



LJMU Research Online

Oxborough, D, Spence, A, George, KP, Van Oorschot, F, Thijssen, DHJ and Green, DJ

The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans.

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/9980/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Oxborough, D, Spence, A, George, KP, Van Oorschot, F, Thijssen, DHJ and Green, DJ (2019) The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans. Journal of Applied Physiology. ISSN 8750-7587

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **The impact of 24 weeks of supervised endurance versus resistance exercise training on left**
2 **ventricular mechanics in healthy untrained humans**

3 David L. Oxborough PhD¹, Angela Spence PhD^{2,3} Keith P. George PhD¹, Frederieke Van
4 Oorschot BSc^{1,4}, Dick H. T. Thijssen PhD^{1,4} and Daniel J. Green PhD²

5

6 ¹ Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Tom
7 Reilly Building, Byrom Street, Liverpool, UK

8 ² School of Human Sciences (Exercise and Sports Science), The University of Western
9 Australia, Nedlands, Australia

10 ³ School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Bentley, Australia.

11 ⁴ Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, The
12 Netherlands

13

14 **Address for Correspondence:**

15 Dr David Oxborough,
16 Reader in Cardiovascular Physiology
17 Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences
18 Tom Reilly Building
19 Liverpool John Moores University
20 Liverpool
21 L3 3AF
22 **Email:** d.l.oxborough@ljmu.ac.uk
23 **Tel:** 0151 904 6231

24

25 Short Title – **Cardiac Mechanics Following Exercise Training**

26 Full Word Count - 4315 (excluding title page and abstract)

27

28 Subject Terms – Echocardiography; Exercise; Physiology; Strain Imaging

29 **ABSTRACT**

30 **Background:** In addition to the well-known cardiac structural adaptation to exercise
31 training, little work examined changes in LV mechanics. With new regional and global
32 indices available we sought to determine the effect of 24 weeks endurance *versus*
33 resistance training on LV mechanics.

34

35 **Methods and Results:** 23 male subjects were randomly allocated to either a 24-week
36 endurance- or resistance-training program. Pre- and post-training 2D echocardiographic
37 images were acquired. Global LV mechanics (strain [ϵ]) were recorded in longitudinal,
38 circumferential and radial planes. Rotation was assessed at apical and basal levels. In
39 addition, longitudinal ϵ -volume loops, across the cardiac cycle, were constructed from
40 simultaneous LV ϵ (longitudinal and transverse strain) and volume measurements across the
41 cardiac cycle as a novel measure of LV mechanics. Marginal differences in ϵ and rotation
42 data were found between groups. Post-training, we found no change in global peak ϵ data.
43 Peak basal rotation significantly increased after training with changes in the endurance
44 group ($-2.2 \pm 1.9^\circ$ to $-4.5 \pm 3.3^\circ$) and the resistance group ($-2.9 \pm 3.0^\circ$ to $-3.4 \pm 2.9^\circ$). LV ϵ -volume
45 loops revealed a modest rightward shift in both groups.

46

47 **Conclusions:** Whilst most global and regional indices of LV mechanics were not significantly
48 altered, 24 weeks of intense supervised exercise training increased basal rotation. Further
49 studies that assess LV mechanics in larger cohorts of subjects and those with cardiovascular
50 disease and risk factors may reveal important training impacts.

51

52 **Key words:** cardiac, imaging, echocardiography, speckle-tracking,

53

54 **NEW AND NOTEWORTHY**

55 This study builds on previous work by our group and presents a comprehensive assessment
56 of cardiac mechanics following dichotomous exercise training programmes. We highlight
57 novel findings in addition to the inclusion of strain-volume loops, which shed light on subtle
58 differences in longitudinal and transverse contribution to volume change throughout the
59 cardiac cycle. Our findings suggest that training has an impact on basal rotation and possibly
60 strain-volume loops.

61

62 **INTRODUCTION**

63 Chronic exercise results in structural remodelling of the left ventricle (LV) of the heart,
64 which is mediated by many facets of the exercise stimulus as well as individual
65 characteristics [3]. Historically, a differential pattern of LV structural remodelling has been
66 linked to endurance versus resistance training [11]. An eccentric LV hypertrophy (increased
67 cavity size and concomitant increase in wall thicknesses) has been reported in athletes that
68 undergo endurance training, with a concentric hypertrophy (increased wall thickness with
69 no change in cavity size) observed in resistance trained athletes [8,11]. This dichotomous
70 phenotype based on training exposure has been challenged in a meta-analysis [21] and
71 empirical research [5,18,20], with a greater degree of structural remodelling occurring with
72 endurance training [18]. Whether different exercise training paradigms have differential
73 effects on LV function has received less attention, with most information focused on
74 standard, global parameters such as stroke volume and ejection fraction [11].

75

76 Data from meta-analyses [21,15] provide compelling evidence that resting global LV systolic
77 and diastolic function are not different between athletes and healthy controls. Despite this,
78 some individual studies have highlighted lower ejection fraction [10] and/or supernormal
79 diastolic functional indices [7] in various athletic groups. This lack of consistency is likely
80 driven by the inherent limitations of conventional global indices of function and the
81 heterogeneity of athlete demographics using a cross-sectional approach [3].

