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New Findings

- What is the central question of this study?

Is cardiac output during exercise dependent on central venous pressure?

- What is the main finding and its importance?

The increase in cardiac output during both rowing and running is related to preload to the heart as indicated by plasma atrial natriuretic peptide but unrelated to central venous pressure. The results indicate that in upright humans central venous pressure reflects the gravitational influence on central venous blood rather than preload to the heart.
Abstract

**Aim:** This study evaluated the increase in cardiac output (CO) during exercise in relation to central venous pressure (CVP) and plasma arterial natriuretic peptide (ANP) as expressions of preload to the heart. **Methods:** Seven healthy subjects (four men; 26 ± 3 years; 181± 8 cm height; and 76 ± 11 kg, weight; mean ± SD) rested in sitting and standing positions (in randomized order) and then rowed and ran at submaximal workloads. The CVP was recorded, CO (Modelflow) calculated, and arterial plasma ANP determined by radioimmunoassay. **Results:** While sitting CO was 6.2 ± 1.6 l/min, plasma ANP 70 ± 10 pg/ml, and CVP 1.8 ± 1.1 mmHg (mean ± SD) and decreased to 5.9 ± 1.0 l/min, 63 ± 10 pg/ml, and -3.8 ± 1.2 mmHg, respectively when standing (P < 0.05). Ergometer rowing elicited an increase in CO to 22.5 ± 5.5 l/min as plasma ANP increased to 156 ± 11 pg/ml and CVP to 3.8 ± 0.9 mmHg (P < 0.05). Similarly, CO increased to 23.5 ± 6.0 l/min during running with albeit smaller (P < 0.05) increase in plasma ANP, but with little change in CVP (-0.9 ± 0.4 mmHg). **Conclusion:** The increase in CO in response to exercise is related to preload to the heart as indicated by plasma ANP, but unrelated to CVP. The results indicate that in upright humans CVP reflects the gravitational influence on central venous blood rather than preload to the heart.
Introduction

When humans stand up gravity pools blood to dependent regions of the body (Matzen et al., 1991). Thus, the “indifference point” (where pressure does not change during head-up or -down tilt) for venous pressure is at the level of the diaphragm (Gauer and Thron, 1965), while for volume (Perko et al., 1997; Jarvis and Pawelczyk, 2010) and diameter of the inferior caval vein the indifference point is below the liver (Petersen et al., 2014). Consequently, in an upright posture, central venous pressure (CVP) becomes negative, in parallel with reduction in the central blood volume and, therefore, preload to the heart and cardiac output (CO) decreases (Matzen et al., 1991; Harms et al., 2003). The central blood volume is often taken as the blood within the thoracic cavity as monitored by electrical impedance by Perko et al. (1997) and Jarvis and Pawelczyk (2010). Yet, it is the diastolic filling of the heart that is important for the ability to increase CO but diastolic filling of the heart cannot be determined during whole body exercise as rowing. However, it is straightforward to determine plasma atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) that is released by distension of the atria and not related to CVP. Furthermore, plasma ANP decreases when central blood volume is reduced in response to head-up tilt (Matzen et al., 1990), whole body heating (Vogelsang et al., 2012) and pressure breathing (Schütten et al., 1987), while CVP is reduced in the first two conditions and elevated in the latter. This disparity in CVP and ANP responses to central blood volume changes suggests that CVP may be less sensitive compared to plasma ANP in tracking central blood volume changes. Thus, the influence of CVP on preload to the heart during whole body exercise can be evaluated in relation to concomitant changes in plasma ANP.

During exercise leg muscles pump blood towards the heart (Beecher et al., 1936; Rowell, 1993) as illustrated by enhanced plasma ANP (Vogelsang et al., 2006). We hypothesized that even though orthostasis reduces CVP, nevertheless, the enhanced cardiac preload, as reflected by plasma
ANP, supports the increase in CO. A comparison was made between running and rowing because the seated position of rowing was considered to be associated with only a small gravitational reduction in CVP, thereby allowing for evaluation of CVP on the increase in CO during exercise.

