

# LJMU Research Online

Bakker, EA, Snoek, JA, Meindersma, EP, Hopman, MTE, Bellersen, L, Verbeek, ALM, Thijssen, DHJ and Eijsvogels, TMH

Absence of Fitness Improvement Is Associated with Outcomes in Heart Failure Patients.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/7245/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Bakker, EA, Snoek, JA, Meindersma, EP, Hopman, MTE, Bellersen, L, Verbeek, ALM, Thijssen, DHJ and Eijsvogels, TMH (2017) Absence of Fitness Improvement Is Associated with Outcomes in Heart Failure Patients. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. ISSN 1530-0315

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

- 1 Absence of Fitness Improvement Is Associated with Outcomes in Heart Failure Patients
- 2
- 3 Esmée A. Bakker, MSc<sup>1, 5</sup>
- 4 Johan A. Snoek, MD<sup>4</sup>
- 5 Esther P. Meindersma, MD<sup>3, 4</sup>
- 6 Maria T.E. Hopman, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>
- 7 Louise Bellersen, MD<sup>3</sup>
- 8 André L.M. Verbeek, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>
- 9 Dick H.J. Thijssen, PhD<sup>1, 5</sup>
- 10 Thijs M.H. Eijsvogels, PhD<sup>1, 5</sup>
- 11
- 12 **Word count text:** 3241
- 13 Word count abstract: 269
- 14 **Tables:** 1
- 15 **Figures:** 4
- 16

### 17 Affiliations

- 18 Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, departments of <sup>1</sup>Physiology, <sup>2</sup>Health Evidence and
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>3</sup>Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- <sup>4</sup>Heart Centre, Isala, Zwolle, The Netherlands. <sup>5</sup>Research Institute for Sports and Exercise
- 21 Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

## 22 Address for correspondence

- 23 Esmée Bakker, MSc, Dept. of Physiology (392), Radboud University Medical Center,
- 24 P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- 25 E-mail: <u>Esmee.Bakker@radboudumc.nl</u>
- 26 Tel. (+31) (0)24 36 14906 Fax. (+31) (0)24 36 68340

#### 27 Abstract

28 Purpose. To examine the clinical impact of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and

29 improvements in CRF after cardiac rehabilitation (CR) in heart failure (HF) patients for their

30 risk of all-cause mortality and unplanned hospitalization. Secondly, to investigate possible

31 factors associated with the absence of improvement in CRF after rehabilitation.

Methods. We included 155 HF patients receiving CR between October 2009 and January 32 33 2015. Patients performed an incremental bicycle test to assess CRF through peak oxygen 34 uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>-peak) before and after CR-based supervised exercise training. Patients were classified as responders or non-responders based on pre-to-post CR changes in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak 35  $(\geq 6\%$  and < 6%, respectively). Cox proportional hazards models evaluated all-cause mortality 36 and unplanned hospitalization during 5 years of follow-up. Patient characteristics, HF features 37 38 and co-morbidities were used to predict changes in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak using logistic regression 39 analysis.

40 **Results.** Seventy HF patients (45%) were classified as responder. Non-responders had a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality or hospitalization (HR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.17-41 3.94) compared to responders. This was even higher in non-responders with low CRF at 42 baseline (HR = 4.88, 95% CI = 1.71-13.93). Factors associated with non-response to CR were 43 age (OR = 1.07/year, 95% CI = 1.03-1.11), baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak (OR = 1.16/ml/min/kg, 95% 44 CI = 1.06-1.26) and adherence to CR (OR = 0.98/percentage, 95% CI = 0.96-0.998). 45 **Conclusion.** Independent from baseline CRF, the inability to improve VO<sub>2</sub>-peak by CR 46 doubled the risk of death or unplanned hospitalization. The combination of lower baseline 47 48 CRF and non-response was associated with even poorer clinical outcomes. Especially older 49 HF patients with higher baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and lower adherence have a higher probability of becoming a non-responder. 50

- 51 Key words: exercise, cardiovascular risk, morbidity/mortality, physical fitness, unplanned
- 52 hospitalization

