps ($P = 0.037$, Welch's T-
221 test with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis). No juveniles were found beneath ground traps
222 and all adults and juveniles (126 in total) caught by hand searching were found on tree

223 stumps only. Where juveniles were caught the percentage of the overall catch consisting of
224 juveniles for individual sampling methods was greatest for hand searching (21.4% of total
225 catch) compared to tree stump traps (5.3% of total catch).

226

227 Forty-four adult and 17 juvenile (27.9% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were caught over 80
228 sampling occasions on the rock outcrops on the peatland (Table 3). Adult and juvenile
229 catches were greatest using rock traps (42 / 14), followed by hand searching (2 / 3), and none
230 were captured under traps placed directly on the vegetation between the rocks (ground traps).
231 Statistically significant differences were found between rock traps and hand searching for
232 both adults and juveniles ($P = 0.029$, Welch's T-test). All adults and juveniles (5 individuals)
233 caught by hand searching were found on rocks only. Where juveniles were caught the
234 percentage of the overall catch consisting of juveniles for individual sampling methods was
235 greatest by hand searching (60% of total catch) compared to rock traps (25% of total catch).

236

237

238 Seasonal variation in *G. maculosus* catches (Long-term study)

239

240 Catches are reported as mean number of *G. maculosus* caught per sampling occasion to allow
241 for comparison across the seasons (Table 4). Mean number of adults caught using traps was
242 greatest in the autumn (4.62), followed by spring (2.43) and summer (1.62), with lowest
243 catches occurring in the winter (1.43). Mean number of juvenile caught was also greatest in
244 autumn (0.38), followed by summer (0.36), spring (0.14) and winter (0.12). Autumn catches
245 for both adults and juveniles were significantly greater ($P = 0.000$; $P = 0.001$ respectively)
246 than winter and spring catches ($P < 0.001$; $P = 0.002$ respectively), Welch's ANOVA with
247 Games-Howell post-hoc analysis. Additional significant differences in adult and juvenile
248 catches between seasons can be seen in Table 4. The percentage of the total catch represented
249 by juveniles was greatest in the summer (18.3%) followed by winter (7.9%), autumn (7.7%)
250 and spring (5.4%).

251

252 In the mature conifer compartments lowest mean catch in winter corresponded with the
253 lowest average temperatures and second lowest catch success in summer corresponded with
254 the highest mean temperatures (Fig. 3). In both the clear-fell compartments and peatland

255 sections the lower catches generally occurred in winter and spring (peatland) and winter,
256 spring and summer (clear-fell) (Fig. 3).

257
258

259 *G. maculosus* catches in relation to temperature and rainfall (Long and short term studies)

260

261 Significant, but weak, quadratic relationships (Fig. 4) were found between total capture
262 success using refuge traps placed at 1.5m and average temperature during the 24 hour period
263 prior to sampling in mature conifer compartments ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.069$) and in clear-felled
264 compartments ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.053$) (Fig. 4). There was no significant relationship between
265 temperature and capture success in peatland areas ($P = 0.167$, $r_s = 0.020$). Significant, but
266 weak, quadratic relationships were also found between capture success and average
267 temperature over the twenty minutes it took to assess traps in mature conifer compartments
268 ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.067$) and in clear-felled compartments ($P = 0.024$, $r_s = 0.029$) (Fig. 4).
269 There was no significant relationship between temperature and capture success in peatland
270 areas ($P = 0.072$, $r_s = 0.024$).

271

272 Significant, but weak, negative Spearman's rank-order correlations were found between
273 individuals caught using hand searches and both the average temperature during the 24 hour
274 period prior to sampling and the temperature during hand searching ($P = 0.038$, $r_s = -0.268$,
275 and $P = 0.012$, $r_s = -0.279$ respectively) in clear fell compartments. No significant correlations
276 were found between hand search catch success and average temperature during the 24 hour
277 period prior to sampling in either mature conifer compartments ($P = 0.689$, $r_s = 0.040$) or
278 peatland sections ($P = 0.651$, $r_s = 0.060$). Furthermore, no significant correlations were found
279 between hand search catch success and temperature during hand searching in either mature
280 conifer compartments ($P = 0.689$, $r_s = 0.040$) or peatland sections ($P = 0.651$, $r_s = 0.060$).

