



LJMU Research Online

Kaji, S, Kolivand, H, Madani, R, Salehinia, M and Shafaie, M

Augmented Reality in Smart Cities: A Multimedia Approach

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/8035/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Kaji, S, Kolivand, H, Madani, R, Salehinia, M and Shafaie, M (2018)
Augmented Reality in Smart Cities: A Multimedia Approach. Journal of
Engineering Technology, 6 (1). pp. 28-45. ISSN 0747-9964**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Augmented reality in smart cities: applications and limitations

Saleh Kaji¹, Hoshang Kolivand², Ramin Madani³, Majid Salehinia⁴, Mino Shafaie⁵

^{1,3,4,5} Department of Architecture and Urbanism, Art University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

² Department of Computer Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 3AF, UK

Abstract. Intro: This paper presents an advance overview of utilizing Augmented Reality (AR) in smart cities. Although, Smart cities contain six major aspects (mobility, economy, government, environment, living, and people), this paper focuses on three of them that have more potentiality in using virtual assistant (mobility, environment, and living). **Methodology:** Presenting a state-of-the-art review studies undertake between 2013 and 2017, which is driven from highlighted libraries is the aim of this research. After exact examine, 15 emphasized studies are chosen to divide the main aspects while 120 selective articles are supporting them. These categorizes have been critically compared with an aim, method and chronological perspectives. **Results:** First of All, Environmental issues (Museums industry) attract the most attention of researchers while the living issues (maintenance) have lower significant compare t latter and mobility (indoor-outdoor navigation) attract the least. Moreover, a close connection between academic and industry fields is going to be created. **Conclusions:** it has been concluded that, because of economic advantages, utilizing AR technology has improved in the tourism and maintenance. Moreover, until now, most of studies try to prove their concept rather than illustrate well established analytic approach. Because of hardware and software improvement, it is essential for the future studies to evaluate their hypothesis in a real urban context.

Keywords: Augmented reality, smart cities, mobile device, smart environments, living and mobility.

1. Introduction

An Augmented Reality (AR) system can be defined as a coincident combination between a real world and virtual objects – which can interact in real time –and has three-dimensions virtual object registration [1-3]. Recently, the human perception of the environment has changed a lot with modern technology. AR is the most prominent technology in this regard, it adds virtual information to real environments and effects on user cognition [4, 5]. AR augments virtual intangible information to the tangible world. Day to-day, this combination affects on how we live. It can be useful and popular because AR apps recently are well progressive in hardware and software [6].

The vast population migrates from rural to urban in recent years; moreover, it will continue for some further decades [7]. During this growth, cities face different obstacles and issues. Smart city concept can be a great idea to overcome with them. As a whole, smart cities try to enhance and to improve the novel interaction between citizen and their city. Internet of Things (IoT) is the main feature of smart cities. It connects devices, sensors, and people together. Consequently, a new window to observe the information and connections created by IoT is essential. AR technology creates an intuitive, contextual and immersive way to depict and superimpose various data in urban context. Due to the fact that every city has its own population, demographic and geographic status, the concept of smart cities does not have a single and distinguishable definition. On the

other hand, smart cities have common aspects within different cities. These similar demands are excavated by Giffinger [8, 9] that is adopted in various studies [10] with different aims and strategies. As a conclusion, Torres-Sospedra summarized all of the aspects of these factors: Smart Governance, Smart People, Smart Economy, Smart Environment, Smart Living and Smart Mobility [11]. These factors contain the main component of smart cities concept [6]. This review of the literature highlights the implementation of AR technology in smart cities with thanks to smartphone development. The following questions show the special issues of this review:

- In what subjects, AR can be used in smart cities?
- Which aspects of smart cities have more potentiality in the industry?
- What equipment is needed in smart cities AR application?

The next two sections of the paper are devoted to provide definition of AR as well as examples. In the Following, a review of literature of studies that have used AR in smart cities is offered. In the last section, we discuss the findings of the review of the literature alongside implications and recommendation for future research.

1.1 Definition

Milgram presents AR as “reality – virtually continuum” that encompass real and virtual environment [12]. Adding virtual information to the real environments with a computer is another definition of AR [13]. Superimposing information to the real environment can be a great advantage in smart cities. The major objective of smart cities is to connect everything together and to people. Consequently, AR technology can help citizens to have an instant and immersive connection with everything around them.




Figure. 1. The process of AR, Source: [3]

Real objects can also be removed using AR technology which is named as Diminish Reality while Azuma [14] has called it sub-component of AR. Marketing, an illustration of a 3D form of manufacturing products and design really are the main component of AR [13]. AR is adding real world with virtual elements. It is great virtual help for designing issues within a team of them. It can help urban designers to visualize and assess urban design in real and interactive platform [15]. AR uses in diverse fields. Its benefits have been widely using in architecture and urban design studies [16, 17]. Interior experience is also in high demand.

Many studies show European almost pass 90 percent of their daily activities in indoor spaces [18]. Also, its benefits have been demonstrated in interior design [19]. Some studies focus on using AR in built environments; however, Wang [20] find out that most of them just present a new concept and cannot adapt themselves to industrial demands. Currently, AR apps is trying to approach toward industrial condition and

focus on the commercial sector. Enormous progressive in hardware and software in AR architecture and engineer are widely used in solving architectural issues [6]. By 2050, almost three-quarter of earth population will concentrate in an urban context. Consequently, a quick improvement is essential in the economy, creativity, and environments [21].

1.2 Smart Cities Structure

Using sensor and all communicable technologies in cities with the aim of enhancing quality, effectiveness and procedure life is the unique acceptable definition of smart cities. Nowadays, Smart cities and AR can open new windows in the life of the citizens and can solve some problems caused by rapid urbanization. It also helps authorities to make better choices; what’s more, it creates new business opportunities. Navigation is another field of utilizing AR in cities. Most of the studies present and examine their navigation application on the university campus and conclude positive respond [22]. Currently, some academic and industrial illustration declare a great enthusiasm in implementing AR into tourism industry [4]. Furthermore, the people declare great acceptance with using AR technology in tourism industry [4, 23]. AR introduces new applications; furthermore, people use this technology and their apps for evaluation user experience. Some studies have concentrated on both views[24]. By 2020, smart growth is one the most priorities that encompass augmented reality project to combine sounds and videos to real world [18]. On the other hand, Salvini disagreed with too much use of technology in urban context [25]. Google Glass along with AR is one the most prominent technologies that creates interactive interaction with the city [26]. More specifically, the designer uses Holones to actualize and to cooperate their concepts [27]. AR is more than just adding info to the real world. It growth to social interaction and changes the way people behave each other in a certain place [28]. The implication of AR in architecture starts from education to real and professional usage [29].