#### 53 INTRODUCTION

54 Heart failure (HF) is diagnosed in 1-2% of the adult population of developed countries and is characterized by a structural or functional impairment of ventricular filling or ejection (1, 2). 55 HF patients typically suffer from dyspnea and fatigue, which may limit their habitual physical 56 activity and contribute to exercise intolerance. Hence, the cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) of 57 58 HF patients is lower compared to age- and sex-predicted reference values (3, 4). Previous 59 studies indicated that CRF is an important predictor for the course of disease (5, 6), with lower CRF being related to an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Improvement of CRF 60 through exercise training is therefore recommended in HF patients (1, 2). 61 Previous studies in HF patients suggest a potential decline in mortality and 62 hospitalization rate after standardized cardiac rehabilitation (CR; involving exercise training) 63 compared to usual care (7, 8). However, significant heterogeneity exists in individual 64 65 responses to CR, with some demonstrating no change or even a decline in fitness. It has been estimated that only half of the HF patients improve their fitness after standardized CR (9, 10), 66 67 compared to 86% in non-HF individuals (11). Evidence is limited whether the absence of improvement affects future survival and morbidity. Preliminary work suggests that HF 68 patients with an increased fitness after CR (responders) have a lower risk of all-cause 69 70 mortality and hospitalization compared to patients without such improvements (non-71 responders) (9). Likewise, non-responders demonstrated an increased risk of cardiac events compared to responders (10). These studies were, however, limited by a low adherence (40%) 72 73 to the exercise training sessions. Moreover, the work related to a specific population of HF 74 patients (i.e. young (systolic) HF patients) and relatively short follow-up (9, 10). Given the overall health benefits of CR-based exercise programs and the high number of non-75 responders, it is relevant to better understand the clinical impact of being a non-responder and 76 to identify HF patients who are at particular risk of becoming a non-responder. 77

78 Therefore, the aim of our study was to compare the risk of morbidity and all-cause 79 mortality between HF patients responding and non-responding in CRF improvement after standardized and supervised exercise training as part of a CR program. We expect that 80 81 improvement in CRF after rehabilitation is associated with a reduced risk of morbidity and allcause mortality, whilst this observation is independent of pre-rehabilitation levels of CRF. 82 Furthermore, to better understand the characteristics of a non-responder, we aimed to predict 83 84 which HF patients will become a non-responder to CR using personal-, health-, disease- and 85 exercise training-related characteristics.

86

#### 87 **METHODS**

88 Subjects

All HF patients who followed supervised exercise training as part of a CR program at the
Radboud university medical center or Isala Clinic between October 2009 and January 2015
were eligible for participation in the study. Both patients with a reduced ejection fraction
(HFrEF) and a preserved ejection fraction (HFprEF) were included if they performed a
baseline and follow-up fitness test. HF patients with a peak respiratory exchange ratio (RER)
<1.00 at baseline and/or post-training exercise test were excluded from the study to guarantee</li>
the quality of the included data.

96

## 97 Experimental design

At baseline and after completion of the exercise-training program, HF patients underwent
cardiopulmonary exercise testing to determine changes in CRF. Absolute change in physical
fitness was assessed by calculation of the difference in peak oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>-peak)
between both exercise tests. Relative change was calculated by dividing the absolute change
by the baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak. HF patients were classified as responder if they demonstrated a

relative improvement in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak  $\geq 6\%$  and as non-responder if the increase in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak was <6%. The threshold of 6% improvement was used to compensate for inter-test variability, and an increase of VO<sub>2</sub>-peak  $\geq 6\%$  was considered as a meaningful and reliable difference (12).

106 Data related to patient characteristics, unplanned hospitalization and all-cause 107 mortality were extracted from electronic patient files at both hospitals. The Local Committee 108 on Research Involving Human Subjects of the region Arnhem and Nijmegen approved the 109 study, and the study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

110

#### 111 Exercise training programs

112 HF patients participated in four different supervised exercise-training programs, depending on year of participation and the available program at the medical center. Patients from the 113 Radboud university medical center participated in: 1) an 8-week exercise program, consisting 114 of 2 sessions/week at a moderate exercise intensity (n=23); or 2) a 12- week exercise 115 program, consisting of 2 sessions/week at moderate (n=10) or high exercise intensity (n=10). 116 117 Patients from the Isala Clinic participated in 3) a 12-week exercise program, consisting of 2 118 sessions/week at a moderate exercise intensity (n=36); or 4) a 24-week exercise program (n=76), consisting of 2 sessions/week at a moderate exercise intensity. Moderate intensity was 119 defined as BORG score 11-15. During high intensity training sessions 10 bouts of 1-minute at 120 121 90% of the maximal workload were alternated by 2.5 minutes at 30% of the maximal workload. Adherence of HF patients to the exercise program was defined as the total number 122 of training sessions performed by a patient divided by the total number of training sessions in 123 124 the program. This ratio was multiplied by 100 to obtain a percentage.

125

126

#### 128 Cardiopulmonary exercise test

129 Standardized exercise testing was performed on a bicycle ergometer with increasing workload until exhaustion. The oxygen consumption was measured by an automated system, which was 130 131 calibrated before every test with both ambient air and a fixed known gas mixture. During exercise testing, subjects were verbally encouraged to achieve maximum exertion, evidenced 132 133 by a RER  $\geq 1.00$ . Respiratory gas analysis measured the oxygen uptake, carbon dioxide 134 production, and ventilation. Gas exchange results were used to determine the VO<sub>2</sub>-peak, 135 which was defined as the average  $VO_2$  over the last 30 seconds of the exercise test. The 136 maximum heart rate and workload (Watt) were measured during the exercise test.

