High Prevalence of Cannabidiol Use Within Male Professional Rugby Union and League Players: A Quest for Pain Relief and Enhanced Recovery
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Rugby is characterized by frequent high-intensity collisions, resulting in muscle soreness. Players consequently seek strategies to reduce soreness and accelerate recovery, with an emerging method being cannabidiol (CBD), despite anti-doping risks. The prevalence and rationale for CBD use in rugby has not been explored; therefore, we recruited professional male players to complete a survey on CBD. Goodness of fit chi-square ($\chi^2$) was used to assess CBD use between codes and player position. Effects of age on use were determined using $\chi^2$ tests of independence. Twenty-five teams provided 517 player responses. While the majority of players had never used CBD ($p < .001$, $V = 0.24$), 26% had either used it (18%) or were still using it (8%). Significantly more CBD use was observed in rugby union compared with rugby league ($p = .004$, $V = 0.13$), but player position was not a factor ($p = .760$, $V = 0.013$). CBD use increased with players’ age ($p < .001$, $V = 0.28$), with mean use reaching 41% in the players aged 28 years and older category ($p < .0001$). The players using CBD primarily used the Internet (73%) or another teammate (61%) to obtain information, with only 16% consulting a nutritionist. The main reasons for CBD use were improving recovery/pain (80%) and sleep (78%), with 68% of players reporting a perceived benefit. These data highlight the need for immediate education on the risks of CBD, as well as the need to explore the claims regarding pain and sleep.
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It is important to appreciate the fundamental difference between cannabis and CBD. The cannabis plant contains over 100 cannabinoids, with the two most well-known being CBD and tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). Although the exact nomenclature has been argued (Piomelli & Russo, 2016), broadly speaking, the cannabis plant has two major strains—Cannabis indica and Cannabis sativa. The Cannabis sativa L-strain, more commonly referred to as hemp, must contain less than 0.2% dry weight THC, whereas other strains can contain up to 30% dry weight THC and are often referred to as marijuana. Many European countries have recognized the commercial value of hemp, and a legal limit of 0.2–0.3% $\Delta^2$-THC is usually applied (Pellati et al., 2018). This difference in THC concentration is crucial, given that THC is the major psychotropic substance in cannabis, and it is often the primary reason why marijuana is grown, whereas hemp is grown for a variety of reasons, including clothing, shoes, rope, insulation, biofuel, protein supplementation, and to produce CBD as a nutritional supplement and/or medicine. While there are country to country variations in the legality of CBD, in the United Kingdom, CBD can be legally sold, providing that it does not contain more than 1 mg of the controlled drug (or 1 $\mu$g, in the case

Kasper, Hooks, Skeer, Webb, Nia, Morton, and Close are with the Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom. Sparks is with the Sport Nutrition and Performance Research Group, Department of Sport and Physical Activity, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, United Kingdom. Close (G.L.Close@ljmu.ac.uk) is corresponding author.
of lysergide or any other N-alkyl derivative of lysergamide) in the final “container,” that is, in the bottle or packet that it is sold in (United Kingdom Government Home Office, 2020). Almost all other cannabinoids, except CBD, remain subject to the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971. There are a variety of forms of CBD that are commercially available for sale, including oils, capsules, sprays, tinctures, and gummies. From an athletic perspective, numerous claims have been made to suggest the possible benefits for athletic populations.

It has been reported that CBD possesses anxiolytic, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidative, and analgesic properties (Bergamaschi et al., 2011; Mechoulam & Hanus, 2002), as well as suggested that it improves sleep quality (Russo et al., 2007). Importantly, it has also been suggested that CBD has a relative safe adverse effects profile (Bergamaschi et al., 2011), with side effects including tiredness and changes in appetite and weight (Ifland & Grottenhermen, 2017). The majority of research into CBD and inflammation has been performed in rodent models (Xiong et al., 2012), and therefore the evidence supporting its practical application with athletes, at present, remains weak (Close et al., 2019). Moreover, CBD research is usually performed in combination with other cannabinoids, commonly THC (van de Donk et al., 2019), in something referred to as the entourage effect (Russo, 2019), raising doubts over the efficacy of pure CBD supplementation for athletes.