Figure. 2. Smart cities sections and components, Source: Authors

2. Methodology

This paper establishes a literature review with three main keywords of “Augmented Reality and/or Smart Cities”, “Augmented Reality Smart Cities” and “Augmented Smart Cities” in the period of Jan, 2010 to May, 2017 from the database of Science Direct, Google Scholar, Taylor and Francis and IEEE. We only consider those articles that have a high level of innovation and industrial adaptation perspective. Selected articles

produce an application for smartphone or tablets within their process or as a conclusion. Also, as it can be seen in figure 1, the Smart Cities selection studies are divided by three main sections and ten components.

2.1 Environment

Museum

Heritage building is usually damaged by natural and some other factors. Cognition of demolished heritage building is sometimes difficult for tourists. They, therefore, need a visual assistant to complete their imagination. Consequently, Younes [30] presented a method to reconstruct missing part of heritage buildings; additionally, this method enhances the cognition of tourism with AR. Moreover, many other studies use AR in museum industry. There are some examples which can be mentioned for better understanding of this concept. First of all, ancient objects and art crafts have been simulated for any later interaction by 3D reconstruction [31, 41]. Furthermore, using AR leads to giving information about historic graffiti [42]. Last but not least, it can enhance the time consumption of paintings visitors [43] or create an interaction with them [44]. AR in the museum can also be used to give POIs suggestion to visitors [45]. Han et al. [46] have used AR in robotic tour guide to augment multimedia elements as virtual 3D objects, movie clips, or sound clips to real artifacts in a museum. Also, Kundu [47] used AR in indoor navigation. Moreover, Thon [48] proposed a AR serious game in historical places to enhance tourists interaction. The combination of AR in museum is also interested for the various researchers [46-51]. In this way, Novotny [49] declares that AR can be used widely in education and entertainment. For example, Blanco-Fernandez [50] creates an app (REENACT) to augment battles for learning aim. Wojciechowski [51] also used it in learning progress. More specialty, Barry [52] employed AR in Natural History Museum in London to illustrate extinct species like dinosaurs. Takahashi [53] used AR for child visitors to Gamagori Museum of Earth, Life and the Sea. Besides visual, Damala [54] also includes voice augmentation. The methodology of superimposing virtual objects on real museum environment is divided into two parts of the indoor and outdoor environment. For indoor spaces, Rattanarungrot [31] proposes a marker-less methodology tracking system. While Choi [55] used beacon for marker-less indoor navigation; moreover, Jevremovic [56] used marker base QR code. Afif [57] investigated on rapid movement in outdoor spaces, while Han [58] used GPS for navigation in this spaces [58]. Finally, Gutierrez [42] employed a questionnaire for analyzing the functionality of production.

To sum up, various studies show a positive conclusion from the implementation of AR in museum industry [59]. By the way of illustration, Younes [30] decided to test their application to extend their case studies in other historic sites, The beneficiary of AR in both tourism and historic teaching is also reported. Moreover, Cianciarulo [60] declared many visitors come to the museum just to try AR and interestingly the results were very positive especially among the kids. From a location focus, the benefits of AR was tested in Oslo and London. The results showed a positive outcome [43, 61]. Kourouthanassis [62] also investigate functional system properties, user emotions, and adoption behavior and get a positive. Along with user satisfaction, the economic beneficiary is also significant for the future of this technology in the tourism industry. Dieck [63] demonstrated the economic beneficiary for stalk holders to implement AR in museums in the UK. With popularizing this pervasive technology in tourism industry [59], we can bring history to our daily life [64].

Design

Cirulis [32] presented a new app to depict a 3D of the virtual building which can be seen from different angles and distances. Hui [65] presented an app that located virtual decoration in interior space. Vassigh [66] proposed and provided an app that created an opportunity for Architecture, Civil, and Mechanical Engineering students in outdoor augment BIM, Ruwanthika [67] put the virtual model on a house plan, while Cho

[68] facilitated and enhanced to putting single 2D images on building façade. Furthermore, for this aim Redondo[69], presented a new practice (MLAR) to put architecture virtual model in real view. Further efforts have been done. Fonseca[70], what's more, tried to implement virtual architectural model and projects in a real environments. In another study, Redondo[71] employed AR architecture and planning models. In conclusion, Cirulis [32] found that using AR in designing filed can have some advantages for authorities, planner, and architects companies, municipal and markets. Also, Hui [65] concluded that because the customer can have an interaction with a virtual illustration; consequently, it helps them for a better decision making. In academic achievement, Redondo[69] concluded that this system can be useful in the depiction of virtual architecture and urban design projects, construction project, heritage studies and enhance public participation. Fonseca [70] found that AR can lead to the academic achievement; additionally, it can noticeably enhance the student motivation. Also, Oleksy[72] showed a positive correlation between game satisfaction, social interaction, and place attachment.

Construction

Vert [21] produced an AR application that enable citizens to recognize the owner of under-construction building around their point of interests and recognize, how the building will be formed. Similar researchers also focused on using AR in construction, for example, Kim[73] proposed an app that illustrate construction project in the real environment. Moreover, Behzadan [74] put virtual objects in an augment scene. Kirchbach[75] introduced an application for an intuitive information on construction sites. Behzadan[76] ,furthermore, produced multi-function app that can superimpose virtual animation in a real construction building. Additionally, he [77] presented an AR app to illustrate virtual construction components in both indoor and outdoor spaces. Moon [78] enhanced the recognition of workers from construction site by an AR app. Lee[79] used the same method to decrease the errors in a construction site. Zhou [80] ,moreover, applied the benefits of AR in the construction of tunneling site. Because of rapid and diverse studies in this field, Gruber [81] presented a global and standard platform for any further AR studies in construction sites.

