

LJMU Research Online

Hulshof, HG, van Dijk, APJ, Hopman, MTE, van der Sluijs, CF, George, KP, Oxborough, D and Thijssen, DHJ

The Acute Impact of Changes to Haemodynamic Load on the Left Ventricular Strain-Volume Relationship in Young and Older Men.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12276/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Hulshof, HG, van Dijk, APJ, Hopman, MTE, van der Sluijs, CF, George, KP, Oxborough, D and Thijssen, DHJ (2020) The Acute Impact of Changes to Haemodynamic Load on the Left Ventricular Strain-Volume Relationship in Young and Older Men. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory.

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	THE ACUTE IMPACT OF CHANGES TO HAEMODYNAMIC
2	LOAD ON THE LEFT VENTRICULAR STRAIN-VOLUME
3	RELATIONSHIP IN YOUNG AND OLDER MEN
4	
5	HUGO G. HULSHOF ¹
6	Arie P. van Dijk ¹
7	MARIA T.E. HOPMAN ¹
8	CHRIS F. VAN DER SLUIJS ¹
9	KEITH P. $GEORGE^2$
10	DAVID L. OXBOROUGH ^{2*}
11	DICK H.J. THIJSSEN ^{1,2*}
12	* = BOTH AUTHORS CONTRIBUTED EQUALLY
13	
14	¹ Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Departments of Physiology and Cardiology, Radboud
15	University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
16	² Research institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University,
17	Liverpool, United Kingdom
18	
19	
20	Short title: ε-volume loop detects afterload increase
21	
22	
23	
24	Author for correspondence:
25	Author for correspondence: Prof. Dick H.J. Thijssen, Department of Physiology
26	Radboud University Medical Center, Phililps van Leijdenlaan 15, 6525 EX, Nijmegen, The
27	Netherlands, Email: dick.thijssen@radboudumc.nl, Tel: (+31)24 36 14222, Fax: (+31)24 366
28	8340
29	

30 ABSTRACT

31 **Objectives**. Chronic changes in left ventricular (LV) haemodynamics, for example induced by 32 increased afterload (i.e., hypertension), mediate changes in LV function. This study examined 33 the proof-of-concept that: 1. the LV longitudinal strain (ε) -volume loop is sensitive to detect an 34 acute increase in afterload, and 2. these effects differ between healthy young versus older men. 35 Methods. Thirty-five healthy male volunteers were recruited including 19 young (24±2 yr) and 36 16 older participants (67±5 yr). Tests were performed prior to, during and after 10-min recovery 37 from acute manipulation of afterload. Real-time haemodynamic data were obtained and LV 38 longitudinal ϵ -volume loops were calculated from 4-chamber images using 2D-39 echocardiography.

40 **Results.** Inflation of the anti-G-suit resulted in an immediate increase in heart rate, blood 41 pressure and systemic vascular resistance, and a decrease in stroke volume (all P<0.05). This 42 was accompanied by a decrease in LV peak ε , slower slope of the ε -volume relationship during 43 early diastole, increase in uncoupling (i.e., compared to systole; little change in ε per volume 44 decline during early diastole and large changes in ε per volume decline during late diastole) (all 45 P<0.05). All values returned to baseline levels after recovery (all P>0.05). Manipulation of 46 cardiac haemodynamics caused comparable effects in young *versus* older men (all P>0.05).

47 Conclusions. Acute increases in afterload immediately change the diastolic phase of the LV
48 longitudinal ε-volume loop in young and older men. This supports the potency of the LV
49 longitudinal ε-volume loop to provide novel insights into *dynamic* cardiac function in humans
50 *in vivo*.

51

52 **KEYWORDS:** cardiac adaptation, ε-volume loop, haemodynamics, echocardiography,

53 ultrasound

54 INTRODUCTION

55 The ability to provide sufficient cardiac output is constantly challenged by beat-to-beat changes in haemodynamic loading (preload, afterload), contractility and heart rate.(8, 15, 23) Acute 56 57 changes in left ventricular (LV) haemodynamics and heart rate will alter LV mechanics(1, 4, 5, 14, 17, 24), such as LV peak longitudinal strain $(\varepsilon)(4, 14, 24)$, circumferential ε (1, 4, 14, 24), 58 59 and peak torsion(5), and thus LV function. Chronic exposure to such changes can lead to LV remodeling affecting both LV structure and function.(15) However, current measures for LV 60 61 function, such as LV peak longitudinal ε , LV ejection fraction (LVEF) or mitral flow velocities 62 all provide only partial insights of the effects on LV function.

63

64 In a series of studies, we introduced a novel echocardiographic-based method to provide insight into dynamic LV function, using non-invasive simultaneous measures of longitudinal ε and 65 66 volume (i.e. LV longitudinal ε-volume loop) across a cardiac cycle.(10, 11, 13, 18) Concomitant 67 ε -volume analysis provides insight in the relative contribution of longitudinal ε to volume 68 change during systole and diastole, and thus mechanical contribution to changes LV function. 69 We previously observed that a chronic increase in afterload, induced by aortic stenosis, is 70 associated with less coherence between the systolic and diastolic ɛ-volume relation, indicating 71 a shift in mechanical (i.e. longitudinal ε) contributions to volume change in either systole or 72 diastole. (10) This highlights the ability of the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop to provide novel 73 and potentially clinically relevant insight into both systolic and diastolic dynamic LV function 74 in response to chronic (patho)physiological stimuli affecting haemodynamics in humans in 75 vivo. Whether this technique is also able to detect changes in dynamic LV function upon acute changes in afterload and thus contributes to a better understanding of (patho)physiological 76 77 effects of various stimuli on the heart is currently unknown.