137

#### 138 Data extraction

139 Electronic patient files were used to collect: 1) baseline patient characteristics including age, 140 sex, body mass index (BMI), current smoking status and co-morbidities (diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and hypertension), and 2) HF characteristics such as 141 142 disease etiology (ischemic versus non-ischemic), New York Heart Association (NYHA) 143 classification, left ventricular ejection fraction, history of atrium fibrillation, medication use (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin II receptor blocker, beta blocker agent, 144 145 aldosterone receptor antagonist, loop diuretic and statins), and the presence of medical devices (pacemaker, cardiac resynchronization therapy or implantable cardioverter 146 defibrillator). Furthermore, we used all-cause unplanned hospitalization events and all-cause 147 148 mortality as our end points and obtained these from the electronic patient files.

149

150 Data analysis

151 Kaplan–Meier curves and the log-rank test were used to assess the difference in clinical

152 outcome between responders and non-responders. The end-point was any unplanned

153 hospitalization or all-cause mortality. Patients who did not reach the end-point were censored 154 at the end of the observation period or after 5 years of follow-up. The follow-up started at the baseline cardiopulmonary exercise test prior to CR. The crude hazard rate ratio (HR) with 155 156 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated first by univariable Cox proportional hazards modelling. Subsequently, we compared patient characteristics, HF characteristics, medication 157 158 use, medical devices and physiological variables between groups using the chi-squared test 159 for categorical variables, Student's t-test for normally distributed continuous variables and the 160 Mann-Whitney U test for not normally distributed continuous variables. We adjusted the survival analysis with multivariable Cox proportional hazards modelling for the following 161 162 possible confounding factors: age, sex, BMI, baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and a cardiac resynchronization therapy combined with implantable cardioverter defibrillator. The adjusted 163 164 HR was calculated with a 95% CI.

165 To calculate the odds of becoming a non-responder, we used univariable logistic regression and examined the following factors: age, sex, BMI, current smoking status, co-166 167 morbidities, disease etiology, NYHA classification, ejection fraction, history of atrium 168 fibrillation, medication use and the presence of medical devices (pacemakers, cardiac resynchronization therapy or implantable cardioverter defibrillator), adherence, duration and 169 170 type of the rehabilitation program, and baseline values of VO<sub>2</sub>-peak, maximum achieved heart 171 rate and peak workload. The potential factors were entered into a hierarchical multivariable logistic regression model using different blocks. In all blocks, backward selection was used 172 173 with stepwise removal at P = 0.10 to identify the most predictive variables. The 174 discriminative performance of the model was assessed by calculating the area under the 175 receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC).

To calculate the necessary sample size, we performed a power calculation using a
power of 80%, an overall type 1 error of 0.05 (two-sided), and as clinically relevant effect size

- 178 a hazard ratio of 2. Approximately 145 subjects were needed to obtain the number of required
- events (n=71)(13). To develop a prediction model, we use the rule of thumb with minimal 10
- 180 events per variable for obtaining a good prediction(14, 15). Statistical analyses were
- 181 performed in SPSS 20.0. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 183 **RESULTS**

- 184 Study population
- 185 A total of 187 HF patients met the inclusion criteria of our study. After inspection for data
- 186 quality, 32 patients were excluded due to a maximum RER<1.00 during the maximal exercise
- 187 test. As a result 155 patients were available for analysis (Figure 1), with a median adherence
- to the supervised training sessions of 88% and inter-quartile range of 79%–96%.

- 190 Responders versus non-responders
- 191 Seventy HF patients (45%) were classified as responder (Supplemental Figure 1). Non-
- 192 responders were more frequently men, had a lower adherence to the rehabilitation program, a
- 193 higher baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and a lower post-training VO<sub>2</sub>-peak compared to responders (Table
- 194 1). Age, BMI, current smoking behavior, and the duration of the rehabilitation program did
- 195 not differ between the groups. Likewise, no differences in HF characteristics, co-morbidities,
- 196 medication use, medical devices and other physiological data were found.
- 197
- 198 All-cause mortality and unplanned hospitalization
- 199 143 out of 155 HF patients were included in the survival analysis, as the follow-up data of 12
- 200 patients were not accessible for data protection reasons. In total 57 HF patients died or had an
- 201 unplanned hospitalization: 36 non-responders (46%) and 21 responders (33%). The median
- follow-up period was 23 months (inter-quartile range 13–47) for non-responders and 30

203 months (15-50) for responders. Figure 2 shows the Kaplan-Meier curves for time to 204 unplanned hospitalization or death (P=0.12). Non-responders had a 52% higher risk of death or unplanned hospitalization than responders (HR = 1.52, 95% CI = 0.89-2.61). The 205 206 multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis showed that the risk of death or unplanned hospitalization was more than twice as high in non-responders (HR = 2.15, 95% CI 207 208 = 1.17-3.94) after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and a cardiac 209 resynchronization therapy combined with implantable cardioverter defibrillator. Subgroup 210 analyses did not show any difference in results for patients with HFrEF or HFprEF at baseline. 211