82

83 Any adaptation of LV structure and geometry will change myocardial fibre alignment that
84 could then influence LV mechanics [1]. LV mechanics reflect the “real” 3D activation and
85 movement of the myocardium and recent advances in echocardiographic techniques such
86 as LV strain (ϵ) imaging facilitates the assessment of global and regional LV mechanics from
87 longitudinal, circumferential and radial planes. This approach also determines the nature
88 and magnitude of LV rotation and overall twist. Some “athlete-control” cross-sectional
89 studies have employed these techniques [4] to determine potential training-related changes
90 in LV mechanics. However, the design limitations and self-selection bias associated with
91 cross-sectional studies cannot attribute causality to training status and differences in LV
92 mechanics. To determine cause-and-effect, longitudinal intervention studies are required
93 but this evidence base is currently limited in terms of the impacts on mechanics.

94

95 We have previously described, in this cohort, no change in global longitudinal ϵ after 24
96 weeks of endurance or resistance training [18]. This provided a very limited insight into
97 training related changes in LV mechanics. The impact of different training interventions
98 upon a more comprehensive assessment of LV mechanics is currently lacking. It is possible
99 that the addition of transverse ϵ assessment in the longitudinal plane as well as the
100 evaluation of ϵ across the cardiac cycle (temporal assessment rather just peak data) may
101 reveal changes that were not apparent from assessment of global indices. We have recently
102 developed a novel method of simultaneously assessing longitudinal ϵ , transverse ϵ and
103 volume (ϵ -volume loops) across the cardiac cycle [14]. It is possible, using this approach, to
104 independently assess the ϵ -volume relationships in systole and diastole and hence
105 subjectively evaluate the relative contribution of systolic and diastolic ϵ to volume change.
106 The utility and insight provided by this technique has already been demonstrated in acute
107 exercise [9] and clinical [6] settings, where it is apparent that ϵ -volume loops are sensitive to
108 changes in acute and/or chronic loading on the LV. With likely training-related changes in
109 LV loading, ϵ -volume loops could provide novel insights into the adaptability of LV
110 mechanics to physiological adaptation of the LV. In view of this, we sought to
111 comprehensively assess the impact of 24 weeks of closely supervised and centre-based
112 endurance and resistance training on LV mechanics in healthy untrained male participants.
113 Specifically, we introduce the novel assessment method of ϵ -volume loops to evaluate the

114 impact of exercise training on the link between LV structure and function in a longitudinal
115 exercise training setting. Our null hypothesis was that endurance and resistance exercise
116 would induce similar effects on LV mechanics.

117

118

119 **METHODS**

120 Twenty-three young healthy male subjects (mean \pm SD age: 27.4 \pm 5.5 years) volunteered to
121 take part in the study. Prior to recruitment, participants underwent pre-participation
122 screening which involved a detailed medical history, physical examination, standard blood
123 panels and a physical activity questionnaire. All participants were free of known
124 cardiovascular, liver, renal, respiratory and metabolic disease, were not taking any
125 prescribed medication, were non-smokers and were considered untrained (defined as
126 undertaking no structured exercise). The study was approved by the Human Research and
127 Ethics committee of the University of Western Australia and conformed to the Declaration
128 of Helsinki. Data related to cardiac structural remodelling in this study have been published
129 previously [17,18].

130

131 **Study design**

132 Subjects were randomly allocated to either the resistance-training group (RES, n=13) or the
133 endurance-training group (END, n=10). Participants completed a 24-week RES or END
134 training programme. During this training period, subjects attended three 1-hour exercise
135 training sessions per week. To improve compliance with the programme, an experienced
136 exercise physiologist supervised all exercise sessions. To minimise the risk of injury, regular
137 stretching and core strengthening were included in the training. Participants were assessed
138 for anthropometrics, aerobic fitness and strength conditioning at baseline and post 24-week
139 training. In addition, a detailed echocardiogram was undertaken at both data collection
140 points.

141

142 **Exercise training interventions**

143 *Endurance training*

144 The endurance training programme consisted of three training-phases and was divided into
145 eight 3-week mesocycles. In mesocycle 1-4 each hard-load week was followed by an easy
146 week and in the remaining four mesocycles, two weeks were hard loaded, with the third
147 week acting as a recovery week. To ensure participants training at correct intensity,
148 VO₂peak values were determined upon which the training paces were based. Heart rate
149 monitors (Polar F1, Finland) were worn to monitor intensity. Specific training details are
150 recorded in Spence et al. (2011) [18].

151

152 *Resistance training*

153 The resistance training programme focused on Olympic-style weightlifting and associated
154 exercises. The programme consisted of three training-phases divided into six 4-week
155 mesocycles. Each mesocycle commenced with three weeks progressive loading, peaking in
156 the third week, followed by one week of recovery. Specific training details are recorded in
157 Spence et al. (2011) [18].

158

159 **Basic measurements**

160 All participants underwent whole body DXA assessment (Lunar Prodigy, GE Medical
161 Systems, Madison, WI, USA) before and after training to determine total fat mass, total lean
162 mass and body fat percentage. Body mass and stature were assessed via standard
163 anthropometric techniques. Aerobic fitness was assessed by a treadmill based graded
164 exercise test [18] and muscular strength was assessed by 1RM for bench press and squat
165 exercises [18]. Brachial artery blood pressure was assessed by automated
166 sphygmomanometry (Dinamap, Critikon, USA).

167

168 **Echocardiographic measurements**

169 All echocardiographic images were acquired using a 1.5- to 4-MHz phased array transducer
170 on a commercially available ultrasound system (Vivid I, GE Medical, Horton, Norway). A
171 single, highly experienced sonographer collected all images and was blinded to exercise
172 group allocation. Subjects lay in the left lateral decubitus position and images of the LV were
173 obtained from an apical 4-chamber view and parasternal short-axis view. Two-dimensional

174 (2-D) image optimisation was performed, including gain, dynamic range and depth to ensure
175 optimal endocardial delineation. In order to define end-systole and end-diastole manually, a
176 trans-aortic continuous-wave Doppler signal was collected.

