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Figure 1. A close up image of constricted (top panel) average (middle panel) and dilated pupils (bottom panel) manipulations.
Figure 2. Panel A shows examples of pupil sizes within the 3 forms used within the experiments. Panel B shows a trial within the Vigilance task. Panel C shows a trial within the Rating task.
Figure 3. Ratings of Female and Male faces for both questions posed. Error bars denote standard error.
Figure 4. Mean ratings of High and Low trust male faces for each of the questions posed in Experiment 3. Error bars denote standard error values.
Figure 5A. How friendly is this person? – Ratings of Female and Male faces for both groups. Error bars denote standard error.

Figure 5B. How interested would this person be in you? – Ratings of Female and Male faces for both groups. Error bars denote standard error.