281 A significant, moderate positive Spearman's rank-order correlation was found between
282 individuals caught using hand searches and the average rainfall during the 24 hour period
283 prior to sampling ($P = 0.001$, $r_s = 0.371$) in clear-fell compartments. No significant
284 correlations were found between hand search catch success and average rainfall during the 24
285 hour period prior to sampling in either mature conifer compartments ($P = 0.368$, $r_s = -0.078$)
286 or peatland sections ($P = 0.226$, $r_s = 0.137$). Additionally, no significant correlations were

287 found between hand search catch success and rainfall during hand searching in either mature
288 conifer compartments ($P = 0.242$, $r_s = -0.101$), clear-fell compartments ($P = 0.487$, $r_s = 0.079$),
289 or peatland sections ($P = 0.334$, $r_s = -0.109$).

290 **Discussion**

291 Trap position / hand searching and *G. maculosus* catches

292 Within the mature forest compartments, traps placed at a standard height of 1.5m had greater
293 catch success for adults and juveniles combined (63% of total catch) compared to traps
294 placed at 0.2m (33%), hand searching (3%) and ground traps (< 1%). While Platts & Speight
295 (1988) list the forest floor in deciduous forests as a potential microhabitat for *G. maculosus*, a
296 small study by Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) in a native oak-birch-holly woodland found
297 more individuals under identical traps placed at 1.5m on tree trunks than under ground traps
298 albeit made of a range of different materials. It is, therefore, likely that individual trees are an
299 important microhabitat for *G. maculosus* with most slug activity in commercial conifer
300 plantations occurring on trees rather than on the ground between trees. The fact that ground
301 traps in mature plantations resulted in the least number of catches and no slugs were caught
302 on the forest floor during hand searches further strengthens this conclusion. While lichens,
303 the primary food plant of *G. maculosus* (Reich et al., 2012), are more species rich in the
304 upper third of trees in Sitka spruce plantations (Coote et al., 2007), humidity also decreases
305 with increasing elevation on trees (Hosokawa et al., 1964). It is probable that while slugs may
306 forage in the upper parts of the tree, they return to the more humid, shaded conditions found
307 in the lower parts of the trees to avoid desiccation. This being the case, the first trap they
308 would encounter as they move down the tree would be the trap placed at 1.5m where almost
309 twice as many individuals were caught in comparison to catches under traps placed at 0.2m.
310 The likely movement of individuals up and down the tree trunks may have contributed to the
311 relatively poor efficacy of hand searching in the mature conifer compartments simply
312 because, for practical reasons, counts of slugs on tree trunks were limited to a maximum
313 height of 2m.

314

315 In clear-felled compartments, hand searching yielded the greatest catches of adults and
316 juveniles combined (73% of total catch) compared to tree stump traps (22%) and ground traps
317 (5%). Allowing for differences in numbers of traps employed and numbers of sampling
318 occasions at the mature forest and clear-felled compartments, catches at the mature forest
319 compartments overall were almost double those at the clear-felled compartments. While this
320 is likely to be a reflection of the actual numbers in each habitat type, another possible reason
321 for the relatively low capture rates using traps, in particular, is that the exposed nature of

322 clear-fell areas often resulted in the area immediately beneath the traps drying out, making
323 them less attractive to slugs wishing to use them as shelters. In comparison, traps deployed on
324 tree trunks in plantations tended to remain damp for longer possibly due to the flow of water
325 down the trunk of trees following rainfall (Ovington, 1954) in conjunction with the more
326 shaded conditions beneath the tree canopy. Given that only 23% of all individuals captured
327 on tree stumps were found beneath traps compared to 77% by hand searching also suggests
328 that traps did not function at an optimal level in this habitat. In addition, the total number of
329 captures using traps in the clear-fell (47) is close to that found beneath traps in the other
330 exposed habitat studied i.e. peatland (56) with exactly the same sampling effort. That no
331 slugs were found between stumps when hand searching could be the result, in some cases, of
332 the presence of *J. effusus* and *D. purpurea* making it difficult to see specimens. Indeed,
333 McDade and Maguire (2005) have noted that when surface conditions are more structurally
334 complex it becomes more difficult to detect slugs using hand searching.

