



LJMU Research Online

Elahi, A, Perez Algorta, G, Varese, F, McIntyre, JC and Bentall, RP

Do paranoid delusions exist on a continuum with subclinical paranoia? A multi-method taxometric study

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/9028/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Elahi, A, Perez Algorta, G, Varese, F, McIntyre, JC and Bentall, RP (2017) Do paranoid delusions exist on a continuum with subclinical paranoia? A multi-method taxometric study. Schizophrenia Research, 190. pp. 77-81. ISSN 0920-9964

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Do paranoid delusions exist on a continuum with subclinical paranoia? A multi-method taxometric study

A. Elahi^a, G. Perez Algorta^b, F. Varese^c, J. C. McIntyre^a and R. P. Bentall^a

a. University of Liverpool, Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, Waterhouse Building, Block B, Brownlow St, Liverpool L69 3GL, England, Emails: hlaelahi@liverpool.ac.uk, j.mcintyre@liverpool.ac.uk, rpb@liverpool.ac.uk

b. Lancaster University, Spectrum Centre for Mental Health Research, Furness Building C73, Lancaster LA1 4YG, England, Email: g.perezalgorta@lancaster.ac.uk

c. University of Manchester, Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Zochonis Building, Manchester, M13 9PL, England, Email: filippo.varese@manchester.ac.uk

Correspondence: Anam Elahi, University of Liverpool, Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, Waterhouse Building, Block B, Brownlow St, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GL, Email: hlaelahi@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: There is widespread interest in whether psychosis exists on a continuum with healthy functioning. Previous research has implied that paranoia, a common symptom of psychosis, exists on a continuum but this has not been investigated using samples including both patients and non-patients and up-to-date taxometric methods.

Aim: To assess the latent structure of paranoia in a diverse sample using taxometric methods. *Method:* We obtained data from 2836 participants, including the general population as well as at-risk mental state and psychotic patients using the P-scale of the Paranoia and Deservedness Scale. Data were analysed using three taxometric procedures, MAMBAC, MAXEIG and L-MODE (Ruscio, 2016), and two sets of paranoia indicators (subscales and selected items from the P scale), including and excluding the patient groups. *Results:* Eleven of the twelve analyses supported a dimensional model. Using the full sample and subscales as indicators, the MAMBAC analysis was ambiguous. Overall, the findings converged on a dimensional latent structure. *Conclusions:* A dimensional latent structure of paranoia implies that the processes involved in sub-clinical paranoia may be similar to those in clinical paranoia.

Keywords: paranoia, taxometrics, dimensional, MAMBAC, MAXEIG, L-MODE.

1. Introduction

There is debate about whether psychotic symptoms lie on a continuum with less severe psychotic-like experiences, which are widespread in the general population (Lawrie et al., 2010). This debate has focused on the distinction between psychosis and schizotypal traits (Lenzenweger, 2010), with less attention being paid to specific symptoms.

Paranoid (persecutory) beliefs are the most common type of delusion, experienced by approximately 90% of first episode schizophrenia-spectrum patients. In a general population sample, Freeman et al., (2005) reported that paranoid beliefs occur on a hierarchy of severity, with rare and severe paranoid delusions building upon much more common forms of suspiciousness. Using latent class analysis and factor mixture modelling, they later found evidence of a paranoia continuum with four underlying components: interpersonal sensitivity, mistrust, ideas of reference and ideas of persecution (Bebbington et al., 2013).

Taxometric methods, developed by Meehl (1995) are specifically designed to test for discontinuities in a spectrum of psychopathology. These procedures have been strengthened with new interpretational strategies that rely on quantitative indexes and researchers now use multiple analyses to interrogate a dataset (Ruscio et al. 2006). The methods have been used to study whether schizotypy is a dimensional construct, with mixed results (e.g. Rawlings et al. 2008; Lenzenweger, 2010). A systematic review reported that, with the exception of studies of alcoholism and addictions, most high-quality taxometric analyses, including those of schizotypy, have found continua between healthy functioning and mental illness (Haslam et al., 2012). It is possible that one source of ambiguity in the schizotypy findings has been the focus on a broad diagnostic concept, rather than specific symptoms. To our knowledge, no taxometric

studies of paranoia have been reported. We therefore conducted taxometric analyses on data collected using a large population sample as well as patients with psychosis or with an at-risk mental state (ARMS; Yung et al., 2005).

