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ABSTRACT

M 87 is one of the closest (z = 0.004 36) extragalactic sources emitting at very high energies (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV). The aim of this work is to locate the region of the VHE gamma-ray emission and to describe the observed broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) during the low VHE gamma-ray state. The data from M 87 collected between 2012 and 2015 as part of a MAGIC monitoring programme are analysed and combined with multiwavelength data from Fermi-LAT, Chandra, HST, EVN, VLBA, and the Liverpool Telescope. The averaged VHE gamma-ray spectrum can be fitted from $\sim$100 GeV to $\sim$10 TeV with a simple power law with a photon index of ($-2.41 \pm 0.07$), while the integral flux above 300 GeV is $(1.44 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. During the campaign between 2012 and 2015, M 87 is generally found in a low-emission state at all observed wavelengths. The VHE gamma-ray flux from the present 2012–2015 M 87 campaign is consistent with a constant flux with some hint of variability ($\sim 3\sigma$) on a daily time-scale in 2013. The low-state gamma-ray emission likely originates from the same region as the flare-state emission. Given the broad-band SED, both a leptonic synchrotron self-Compton and a hybrid photohadronic model reproduce the available data well, even if the latter is preferred. We note, however, that the energy stored in the magnetic field in the leptonic scenario is very low, suggesting a matter-dominated emission region.
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1 INTRODUCTION

M 87 is a large elliptical radio galaxy of Fanaroff–Riley type I (FR I; Fanaroff & Riley 1974), located in the Virgo Cluster, at a distance of 16.4 $\pm$ 0.5 Mpc (Bird et al. 2010). M 87 is powered by a super-massive black hole with a mass assumed to be $(6.5 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.7_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$ (Gebhardt et al. 2011; Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration 2019b).

The relativistic jet of M 87 is misaligned with respect to our line of sight with an angle between 15$^\circ$ and 25$^\circ$ (Biretta, Sparks & Macchetto 1999; Acciari et al. 2009; Walker & et al. 2018). The orientation and vicinity of M 87 allow the jet to be studied during its evolution, from the core to the extended lobe, where it slows down ending its path interacting with the intergalactic medium (Owen, Eilek & Kassim 2000). The jet extends for 85 arcsec from the core, has been in a flaring state since 2000 (Marshall et al. 2002), and several knots along its length have been resolved in radio, optical, and X-ray bands (Perlman et al. 2001; Wilson & Yang 2002; Kim et al. 2018a,b). The inner knot HST-1, located at 0.85 arcsec from the core, has been in a flaring state since 2000 (Harris et al. 2003; Waters & Zepf 2005; Harris et al. 2006), reaching the maximum flux in 2005 and had a secondary flaring in 2006–2007 (see Harris et al. 2009; Madrid 2009; Abramowski et al. 2012).

The temporal correlation between the very high energies (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV) gamma-ray emission and multiwavelength (MWL) data, in which the source is spatially resolved, provides a unique opportunity to locate the origin of VHE gamma-ray emission in active galactic nuclei (AGNs). M 87 was detected in TeV gamma rays first by the HEGRA (High-Energy-Gamma-Ray Astronomy) Collaboration in 1998 (Aharonian et al. 2003). The VERITAS (Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System) Collaboration reported a clear detection of M 87 in the 2007 campaign at energies above 250 GeV (Acciari et al. 2008) and continued to routinely monitor the source (Acciari et al. 2010). The first detection of gamma-ray emission from M 87 with MAGIC (Major Atmospheric Gamma-Ray Imaging Cherenkov) occurred in 2005 in a low-flux state, and results of those observations together with those performed between 2006 and 2007 were reported in Aleksić et al. (2012).

During a flare in 2008, detected through a monitoring campaign, MAGIC observed a flux variability on time-scales as short as a day (Acciari et al. 2008; Albert et al. 2008). As of 2019, M 87 has been monitored for more than 10 yr in the TeV band by MAGIC, H.E.S.S. (High Energy Stereoscopic System), and VERITAS (Acciari et al. 2009; Abramowski et al. 2012; Aliu et al. 2012; Bellicke & VERITAS Collaboration 2012). According to the available VHE gamma-ray data, a total of three periods of high activity occurred in 2005, 2008, and 2010.