335 In peatland sections traps placed on rocky outcrops had the greatest catches of adults and
336 juveniles combined (92% of total catch) compared to hand searching (8%), with no
337 individuals found beneath traps placed directly on the ground between rocks. This mirrors the
338 findings by Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) who successfully captured *G. maculosus* with
339 traps placed on rocky outcrops in peatland. Individuals captured using hand searching were
340 also found only on rocky outcrops within the hand searching area. It is likely that successful
341 capture of slugs was limited to rocks because of the presence of an abundant source of lichens
342 on which *G. maculosus* feeds (NRA, 2009). The absence of individuals found either by hand
343 searching and under traps placed on the ground between rocks indicates the importance of
344 outcrops as a habitat feature for the species in peatland habitats. Having said that, dense
345 vegetation in peatlands, particularly the presence of *M. caerulea*, may reduce the
346 effectiveness of hand searching. In addition, the absence of catches under ground traps placed
347 between rocks in this study may reflect genuinely low abundances in that *G. maculosus* has
348 only been rarely seen on open peatland vegetation (Mc Donnell, pers.comm.). It is, however,
349 possible that higher levels of moisture in peatland vegetation may reduce the attractiveness of
350 the traps as a refuge from desiccation unlike those in the drier conifer compartments.

351 In terms of juvenile capture success, while overall numbers caught were lower than those of
352 the adults, trends observed followed those of the adults in each of the three habitats. Although
353 greatest numbers of juveniles were caught using traps (excepting ground traps) in both
354 mature conifer compartments and peatland, the proportion of juveniles caught in each of the

355 three habitats was consistently greater using hand searching compared to using traps. Rollo
356 and Wellington (1979) found that adults of *Deroceras reticulatum* Müller, four *Arion* species
357 and *Limax maximus* L. tended to be more aggressive than juveniles which resulted in
358 juveniles being unable to compete with the larger adult slugs for shelters. In addition, Rollo
359 (1982) in a later study found that juvenile slugs (*Deroceras* species, *Arion* species and *L.*
360 *maximus*) spent a larger portion of their active period foraging. It is, therefore, possible that a
361 combination of competition for shelter and more time spent foraging resulted in lower
362 proportions of juveniles found under traps. Where there are time constraints and simply
363 presence or absence data are required, initial hand-searching under appropriate weather
364 conditions and during the appropriate season is probably sufficient. Hand searching at night
365 (using torches) could yield interesting results and the effect on catches of searching at
366 different times of the day is worth further investigation. If no specimens are found by hand-
367 searching, traps could be placed subsequently to confirm the presence or absence of the
368 species. Traps are also useful in instances where personnel undertaking hand-searching are
369 inexperienced and in cases where long term monitoring is required. Weighing of slugs in the
370 field (time permitting) would permit researchers to separate with more precision the different
371 age stages and further our understanding of *G. maculosus* population dynamics in the field.

372 *Geomalacus maculosus* catches – in relation to temperature and rainfall

373 While *G. maculosus* was collected year round, results of the long-term study indicate that
374 capture success varies across the seasons. Capture success for both adults and juveniles was
375 greatest during the autumn months and least in winter. After autumn, spring and summer
376 catches were the next highest for adults and juveniles respectively. The results suggest that *G.*
377 *maculosus* monitoring surveys and / or relocation prior to clear-felling should be undertaken
378 during autumn to ensure optimal catch success. The second peak in juvenile catches in
379 summer is likely to be the result of egg laying by adults in the spring (Wisniewski, 2000).
380 Summer surveys would therefore provide useful information on the health of the population
381 by indicating the extent of breeding and recruitment by juveniles. Further research whereby
382 populations are monitored over a number of years (ideally with different weather patterns)
383 would further refine optimum sampling seasons for the species. Significant but weak
384 quadratic relationships were detected between temperatures during the 24-hour period prior
385 to and at the time of sampling with capture success using traps in both mature conifer and
386 clear-felled compartments. No significant relationship was found in the peatland sections
387 where trap catches were overall substantially less. The quadratic nature of the relationships