The data was compiled from published and unpublished studies conducted over a seven-year period (2008 to 2015). Analyses were carried out on scores on the Persecution and Deservedness Scale (PaDS; Melo et al. 2009), a questionnaire designed to assess clinical and sub-clinical paranoia, which includes separate scales measuring beliefs about persecution (P) and beliefs about whether persecution is deserved (D). Only the former is suitable for taxometric analyses because many deservedness items were not designed to measure strength of paranoid conviction and many responses were missing by design (participants complete a deservedness item only if scoring above a threshold of 2 on a corresponding persecution item).

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Data was obtained from studies that included 2874 participants who had been asked to complete the PaDS, consisting of 2357 participants from the general population (2157 were students), 157 participants with an at-risk mental state (ARMS) for psychosis and 360 patients with schizophrenia-spectrum diagnoses. Of these, 38 participants (20 students, 2 non-student controls, and 16 clinical patients, 1.3% of the total) did not provide complete PaDS data, so our final sample size was 2836. Participants with missing data did not differ on age or gender compared to those with complete data when the entire data set or individual groups were considered.

Student participants were recruited via cross-sectional studies conducted at Bangor, Lancaster, Liverpool and Manchester Universities: Pickering et al. (2008), Melo et al. (2009), Udachina et al. (2009) and Varese et al. (2011) and unpublished studies conducted for PhD qualifications by F. Varese and A. Udachina at Bangor University (both awarded 2012). The paranoia measures were completed online or in face-to-face interviews. Responses were mostly not anonymous and participants received course credits for completing the questionnaire; however, data were anonymized during the compilation of the present dataset.

Patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders were recruited through a series of cross-sectional and case-control studies, along with the non-student healthy controls. These studies were Varese et al. (2011), Morrison et al. (2013), Sellwood et al. (2013), Udachina et al. (2014) and Wickham et al. (2015) as well as unpublished studies conducted by K. Sitko and M. Haarmans whilst undertaking PhDs at Liverpool University (both awarded 2016). Participants varied in their clinical diagnoses which were clinician-assigned. However, the diagnoses for 351/360 patients and 200 non-student controls were supported by a researcher-conducted mental state interview using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (see below). Patients were judged to meet the criteria for schizophrenia (273), acute and transient psychosis (12), schizoaffective disorder (34), delusional disorder (5), unspecified nonorganic psychosis (24), psychosis due to substance misuse (5), bipolar disorder (1) and postpartum psychosis (1). Five participants did not have a diagnosis recorded.

Those with an at-risk mental state were from two of five sites participating in a cognitive behavioural therapy trial (Morrison et al. 2015) and all met the at-risk mental health criteria based on a researcher-administered interview using the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS; Yung et al. 2005).

All studies were approved by relevant university and National Health Service research ethics committees. As many of the studies were carried out at the same sites, care was taken to ensure that no participant contributed data more than once; in these cases, scores were taken from the earliest study. Demographic data (age ranges, gender) and PaDS scores are reported in Table 1.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

2.2. Measures

The PaDS consists of two ten-item scales measuring strength of persecutory belief (P scale) and appraisals about whether perceived persecution is deserved (D scale, not used in this study). Each item is scored on a 5-point Likert scale. The possible range of P scores is between 0 and 40.

The P scale has been validated in clinical and non-clinical samples and correlates with Fenigstein and Vanable's (1992) paranoia scale, $r = .78$, $N = 605$ (Melo et al., 2009). There are no published cut-offs. However, if a cut-off of + 1SD was used to estimate a paranoid taxon size, 13.24% of the students, 4.55% of the general population controls, 50.32% of ARMS patients and 36.91% of schizophrenia spectrum patients would be assigned to the paranoid category (498 participants). These figures seem reasonable given that previous studies of young adults have reported that a sizeable minority experience paranoid beliefs (for example, 12.6% of the Dunedin cohort study were judged paranoid; Poulton et al. 2000) and that many of the patients were in remission at the time of assessment.

A principal component analysis of the P items in the present dataset yielded a single component accounting for approximately 48% of the variance. The P scale was reliable with McDonald's coefficient $\omega_{\text{hierarchical}}$ for the whole scale (Dunn et al.,

2014) = .88 (95% CI = .87 - .89). Additionally, 351 clinical participants and 200 controls were assessed by interviewers using the positive and negative subscales of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay and Opler, 1987); PaDS P scores correlated with PANSS delusions, $r = .53, p < .001$ in the sample as a whole and $r = .42, p < .001$ in the clinical participants only, and with PANSS suspiciousness, $r = .65, p < .001$, in the sample as a whole and $r = .59, p < .001$ in the clinical participants only (these correlations could not be meaningfully computed in the non-clinical participants alone because these PANSS subscales were required to be < 3 , and hence there was insufficient variance in these data).

