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Abstract

The rise of diabetes incidence in Nigeria enhances the use of popular remedies which may interact with conventional therapies. The aqueous extracts of 27 popular Nigerian ‘antidiabetic’ plants were tested for their in vitro effects on glutathione levels within HepG2 cells, P-glycoprotein (P-gp) mediated Rh-123 efflux activity in Caco2 vincristine-resistant cells and modulation of glibenclamide transport in Caco-2 monolayers. The extract from Ximenia americana significantly depleted intracellular glutathione at 100 µg/mL similarly to the reference buthionine sulfoximine (p<0.05). Other ten extracts raised glutathione levels. Eight extracts inhibiting P-gp efflux in a concentration-dependent manner (p<0.01) were selected for further evaluation in a bi-directional transport model across Caco-2 monolayers: Annona senegalensis, Bridellia ferruginea, Cassytha filiformis, Daniellia ogea, Khaya ivorensis, Syzygium guineense, Terminalia avicennioides, and Ximenia Americana. When interferences in paracellular transport were discarded, only three of them may be modulating the efflux ratio of glibenclamide (Efflux ratio 2.65 ± 0.13) in the same manner the reference drug Verapamil (Efflux ratio 1.14 ± 0.25; P<0.01) does: Syzygium guineense (Efflux ratio 1.70 ± 0.23; P<0.01), Terminalia avicennioides (Efflux ratio 1.80 ± 0.25; P<0.05) and Ximenia Americana (Efflux ratio 1.66 ± 0.10; P<0.01). HPLC-UV analyses for P-gp inhibitors in these extracts revealed several phenolic compounds such as rutin, gallic acid and ellagic acid reported to decrease P-gp expression and/or directly modify its function. In conclusion, some popular herbal medicines used by Nigerian diabetic patients are here shown to potentially affect glibenclamide absorption at concentrations that could be reached in the intestinal tract.
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Introduction

The use of herbal medicines as one of the aspects of traditional medicine practice is increasingly being promoted in developing countries as a means of meeting the unmet healthcare needs of the population [1]. As a result, many diabetic patients utilize herbal medicines as supplementation to their conventional medicines for their disease management. The chronic nature of the treatment for diabetes increases the risk of potential Herb-Drug Interactions (HDI) between traditional herbal products and prescription medicines notably those controlling blood sugar levels [2]. The implications of inhibition of drug absorption can have far-reaching effects, with hypoglycaemia being potentially fatal [3]. Thus, there is a need to anticipate such potential HDIs, which would otherwise present a further burden on the patient and on the country’s healthcare system.

In order to forestall this, adequate information about the pharmacological and toxicological profile of these herbal medicines and how these would in turn affect the bioavailability of the co-administered drug is required. We previously reviewed and discussed the evidence behind more than 100 plants with ethnopharmacological records for their use in the management of diabetes in Nigeria [4], as well as their impact in Nigerian patients [5]. Only forty of the plants assessed have records of in vitro interactions with known pharmacokinetic parameters, with some interacting with more than one parameter. *Morinda lucida* has been shown to inhibit P- glycoprotein (P-gp) efflux as well as cytochrome and GST enzymes. More importantly, some of these identified plants - *Vernonia amygdalina, Mangifera indica* and *Phyllanthus amarus* - are currently being co-administered by diabetic patients with prescription drugs with overlapping pharmacokinetic effects as identified during the field work [5]. These brings to immediate attention those plants that would require further evaluation to confirm the presence or not of clinically relevant HDIs. In this work we will try to fill the gap by studying the effect of 27 plants on glutathione intracellular levels and P-gp efflux activity.

In the small intestine, interactions with P-gp are important in determining the bioavailability and subsequent oral dosing of the drug, either singly or in combination with other drugs. It also helps in identifying drugs that would be contraindicated, since
a co-administration may bring about altered plasma concentration levels [6]. In both the intestine and the liver, conjugation with glutathione is a fundamental part of the metabolism of xenobiotics. When the conjugate glutathione-xenobiotic is formed, it becomes the substrate for further metabolic reactions with the final product being eliminated from the organism. ‘Too low’ plasma levels could result in decreased efficacy or no efficacy, while ‘too high’ levels could result in increased toxicity. Various herbal medicines have been shown to interact with P-gp, some of which have been attributed to the presence of identified secondary plant metabolites in the plant. One of such plant metabolites is curcumin, a natural polyphenol found in the rhizomes of *Curcuma longa*, which are used by hundreds of millions of people all over the World every day both as food and as a medicine [7].