388 suggests that both low and high temperatures have a negative effect on numbers of
389 individuals found beneath traps in mature conifer/ clear-felled compartments. It is likely that
390 the oceanic nature of climate in Ireland with its relatively small temperature range (Met
391 Éireann, 2016) may have contributed to the weak relationship between temperatures and slug
392 catches. Nevertheless, catches at each of the three sites were lowest in winter (corresponding
393 to the lowest mean temperatures) and although catches were next lowest in summer (highest
394 mean temperatures) at the mature conifer plantations, this was not the case for the clear-fell
395 and peatland habitats where numbers of catches were substantially lower. It is interesting to
396 note that in clear-fell compartments, where hand searching was most successful, there was a
397 negative correlation between numbers of individuals caught by hand searching and average
398 temperatures prior to and during sampling. Coupled with this was the positive correlation
399 between individuals caught using hand searches in clear-fells and the average rainfall during
400 the 24 hour period prior to sampling. Given that hand searching has been reported as being
401 highly dependent on weather (Bruelheide & Scheidel, 1999), there are clearly a number of
402 factors at play relating to the attractiveness of traps coupled with levels of slug activity under
403 different weather conditions.

404 As previously mentioned, it is possible that at higher air temperatures the surface beneath the
405 traps dries out, particularly in clear-felled compartments, making them less attractive to *G.*
406 *maculosus* thereby resulting in lower catches. Terrestrial slugs are known to be extremely
407 susceptible to dehydration (Cameron, 1970), and seek to avoid exposure to unfavourable
408 conditions as a means of protecting themselves (Rollo, 1982). Additionally, slugs in general
409 are known to move down through the soil profile to avoid freezing temperatures (Cook,
410 2004). In support of this the authors have observed *G. maculosus* sheltering below ground
411 and under the moss cover at the bases of trees, stumps and rocks during warm and dry
412 weather, as well as during cold weather. With regard to rainfall, it is interesting to note that
413 no significant relationship was found between rainfall at the time of sampling and hand
414 search capture success in any of the habitats. This finding is somewhat surprising given that
415 *G. maculosus* is reported to be only diurnally active during or after rain (Taylor 1906; Platts
416 & Speight, 1988). Given that rainfall data were sourced from weather stations more than
417 30km from the sites, they may not have reflected local variation in rainfall accurately. In
418 addition, Ovington (1954) found that duration and intensity of rainfall are the most important
419 factors dictating the amount of rainfall that reaches the ground in conifer plantations. It has
420 been widely reported that temperature and rainfall are important factors influencing slug

421 activity in general (Barnes & Weill, 1945; Webley et al., 1964; Young, 1991; Shirley et al.,
422 2001; Choi et al., 2006) and this is, to some extent, reflects the results of this study. Although
423 logistics in this study did not permit the recording of weekly catch data, future studies
424 incorporating on-site weather data, particularly rainfall measurements in addition to weekly
425 catch data would further refine the relationship between weather conditions and catch
426 success.

427

428 The results of this study clearly indicate for the first time that approaches to monitoring *G.*
429 *maculosus* needs to take into account the habitat under investigation. Of the sampling
430 strategies investigated in this study, traps placed at a height of 1.5m on trees in mature conifer
431 plantations will likely result in optimal numbers of catches of *G. maculosus*. In clear-fell
432 areas, hand searching under suitable weather conditions, preferably when rain has fallen in
433 the previous twenty-four hours, is recommended. For peatlands, traps should be placed on
434 exposed rock. Overall, autumn is the preferred time of sampling for adult slugs, while
435 summer sampling is recommended if breeding and recruitment studies are required. Sampling
436 during extremes of hot and cold weather should be avoided as results are likely to give an
437 underestimation of slug densities, which could lead to the implementation of poor
438 management decisions. While the results of this study form the basis for guidelines to
439 forestry managers who are legally obliged to protect *G. maculosus* when undertaking routine
440 forestry practices, further work regarding the presence of the species in the upper canopy is
441 required. In addition, measuring humidity and temperature beneath traps using probes in
442 conjunction with numbers of slug catches will further refine how best to maximise the use of
443 trap data for the protection of *G. maculosus* in the future.