177

178 ***Conventional 2D and Doppler***

179 LV chamber quantification was undertaken using 2D echocardiography. Structure was
180 determined by assessment of LV wall thickness of the septum (IVS) and the posterior wall
181 (PWT) as well as the internal cavity at end diastole (LVIDd) and end systole (LVIDs).
182 Subsequent calculation of LV mass was undertaken using the linear dimensions and derived
183 from the ASE corrected formula. Trans-mitral Doppler was undertaken using a 4mm pulsed
184 wave sample volume positioned at the tips of the mitral valve in diastole which allowed for
185 the measurement of peak early diastolic velocity (E), peak late diastolic velocity (A) and the
186 calculated E/A ratio. LV volumes at end diastole (LVEDV) and end systole (LVESV) were
187 taken from a Simpsons monoplane method whilst deriving the ϵ -volume loops as described
188 below.

189

190 ***LV mechanics***

191 Cine loops of LV motion were recorded to DVD in a raw DICOM format and a single
192 experienced, blinded observer analysed the data offline. After calculation of aortic valve
193 closure the observer selected heart cycles of the highest quality and calculated ϵ across the
194 cardiac cycle using speckle tracking software (Echopac, GE Healthcare, Norway). This
195 process determined ϵ segmentally tracking natural acoustic markers. The myocardium was
196 manually traced and adjusted so that the region of interest incorporating all of the wall
197 thickness, whilst avoiding the pericardium.

198

199 For global longitudinal ϵ an apical 4-chamber view was used to determine peak ϵ as well as
200 peak strain rates (SR) during systole and early diastole. Parasternal short-axis views were
201 utilised to calculate peak circumferential ϵ and SRs, peak radial ϵ and SRs, as well as peak
202 apical and basal rotation. All ϵ values were also exported to a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel
203 2010, USA).

204

205 ***LV ϵ -volume loops***

206 Transverse and longitudinal strain values obtained by speckle-tracking were exported to a
207 spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2010, USA). These raw data underwent cubic spline
208 interpolation to provide 600 data points across the cardiac cycle. The splined data was then
209 divided into 5% increments of the cardiac cycle and the absolute time from aortic valve
210 closure was recorded at each increment. Simpson's methodology was utilised to calculate
211 LV volume belonging to each ϵ time point across the cardiac cycle. A graph of the relation
212 between LV ϵ (i.e. transverse and longitudinal ϵ) and LV volume was then made for each
213 subject and polynomial regression was undertaken to determine the relationship between ϵ
214 and volume such that ϵ could be interpolated for 10% increments of LVEDV as previously
215 described [14]. In brief, ϵ was calculated at each % increment of LVEDV to allow direct
216 comparison between conditions and groups. The difference between ϵ at any given % of
217 EDV in systole and diastole was calculated to provide a 'gradient'. This assessment provides
218 an indication of the systolic-diastolic (un)coupling i.e. the absolute difference in the
219 magnitude of ϵ for the same volume in systole and diastole. Mean LV ϵ - volume loops and
220 the derived indices were calculated and presented as an average of all subjects in each
221 group (RES and END) at each time point (baseline and post 24-week training). In this way,
222 the temporal relationship of ϵ across the cardiac cycle and its association to volume were
223 presented such that the difference of ϵ at any given volume in systole and diastole could be
224 inspected. Figure 1 provides a diagram of the longitudinal and transverse loops highlighting
225 (un)coupling as defined above. In addition to an exploratory statistical analysis the loops
226 were visually assessed with regards to the slope of the curve in systole and diastole
227 alongside peak ϵ and end systolic/diastolic volumes.

228

229 INSERT FIGURE 1

230

231 **Statistical analysis**

232 Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)
233 (version 23.0, Chicago IL, USA), and the critical α was set at 0.05. All data are presented as
234 mean \pm SD. A p-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. In order to
235 compare the effect of 24 weeks of progressive training intervention (endurance vs.

236 resistance) on LV mechanics, two-way ANOVAs (training intervention by time) were used
237 with pairwise post-hoc Bonferroni tests where significant interaction terms were observed.
238 The exploratory analysis of the ϵ -volume loops including ϵ at %EDV and the calculation of
239 (un)coupling were assessed using the same process i.e. two-way ANOVAs (training
240 intervention by time). By way of a check for any small alterations in loading and heart rate
241 that occurred with training, we undertook additional two-way ANCOVA's with delta HR and
242 SBP as separate co-variates. Our group have previously presented good inter and intra-
243 observer variability of the ϵ -volume loop in healthy trained participants [14].

244

245 RESULTS

246 As previously presented [18] exercise training was effective in modifying various functional,
247 anthropometric and cardiac structural indices. For example, there was a significant main
248 effect for time for systolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, resting HR, maximal HR,
249 bench press, squat, total strength, total body fat and total lean mass (Table 1). In all cases,
250 apart from strength data and lean body mass, these data decreased post-training. A
251 significant time by intervention interaction effect was noted for resting heart rate (larger
252 drop in endurance group) as well as bench press and total strength (larger increase in
253 resistance group).

254

255 INSERT TABLE 1

256

257 The impact of training on LV structure, is presented in Table 2. There was a significant main
258 effect for time with respect to LV mass and PWT with greater values post-training in
259 endurance and resistance groups.