Vert and Vasiu [21] utilized GIS location system to illustrates virtual data on real under construction building. In contrast Kim[73] used GPS and marker base AR methodologies for indoor construction sites. In a combination of both Behzadan [82] tracked user location in indoor space with Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) and outdoor space with GPS. Gheisari [83] had a different view. He exchanged registration and location tracking systems with a semi AR panorama view. Kim [73,84] decided to facilitate choosing construction methods to reduce design and operating errors with AR technology.

Air Pollution

Because of rapid urban growth, environmental factors become important in recent years. Pokric and Andres [33, 85] presented a novel apps to enhance citizen awareness about climate conditions of cities. Furthermore, Xiaojun [86] evoked IoT to track environmental issues. After the data captured from an online resources, Pokric [33] formed the results in an AR maker-less avatar, which citizen can observe and have an interaction with it. Maia [87] presented an app, that aid non-programmer users to build the location-based AR games.

There are many various methods to collect air conditions, for example; Pokric and Dutta [33, 88] preferred wireless sensor network (WSN), Boubrima[89] used WSN because of its cost beneficiary and autonomy, Rushikesh and Vong [90, 91] used RFID technology, Manna[92] proposed a complex system of RFID and WSN. Moreover, the level of collecting the data is divers between researches and methods, to some extent; Siregar [93] collected dust, humidity, light intensity and level of sound voice, Baralis [94] not only collect data about the air pollution but also gather meteorological and traffic data and pollutant concentration. Manna [92]

,additionally, detects pollutant vehicles in town and analyze its pollution type. Dutta [88]declared that his system is capable of collecting gass, smoke, and the pollutions dada.

Rushikesh [90] tested the feasibility of his app with 19 users and got the positive results. Pokric[33] not only presented the app to examine group and observe the usability but also asked an open comment. The beneficiary of depicting air conditions data with AR technology is confirmed by Pokric[33] and he believes it will be more effective in entertainment and education domains. The effectiveness of the Pokric app has demonstrated in both indoor and outdoor spaces [33].

2.2 Living

Suggestion

Depicting the available properties in augmented perspective was the aim of Macedo [34]. In short, Macedo presented an app that illustrates the real estate classified ads in an augmented perspective to enhance decision making quality. In similar interest, Balduini[35] presented an android AR application to shows the rating of nearby restaurants. Customer shopping behavior was also studied by Pantano [95] in an AR system. Furthermore, customer behavior has been studied by Wafa [96].

Collecting the data is a diverse issue. First of all, Macedo [34] created a website, and asked the users to upload their information, pictures, and location. Balduini [35]collected and analyzed 200 million tweeters within 3 years that showed positive, negative and neutrally opinion about a restaurant services. Kourouthanassis[62], additionally, analyzed emotional attributes of user in AR app. Because of rapid and divergent growth of studies in this field, finally, Georgiou [97]presented a standard questionnaire for measuring immersion in future AR app. Rese[98] showed the level of good satisfaction with using AR technology among shopping mall costumers. Furthermore, Dacko [99]showed the same benefits for retailers costumers.

Equity

The main aim of smart cities is to offer the same level of facilities to all citizens. Consequently, some researchers tried to utilize AR technology to facilitate disabilities activities in smart cities. Oliveria [100] proposed a navigation system that calculates and facilitats rout suggestion for disabilities. Rashid [36] declared that the design of shopping and library shelves do not let disabilities freely interact with items. So he created a system, with RFID technology, that enable disabilities to detect the availability and location of items in the shelves [36]. Lee [101]invented an app to help elderlies to have a better mental 3d orientation skills. Covaci[102] created a novel assistant app for cognitive disabilities. Burke [103]also stablished an app that use AR technology to help people with stroke disease. An innovative app help disabilities by translating pics intro sound, this app has been created by Hrytsyk [104]. Furthermore, Mirzaei [105]presented an app that helps deaf disabilities by converting voice of speaker into readable text on AR display. Alongside of physical disabilities, AR can also helpful for mental health. AR can helpful for treatment of physiological stress disease [106]. Vinumol [107]produced an application that augments marker base text book with graphic presentation and sound for disabilities. Colpani and Homem[108] combined gamification with learning process for disabilities.

Maintenance

Maintenance is one the most operational field in using AR. The aim of this content is to enhance the efficiency of industrial maintenance [37, 109] and decrease the time consumption and error during the process

[110-112], by putting contextual or animated virtual content on the specific equipment [37, 113]. Various studies choose this well growing topic. To some extent; Lima[114] focused on maintenance of vehicles by the help of AR. Neges [115] employed AR in maintenance of pipelines. Benbelkacem[111] also helped repairer to enhance their capability and decrease the risk of the accident. As it can be observed, employing of AR in learning maintenance has a great potentiality. For instance, Fiorentino[116] presented an AR app that trains maintain and assembly skills. Abramovici [117] produced an app that not only helped maintainer to repair the machine but also create an opportunity for AR based team collaboration.

Yew[118], furthermore, used AR in remote maintenance with robots. Programming knowledge is known as the most crucial issue in using AR, accordingly, in Erkoyuncu [37] study an app is created, which let technicians use AR in maintenance while they don't have a previous programming knowledge. Ramirez[119] produced a program which let companies to create their AR maintenance app without the need of programming skills. In this section, most of researcher end up their studies with applied application but an exact examine cannot be observe. Schall and Garza [38, 120] demonstrated that using AR take a shorter time than a paper guide. Ramirez confirmed the same results and declared that using AR in maintenance is 30% faster than traditional paper guide [121]. Also, Benbelkacem [111] showed time-saving and flexibility features. Also it should be mentioned that, maintainer which educated by AR, present a better performance compare to those who educate with normal paper guide. Because of AR complexity procedure in maintenance, Palmarini[123] believed that solo text illustration is more feasible.