444 **Acknowledgements**

445 We are grateful to Aidan O'Hanlon, Inga Reich, Clément Teillet, Declan McGovern, Lorenzo
446 Sardo, Frank O'Grady, Mark Welch and Úna Ní Rourke for assistance with fieldwork. We
447 would also like to thank Stacey Bradly, Eamon Drohan, Joan O'Connor, Ted Kelleher,
448 Padraig McMahon, and Ciaran Walsh (Coillte) for providing information on the sites and
449 Coillte for permitting access to the sites. Thank you to Dr Aileen O Sullivan (Coillte) and Dr
450 Orla Fahy (Forest Service) for their assistance. We acknowledge, with thanks, the Wildlife
451 Licensing Unit (National Parks & Wildlife Service) for providing us with license No.

452 C069/2014. Finally, we thank the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine for
453 funding this research under the FIRM/RSF/CoFoRD 2013 Research Call.

454 **Funding:** This research was funded by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine
455 under the FIRM/RSF/CoFoRD 2013 Research Call.

456 **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

457 **References**

458 ANON (2010). Threat Response Plan Kerry Slug *Geomalacus maculosus*. National Parks
459 & Wildlife Service.

460 Barnes HF, Weil JW (1945). Slugs in gardens: their numbers, activity and distribution.
461 Part II. *J. Anita. Ecol.* 14: 71-105. DOI: 10.2307/1386

462 Bouchet P, Falkner G, Seddon MB (1999). Lists of protected land and freshwater
463 molluscs in the Bern Convention and European Habitats Directive: Are they relevant to
464 conservation? *Biol Cons* 90(1): 21–31. DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00009-9

465 Bruelheide H, Scheidel U (1999). Slug herbivory as a limiting factor for the geographical
466 range of *Arnica montana*. *J Ecol* 87(5): 839–848. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-
467 2745.1999.00403.x

468 Byrne A, Moorkens EA, Anderson R, Killeen IJ, Regan EC (2009). Ireland Red List No.
469 2 – Non- Marine Molluscs. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the
470 Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

471 Cameron RAD, (1970). The effect of temperature on the activity of three species of
472 Helicid snail (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *J Zool (London)*, 162(3): 303–315.
473 DOI:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1970.tb01267.x

474 Chapman AD, (2009). Numbers of living species in Australia and the world.
475 Toowoomba, Australia: 2nd edition. Australian Biodiversity Information Services.

476 Cook RT (2004). The tolerance of the field slug *Deroceras reticulatum* to freezing
477 temperatures. *CryoLetters* 25(3): 187–194. PMID:15216383

478 Choi Y H, Bohan DA, Powers SJ, Wiltshire CW, Glen DM, Semenov MA, (2004).
479 Modelling *Deroceras reticulatum* (Gastropoda) population dynamics based on daily

480 temperature and rainfall. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*, 103(3): 519-525.
481 [DOI:10.1016/j.agee.2003.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.11.012)

482 Coillte (2015). Inventory list for fieldwork surveys (full). Coillte Teoranta.

483 Coote L, Smith GF, Kelly DL, O'Donoghue S, Dowding P, Iremonger S, Mitchell FJG
484 (2007). Epiphytes of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) plantations in Ireland and the effects
485 of open spaces. *Biodivers Conserv* 16(14): 4009–4024. DOI:10.1007/s10531-007-9203-5

486 DAFM (2015) Ireland's Forests – Annual Statistics. Department of Agriculture, Food and
487 the Marine.

488 Douglas MR, Tooker JF (2012). Slug (Mollusca: Agriolimacidae, Arionidae) ecology and
489 management in no-till field crops, with an emphasis on the mid-Atlantic region. *JIPM*
490 3(1): 1–9. DOI: 10.1603/IPM11023

491 Forest Service (2008). Irish Forests – A Brief History. Department of Agriculture,
492 Fisheries and Food.

493 FSC (2016). The 10 Principles. Ten rules for responsible forest management. Retrieved
494 from: <https://ic.fsc.org/en/certification/principles-and-criteria/the-10-principles>. Last
495 accessed: 15/05/2016

496 Hill MO (1979). The development of a flora in even-aged plantations. In: Ford ED,
497 Malcolm DC, Atterson J (eds.). *The ecology of even-aged forest plantations*. Cambridge,
498 Institute of Terrestrial Ecology 175-192. ISBN 0 904282 33 3