A number of studies have identified glibenclamide as a substrate and inhibitor of P-gp in P-gp overexpressing cell lines [8,9]. In line with this, clarithromycin (a known P-gp inhibitor) altered the pharmacokinetics of glibenclamide in healthy subjects by increasing its peak plasma concentration (Cmax) and area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) by 1.25 and 1.35 fold respectively [10]; indicating a potential risk of P-gp induced DDI. This transport study was therefore carried out to assess the potential for HDIs between the extracts previously identified as P-gp modulators in the Rh-123 efflux assay and glibenclamide when both are co-administered by diabetic patients.

To contribute to the prediction of potential pharmacokinetic herb-drug interactions in Nigeria, we here evaluated for the first time the possible interaction of a number of these medicinal plants used in diabetes management on the efflux activity of P-glycoprotein with further evaluation of its effects on the transport of glibenclamide, itself a P-gp substrate- one of the most commonly administered drugs to diabetic patients.

**Results and Discussion**

The extracts were obtained in the field and extracted according to popular recipes. The conditioned extracts were chromatographed against a set of 13 pyhtochemicals. A file containing all the chromatograms has been provided as Supporting information. Our extraction protocol mimics the quantities of a typical quantity per cup: some 10 grams of plant material in 200 mL water. According Table 1, average yield of the extracts is
12% w/w, thus implying some 1.2 g of extractable matter. Therefore a fasting patient could ingest a solution of 1.200mg/200ml = 0.6 mg/mL or 600 µg/mL, in direct contact to the intestinal epithelium. This is equivalent to six times our maximum tested concentration (100 µg/mL).

The use of HepG2 cells as a proxy for primary hepatocytes is well-established despite several limitations such as a low expression of CYP enzymes which is reversible upon re-expression of the Nuclear factor C/EBPα [11]. However, HepG2 still conserve glutathione metabolism providing with an accessible in vitro cell model for both physiological and pathological models [12]. Our results on the effects of the plant extracts on the intracellular content of glutathione are shown in Figure 1. Only the extract obtained from *Ximenia americana* significantly depleted intracellular glutathione at 100 µg/mL similarly to 10 µM of the reference buthionine sulfoximine (p<0.01). Interestingly, ten extracts significantly raised glutathione levels including *Anthocleista djalonensis, Aristolochia repens, Bridelia ferruginea, Cassytha filiformis, Isoberlinia doka, Khaya senegalensis, Moringa oleifera, Mondia whitei, Rauvolfia vomitoria* and *Tamarindus indica*. The latest could be regarded as a protective effect, but may in turn have the result of favouring conjugation in Phase 2 thus rendering inactive drugs which detoxification heavily relies on this pathway, such as acetaminophen. However, we have to bear in mind that if the plant extracts are also inhibitors of key enzymes such Glutathione transferase (GST), then any increase of glutathione levels would not be effectively translated into conjugation of xenobiotics. This is a limitation in the interpretation of any clinical implication of the results from this assay.

To identify extracts that may modulate P-gp efflux activity, Caco-2 Vincristine-Resistant (overexpressing P-gp) were co-incubated with Rh-123 and 100 µg/mL of each extract for two hours. Cells were also incubated with 20 µM verapamil as positive control while cells with only Rh-123 served as negative control. The intracellular Rh-123 fluorescence determined after cell lysis. The percentage change in Rh-123 fluorescence relative to the fluorescence in the control cells after co-incubation with the extracts is shown in Figure 2.

Eight extracts- *Annona senegalensis, Bridelia ferruginea, Cassytha filiformis, Daniellia ogea, Khaya ivorensis, Syzygium guineense, Terminalia avicennioides* and
*Ximenia americana* produced a highly significant elevation (P<0.01) in the intracellular accumulation of Rh-123 (Figure 2). Their effects are largely concentration-dependent as shown in Figure 3. These results may suggest that these plant extracts are acting as drug transport modulators via inhibition of the P-gp efflux. However, the uptake of Rh-123 into cells can be either a passive or an active process: OATP1A2-mediated facilitated transport is predominant at low single digit µM concentrations, whilst double digit µM concentrations occur via micelle-mediated passive diffusion. This and cell line-dependent factors may influence the interpretation of transport assays [13].