A lower baseline CRF level (VO<sub>2</sub>-peak <16.5 ml/min/kg) was associated with a 2.76 (95% CI = 1.52-5.05) increased risk of all-cause mortality and unplanned hospitalization after adjustment for age, sex, BMI and response status. Conversely, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, and CRF level, non-responders had a 1.80 (95% CI = 1.02-3.18) higher risk of all-cause mortality and unplanned hospitalization. Non-responders with the lowest baseline CRF had a 4.88 (95% CI = 1.71-13.93) higher risk of all-cause mortality or unplanned hospitalization compared to responders with a high baseline CRF level (Figure 3).

219

### 220 Predicting response to exercise training

We identified age, sex, etiology of HF, use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker, loop diuretics, pacemaker, baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and adherence to the exercise program as potential factors associated with becoming a non-responder to CR based exercise training (Supplemental Table 1). Multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that HF patients of higher age (odds ratio [OR] = 1.07/year, 95 % CI = 1.03–1.11), higher baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak (OR = 1.16/ml/min/kg, 95% CI = 1.06–1.26) and adherence to the exercise program (OR = 0.98/percentage, 95% CI = 0.96–0.998) were associated with being a 228 non-responder. The performance of the prediction model is shown in Figure 4 and 229 demonstrates an AUC of 0.73 (95% CI = 0.65-0.82).

230

## 231 DISCUSSION

As many as 55% of 155 HF patients did not increase VO<sub>2</sub>-peak following supervised exercise 232 training sessions in a CR program. More importantly, HF patients classified as non-233 234 responders to CR had a 2.15 times higher risk of all-cause mortality or unplanned 235 hospitalization compared to responders after adjustment for possible confounders. The increased risk of all-cause mortality or unplanned hospitalization for non-responders was 236 237 independent of pre-rehabilitation CRF levels. In addition, non-responders with lower CRF levels had the worst prognosis for unplanned hospitalization and all-cause mortality. Taken 238 together, our data reinforce the clinical importance of baseline CRF levels and the ability to 239 240 improve fitness after exercise training. In order to predict non-response to CR, we found that higher age, lower adherence and higher baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak were significant associated with of 241 242 non-response status.

243

## 244 Non-response, all-cause mortality and unplanned hospitalization

245 We found that 85 HF patients (55% of study population) were classified as non-responders to exercise training. Although this prevalence is remarkably high, our findings are in line with 246 most (50-56% non-responders) (9, 10), but not all (0.9% non-responders) (16), studies 247 248 assessing changes in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak following CR. The conflicting outcomes are probably related 249 to the definition of being a non-responder. For example, the study of Leifer et al. with a prevalence of 0.9% non-responders defined non-response as a decrease in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak  $\geq$ 5 250 251 ml/min/kg (16), whereas we and others (9, 10) defined non-response as a lack of increase in VO<sub>2</sub>-peak after exercise training. Nonetheless, our data provides further evidence that the 252

presence of non-responders in HF patients who participate in CR programs is larger than
typically observed in a healthy patient cohort.

In line with our observations, previous work found that HF patients benefit from 255 256 exercise training (7, 17-19). Data from the HF-ACTION trial revealed a 5% higher risk for all-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization in non-responders compared to responders 257 258 after 3 years of follow-up (9). The benefits of VO<sub>2</sub>-peak improvement were much larger in 259 our study population as we found a 2.15 higher risk (HR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.17-3.94) for all-260 cause mortality or unplanned hospitalization in non-responders versus responders after 5 years of follow-up. However, comparison between the HF-ACTION trial and this study is limited 261 262 since HF-ACTION only included HFrEF patients and this study included both HFrEF and HRprEF. In addition, apart from a longer follow-up time, the difference in risk reduction 263 264 between our study and HF-ACTION may also relate to study cohort characteristics. 265 Compared to the HF-ACTION trial, our HF patients were more often classified as NYHA classification I or II, showed a lower prevalence of ischemic etiology, a higher baseline peak-266 267 VO<sub>2</sub> (16.5 versus 15.0 ml/min/kg), and had a relatively high adherence (median, 88%). These 268 factors (i.e. lower NYHA classification, non-ischemic etiology (20), higher CRF (6)) are typically associated with better survival in HF patients. This suggests that less severe HF 269 270 patients may have greater health benefits from CRF improvements following CR. Future 271 studies are required to better understand this relation.