Valid quasi-continuous indicators are recommended for taxometric analyses (Walters and Ruscio, 2009) and some procedures (e.g. MAXEIG) require at least three indicators. Of the four subdomains of paranoia identified by Bebbington et al., (2013), PaDS items pertain to three, the exception being ideas of reference. Therefore, using these subdomains, we summed appropriate items to generate indicators at subscale level to conduct the analyses. P1, P3 and P9 were judged to constitute the category ‘ideas of persecution’ or threat of harm (e.g. P1: “There are times when I worry others might be plotting against me”); P2, P4, P6 and P7 were judged to constitute ‘interpersonal sensitivity’ to the negative opinions of others (e.g. P7: “There are people who think of me as a bad person”). P5, P8 and P10 were judged to represent ‘mistrust’ (e.g. P10: “You should only trust yourself”).

From the same analysis, MacDonald’s ω_{subscale} was calculated separately for the three subscales (Dunn et al., 2014). The values were .72, (95% CI= .70 - .74) for ideas of persecution, .76 (95% CI= .75 - .78) for interpersonal sensitivity, and .69 (95% CI= .67 - .71) for mistrust. Correlations between these indicators ranged from .64 to .72. However, for taxometric analyses, it is desirable to have correlations

between indicators that are as low as possible (Ruscio et al. 2006). Hence, to generate a second set of indicators, we identified items from each of the sub-scales that correlated the least with the other two sub-scale indicators. The lowest paired item correlations were between P1, P7 and P10; ranging from .27 to .37. Analyses were therefore conducted using both sets of indicators: the indicators at sub-scale level and the three single items indicators (P1, P7 and P10). Because we recognised a risk of creating a pseudo-taxon when combining the general population and clinical samples and, analyses were first conducted on the general population alone and then on the whole sample.

We calculated the three subscales vs. full-scale correlations as a minimal indication of validity of the subscales in Table 2. Indicator validity was calculated through standardized mean differences (Cohen's *d*) across cases assigned to putative taxon and complement groups using the base rate classification method (Ruscio et al. 2006).

INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

2.3. Statistical analyses and Procedure

Taxometric programs for R (version 2014-07-29) were employed (Ruscio, 2016; available at <http://ruscio.pages.tcnj.edu/quantitative-methods-program-code/>). Mean above minus below a cut (MAMBAC; Meehl and Yonce, 1994), maximum eigenvalue (MAXEIG; Waller and Meehl, 1998) and latent mode factor analysis (L-MODE; Waller and Meehl, 1998) were conducted to examine the convergence between the findings from different methods (Ruscio et al. 2006). Each analysis

generates a characteristic plot. For the MAMBAC and MAXEIG function, the plot will be peaked when the latent variable is categorical but flat when it is dimensional. In the case of L-MODE, a bimodal graph is apparent when the data is categorical, but unimodal when the trait is dimensional.

MAMBAC, MAXCOV and L-MODE curves were compared to curves derived from simulated categorical and continuous comparison data (Ruscio et al., 2007). As well as visually inspecting the curves, we calculated the comparison curve fit index (CCFI; Ruscio et al., 2007). The CCFI is a value between 0 (dimensional) and 1 (categorical), and evaluates the fit of the curves generated by the analyses in comparison with curves that would be expected if the construct was taxonic (categorical) or dimensional. Ruscio et al. (2006) suggest that the greater the deviation of a CCFI score from .5, the stronger the result. However, a CCFI score between .4 and .6 should be interpreted with caution.

3. Results

A full range of PaDS scores was obtained from all groups; this was expected as some patients were in remission and some of the ARMS group showed no paranoid symptoms when being tested. A one way ANOVA on these scores was highly significant, $F[3,2382] = 101.39$, $p < .001$, with all groups differing from the others (Tukey $p < .001$).

Results for the population sample ($N=2357$) and then the whole sample combined ($N= 2836$) are presented in Table 3. We would expect a taxon, if present, to be particularly evident in the latter analyses. There were 2 (types of indicators) x 2 (datasets) x 3 (taxometric methods) = 12 analyses in total.