The modelling of VHE gamma-ray emission in the context of the broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) is challenging and draws the attention of several theory groups, see, e.g. Georganopoulos, Perlman & Kazanas (2005), Ghisellini, Tavecchio & Chiaberge (2005), Tavecchio & Ghisellini (2008), Istinin & Sol (2009), and Giannios, Uzdensky & Begelman (2010).

The low state of M 87 is important for modelling as it can be used to describe a ‘baseline’ state to be used as a reference, even if several model parameters remain unconstrained in the absence of flux variability. The study of the source in a high state can then be associated with the low-state reference improving the interpretation of the emission scenarios. Aleksić et al. (2012) previously modelled the low-state broad-band SED using the same model applied to the high states observed in 2008. De Jong et al. (2015) and Prieto et al. (2016) also studied the M 87 activity in a MWL context. Prieto et al.
(2016) singled out two different states of the source, a low and a more active one, and studied them separately.

In this paper, the MAGIC monitoring data set of M 87 between 2012 and 2015 is presented. No major flare is detected in this period, which allows us to study the source in a low-flux state. The data quality is sufficient to constrain some emission models and study the MWL SED of M 87 from radio to VHE gamma-ray frequencies, using MAGIC and available MWL data.

This paper is structured as follows: The observations and data analysis for the several instruments involved are presented in Section 2. The results, consisting of the long-term light curves, skymaps and SEDs of the source in a MWL context are reported and described in detail in Section 3. The SED modelling is discussed in Section 4 and conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

In the following, the data collected within this MWL campaign, ordered from the highest (gamma rays; MAGIC) to lowest energies (radio; VLBA – Very Long Baseline Array), are presented.

### 2.1 MAGIC

MAGIC is a stereoscopic system of two 17-m-diameter imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes situated at Roque de los Muchachos, Canary Islands, La Palma. An integral sensitivity corresponding to 0.66 ± 0.03 percent of the Crab Nebula flux above 220 GeV is achieved in 50 h at low zenith angles (see Aleksić et al. 2016, for details on the telescopes performance).

M 87 observations were performed regularly during the visibility period between December and July in the years 2012–2015. The observations took place at zenith angles ranging from 15° to 50° during dark time and under moonlight conditions. Data were analysed using the standard MAGIC Reconstruction Software (MARS; Zanin et al. 2013). Further details on the stereo MAGIC analysis and on the telescopes’ performance under moonlight can be found in Aleksić et al. (2016) and Ahnen et al. (2017), respectively.

### 2.2 Fermi-LAT

The Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi satellite is a pair-conversion telescope that covers the energy range from 20 MeV to more than 300 GeV, with an angular resolution of $\theta_{\text{90\%}} = 0.8$ at 1 GeV and a field of view of 2.4 sr (Atwood et al. 2009). The unbinned likelihood analysis of the Fermi-LAT data was based on the publicly available Pass 8 photon data set. The data were analysed with the Fermi Science Tools package (version v10r0p5), using the Source (PSR2_SOURCE_V6) event class. The M 87 light curve was constructed for $E > 300$ MeV with 30-d time bins. All events within 8° of the region of interest centred at the catalogue position of M 87 were selected. A dedicated likelihood analysis was performed on each time bin. All point sources from the LAT source catalogue (3FGL; Acero et al. 2015) that lied within a 12° circle from M 87 were included in the model over each time interval. The resulting average flux was found to be $(6.85 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Fermi-LAT flux densities and the energy spectra were both found to be consistent with the values reported in the 3FGL.

### 2.3 Chandra

The data reduction procedure of the X-ray data set was performed following the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) threads, using CIAO version 4.7 and Chandra Calibration Database (CALDB) version 4.6.9. The X-ray images were ‘registered’ aligning the nuclear X-ray position to the location of the radio core (see for additional details Massaro et al. 2010, 2012, 2013).