The highest observed inhibitory effect was exerted by *Bridelia ferruginea* followed by *Ximenia americana* and *Khaya ivorensis* with 3- to 2- fold that produced by the positive control verapamil at 20 µM. Such high changes in intracellular Rh-123 accumulation by plant extracts are not uncommon. A similar study carried out with the stem bark extract of *Mangifera indica* produced a 1000% increase in intracellular Rh-123 accumulation [11]. As shown in Figure 3, the extracts produced a concentration-dependent inhibition of P-gp activity, which might indicate a certain degree of specificity in their effect.

HPLC-UV-PDA analyses for ubiquitous P-gp modulators pointed out that the activities of *Bridelia ferruginea*, and *Ximenia americana* may be explained at least in part by the presence of gallic acid. Gallic acid, besides its well- known antioxidant activity, can decrease P-gp expression in HK-2 cells [15] and directly modify its function on KB-C2 cells [16]. *Syzygium guineense* and *Annona senegalensis* contain rutin and ellagic acid, respectively. Rutin and Ellagic acid, have been also described as inhibiting efflux pumps and transporters [17–19].

To evaluate the effects of the selected P-gp inhibitory extracts on the permeability and transport of glibenclamide, three parameters were measured: the TEER value before and after the transport experiment, the apparent permeability of the paracellular marker FD4 across the Caco-2 monolayers and the apparent permeability of glibenclamide across the monolayer. The first two measurements were carried out to monitor the effect of the extracts on the integrity of the monolayer, while the last measurement was for direct estimation of its effect on glibenclamide transport (Table 2).

The maturation of tight junctions during differentiation of Caco-2 cells grown *in vitro* is a crucial factor for its use as a model for intestinal drug permeability and transport.
Various studies recommend the use of cells that have been grown in a monolayer for at least 21 days for transport experiments based on evidence of morphological changes related to cellular differentiation [12]. A decrease in the permeability of the cell monolayer is an indicator for tight junction maturation and can be monitored by measuring the TEER values of the monolayer over several days [13].

In bi-directional transport experiments, P-gp substrates are confirmed by comparing apparent permeability in the apical to basolateral (AP-BL) direction with that in the basolateral to apical (BL-AP) direction. Compounds with efflux ratio \( \frac{P_{\text{app}}(\text{BL-AP})}{P_{\text{app}}(\text{AP-BL})} \) greater than 2 to 3 are typically identified as P-gp substrates [14]. This study obtained an average efflux ratio of 2.65 ± 0.13 for glibenclamide, thereby confirming it as a P-gp substrate (Table 4.7). As far as we know, this is the first time glibenclamide is experimentally confirmed as a P-gp substrate in such bi-directional transport model.

A typical P-gp inhibitor would decrease the efflux of the P-gp substrate, thereby decreasing the permeability in the efflux direction or secretory permeability or \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{BL-AP}) \) while increasing the absorptive permeability \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{AP-BL}) \). This change in the \( P_{\text{app}} \) of both directions would ultimately result in a decreased efflux ratio. As shown in table 3, verapamil the control P-gp inhibitor decreased the \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{BL-AP}) \) of glibenclamide from \( 6.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s} \) to \( 4.62 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s} \) and increased its \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{AP-BL}) \) from \( 2.65 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s} \) to \( 4.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s} \), thereby decreasing its efflux ratio from 2.65 to 1.14 (P<0.01).