260

261 INSERT TABLE 2

262

263 **Left Ventricular Mechanics**

264 Basal rotation demonstrated a significant main effect of time with the increase in basal
265 rotation after endurance training higher than after resistance training (Table 3). There was a
266 significant main effect of time for the time to peak longitudinal ϵ with both groups having a
267 longer time to peak longitudinal ϵ post-training. These significant main effects remained
268 after covariate analysis for small changes in HR and SBP. All other measures of global LV
269 mechanics were not different between groups or across the training intervention.

270

271 INSERT TABLE 3

272

273 Longitudinal and transverse ϵ -volume loops are presented in Figure 2 and Table 4 for both
274 training groups pre- and post-intervention. There was a close coupling of longitudinal and
275 transverse systolic and diastolic temporal ϵ -volume characteristics at baseline. Inspection of
276 Figure 2A-D reveals a modest rightward shift of both transverse and longitudinal ϵ -volume
277 loops. There was no apparent change in longitudinal ϵ -volume coupling regardless of
278 intervention type. There was a modest increase in transverse systolic-diastolic uncoupling in
279 both groups, which is more visually apparent as a result of endurance training. This is
280 supported by an exploratory statistical assessment of (un)coupling / gradient values for the
281 main effect of training on transverse ϵ -volume loop, particularly at 60%EDV (Table 4).

282

283 INSERT FIGURE 2 AND TABLE 4

284

285

286 **DISCUSSION**

287 This is the first randomized, centre-based and closely supervised exercise training study to
288 assess the impact of different modalities of exercise training on LV mechanics in humans.
289 The key findings were that, 1) exercise training augmented basal rotation, 2) neither form of

290 training had discernible effects on other global measures of peak LV mechanics, and 3)
291 exploratory transverse ϵ -volume loops were uncoupled as a result of training.

292

293 The impact of training on LV structure has been presented and evaluated previously [18] and
294 generally supported the concept that greater adaptation occurred after the imposition of an
295 endurance training stimulus. This supports recent cross-sectional data [20,21] and suggests
296 that concentric cardiac structural adaptation to the haemodynamic loading associated with
297 exercise occurs substantially less frequently than previously proposed [5]. Whether both
298 training modes, and specifically the endurance training programme which had a
299 measureable effect on LV mass and LVEDV [18], would alter global and regional peak LV
300 mechanics and the novel ϵ -volume loops has not been reported previously.

301

302 To our knowledge, our work is the first to demonstrate that basal rotation increased with
303 training. Whilst there was a modest difference between modalities, from an absolute
304 perspective, this may have some potential physiological relevance since rotation
305 importantly affects both systolic and diastolic function. Weiner *et al.* reported increased
306 apical rotation and derived LV twist, but preserved basal rotation, in elite rowers who were
307 subsequently tracked over training cycles [22]. Our findings differ from these results, in that
308 basal rather than apical rotation was the parameter altered by training. Our study extends
309 the Weiner findings, in that we randomized previously untrained subjects into dichotomous
310 interventions, whereas the rowing intervention in elite athletes described by Weiner *et al.* is
311 considered a mixed modality training stimulus. The exact mechanism for training-induced
312 changes in basal and/or apical rotation and consequent twist are currently unknown and
313 require further study. Whilst a change in apical rotation is easier to conceptualise as a
314 consequence of training, it is a strength of the present study that relative impacts on both
315 apical and basal mechanics can be derived. A change in basal rotation will impact on the
316 “wringing out” effect of cardiac contraction, even in the absence of obvious apical
317 adaptation. It is possible to speculate that our novel observation relating to basal rotation
318 may impact more, in terms of ventricular interdependence, that a change in apical

319 behaviour. The impact of training in subjects with *a priori* impairment in cardiac function
320 will provide an interesting comparator in future studies.

321

322 This is the first study, to our knowledge, to systematically assess ϵ -volume loops after a
323 randomised trial of exercise training in humans. We have previously demonstrated changes
324 in ϵ -volume loops in an acute exercise setting [9] as well as in a long-term clinical scenario
325 [6] both a likely consequence of changes in cardiac work and loading. In the current study,
326 inspection of the ϵ -volume loops highlighted some potential differences in the impact of
327 training modalities. Specifically, inspection of Figure 2a and 2c is suggestive that endurance
328 training was associated with a somewhat larger change in systolic-diastolic uncoupling in the
329 transverse plane relative the resistance group (Figure 2b and 2d). It is important to
330 emphasise, however, that this finding is largely based on visual inspection of the loops. It is
331 possible that a longer or more intense set of training interventions, or a study of a larger
332 sample size, may in future reveal distinct impact of training modality on LV mechanics.

333

334 In general, neither training intervention resulted in systematic changes in global peak
335 indices of LV mechanics. Data for global peak radial and circumferential ϵ adds to that
336 already reported for peak longitudinal ϵ [18]. Previous athlete-control group comparisons of
337 peak ϵ generally support the lack of training related differences [13], suggesting that
338 training has a limited impact on global mechanics. It is interesting to note that when Nottin
339 *et al.* investigated peak ϵ in different layers of LV tissue there was some evidence that this
340 data was lower in trained cyclist compared to sedentary controls, which the authors
341 suggested supported a greater “exercise-reserve” of ϵ to underpin higher levels of LV
342 functional performance during exercise. This difference was not noted in the endurance
343 trained group but different level (sub-endocardial vs. sub-epicardial) analysis was not
344 undertaken. A previous training study [2] reported an increase in peak longitudinal ϵ after
345 90 days of rowing exercise in a group of experienced athletes. The intensity, duration,
346 volume and mode of exercise would likely be different between experienced rowers and
347 previously sedentary individuals, limiting the relevance of a direct comparison between

348 studies. More randomized, controlled within-subjects, longitudinal studies are required in
349 different population groups employing a range of exercise stimuli.