Ageing is known to affect cardiac structure and function(6, 12) and is associated with increased
ventricular stiffness(19) as well as reduced ventricular compliance.(7) Ageing is therefore
associated with impaired cardiac responses to physiological stimuli such as exercise.(22)
Accordingly, older age may influence cardiac responses to acute changes in LV workload.
Whether older age alters the shape of the LV longitudinal ε-volume loop or contributes to a
different response of the LV longitudinal ε-volume loop to acute changes in LV
haemodynamics is yet to be determined.

86

87 First, this study explores the impact of an acute increase in LV afterload on the coherence 88 between systolic and diastolic dynamic LV function (i.e. LV longitudinal ε-volume loop) in 89 healthy volunteers (Aim 1). In line with our previous observation of chronic elevation in 90 afterload(10, 11), we expect that an acute increase in afterload results in less coherence between 91 the systolic and diastolic phase of the LV longitudinal ε-volume loop. Second, we examined 92 the hypothesis that participants of older age will show less coherence between the systolic and 93 diastolic phase of the LV longitudinal ε-volume loop at baseline compared to younger 94 participants, whilst this lack of coherence will not affect the ability to detect an acute increase 95 in LV afterload in older participants (Aim 2).

96

97

98 METHODS

99 *Ethics approval*

Ethics approval was obtained from the Radboud University Medical Center ethics committee to perform the proposed work (reference number 2016-3166). This study was registered at the Netherlands Trial Register (NTR6349). This study conforms to the standards set by the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki. 104

105 Study population

106 Forty-two healthy male participants were recruited within the social environment of the study 107 team and colleagues, including 20 young participants (24 ± 2 yr) and 22 older participants (67 ± 5 108 yr). All participants received a standardized screening protocol, consisting of measurements of 109 blood pressure, weight, height, 12-lead ECG and a health questionnaire. Participants were 110 excluded in the presence of diagnosed hypertension (or usage of antihypertensive medication), 111 diabetes mellitus, the use of medication which influences cardiovascular function, ECG 112 abnormalities or in case of a history of or presently existing cardiovascular diseases. A medical 113 doctor cleared all patients prior to inclusion, taking into account the likelihood of present 114 hypertension and a history of, or present cardiovascular diseases. Before final inclusion all 115 participants provided written informed consent. Additional data regarding the included study 116 population can be found in Table 1.

117

118 Study design

119 A within-subject design was adopted to explore the impact of changes in LV afterload upon 120 dynamic LV function (Aim 1). In addition, a between-subject design was adopted to explore the impact of age upon dynamic LV function at baseline as well as after acute increase in 121 122 afterload (Aim 2). Assessment was performed prior to, during and after recovery from an acute 123 increase in afterload. To increase LV afterload, an anti-gravity suit (ANTI-G Garment Cutaway 124 CSU-13B/P; a garment fitted with inflatable bladders, capable of applying pressure on the 125 abdomen and lower extremities) was used.(16) The anti-G suit predominantly increases 126 afterload at inflation pressure > 70 mmHg. Nonetheless secondary adaptive mechanisms may 127 also simultaneously influence preload and cardiac contractility(16), as often observed when 128 manipulating cardiac haemodynamics.

129

130 Due to instability after inflation of the anti-G suit in left-lateral supine position, all 131 measurements were performed supine position. Participants were zipped into the anti-G-suit, 132 placed at an echo table and instructed to relax for approximately 10 minutes to allow the 133 haemodynamic system to adjust to the supine position. Hereafter a series of baseline 134 measurements were performed (Phase 1). Subsequently, the anti-G suit was inflated to a 135 pressure 10 mmHg above systolic blood pressure (SBP). After 1-minute a second series of 136 measurements was performed (Phase 2). The anti-G suit was then cautiously deflated and a 137 second resting period of 10 minutes was applied to allow the haemodynamic system to recover. 138 Subsequently, a third series of measurements was performed (Phase 3) to determine whether 139 haemodynamics and echocardiographic measures normalize after a recovery period and thus 140 relate to inflation of the anti-G suit. The participant was then disconnected from all devices and 141 given the necessary time to recuperate.

142

143 Procedures

144 *Central haemodynamics.* The Nexfin HD monitor (BMEYE, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) 145 was used to obtain data on the central haemodynamical changes that occurred between the 3 146 measurement phases. Estimates of blood flow, blood pressure and vascular resistance were 147 obtained, using an inflatable finger cuff connected to the left index finger. The data were stored 148 locally and analysed with MATLAB R2014b (Matworks Inc., Massachusetts, United States). 149 For all 3 phases, periods of 5 seconds (time-matched to the echocardiographic data) were 150 extracted from the Nexfin data. The mean values of these 5 second periods were used to define 151 the heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean arterial 152 pressure (MAP), systemic vascular resistance (SVR) and an estimate of contractility (dP/dT).