2.3 Mobility

Outdoor

Huang[39] used AR in outdoor pedestrian navigation by introducing a new approach. This method combined GIS and virtual objects registration. Siu[124], moreover, presented an outdoor AR navigation for firefighters. Abdi[125] created a novel system to illustrate marker-less traffic sign and weather condition to drivers. Similarly, Yoon[126] focused on analyzing using AR in car navigation. He [127] had a wide view in the close future, and proposed a system to caution the driver about unseen obstacles and proposed a visual and audio warning system by the help of AR. likewise, Jaeyong [128] suggested a new concept for illustration the naval information in AR format. The most prominent problem in outdoor tracking is the accuracy of location. Therefore, Li [129] enhanced the accuracy of GPS for his purpose. In an outdoor tracking system, Azuma[130] presented a new tracking method in outdoor spaces with using beacons. It should have mentioned that using PGS and IU equipments in the outdoor environment, may cause some errors[39]. The introduced AR GIS system by Huang and Shi [39, 131] showed a practical and successful registration in an outdoor environment. Consequently, there is great demand in research that implements object tracking in outdoor spaces [39]. A full biography of tracking methods can be found in Daponte research [132].

Outdoor-Indoor

Torres-Sospedra[11] tried to present a method for both indoor and outdoor navigation. Weng[133] presented and upgraded an algorithm to enhance rotation, scaling and translation of objects in AR markerless system. The app allowed users to locate him/herself on campus and interact with the environment to find a point of interest (POIs)[11]. Afif [57] cautioned that fast motion can interrupt this markerless system. Therefore, it can be observe that AR tracking system is an essential issue [134].

Indoor

Subakti [40] focused on the indoor navigation system and proposed a marker-less AR app (ENFIGATE) to navigate fresh students in the smart campus. Kundu[47] proposed a novel AR indoor navigation system for a wheeled robot which can use in museum and shopping malls. Some researchers has fresh view in indoor navigating, for example, Mahadik [135] presented an indoor navigation system in the library to help borrowers to find the proper book and navigation him/her to the shelves. Kuo[136] proposed an AR indoor positioning system that can track user location and their angles of vision to create a high adaptability space . The accuracy of user position is satisfactory but will it should improve in future studies in the context of smart cities [40]. Subakti [40] compared the AR indoor navigation system with tradition way finding methods and found that AR is more feasible and beneficiary.

3. Discussion

After categorizing smart cities in sections and components, this study tries to collect all applicable articles on each part. Finally, 116 highlighted researches found. These articles summarized and then critically analyzed. In this section, we aim to deepen the knowledge of Augmented Reality in “Smart Cities” content by analyzing the number of articles that have been allocated to each section and components. This quantitative analysis can help us to recognize the degree of importance, potentiality and gaps in each parts. As it can be observe in Figure 3, a comprehensive analysis to illustrate the potentiality and gaps in each major sections of smart cities is presented. Environment section attracts the most attention of researchers by more than half of all articles by 52.5% (61 articles). The living section that usually relates with the everyday life of citizen has acceptable but lower degree of attention by 31% (36 articles). Only 16.3% of whole articles focus on mobility issues. So it cannot attract the researchers’ attention (19 articles).




Figure. 3. Number of articles in Smart Cities sections, Source: Authors

After the sections analyze, now it is time to have a deeper look their components and find their attraction for researchers. Although table 2 give us a meaningful information about the potentiality in Environment, less attention on Living and gap of knowledge in Mobility sections, the component does not necessarily obey this categorize. In this part the number of articles that allocate to each component sort and analyze without the consideration of their main categorize. As it can be notice in Figure 4, the most attractive component in all three main sections is “Museum” that allocates almost a quarter of all consideration 22.4%. The next well flourish component is “Maintenance” with 14.6%. With a closer look, it can find that museum and maintenance can attract 40% of all attention. The main reason of this attention can find in economic

advantages. Built environment components such as “Construction” 11.2% and “Design” are another well industries also use advantages of AR in their aims. Environmental factors is also become important in recent years and “Air pollution” located 9.4% of whole articles (the same as “Design” component), and it shows the growing concern of about this issue. As it can hypothesis, those components that have a less economic perspective, also, has a less attraction in AR. For instance “Outdoor mobility and equity” 8.6%, “Suggestion” 7.7%, “Indoor” 4.3%, “Outdoor-Indoor” 3.4%.




Figure. 4. Number of articles in Smart Cities components, Sources: Authors

3.1 Chronological Analyze

Although some valuable information can be attain with analyzing the number of articles based on sections and components, but to verify its outcome a chronological analysis is indispensable. This method is helpful to understand, investigate and track the growth of each component in recent years. As it can be observe in table 5, although “Museum” contains most of the studies in all times but “Maintenance” has more improvement in last years and attract the most attention in 2017. “Suggestion” and “Construction” together has the third stage. On the other side, in mobility section, the combination of Indoor-Outdoor navigation did not attract any attention in 2017. From Figure 5, some new interesting information can be extracted, only 15 researches have been done in 2011 and before that, and it seems that 2012 is a millstone and starting point in AR studies. This growth became faster in 2013 and improve each year to 2017 that is the most published year.




Figure. 5. Number of articles based on year of publication, Source: Authors

With keep the above information in mind, some major finding can be inference:

As compare to other components, Museum and Maintenance have received most of the attention in smart cities domain. 116 articles were identified as the AR work in Smart Cities between 2010 and 2017. Most of the articles for AR in smart cities were published in following journals: “Automation in Construction”, “Computers in Industry”, “Computers in Human Behavior” and in IEEE conferences. Most of the reviewed papers successfully implemented their concepts in a control group and environment. Which implies that the AR technology has matured enough to come out academic shelf and prepare for a practical and professional environment. The majority of articles focused on proofing their concept and concluded their successful implementation rather than illustrate the pros and cons of their ideas by worthy detail.

4. Conclusion

This review details the wide variety of smart cities applications for which AR systems are now being developed and tested. The published studies mostly use control environment toward testing the feasibility or proof of concept. This kind of approach is acceptable in the early stage of starting a system, but after years of investigation in AR, it is time to implement it in the real urban environments. This is applicable if the researcher or developer is fully familiar with the scientific rationale behind their app. Although some researchers try to use AR in real urban content, many of research area is remain unexplored and several questions remain unanswered and feasibly of the app are under question. Based on this gap, it can conclude that smart cities have great potentiality for vast studies to implicate AR in Smart Cities and as result connecting this two technology requires much more innovative attention.