Although it is possible to produce synthetic CBD, in Europe, CBD must come from an industrial hemp strain than is European Union approved or come from outside the European Union. Given that CBD must come from the hemp plant, it is of no surprise that traces of THC are usually found in CBD products. While, legally, there must be less than 1 mg of THC in the final container, recent reports have suggested that this is not always the case, with studies suggesting that some commercially available products contain significantly higher amounts of THC than stated on the label, even, in some cases, greater than the legal threshold (Gurley et al., 2020), along with other illegal cannabinoids (Poklis et al., 2019). From an athletic perspective, this is worrying not only from a legal perspective but also in terms of an anti-doping violation, given that all cannabinoids, except CBD, are currently prohibited substances by the world Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). Despite CBD being removed from the WADA prohibited list in 2018 (both in and out of competition), its use in professional sport remains highly contentious and poses a significant risk to athletes. This is not only due to the possibility of THC being present in excess of the legal threshold, but also, to date, there has been no research to suggest what effects taking varying amounts of legal CBD has on urine THC concentrations. It is for these reasons that many sporting bodies currently advise against CBD use; however, media reports would suggest that athletes are ignoring this advice and using it to enhance recovery. It is, therefore, essential that the prevalence and rationale for CBD use is studied to guide immediate education strategies and highlight areas for future research.

To this end, the aim of the present study was to establish the prevalence of CBD use in a large sample (>500) of professional rugby league and union players. Rugby was chosen as the sport to study given that media reports have suggested that there are many players using CBD and because the nature of the sport is one whereby remedies to alleviate muscle soreness are frequently used. We hypothesized that CBD use (past and present) would be high (>20%) in professional players, with an increasing prevalence in the older players and those players who play in the “forward” position.

### Methods

#### Overall Study Design

Professional male players from 30 rugby teams competing within the English Premiership, U.K.-based Pro 14 (Rugby Union) and Super League (Rugby League) competitions were invited to participate in this observational study. Data were collected during the 2019–2020 season from 25 clubs (from the 30 invited), totaling 517 responses. At the time of data collection, all players were part of the senior squad and aged 18 years and older. All English Premiership teams and Scottish Pro 14 teams, 25% of Welsh Pro 14 teams, and 83% of Super League teams participated (Figure 1). Players were given a short anonymous online multiple-choice survey consisting of 15 questions surrounding CBD use products, with assumed consent provided via a consent statement and submission of the survey. Each team was provided a code, only known by the lead researcher, to identify teams for relevant feedback where requested by organizations. Survey responses were coded and entered into Microsoft Excel prior to analysis. The study was approved by Liverpool John Moores University Ethics Committee (M20_SPS_966, 21/02/20).

#### Survey Design

The survey was designed by the research team, with extensive experience within the elite rugby environment, to identify the current use of CBD within elite rugby and to assess athlete understanding and knowledge of key areas. A copy of the survey can be seen within Appendix. In brief, the survey was split into eight sections: (a) demographics (age, code of rugby, and positional group), (b) awareness of CBD, (c) use of CBD, (d) rationale for use, (e) experience of use, (f) efficacy of use, (g) understanding of anti-doping, and (h) further comments.

