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Abstract
With the Arctic summer sea-ice extent in decline, questions are arising as to how changes in sea-ice dynamics might affect biogeochemical cycling and phenomena such as carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) uptake and ocean acidification. Recent field research in these areas has concentrated on biogeochemical and CO$_2$ measurements during spring, summer or autumn, but there are few data for the winter or winter–spring transition, particularly in the High Arctic. Here, we present carbon and nutrient data within and under sea ice measured during the Catlin Arctic Survey, over 40 days in March and April 2010, off Ellef Ringnes Island (78° 43′ 11″ N, 104° 47′ 44″ W) in the Canadian High Arctic. Results show relatively low surface water (0–10 m) nitrate (<1.3 μM) and total inorganic carbon concentrations (mean ± SD = 2015 ± 5.83 μmol kg$^{-1}$), total alkalinity (mean ± SD = 2134 ± 11.09 μmol kg$^{-1}$) and under-ice pCO$_2$sw (mean ± SD = 286 ± 17 μatm). These surprisingly low wintertime carbon and nutrient conditions suggest that the outer Canadian Arctic Archipelago region is nitrate-limited on account of sluggish mixing among the multi-year ice regions of the High Arctic, which could temper the potential of widespread under-ice and open-water phytoplankton blooms later in the season.

The Arctic Ocean has increasingly become the focus of climate change and ocean acidification research as better technology and the reduction in sea-ice extent (e.g., Perovich et al. 2008) allow improved access to the Arctic. One of the key questions is the impact of changes in sea ice on the biogeochemistry of the Arctic system. Although complex sea-ice processes are now being incorporated into global climate models (Orr et al. 2009; Popova et al. 2010), there is still much uncertainty about ice-associated processes and few available data to validate model predictions.

Field data have predominantly been collected in the Arctic Ocean during the late spring, summer and early autumn from ships or ice camps, but rarely in winter because of the difficult sampling conditions. Indeed, few data of inorganic carbon and nutrients exist for the late winter–early spring period in the Arctic (Bates & Mathis 2009; Miller et al. 2011; Shadwick et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2015), and virtually no data are available for the northern edge of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Stein & Macdonald 2004; Bates & Mathis 2009). In more temperate seasonally driven systems, the winter period is when surface ocean conditions are “reset.” For example, in the sub-Arctic Norwegian and Icelandic seas, winter mixing processes, together with changes in temperature and
salinity, allow new nutrients to be introduced into the surface waters (Findlay et al. 2008; Skjelvan et al. 2008; Olafsson et al. 2009; Shadwick et al. 2013). Winter mixing, combined with low productivity levels, also have consequences for the carbon concentration in surface waters. Subsurface water containing higher CO$_2$ concentrations can be entrained upwards in well-mixed systems (e.g., Miller et al. 1999). In addition, the principally heterotrophic systems in the surface ocean over winter will act to increase the CO$_2$ concentration (Findlay et al. 2008; Bates et al. 2009; Olafsson et al. 2009). Ultimately, the conditions at the end of winter will influence the timing, extent and magnitude of primary production (and other biological processes) in spring (e.g., Popova et al. 2010) and consequently will influence the carbon and carbonate cycles.

In the Canadian High Arctic, the dynamics are somewhat different: offshore, in the deep Canada Basin, the shallow surface mixed layer rarely deepens beyond about 25 m, is deepest in winter and shoals to about 16 m in summer (Toole et al. 2010). Wind stress is a significant driver of mixing, acting either directly on the mixed layer or on the overlying ice floes, which produce surface currents. Shear mixing between the surface and underlying layers also can contribute to convection (Krishfield et al. 2008). However in the central Canada Basin, the strong density stratification at the base of the mixed layer, as well as efficient lateral mixed layer restratification processes, inhibits surface layer deepening, thereby limiting fluxes to the surface (Toole et al. 2010). In shallower regions of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago and surrounding seas, tidal dynamics also play an important role in mixing, although ice cover dampens the tidal amplitude as well dampening the effects of storm-generated mixing (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991). Additionally, density variations due to ice formation and melt can cause internal mixing, with salt rejection from ice growth during winter leading to a deepening of the mixed layer, for example, the central Hudson Bay mixed layer deepens to >100 m (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991). Many coastal regions are stratified by freshwater run-off, resulting in thinner winter mixed layers and reduced vertical exchange, but observations within the Canadian Arctic Archipelago showed that the surface mixed layer varied little over a seasonal cycle because of limited input from river waters (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991). As our study site was remote from rivers, we might expect the waters we sampled to be well mixed; the exposure of our location to the pack ice of the central Arctic could also foster strong stratification from seasonal ice-melt, as is typical of the Canada Basin.

Understanding the conditions under ice cover at the end of winter, as light levels increase, at the boundary between the Canadian Arctic Archipelago and the offshore Canada Basin is crucial to understanding the seasonal biogeochemical cycling dynamics of the High Arctic and to informing predictive models. Indeed, models currently show large discrepancies when they attempt to capture the seasonal dynamics of carbonate in the Arctic Ocean (Orr et al. 2010; Popova et al. 2014).

Here, we present nutrient and carbon data within and under Arctic sea ice, alongside associated biological and physical conditions during the late winter and early spring of 2010. We aim to assess the late winter conditions with respect to physical mixing and the onset of primary production, and how these factors can result in a draw-down of carbon and nutrients within the surface layer. In March and April 2010, we participated in the Catlin Arctic Survey (CAS) and were stationed at an ice base located at 78°43.11’ N, 104°47.44’ W, off Ellef Ringnes Island in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Fig. 1a). The ice base was attached to land-fast ice, so there was no spatial movement during the field season. The ice base was located on the Canadian continental shelf (at a water depth greater than 250 m) and, therefore, the surface waters at the camp could be exposed to currents that circulate from the Pacific Ocean along the edge of the Canada Basin and enter the archipelago (Jones et al. 1998; Nguyen et al. 2011). The offshore Arctic Ocean, around the northern edge of Ellesmere Island and Greenland, can remain ice-covered year round as a result of the build-up of multi-year ice (Flato & Brown 1996; Comiso 2003).