References

1. Azuma, R.T., A survey of augmented reality. *Presence: Teleoperators and virtual environments*, 1997. 6(4): p. 355-385.
2. Zhou, F., H.B.-L. Duh, and M. Billinghurst. Trends in augmented reality tracking, interaction and display: A review of ten years of ISMAR. in *Proceedings of the 7th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality*. 2008. IEEE Computer Society.
3. Kolivand, H., A. Hasan Zakaria, and M.S. Sunar, Shadow Generation in Mixed Reality: A Comprehensive Survey. *IETE Technical Review*, 2015. 32(1): p. 3-15.
4. tom Dieck, M.C. and T. Jung, A theoretical model of mobile augmented reality acceptance in urban heritage tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 2015: p. 1-21.
5. Kolivand, H., M. Billinghurst, and M.S. Sunar, LivePhantom: Retrieving Virtual World Light Data to Real Environments. *PloS one*, 2016. 11(12): p. e0166424.
6. Chi, H.-L., S.-C. Kang, and X. Wang, Research trends and opportunities of augmented reality applications in architecture, engineering, and construction. *Automation in construction*, 2013. 33: p. 116-122.
7. Chourabi, H., et al. Understanding smart cities: An integrative framework. in *System Science (HICSS), 2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on*. 2012. IEEE.
8. Housing, U. and M.S. OTB, Smart cities Ranking of European medium-sized cities.
9. Giffinger, R., G. Haindlmaier, and H. Kramar, The role of rankings in growing city competition. *Urban Research & Practice*, 2010. 3(3): p. 299-312.
10. McCarthy, F. and M. Vickers, Digital natives, dropouts and refugees: Educational challenges for innovative cities. *Innovation*, 2008. 10(2-3): p. 257-268.

11. Torres-Sospedra, J., et al., Enhancing integrated indoor/outdoor mobility in a smart campus. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 2015. 29(11): p. 1955-1968.
12. Koutromanos, G., A. Sofos, and L. Avraamidou, The use of augmented reality games in education: a review of the literature. *Educational Media International*, 2015. 52(4): p. 253-271.
13. Ahlers, K.H., et al. Distributed augmented reality for collaborative design applications. in *Computer Graphics Forum*. 1995. Wiley Online Library.
14. Azuma, R., et al., Recent advances in augmented reality. *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, 2001. 21(6): p. 34-47.
15. Wang, X. and R. Chen, An experimental study on collaborative effectiveness of augmented reality potentials in urban design. *CoDesign*, 2009. 5(4): p. 229-244.
16. Southworth, M. and E. Ben-Joseph, *Streets and the Shaping of Towns and Cities*. 2013: Island Press.
17. Aliakseyeu, D., J.-B. Martens, and M. Rauterberg, A computer support tool for the early stages of architectural design. *Interacting with Computers*, 2006. 18(4): p. 528-555.
18. Kaklauskas, A., et al., Housing health and safety decision support system with augmented reality. *InImpact: The Journal of Innovation Impact*, 2016. 6(1): p. 131.
19. Caruso, G., et al., Novel Augmented Reality system for Contract design sector. *Computer-Aided Design and Applications*, 2014. 11(4): p. 389-398.
20. Wang, X., et al., Augmented Reality in built environment: Classification and implications for future research. *Automation in Construction*, 2013. 32: p. 1-13.
21. Vert, S. and R. Vasiu. Augmented Reality Lenses for Smart City Data: The Case of Building Permits. in *World Conference on Information Systems and Technologies*. 2017. Springer.
22. Feiner, S., et al. A touring machine: Prototyping 3D mobile augmented reality systems for exploring the urban environment. in *Wearable Computers, 1997. Digest of Papers., First International Symposium on*. 1997. IEEE.
23. Garau, C., Smart paths for advanced management of cultural heritage. *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, 2014. 1(1): p. 286-293.
24. Argo, T.A., S. Prabonno, and P. Singgi, Youth Participation in Urban Environmental Planning through Augmented Reality Learning: The Case of Bandung City, Indonesia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2016. 227: p. 808-814.
25. Salvini, P., Urban robotics: Towards responsible innovations for our cities. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2017.
26. Ferrer, L., et al. Using augmented reality in urban context: Georeferenced system for business localization using Google Glass. in *Smart Cities Conference (ISC2), 2015 IEEE First International*. 2015. IEEE.
27. Canepa-Talamas, D., A. Nassehi, and V. Dhokia, Innovative Framework for Immersive Metrology. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 60: p. 110-115.
28. Nam, Y.T. and J.-h. Oh. Participatory Mixed Reality Space: Collective Memories. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-Adjunct), 2016 IEEE International Symposium on*. 2016. IEEE.
29. Mesárošová, A., M.F. Hernandez, and P. Mesároš. Augmented reality as an educational tool of M-learning focused on architecture and urban planning. in *Emerging eLearning Technologies and Applications (ICETA), 2014 IEEE 12th International Conference on*. 2014. IEEE.