To measure observed fluxes for the nuclear emission as well as for any jet feature, a region of size and shape appropriate to the observed X-ray emission was chosen. The background contamination was estimated using two regions with the same shape and size as the science targets but offset from the jet (Massaro et al. 2015).

A $1\sigma$ error was calculated based on the square root of the number of counts (the standard deviation of a Poissonian distribution) in the source and background regions. Fluxes reported here were also corrected for the Galactic absorption assuming a photon index of $-2$ and a value for the Galactic column density of $1.94 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005).

More details on the X-ray data reduction and analysis can be found in Massaro et al. (2009a, b) and Massaro, Harris & Cheung (2011).

### 2.4 HST

HST (Hubble Space Telescope) data presented here were obtained with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS). These imaging data were obtained using the near-ultraviolet (NUV) Multi-Anode Microchannel Array (MAMA) detector of STIS, which has a pixel scale of 0.024 arcsec per pixel providing the best resolution currently available with HST (Maclay et al. 2019). The filter in use for these observations was the F25QTZ filter, which is a long-pass quartz filter centred at 2360 Å with a full width at half-maximum of about 1000 Å. More details on the sensitivity and throughput of this filter are given in the STIS instrument handbook (Hernandez 2014).

Aperture corrections were applied following Profitt et al. (2003). In addition, an extinction correction of $A(F25QTZ) = 0.190$ mag (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989) was applied and fluxes were converted into erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$.

The HST-1 knot located 0.85 arcsec from the center of the galaxy was clearly distinguished from the AGN, as well as other knots ‘downstream’. A more detailed account of recent observations of M 87 with the HST is given in Madrid (2009) and Perlman et al. (2011).

### 2.5 Liverpool Telescope

The optical polarization data were taken with the 2-m Liverpool Telescope (LT; Steele et al. 2004) located on the Canary Island of La Palma. The 2012 observations were performed as a part of the Ringo2 blazar programme (Jermak et al. 2016) and 2014–2015 observations using the Ringo3 polarimeter (Arnold et al. 2012). Ringo2 observations were performed using a $V + R$ hybrid filter.

The Ringo3 polarimeter consists of a rotating polaroid (one rotation every 4 s), which captures eight images of the source at successive 45° rotations of the polaroid. These eight exposures could be combined according to the equations in Clarke & Neumayer (2002) to determine the degree and angle of polarization. Ringo3 data are separated into three wavelength bands using dichroic mirrors rather than standard filters.

---

1http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ssc/LAT/LATDataQuery.cgi

2http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/guides/index.html
A gap between Ringo2 and Ringo3 data in this work was due to the time needed to upgrade of the polarimeter.

2.6 EVN

The European Very Long Baseline Interferometer (VLBI) Network (EVN3) is an interferometric array of radio telescopes spread throughout Europe (and beyond). It conducts unique high-resolution radio astronomical observations of cosmic radio sources.

Radio flux densities were taken with EVN during 2012–2015. M 87 was observed with EVN for a total of 10 epochs between 2012 January and 2015 May as a part of the long-term M 87/HST-1 monitoring project starting from mid-2009 (Giovannini et al. 2011; Giroletti et al. 2012; Hada et al. 2014, 2015). For all the observations, the data were recorded and correlated at the Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe (JIVE; see Giroletti et al. 2012; Hada et al. 2014, 2015 for some more detailed information). The initial data calibration and fringe-fitting was performed in Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS4) based on the standard VLBI reduction procedures. The final images were produced in the DIFMAP software (Shepherd, Pearson & Taylor 1994) after several cycles of phase and amplitude self-calibration. For the core, the peak flux densities were convolved with a 5/10-milliarcsecond (mas) circular Gaussian beam for 5-GHz/1.7-GHz data, respectively.