350

351 We should note some important study-specific limitations. The interpretations of the
352 outcomes of this study are limited to young healthy men undertaking a specific time and
353 mode-limited exercise regimen. Whether the same outcomes would be apparent in
354 different groups, including clinical or rehabilitation populations, requires further study.
355 There is some evidence that training adaptations differ in women, and future studies should
356 address these questions specifically [23]. We reported global peak LV mechanical data for ϵ
357 only although temporal data are captured in the ϵ -volume loops. Further studies evaluating
358 peak and temporal ϵ data in the RV and both atria are required. The impact of training on ϵ -
359 rate in both systole and diastole might also prove insightful and has received scant attention
360 to date. It is well established that cardiac structural and functional changes occur at
361 different rates and by limiting our outcome measures to 24 weeks it is important to
362 recognise that changes in structure and function may occur with different time courses, as
363 seen in vascular adaptations to training [19]. As stated above, changes in volume may
364 impact fibre alignment and, thus, mechanics. It is also possible that changes in HR or
365 afterload impact on our findings, although training induced changes in these variables were
366 modest in the present study and within a normal physiological range. A further important
367 limitation is related to the variability that can be apparent in measures of
368 echocardiographically-derived LV mechanics in humans (as indicated in Table 4 and Figure
369 2). Despite a well-controlled, randomized design, powered appropriately to detect changes
370 in cardiac structure with training, we must nevertheless acknowledge that the present study
371 recruited small numbers to both training arms and a larger trial, particularly involving
372 clinical populations in whom changes may be more apparent, should be undertaken in
373 future.

374

375 **CONCLUSIONS**

376 Although global indices of LV mechanics were not significantly altered, 24 weeks of intense
377 supervised exercise training was related to an increase in basal rotation in the present

378 study. This was accompanied by a modest rightward shift in LV ϵ -volume loops and some
379 degree of systolic–diastolic uncoupling in transverse ϵ -volume loops after training.

380

381 **FUNDING SOURCES**

382 Professor Green is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Principal
383 Research Fellowship (APP1080914).

384 This study was supported by a National Heart Foundation of Australia Vanguard Grant
385 100576

386

387 **DISCLOSURES**

388 None

389

390

391 **REFERENCES**

392

- 393 1) Anderson R., Smerup M., Sanchez-Quintana D., Loukas M., Lunkenheimer P. The
394 three-dimensional arrangement of the myocytes in the ventricular walls. *Clin Anat*
395 *2009;22,64-76*
- 396 2) Baggish, A. L., Yared, K., Wang, F., Weiner, R. B., Hutter, A. M., Jr., Picard, M. H., &
397 Wood, M. J. The impact of endurance exercise training on left ventricular systolic
398 mechanics. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2008;295(3), H1109-H1116.
- 399 3) Brown B., Green D., Wilson M., Drezner J., George K., Oxborough D. The Complex
400 Phenotype of the Athletes Heart: Implications for Pre-Participation Screening. *Exerc*
401 *Sport Sci Rev. 2017;45(2):96-104*
- 402 4) D'Ascenzi F., Caselli S., Solari M., Pelliccia A., Cameli M., Focardi M., Padeletti M.,
403 Corrado D., Bonifazi M., Mondillo S. Novel echocardiographic techniques for the
404 evaluation of athletes' heart: A focus on speckle-tracking echocardiography. *Eur J*
405 *Prev Cardiol 2016;23,437-446*
- 406 5) Finocchiaro G., Dhutia H., D'Silva A., Malhotra A., Steriotis A., Millar L., Prakash K.,
407 Narain R., Papadakis M., Sharma R., Sharma S. Effect of Sex and Sporting Discipline
408 on LV Adaptation to Exercise. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016;10(9),965-972*
- 409 6) Hulshof H, Van Dijk A, George K, Hopman M, Thijssen D, Oxborough D. Exploratory
410 Assessment of Left Ventricular Strain-Volume Loops in Severe Aortic Valve Disease. *J*
411 *Physiol 2017;595,3961-3971*
- 412 7) Kovacs A., Apor A., Nagy A., Vago H., Toth A., Nagy Al., Kovats T., Sax B., Szeplaki G.,
413 Becker D., Merkely B. Left Ventricular Untwisting in Athlete's Heart: Key Role in Early
414 Diastolic Filling? *Int J Sports Sci 2014;35,259-264*
- 415 8) Longhurst J., Kelly A., Gonyea W., Mitchell J. Echocardiographic left ventricular masses
416 in distance runners and weight lifters. *J Appl Physiol 1980;48(1):154-62*
- 417 9) Lord R, George K, Somauroo J, Stenbridge M, Jain N, Hoffman M, Shave R, Haddad F,
418 Ashley E, Jones H, Oxborough D. Alterations in Cardiac Mechanics following Ultra-
419 Endurance Exercise: Insights from Left and Right Ventricular Area-Deformation
420 Loops. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2016;29,879-887*