The estimated validity of the item indicators was above a Cohen' *d* value of 1.50 as recommended in taxometric analyses (Meehl 1995). These values were higher than 2.0 when the sub scales were used as indicators. Estimated within-group correlations were non-problematic. Mean indicator correlations were higher in the full sample. When using subscales as indicators, the within-group correlations ranged from .04 to .49; the majority of values were below .30. The within-group correlations when using individual item indicators were between .002 and .18.

Table 3 provides the summary values (CCFI) for these analyses. All but one analysis supports a continuum latent structure (CCFI values ranged from 0.08 to 0.59). The exception (0.59) that was observed when the whole sample was analysed using MAMBAC with the item indicators, reflected an ambiguous structural solution.

INSERT TABLE 3 HERE

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

The graphical outputs of all analyses are shown in Figure 1. The graphical representations concord with the CCFI data; eleven of the graphical outputs illustrate a dimensional underlying structure, whilst the MAMBAC function with the whole sample and item indicators poorly discriminates between the models.

4. Discussion

We examined the latent structure of paranoid beliefs in a large sample of patients and participants from the general population. With one exception, the three

taxometric methods, using two sets of indicators, demonstrated that the underlying structure of paranoia fitted continuous rather than taxonic simulation data.

The exception was the MAMBAC analysis with item-indicators that included patients. Although it is not clear why this analysis did not conform to the results of the remaining eleven, it is important to note that the analyses including patients were most vulnerable to the identification of a pseudo-taxon. Despite this, in five out of six cases the results were unambiguously non-taxonic and, even in the case of the exception, the results were ambiguous (a taxon was not suggested but the continuum hypothesis was also not supported). Hence, we argue that the hypothesis that paranoia exists on a continuum with healthy functioning, as suggested by Freeman et al. (2005) and Bebbington et al. (2013), was supported. This finding is consistent with general models of a positive psychosis symptom continuum (e.g., Claridge, 1987) and with research that finds evidence for continua across most areas of psychopathology (Haslam et al., 2012).

Confidence in the findings is strengthened by concordance with previous findings using different methods. Using a population sample Freeman et al (2005) found that the distribution of paranoia closely fitted a single continuous dimension. Bebbington et al (2013) used a factor mixture modelling analysis on data collected from an epidemiological sample, again finding evidence of a continuum.

Our findings contrast with studies that have reported taxons in schizotypy (e.g. Everett & Linscott 2015; Linscott et al. 2006; Linscott et al. 2010; Morton et al 2016) although other studies have not reported schizotypy taxons (e.g. Ahmed et al 2012; Ahmed et al 2013). Haslam et al. (2012) have argued that studies with the highest methodological rigor have generally yielded dimensional results. A strength of our study is the consideration of non-clinical and clinical samples. We acknowledged the

risk of creating a pseudo-taxon when including the clinical participants but pursued this strategy anyway because it was conservative with respect to supporting the continuum hypothesis (in the event, no taxon was detected).

Another difference between, on the one hand, this study and the studies of Freeman et al. (2005) and Bebbington et al. (2013), and, on the other hand, the schizotypy studies that have produced mixed results, is the focus on a single symptom. There has been considerable debate about the extent to which schizophrenia/psychosis is a heterogeneous concept (Bentall, 2003). Although recent studies have converged on multidimensional structures that incorporate a positive symptom (hallucinations and delusions) syndrome (van Os & Kapur, 2009; Reininghaus et al. 2016) the existence of this syndrome does not guarantee that the component symptoms have common underlying causes (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013). An intriguing possibility is that psychotic symptoms have different latent structures. It would be interesting, for example, to examine the latent structure of hallucinations.

Some limitations of the present study should be noted. First, 90% of the population sample consisted of students, although their age range was close to that of the at-risk mental state group. Despite evidence of the internal consistency and convergent validity of the PaDS, we did not measure ideas of reference, which are a facet of paranoid thinking (Bebbington et al. 2013). Also, although previous comparisons found no significant differences (Wagner et al., 2014), we could not check for systematic differences between online and face-to-face completion of the questionnaire.

The study has clinical and research implications. Our findings suggest there may be shared psychological mechanisms in clinical and non-clinical paranoia and, therefore, that studies with high scoring non-patients may be informative about targets

for intervention. It would be useful to carry out studies with other measures of paranoia while incorporating measures of psychological and neuropsychological functioning that have been hypothesized to play a role in paranoid ideation; for example, self-esteem, theory of mind and the jumping to conclusions bias (Bentall et al., 2009). Given the evidence linking social adversity to psychosis, and that some of these effects may be symptom-specific (Bentall et al. 2014), research on how environmental and other risk factors influence where people tend to fall on the continuum may point the way towards preventative public health policies.