#### Data Analysis

Data were organized based on demographic information for age group (18–23, 23–28, and >28 years), rugby code (league and union), player position (forwards and backs), and team. Data are presented as percentage of responses, but analysis was conducted on the frequency data. Goodness of fit chi-square ($\chi^2$) was used to assess CBD use in the sample population, between codes and player position. Effect sizes were calculated using Cramer’s $V$, which was interpreted as small (0.1–0.3), medium (0.3–0.5), and large (>0.5). Chi-square tests of independence were used to determine differences between age groups. Post hoc analysis was conducted by calculating Bonferroni adjusted $p$ values from adjusted standardized residuals. A Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance was used to assess differences between teams. Effect sizes were calculated using epsilon square ($\epsilon^2$) and were interpreted as weak (<0.04), moderate (0.04–0.16), and strong (0.64–1.0), respectively. Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$, apart from Bonferroni adjusted $p$ values, which were calculated as the $p$ value from the adjusted standardized residuals divided by the degrees of freedom. All data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows (version 25; IBM, Armonk, NY).

#### Results

There were a total of 18 U.K.-based teams that competed within the English Premiership and Pro 14 rugby union competitions, along
with 12 teams that competed within the Super League rugby league competition. Out of these, 517 players from 25 teams responded to the survey (Figure 1).

**Demographics**

The mean age of players who responded was 25 (SD = 5, range 18–33) years. Sixty-seven percent (of the 517 respondents) came from rugby union, with the remaining 33% from rugby league. Of those who responded, 40% identified themselves as backs and 60% as forwards (Figure 1).

**Awareness and Use of CBD**

Of those responders to the survey, 91% (n = 472) indicated that they had an awareness of CBD. Awareness came from a variety of sources: Internet (73%), another teammate (61%), another player/club (41%), nutritionist (16%), other staff members (4%), family/friends (2%), the media (1%), and research (<1%; Figure 2a). Of the 472 players that were aware of CBD (Figure 3a), significantly more players had never used it ($\chi^2 = 121.9, p < .001, V = 0.24$); however a considerable proportion (26%) were either currently (8%) or had previously (18%) used CBD. The proportion of players either using or who had used CBD (Figure 4) varied significantly across the teams sampled ($H = 278.0, p < .001, \phi^2 = 0.59$).

A significantly higher number of players from rugby union had either used or were using CBD ($\chi^2 = 8.29, p = .004, V = 0.13$), but there were no observed differences between backs and forwards ($\chi^2 = 0.09, p = .760, V = 0.013$). Interestingly, CBD use was significantly different across the age groups ($\chi^2 = 40.60, p < .001, V = 0.28$), with a lower number of players in the 18–23 years age group ($p < .0001$) and more than expected players using CBD in the 28 years and older age group ($p < .0001$). This contrasted with the 23–28 years age group, which was not observed to be significantly different from the expected number of users ($p = .053$).

**Rationale for Use**

Of the 472 players aware of CBD, 339 had never used CBD. The reasons surrounding this included being concerned about anti-doping rule violations (ADRV; 64%), not aware of any benefit (37%), not perceiving a need (29%), club advised against taking (23%), too costly (2%), have not had the chance (1%), concerned about possible side effects (1%), and lack of scientific evidence (<1%) (Figure 2b).

For the remaining 133 players who previously/currently used CBD, the reasons were equally varied, including improving recovery/pain (80%), sleep (78%), anxiety (32%), and for other medical purposes (14%; help with concussion, long-term injury, and chemotherapy; Figure 2c).
Experience and Efficacy of CBD Use

Thirteen different CBD brands were being used by athletes, all of which were oils or capsules. There was a disparity in reported dose with athletes quoting percentages, drops, mgs, sprays, and “rice-sized” quantities. Indeed, there was also diversity in the concentrations of CBD reportedly taken, ranging from 400 to 3,000 mg/5–10% solutions in forms of drops (3–10 drops), tablets (10 mg), and “rice-sized” quantities (“one grain”). A number of players (6%) were either unsure of the brand they were using or mixing multiple brands, with a further 39% unsure of the dose they were taking.

Despite the disparity in dose taken, many of the players currently or previously taking CBD (n = 133) anecdotally perceived a benefit (67%), including improved sleep (41%), recovery/pain (14%), mood (6%), anxiety (3%), and other various medical purposes (8%; concussion, chemotherapy, and long-term injury). No player reported any perceived adverse effects (Figure 2d).