2.7 VERA

Between 2011 September and 2012 September, the core of M 87 was densely monitored with the VLBI Exploration of Radio Astrometry (VERA), a Japanese VLBI network consisting of four stations operated at 22 and 43 GHz. A total of 24 epochs were obtained at 22 GHz throughout the period, and additional five sessions were performed at 43 GHz between 2012 February and May. Detailed descriptions of the data analysis as well as some images were presented in Hada et al. (2014). In this paper, an improved amplitude calibration procedure was applied to better take into account the amplitude loss due to multiple signal digitization processes during data recording (Iguchi et al. 2005). Peak flux densities of the M 87 core (at 22 and 43 GHz) were provided, which were measured with a common 0.6-mas-diameter circular Gaussian convolving beam. An amplitude uncertainty of 10 per cent for each data set was assumed.

2.8 VLBA

During the period discussed in this paper, five high-resolution radio observations of M 87 were made at 43 GHz using the VLBA (Napier et al. 1994). Those observations were designed to monitor the ambient structure of M 87 in support of observations, which would have been made in response to a flare in the gamma-ray energy band. No such flare occurred. A major upgrade to the VLBA digitization and recording hardware was occurring during this period,5 which provided an improvement in sensitivity by a factor of 2. Changes also occurred in the flux-density calibration methods and standards used (Walker 2014). The data were processed in AIPS using standard methods. Additional details about the reduction of the VLBA data, along with the imaging and analysis results, could be found in Walker et al. (2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$T_{\text{eff}}$ (h)</th>
<th>Significance $\sigma_{&gt;300\text{GeV}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>38.75</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>34.82</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>49.88</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>32.72</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Averaged VHE gamma-ray sky map above 300 GeV of M 87 derived from 2012–2015 MAGIC stereo observations centred on the position of the VHE gamma-ray emission obtained from a two-dimensional Gaussian fit (blue star). The PSF (white dashed circle) of the MAGIC telescopes for a gamma-ray signal is shown. The positions fitted to the VHE gamma-ray signal observed by MAGIC from 2012 to 2015 (blue star), by H.E.S.S. from 2004 to 2005 (light blue triangle; Aharonian et al. 2006), and by VERITAS in 2007 (magenta square; Acciari et al. 2008) are shown. The circles (solid blue and light blue lines) indicate the 99.9 per cent confidence-level upper limit of an extended gamma-ray signal from MAGIC and H.E.S.S. observations, respectively. The VLA radio image (black contours) at 327 MHz (Owen et al. 2000) is shown as a reference.

3 RESULTS

In the following, the results of this MWL campaign are presented, starting with the detection in the TeV band by MAGIC in Section 3.1, followed by the discussion on the MWL light curves in Section 3.2, and concluding with the characterization of the SED in the GeV–TeV band in Section 3.3.

3.1 Detection and sky maps with MAGIC

MAGIC has detected M 87 in every yearly campaign between 2012 and 2015. Table 1 lists the effective observation time and significance of the VHE gamma-ray signal. The significance of the detection is calculated according to equation (17) in Li & Ma (1983). In Fig. 1, the contours of the radio image taken with Very Large Array (VLA) at 327 MHz (Owen et al. 2000) are superimposed on the VHE gamma-ray relative-flux sky map, showing the corresponding point spread function (PSF) of the MAGIC telescopes (0:052) and the upper limit at 99.9 per cent confidence level of an extended VHE gamma-ray signal, which is of the size of 0.042, corresponding to 11.5 kpc. An enhanced point-like gamma-ray signal is found at the position of the catalogue position of M 87. The locations of the VHE gamma-ray emission observed by H.E.S.S. (Aharonian et al. 2006) and VERITAS (Acciari et al. 2008) are indicated in Fig. 1 as well.

References

http://www.evlbi.org
http://www.aips.nrao.edu/index.shtml
Dominici Science Operations Center 2014, VLBA Observational Status Summary 2015A (Socorro, NM:NRAO); https://science.lbo.us/facilities/vlba/docs/manuals/oss2015A
http://www.evlbi.org
Table 2. Mean integral flux above 300 GeV observed with MAGIC between 2012 and 2015 obtained from a fit with a constant to the ∼20-d binned light curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$F_{&gt;300\text{GeV}} \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012–2015</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Multiwavelength light curves

The variability of the VHE gamma-ray flux is investigated at different time-scales. The mean integral flux of each year, which is obtained by a fit with a constant, is reported in Table 2. No variability is observed across the data set, the only exception being a hint of variability on a daily scale observed in 2013 (the probability for a constant flux is 0.3 per cent). For the other years, the light curves are found to be compatible with a constant flux with a probability higher than 38 per cent. Assuming an additional systematic uncertainty of 11 per cent of the measured flux (Alessi et al. 2016) for the 2013 data, a probability for a constant flux of 0.9 per cent is obtained.