- 421 10) Millar L., Fernandez G., Dhutia H., Myott J., Malhotra A., Finocchiaro G., Tome M.,
422 Narain R., Papadakis M., Ketepe-Arachi T., Behr E., Prakash K., Sharma S., Sharma R.
423 Exercise Echocardiography Has a High Sensitivity and Specificity in Differentiating
424 Athlete's Heart From Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2016;134:A15662
- 425 11) Morganroth J., Maron BJ., Henry W., Epstein S. Comparative left ventricular dimensions
426 in trained athletes. *Ann Intern Med*, 1975;82(4), 521-524
- 427 12) Naylor L., George K., O'Driscoll G., Green D. The athlete's heart: a contemporary
428 appraisal of the 'Morganroth hypothesis' *Sports Med*. 2008;38(1),69-90
- 429 13) Nottin, S., Doucende, G., Schuster-Beck, I., Dauzat, M., Obert, P. Alteration in left
430 ventricular normal and shear strains evaluated by 2D-strain echocardiography in the
431 athlete's heart. *J Physiol*, 2008;586, 4721-4733.
- 432 14) Oxborough D, Heemels A, Somauroo J, McClean G, Mistry P, Lord R, Utomi V, Jones N,
433 Thijssen D, Sharma S, Osborne R, Sculthorpe N, George K. Left and Right Ventricular
434 Longitudinal Strain-Volume/Area Relationships in Elite Athletes. *Int J Cardiovasc*
435 *Imaging* 2016;32,1199-1211
- 436 15) Pluim B., Zwinderman A., van der Laarse A., van der Wall E. The Athlete's Heart : A
437 Meta-Analysis of Cardiac Structure and Function. *Circulation* 1999;100,336-344
- 438 16) Schneider C., Forsythe L., Somauroo J., George K., Oxborough D. The Impact of Preload
439 Reduction with Head-Up Tilt Testing on Longitudinal and Transverse Left Ventricular
440 Mechanics: A Study Utilising Deformation Volume Analysis. *Echo Res Pract* 2018;
441 5,11-18
- 442 17) Spence A., Carter H., Murray C., Oxborough D., Naylor L., George K., Green D. MRI-
443 derived Right Ventricular Adaptations to Endurance versus Resistance Training. *Med*
444 *Sci Sports Exer* 2013;45,534-541
- 445 18) Spence AL., Naylor LH., Carter HH., Buck CL., Dembo L., Murray CP., Watson P.,
446 Oxborough D., George K., Green D. A prospective randomised longitudinal MRI study
447 of left ventricular adaptation to endurance and resistance exercise training in
448 humans. *J Physiol* 2011;589(22),5443-52
- 449 19) Tinken T., Thijssen D., Black M., Cable N., Green D. Time course of change in vasodilator
450 function and capacity in response to exercise training in humans. *J Physiol*
451 2008;586,5003-5012

- 452 20) Utomi V., Oxborough D., Ashley E., Lord R., Fletcher S., Stembridge M., Shave R.,
453 Hoffman M., Whyte G., Somauroo J, Sharma., George K. Predominance of normal left
454 ventricular geometry in the male 'athlete's heart'. *Heart* 2014;100(16),1264-71
- 455 21) Utomi V., Oxborough D., Whyte GP., Somauroo J., Sharma S., Shave R., Atkinson G.,
456 George K. Systematic review and meta-analysis of training mode, imaging modality
457 and body size influences on the morphology and function of the male athlete's heart.
458 *Heart* 2013;99(23),1727-31
- 459 22) Weiner R., DeLuca J., Wang F., Lin J., Wasfy M., Berkstresser B., Stohr E., Shave R., Lewis
460 G., Hutter A., Picard M., Baggish A. Exercise-Induced Left Ventricular Remodeling
461 Among Competitive Athletes A Phasic Phenomenon. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*
462 2015;8,e003651
- 463 23) Howden E., Perhonen M., Peshock R., Zhang R., Arbab-Zadeh A., Adams-Huet B., Levine
464 B. Females have a blunted cardiovascular response one year of intensive supervised
465 endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* 2015;119(1):37-46
466

467

468 **Figure and Table Legends**

469

470 **Table 1** - Subject characteristics at baseline and after 24 weeks of training

471

472 **Table 2** - Echocardiographic conventional measurements at baseline and after 24 weeks
473 Training

474

475 **Table 3** - Peak global LV ϵ and rotation data at baseline and after 24 weeks training

476

477 **Table 4** – LV longitudinal and transverse strain-volume relationship at increments of % EDV

478

479 **Figure 1** – Diagrammatic representation of the LV ϵ -volume loop highlighting the concept of
480 Coupling

481

482 **Figure 2** – Longitudinal and transverse ϵ -volume loops at baseline and following 24 weeks
483 endurance training (*2A – Endurance Longitudinal Strain, 2B – Resistance*
484 *Longitudinal Strain, 2C Endurance Transverse Strain, 2D – Resistance Transverse*
485 *Strain*).