30. Younes, G., et al., Virtual and augmented reality for rich interaction with cultural heritage sites: A case study from the Roman Theater at Byblos. *Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage*, 2017. 5: p. 1-9.
31. Rattanarungrot, S. and M. White. A service-oriented mobile augmented reality architecture for personalized museum environments. in *Virtual Systems & Multimedia (VSMM), 2014 International Conference on*. 2014. IEEE.
32. Cirulis, A. and K.B. Brigmanis, 3D outdoor augmented reality for architecture and urban planning. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 71-79.
33. Pokrić, B., et al. Engaging citizen communities in smart cities using IoT, serious gaming and fast markerless Augmented Reality. in *Recent Advances in Internet of Things (RIoT), 2015 International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
34. de Macedo, D.V., et al., Using and Evaluating Augmented Reality for Mobile Data Visualization in Real Estate Classified Ads. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, 2014. 36(1): p. 7-14.
35. Balduini, M., et al., BOTTARI: An augmented reality mobile application to deliver personalized and location-based recommendations by continuous analysis of social media streams. *Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*, 2012. 16: p. 33-41.
36. Rashid, Z., et al., Using Augmented Reality and Internet of Things to improve accessibility of people with motor disabilities in the context of Smart Cities. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 2016.
37. Erkoyuncu, J.A., et al., Improving efficiency of industrial maintenance with context aware adaptive authoring in augmented reality. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 2017.
38. Schall, G., et al. Urban 3d models: what's underneath? Handheld augmented reality for subsurface infrastructure visualization. in *Proceedings of UbiComp*. 2007.
39. Huang, W., M. Sun, and S. Li, A 3D GIS-based interactive registration mechanism for outdoor augmented reality system. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2016. 55: p. 48-58.
40. Subakti, H. and J.-R. Jiang. A marker-based cyber-physical augmented-reality indoor guidance system for smart campuses. in *High Performance Computing and Communications; IEEE 14th International Conference on Smart City; IEEE 2nd International Conference on Data Science and Systems (HPCC/SmartCity/DSS), 2016 IEEE 18th International Conference on*. 2016. IEEE.
41. Haladová, Z.B., et al., Utilizing Multispectral Scanning and Augmented Reality for Enhancement and Visualization of the Wooden Sculpture Restoration Process. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2015. 67: p. 340-347.
42. Gutierrez, J.M., et al., Augmented Reality Technology Spreads Information about Historical Graffiti in Temple of Debod. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2015. 75: p. 390-397.
43. Chang, K.-E., et al., Development and behavioral pattern analysis of a mobile guide system with augmented reality for painting appreciation instruction in an art museum. *Computers & Education*, 2014. 71: p. 185-197.
44. Kolstee, Y. and W. van Eck. The augmented Van Gogh's: Augmented reality experiences for museum visitors. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality-Arts, Media, and Humanities (ISMAR-AMH), 2011 IEEE International Symposium On*. 2011. IEEE.
45. Jing, C., G. Junwei, and W. Yongtian, Mobile augmented reality system for personal museum tour guide applications. 2011.

46. Han, B.-O., et al. Museum tour guide robot with augmented reality. in *Virtual Systems and Multimedia (VSMM)*, 2010 16th International Conference on. 2010. IEEE.
47. Kundu, A.S., et al., Scanning Camera and Augmented Reality Based Localization of Omnidirectional Robot for Indoor Application. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2017. 105: p. 27-33.
48. Thon, S., et al. Flying a drone in a museum: An augmented-reality cultural serious game in Provence. in *Digital Heritage International Congress (DigitalHeritage)*, 2013. 2013. IEEE.
49. Novotný, M., J. Lacko, and M. Samuelčík, Applications of multi-touch augmented reality system in education and presentation of virtual heritage. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 231-235.
50. Blanco-Fernández, Y., et al., REENACT: A step forward in immersive learning about Human History by augmented reality, role playing and social networking. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2014. 41(10): p. 4811-4828.
51. Wojciechowski, R., et al. Building virtual and augmented reality museum exhibitions. in *Proceedings of the ninth international conference on 3D Web technology*. 2004. ACM.
52. Barry, A., et al., Augmented reality in a public space: The natural history museum, london. *Computer*, 2012. 45(7): p. 42-47.
53. Takahashi, T.B., et al. Making a hands-on display with augmented reality work at a science museum. in *Signal-Image Technology & Internet-Based Systems (SITIS)*, 2013 International Conference on. 2013. IEEE.
54. Damala, A. and N. Stojanovic. Tailoring the Adaptive Augmented Reality (A 2 R) museum visit: Identifying Cultural Heritage professionals' motivations and needs. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-AMH)*, 2012 IEEE International Symposium on. 2012. IEEE.
55. Choi, H.-s. and S.-h. Kim, A content service deployment plan for metaverse museum exhibitions—Centering on the combination of beacons and HMDs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 2017. 37(1): p. 1519-1527.
56. Jevremovic, V. and S. Petrovski. MUZZEUM—Augmented Reality and QR codes enabled mobile platform with digital library, used to Guerrilla open the National Museum of Serbia. in *Virtual Systems and Multimedia (VSMM)*, 2012 18th International Conference on. 2012. IEEE.
57. Afif, F.N. and A.H. Basori, Orientation control for indoor virtual landmarks based on hybrid-based markerless augmented reality. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2013. 97: p. 648-655.
58. Han, J.-G., et al., Cultural heritage sites visualization system based on outdoor augmented reality. *AASRI Procedia*, 2013. 4: p. 64-71.
59. Puyuelo, M., et al., Experiencing Augmented Reality as an Accessibility Resource in the UNESCO Heritage Site Called “La Lonja”, Valencia. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 171-178.
60. Cianciarulo, D., From local traditions to “Augmented Reality”. The MUVIG Museum of Viggiano (Italy). *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2015. 188: p. 138-143.
61. Debenham, P., G. Thomas, and J. Trout. Evolutionary augmented reality at the natural history museum. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, 2011 10th IEEE International Symposium on. 2011. IEEE.
62. Kourouthanassis, P., et al., Tourists responses to mobile augmented reality travel guides: The role of emotions on adoption behavior. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 2015. 18: p. 71-87.