272

In examining the benefits of cardiac rehabilitation it is important to take prerehabilitation levels of fitness into consideration since previous work found that fitness may represent the strongest predictor for (all-cause) mortality and morbidity in HF patients (5, 6). In agreement with this previous research, we found that baseline fitness levels are strongly and independently related to mortality or hospitalization. Taking both baseline fitness and

change in fitness post-rehabilitation into consideration, we found that non-responders with
lower fitness levels had the highest risk of adverse clinical outcomes. These data show for the
first time that, both, lower baseline fitness levels and non-response to CR are independently
and in combination associated with an increased risk of adverse events in HF patients.

282

### 283 Prediction of non-response

284 In this study we tried to identify factors that predict non-response to exercise training in HF patients, since this response is related to future adverse events. We demonstrated that older 285 HF patients with a relatively high baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak and lower adherence were more often 286 287 non-responders. These findings align with observations from other studies. A meta-analysis in HF patients showed that lower age was associated with larger improvements of VO<sub>2</sub>-peak 288 289 (21). Also, HF patients higher VO<sub>2</sub>-peak (22, 23) had smaller improvements in fitness 290 following exercise training. Medication use was not associated with response status and did 291 not significantly change during CR within both groups. Therefore, we do not expect an 292 interaction of medication in relation to performance outcomes. Our prediction model had an 293 AUC of 0.73, which indicates that the discriminative power of our model is not sufficient for direct implementation in clinical practice. Nonetheless, we have identified factors that 294 295 significantly contribute to the prediction whether CRF improves after training. The addition of 296 chonotropic incompetence (24) and parameters reflecting severe hemodynamic dysfunction (25, 26) and cardiac dimensions (27, 28) may further improve its predictive capacity and 297 298 warrant further investigation.

299

300 Clinical relevance

The observation that non-response to CR training is related to increased all-cause mortality or
 hospitalization has important clinical consequences. Independent of baseline fitness levels, the

303 inability to improve fitness after exercise training increases the risk for adverse events. 304 Especially non-responders with lower baseline fitness are at high risk for adverse events. This further emphasizes the importance of non-response in CR. Non-modifiable factors (age, 305 306 baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak) contribute to early identification, which may lead to the prescription of a different type of CR. For example, non-responders might benefit from other types of exercise 307 308 interventions such as high intensity interval training or resistance training to improve their 309 physical fitness. Literature revealed that HF patient performing high or vigorous intensity 310 exercise programs have an higher increase in the peak VO<sub>2</sub> compare to moderate intensity programs (17, 29) and are less likely to show a non-response to training. Resistance exercise 311 312 improves muscle mass, strength and muscular fitness in HF patients (30-32) and therefore might increase cardiovascular fitness by optimizing the response to aerobic conditioning. In 313 314 fact, the combination of aerobic and resistance exercise training appeared to be superior for 315 improving submaximal exercise capacity and health-related quality of life compared to 316 aerobic exercise only (33). In addition, HF patients who remain to be non-responders, may 317 have to increase training frequency (34). Such approaches may contribute to a reduction of 318 future adverse events in this vulnerable group. Finally, strong adherence to exercise training should be encouraged in HF patients, since adherence is a significant and, more importantly, 319 320 modifiable factor for being a non-responder to CR.

321

## 322 Strengths and limitations

This study included typical HF outpatients, which increases the external validity of our study. In addition, there were no drop-outs during follow-up which means that all censoring was non-informative and therefore unrelated to patient prognosis. A limitation of our study may relate to the exclusion of 17% of eligible HF patients due to an insufficient RER during the exercise test. However, to guarantee the quality of the achieved maximal VO<sub>2</sub> and thereby the

stratification into responder versus non-responder, it was inevitable to exclude those patients.
Furthermore, it was not feasible to use standardized meals before exercise testing. Also, no
data was available about diet or water consumed before the exercise test, which may influence
the VO<sub>2</sub>-peak.

332

## 333 CONCLUSIONS

Non-responders to exercise training had a 2.15 higher risk of all-cause mortality or unplanned hospitalization compared with responders after adjustment for possible confounders, a finding independent from other prognostic markers for adverse events (including baseline levels of fitness). This observation is of clinical importance since half of the HF patients participating in a CR-based supervised exercise training program was unable to significantly improve VO<sub>2</sub>peak. Higher age and baseline VO<sub>2</sub>-peak, and lower adherence were identified as independent factors associated with non-response to CR-based exercise training in HF patients.