References

- Ahmed, A.O., Green, B.A., Buckley, P.F., McFarland, M.E., 2012. Taxometric analyses of paranoid and schizoid personality disorders. *Psychiatry research*. 196 (1) 123-132.
- Ahmed, A.O., Green, B.A., Goodrum, N.M., Doane, N.J., Birgenheir, D., Buckley, P.F., 2013. Does a latent class underlie schizotypal personality disorder? Implications for schizophrenia. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 122 (2) 475.
- Bebbington, P.E., McBride, O., Steel, C., Kuipers, E., Radovanovič, M., Brugha, T., Jenkins, R., Meltzer, H.I., Freeman, D., 2013. The structure of paranoia in the general population. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, bjp*. bp. 112.119032.
- Bentall, R.P., 2003. *Madness explained: Psychosis and human nature*. Penguin Group, London.
- Bentall, R.P., de Sousa, P., Varese, F., Wickham, S., Sitko, K., Haarmans, M., Read, J., 2014. From adversity to psychosis: pathways and mechanisms from specific adversities to specific symptoms. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*. 49 (7) 1011-1022.
- Bentall, R.P., Rowse, G., Shryane, N., Kinderman, P., Howard, R., Blackwood, N., Moore, R., Corcoran, R., 2009. The cognitive and affective structure of paranoid delusions: A transdiagnostic investigation of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 66, 236-247.

Borsboom, D., Cramer, A.O., 2013. Network analysis: an integrative approach to the structure of psychopathology. *Annual review of clinical psychology*. 9, 91-121.

Claridge, G., 1987. 'The schizophrenias as nervous types' revisited. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 151 (6) 735-743.

Dunn, T.J., Baguley, T., Brunsten, V., 2014. From alpha to omega: A practical solution to the pervasive problem of internal consistency estimation. *British Journal of Psychology*. 105 (3) 399-412.

Everett, K.V., Linscott, R.J., 2015. Dimensionality vs taxonicity of schizotypy: some new data and challenges ahead. *Schizophrenia bulletin*. 41 (suppl 2) S465-S474.

Fenigstein, A., Vanable, P.A., 1992. Paranoia and self-consciousness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 62, 129-134.

Freeman, D., Garety, P.A., Bebbington, P.E., Smith, B., Rollinson, R., Fowler, D., Kuipers, E., Ray, K., Dunn, G., 2005. Psychological investigation of the structure of paranoia in a non-clinical population. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 186, 427-435.

Haslam, N., Holland, E., Kuppens, P., 2012. Categories versus dimensions in personality and psychopathology: A quantitative review of taxometric research. *Psychological Medicine*. 42, 903-920.

Kay, S.R., Opler, L.A., 1987. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 13, 507-518.

Lawrie, S.M., Hall, J., Owens, D.G.C., Johnstone, E.C., 2010. The 'continuum of psychosis': scientifically unproven and clinically impractical. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 197, 423-425.

Lenzenweger, M.F., 2010. *Schizotypy and schizophrenia*. Guilford, New York.

Linscott, R.J., Marie, D., Arnott, K.L., Clarke, B.L., 2006. Over-representation of Maori New Zealanders among adolescents in a schizotypy taxon. *Schizophrenia research*. 84 (2) 289-296.

Linscott, R.J., Allardyce, J., van Os, J., 2010. Seeking verisimilitude in a class: a systematic review of evidence that the criterial clinical symptoms of schizophrenia are taxonic. *Schizophrenia bulletin*. 36 (4) 811-829.

Meehl, P.E., 1995. Bootstraps taxometrics: Solving the classification problem in psychopathology. *American Psychologist*. 50 (4) 266.

Meehl, P.E., Yonce, L.J., 1994. Taxometric analysis: I. Detecting taxonicity with two quantitative indicators using means above and below a sliding cut (MAMBAC procedure). *Psychological Reports*. 74 (3), 1059-1274.

Melo, S., Corcoran, R., Bentall, R.P., 2009. The Persecution and Deservedness Scale. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, practice, research* 82, 247-260.

Morrison, A.P., French, P., Stewart, S.L.K., Birchwood, M., Fowler, D., Gumley, A.I., Jones, P.B., Bentall, R.P., Lewis, S.W., Murray, G.K., Patterson, P., Brunet, K., Conroy, J., Parker, S., Reilly, T., Byrne, R., Davies, L.M., Dunn, G., 2012. Early Detection and Intervention Evaluation for people at risk of psychosis (EDIE-2): A multisite randomised controlled trial of cognitive therapy for at risk mental states. *British Medical Journal*. 334, e2233.