63. tom Dieck, M.C. and T.H. Jung, Value of augmented reality at cultural heritage sites: A stakeholder approach. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 2017.
64. Kysela, J. and P. Štorková, Using augmented reality as a medium for teaching history and tourism. *Procedia-Social and behavioral sciences*, 2015. 174: p. 926-931.
65. Hui, J. Approach to the Interior Design Using Augmented Reality Technology. in *Intelligent Systems Design and Engineering Applications (ISDEA)*, 2015 Sixth International Conference on. 2015. IEEE.
66. Vassigh, S., et al. Integrating Building Information Modeling with Augmented Reality for Interdisciplinary Learning. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-Adjunct)*, 2016 IEEE International Symposium on. 2016. IEEE.
67. Ruwanthika, R., et al. Dynamic 3D model construction using architectural house plans. in *Technology and Management (NCTM)*, National Conference on. 2017. IEEE.
68. Cho, N.-H., et al. Content Authoring Using Single Image in Urban Environments for Augmented Reality. in *Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (DICTA)*, 2016 International Conference on. 2016. IEEE.
69. Redondo, E., et al., New strategies using handheld augmented reality and mobile learning-teaching methodologies, in architecture and building engineering degrees. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 52-61.
70. Fonseca, D., et al., Relationship between student profile, tool use, participation, and academic performance with the use of Augmented Reality technology for visualized architecture models. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2014. 31: p. 434-445.
71. Redondo, E., et al., Augmented Reality on architectural and building engineering learning processes. Two Study Cases. *Ubiquitous Computing and Communication Journal*, 2012. 7: p. 1269.
72. Oleksy, T. and A. Wnuk, Catch them all and increase your place attachment! The role of location-based augmented reality games in changing people-place relations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2017.
73. Kim, H.-s., et al. Application of augmented reality object in construction project. in *Information and Communication Technologies (WICT)*, 2013 Third World Congress on. 2013. IEEE.
74. Behzadan, A.H. and V.R. Kamat. Enabling smooth and scalable dynamic 3D visualization of discrete-event construction simulations in outdoor augmented reality. in *Simulation Conference, 2007 Winter*. 2007. IEEE.
75. Kirchbach, K. Augmented Reality on Construction Sites Using a Smartphone-Application. in *Information Visualisation (IV)*, 2013 17th International Conference. 2013. IEEE.
76. Behzadan, A.H. and V.R. Kamat. Visualization of construction graphics in outdoor augmented reality. in *Proceedings of the 37th conference on Winter simulation*. 2005. Winter Simulation Conference.
77. Behzadan, A.H., H.M. Khoury, and V.R. Kamat. Structure of an extensible augmented reality framework for visualization of simulated construction processes. in *Proceedings of the 38th conference on Winter simulation*. 2006. Winter Simulation Conference.
78. Moon, J., et al. Development of immersive augmented reality interface for construction robotic system. in *Control, Automation and Systems, 2007. ICCAS'07*. International Conference on. 2007. IEEE.
79. Lee, J.-Y., et al. A study on construction defect management using augmented reality technology. in *Information Science and Applications (ICISA)*, 2012 International Conference on. 2012. IEEE.

80. Zhou, Y., H. Luo, and Y. Yang, Implementation of augmented reality for segment displacement inspection during tunneling construction. *Automation in Construction*, 2017.
81. Gruber, L., et al. The city of sights: Design, construction, and measurement of an augmented reality stage set. in *Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR), 2010 9th IEEE International Symposium on*. 2010. IEEE.
82. Behzadan, A.H., et al., Ubiquitous location tracking for context-specific information delivery on construction sites. *Automation in Construction*, 2008. 17(6): p. 737-748.
83. Gheisari, M., et al., Integrating BIM and Panorama to Create a Semi-Augmented-Reality Experience of a Construction Site. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, 2016. 12(4): p. 303-316.
84. Kim, Y.-T., et al. Construction and inspection management system using mobile augmented reality. in *Frontiers of Computer Vision,(FCV), 2013 19th Korea-Japan Joint Workshop on*. 2013. IEEE.
85. Andrés, G.R.C. CleanWiFi: The wireless network for air quality monitoring, community Internet access and environmental education in smart cities. in *ITU Kaleidoscope: ICTs for a Sustainable World (ITU WT), 2016*. 2016. IEEE.
86. Xiaojun, C., L. Xianpeng, and X. Peng. IOT-based air pollution monitoring and forecasting system. in *Computer and Computational Sciences (ICCCS), 2015 International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
87. Maia, L.F., et al., LAGARTO: A LocAtion based Games AuthoRing TOol enhanced with Augmented Reality features. *Entertainment Computing*, 2017.
88. Dutta, J., et al. AirSense: Opportunistic crowd-sensing based air quality monitoring system for smart city. in *SENSORS, 2016 IEEE*. 2016. IEEE.
89. Boubrima, A., et al. Optimal deployment of wireless sensor networks for air pollution monitoring. in *Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN), 2015 24th International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
90. Rushikesh, R. and C.M.R. Sivappagari. Development of IoT based vehicular pollution monitoring system. in *Green Computing and Internet of Things (ICGCIoT), 2015 International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
91. Vong, C.-M., et al. Application of rfid technology and the maximum spanning tree algorithm for solving vehicle emissions in cities on internet of things. in *Internet of Things (WF-IoT), 2014 IEEE World Forum on*. 2014. IEEE.
92. Manna, S., S.S. Bhunia, and N. Mukherjee. Vehicular pollution monitoring using IoT. in *Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE), 2014*. 2014. IEEE.
93. Siregar, B., A.B.A. Nasution, and F. Fahmi. Integrated pollution monitoring system for smart city. in *ICT For Smart Society (ICISS), 2016 International Conference on*. 2016. IEEE.
94. Baralis, E., et al. Analyzing air pollution on the urban environment. in *Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO), 2016 39th International Convention on*. 2016. IEEE.
95. Pantano, E., A. Rese, and D. Baier, Enhancing the online decision-making process by using augmented reality: A two country comparison of youth markets. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 2017. 38: p. 81-95.
96. Wafa, S.N. and E. Hashim, Adoption of Mobile Augmented Reality Advertisements by Brands in Malaysia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2016. 219: p. 762-768.