499 Hosokawa T, Odani N, Tagawa H (1964). Causality of the Distribution of Corticolous
500 Species in Forests with Special Reference to the Physio-Ecological Approach. *ABLS*
501 67(4): 396–411. DOI: 10.2307/3240764

502 Hommay G, Kienlen JC, Gertz C, Hill A (2001). Growth and Reproduction of the Slug
503 *Limax Valentianus* Firussac in Experimental Conditions. *J Mollusc Stud* 67(2):191–207.
504 DOI: 0.1093/mollus/67.2.191

505 Hunter P (1968). Studies on slugs of arable ground: I. Sampling methods. *Malacologia* 6:
506 369-377

507 Kearney J (2010). Kerry slug (*Geomalacus maculosus* Allman, 1843) recorded at
508 Lettercraffroe, Co. Galway. *Ir Nat J* 31(1): 68–69.

509 South A (2012). *Terrestrial slugs: biology, ecology and control*. Springer Science &
510 Business Media. ISBN 978-94-011-2380-8

511 Lydeard C, Cowie RH, Ponder WF, Bogan AE, Bouchet P, Clark SA, Cummings KS,
512 Frest TJ, Gargominy O, Herbert DG, Hershler R, Perez KE, Roth B, Seddon M, Strong
513 EE, Thompson FG (2004). The global decline of non-marine Mollusks. *BioScience* 54(4):
514 321-330. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0321:TGDONM]2.0.CO;2

515 Macdonald N (2009). Factsheet 02/09: Slug control in field vegetables. Retrieved from
516 <http://www.ahdb.org.uk/slugcontrol/HDC> 05 Aug 2010

517 Met Éireann (2016) Climate of Ireland. Retrieved from:
518 <http://www.met.ie/climate/climate-of-ireland.asp>. Last accessed 10/05/2015.

519 McDade KA, Maguire CC (2000). Comparative effectiveness of three techniques for
520 salamander and gastropod land surveys. *Am Midl Nat* 153(2): 309–320.
521 DOI:10.1674/0003-0031(2005)153[0309:CEOTTF]2.0.CO;2

522 Mc Donnell R, Gormally M (2011). A live trapping method for the protected european
523 slug, *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman 1843 (Arionidae). *J Conchol* 1843: 483–485.

524 NFI (2012). The second national forest inventory, Republic of Ireland, Main Findings.
525 Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

526 NPWS (2013). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Species
527 Assessments Volume 3. Version 1.0. National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of
528 Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

529 NRA (2009). Ecological surveying techniques for protected flora and fauna during the
530 planning of National Road Schemes. National Roads Authority, Dublin

531 Ovington JD (1954). A comparison of rainfall in different woodlands. *Forestry* 27(1):41-
532 53. DOI: 10.1093/forestry/27.1.41

533 Platts E A, Speight MCD (1988). The taxonomy and Distribution of the Kerry Slug
534 *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman, 1843 (Mollusca: Arionidae) with a Discussion of Its

535 Status as a Threatened Species. *Ir Nat J* 22(10): 417–430.
536 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25539243>

537 Reich I, O’Meara K, Mc Donnell RJ, Gormally MJ, (2012). An assessment of the use of
538 conifer plantations by the Kerry Slug (*Geomalacus maculosus*) with reference to the
539 impact of forestry operations. *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, No. 64. National Parks and Wildlife
540 Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

541 Reich I, Gormally M, McDonnell R, Allcock AL, Castillejo J, Iglesias J, Quinteiro J,
542 Smith CJ (2015). Genetic study reveals close link between Irish and Northern Spanish
543 specimens of the protected Lusitanian slug *Geomalacus maculosus*. *Biol J Linn Soc*
544 116:156-168. DOI: 10.1111/bij.12568

545 Rollo CD (1982). The regulation of activity in populations of the terrestrial slug *Limax*
546 *maximus* (Gastropods: Limacidae). *Res Popul Ecol (Kyoto)* 24: 1–32. DOI:
547 10.1007/BF02515586