Interferences in paracellular transport (denoted by a significant \( P_{\text{app}} \) FD4) were suspected for Cassytha filiformis and Khaya ivorensis. With those discarded, only three of them may be clearly modulating the efflux ratio of glibenclamide in the same manner the reference drug Verapamil (Efflux ratio 1.14 ± 0.25; P<0.01) does: Syzygium guineense (Efflux ratio 1.70 ± 0.23; P<0.01), Terminalia avicennioides (Efflux ratio 1.80 ± 0.25; P<0.05) and Ximenia americana (Efflux ratio 1.66 ± 0.10; P<0.01). Contrary to expectation that all the active extracts in the Rh-123 efflux assay would interfere with the transport of glibenclamide, only two of them – Syzygium guineense and Terminalia avicennioides – produced a similar effect as verapamil in this model as seen in Table 2. They both increased the \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{AP-BL}) \) of glibenclamide to 3.38 and \( 3.06 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s} \) respectively and decreased the \( P_{\text{app}}(\text{AP-BL}) \) of glibenclamide to 5.7
and $5.56 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s respectively. Aside for its possible P-gp inhibitory effect, *Terminalia avicennioides* also produced a greater effect on membrane permeability with possible opening of tight junctions, evidenced by its increased $P_{\text{app}}$ (AP-BL) transport of FD4 and a higher change in TEER values compared to glibenclamide alone. This could in turn contribute to the increased $P_{\text{app}}$ (AP-BL) seen with glibenclamide.

The reasons for these conflicting results between the Rh-123 efflux assay and the bidirectional transport assay for the other extracts might be due to other unidentified interacting effects of the extracts. For instance, although *Khaya ivorensis* increased the $P_{\text{app}}$ (AP-BL) of glibenclamide from $2.65 - 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s, the $P_{\text{app}}$ (BL-AP) also increased very slightly from $6.13 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s to $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s. One possible suggestion for the increased effect of *Khaya ivorensis* on glibenclamide transport in the absorptive direction might be due to an effect on membrane permeability given the higher change in TEER values but these would need to be clarified. These studies have however highlighted the possibility of obtaining false positives in the identification of P-gp modulators simply based on *in vitro* intracellular accumulation assays.

Although the clinical implication of the P-gp inhibitory effect of these two extracts on glibenclamide bioavailability can only best be assessed in an *in vivo* study in humans, it may be suggested that its effects on glibenclamide bioavailability is likely to be minimal. This is because the extracts only caused a slight increase in the absorptive apparent permeability of glibenclamide. With a borderline efflux ratio of 2.38, glibenclamide would only be considered as a moderate P-gp substrate such that the P-gp inhibition of the extracts did not bring about a significant change in its apparent permeability. As this is the first time the identity of glibenclamide as a P-gp substrate based on a bi-directional transport model is being carried out, a comparison of the effect of well-known P-gp inhibitors on the $P_{\text{app}}$ of glibenclamide alongside other P-gp substrates with higher efflux ratios would therefore need to be carried out to ensure a thorough assessment.

Finally, some of the extracts did not exhibit the expected characteristics of P-gp modulators either in the P-gp screening or the bi-directional transport, they could still modulate intestinal drug absorption through their effects on tight junctions. Further experiments would be needed to confirm this especially as decrease in TEER values
have been identified as a cytotoxic mechanism that could potentially affect intestinal drug absorption [19].

Glutathione levels may affect/modulate P-gp (a MRP1 protein) mediated transport in this model in a very complex manner. On the one hand, it has been reported that MRP1 transports vincristine in an ATP- and GSH-dependent manner [24], so in principle extracts increasing GSH will positively influence efflux activity. On the other hand, GSH conjugates, GSH and GSH disulfide are actively transported across membranes using the same MRP1 transport protein, which may itself compete with xenobiotic efflux [25]. Ballatori and co-workers affirm that this high background rate of GSH transport is a major confounding variable in GSH transport measurements, and severely limits the choice of cells with which this process can be studied. To further complicate matters, GSH itself facilitates membrane transport of endo- and xenobiotics by stimulating MRP-mediated transport [26].

We may conclude that more in vivo experiments are warranted to ascertain the effect of the here reported active herbals. In the meantime, we suggest that diabetic patients on glibenclamide, the second most prescribed anti-diabetic drug in Nigeria, using herbal remedies containing Syzygium guineense Terminalia avicennioides and Ximenia americana should be specially monitored by healthcare professionals, for these herbals modulated P-gp efflux in our models at concentrations that could be reached in the intestinal tract. We also suggest caution to those regularly using Ximenia americana if they need to use drugs which detoxification is dependent on glutathione.