486

487

488

489

490

Table 1 - Subject characteristics at baseline and after 24 weeks of training

491

Variable	Endurance group (n=10)		Resistance group (n=13)	
	Baseline	Post training	Baseline	Post training
Age	28.4 ± 1.9	-	26.6 ± 1.3	-
Body size and composition				
Height(m)	1.79 ± 0.02	-	1.81 ± 0.02	-
Weight(kg)	78.0 ± 5.4	78.3 ± 5.5	81.7 ± 4.2	83.3 ± 4.4
BSA(m ²)	1.96 ± 0.07	1.97 ± 0.08	2.02 ± 0.06	2.04 ± 0.06
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.2 ± 1.3	24.3 ± 1.4	24.7 ± 1.0	25.2 ± 1.0
Total body fat (%)†	22.7 ± 2.4	21.1 ± 2.5	23.1 ± 2.0	21.4 ± 2.1
Total fat mass (kg)	17.7 ± 2.9	16.7 ± 3.1	18.6 ± 2.3	17.8 ± 2.5
Total lean mass (kg)†	56.9 ± 2.9	58.3 ± 3.0*	59.7 ± 2.3	62.0 ± 2.2
Cardiorespiratory measures				
SBP(mmHg)†	122 ± 2	119 ± 2	125 ± 1	119 ± 2
DBP(mmHg)	69 ± 3	68 ± 2	71 ± 2	70 ± 2
MAP(mmHg)†	87 ± 3	85 ± 2	89 ± 1	86 ± 2
Resting HR (bpm)‡	65 ± 3	58 ± 2	66 ± 3	65 ± 2
Maximum HR (bpm)†	197 ± 3	193 ± 2	200 ± 2	196 ± 2
Aerobic Fitness				
VO _{2peak} (L min ⁻¹)	3.5 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 1.8	3.6 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.2
VO _{2peak} (mL kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	45.8 ± 1.6	49.3 ± 2.2	44.0 ± 2.5	44.0 ± 2.2
Strength measures				
Bench press (kg)†‡	58 ± 5	61 ± 5	58 ± 5	69 ± 5*
Squat(kg)†	89 ± 7	122 ± 5	97 ± 6	139 ± 4
Total strength (kg)†‡	147 ± 10	183 ± 9*	155 ± 10	208 ± 9*
†P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA (baseline, post training); ‡P < 0.05 time x intervention (endurance, resistance) interaction effect by ANOVA; *significantly different from pre-training at P < 0.05; BSA, body surface area; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate.				

492

493

494

495

496 **Table 2** – Echocardiographic conventional measurements at baseline and after 24 weeks
 497 training

	Endurance Group (n = 10)		Resistance Group (n = 13)	
	Baseline	Post 24 week Training	Baseline	Post 24 week Training
LV mass, g †	157.78 ± 46.25	179.57 ± 42.57	178.14 ± 36.02	186.0 ± 33.90
LVEDV, ml	106 ± 25	112 ± 25	114 ± 24	118 ± 22
LVIDd, cm	4.73 ± 0.46	4.65 ± 0.32	4.83 ± 0.31	4.71 ± 0.44
LVIDs, cm	3.25 ± 0.43	3.01 ± 0.22	3.13 ± 0.32	2.98 ± 0.36
IVS, cm	0.95 ± 0.16	1.09 ± 0.14	1.11 ± 0.12	1.09 ± 0.12
PW, cm †	1.06 ± 0.16	1.18 ± 0.22	1.09 ± 0.20	1.17 ± 0.18
E, m.s ⁻¹	0.76 ± 0.18	0.76 ± 0.19	0.71 ± 0.18	0.71 ± 0.14
A, m.s ⁻¹	0.38 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.06
E/A Ratio	2.11 ± 0.70	2.27 ± 0.60	2.09 ± 0.65	2.10 ± 0.74

†P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; LV mass, left ventricular mass; LVEDV, left ventricular end diastolic volume; LVIDd, Left ventricular internal diameter in diastole; LVIDs, Left ventricular internal diameter in systole; IVSd, interventricular septal thickness; PWT, posterior wall thickness, E, early diastolic filling velocity; A, late diastolic filling velocity

499

500

501 **Table 3** - Peak global LV ε and rotation data at baseline and after 24 weeks training

PARAMETER	ENDURANCE BASELINE (Mean \pm SD)	ENDURANCE TRAINED (Mean \pm SD)	RESISTANCE BASELINE (Mean \pm SD)	RESISTANCE TRAINED (Mean \pm SD)
Longitudinal ε (%)	-18 \pm 2	-18 \pm 2	-16 \pm 2	-17 \pm 2
TTP longitudinal ε (ms) [†]	347 \pm 17	380 \pm 54	366 \pm 34	378 \pm 34
Circumferential ε (%)	-20 \pm 4	-19 \pm 3	-21 \pm 4	-19 \pm 5
TTP circumferential ε (ms)	364 \pm 31	382 \pm 57	390 \pm 33	402 \pm 46
Radial ε (%)	48 \pm 17	47 \pm 17	53 \pm 19	41 \pm 18
TTP radial ε (ms) [†]	365 \pm 40	423 \pm 89	436 \pm 28	443 \pm 82
Apical Rot ($^{\circ}$)	10.4 \pm 4.6	9.4 \pm 5.2	9.0 \pm 4.1	10.4 \pm 3.5
Basal Rot ($^{\circ}$) [‡]	-2.2 \pm 1.9	-4.5 \pm 3.3	-2.6 \pm 2.9	-3.7 \pm 2.8
Twist ($^{\circ}$)	12.0 \pm 5.1	13.4 \pm 8.3	10.7 \pm 5.4	13.4 \pm 3.6
[†] P < 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; [‡] P = 0.05 time effect by ANOVA; TTP, time to peak. Rot, rotation.				

502

503

504

505 **Table 4** – LV longitudinal and transverse strain-volume relationship at increments of % EDV