548 Rollo CD, Wellington WG (1979). Intra-and inter-specific agonistic behavior among
549 terrestrial slugs (Pulmonata: Stylommatophora). *Can J Zool* 57(4): 846-855. DOI:
550 [10.1139/z79-104](https://doi.org/10.1139/z79-104)

551 Rowson B, Turner J, Anderson R, Symondson B (2014). *Slugs of Britain and Ireland.*
552 Identification, understanding and control. Field Studies Council, Telford

553 Shirley MDF, Rushton SP, Young AG, Port GR (2001). Simulating the long-term
554 dynamics of slug populations: a process-based modelling approach for pest control. *J*
555 *Appl Ecol* 38:401-411. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00606.x

556 South A (1989). A Comparison of the life cycles of the slugs *Deroceras Reticulatum*
557 (Müller) and *Arion Intermedius* Normand on permanent pasture. *J Mollusc Stud*, 55(1),
558 9–22. Doi:10.1093/mollus/55.1.9

559 Speight MCD (1995). *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman, 1843. Background information on
560 invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention. Part III—Mollusca and
561 Echinodermata. *Nature and environment*, 81, 433-437.

562 Taylor JW (1906). *Monograph of the land and freshwater Mollusca of the British Isles.* 2.
563 Taylor Brothers, Leeds.

564 TinyTag (2016). Accessories, ACS-5050. Retrieved from:
565 <http://www.geminidataloggers.com/accessories/other/acs-5050> Last Accessed:
566 10/05/2016

567 Verdú JR, Galante E (2005). Libro Rojo De Los Invertebrados De Espana. Madrid.

568 Webley D (1964). Slug activity in relation to weather. *Ann App Biol* 53:407-414. DOI:
569 DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1964.tb07254.x

570 Wisniewski PJ (2000). Husbandry and breeding of Kerry spotted slug *Geomalacus*
571 *maculosus* at the Endangered Species Breeding Unit, Martin Mere. *Int Zoo Yearb* 37:
572 319–321. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-1090.2000.tb00736.x

573 Young AG, Port GR, Emmett BJ, Green DI (1991). Development of a forecast of slug
574 activity: models to relate slug activity to meteorological conditions. *Crop Prot* 10(5):
575 413–415. DOI:10.1016/S0261-2194(06)80034-7

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589 **Table 1** Mature Forest: Total and mean (\pm SD) catch of adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* using
 590 traps placed on trees 1.5m above ground, 0.2m above ground, directly on the ground and
 591 using ten minute hand searches from June to September 2015 (N = 135 sampling occasions).

	1.5m traps	0.2m traps	Ground traps	Hand search
Adult				
Total catch	412	219	5	20
Mean \pm SD	3.05 \pm 5.00	1.62 \pm 3.41	0.05 \pm 0.23	0.15 \pm 0.38
1.5m trap	-	-	-	-
0.2m trap	0.033	-	-	-
Ground traps	0.000	0.000	-	-
Hand search	0.000	0.000	0.080	-
Juvenile				
Total catch	39	21	0	3
Mean \pm SD	0.34 \pm 1.24	0.16 \pm 0.67	0	0.02 \pm 0.15
1.5m trap	-	-	-	-
0.2m trap	0.081	-	-	-
Ground trap	-	-	-	-
Hand search	0.020	0.296	-	-

592 **Adult:** Test statistic = 26.635; df = 3; P < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. P values given in bold
 593 indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell multiple
 594 comparison test; **Juvenile:** Test statistic = 4.696; df = 2; P = 0.010, Welch's ANOVA. P
 595 values given in bold indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-
 596 Howell multiple comparison test.
 597

598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614

615 **Table 2** Clear-felled compartments: Total and mean (\pm SD) catch of adult and juvenile *G.*
 616 *maculosus* using traps placed on tree stumps, on the ground and using ten minute hand
 617 searches from June to September 2015 (N=80 sampling occasions)

	Tree stump traps	Ground traps	Hand search
Adult			
Total catch	36	9	99
Mean \pm SD	0.45 \pm 0.81	0.11 \pm 0.36	1.82 \pm 2.82
Tree stump traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	0.003	-	-
Hand search	0.011	0.000	-
Juveniles			
Total catch	2	0	27
Mean \pm SD	0.03 \pm 0.16	0	0.34 \pm 1.31
Tree stump traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	-	-	-
Hand search	0.037	-	-