Materials and Methods

Ethical Approval. Seven healthy subjects (4 males, mean ± SD, 26 ± 3 years, 181 ± 8 cm, and 76 ± 11 kg) following informed oral and written consent, volunteered to the study as approved by the Ethical Committee of Copenhagen (KF 01-186/2) conforming to the Declaration of Helsinki, except for registration in a database. All subjects were recruited from a local club and, as part of their training were familiarized with ergometer rowing over several years.

Experimental design and procedures. After instrumentation, the subjects rested supine for about 15 min and then performed, in random order, rowing on an ergometer (Concept II, Morrisville, VT, USA) and walking followed by running on a treadmill (Runrace, Technogym, Gambettola, Italy). The two types of exercise were at three intensities aiming at heart rate (HR) of 120, 140, and 160 beats per minute and lasted about 7 min each.

Oxygen uptake and pulmonary ventilation. Pulmonary ventilation (VE) and oxygen uptake (VO2) were determined by an Oxyscreen metabolic cart (CPX/D; Medical Graphics, St. Paul, MN, USA) with values reported as the average over 15-s for the last minute of observation.

Cardiovascular variables. The CVP was measured with a catheter advanced to the right atrium following cannulation of an arm vein and values averaged over several heartbeats. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was determined from the radial artery of the non-dominant arm. Both catheters were connected to transducers (Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Irvine, CA, USA) positioned on the back at the level of the papilla with infusion of isotonic saline (3 ml h\(^{-1}\)) to avoid clot formation.
A modified Modelflow method estimated CO from the radial arterial pressure (Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) to yield stroke volume that multiplied by HR estimates CO (Wesseling et al., 1983) and values were adjusted to CO values calculated by the Fick principle. HR was recorded from a three-lead electrocardiogram with electrodes (Medicotest Q-10-A, Copenhagen, Denmark) placed on the sternum and the cervical vertebrae to minimize noise from muscles. All data were collected in the last minute of exercise and, similarly, in the last minute of rest.

**Blood samples**

Blood was sampled from the radial artery and the central venous catheter in tubes prepared with heparin and analyzed for pH, oxygen saturation (SO2) and tension (PO2) (ABL, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). Also, arterial samples (in EDTA tubes) were centrifuged and plasma kept at -80 °C until analyzed for ANP by radioimmunoassay (Schütten et al., 1987). The ANP analysis has a sensitivity of 3.1 pg/ml and an intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variation were 4% and 5%, respectively.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data are presented as mean ± SD and comparisons across exercise mode and intensity evaluated by two-way ANOVA with Newman-Keuls post-hoc validation. Relations were evaluated separately for each type of exercise by linear and logarithmic regressions and a $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

From siting to standing position HR increased, while MAP, CO, VO2, and $V_E$ did not change significantly (Table 1). On the other hand, CVP, plasma ANP, and venous SO2 decreased according to the postural change ($P < 0.05$).

**Running**
From standing rest to running, HR, CO, VO$_2$ and $V_E$ increased, while MAP was maintained, CVP remained negative and plasma ANP increased (Table 1; $P < 0.05$). Venous PO$_2$ and SO$_2$ decreased with exercise intensity ($P < 0.05$) with no significant change in blood pH. The increase in CO was curvilinear related to the plasma ANP ($r^2 = 0.94, P < 0.05$), while the correlation to CVP if anything was negative and similar correlations were established when VO$_2$ and blood gas variables were applied to calculate CO: for plasma ANP ($r^2 = 0.94, P < 0.05$); for CVP ($r^2 = 0.54, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 1).