341	Acknowledgements
342	The authors thank Anneke Boot-Krol and Annemiek Huis in 't Veld for data collection and
343	data entry.
344	
345	Financial support
346	D.H.J.T. is financially supported by the Netherlands Heart Foundation (E Dekker 2009T064).
347	The work of T.M.H.E is supported by a European Commission Horizon 2020 grant (Marie
348	Sklodowska-Curie Fellowship 655502).
349	
350	Conflict of interest disclosure
351	The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
352	
353	The results of the study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM and are presented clearly,

354 honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

## 355 **References**

- 1. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the
- 357 management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology
- Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62(16):e147-239.
- McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and
   treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis
   and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of
- 363 Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of
- 364 the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2012;14(8):803-69.
- 365 3. Arena R, Myers J, Abella J et al. Determining the preferred percent-predicted equation
  366 for peak oxygen consumption in patients with heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail*.
  367 2009;2(2):113-20.
- Mancini DM, Eisen H, Kussmaul W, Mull R, Edmunds LH, Jr., Wilson JR. Value of
   peak exercise oxygen consumption for optimal timing of cardiac transplantation in
   ambulatory patients with heart failure. *Circulation*. 1991;83(3):778-86.
- 5. Chase PJ, Kenjale A, Cahalin LP et al. Effects of respiratory exchange ratio on the
  prognostic value of peak oxygen consumption and ventilatory efficiency in patients
  with systolic heart failure. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2013;1(5):427-32.
- Keteyian SJ, Patel M, Kraus WE et al. Variables Measured During Cardiopulmonary
   Exercise Testing as Predictors of Mortality in Chronic Systolic Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67(7):780-9.
- 377 7. O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL et al. Efficacy and safety of exercise training in
  378 patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*.
  379 2009;301(14):1439-50.

- Taylor RS, Sagar VA, Davies EJ et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure.
   *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;4:CD003331.
- 382 9. Swank AM, Horton J, Fleg JL et al. Modest increase in peak VO2 is related to better
  383 clinical outcomes in chronic heart failure patients: results from heart failure and a
  384 controlled trial to investigate outcomes of exercise training. *Circ Heart Fail*.
- 385 2012;5(5):579-85.
- Tabet JY, Meurin P, Beauvais F et al. Absence of exercise capacity improvement after
  exercise training program: a strong prognostic factor in patients with chronic heart
  failure. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2008;1(4):220-6.
- 389 11. Green DJ, Eijsvogels T, Bouts YM et al. Exercise training and artery function in
  390 humans: nonresponse and its relationship to cardiovascular risk factors. *J Appl Physiol*391 (1985). 2014;117(4):345-52.
- Keteyian SJ, Brawner CA, Ehrman JK et al. Reproducibility of peak oxygen uptake
  and other cardiopulmonary exercise parameters: implications for clinical trials and
  clinical practice. *Chest.* 2010;138(4):950-5.
- 13. Lachin JM. Introduction to sample size determination and power analysis for clinical
  trials. *Control Clin Trials*. 1981;2(2):93-113.
- 397 14. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the
  398 number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*.
- 399 1996;49(12):1373-9.
- 400 15. Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic
  401 and Cox regression. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;165(6):710-8.
- 402 16. Leifer ES, Brawner CA, Fleg JL et al. Are there negative responders to exercise
  403 training among heart failure patients? *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2014;46(2):219-24.

404	17.	Eijsvogels TM, Molossi S, Lee DC, Emery MS, Thompson PD. Exercise at the
405		Extremes: The Amount of Exercise to Reduce Cardiovascular Events. JAm Coll
406		Cardiol. 2016;67(3):316-29.
407	18.	Downing J, Balady GJ. The role of exercise training in heart failure. J Am Coll
408		Cardiol. 2011;58(6):561-9.
409	19.	Smart N, Marwick TH. Exercise training for patients with heart failure: a systematic
410		review of factors that improve mortality and morbidity. Am J Med. 2004;116(10):693-
411		706.
412	20.	Martinez-Selles M, Doughty RN, Poppe K et al. Gender and survival in patients with
413		heart failure: interactions with diabetes and aetiology. Results from the MAGGIC
414		individual patient meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail. 2012;14(5):473-9.
415	21.	Uddin J, Zwisler AD, Lewinter C et al. Predictors of exercise capacity following
416		exercise-based rehabilitation in patients with coronary heart disease and heart failure:
417		A meta-regression analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23(7):683-93.
418	22.	Meyer K, Gornandt L, Schwaibold M et al. Predictors of response to exercise training
419		in severe chronic congestive heart failure. Am J Cardiol. 1997;80(1):56-60.
420	23.	Kemps HM, Schep G, de Vries WR et al. Predicting effects of exercise training in
421		patients with heart failure secondary to ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy.
422		Am J Cardiol. 2008;102(8):1073-8.
423	24.	Schmid JP, Zurek M, Saner H. Chronotropic incompetence predicts impaired response
424		to exercise training in heart failure patients with sinus rhythm. Eur J Prev Cardiol.
425		2013;20(4):585-92.
426	25.	Wilson JR, Groves J, Rayos G. Circulatory status and response to cardiac
427		rehabilitation in patients with heart failure. Circulation. 1996;94(7):1567-72.