154 LV volumes and cardiac output. Echocardiographic data were obtained using a Vivid E9 155 ultrasound machine (GE Medical System, Horton, Norway) with a 1.5-4 MHZ phased array 156 transducer. The data were stored in raw DICOM format in a local archive of the Department of 157 Physiology at the Radboud University Medical Center (Nijmegen). Echocardiographic images 158 were acquired in accordance with the recommendations of the American Society of 159 Echocardiography (ASE) by a single experienced researcher from the RadboudUMC 160 (Nijmegen, the Netherlands) with the patient in the supine position. Standard apical 4, 2 and 3 161 chamber views were collected as well as a flow velocity measurement in the aortic outflow tract 162 (used to determine valve closure time). Images were optimized using gain, compression and 163 reject to ensure adequate endocardial delineation. Frame-rates were standardized at 56 fps and 164 a focal zone was positioned at mid-cavity to reduce the impact of beam divergence.

165

Data were analysed using commercially available software (EchoPac version 113.05, GE
Medical, Horten, Norway). LV end diastolic volume (LVEDV), LV end systolic volume
(LVESV), LV stroke volume (LVSV) and LV ejection fraction (LVEF) were calculated using
Simpson's biplane method utilizing both apical four and two chamber orientations.

170

171 *Dynamic LV function.* For the LV longitudinal ε -volume loops an apical four chamber view was 172 used to assess simultaneous LV longitudinal ε and volume. Myocardial ε and volume were 173 assessed offline using the apical 4 chamber view and dedicated software (EchoPac V113.05, 174 GE Healthcare, Horton, Norway). A region of interest (ROI) was placed from the basal septum 175 to the basal lateral wall of the LV enclosing the myocardium. The ROI was divided in six 176 myocardial segments, providing segmental and global longitudinal ε . Global longitudinal ε was 177 used for subsequent analysis.

179 Temporal global longitudinal ε values were exported to a spreadsheet (Excel, Microsoft Corp, 180 Washington, US). Global temporal longitudinal ε values were divided in 300 ε values for systole 181 and 300 ε values for diastole by cubic spline interpolation. For both systole and diastole, these 182 300ε values were split into 5% increments of the cardiac cycle providing longitudinal ε values 183 at 11 time points in systole and 11 time points in diastole. Concomitant time points for the ε 184 values were used in the same image and cardiac cycle to trace monoplane LV volumes to 185 provide simultaneous ε and volume values. For each measurement phase a LV longitudinal ε -186 volume loop was created for each participant after which a mean LV longitudinal ε-volume 187 loops for each phase was calculated.

188

189 Using the individual LV longitudinal ϵ -volume loops a linear regression line and a polynomial 190 of two orders were applied to both diastolic and systolic parts of the loop. This derived 191 polynomial equation allowed the derivation of ε-values per % increment of LVEDV (i.e. a value 192 for ε at 100% of LVEDV, at 99% of LVEDV etc.), within the working range of the heart. The 193 longitudinal ε -volume relationship was assessed by 1) linear slope of systolic ε -volume relation 194 during the first 5% of volume change (ESslope), 2) linear slope of ε -volume relation during 195 systole (Sslope), 3) End-systolic peak ε (peak ε), 4) Diastolic uncoupling (i.e. difference systolic 196 vs diastolic ε at the same volume), during early filling (UNCOUP ED), 5) during atrial 197 contraction (UNCOUP LD), 6) during the entire cardiac cycle (UNCOUP) 7) linear slope of 198 diastolic ε -volume relation during the first 5% of volume change (EDslope) and 8) linear slope 199 of diastolic ɛ-volume relation during the last 5% of volume change (LDslope). Parameters 2 till 200 5 were collected as previously described.(10) Parameter 1, 7 and 8 were calculated as the 201 gradient of the linear regression line, over the by polynomial equation derived ε -values and 202 matching volumes for the first or last 5% of volume change within the working range of the 203 heart, providing insight in the contribution of early and late contraction and or relaxation to

volume change. Parameter 6 was calculated as the mean difference between all values for systolic and diastolic ε contribution at a certain % of LVEDV within the working range of the heart, presenting a normalized estimation of the area between the curves (Figure 1, adapted from(10)). Previously collected data on the intra-user variability of the loop characteristics presented good to excellent (0.737-0.950) intraclass correlations for our loop characteristics.(10)

210

211 Statistical analysis

212 Data for each time point is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Normality of data distribution was examined using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In case of non-Gaussian 213 214 distribution, log-transformation was applied after which the data were re-examined. A two-215 way repeated measures ANOVA (IBM SPSS statistics version 23) was used to assess the effect 216 of an afterload increase on the LV longitudinal ε-volume loop (time: baseline versus anti-G suit 217 versus recovery) and whether this effect differed between young and older participants 218 (time*age). In case of significant differences across time, a Bonferonni Post Hoc analysis was 219 applied to establish differences between pairwise time point comparisons. To provide further 220 insight in the temporal changes within the systolic or diastolic ϵ -volume relation in response to 221 inflation of the anti-G suit, the contribution of ε to volume change during both systole and 222 diastole was assessed by comparison of the ε -values at 10% increments of EDV.

223

224

225 **RESULTS**

226 Study population

Five out of the 42 recruited patients were excluded prior to the measurements due to hypertension (n=2), atrial fibrillation (n=2), presence of an old undiagnosed myocardial infarction (n=1) observed during medical screening. One participant failed to complete the testing session and one participant did not attend, resulting in 35 participants who completed all procedures, consisting of 19 young and 16 older participants.

232

233 Aim 1: Acute increase in LV afterload

Central haemodynamics (Nexfin). Immediately after inflation of the anti-G suit an increase in
HR, DBP, MAP and SVR was observed (all P<0.05, Table 2). No changes in SBP and dP/dT
were present (Table 2). Central haemodynamics returned to baseline upon recovery (all P>0.05,
Table 2).