To compare the new data collected between 2012 and 2015 with previous M 87 observations, the integral flux is calculated for $400\text{ GeV} < E < 1\text{ TeV}$ and compared with previous MAGIC, H.E.S.S., and VERITAS observations (Aharonian et al. 2006; Acciari et al. 2008; Albert et al. 2008; Alessi et al. 2012; Aliu et al. 2012); see Table 3. The integral flux level between 2012 and 2015 is

Figure 2. MWL light curve between 2012 and 2015: From top to bottom: VHE and HE gamma-ray data by MAGIC and Fermi-LAT, respectively. Upper limits at the 95 per cent confidence level are indicated by downward grey arrows (see text for details). The Chandra X-ray fluxes from the core (points) and HST-1 (asterisks) are shown in the third panel from the top. The X-ray observations are corrected for Galactic absorption (see text for details). In the fourth panel from the top, the NUV data are presented from the core as full points and from HST-1 as stars. NUV data have been corrected for interstellar extinction following Cardelli et al. (1989). Optical polarization data taken with the $V + R$ filter by the LT with Ringo2 in 2012 (filled circles) and with Ringo3 in 2014–2015 (empty circles, filled squares, and diamonds for blue, green, and red bands, respectively) are shown in the dedicated panels. The bottom panel presents radio data provided by the EVN (blue open symbols), VLBA (red filled symbols), and VERA (black empty and filled stars). The light curves are daily binned except VHE and HE gamma rays, where roughly 20- and 30-d binning is applied, respectively.
Since the EVPA in blazars depends on the orientation of the shocks around the beginning of the MAGIC observation period in 2012, the polarization stays in general at the rather low level of less than 1 TeV band, which can be defined as some 5–10 per cent of the Crab photon flux.

### 3.3 SED in the TeV band

The VHE gamma-ray SED observed with MAGIC is well described by a power law of the form $E^2dN/dE = f_{\text{VHE}}(E/E_{\text{VHE}})^{-\Gamma_{\text{VHE}}}$, with the flux normalization $f_{\text{VHE}}$ being $(4.31 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ TeV$^{-1}$, at a decorrelation energy $E_{\text{VHE}}$ of 784 GeV and a spectral index $\Gamma_{\text{VHE}}$ equal to $-2.41 \pm 0.07$.

The errors quoted here are only statistical. The observed spectrum is not significantly affected by the extragalactic background light (EBL) absorption due to the proximity of M 87; for this source, the production of electron–positron pairs by interaction with the EBL becomes significant at higher energies, above 10 TeV (Neronov & Aharonian 2007). The spectral indices and differential fluxes at the decorrelation energy from previous VHE gamma-ray observations are reported in Table 3. All spectral indices observed during both high- and low-emission states are mostly compatible within the statistical errors. The differential flux between 2012 and 2015 is on a similar level as during the low-emission states reported by MAGIC between 2005 and 2007, and by H.E.S.S. in 2004, whereas it is lower with respect to the flux level observed during the flaring states in 2005, 2008, and 2010.

The averaged VHE–HE gamma-ray SED between 2012 and 2015 is shown in Fig. 3. A simple power law (red line in Fig. 3) is fitted to the combined VHE–HE gamma-ray SED, yielding a spectral index and a flux normalization of $\Gamma = -2.24 \pm 0.01$.