Materials and methods

Ice base

From 15 March 2010 to 30 April 2010, the Catlin Ice Base (CIB) was situated on a region of flat, first-year sea ice, which extended east to west from Ellef Ringnes Island seaward (Fig. 1a, c). The thickness of the sea ice in the area was between 1.5 and 1.7 m. The science sampling site was located 2 km west of the CIB at 78°42.69’ N, 104°52.66’ W. An ice hole, 1.3 x 1.1 m in size, was made on 16 March 2010. The ice thickness at the hole was 1.52 m, with 0.2 m freeboard. The hole was covered with a Polar Chief tent to minimize freezing during sampling. The water depth under the ice was not precisely known, although the maximum depth of the wire on the winch used for obtaining samples was roughly 230 m. The Canadian Hydrographic Service Chart #7953 (last updated 17 March 1972) suggests that the area is between soundings of 290 and 420 m.
CIB meteorological measurements

A model 7425 Weather Wizard III meteorological station (Davis Instruments, Hayward, CA, USA) was set up at the CIB to measure wind speed, wind direction (from an anemometer placed 3 m above the sea ice) and shaded air temperature. These parameters were recorded twice daily (at 09:00 and 21:00, local time), as well as observations of cloud cover (oktas) and general weather conditions.

CIB seawater sampling

Seawater sampling was carried out through the ice hole every four days, starting on 17 March 2010 (Day of Year [DoY] 75) and ending on 26 April 2010 (DoY 115). Conductivity, temperature and depth measurements were made on each sample day using an SBE 19plus SeaCAT conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) instrument (Sea-Bird Electronics, Bellevue, WA, USA), calibrated using bottle salinity samples analysed on an Autosol 8400B salinometer (Guildline Instruments, Smiths Falls, ON, Canada) at the Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, Canada. Seawater was collected for analysis on each sample day from 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-m depths under the sea ice, using a 5-L Niskin bottle. Additionally, samples were taken at 100 and 200 m on 21 and 22 April 2010.

CIB sea-ice sampling

Four ice cores were collected from within 50 m of the water sampling hole on 8 and 13 April 2010 (DoY 97 and 102, respectively) using a 9-cm internal diameter ice corer (Kovacs Enterprises, Roseburg, OR, USA). A 1-m² area
of ice was cleared of snow, and snow depth was measured at the edge of the cleared area. Once the cores were retrieved, ice thickness was measured using a tape measure with a weighted catch attachment deployed through the hole. The cores were immediately laid out on a cleaned PVC sled. Each core was then cut into sections using a clean stainless steel saw (starting from the top). Each piece was individually placed in a polyethylene, resealable, zip-seal bag and transported back to the CIB. Pieces from each of the “top,” “middle” and “bottom” sections of the core (Table 1) were then placed into gas-tight Tedlar bags, and a hand-pump was used to remove the headspace. The bagged sections were left in the dark to melt at room temperature, which took approximately 24 h. Once the ice melted, water samples were collected from each bag using methods described in a subsequent section for total inorganic carbon (C\textsubscript{T}), total alkalinity (A\textsubscript{T}), salinity, oxygen-18 (\delta\textsubscript{18}O), nutrients and chlorophyll. The cold, brittleness of the ice and logistics prevented any additional cores from being collected.

Spatial transect from 85°N to 90°N

Spatial data of nutrients, chlorophyll, C\textsubscript{T} and A\textsubscript{T} were additionally collected through sea ice by a second team travelling on foot from 85°N to the North Pole (Fig. 1b) from 15 March 2010 to 12 May 2010, hereafter referred to as the Catlin Explorer Transect (CET). Seawater was collected through ice holes drilled with a Mora 110-mm ice auger (Rapala, Vä银行卡, Finland) and using a 1.2-L Kemmerer bottle from 1 m and 10 m under the sea ice. Duplicate C\textsubscript{T}/A\textsubscript{T} samples were collected into boreo-silicate square bottles with screw-cap lids and fixed with 100 µL of saturated HgCl\textsubscript{2}. These bottles were housed in specially designed warm boxes (adapted Yeti boxes) which had battery-operated heating mats placed in the bottoms to maintain the temperature above freezing. The samples were picked up from the expedition team and flown out on each resupply flight roughly every 18 days. Temperature and salinity profiles were made from the surface to approximately 30 m using an XR-420 CTD instrument (RBR, Kanata, ON, Canada) at each sampling location.

Sample processing and measurements

Chlorophyll. Seawater and ice core meltwaters were filtered through grade GF/F filters (from the nutrient filtration), which were stored (frozen at −20°C) and returned to Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, UK, for chlorophyll analysis. Each filter was placed in 90% v/v acetone and left for extraction at room temperature in the dark for 24 h. Concentrations of chlorophyll were measured using a model 10-AU fluorometer (Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) following US Joint Global Ocean Flux Study protocols (Knap et al. 1996).

Inorganic nutrients. Fifty millilitres of collected seawater and ice core meltwater were filtered (GF/F filters) into acid-cleaned, aged, 60-mL bottles (Nalgene, Rochester, NY, USA). Bottles were stored and shipped back frozen (−20°C) to land. Analysis was carried out at Plymouth Marine Laboratory (Woodward & Rees 2001) using a Bran & Luebbe AAIII segmented flow autoanalyser (SPX Flow Technology Norderstedt, Germany) for the colourimetric determination of inorganic nutrients: combined nitrate+nitrite (Brewer & Riley 1965), nitrite (Grasshoff 1976), phosphate (Zhang & Chi 2002), silicate (Kirkwood 1989) and ammonium (Mantoura & Woodward 1983). Nitrate concentrations were calculated by subtracting the nitrite from the combined nitrate+nitrite concentration.