LV volumes and cardiac output. A small, but significant decrease was present in LVEDV,
LVSV and LVEF (all P<0.05) immediately after inflation of the anti-G suit, while no changes
in LVESV were observed (Table 3). LV characteristics returned to baseline during recovery

241 (all P>0.05, Table 3).

242 Dynamic LV function (ε -volume loop). A marked change in the shape of the LV longitudinal ε -243 volume loop was present after inflation of the anti-G suit (Figure 2). A significant decrease in 244 peak ε and EDslope was present, whilst a significant increase in UNCOUP_ED, UNCOUP_LD, UNCOUP and LDslope was observed (all P<0.05). No changes in Sslope and ESslope were 245 246 present. (Table 3). All LV longitudinal ε-volume loop parameters returned to baseline during 247 recovery (all P>0.05, Table 3). Inflation of the anti-G suit did not change systolic ε contribution 248 to volume change at 90, 80, 70, 60 and 50% of EDV (all P>0.05), whilst a significant decrease 249 was found in diastolic ε contribution to volume change at 90, 80, 70 and 60% of EDV after 250 inflation of the Anti-G suit (all P<0.05, Table 4).

251

252 Aim 2: Effects of age on acute increase in afterload

Central haemodynamics (Nexfin). At baseline, older participant presented with higher SBP, DBP, MAP and SVR (Table 2). Acute manipulation of the afterload induced comparable changes in central haemodynamics compared to young subjects, except for a significantly larger increase in DBP in older participants compared to younger participants (Table 2).

LV volumes and cardiac output. No significant differences in echocardiographic measures of LV structure or function were present at baseline (Table 3). Older participants showed a comparable decrease in measures of LV volumes and cardiac output in response to inflation of the anti-G-suit compared to their younger peers (Table 3, Figure 3).

261 *Dynamic LV function (\varepsilon-volume loop).* At baseline, older participants presented less coherence 262 between the systolic and diastolic ε -volume relation, and showed significantly higher values for 263 UNCOUP_ED, UNCOUP_LD and UNCOUP as well as LDslope (Table 3). Older subjects also 264 demonstrated a smaller change in diastolic ε contribution to volume change at 90, 80, 70, 60 265 and 50% of EDV compared to young subjects (Table 4). No significant differences were found 266 between young and older subjects in the changes in dynamic LV function upon inflation of the 267 anti-G suit (Table 3+4, figure 4A and 4B).

268

269

270 **DISCUSSION**

We present the following novel findings; (1) An acute increase in LV afterload resulted in uncoupling between the systolic and diastolic parts LV longitudinal ε -volume loop, (2) despite a decrease in peak ε , no further changes in the systolic ε -volume relationship were observed, while a shift of the diastolic ε -volume relationship was present (for any given volume, the longitudinal length is shorter during diastole than in systole), highlighting the importance of examining diastolic characteristics, (3) older participants presented with greater uncoupling of the systolic and diastolic ε -volume relation at baseline compared to their younger peers, whilst

no differences were found between groups for any of the traditional measures for LV volumes 278 279 and cardiac output, and (4) acute manipulation of LV afterload in older men resulted in similar 280 effects on the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop compared to young healthy males. Taken together, 281 these data indicate that an acute increase in LV afterload leads to changes in diastolic LV 282 function that can be detected using the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop, with younger and older 283 humans demonstrating similar changes in LV longitudinal ɛ-volume loop characteristics in 284 response to acute increases in LV afterload. This highlights the potency of the LV longitudinal 285 ε-volume loop in providing additional information on the effect of (patho)physiological stimuli 286 on dynamic LV function.

287

288 Effects of anti-G suit inflation on the systolic ε -volume relation

289 After inflation of the anti-G suit we observed an increase in MAP and SVR, findings which can 290 be expected in the presence of an increase in afterload. In line with the findings of Donal et 291 al.(4), who reported a small decrease in peak ε with an acute increase in LV afterload, we 292 confirmed the presence of a small decrease in peak ε after inflation of the Anti-G suit. Despite 293 the reduction in peak ε , we found no change in the magnitude of LV longitudinal shortening 294 per 10% increment of LV volume change (Table 4). This indicates that an immediate increase 295 in LV afterload does not importantly change the temporal relation between LV volume and 296 longitudinal ε during systole.

297

298 Effects of anti-G suit inflation on the diastolic ε-volume relation

In contrast to the systolic phase, the diastolic phase of the ε-volume loop presented marked
changes in response to changes in central haemodynamics. First, under unloaded conditions,
early diastolic rapid LV relaxation creates an atrioventricular pressure gradient across the mitral
valve, causing the LV to suck blood from the left atrium (LA) to the LV (i.e. early filling).(9)