### Table 3

Comparison of the integral fluxes (400 GeV $< E < 1$ TeV), spectral indices, and differential fluxes observed in 2004-2005 with H.E.S.S. (Aharonian et al. 2006), 2005-2007 with MAGIC (Aleksić et al. 2012), in 2007 and 2010 with VERITAS (Acciari et al. 2008; Aliu et al. 2012), in 2008 with MAGIC (Albert et al. 2008), and between 2012 and 2015 with MAGIC (this work). The integral fluxes are extrapolated from the simple power-law fits to the observed spectra. The differential flux is compared for the decorrelation energy of 784 GeV obtained for the data of this work, adopting the parameters of the individual fits. The values shown include only statistical errors and are obtained by error propagation assuming the errors of the flux normalization and the spectral index to be uncorrelated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$F_{\text{0.05 GeV &lt; E &lt; 1 TeV}}$ (10$^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$f_{E=784\text{GeV}}$ (10$^{-12}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.E.S.S.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.22</td>
<td>-2.62 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E.S.S.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.44</td>
<td>-2.22 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC</td>
<td>2005–2007</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.44</td>
<td>-2.21 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERITAS</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.38</td>
<td>-2.31 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5.09 ± 1.00</td>
<td>-2.30 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.06 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERITAS</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7.82 ± 0.80</td>
<td>-2.19 ± 0.07</td>
<td>8.03 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC</td>
<td>2012–2015</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-2.41 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The averaged VHE–HE gamma-ray SED between 2012 and 2015 is shown in Fig. 3. A simple power law (red line in Fig. 3) is fitted to the combined VHE–HE gamma-ray SED, yielding a spectral index and a flux normalization of $\Gamma = -2.24 \pm 0.01$.

Note: $\ast$: VLBA data at 43 GHz; $\diamond$: EVN data at 5 GHz; $\otimes$: EVN data at 1.7 GHz; $\bullet$: VERITAS Collaboration 2012.

### Table 4

Probability of a constant flux observed in the individual wavebands (see Fig. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waveband</th>
<th>Constant flux probability</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HE</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>192/38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-rays (core)</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>72.29/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-rays (HST-1)</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>48.87/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV (core)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.64/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV (HST-1)</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>32.63/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBA* (1.2 mas)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.37/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBA* (Peak)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.73/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBA* (down jet)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.22/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVN* (core)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5.15/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVN* (HST-1)</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>39.95/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVN* (HST-3)</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>69.27/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERAT* (peak)</td>
<td>$1.95 \times 10^{-273}$</td>
<td>1360/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERAT* (peak)</td>
<td>$5.90 \times 10^{-60}$</td>
<td>287/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\ast$: VLBA data at 43 GHz; $\diamond$: EVN data at 5 GHz; $\otimes$: EVN data at 1.7 GHz; $\bullet$: VERITAS Collaboration 2012.
and $f_{E=E_{0}=100\text{GeV}} = (6.47 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ TeV$^{-1}$, respectively, at normalisation energy $E_{0} = 100$ GeV. While Fermi-LAT data are collected throughout the four years, MAGIC data for reasons of visibility of the source are taken each year during the December–July time window.

### 4 SED MODELLING

In Tavecchio & Ghisellini (2008), a structured-jet model (Ghisellini et al. 2005) is applied, assuming a jet with a fast spine and a slower layer and, thus, two zones to explain the TeV flares. Aleksić et al. (2012) apply this scenario to model the low-state SED in 2005–2007. Lenain et al. (2008) propose that the flaring emission would occur while the jet is collimating, and Georganopoulos et al. (2005) while it is decelerating. An alternative process to explain these VHE gamma-ray flares was proposed by Giannios et al. (2010), which is based on misaligned mini-jets driven by magnetic reconnection moving within the jet at relativistic velocities. Istomin & Sol (2009) propose a two-step acceleration model to TeV energies involving initial particle acceleration within the accretion disc and then, further, centrifugal acceleration in the rotating magnetosphere. Levinson & Rieger (2011) discuss the variable TeV emission to be possibly produced in a starved magnetospheric region.