- 428 26. Sullivan MJ, Hawthorne MH. Exercise intolerance in patients with chronic heart
  429 failure. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 1995;38(1):1-22.
- 430 27. Pandey A, Ayers C, Blair SN et al. Cardiac determinants of heterogeneity in fitness
  431 change in response to moderate intensity aerobic exercise training: the DREW study. J
  432 Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(10):1057-8.
- 433 28. Smart N, Haluska B, Jeffriess L, Case C, Marwick TH. Cardiac contributions to
  434 exercise training responses in patients with chronic heart failure: a strain imaging
  435 study. *Echocardiography*. 2006;23(5):376-82.
- 436 29. Ismail H, McFarlane JR, Nojoumian AH, Dieberg G, Smart NA. Clinical outcomes
- 437 and cardiovascular responses to different exercise training intensities in patients with
- 438 heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2013;1(6):514-
- 439 22.
- 440 30. Lavie CJ, Forman DE, Arena R. Bulking Up Skeletal Muscle to Improve Heart Failure
  441 Prognosis. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2016.
- Hulsmann M, Quittan M, Berger R et al. Muscle strength as a predictor of long-term
  survival in severe congestive heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2004;6(1):101-7.
- Churchward-Venne TA, Tieland M, Verdijk LB et al. There Are No Nonresponders to
  Resistance-Type Exercise Training in Older Men and Women. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
  2015;16(5):400-11.
- 447 33. Beckers PJ, Denollet J, Possemiers NM, Wuyts FL, Vrints CJ, Conraads VM.
- 448 Combined endurance-resistance training vs. endurance training in patients with
- chronic heart failure: a prospective randomized study. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29(15):185866.
- 451 34. Montero D, Lundby C. Refuting the myth of non-response to exercise training: 'non452 responders' do respond to higher dose of training. *J Physiol*. 2017;595(11):3377-87.

## **TABLES**

**Table 1.** Characteristics of 155 heart failure patients according to their response to cardiac

 rehabilitation.

	Responders	Non-responders	<i>P</i> -value
	N=70	N= 85	
Patient characteristics			
Age (years)	61 (53–67)	63 (55-71)	.09
Sex (male)	47 (67%)	69 (81%)	.045
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28 (SD 5.8)	29 (SD 5.0)	.40
Smoking (current)	16 (23%)	16 (19%)	.54
Diabetes mellitus (yes)	12 (17%)	9 (11%)	.24
COPD (yes)	6 (9%)	9 (11%)	.67
Hypertension (yes)	26 (37%)	38 (45%)	.34
<u>Heart failure characteristics</u>			
Aetiology, ischemic	24 (34%)	40 (47%)	.11
Baseline NYHA			.66
I-II	47 (67%)	63 (74%)	
III-IV	16 (23%)	18 (21%)	
Baseline LVEF			.79
worse (<30%)	20 (28%)	21 (25%)	
moderate (30-45%)	14 (20%)	19 (22%)	
reasonable (45-55%)	3 (4%)	3 (4%)	
good (>55%)	18 (26%)	27 (32%)	
Atrium fibrillation (yes)	20 (29%)	27 (32%)	.67

## Medication use

ACEI or ARB	64 (93%)	83 (98%)	.15
Beta blocker agent	64 (91%)	75 (88%)	.52
Aldosteron receptor antagonist	14 (20%)	18 (21%)	.86
Loop diuretic	61 (87%)	68 (80%)	.23
Statin	41 (58%)	52 (61%)	.74
<u>Medical devices</u>			
ICD-CRT	13 (19%)	22 (25%)	.26
Pacemaker	10 (14%)	16 (19%)	.44
CRT	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	.90
ICD	15 (21%)	19 (22%)	.87
Rehabilitation program			
Type of rehabilitation			.36
MIT	64 (91%)	81 (95%)	
HIT	6 (9%)	4 (5%)	
Duration of rehabilitation	20 (12-26)	13 (12-26)	.79
(weeks)			
Adherence to exercise program	91 (81-100)	83 (75-96)	.028
(%)*			
Physiological parameters			
Baseline VO <sub>2</sub> -peak	15.2 (13.1–18.6)	16.9 (14.1-20.9)	.025
(ml/min/kg)			
Post VO2-peak (ml/min/kg)	18.8 (15.5–21.9)	15.9 (12.8–19.7)	.017
Difference VO <sub>2</sub> -peak(ml/min)	224 (162-359)	-43 (-136-31)	<.001

Baseline max. heart rate	125 (110–143)	127 (109–144)	.98
(beats/min)			
Post max. heart rate	129 (113–149)	126 (110–139)	.13
(beats/min)			
Baseline max. cycle load	107 (77–142)	116 (89–149)	.08
(Watt)			
Post max. cycle load (Watt)	130 (87–165)	120 (88–150)	.35
Baseline RER	1.16 (1.09–1.22)	1.16 (1.08–1.25)	.90
Post RER	1.15 (1.08–1.22)	1.17 (1.09–1.25)	.22

Data is presented in n (%) unless indicated as mean (SD) and median (Q<sub>25</sub>-Q<sub>75</sub>).

\*Data was only available in 135 patients.