303 During early filling, LV longitudinal strain relaxation dominates volume displacement, leading 304 to a relatively large decrease in strain for a given decline in LV volume. After the early filling, 305 when the atrioventricular pressure gradient approaches 0 mmHg, the LA contracts to increase 306 atrial pressure and push blood from the LA to the LV (i.e. atrial filling). During this phase, 307 volume displacement by atrial contraction dominates LV longitudinal relaxation. Consequently, 308 the diastolic relation between LV longitudinal ε-volume crosses the systolic relation between 309 LV longitudinal ε -volume, as presented in Figure 2. Our study adds the novel observation that 310 inflation of the Anti-G-suit caused a rightward shift of the diastolic ε-volume relation. This shift 311 suggests a more dominant role for atrial volume displacement compared to LV longitudinal 312 relaxation during LV filling. Indeed, an attenuated EDslope and smaller change in longitudinal 313 ϵ value across a 10% increment of EDV (Table 4) confirms the reduced contribution of LV 314 longitudinal deformation to LV filling upon an increase in LV afterload, which may explain the 315 observed slightly reduced LVEDV. A possible explanation for these characteristic changes in 316 the diastolic relation between LV longitudinal ε-volume during elevation in afterload is an 317 alteration or delay in LV untwist.(2) Dong et al. showed that LV torsion is impaired under the 318 influence of an increase in afterload.(5) Impairment of LV torsion results in less twist and 319 energy storage in the myocardial fibers during the (systolic) ejection phase and, consequently, 320 less energy release during early diastole (i.e. recoil) to facilitate LV suction and thus filling.(2, 321 21) Taken together, our results indicate that an increase in afterload leads to a characteristic 322 shift in the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop, especially during diastole, that may contribute to 323 less suction and less effective filling of the ventricle. Assessing LV longitudinal ε-volume loop 324 characteristics alongside other ventricular mechanics could potentially provide complemental 325 data and should be subject of future studies.

326

327 Effects of age

328 Ageing is related to an increase in arterial stiffness causing an increase in systemic blood 329 pressure and LV afterload.(3) In line with this we observed higher blood pressure and SVR at 330 baseline in our older participants compared to younger participants. We did not observe any 331 age-related differences in the characteristics of the systolic phase of the LV longitudinal ε-332 volume loop or contribution of ε to volume change (Table 4). However, young and older 333 subjects demonstrate differences in the diastolic phase of the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop. 334 Specifically, older participants showed a rightward shift of the diastolic ε -volume relation. This 335 rightward shift may reflect an age-related reduction in ventricular compliance, caused by an 336 increase in LV stiffness. Using invasive cardiac catheterisation Fujimoto et al.(7) observed 337 preserved systolic LV function in a healthy ageing population, but steepening of the diastolic 338 pressure-volume relationship in healthy older participants (i.e. reduction in ventricular 339 compliance). These findings support the presence of age-related differences in the LV 340 longitudinal ε-volume loop, with specific changes in diastolic LV function.

341

342 After inflation of the Anti-G suit, both young and older participants showed a similar increase 343 in uncoupling with comparable declines in LVEDV and SV. This indicates that, although the 344 longitudinal structure-function relationship in older participants is altered under resting 345 conditions, an increase in LV afterload leads to a characteristic change in dynamic cardiac 346 function, especially visible during diastole. This similarity in responsiveness between young 347 and older participants may partially be explained by the inclusion of healthy older individuals, 348 who demonstrated no structural or functional impairment of the heart. Our findings cannot be 349 simply extrapolated to subjects with impaired cardiac function and/or presence of 350 cardiovascular disease.

351

352 Limitations. Due to the nature of our intervention, we were not able to perform a full

353 echocardiographic assessment at all time points. We chose to collect images that were important 354 for our ε-volume loop analysis. As a result, we were unable to determine all standard diastolic 355 echocardiographic parameters, including twist or torsion parameters. In addition, current ε-356 volume loop analysis has been performed on 2D-4CH images, using monoplane derived 357 volumes. Due to temporal differences between the 4CH and 2CH images, bi-plane volumes 358 cannot be derived for this purpose. Optimizing current techniques through Tri-plane or 3D-359 imaging is warranted. Another limitation is that besides an increase in afterload the anti-G suit 360 also affects preload and cardiac contractility(16) in order to adapt to the inflated anti-G 361 garments and increased afterload. We indeed observed a slight decrease in LVEDV, suggesting 362 presence of a decline in preload. The effects of a reduction in preload on the ε -volume loop 363 have been previously examined by Schneider *et al.*, who observed a decrease in peak ε , but no 364 changes in the shape of the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop.(20) This means that the changes in 365 the LV longitudinal ε -volume loop in our study can be primarily attributed to the alterations in 366 LV afterload. Finally, in current study an normalized estimation of the area between the curves 367 was utilized to measure the coherence between the systolic and diastolic phase of the LV strain-368 volume loop. Automatizing the current method should allow for calculating a more global area 369 between the curve value and should be subject of future studies.

370

In conclusion, our findings indicate that an acute increase in LV afterload may lead to immediate and afterload-dependent changes within the diastolic phase of the LV longitudinal ϵ -volume loop, and thus less coherence between systolic and diastolic phase of the LV longitudinal ϵ -volume loop. Although older participants demonstrated an age-related decline in longitudinal relaxation at rest, an acute increase in LV afterload results in similar changes in coherence of the LV longitudinal ϵ -volume loop characteristics as in younger participants. The potential clinical implication of our observations is that the LV longitudinal ϵ -volume loop may 378 provide novel insight into dynamic cardiac function, which may help to understand and explain

the impact of (patho)physiological processes on the heart *in vivo* in humans.

380

381 *Perspectives and Significance*

With this study we provide novel insights into our understanding of the influence of haemodynamical changes on systolic and diastolic cardiac function by demonstrating that changes in afterload lead to suppression of diastolic relaxation. The ability of our (novel) technique to simultaneously assess systolic and diastolic ventricular function in a non-invasive way provides major advantages over current techniques and provide a novel quick method to assess overall ventricular function in the near future.