**Rowing**

Similar to running, HR, CO, VO$_2$ and $V_E$ increased with rowing intensity but the increases were more pronounced (Table 1). MAP did not increase significantly, but in contrast to running, both CVP and plasma ANP increased, while venous PO$_2$ and SO$_2$ decreased with exercise intensity ($P < 0.05$) and there was a small decrease in blood pH. Also, similar to running, CO was related to plasma ANP during rowing ($r^2 = 0.95, P < 0.05$) and in that situation, also to CVP ($r^2 = 0.89, P < 0.05$) as confirmed when CO was calculated based on Fick’s principle: for plasma ANP ($r^2 = 0.95, P < 0.05$) and for CVP ($r^2 = 0.88, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 1). Taking the observations during rowing and running together, there was no correlation between CO and CVP ($r^2 = 0.17$), while CO was correlated to plasma ANP ($r^2 = 0.94, P < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Accepting the gravitational influence on CVP and considering that imaging of the heart during whole body exercise is challenging, this study used plasma ANP to indicate filling of the heart. We found that exercise enhances cardiac preload, as evaluated by plasma ANP, in accordance with results by Nicolai and Zuntz (1914) who carried out such evaluation during treadmill walking using x-ray, and confirming the findings by (Vogelsang et al., 2006).
In the standing posture CVP decreased to -3.8 mmHg corresponding to a column of blood in the inferior caval vein of some 5 cm reflecting the distance to the pressure indifference point likely positioned at the level of the diaphragm (Gauer and Thron, 1965). In the abdominal cavity venous pressure could be influenced by the abdominal muscles pressing on the organs and the caval vein. Thus, in the open “central” veins the influence of gravity on pressure does not extent all the way to the “first” venous valve at end of the femoral vein. Conversely, CVP did not become negative during sitting on the ergometer likely because the subjects were in a position that would press the legs against the abdomen and thereby apply pressure to the caval vein. Similarly, we consider it likely that during rowing the pressure applied by the legs against the abdomen would contribute to the increase in CVP (Pott et al., 1997). Also, during rowing the stroke is accomplished by stabilizing the trunk by a “Valsalva-like maneuver”, and thus, fluctuations in blood pressure are more related to the rhythm of rowing than to the function of the heart (Clifford et al., 1994). Even though, in the present study, CVP data were not synchronized with each rowing stroke we can speculate that CVP fluctuated markedly within one rowing cycle and reached maximal value during the stroke as influenced by the entrained respiration (Mahler et al. 1991, Steinacker et al. 1993, Pott et al. 1997). In contrast, CVP demonstrated a small further decrease during running from the value established during standing and we can only speculate that this decrease was a manifestation of low abdominal pressure on the inferior caval vein but we lack data on intra-abdominal venous pressure during running and rowing.

The increase in preload to the heart, as indicated by plasma ANP and increased CO during exercise manifested despite the CVP decreased during running and increased during rowing indicating that CVP does not dictate filling of the heart. The relationship between CO and plasma ANP illustrates the importance of the Starling “law of the heart” for cardiovascular control, but it should be considered that during exercise there is sympathetic influence on the heart, as illustrated by an attenuated CO
response to exercise following beta-adrenergic blockade (Pawelczyk et al., 1992). Taken together, CVP is not what drives blood into the ventricles, but filling of the ventricles depends on the amount of blood in the atria and close-by veins that is advanced to the ventricles by “suction” during the diastole as the heart is “untwisting” (Nakatani, 2011; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N6ORMHi9rcU).

Consequently, CVP cannot be used for monitoring the central blood volume, except in heart failure patients whose hearts have lost the ability to “twist”.

The data indicate that a larger preload is needed for a given CO during rowing than during running although MAP did not increase (and HR was matched) and therefore, on average, afterload to the heart was the same during the two exercise interventions. Unfortunately, SV was not recorded in alignment with the stroke of rowing, but we speculate that the Valsalva-like maneuver associated with rowing has hindered the increase in CO during approximately half of the stroke. Thus, CO during rowing is likely to depend on ventricular filling in the part of the stroke where the rower did not hold his breath.