Despite the long-term investment in M 87 monitoring, the production site of TeV gamma rays remains unclear, with strong hints, however, that it will be close to the core of the jet (some 40–100$R_{S}$ from the black hole), suggested by the correlation with radio and X-ray activities (Acciari et al. 2008). HST-1 has also been discussed as a possible production site of the TeV emission because of a rapid TeV flare in 2006 (Harris et al. 2006), which coincides with an enhanced X-ray flux of this knot, while no enhanced flux from the nucleus is observed. However, the association with HST-1 seems unlikely given the absence of radio or X-ray short-time-scale variabilities detected from this region, whereas several occasions of gamma-ray variability on daily time-scales were seen. Another caveat to this interpretation is given by the fact that VLBA data have shown the compact knots in HST-1 to be essentially unresolved, approaching the size limits set by the TeV-emission variability, as reported in Cheung, Harris & Stawarz (2007).

In this paper, the question whether a self-consistent modelling of a single emission region can explain the observed data in the low-flux state and what consequences such scenario would have is investigated. Fig. 4 shows the MWL SED of the radio core of M 87. To build the SED, quasi-simultaneous data are used, taken between 2012 and 2015 from MAGIC, Fermi-LAT, Chandra, HST, EVN at 1.7 GHz and 5 GHz, and VLBA at 43 GHz. NUV data are corrected for interstellar extinction following Cardelli et al. (1989). No averaging is performed for the low-energy data from radio to X-ray, where variability has been observed.

As no clear variability in the TeV regime is detected, the model constraints are relaxed with respect to those for flaring states. The acceleration and emission zones are assumed to be directly connected, representing the downstream region of a shock front. Additionally, the relevant parts in the quasi-simultaneous MWL data connected to the TeV gamma-ray emitting zone are assumed to be the HE gamma-ray data from Fermi-LAT and the X-ray data detected for the core region by Chandra. In general, radio emission tends to have a spatially extended emission region, and can be subject to synchrotron self-absorption in the core region due to a high magnetic field strength leading to a high opacity in this band. However, usually this absorption effect is more severe for hadronic models than it is for leptonic scenarios, where a less strong magnetic field is required. We therefore assume the radio emission observed by VLBA and EVN originates from a larger region. The NUV data from HST detected for the core of M 87 presumably have origin from a region much closer to the black hole and where the jet is launched, as suggested by general relativistic radiation
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First, the leptonic model is applied to account for the broadband spectrum of M 87 with the numerical code in Asano et al. 2014, neglecting Fermi-II acceleration (see also Asano & Hayashida 2015, 2018). The code calculates the temporal evolution of the electron and photon energy distributions in the plasma rest frame along the jet (at radius R from the black hole), which is similar to the blazar code in Moderski, Sikora & Błazejowski 2003 (for application examples, see, e.g. Kataoka et al. 2008; Hayashida et al. 2012). Here, a steady conical outflow is assumed, in which the temporal evolution along the jet is equivalent to the radial evolution. The conically expanding jet naturally leads to the adiabatic cooling of electrons, which is a similar effect to the electron escape in one-zone steady models. In this one-dimensional (1D) code, the parameter for the electron escape is not required. The magnetic field decreases as \( B = B_0 (R/R_0)^{-1} \). The macroscopic model parameters are the Lorentz factor \( \Gamma_L \), the initial radius \( R_0 \) (distance from the black hole), the initial magnetic field \( B_0 \), the electron luminosity \( L_e \) (including the counter jet), the jet opening angle \( \theta_j \), and the viewing angle \( \theta_v \). Here, \( \Gamma_L = 3, \theta_j = 1/\Gamma_L = 19^\circ \), and \( \theta_v = 15^\circ \), and half-opening angle of the jet are adopted (Biretta et al. 1999; Acciari et al. 2009; Walker et al. 2018), where the half-opening angle is well below the average apparent full-opening angle inferred from radio observations (Walker et al. 2018). Electrons are injected during the dynamical time-scale \( R_0/(c\Gamma_L) \) in the plasma rest frame. In this time-scale, the injection rate into a given volume \( V \propto R^2 \) is constant. The evolutions of the electron energy distribution and photon emission are calculated as far as \( R = 30R_0 \), taking into account synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering with the Klein–Nishina effect, gamma–gamma absorption, secondary pair injection, synchrotron self-absorption, and adiabatic cooling. The model parameters for the electron injection spectrum are the minimum and maximum electron Lorentz factors (\( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \)), the location of the break in the electron energy distribution (\( \gamma_{\text{br}} \)), and power-law indices \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) for below and above \( \gamma_{\text{br}} \), respectively. The parameter values are summarized in Table 5.