Understanding of Anti-Doping and Further Comments

Of the players (n = 133) who had taken or were currently taking CBD, 52% were not concerned of committing an ADRV, with a further 79% believing the product they use to be batch tested for banned substances and 21% unsure or aware that the product is not tested.

Of the 107 players that included additional commentary, 14% used this space to indicate that they would try CBD in the future, with 13% still unsure of the legality in and out of sport.

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to establish the prevalence and reasons for CBD use in an extensive sample of elite rugby players. To this end, we recruited 517 professional rugby players to...
complete an online survey. We report, for the first time in elite rugby players, that despite warnings from clubs and national governing bodies against CBD use, >25% of all athletes surveyed have either used or continue to use CBD. Moreover, in the older players (>28 years old), almost 40% have or continue to use CBD, with the major reasons cited including pain relief/recovery and to improve sleep quality. These data suggest that many rugby players are willing to accept the risks associated with CBD use in an attempt to accelerate recovery and/or improve sleep. In addition to the definitive requirement for immediate player education with regard to the safety and risks of CBD supplementation, future research should now also assess the efficacy of CBD supplements on factors influencing recovery (e.g., pain, soreness, inflammation, sleep).

Rugby match play has been shown to result in both exercise- and impact-induced muscle damage (Twist et al., 2012) that lasts for several days postgame and persists throughout the entire playing season (Fletcher et al., 2016). Daily muscle pain, especially nerve pain, has been shown to have both physical (Cheung et al., 2003) and psychological consequences that negatively affect daily quality of life and even family life (Duenas et al., 2016). It is, therefore, of little surprise that athletes have been shown to have four times the use of painkillers compared with the general public (Alaranta et al., 2006). Although research from other sports has documented a high prevalence of painkiller use (Taioli, 2007; Tsitsimpikou et al., 2009), data on rugby players are lacking. This is despite the suggestion, both in academic literature (Gibbison et al., 2014) and multiple reports in the mainstream media, that rugby players are particularly reliant on the use of painkillers, such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and opiates such as Tramadol. The side effects associated with the chronic use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs include gastric injury, gastric ulceration, and kidney damage (Bertolini et al., 2001). Importantly for rugby, increased risks also include bleeding and/or intracranial hemorrhage post minor head injury (Sakr & Wilson, 2005). Tramadol, which has been suggested to be widely used in rugby players in the media, is effective in treating neuropathic pain (Bravo et al., 2017); however, it is also not without serious side effects, including nausea, headaches, constipation, sleep disturbances (Walder et al., 2001), and withdrawal effects, as well as drowsiness, which can significantly impair training and performance. Given the muscle damage induced by rugby match play and training (Hudson et al., 2019), combined with the side effects of traditional pain medication, rugby players are now seeking alternate pain relief strategies and ways to improve sleep.

We report that 78% and 80% of players used CBD to improve sleep and pain/recovery, respectively. Interestingly, in the present study, although the mean use of CBD was 26%, there was increasing prevalence of use with increasing age, with the mean usage reaching 39% in the players aged 28 years and older category. Although it was not possible to assess the reason for this, one could speculate that it is the older players who have residual pain through a longer playing history, and that they are more likely to be seeking pain relief medication. Of all the players who have used CBD, 67% reported a perceived benefit of use, with 41% reporting improved sleep and 14% improved pain/recovery. Interestingly, no athletes reported any adverse side effects, although it must be considered that players may have taken a negligible dose of CBD and/or taken a product with far less CBD than stated on the label (Gurley et al., 2020), and therefore this study should not be used as evidence that CBD does not cause side effects. Future research should now be performed to explore the veracity of such claims, which could have important consequences for future advice and guidance offered to players.

Although the mean current/previous use of CBD was 26%, it was interesting to observe that there was a significant difference between teams with current/previous use ranging, from 5% to 70%.