97. Georgiou, Y. and E.A. Kyza, The development and validation of the ARI questionnaire: An instrument for measuring immersion in location-based augmented reality settings. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 2017. 98: p. 24-37.
98. Rese, A., et al., How augmented reality apps are accepted by consumers: A comparative analysis using scales and opinions. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2016.
99. Dacko, S.G., Enabling smart retail settings via mobile augmented reality shopping apps. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2016.
100. de Oliveira, L.C., et al. Indoor navigation with mobile augmented reality and beacon technology for wheelchair users. in *Biomedical & Health Informatics (BHI), 2017 IEEE EMBS International Conference on*. 2017. IEEE.
101. Lee, I.-J., C.-H. Chen, and K.-P. Chang, Augmented reality technology combined with three-dimensional holography to train the mental rotation ability of older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2016. 65: p. 488-500.
102. Covaci, A., et al. Assessing real world imagery in virtual environments for people with cognitive disabilities. in *Intelligent Environments (IE), 2015 International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
103. Burke, J.W., et al. Augmented reality games for upper-limb stroke rehabilitation. in *Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES), 2010 Second International Conference on*. 2010. IEEE.
104. Hrytsyk, V., A. Grondzal, and A. Bilenkyj. Augmented reality for people with disabilities. in *Scientific and Technical Conference "Computer Sciences and Information Technologies"(CSIT), 2015 Xth International*. 2015. IEEE.
105. Mirzaei, M.R., S. Ghorshi, and M. Mortazavi. Combining augmented reality and speech technologies to help deaf and hard of hearing people. in *Virtual and Augmented Reality (SVR), 2012 14th Symposium on*. 2012. IEEE.
106. Pioggia, G., et al. Interreality: The use of advanced technologies in the assessment and treatment of psychological stress. in *Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (ISDA), 2010 10th International Conference on*. 2010. IEEE.
107. Vinumol, K., et al. Augmented reality based interactive text book: An assistive technology for students with learning disability. in *Virtual and Augmented Reality (SVR), 2013 XV Symposium on*. 2013. IEEE.
108. Colpani, R. and M.R.P. Homem. An innovative augmented reality educational framework with gamification to assist the learning process of children with intellectual disabilities. in *Information, Intelligence, Systems and Applications (IISA), 2015 6th International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
109. Perdikakis, A., A. Araya, and D. Kiritsis, Introducing augmented reality in next generation industrial learning tools: a case study on electric and hybrid vehicles. *Procedia Engineering*, 2015. 132: p. 251-258.
110. Martinetti, A., M. Rajabalinejad, and L. van Dongen, Shaping the future maintenance operations: reflections on the adoptions of Augmented Reality through problems and opportunities. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 59: p. 14-17.
111. Benbelkacem, S., et al., Augmented reality for photovoltaic pumping systems maintenance tasks. *Renewable energy*, 2013. 55: p. 428-437.
112. Ghimire, R., K.R. Pattipati, and P.B. Luh. Fault diagnosis and augmented reality-based troubleshooting of HVAC systems. in *IEEE AUTOTESTCON, 2016*. 2016. IEEE.

113. Hořejší, P., Augmented reality system for virtual training of parts assembly. *Procedia Engineering*, 2015. 100: p. 699-706.
114. Lima, J.P., et al., Markerless tracking system for augmented reality in the automotive industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2017. 82: p. 100-114.
115. Neges, M., M. Wolf, and M. Abramovici, Secure access augmented reality solution for mobile maintenance support utilizing condition-oriented work instructions. *Procedia CIRP*, 2015. 38: p. 58-62.
116. Fiorentino, M., et al., Augmented reality on large screen for interactive maintenance instructions. *Computers in Industry*, 2014. 65(2): p. 270-278.
117. Abramovici, M., et al., Context-aware Maintenance Support for Augmented Reality Assistance and Synchronous Multi-user Collaboration. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 59: p. 18-22.
118. Yew, A., S. Ong, and A. Nee, Immersive Augmented Reality Environment for the Teleoperation of Maintenance Robots. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 61: p. 305-310.
119. Ramirez, H., et al., Authoring software for augmented reality applications for the use of maintenance and training process. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 189-193.
120. Garza, L.E., et al., Augmented reality application for the maintenance of a flapper valve of a Fuller-Kynion type M pump. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2013. 25: p. 154-160.
121. Ramírez, H., et al., Application of augmented reality in statistical process control, to increment the productivity in manufacture. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2015. 75: p. 213-220.
122. Webel, S., et al., An augmented reality training platform for assembly and maintenance skills. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2013. 61(4): p. 398-403.
123. Palmarini, R., J.A. Erkoyuncu, and R. Roy, An innovative process to select Augmented Reality (AR) technology for maintenance. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 59: p. 23-28.
124. Siu, T. and V. Herskovic, Mobile augmented reality and context-awareness for firefighters. *IEEE Latin America Transactions*, 2014. 12(1): p. 42-47.
125. Abdi, L., F.B. Abdallah, and A. Meddeb, In-vehicle augmented reality traffic information system: a new type of communication between driver and vehicle. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2015. 73: p. 242-249.
126. Yoon, C., et al. Development of augmented in-vehicle navigation system for Head-Up Display. in *Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC)*, 2014 International Conference on. 2014. IEEE.
127. Schwarz, F. and W. Fastenmeier, Augmented reality warnings in vehicles: Effects of modality and specificity on effectiveness. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 2017. 101: p. 55-66.
128. Jaeyong, O., S. Park, and O.-S. Kwon, Advanced Navigation Aids System based on Augmented Reality. *International Journal of e-Navigation and Maritime Economy*, 2016. 5: p. 21-31.
129. Li, J. and X. Fan. Outdoor augmented reality tracking using 3d city models and game engine. in *Image and Signal Processing (CISP)*, 2014 7th International Congress on. 2014. IEEE.
130. Azuma, R., et al. Performance analysis of an outdoor augmented reality tracking system that relies upon a few mobile beacons. in *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality*. 2006. IEEE Computer Society.
131. Shi, Z., et al. A novel individual location recommendation system based on mobile augmented reality. in *Identification, Information, and Knowledge in the Internet of Things (IIKI)*, 2015 International Conference on. 2015. IEEE.

132. Daponte, P., et al., State of the art and future developments of the Augmented Reality for measurement applications. *Measurement*, 2014. 57: p. 53-70.
133. Weng, E.N.G., et al., Objects tracking from natural features in mobile augmented reality. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2013. 97: p. 753-760.
134. Singh, G. and A. Mantri. Ubiquitous hybrid tracking techniques for augmented reality applications. in *Recent Advances in Engineering & Computational Sciences (RAECS), 2015 2nd International Conference on*. 2015. IEEE.
135. Mahadik, A., et al. A review of Augmented Reality and its application in context aware library system. in *ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG), International Conference on*. 2016. IEEE.
136. Kuo, C., T. Jeng, and I. Yang, An invisible head marker tracking system for indoor mobile augmented reality. *Automation in Construction*, 2013. 33: p. 104-115.