Secondly, a hybrid model is applied assuming that protons and electrons are accelerated in the jet. The fully time-dependent implementation is based on the geometry of Weidinger & Spanier (2010). The acceleration mechanism and the implementation of all leptonic processes are adopted from Richter & Spanier (2016), and the photodissociative framework is implemented following Hünter et al. (2010). The acceleration of particles is closely modelled to the Fermi-I acceleration. Under the assumption that the particle distribution is quickly reaching isotropy in the downstream region of the shock, the model follows the evolution of the injected, monoenergetic particle distribution towards a power law. The shape of the particle distribution and the relevant time-scales follow consistently from the input shock parameters.

The simulated SED, computed with the hybrid model that best describes the observed broad-band SED, is shown in Fig. 4 (blue dashed line) together with the available quasi-simultaneous data. It is not clear whether a unique set of parameters exists for describing the SED, and the high dimensionality of the parameter space does...
not allow for $\chi^2$ fitting. The community standard is therefore to optimize the SED modelling by manual parameter changes until data points, and especially slopes, are agreeing with the observed SED. In the hybrid model, the radio-to-X-ray radiations originate from the synchrotron emission of electrons. The emission at HE, due to the high magnetic field and the assumption of protons being injected into the acceleration zone, is dominated by the synchrotron emission of protons in this case. The parameters used for the presented fit are summarized in Table 5, and the most important parameters of the model are described in Table 6.

### Table 5. Parameters used for the models shown in Fig. 4. Parameters of the Leptonic model are described in the text. Parameters of the hybrid model are explained in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leptonic</th>
<th>$\Gamma_1$</th>
<th>$R_0$ (10$^{17}$ cm)</th>
<th>$B_0$ (mg)</th>
<th>$L_{\gamma}$ (10$^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\theta_0$ (°)</th>
<th>$\theta_\gamma$ (°)</th>
<th>$Y_{\min}$</th>
<th>$Y_{br}$</th>
<th>$Y_{\max}$</th>
<th>$p_1$</th>
<th>$p_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.4 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>3.0 × 10$^7$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hybrid</th>
<th>$R_{acc}$ (10$^{15}$ cm)</th>
<th>$R_{rad}$ (10$^{15}$ cm)</th>
<th>$N_{inj}^{e}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{inj}^{e}$</th>
<th>$N_{inj}^{p}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{inj}^{p}$</th>
<th>$\eta$ (10$^{30}$ cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\eta_{inj}^{e}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{inj}^{p}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Description of the parameters used in the hybrid modelling of the broad-band SED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{rad}$</td>
<td>Size of the radiation zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{acc}$</td>
<td>Size of the acceleration zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{inj}$</td>
<td>Energy injected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_S$</td>
<td>Shock speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Shock compression ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{D}$</td>
<td>Doppler factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The physical picture of the M 87 jet remains unrevealed yet, which provides great motivation for future observational and theoretical study of this object and blazars in general.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

MAGIC has monitored M 87 from 2012 to 2015 for a total of 156 h after quality cuts. The source is detected at a low state in every yearly campaign between 2012 and 2015. No clear variability is observed in the 2012, 2014, and 2015 data on daily and ~20-d time-scales. A hint of variability (\sim 3 $\sigma$ level) is found in 2013 data on a daily time-scale, remaining at a similar significance level even when variable systematic uncertainties of the MAGIC measurements are taken into account. The VHE gamma-ray flux level above 300 GeV between 2012 and 2015 is the lowest flux observed since 2005.
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Further dense and precision MW observations of M87 are necessary to unveil the nature of the emission and its spatial location.
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