Inorganic carbon. Seawater samples for total inorganic carbon (C\textsubscript{T}) and total alkalinity (A\textsubscript{T}) were collected in triplicate into 250-mL Duran glass bottles with ground glass stoppers for the 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-m samples according to Dickson et al. (2007). For the deep water samples (100 and 200 m) at the CIB wide-mouth, screw-cap glass bottles were used, while for the CET samples narrow-mouth, screw-cap bottles were used. For the melted ice cores, water was transferred from the Tedlar bags to 250-mL Duran glass bottles by attaching tubing to the valve inset in the bag and filling the bottles as recommended by Dickson et al. (2007). Samples were poisoned with 100 µL saturated HgCl\textsubscript{2}, as recommended by Dickson et al. (2007). Samples were stored at 4°C to the extent possible (sample storage temperature was difficult to control at the camp) in the dark and analysed at the Institute of Ocean Sciences within one year of collection, using VINDTA (Marianda, Kiel, Germany) and SOMMA (Marianda) instrumentation for C\textsubscript{T} and an open-cell potentiometric titration with non-linear least squares curve fitting for A\textsubscript{T} (Dickson et al. 2007). Batch 101 CRM (provided by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Ice core 1</th>
<th>Ice core 2</th>
<th>Ice core 3</th>
<th>Ice core 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/04/10</td>
<td>0-54</td>
<td>0-56</td>
<td>0-62</td>
<td>0-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/04/10</td>
<td>54-118</td>
<td>56-112</td>
<td>62-121</td>
<td>52-104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118-172</td>
<td>112-170</td>
<td>121-177</td>
<td>104-163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length (cm)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow cover (cm)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Andrew Dickson, Scripps Institute of Oceanography) was used for calibration. Precision across CIB measurements was 2.3 μmol kg⁻¹ for C₇ and 5.7 μmol kg⁻¹ for C₉. There was a decrease in the precision of the C₂ analysis (about 4 μmol kg⁻¹) for the CIB deep samples preserved in the wide-mouth, screw-cap bottle, likely because these bottles had greater potential for gas exchange during sampling, transport and analysis. Precision across CET measurements was 16 μmol kg⁻¹ for C₇ and 20 μmol kg⁻¹ for C₉. Assuming that the deep water (100 and 200 m) samples from the CIB were supersaturated and the CET samples were slightly undersaturated, any errors associated with the screw-cap bottles will lead to underestimates for the deep water samples at the CIB and possibly smaller overestimates for the CET samples.

The seawater carbonate system programme CO2SYS (Pierrot et al. 2006) was used to calculate the CO₂ overestimates for the CET samples. The seawater carbonate system programme CO2SYS (Pierrot et al. 2006) was used to calculate the CO₂ partial pressure (pCO₂) and pH₂ from C₇, A₉, in situ temperature, salinity and silicate and phosphate concentrations. Carbonic acid dissociation constants of Mehrbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson & Millero (1987) and the HSO₄ constant of Dickson (1990) were used. These calculations were not made for the ice core melt samples.

Stable oxygen isotopes. Samples for ¹⁸O:¹⁶O (reported as δ¹⁸O) ratios from both water column and ice-melt samples were analysed by the G.G. Hatch Isotope Laboratories at the University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada, on a DeltaPlus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) after headspace gas equilibration. The analytical precision was estimated to be 0.15 per mil.

Atmospheric CO₂ and flux measurements. An eddy covariance system (ECS) was used to measure atmospheric CO₂ and CO₂ fluxes between the ice and the atmosphere. The ECS consisted of a closed-path LI7000 infrared gas analyser (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA), sample pump, purge column, battery and CR5000 logger/signal unit (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) housed in an insulated box container. A sonic anemometer and gas inlet pipe, with an external heat exchanger for equilibration of incoming air, were located at the top of a 3-m mast next to the control unit box. The ECS was sited approximately 230 m north-west of the main ice base on flat ice (snow depth < 5 cm) between rubble ice regions 290 m to the south and 700 m to the north. The extreme cold conditions during the first 20 days of the expedition caused some difficulties with the ECS. However, the ECS was run successfully between 25 and 29 April 2010. ECS data were processed using EdiRe analysis software following the standard Euroflux guidelines (Aubinet et al. 2000). Because of the limited data availability, natural coordinate rotations (Tanner & Thurtell 1969) were employed for anemometer velocity data. The turbulent tube attenuation of Massman (1991) was employed in the frequency response corrections (Moore 1986). Fluxes were calculated for half-hour intervals and averaged to daily values assuming stationarity of environmental conditions. Data from the Alert atmospheric monitoring station (82° 27' N, 62° 30' W) were obtained to assess the quality of our ECS atmospheric PCO₂ data and to obtain data for the period prior to 25 April 2010 (Dlugokencky et al. 2015).

Water column backscatter and current shear. A self-contained Workhorse Sentinel acoustic doppler current profiler (ADCP; Teledyne RD Instruments, Poway, CA, USA) was mounted on an aluminium bar, anchored to the top of the ice and deployed just below the bottom of the ice to monitor acoustical backscatter and tidal currents. The instrument was operated nearly continuously for three days at the end of March, at 307 kHz, with bin sizes of 4 m and with the first bin at 6.09 m below the ice. Each ensemble was separated by 66 s and consisted of 50 transmissions, or pings. Because of the low concentration of scatterers in the water column the effective range of the instrument was approximately 45 m.

Results

The results described in the first four sections below are for the CIB, which was occupied from 15 March 2010 to 30 April 2010 (DoY 73 to 119) and is the main focus of this study. The final results section provides details for the CET.

CIB physical conditions

Atmospheric conditions. From 17 March 2010 (DoY 75) to 9 April 2010 (DoY 98), air temperature was predominantly below −20°C, with dominant winds from the north and north-west. From the 10 to 27 April 2010 (DoY 99 to 116) air temperature began to vary more substantially on a daily basis and rarely dropped below −20°C, occasionally warming to as high as −3°C (Fig. 2). The dominant wind direction during this second phase was from the south and south-east. There was approximately 5 cm of snow covering the ice at the time of first sampling, but snowfall during the rest of the field season resulted in snow thickness increasing to between 10 and 15 cm towards late April.

Seawater conditions. Temperature and salinity both increased with depth (Fig. 3). The temperature in the top 25 m of the water column was well mixed and with a
local temperature minimum of −1.67°C at around 25 m. There was a second, warmer layer between 25 and 50 m with a maximum temperature of around −1.55°C. Below this layer, there was a strong thermocline from approximately 50 m to below 200 m. The salinity in this upper layer of the period, there was a halocline from below 200 m approximately 50 m to below 200 m. At the beginning of the period, there was a halocline from below 200 m to between 10 and 15 m. The salinity in this upper layer (1-10 m) increased during the 40-day period. The 6018 and salinity data indicated (as per, for example, Macdonald et al. 1995) that the fraction of meteoric water in the surface layer did not significantly change over the 40-day period ($R = 0.46$, $df = 9$, $p = 0.146$), whereas the decrease in the “ice-melt” fraction was significant ($R = 0.89$, $df = 9$, $p = 0.0002$), indicating that approximately 0.12 – 0.15 m of ice formed over the 40-day period, depending on the specific water mass end-members assumed.