388

389 Acknowledgements

We thank Anissa Tsonas for her assistance in recruiting the subjects and performingmeasurements within this study.

392

Sources of Funding

394 This study was supported by a junior researcher grant from the Radboud Institute for Health

395 Sciences.

396

397 **Disclosures**

398 None

- 400
- 401

402 **REFERENCES**

Burns AT, La Gerche A, D'Hooge J, MacIsaac AI, and Prior DL. Left ventricular
strain and strain rate: characterization of the effect of load in human subjects. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 11: 283-289, 2010.

Burns AT, La Gerche A, Prior DL, and Macisaac AI. Left ventricular untwisting is
an important determinant of early diastolic function. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2: 709-716,
2009.

409 3. Cheitlin MD. Cardiovascular physiology-changes with aging. *Am J Geriatr Cardiol* 12:
410 9-13, 2003.

4. Donal E, Bergerot C, Thibault H, Ernande L, Loufoua J, Augeul L, Ovize M, and
412 Derumeaux G. Influence of afterload on left ventricular radial and longitudinal systolic
413 functions: a two-dimensional strain imaging study. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 10: 914-921, 2009.

5. Dong SJ, Hees PS, Huang WM, Buffer SA, Jr., Weiss JL, and Shapiro EP.
Independent effects of preload, afterload, and contractility on left ventricular torsion. *Am J Physiol* 277: H1053-1060, 1999.

Fleg JL, and Strait J. Age-associated changes in cardiovascular structure and function:
a fertile milieu for future disease. *Heart Fail Rev* 17: 545-554, 2012.

Fujimoto N, Hastings JL, Bhella PS, Shibata S, Gandhi NK, Carrick-Ranson G,
Palmer D, and Levine BD. Effect of ageing on left ventricular compliance and distensibility
in healthy sedentary humans. *J Physiol* 590: 1871-1880, 2012.

422 8. Fukuta H, and Little WC. The cardiac cycle and the physiologic basis of left
423 ventricular contraction, ejection, relaxation, and filling. *Heart Fail Clin* 4: 1-11, 2008.

424 9. Garcia MJ. Left ventricular filling. *Heart Fail Clin* 4: 47-56, 2008.

Hulshof HG, van Dijk AP, George KP, Hopman MTE, Thijssen DHJ, and
Oxborough DL. Exploratory assessment of left ventricular strain-volume loops in severe aortic
valve diseases. *J Physiol* 595: 3961-3971, 2017.

Hulshof HG, van Dijk AP, George KP, Merkus D, Stam K, van Duin RW, van
Tertholen K, Hopman MTE, Haddad F, Thijssen DHJ, and Oxborough DL.
Echocardiographic-Derived Strain-Area Loop of the Right Ventricle is Related to Pulmonary

431 Vascular Resistance in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 10: 1286432 1288, 2017.

Kaku K, Takeuchi M, Tsang W, Takigiku K, Yasukochi S, Patel AR, Mor-Avi V,
Lang RM, and Otsuji Y. Age-related normal range of left ventricular strain and torsion using
three-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 27: 55-64,
2014.

Lord R, George K, Somauroo J, Stembridge M, Jain N, Hoffman MD, Shave R,
Haddad F, Ashley E, Jones H, and Oxborough D. Alterations in Cardiac Mechanics
Following Ultra-Endurance Exercise: Insights from Left and Right Ventricular AreaDeformation Loops. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 29: 879-887 e871, 2016.

441 14. Lord R, MacLeod D, George K, Oxborough D, Shave R, and Stembridge M.
442 Reduced left ventricular filling following blood volume extraction does not result in
443 compensatory augmentation of cardiac mechanics. *Exp Physiol* 103: 495-501, 2018.

444 15. Mason DT. Regulation of cardiac performance in clinical heart disease. Interactions
 445 between contractile state mechanical abnormalities and ventricular compensatory mechanisms.

446 Am J Cardiol 32: 437-448, 1973.

447 16. Montmerle S, and Linnarsson D. Cardiovascular effects of anti-G suit inflation at 1
448 and 2 G. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 94: 235-241, 2005.

17. Oki T, Fukuda K, Tabata T, Mishiro Y, Yamada H, Abe M, Onose Y, Wakatsuki
T, Iuchi A, and Ito S. Effect of an acute increase in afterload on left ventricular regional wall
motion velocity in healthy subjects. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 12: 476-483, 1999.
18. Oxborough D, Hoemels A, Samouroe J, McClean C, Mistry P, Lord P, Utemi V.

452 18. Oxborough D, Heemels A, Somauroo J, McClean G, Mistry P, Lord R, Utomi V,
453 Jones N, Thijssen D, Sharma S, Osborne R, Sculthorpe N, and George K. Left and right
454 ventricular longitudinal strain-volume/area relationships in elite athletes. *Int J Cardiovasc*455 *Imaging* 32: 1199-1211, 2016.

Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Borlaug BA, Rodeheffer RJ, and Kass DA. Age- and
gender-related ventricular-vascular stiffening: a community-based study. *Circulation* 112:
2254-2262, 2005.

Schneider C, Forsythe L, Somauroo J, George K, and Oxborough D. The impact of
preload reduction with head-up tilt testing on longitudinal and transverse left ventricular
mechanics: a study utilizing deformation volume analysis. *Echo Res Pract* 5: 11-18, 2018.