Material and Methods

Chemicals

HPLC standards (purity ≥ 95%), Fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (FD4), Rhodamine-123 (Rh-123) and glibenclamide (Glib) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with purity ≥ 95%. DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media), GlutaMAX, FBS (fetal bovine serum), Penicillin-Streptomycin antibiotic, 0.05% Trypsin/EDTA, trypan blue, PBS (Phosphate saline buffer), pH 7.4 were from Gibco. Verapamil (Securon I.V. 2.5mg/mL), and vincristine 2mg/mL (Hospira) were both purchased from AAH Pharmaceuticals.
Plant Material

Plant materials were either bought in markets or collected from different regions in Nigeria in November 2012 by one of the authors (U.F. Ezuruike). Identification of collected plant samples collected in the North was done by Mr. Ibrahim Muazzam, an ethnobotanist and staff of the Department of Medicinal Plant Research & Traditional Medicine, National Institute for Pharmaceutical Research & Development (NIPRD). Plant samples collected in the South were verified by staff of the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN) in Ibadan. Vouchers were deposited in the Department of Pharmaceutical and Biological Chemistry, University College London School of Pharmacy, United Kingdom.

Extraction procedures

The procedure for extraction of the plant samples (infusion or decoction) was based on the traditional use of the plants as described by the respondents (Table 2-3). For infusion, 300 ml of boiled distilled water was added to 10g of plant material with continuous stirring for 15 minutes on a laboratory hot plate magnetic stirrer (IKA Werke Labortechnik). For decoction, 20 g of plant material was heated continuously under reflux in a round bottom flask containing 400 ml of distilled water for one hour using an Electrothermal 3-in-1 laboratory heating mantle. All extracted plant samples were then allowed to stand until cold before filtering with a Buchner flask. All the filtered extracts were frozen in round bottom flasks and then freeze dried (Edwards Pirani 501 Savant super modulo freeze drier) to obtain the dried extract. All dried plant extracts were then stored in 10 ml glass vials at -80°C until needed.

For analyses and cell assays, plant stock concentrations (50 mg/mL) were prepared by dissolving crude extracts in bidistilled, microfiltered, aseptic water (MiliQ). The solutions were cold sterilised by microfiltration (Millipore disk filters, 0.22 microns) in a laminar flow cabinet into sterile microcentrifuge tubes. These aliquots were at -80°C until further testing.

Phytochemical analyses

For phytochemical fingerprinting, chromatograms were obtained in an Agilent 1100 Series (Gradient Quaternary Pump, Online degasser, Photodiode array detector) Software ChemStation. Elution conditions for phytomarkers were as described by
Giner et al. [20] on a Phenomenex C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm id, 5 μm). Solvent A (H2O + Acetic Acid 0.2 %) and B (methanol + Acetic Acid 0.2 %) were mixed in gradient mode as follows: 0 min 90% A, 0-5 min 80% A, 5-45 min 50% A, 45-55 min 20% A; flow rate 0.8 mL/min. The injection volume, column temperature, and UV wavelength were set at 80 μL, 30°C and 254 nm, respectively.

**Cell lines and cell culture**

HepG2 cells (Sigma-Aldrich) were cultured in MEM medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL). A Caco-2 cell line resistant to vincristine (Caco-2 VCR) with enhanced Rh-123 efflux activity due to P-gp overexpression was established and kindly provided by Dr E. Chieli (University of Pisa, Italy). Caco2 VCR cells were cultured in DMEM medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL), 1% non-essential aminoacid (NEEA) and 20 μM of Vincristine (Securon ampoules). All cells were kept at 37°C in an incubator with a humidified atmosphere of 5%CO₂.

**Cell viability assays**

Neutral Red (NR) uptake studies were performed according the protocol detailed by Repetto et al. [21]. Briefly, the cells were cultured in 96-well tissue culture plates by adding 200μL/well of a suspension of 2 x 10⁴ after 24-hours incubation of Caco-2 cells with the plant extracts, media was removed and 100 μL of NR solution (40μg/mL) pre-warmed at 37° C was added to each well and all plates were placed in the incubator at 37° C for 2h. The cells were rinsed with 150 μL of PBS and the washing solution removed by decanting or gently tapping the plate. 150μL of ‘neutral red extracting’ solution (96% ethanol, deionised water, glacial acetic acid; 50%:49%:1%) was added to each well of the plate after the washing step. The plate was immediately shaken on a microplate shaker (IKA MS3 basic shaker) for at least 10 minutes until the neutral red had been completely extracted from the cells and formed a homogenous solution. The optical density (O.D.)/absorbance of the extracted neutral red was read out in the plate reader (Tecan) at 540nm. The Maximum Non-Toxic Concentration (MNTC) was calculated as the concentration of treatment not killing more than 10% of the cell population.
Determination of glutathione