The magnitude of the current velocity as measured with the ADCP showed a significant vertical shear in this area over the three-day deployment period (Fig. 4b, c) and that the strongest observed currents were in the layer between 15 and 30 m, corresponding to the minimum temperature layer observed in Fig. 3a. Additionally maximum speeds related to a southerly (south to south-west) flowing current, which was amplified during the tidal ebb (Fig. 4c).

Deph and time-averaged (6–45 m and 15 min) currents indicate flow rates varying between 0.02 and 0.05 ms⁻¹. The observed currents were predominantly tidally generated, as can be seen in Fig. 4b, where these averaged current speeds are plotted with the local tidal elevation derived by the WebTide tidal projection model.

**CIB chlorophyll**

Surface seawater chlorophyll concentrations increased from an average 0.39 mg m⁻³ at the beginning of our study to 0.73 mg m⁻³ at the end ($R = 0.429$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0036$; Fig. 5). This increase was most significant immediately below the ice ($R = 0.714$, $df = 10$, $p = 0.014$). Chlorophyll concentration did not show a significant correlation to nitrate + nitrite concentration ($R = 0.033$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.8308$), but there was a small, yet significant correlation with silicate ($R = 0.310$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0402$) and with phosphate ($R = 0.336$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0257$). Mean chlorophyll concentration in the upper 10-m water column also had a significant correlation with day length ($R = 0.609$, $df = 10$, $p = 0.0355$).

The chlorophyll concentration in the ice core melts was low in the top and middle sections (<=0.5 mg m⁻³) but was significantly higher in the bottom section (Table 2). The below-ice seawater concentrations for the dates around those on which the ice cores were collected were also lower than those in the bottom core melts.

**CIB inorganic nutrients**

Nitrate + nitrite concentration was low (<1.5 μM) in the upper 10 m, and there was no significant change in the concentrations through the field season. Nitrate increased with depth to about 12 μM at 100 m, while nitrite decreased (Fig. 5). Phosphate, ammonium and silicic acid all showed small, yet significant, decreases through the field season in the surface 10 m (phosphate: $R = 0.380$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0105$; ammonium: $R = 0.411$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0056$; silicate: $R = 0.299$, $df = 42$, $p = 0.0485$). Ammonium concentration was <0.7 μM in the upper 10 m at the beginning of the sampling period but decreased to a minimum of 0.22 μM by 26 April. Phosphate concentration was ≤0.8 μM in the upper 10 m, increasing to just over 1 μM below 100 m, but decreased again at 200 m (Fig. 5). Silicate concentration was approximately 6 μM in the upper 10 m and increased to >20 μM below 100 m (Fig. 5). None of the inorganic nutrients showed significantly different results when normalized to salinity ($S = 30.1$). The average difference between non-normalized and salinity-normalized nutrients was less than 0.1% of the original value (i.e., <0.0015 μM difference between normalized nitrate + nitrite and non-normalized nitrate + nitrite).

The meltwater from the ice cores had lower concentrations of nitrate, silicate and phosphate than the seawater (Table 2). Nitrate concentration was higher than the seawater concentration at the surface of the ice, but nitrate and nitrite concentrations both decreased from top to bottom, while silicate and phosphate showed either no change or a small increase, respectively, through the ice core (Table 2).
Fig. 3 Under the sea-ice water column profiles through the field campaign from 17 March to 26 April 2010 (different colours represent the different sample dates) for (a) 0 to 50 m temperature, (b) 0 to 50 m salinity, (c) 0 to 220 m temperature and (d) 0 to 220 m salinity. (e) Potential temperature versus salinity plots, overlaid with isopycnals, for all data from the full depth profiles, also highlighting every 50 m depth. (f) Nitrate versus phosphate concentrations (mean ± 1 SD) for each depth over the field campaign, also showing the PO₄ versus NO₃ regression lines expected for Atlantic Water (AW) and Pacific Water (PW; from Jones et al. 1998).
The meltwater from the ice cores had much lower concentrations of \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) than the seawater (Table 2). When normalized to a constant salinity (\( S = 30.1 \)) to remove the effects of dilution, the meltwater had a lower concentration of \( nC_T \) than the surface seawater, particularly in the bottom and top ice sections. There was little difference in \( nA_T \) between the surface seawater and the bottom and mid-sections, although \( nA_T \) was reduced in the top section of the core.

The calculated seawater \( pCO_2 \) (\( pCO_2\text{sw} \)) again did not vary significantly within the upper 10 m or with time (mean \( \pm SD = 287 \pm 14 \mu\text{atm} \)). The surface water was significantly undersaturated with respect to atmospheric \( pCO_2 \) as measured by the ECS (\( pCO_2\text{atm} = 393 \pm 1 \mu\text{atm} \)), which was recorded only at the end of the sample period (25 to 29 April 2010, DoY 114 – 118; Fig. 5). Data from the atmospheric monitoring station at Alert on Ellesmere Island show that \( pCO_2\text{atm} \) at that site during the CIB field period ranged from 393.0 to 399.6 \( \mu\text{atm} \) (Dlugokencky et al. 2015), in good agreement with our limited data from the ECS.

The \( CO_2 \) ECS measured only small daily median fluxes ranging between \(-0.014 \) and \(0.002 \mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1} \), with an overall average of \( 0.027 \pm 0.241 \mu\text{mol} \) (full measurement range of \(-1.00 \) to \(1.32 \mu\text{mol} \text{ CO}_2\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1} \)) (where negative numbers indicate uptake of atmospheric \( CO_2 \) by the ice) from 25 to 28 April. The fluxes appeared to switch from a small release to a small uptake (Table 3) over those four days, but the fluxes were not statistically different from zero.