462 21. Sonnenblick EH. The structural basis and importance of restoring forces and elastic
463 recoil for the filling of the heart. *Eur Heart J* Suppl A: 107-110, 1980.

464 22. Stratton JR, Levy WC, Cerqueira MD, Schwartz RS, and Abrass IB.
465 Cardiovascular responses to exercise. Effects of aging and exercise training in healthy men.
466 *Circulation* 89: 1648-1655, 1994.

467 23. Vincent JL. Understanding cardiac output. Crit Care 12: 174, 2008.

468 24. **Williams AM, Shave RE, Stembridge M, and Eves ND**. Females have greater left 469 ventricular twist mechanics than males during acute reductions to preload. *Am J Physiol Heart*

- 470 *Circ Physiol* 311: H76-84, 2016.
- 471

472 Figure 1 - A schematic view of the methods used to assess the ε -volume loops. The black 473 line represents the ε -volume loop, the thick part represents the systolic phase and the thin line 474 the diastolic phase. We assessed the ε -volume loop by a) ε -volume relation at the first 5% of 475 systole (i.e. ESslope; red dotted line), b) ε -volume relation across the systolic phase (i.e. Sslope, 476 orange dashed line), c) peak ε at end-systole (i.e. peak ε , purple arrow), d) difference in systolic 477 vs diastolic ε during early filling (i.e. UNCOUP ED, pink arrow), e) difference in systolic vs diastolic ɛ during atrial contraction (i.e. UNCOUP_LD, dark blue arrow), f) difference in 478 479 systolic vs diastolic ε during the entire cardiac cycle (ie UNCOUP, light blue area), g) ε-volume 480 relation at the first 5% of diastole (i.e. EDslope, yellow dashed line) and h) ϵ -volume relation 481 at the last 5% of diastole (i.e. ESslope, green dashed line).

482

483 **Figure 2** – Mean ε-volume loops prior to and after acute manipulation of afterload. Data 484 represents mean longitudinal ε-volume loops over the entire study population (n=35) at baseline 485 (black lines) and after an increase in afterload (filled grey lines). The thick lines represent the 486 systolic ε-volume relation, whilst the thin lines represent the diastolic ε-volume relation.

487

Figure 3 – Overview of the influence of an acute afterload manipulation and age on the most important haemodynamic, functional and ε -volume loop parameters. Data represents the mean \pm SEM values for the measurements of LV haemodynamics (i.e. MAP), ε -volume loop characteristics (i.e. UNCOUP) and LV function (i.e. LVEF) at baseline, after acute manipulation of afterload and after a recovery period. The white bars represent young participants while the black bars represent older participants.

494

495 Figure 4 - Mean ε-volume loops prior to and after acute manipulation of afterload in
496 young and older participants. Data represents mean longitudinal ε-volume loops in A) young

497 participants (24±2 years of age, n=19) and B) older participants (67±5 years of age, n=16). The 498 solid black lines represent the ε-volume loop at baseline, whilst the grey lines represent the ε-499 volume loop after an increase in afterload. The thick lines represent the systolic ε-volume 500 relation, whilst the thin lines represent the diastolic ε-volume relation.

Characteristics	Young	Older	P-value
Height (cm)	182±6	180±6	0.28
Weight (kg)	82±12	85±11	0.46
SBP (mmHg)	132±13	144±13	0.01
DBP (mmHg)	80 ± 8	85±6	0.04
HR (BPM)	68±13	70±12	0.66

502 **Table 1 – Population Characteristics**

503 Baseline group characteristics as measured manually during the screening procedure.

504 SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; HR=Heart Rate.

505 **Table 2** – Data represents the mean±SD of central haemodynamic measurements at baseline, after an increase of the afterload and after an recovery

Characteristics		Baseline	Anti-G suit	Recovery	Intervention	Group	Intervention*Group
Central haemodynamics (n=33)							
HR (bpm)	Young	62±14	66±17	62±14	<0.01*	0.80	0.55
	Older	62±10	65±11	60±9			
SBP (mmHg)	Young	133±16	138±16	133±15	0.08	0.02	0.69
	Older	146±30	157±25	150±22			
DBP (mmHg)	Young	73±9	81±11	74±9	<0.01*	<0.01	0.02
	Older	80±13	99±13	85±10			
MAP (mmHg)	Young	93±12	99±12	94±12	<0.01*	<0.01	0.07
	Older	105±16	121±15	109±12			
SVR ($dyn \cdot s/cm^5$)	Young	1026±225	1123±192	1055±239	0.04	<0.01	0.22
	Older	1907±788	2366±914	2145±645			
dP/dT (mmHg/s)	Young	1187±262	1158±287	1210±284	0.72	0.98	0.22
	Older	1216±710	1263±791	1117±616			

506 period in a group of young participants and older participants.