As expected when the workload for rowing and running was matched to a given HR, VO$_2$ (and $V_E$) was larger during rowing than during running given the orthostatic increase in HR as the central blood volume decreases (e.g. Matzen et al., 1991) as indicated by the reduction in plasma ANP, as well as the reduction in venous oxygenation. During running the maximal HR can be calculated as 208 - 0.7 x age of the subjects (Tanaka et al., 2001), i.e. around 190 bpm for the present subjects, while for rowing the maximal HR is only little more than 180 bpm (e.g. Volianitis et al., 2008), in accordance with a lower HR in supine than upright positions (Stenberg et al., 1967). Accordingly, a HR of 160 bpm would correspond to approximately a 90% effort during rowing, but only a 84% effort during running, and that the relative workload was larger during rowing than during running is illustrated by that only during rowing blood pH decreased but a maximal effort and rating of perceived effort were not
included in the protocol.

Limitations to the study include that plasma ANP indicates filling of mainly the right atrium and not
diastolic filling of the (left) ventricle, but in the present study it was not possible to make an, e.g.
echocardiographic evaluation of (left) ventricular filling during the two modes of exercise. Also, we
accept that determination of CO could have been made by more conventional methods, as by
thermodilution following placement of a pulmonary artery catheter, or by following the concentration
in blood of ICG or technetium which we have used in previous evaluations of CO during exercise
(Pawelczyk et al. 1992; Secher et al. 1977). Also, the study did not address whether there is a sex
difference in the plasma ANP response to exercise, although women are reported to demonstrate a level
that is about twice as high as men (Clark et al. 1990).

In conclusion, the present results suggest that the “muscle pump” contributes significantly to
enhance CO during exercise, as indicated by plasma ANP for evaluation of preload to the heart. On the
other hand, in an upright posture CVP can both increase and decrease in response to exercise and the
deviations are unrelated to the gradually increasing plasma ANP level with exercise intensity. We
consider these findings to reflect on the evaluation of circulation during exercise. Quite often total
peripheral resistance during exercise is expressed by subtracting CVP from MAP, even though the
inclusion of a pump (i.e. muscle pump) while expressing a resistance seems unjustified from a physics
perspective. The present findings suggest that in upright humans CVP is not a “resistance” to venous
return but a reflection of the gravitational influence on venous blood and independent of how much
blood is present in the veins, as long as they stay open, which is the definition of “central” veins.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>HR 120</th>
<th>HR 140</th>
<th>HR 160</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sitting</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Rowing</td>
<td>Running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, bpm</td>
<td>79 ± 8</td>
<td>90 ± 7</td>
<td>115 ± 13 ‡</td>
<td>117 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mmHg</td>
<td>92 ± 6</td>
<td>91 ± 5</td>
<td>97 ± 4</td>
<td>93 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP, mmHg</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-3.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.9 *</td>
<td>-5.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANP, pg/ml</td>
<td>70 ± 10</td>
<td>63 ± 10</td>
<td>107 ± 9 ‡*</td>
<td>76 ± 10 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, l/min</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>18.1 ± 6.4 †</td>
<td>16.4 ± 3.9 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂, l/min</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.7 *</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.5 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE, l/min</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.6</td>
<td>36.9 ± 10.0 *</td>
<td>33.8 ± 10.1 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb, g/100ml</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>13.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂, mmHg</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>106 ± 10</td>
<td>102 ± 11</td>
<td>94 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>36 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>27 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂, %</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>98.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>98.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>60.1 ± 13.3</td>
<td>56.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>44.1 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAP, mean arterial pressure; CVP, central venous pressure; ANP, atrial natriuretic peptide; VO₂, oxygen uptake; VE, pulmonary ventilation; Hb, blood haemoglobin; PO₂, partial pressure of oxygen; SO₂, haemoglobin oxygen saturation. * Different from running; ‡ different from sitting rest; † different from standing ($P < 0.05$).
 Legends

Figure 1. Logarithmic regressions between cardiac output (CO) and plasma atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) (upper part) and linear regressions between CO and central venous pressure (CVP) (lower part) at rest and during three exercise intensities for running and rowing ($n = 7, P < 0.05$).