### Spatial transect from 85°N to 90°N

Between 85 and 87°N, the polar surface water had salinities ranging from 29.3 to 30.4 (Fig. 6). A profile to approximately 65 m at the southernmost sampling location showed a halocline starting at 40 m (Fig. 6). Moving northwards into the Amundsen Basin, the salinity in the upper 30 m increased to over 31.5 (Fig. 6).

Chlorophyll concentration was \(<0.2 \text{ mg m}^{-3} \) at all sites and decreased north of 86°N. The nutrient concentrations increased northwards, for example, nitrate increased from \(<1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1} \) at 85°N to \(>5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1} \) at the North Pole (Fig. 7). Both \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) also generally increased towards the North Pole, from 1981 \( \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) and 2082 \( \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at 85°N to 2118 \( \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) and 2215 \( \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at the North Pole for \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) respectively (Fig. 7). Normalized \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) (\( S = 30.1 \)) showed no change along the transect. \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) concentrations on the southern end of the CET transect, between 85 and 87°N (Fig. 7), were similar to those at the CIB off Ellef Ringnes Island (Fig. 5). Nitrate, silicate and phosphate concentrations at all CET sampling locations were

---

**Fig. 4.** (a) Uncalibrated acoustical backscatter intensity data from the 300-kHz acoustic doppler current profiler deployed for three days beneath the ice; (b) current speeds from the same instrument, showing significant variability and vertical shear, overlying lines indicate tidal elevation from the Webtide model (solid blue line) and current speeds averaged over the top 45 m and over 15 min (solid red line); and (c) current direction from the same instrument (degrees).

**CIB inorganic carbon**

Total alkalinity (\( A_T \)) was uniform through the upper 10 m, with little change through time. Total inorganic carbon (\( C_T \)) was also uniform throughout the upper 10 m but increased slightly through time (\(<20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \)). This increase was not present when \( C_T \) was normalized to a constant salinity (\( nC_T \); \( S = 30.1 \)). The calculated carbonate parameters within the seawater also did not vary through the top 10 m nor through time (\( pH_T = 8.143 \pm 0.027 \); \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} = 2.19 \pm 0.132 \); \( \Omega_{\text{aragonite}} = 1.36 \pm 0.082 \)). Both \( C_T \) and \( A_T \) increased with depth (Fig. 5; \( C_T = 2188 \pm 4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at 100 m and 2203 \( \pm 4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at 200 m; \( A_T = 2265 \pm 1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at 100 m and 2298 \( \pm 3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1} \) at 200 m). The pH and the CaCO\(_3\) saturation states (aragonite and calcite) decreased with depth to 100 m but increased slightly again between 100 m and 200 m (Fig. 5). At the sampled depths, neither calcite nor aragonite became undersaturated, although \( \Omega_{\text{aragonite}} \) approached 1 at 100 m.
similar to the measurements taken at the CIB, except for the northernmost sites, where the concentrations increased significantly.

Across the CET under-ice $pCO_{2sw}$ was consistently lower than the $pCO_{2atm}$, with a general decrease away from the Canadian coast, until reaching 88°39’ N when the $pCO_{2sw}$
pattern to pCO$_2$ of 8.05 and 8.15 across the transect, following a reverse deviation.

Table 2: Biogeochemical measurements averaged across all ice cores for each section (top, middle and bottom). Values are mean±standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Top section</th>
<th>Middle section</th>
<th>Bottom section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>8.8±1.1</td>
<td>5.68±0.7</td>
<td>5.19±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>1.15±0.34</td>
<td>0.40±0.15</td>
<td>0.32±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.11±0.03</td>
<td>0.11±0.04</td>
<td>0.19±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td>1.35±0.20</td>
<td>1.05±0.35</td>
<td>1.26±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>0.61±0.08</td>
<td>0.56±0.16</td>
<td>0.52±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.21±0.13</td>
<td>0.32±0.28</td>
<td>2.21±0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR$_4$</td>
<td>569±62</td>
<td>402.3±54.75</td>
<td>369.3±56.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT$_4$</td>
<td>508±40</td>
<td>374.7±44.05</td>
<td>325.2±51.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increased again (pCO$_2$sw ranged from 241.5 μatm to 368.1 μatm). Surface water (1–10 m) pH$_T$ ranged between 8.05 and 8.15 across the transect, following a reverse pattern to pCO$_2$, as expected. Neither aragonite nor calcite was undersaturated in the surface water under the ice, although the aragonite saturation state was < 1.3 near the coast but increased towards the North Pole.

Discussion

We found that the biogeochemical conditions in the water column under the sea ice during late winter in the outer regions of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago were more similar to surface conditions of the offshore Arctic Ocean than what has been observed in the past in the centre of the archipelago (e.g., Mundy et al. 2014). Specifically, the conditions at our ice base off Ellef Ringnes Island were similar to the low-nutrient waters of the multi-year ice-covered Canada Basin (Else et al. 2013). There was relatively little change in the water column conditions under the ice over the sampling period, with a strong halocline starting at about 15 m, again similar to the shallow winter mixed layer depths found in the Canada Basin (Toole et al. 2010). The temperature and salinity data, together with the nutrient data, showed that the water in the surface down to 100 m is representative of Pacific water, transitioning towards Atlantic water near 200 m (Fig. 3f), as would be expected from previous work (Jones et al. 1998). The dominant current flow was in a southerly direction, and the anticyclonic circulation pattern that is prevalent in the central Arctic at this time of year tends to transport water along the shelf from regions dominated by multi-year ice (Proshutinsky & Johnson 2001). In line with previous observations, the surface mixed layer depth at the CIB appears to vary little, on account of dammed mixing regimes and horizontal advection processes (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991; Carmack & Wassmann 2006; Toole et al. 2010).

During the study period, sea ice was still forming, which increased salinity in the surface waters. Despite this salinity increase, the mixed layer depth remained relatively shallow, ranging from 10 to 18 m, and this inhibited deepening appeared to prevent significant replenishment of nutrients and carbon into the near-surface waters. Indeed, we found considerably low nutrient concentrations, as well as low CH$_4$ and AR$_4$ resulting in low seawater pCO$_2$ conditions, in the surface mixed layer throughout the study period. Furthermore, although there was a significant detectable increase in chlorophyll in the surface waters, which correlated with an increase in day length, we caution that there was no corresponding removal of nitrate + nitrite or carbon (even when normalized to salinity) and that the increase is relatively small compared to other observed ice algae and under-ice blooms (e.g., chlorophyll > 10 mg m$^{-2}$; Mundy et al. 2014). The under-ice conditions we observed therefore suggest that there may not be sufficient nutrient levels in the northern reaches of the archipelago to instigate or sustain a large bloom as the light continues to increase.