507 Symbols denote P<0.05 between ANTI-G-Suit vs. Baseline and Recovery=*, all 3 Phases=[†] and Baseline vs. ANTI-G-Suit=[‡]. HR=Heart Rate;

508 SBP=Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP=Diastolic Blood Pressure; MAP=Mean Arterial Pressure; SVR=Systemic Vascular Resistance; dP/dT=Delta

509 Pressure/Delta Time;

Dynamic LV function (ε-volume loop)		Baseline	Anti-G suit	Recovery	Intervention	Group	Intervention*Group
ESslope (%/ml)	Young	0.31±0.12	0.31±0.11	0.31±0.16	0.40	0.59	0.44
	Older	0.37±0.15	0.31±0.16	0.31±0.17			
Sslope (%/ml)	Young	0.35 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.07	0.36±0.09	0.24	0.18	0.59
	Older	$0.40{\pm}0.11$	0.38 ± 0.09	0.39±0.16			
Peak ε (%)	Young	-20.3±2.4	-19.0 ± 2.2	-20.3±2.6	<0.01 [†]	0.18	0.06
	Older	-22.0±2.2	-19.9 ± 2.2	-20.9 ± 2.8			
UNCOUP_ED (AU)	Young	-1.32±1.38	0.68 ± 1.54	-0.45±1.79	<0.01*	<0.01	0.68
	Older	-0.23 ± 1.42	2.31±1.35	0.55 ± 1.69			
UNCOUP_LD (AU)	Young	-0.32±1.48	1.09 ± 1.65	0.66±1.73	<0.01 [‡]	<0.01	0.34
	Older	0.91±1.75	2.64±1.34	$1.20{\pm}1.32$			
UNCOUP (AU)	Young	-0.90±1.13	0.82±1.53	-0.08±1.70	<0.01*	<0.01	0.32
	Older	0.15 ± 1.45	2.51±1.44	0.56 ± 1.15			
EDslope (%/ml)	Young	0.50±0.14	0.30±0.11	0.47 ± 0.20	<0.01*	0.12	0.68
	Older	0.43±0.15	0.21±0.10	0.43±0.23			
LDslope (%/ml)	Young	0.15±0.09	0.33±0.12	0.19±0.16	<0.01*	<0.01	0.54
	Older	0.31±0.13	0.47 ± 0.17	0.29±0.12			
LV volumes and cardiac	output (n=30)						
LVEDV (ml)	Young	113±18	107±12	109±14	0.02	0.15	0.70
	Older	102±15	96±23	101±24			
LVESV (ml)	Young	47±9	47±7	47±8	0.81	0.26	0.70
	Older	43±13	42±11	44±13			
LVSV (ml)	Young	65±12	60±7	63±7	<0.01*	0.11	0.74
	Older	58±14	54±14	58±12			
LVEF(%)	Young	58±4	56±4	57±4	0.05 [‡]	0.98	0.84
	Older	58±4	56±4	57±4			

511 **Table 3** – Data represents the mean±SD of echocardiographic measurements at baseline, after an increase of the afterload and after an recovery
 512 period in a group of young participants and older participants.

513 Symbols denote P<0.05 between ANTI-G-Suit vs. Baseline and Recovery=*, all 3 Phases=[†] and Baseline vs. ANTI-G-Suit=[‡]. LVEDV= Left

514 Ventricular End Diastolic Volume; LVESV=Left Ventricular End Systolic Volume; LVEF=Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction

Table 4 – ε -values per 10% increment in volume during systole and diastole

_	-1	-
<u></u>		6
,		

Characteristics		Baseline	Anti-G-suit	Recovery	Intervention	Group	Intervention*Group
<i>Dynamic LV function</i> $(n=35)$							
Systolic ε at 90% EDV	Young	-3.58±1.29	-3.67 ± 1.12	-3.31±1.71	0.50	0.64	0.77
	Older	-3.67±1.47	$-3.30{\pm}1.12$	-3.18±1.59			
Systolic ϵ at 80% EDV	Young	-7.29 ± 1.70	-7.34±1.36	-6.99±2.15	0.33	0.88	0.52
	Older	-7.93±2.24	-6.96±1.86	-6.91±2.31			
Systolic ε at 70% EDV	Young	-11.19±1.92	-11.18±1.45	-10.98 ± 2.39	0.26	0.54	0.38
	Older	-12.30±2.72	-10.94 ± 2.23	-10.99 ± 2.66			
Systolic ε at 60% EDV	Young	-15.28±2.02	15.21±1.70	-15.23±2.52	0.24	0.29	0.30
	Older	-16.77±2.89	-15.24 ± 2.30	-15.45±2.75			
Systolic ϵ at 50% EDV	Young	-19.56±2.29	-19.42±2.50	-19.78 ± 2.89	0.31	0.18	0.36
	Older	-21.35±2.90	-19.86±2.32	-20.27±2.95			
Diastolic ε at 90% EDV	Young	-3.17±1.29	-4.88±1.65	-3.74±1.89	<0.01*	<0.01	0.70
	Older	-4.42±1.35	-6.17±1.42	-4.51±1.41			
Diastolic ε at 80% EDV	Young	-5.98±1.55	-8.55 ± 2.00	-6.69±2.52	<0.01*	<0.01	0.57
	Older	-8.22±1.68	-10.44±1.89	-8.04±1.61			
Diastolic ε at 70% EDV	Young	-9.63±1.84	-12.17±2.04	-10.29±2.73	<0.01*	<0.01	0.42
	Older	-12.30±1.90	-14.09 ± 2.18	-11.79±1.91			
Diastolic ε at 60% EDV	Young	-14.12±2.36	-15.73±1.81	-14.55 ± 2.74	0.02*	<0.01	0.32
	Older	-16.64±2.16	-17.14±2.27	-15.76 ± 2.40			
Diastolic ε at 50% EDV	Young	-19.46±3.35	-19.23±1.65	-19.46±3.11	0.24	<0.01	0.37
	Older	-21.25±2.83	-19.58±2.36	-19.94±3.27			

517 Symbols denote P<0.05 between ANTI-G-Suit vs. Baseline and Recovery=*. EDV=End diastolic volume