618 **Adult:** Test statistic = 14.690; df = 2; P < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. P values given in bold
 619 indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell multiple
 620 comparison test; **Juvenile:** Test statistic = 4.478; df = 1; P = 0.037, Welch's T-test. P values
 621 given in bold indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell
 622 multiple comparison test.
 623
 624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634 **Table 3** Peatland: Total and mean (\pm SD) catch of adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* using
 635 refuge traps placed on rocks (rock traps, on vegetation among rocks (ground traps) and using
 636 ten minute hand searches from June to September 2015 (N = 80 sampling occasions)

	Rock traps	Ground traps	Hand search
Adult			
Total catch	42	0	2
Mean \pm SD	0.53 \pm 0.84	0 \pm 0	0.03 \pm 0.16
Rock traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	-	-	-
Hand search	0.000	-	-
Juveniles			
Total catch	14	0	3
Mean \pm SD	0.18 \pm 0.50	0 \pm 0	0.38 \pm 0.25
Rock traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	-	-	-
Hand search	0.029	-	-

637 **Adults:** Test statistic = 27.288; df = 1 P < 0.001 Welch's T test. P values given in bold
 638 indicate significant differences between trapping methods; **Juveniles:** Test statistic = 4.890;
 639 df = 1 P = 0.029 Welch's T test. P values given in bold indicate significant differences
 640 between trapping methods.
 641
 642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654 **Table 4** Seasonal variation: Adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* catches in autumn (N=230),
 655 winter (N=245), spring (N=245), and summer (N= 225) across all three habitats using refuge
 656 traps (mature forest, clear-fell and peatland).

	Autumn 14	Winter 14/15	Spring 15	Summer 15
Adult				
Total catch	1062	349	596	358
Mean ± SD	4.62 ± 6.53	1.43 ± 2.70	2.43 ± 3.95	1.64 ± 2.97
Autumn	-	-	-	-
Winter	0.000	-	-	-
Spring	0.000	0.006	-	-
Summer	0.000	0.0837	0.068	-
Juvenile				
Total catch	88	30	34	80
Mean ± SD	0.38 ± 0.96	0.12 ± 0.44	0.14 ± 0.42	0.36 ± 0.95
Autumn	-	-	-	-
Winter	0.001	-	-	-
Spring	0.002	0.975	-	-
Summer	0.997	0.003	0.006	-

657 **Adults:** Test statistic = 17.813; df = 3; P < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. P values given in bold
 658 indicate significant differences between seasons Games-Howell multiple comparison test.

659 **Juveniles:** Test statistic = 9.280; df = 3; P < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. P values given in bold
 660 indicate significant differences between seasons Games-Howell multiple comparison test

661

662

663

664

665


666

667

668

669

670




671
672
673
674
675
676

Fig. 1 Location of the four study sites in the south-west of Ireland: Site 1 (Tooreenafersha), Site 2 (Derrynasaggert), Site 3 (Rathgaskig/Coomlibane) and Site 4 (Barnagowlane) (G. Kindermann, 2016)



677
678
679

Fig. 2 Traps placed on a tree (a), a tree stump (b), and a rock (c) (G. Kindermann, 2016)



680

681 **Fig. 3** Mean (\pm SE) *G. maculosus* individuals (bar graph) caught (using traps) in mature
 682 conifer compartments (a), clear-felled compartments (b), and peatland compartments (c) with
 683 mean temperature ($^{\circ}$ C \pm SE) (line graph) for each season, from September 2014 to
 684 November 2014 (autumn), December 2014 to February 2015 (winter), March to May 2015
 685 (spring) and June to August 2015 (summer).


686

687

688

689

690



691 **Fig 4** Quadratic relationship (line) between *G. maculosus* individuals caught (using traps) and
 692 average temperature (°C) 24 hours prior to sampling in mature conifer compartments (a), and
 693 in clear-fell compartments (b). Quadratic relationship (line) between *G. maculosus*
 694 individuals caught (using traps) and temperature (°C) during sampling in mature conifer
 695 compartments (c), and in clear-fell compartments (d) between October 2014 and August
 696 2015.

698

699

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)