This protocol is a modification of enzymatic recycling methodologies reported by Allen et al. [22] and Rahman et al. [23]. HepG2 cells \((4 \times 10^4\) cells/well) were plated out in transparent flat-bottom 96 well plates and incubated for cell at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 24 hours. Afterwards, the cells were treated with the plant extracts (MNTC or 100 \(\mu\)g/mL, whichever is lower) and the 10 \(\mu\)M of the positive control buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) incubated for 24 hours at same conditions. Subsequently, the medium of each well was aspirated and the wells were washed with 200 \(\mu\)l of PBS. Cells were then lysated with Triton X-100 0.1% solution (50\(\mu\)l) and 25 \(\mu\)l of the cell solution were transferred to another 96 well plate for further protein analysis.

The plates were then treated with 25 \(\mu\)l \(5\%(v/v)\) sulfosalicylic acid solution and 25 \(\mu\)l of the solution were aliquoted for analysis. GSH reduced standards at concentrations from 100 to 0.78 \(\mu\)M were prepared and 25 \(\mu\)l were added to the plates. 25 \(\mu\)l of \(5\%(v/v)\) sulfosalicylic acid were also added the plate to serve as blank control. The glutathione reaction buffer (7.5 \(mL\) of sodium phosphate buffer solution, 2\(mg/mL\) of 2.39\(mM\) \(\beta\)-NADPH solution and 1\(mL\) of 0.01M DTNB (5,5’-Dithiobis-(2-Nitrobenzoic Acid) solution) was added to the plates (125 \(\mu\)l) and the absorbances were read every 30 seconds for 5 minutes at 405nm. Total GSH concentration in the samples was calculated by the following formula: GSH concentration unknown = \((GSH\ ABS ± b)/m\), where: GSH ABS is the slope (rate of change) of the DTNB absorbance of the unknown; m is the slope (the rate of change) of the glutathione standard curve; b is the \(y\)-intercept of the glutathione standard curve.

Rhodamine-123 uptake assay

Rhodamine-123 (Rh-123) uptake/efflux assays were conducted as described by Chieli et al. [11] with minor modifications. Caco-2 Vincristine-Resistant cells were seeded into 96-well plates for 24 hours to allow for attachment. Then, the growth media was changed to serum free media. Rh-123 (5 \(\mu\)g/mL) was added to the wells already containing MNTC or 100 \(\mu\)g/mL -whichever was lower- of the extracts. 20\(\mu\)M verapamil was used as drug reference. After 2 hours incubation, cells were washed with 20\(\mu\)M verapamil in PBS to stop P-gp efflux activity. Supernatant was taken out and cells lysed with 100 \(\mu\)L of 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and the plates were placed in the incubator for 15 minutes. 80 \(\mu\)L of the cell lysates was transferred to a 96-well black
plate and the fluorescence intensity in each well measured in a Tecan plate reader (Exc-485nm, Em-525nm). The cellular accumulation of Rh-123 for each of the extracts was expressed as the percentage of the accumulation of Rh-123 in the presence of water only.

**Drug In vitro Transport in Caco-2 Monolayers**

The protocol used for this assay is as described in Hubatsch et al [24] with slight modifications.

Preparation of the cell culture: 24-well cell culture polyethylene terephthalate (PET) inserts with 0.4 µM pore size and 0.33 cm² surface area (Millipore-PIHT12R48) pre-loaded in sterile 24-well plates were used for the transport studies. Caco-2 wild type cells from maximally confluent flasks (80-90%) were trypsinized and then seeded out in the apical layer of the permeable filters at a density of 5 x 10⁵ cells/mL in 200µL of complete media (1 x 10⁵ cells/insert). The filters were pre-wet with 30µL of complete media prior to seeding. The basolateral chamber of the inserts was each filled with 1 mL of complete cell media. The plates were then placed in the incubator overnight (or for a maximum of 16 hours) to allow for cell attachment. After the incubation period, the medium in the apical layer was replaced with 0.2 mL of fresh complete cell medium so as to remove non-adherent cells as well as to prevent the formation of a multilayer.