Morrison, A.P., Shryane, N., Beck, R., Heffernan, S., Law, H., McCusker, M., Bentall, R.P., 2013. Psychosocial and neuropsychiatric predictors of subjective recovery from psychosis. *Psychiatry research*. 208 (3) 203-209.

Morton, S.E., O'Hare, K.J., Maha, J.L., Nicolson, M.P., Machado, L., Topless, R., Merriman, T.R., Linscott, R.J., 2016. Testing the Validity of Taxonic Schizotypy Using Genetic and Environmental Risk Variables. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. p.sbw108.

Pickering, L., Simpson, J., Bentall, R.P., 2008. Insecure attachment predicts proneness to paranoia but not hallucinations. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 44 (5) 1212-1224.

Poulton, R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T.E., Cannon, M., Murray, R. and Harrington, H., 2000. Children's self-reported psychotic symptoms and adult schizophreniform

disorder: a 15-year longitudinal study. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 57 (11), 1053-1058.

Rawlings, D., Williams, B., Haslam, N., Claridge, G., 2008. Taxometric analysis supports a dimensional latent structure for schizotypy. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 44 (8) 1640-1651.

Reininghaus, U., Böhnke, J.R., Hosang, G., Farmer, A., Burns, T., McGuffin, P., Bentall, R.P., 2016. Evaluation of the validity and utility of a transdiagnostic psychosis dimension encompassing schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. pp.bjp-bp.

Ruscio, J., 2016. Taxometric programs for the R computing environment: User's manual. Available at <http://ruscio.pages.tcnj.edu/quantitative-methods-program-code/>.

Ruscio, J. Haslam, N., Ruscio, A. M. 2006. *Introduction to the taxometric method: A practical guide*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Ruscio, J., Ruscio, A.M., Meron, M., 2007. Applying the bootstrap to taxometric analysis: Generating empirical sampling distributions to help interpret results. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*. 42 (2) 349-386.

Sellwood, W., Morrison, A.P., Beck, R., Heffernan, S., Law, H., Bentall, R.P., 2013. Subjective cognitive complaints in schizophrenia: relation to antipsychotic medication dose, actual cognitive performance, insight and symptoms. *PloS one*. 8 (12) p.e83774.

Udachina, A., Thewissen, V., Myin-Germeys, I., Fitzpatrick, S., O'kane, A., Bentall, R.P., 2009. Understanding the relationships between self-esteem, experiential avoidance, and paranoia: structural equation modelling and experience sampling studies. *The Journal of nervous and mental disease*. 197 (9) 661-668.

Udachina, A., Varese, F., Myin-Germeys, I., Bentall, R.P., 2014. The role of experiential avoidance in paranoid delusions: an experience sampling study. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 53 (4) 422-432.

van Os, J., Kapur, S., 2009. Schizophrenia. *The Lancet*. 374, 635-645. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)60995-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60995-8)

Varese, F., Barkus, E., Bentall, R.P., 2011. Dissociation mediates the relationship between childhood trauma and hallucination-proneness. *Psychological Medicine* 42, 1025-1036.

Varese, F., Barkus, E., Bentall, R.P., 2011. Dissociative and metacognitive factors in hallucination-proneness when controlling for comorbid symptoms. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*. 16 (3) 193-217.

Wagner, B., Horn, A.B., Maercker, A., 2014. Internet-based versus face-to-face cognitive-behavioral intervention for depression: a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial. *Journal of affective disorders*. 152, 113-121.

Waller, N.G., Meehl, P.E., 1998. *Multivariate taxometric procedures: Distinguishing types from continua*. Sage Publications, Inc.

Walters, G.D., Ruscio, J., 2009. Where do we draw the line? Assigning cases to subsamples for MAMBAC, MAXCOV, and MAXEIG taxometric analyses. *Assessment*. 17 (3), 321-333.

Wickham, S., Sitko, K., Bentall, R.P., 2015. Insecure attachment is associated with paranoia but not hallucinations in psychotic patients: the mediating role of negative self-esteem. *Psychological medicine*. 45 (07) 1495-1507.

Yung, A.R., Yuen, H.P., McGorry, P.D., Phillips, L.J., Kelly, D., Dell'Olio, M., 2005. Mapping the onset of psychosis: The Comprehensive Assessment of At Risk Mental States (CAARMS). *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 39, 964-971.