Figure 3 — Varying usage (%) of CBD oil across (a) all surveyed players, (b) different ages, (c) different codes, and (d) different playing position. Bars represent data from those who currently (black), previously (gray), or have never (white) taken CBD oil. CBD = cannabidiol.

Figure 4 — Individual team usage of CBD oil ranked lowest to highest for previous/current use of CBD. Bars represent data from those who currently (black), previously (gray), or have never (white) taken CBD oil. CBD = cannabidiol.
Alarantly, the players reported that the major source of CBD information was either the Internet (73%) or a teammate (61%). It is, therefore, possible that the interteam range could be related to some players within a club having a major influence, although this suggestion could not be fully explored in the present study and should now be investigated further. This suggestion is, however, further supported by the fact that only 16% and 4% sourced their information from the team nutritionist or other staff member, respectively. This low reliance on the team nutritionist could be due to most clubs and governing bodies advising against CBD use and, as a consequence, players not feeling comfortable to discuss the potential use of CBD with internal staff members. Indeed, 23% of players who did not use CBD cited their main reason not to use was that the club had advised against it. In the free comments section of the survey, 14% of players stated that they would like to try CBD in the future. It is, therefore, crucial that players feel comfortable discussing CBD with qualified staff rather than relying on the Internet and/or other team members for their information (Maughan et al., 2018).

Despite CBD being removed from the WADA prohibited list in 2018, CBD use still poses a significant risk to athletes due to the possibility of THC and other prohibited cannabinoids being present in quantities sufficient to produce a urine sample greater than the current threshold. Although 64% of players did not use CBD due to fears of an ADRV, only 48% of current/previous users were concerned about such a violation. In the free comments section, 13% of players stated that they were unsure of the legality of CBD in rugby. The survey also asked athletes if they were aware if their supplement was batch tested for other contaminants. Alarmingly, 21% of users did not know if their CBD supplement was batch tested, whereas 79% claimed that the CBD product they were consuming was tested. This belief that the product was tested was of particular concern given that of all the CBD products listed, only one appeared to be tested (tested by BSCG), yet just 39% of the players reported to be using this specific product. It is, therefore, likely that some players wrongly assumed that the CBD product they were taking was batch tested, which could be related to the lack of qualified advice they had received. Given that rates of supplement contamination, which could result in an ADRV, have been reported as high as 12–58% (Martínez-Sanz et al., 2017), combined with the risks associated with CBD, it appears that many rugby players are prepared to risk an ADRV and subsequent sanctions combined with the risks associated with CBD, it appears that many
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### Appendix: Cannabidiol survey

#### Section 2

5) How have you become aware of CBD? (tick all that apply)
- Internet
- Nutritionist
- Another Teammate
- Another Player/Club
- Other Staff (please specify in ‘other’)
- Other:

Have you ever used CBD?
- Yes in the past
- Yes currently
- No

#### Section 3

7) What are the reasons you do not take CBD? (tick all that apply)
- Do not see a need for it
- Concerned about anti-doping violation
- Not aware of the possible benefits
- Club advised against it
- Other:

#### Section 4

8) For what reasons do / did you use CBD? (tick all that apply)
- Improve sleep quality
- Anxiety
- Enhance recovery
- Medical (please specify in ‘other’)

9) Did you perceive any benefits from your use of CBD and what were they?
- Yes (please state in ‘other’)
  - No
  - Other:

10) Did you experience any adverse effects from your use of CBD?
- Yes (please state in ‘other’)
  - No
  - Other:

11) What dosage of CBD do / did you take?
Your answer

12) What CBD brand do / did you use?
- Rugby Strength CBD
- Pure Sport CBD
- FouFive CBD
- Healthspan CBD
- Impact Sports CBD
- Other:

13) When it comes to the use of CBD, are you concerned about an anti-doping violation?
- Yes
- No

14) Do you know whether your CBD product is batch tested for contamination with other prohibited substances?
- Yes
- No

#### Further information

16) Please include below any further comments in regard to CBD that you may have?
Your answer