BMI = body mass index, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, NYHA = New York Heart Association functional classification, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB=angiotensin II receptor blocker, ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator, CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy, MIT= moderate intensity training, HIT=high intensity training, VO<sub>2</sub>-peak = peak oxygen consumption max.=maximal and RER=respiratory exchange ratio.

## 455 FIGURES



Figure 1. Flowchart of the inclusion of 155 heart failure patients from Radboud university
medical center and Isala Clinic. The study population consisted of 187 HF patients who met
the inclusion criteria. After inspection for data quality, 32 patients were excluded due to a
maximal respiratory exchange ratio <1.00 during the exercise test. The final study population</li>
comprised 70 responders and 85 non-responders.



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of the time to death or unplanned hospitalization in 143 heart
failure patients. In total 57 HF patients were hospitalized or died during follow-up: 36 nonresponders (46%) and 21 responders (33%). The crude 5-year probability for all-cause
mortality or unplanned hospitalization was 41% for responders and 56% for non-responders
(log-rank test *P*=.12).



**Figure 3.** Hazard ratios of all-cause mortality or unplanned hospitalization by baseline fitness

472 levels and response to CR. The dots present hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals). Higher

473 fitness was defined as baseline  $VO_2$ -peak >16.5 ml/min/kg and lower fitness as  $VO_2$ -peak

 $\leq 16.5$  ml/min/kg. Hazard ratios were adjusted for age, sex and BMI.



476 Figure 4. Discriminative performance of the prediction model for non-response to exercise
477 training. The prediction model included age, baseline peak-VO<sub>2</sub> and adherence to the exercise
478 program. The receiver operating characteristic curve had an area under the curve of 0.73 (95%
479 CI = 0.65–0.82).

## 481 SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL MSSE

## 482

## Supplemental Table 1. Predictors of non-response to exercise training in 155

1 .	C '1	. • .	•	• •	1	•
haart	to1111ro	notionte	110100	univariato	Logistic	ragraggian
ncari	Tanute	Datients	using	univariate		10210551011.
		r	0			

Parameters	Odds	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value
	ratio		
Patient characteristics			
Age (median, years)	1.03	1.00-1.05	.07
Sex (male)	0.47	1.01-4.41	.05
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.03	0.97-1.09	.40
Smoking (current)	0.78	0.34-1.71	.54
Diabetes mellitus (yes)	0.57	0.23-1.45	.24
COPD (yes)	1.26	0.43-3.74	.67
Hypertension (yes)	1.37	0.72-2.61	.34
Heart failure characteristics			
Aetiology, ischemic	1.70	0.89-3.27	.11
Baseline NYHA (I-II)	1.19	0.55-2.58	.66
Baseline LVEF			
worse (<30%)	0.70	0.30-1.65	.41
moderate (30-45%)	0.91	0.36-2.25	.83
reasonable (45-55%)	0.67	0.12-3.68	.64
good (>55%)	REF		
Atrium fibrillation (yes)	1.16	0.58-2.32	.67

Medication use

ACEI or ARB	3.24	0.61-17.25	.17
Beta blocker agents	0.58	0.19-1.80	.35
Aldosteron receptor antagonist	1.06	0.48-2.31	.89
Loop diuretics	0.53	0.21-1.30	.16
Statins	1.07	0.56-2.06	.83
<u>Medical devices</u>			
ICD-CRT	1.55	0.72-3.38	.27
Pacemaker	1.71	0.89-3.28	.11
CRT	1.51	0.71-3.22	.29
ICD	1.46	0.77-2.79	.25
Rehabilitation program			
Type of rehabilitation*			
MIT	1.90	0.51-7.01	.34
HIT	REF		
Duration of rehabilitation (weeks)	0.99	0.95-1.04	.76
Adherence to exercise program (%)†	0.98	0.97-1.00	.06
Physiological parameters			
Baseline VO <sub>2</sub> -peak (ml/min/kg)	1.07	1.01-1.14	.03
Baseline max. heart rate (beats/min);	1.00	0.99-1.01	.91
Baseline max. cycle load (Watt)‡	1.01	0.99-1.01	.07

\*excluded in multivariable model due to low numbers.

†data was only available in 135 patients.

 $\ddagger$  excluded in multivariable model due to high correlation with VO\_2-peak.

BMI = body mass index, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, NYHA= New York Heart Association classification, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB=angiotensin II receptor blocker, ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator, CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy, MIT= moderate intensity training, HIT=high intensity training, REF= reference, VO<sub>2</sub>-peak = peak oxygen consumption, max.= maximal and RER=respiratory exchange ratio.

#### 483

484 Supplemental Figure 1. Relative difference in VO2-peak (%) between baseline and post
485 exercise training values. Responders are presented in dark grey and non-responders in light
486 grey. The dashed line represents a 6% improvement in VO2-peak, which was the cut-point for
487 being a responder or non-responder.

488