The Canadian High Arctic shelf region

The nutrient concentrations observed in this study are generally smaller than those observed previously in winter Arctic surface waters, for example: average NO$_3$ at the CIB = 1.5 μmol L$^{-1}$; station T3, Canada Basin: NO$_3$ = 5.6 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Kinney et al. 1970); station CESAR, central Arctic (Alpha Ridge): NO$_3$ = 4.8 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Jones & Anderson 1986); Resolute: NO$_3$ = 6.5–10.0 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Cota et al. 1987); Barrow, Alaska: NO$_3$ = 6.5–7.3 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Lee et al. 2008); Franklin Bay: NO$_3$ = 2.0–6.0 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Tremblay et al. 2008). If biological consumption was the only process influencing removal of nitrate, a reduction in nitrate from “normal” wintertime conditions of

Table 3: Data from CO$_2$ eddy covariance system. Median values±standard error. Positive values for fluxes of sensible heat/CO$_2$ indicate transport of heat/CO$_2$ away from surface into the atmosphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Air temp. (°C)</th>
<th>V.P.$^a$ (kPa)</th>
<th>W.S.$^b$ (m.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ $^c$ (ppm)</th>
<th>S.H.F.$^d$ (W.m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ flux (μmol.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/04/10</td>
<td>-11.6±0.16</td>
<td>1.09±0.011</td>
<td>5.80±0.08</td>
<td>394.0±0.27</td>
<td>-16.4±1.47</td>
<td>0.0021±0.00467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/04/10</td>
<td>-8.3±0.17</td>
<td>1.28±0.010</td>
<td>3.88±0.16</td>
<td>392.5±0.21</td>
<td>0.7±0.64</td>
<td>0.0006±0.0307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/04/10</td>
<td>-16.2±0.33</td>
<td>1.03±0.011</td>
<td>4.36±0.22</td>
<td>392.2±0.21</td>
<td>1.1±0.77</td>
<td>-0.0144±0.0198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Vapour pressure. $^b$Wind speed. $^c$Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration. $^d$Sensible heat flux.
5–10 μmol L$^{-1}$ to the observed concentration of 1.27 μmol L$^{-1}$ would require a chlorophyll bloom of >3.73 mg m$^{-3}$ to have occurred prior to 17 March, which seems extremely unlikely because of low light levels and short day lengths. The relatively low nutrient concentrations in the surface waters at the beginning of our study therefore suggest that replenishment of surface nutrients by mixing (winter internal mixing or horizontal advection [e.g., Carmack & Wassmann 2006]) had not occurred to a significant extent in this area. Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the nutrient data and salinity-normalized nutrient data, suggesting that brine rejection and ice formation-related mixing events (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991; Toole et al. 2010) also had not contributed significant amounts of nutrients to the surface layer during this period. Furthermore, the low NO$_3$-P ratios in the surface water (NO$_3$-P < 2) suggests a decoupling in the renewal processes of these different nutrients over winter, similar to what was found by Tremblay et al. (2008) at another entrance to the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, in the south-eastern Beaufort Sea. The high Si:NO$_3$ ratio in the surface waters (Si:NO$_3$ > 7), again a signature of a nutrient-depleted system, also supports the hypothesis that this system, surrounded by multi-year ice, has reduced wintertime nutrient replenishment.

Despite the low nutrient concentrations, we did detect a small increase in chlorophyll: the integrated surface water (1–10 m) chlorophyll increased from 1.19 mg m$^{-2}$ to 2.94 mg m$^{-2}$ during the 40-day period, resulting in a chlorophyll-calculated growth rate of 0.044 day$^{-1}$. Gilstad & Sakshaug (1990) assessed phytoplankton growth rates under a range of day lengths and light conditions and showed that with just four hours of daylight, the average diatom growth rate (of 10 diatoms sp.) was 0.05 day$^{-1}$. However, we note that diatoms are
unlikely to be the dominant phytoplankton group under the ice at this time of year, with picoplankton flagellates more likely the key component of the community instead (Lovejoy et al. 2007). Eilertsen et al. (1989) suggested that primary production can occur at relatively low light levels in the Arctic Ocean, and our observations support this. Similarly, small increases in chlorophyll have been observed around the Arctic at the same time of year (Cota et al. 1987; Lovejoy et al. 2007), predominantly as a result of the over-winter persistence and subsequent growth of picoplankton (Lovejoy et al. 2007).

The observed increase in chlorophyll under the ice would have a nutrient demand of approximately 0.045 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, or > 1.75 mmol m$^{-2}$ over the 40-day period (assuming roughly 1 mmol m$^{-3}$ nitrate is required for 1 mg Chl m$^{-3}$ [M. Gosselin, pers. comm.]). As nitrate + nitrite (even when normalized to salinity) did not show a significant decrease nor a significant relationship with chlorophyll, the observed changes in nitrate + nitrite concentration cannot explain the changes in chlorophyll. This small nutrient demand (1.75 mmol m$^{-2}$ or 0.2 umol L$^{-1}$ N addition) is well within the observed variability of daily measured nitrate+nitrite and therefore simply may not be detectable here. Ammonium concentration did show a slight decrease in concentration through the study period, as well as a significant negative

---

**Fig. 7** Average (mean ± 1 SD) surface (0 to 10 m) seawater conditions under the sea ice along the Catlin Explorer Transect from 85°N to the North Pole for (a) salinity and temperature (°C); (b) chlorophyll (mg m$^{-3}$), ammonium (µM) and nitrate (µM); (c) total inorganic carbon (C$_T$, µmol kg$^{-1}$) and total alkalinity (A$_T$, µmol kg$^{-1}$); (d) phosphate and silicate (µM); (e) pH (total scale) and aragonite saturation state (aragonite Ó) and (f) pCO$_2$ of seawater, also showing the average atmospheric pCO$_2$ measured at Alert Station over the duration of the transect (pCO$_2$ atm Alert [Dlugokencky et al. 2015]).
correlation with chlorophyll; however, there may be problems with measuring ammonium from stored samples, so cautious interpretation of these results are required. That said, it seems plausible that ammonium, through nutrient recycling, could be a dominant nitrogen source in this area; further work is required to confirm this.