Parameter	END(n=10)	END(n=10)	P	RES(n=13)	RES(n=13)	P	P-values ANOVA		
	Baseline Mean ± SD	Post Mean ± SD	T- test	Baseline Mean ± SD	Post Mean ± SD	T- test			
LONGITUDINAL Systolic strain							T	I	T*I
90% EDV(%)	-2.4±1.09	-2.5±0.3	0.975	-3.0±0.2	-3.0±0.3	0.978	0.993	0.134	0.965
80% EDV(%)	-5.7±0.5	-5.5±0.5	0.882	-6.1±0.5	-6.1±0.5	0.938	0.939	0.315	0.860
70% EDV(%)	-8.9±0.7	-8.6±0.7	0.839	-9.2±0.6	-9.3±0.6	0.897	0.925	0.440	0.798
60% EDV(%)	-12.1±0.7	-11.8±0.7	0.804	-12.2±0.6	-12.4±0.6	0.844	0.927	0.557	0.739
50% EDV(%)	-15.3±0.7	-15.0±0.7	0.764	-15.3±0.6	-15.5±0.7	0.782	0.945	0.716	0.673
40% EDV(%)	-18.6±0.7	-18.2±0.8	0.719	-18.3±0.6	-18.6±0.7	0.743	0.987	0.943	0.619
LONGITUDINAL Diastolic strain									
90% EDV(%)	-1.5±0.4	-1.9±0.4	0.428	-2.2±0.4	-2.6±0.3	0.348	0.214	0.104	0.883
80% EDV(%)	-3.6±0.6	-4.0±0.6	0.611	-4.7±0.5	-5.2±0.6	0.348	0.318	0.097	0.926
70% EDV(%)	-6.3±0.7	-6.6±0.8	0.758	-7.6±0.6	-8.1±0.7	0.336	0.432	0.121	0.860
60% EDV(%)	-9.8±0.7	-9.9±0.8	0.947	-10.9±0.6	-11.3±0.8	0.371	0.605	0.180	0.726
50% EDV(%)	-14.0±0.7	-13.7±0.9	0.813	-14.5±0.6	-14.9±0.8	0.533	0.873	0.349	0.587
40% EDV(%)	-18.9±0.7	-18.1±1.0	0.608	-18.4±0.7	-18.8±0.9	0.722	0.866	0.882	0.514
LONGITUDINAL SYS-DIA Gradient									

90% EDV(%)	-1.2±0.4	-0.9±0.4	0.501	-0.8±0.3	-0.4±0.4	0.243	0.185	0.298	0.806
80% EDV(%)	-2.4±0.5	-1.9±0.6	0.519	-1.4±0.4	-0.9±0.6	0.318	0.269	0.138	0.915
70% EDV(%)	-2.9±0.6	-2.4±0.7	0.552	-1.6±0.5	-1.2±0.7	0.415	0.344	0.101	0.847
60% EDV(%)	-2.8±0.5	-2.3±0.7	0.604	-1.4±0.5	-1.1±0.6	0.488	0.413	0.078	0.865
50% EDV(%)	-1.9±0.4	-1.7±0.5	0.764	-0.8±0.4	-0.6±0.5	0.558	0.560	0.062	0.987
40% EDV(%)	-0.4±0.3	-0.6±0.4	0.654	0.1±0.3	0.2±0.4	0.781	0.797	0.156	0.571
TRANSVERSE Systolic strain							T	I	T*I
90% EDV(%)	3.6±0.7	4.8±1.5	0.412	2.0±0.6	4.5±1.3	0.112	0.072	0.382	0.509
80% EDV(%)	7.4±1.1	10.3±2.4	0.226	6.2±1.0	9.3±2.2	0.252	0.097	0.570	0.956
70% EDV(%)	11.8±1.6	16.0±3.1	0.190	11.2±1.4	14.0±2.8	0.448	0.161	0.590	0.760
60% EDV(%)	16.8±1.9	21.8±3.4	0.186	17.1±1.8	18.4±3.1	0.749	0.298	0.529	0.533
50% EDV(%)	22.5±2.4	27.8±3.5	0.204	23.6±2.1	22.7±3.2	0.853	0.573	0.425	0.351
40% EDV(%)	28.9±2.9	33.9±3.7	0.251	30.9±2.7	26.7±3.4	0.477	0.987	0.346	0.223
TRANSVERSE Diastolic strain									
90% EDV(%)	2.7±0.6	2.1±0.7	0.660	1.8±0.6	3.0±0.6	0.233	0.647	0.984	0.275
80% EDV(%)	6.0±1.0	5.2±1.2	0.719	5.5±0.9	6.4±1.0	0.547	0.912	0.667	0.506
70% EDV(%)	10.3±1.3	10.0±1.5	0.896	10.3±1.2	10.5±1.4	0.913	0.993	0.797	0.863
60% EDV(%)	15.5±1.7	16.3±2.0	0.759	16.1±1.5	15.3±1.8	0.794	0.963	0.918	0.696
50% EDV(%)	21.7±2.3	24.2±3.0	0.433	23.1±2.0	20.9±2.7	0.617	0.971	0.665	0.402
40% EDV(%)	28.8±3.1	33.8±4.5	0.329	31.3±2.8	27.1±4.0	0.520	0.993	0.515	0.277
TRANSVERSE SYS-DIA Gradient									
90% EDV(%)	0.8±0.8	3.3±1.6	0.213	0.1±0.7	1.5±1.4	0.223	0.080	0.362	0.568
80% EDV(%)	1.5±1.3	5.6±2.3	0.172	0.7±1.2	2.9±2.1	0.194	0.057	0.415	0.531
70% EDV(%)	1.9±1.6	6.5±2.6	0.164	1.0±1.4	3.5±2.3	0.189	0.053	0.410	0.529

60% EDV(%)	1.9±1.5	5.9±2.2	0.153	0.9±1.3	3.1±2.0	0.184	0.048	0.360	0.532
50% EDV(%)	1.7±1.2	3.9±1.3	0.177	0.5±1.1	1.8±1.2	0.210	0.061	0.263	0.604
40% EDV(%)	1.1±1.2	0.5±1.4	0.772	-0.3±1.1	-0.4±1.2	0.913	0.755	0.423	0.814
Data are presented as mean±SD. END, Endurance trained; RES, Resistance trained; T, Time (baseline, post training); I, Intervention (Resistance or Endurance); EDV, end diastolic volume.									

506