Alternatively, Cota et al. (1987) estimated nutrient fluxes ranging from 0.5 to 3 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (distributed through the 10-m surface water column) resulting from tidal mixing from below the pycnocline. Their tidal mixing model may be reasonable for the CIB in view of the clear tidal influence on water motions at our study site (Fig. 4). Cota et al. (1987) measured larger tidal flows in April through June in the central archipelago, than we did at our study site, which was likely influenced by the winter ice dampening effect (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991). Based on the vertical profile of nitrate (concentration in surface 10 m and below the pycnocline at 100 m) and tidal data from the tidal station at Isachsen (Ellef Ringnes Island, Nunavut/Northwest Territory 103°32.00′W, 78°47.00′N), we estimate that vertical mixing of nitrate into the surface waters would be on the order of 0.042 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$. Therefore, tidally driven nutrient fluxes could have provided a proportion of the nutrient demand, together with regenerated nutrients.

However, our findings temper the idea that large under-ice phytoplankton blooms are a widespread, yet under-documented, phenomenon in polar regions (Mundy et al. 2009) because a larger bloom could not be sustained with these low-nutrient conditions. Although both Arrigo et al. (2012) and Mundy et al. (2014) have found substantial under-ice phytoplankton blooms in the Arctic Ocean (phytoplankton biomass $>$ 1000 mg C m$^{-3}$ in shallow shelf in the Chukchi Sea and 508 mg Chl m$^{-2}$ in the inner Canadian Arctic Archipelago, respectively), their observations were later in the season (May–July) and in areas with higher initial nutrient concentrations than those found in our study area.

Measured $C_T$ and $A_T$ were low at the surface (1–10 m) compared to other oceanic regions (Fig. 5), although they were within ranges of other summertime data from the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (e.g., Miller et al. 2002; Chierici & Fransson 2009; Azetsu-Scott et al. 2010). The few late-winter inorganic carbon data that are available show pre-bloom, under-ice $C_T$ and $A_T$ concentrations to be higher than the values observed here. On average across the surface 10 m at the CIB, we found $C_T = 2015$ μmol kg$^{-1}$ and $A_T = 2134$ μmol kg$^{-1}$, while others have found values of 2150–2180 μmol kg$^{-1}$ for $C_T$ and 2250–2260 μmol kg$^{-1}$ for $A_T$ in the south-eastern Beaufort Sea in March and April (Rysgaard et al. 2007; Miller et al. 2011). The CIB values presented here are consistently lower than other high-latitude wintertime values even after taking into account differences in salinity (i.e., by normalizing to the same salinity). Wintertime concentrations are generally expected to increase in surface waters under sea ice, as a result of rejection of carbon with brine extrusion during sea-ice formation (Miller et al. 2011). Some increase in $C_T$ was evident at the CIB with the increase in salinity, but increases due to organic matter remineralization were not apparent (Junge et al. 2004). There is no correlation between normalized $C_T$ and chlorophyll, which suggests that photosynthesis did not significantly contribute to CO$_2$ consumption in our study area or at least has consumed CO$_2$ in balance with the addition of CO$_2$ from respiration.

The $C_T$ and $A_T$ concentrations of the meltwater from the ice cores were similar to measurements from ice cores of melted first-year sea ice in Franklin Bay, Canada; Young Sound, Greenland; and the Canadian Basin (Anderson & Jones 1985; Rysgaard et al. 2007; Miller et al. 2011) suggesting similar carbon cycling processes occur in the sea ice as at least across the western Arctic. The values of nC$_T$ were significantly lower at the top of the ice, which may indicate CO$_2$ loss from the ice to the atmosphere at some time since the ice first began to form.

Not surprisingly, in view of the extremely low temperatures (and presumably, associated low brine volumes within the sea ice), the CO$_2$ flux observed here ($-0.0014$ to $0.0021$ μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1} = -0.12$ to $0.18$ mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) was much smaller than CO$_2$ fluxes measured by ECS in other sea-ice-covered areas, which range from $-212$ to $+86$ mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (Semiletov et al. 2004; Zemmelink et al. 2006; Miller et al. 2011; Papakyriakou & Miller 2011), although high levels of variability have been found on hourly and daily timescales (Miller et al. 2011; Papakyriakou & Miller 2011). The CO$_2$ fluxes measured over ice-covered areas appeared to be about an order of magnitude smaller than fluxes observed over open leads and polynyas during ice formation ($-431$ to $+250$ mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ [Else et al. 2011]), where the fluxes were predominantly directed towards the water. Therefore, because of the relatively low pCO$_2$ under the ice in our study—lower than other recorded winter and early spring values (Miller et al. 2011; Papakyriakou & Miller 2011)—we could expect a rapid CO$_2$ uptake from the atmosphere into the ocean, if the shear zone between drifting ice and the stationary, land-fast ice developed into flaw leads and polynyas, a phenomenon typical of this area of the Arctic (Carmack & Wassmann 2006).

A pH$_{8.9}$, calcite and aragonite saturation state minimum occurred in this data set at around 100 m. This mid-water column minimum in pH$_{8.9}$ and saturation state has also been observed in the Arctic Ocean on a number of
previous occasions and was thought to exist because of remineralization of organic matter either directly in the subsurface layer or through vertical transport (seasonal upwelling events) of carbon (Bates et al. 2009; Shadwick et al. 2011; Lansard et al. 2012; Mathis et al. 2012). Although the observations by Bates et al. (2009) and Mathis et al. (2012) were only made in the spring or summer periods and were thought to be a seasonally induced phenomenon (Bates et al. 2009), Shadwick et al. (2011) and Lansard et al. (2012) confirmed that the phenomenon persists throughout the winter, which is consistent with the results from this study.

The central Arctic Ocean

With the CIB located on the continental shelf (Fig. 1a), the biogeochemical dynamics could be influenced by land- and/or sediment-associated processes, as well as shallow mixing dynamics (Prinsenberg & Ingram 1991) and may not be representative of conditions offshore, in the central basin of the Arctic Ocean. Therefore, the Catlin Arctic Survey also collected samples along a transect—the CET—from above the north coast of Ellesmere Island towards the North Pole (Fig. 1b). The CET crossed the Makarov Basin (water depth > 1000 m), over the Lomonosov Ridge and into the Amundsen Basin (water depth > 4000 m), thus crossing Pacific-influenced water masses, similar to those expected to enter the CET closely following the phosphate—nitrate relationship described by Jones et al. (1998), as illustrated in Fig. 6d.

Nitrate, phosphate, silicate and C\textsubscript{T} concentrations measured on the CET (Fig. 7) were all lower than those observed during April and May at the CESAR ice camp (established in March 1983 at 85.75°N, 111.0°E) in the surface waters under the sea ice (Jones & Anderson 1986), although north of 88°N, the concentrations we measured approached those observed during the early spring at CESAR and also those at the LOREX ice camp (April and May 1979 from 88.67°N, 139.83°W to 89.15°N, 97.12°W [Moore et al. 1983]). The observations most similar to the CIB data were found at the southern end of the CET, between 85 and 87°N. The increase in concentrations at the higher latitudes (>88°N) corresponds to samples that were taken over the Lomonosov Ridge and into the much deeper, more Atlantic-influenced Amundsen Basin, characterized by more saline surface waters. In this region, stronger mixing processes may be prevalent, which would contrast with the dynamics closer to the Canadian shelf, where mixing processes did not appear sufficient to entrain new nutrients into the surface water.

This transect data set is complicated by spatial and temporal covariation, as is the case for many shipboard studies. Although day length increased rapidly over the first few weeks of the expedition, there were also variations in sea-ice thickness and snow cover between sampling locations (Table 4). Water column chlorophyll concentration was negatively correlated with snow thickness, although not significantly ($df = 17$, $R = 0.3961$, $p = 0.0932$), but was significantly negatively correlated with ice thickness ($df = 17$, $R = 0.4712$, $p = 0.0417$).

The CaCO\textsubscript{3} saturation states (calcite and aragonite) in the surface waters across the whole transect were, in general, lower than previously observed spring and summer surface water conditions for other areas of the Arctic Ocean (e.g., Bates et al. 2009). Although different drivers are likely to contribute to these low wintertime pH\textsubscript{T} and saturation state conditions than drivers in more southerly locations, these data confirm the hypothesis that winter pH\textsubscript{T} and saturation states in surface waters will be lower than those during the productive summer and spring seasons (Findlay et al. 2008; Bates et al. 2009; Olafsson et al. 2009). The CET data set provides spatial evidence that moving offshore towards the central Arctic, late winter aragonite saturation states in the surface layers are <1.5 and beyond 88.5°N actually decrease in the surface 10 m to ca. 1.2; pH\textsubscript{T} also decreases from approximately 8.15 between 86 and 88.5°N to 8.05 at the North Pole. Together with profile data from the CIB, the CET

### Table 4  Catlin Explorer Transect sample information: date, location (latitude and longitude), snow thickness and ice thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Snow (cm)</th>
<th>Ice thickness (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14/03/10</td>
<td>85.3254</td>
<td>77.4417</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>221.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18/03/10</td>
<td>85.3846</td>
<td>77.5519</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>259.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21/03/10</td>
<td>85.3452</td>
<td>78.2114</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>156.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24/03/10</td>
<td>86.3577</td>
<td>85.0048</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>233.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27/03/10</td>
<td>85.4917</td>
<td>79.0820</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30/03/10</td>
<td>86.0611</td>
<td>82.2701</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>04/04/10</td>
<td>86.2047</td>
<td>83.4274</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>220.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>07/04/10</td>
<td>86.3527</td>
<td>85.1632</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>272.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10/04/10</td>
<td>86.4337</td>
<td>85.0929</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>229.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13/04/10</td>
<td>87.0207</td>
<td>79.3438</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>315.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16/04/10</td>
<td>87.2512</td>
<td>71.5146</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>373.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20/04/10</td>
<td>87.4059</td>
<td>74.1515</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>194.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>25/04/10</td>
<td>89.0136</td>
<td>63.1411</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>212.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>28/04/10</td>
<td>88.2241</td>
<td>59.3721</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>198.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>01/05/10</td>
<td>88.3810</td>
<td>62.1933</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>193.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>04/05/10</td>
<td>89.0152</td>
<td>68.1058</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>275.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>07/05/10</td>
<td>89.2239</td>
<td>72.4842</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>225.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12/05/10</td>
<td>90°N</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>214.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
data suggest that the relatively shallow (around 100 m) subsurface layers are likely already reaching undersaturation with respect to aragonite. Any upwelling events or internal mixing that drives this water onto the slopes and shelves (Williams et al. 2006; Mathis et al. 2007) would mean benthic communities there could already be exposed to year-round corrosive conditions.

Conclusions

The outer shelf and slope region north of the Canadian Archipelago is dominated by a mix of land-fast ice and pack ice, first- and multi-year ice, with polynyas, flaw leads and open ice areas occurring, which create new (first-year) ice. In these regions of sluggish circulation (low wind-driven mixing, dampened tidal dynamics and increased horizontal advection), the changes in salinity, driven by sea-ice formation, appears to be the main forces contributing to the surface water carbon, but not nutrient, dynamics under the sea ice prior to the onset of the spring–summer biologically productive period. The wintertime surface mixed layer was characterized by low C\textsubscript{T} and A\textsubscript{T} concentrations, resulting in waters undersaturated with respect to pCO\textsubscript{2} (285 µatm) with a surface water pH\textsubscript{2} of 8.14 and aragonite \((\Omega = 1.36\)). pCO\textsubscript{2} increased with depth to a maximum of 476 µatm at 100 m, resulting in a pH of 7.95, and an aragonite \((\Omega = 1.03)\). Low NO\textsubscript{3}/PO\textsubscript{4} but high Si:NO\textsubscript{3} in the surface waters indicated that the CIB was nutrient-depleted and consequently even as light increases in spring, primary production could remain nutrient-limited in this region.
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