Maintenance of the cell culture: Cells were maintained every other day for at least 21 days by carefully aspirating off the media in both the apical and basolateral layers and replacing with appropriate volume of fresh medium. The transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) of the monolayer was measured at least once each week with a Millicell ERS-1 voltammeter (Millipore) to monitor the integrity of the monolayer. Cells were only used for transport experiments if TEER values were > 300 Ω·cm². This was calculated by subtracting the resistance reading from that of a blank filter without cells and multiplying by the surface area of the monolayer. Culture media of the cells were changed 24 hours prior to the transport experiment to prevent exhaustion of the media nutrients.

Transport and Donor solutions: Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) at pH 7.4 buffered with 25mM HEPES and 0.35g/l NaHCO₃ was prepared as the transport
solution. 10 µM glibenclamide alone or with the selected plant extracts at a concentration of 50 or 100 µg/mL, depending on the estimated MNTC. The positive control consisted on 20µM verapamil added to the transport solution containing glibenclamide. The receiver solution was either the HBSS transport solution alone for glibenclamide transport alone, or the transport solution with either the extracts or verapamil. All donor solutions contained 1mg/mL Fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (FD4) for monitoring the integrity of the monolayer during the experiment. All solutions were sterile filtered with 0.22µm Millipore filters and then pre-warmed at 37°C before use.

Transport experiments: The inserts (membrane supports) with the cell monolayers to be used for the transport experiment were pre-washed to remove residual medium by gently replacing the media with the HBSS transport solution. The washing was done under gentle shaking at 60 rpm for 15-20 minutes on a PS-3D laboratory orbital shaker (Grant-bio Instruments) within a humidified incubator without CO2 set at 37°C (Memmert D-91126). After washing, the TEER of the monolayers was measured prior to commencing the transport studies.

Transport studies were carried out in the apical to basolateral (AP-BL) and basolateral to apical (BL-AP) directions. For AP-BL transport studies, the washing solutions were gently removed from the inserts and the 24-well plate and replaced with 1mL of the appropriate receiver solution in the BL layer and 0.2mL of the appropriate donor solution in the AP layer. For BL-AP transport studies, the washing solution was replaced with 0.2mL of the appropriate receiver solution in the AP layer and 1 mL of the appropriate donor solution in the BL layer. An excess of 200 µL was added to all donor solutions when adding them to inserts, which was immediately removed at t = 0 min and used to estimate C0. Sample withdrawals were carried out from the chamber containing the receiver solution at regular time intervals (15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min) by removing 0.5 mL for AP-BL transport and 0.19 mL for BL-AP transport at each time point. Immediate replacement of the exact volume withdrawn by the appropriate receiver solution was done. The withdrawn samples were placed into microcentrifuge tubes and immediately covered and placed on ice to prevent sample evaporation. Care was taken during sample withdrawals not to disrupt the monolayer of cells. The culture plate with inserts was placed back on the orbital shaker in the incubator in between sample withdrawals to maintain sink conditions.
Analytical procedures: Two sets of sample analysis were done for the withdrawn samples. An aliquot (50µL) from each time interval was used for spectrophotometric analysis (Ex-490nm, Em-520nm) of the transported FD4. A calibration curve of FD4 was included in each plate and used to estimate the amount of FD4 transported at each time point. The remaining withdrawn samples were analysed using a Perkin Elmer HPLC equipped with an UV-Vis photodiode array detector to measure the amount of glibenclamide transported at each time point. The HPLC conditions used for glibenclamide analysis were as follows: stationary phase was a Phenomenex Synergy Polar-RP80A column (150 x 4.6 mm, I.D = 4 µm) column maintained at 30°C. The mobile phase was an isocratic system consisting of 40% (A) 25 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 4.5) and 60% (B) Acetonitrile. The injected volume of sample was 20 µL and the UV-Vis spectrum was monitored at 230 nm with glibenclamide eluting at 2 minutes.

Calculations: the apparent permeability (Papp) of glibenclamide across the monolayers was estimated as follows: \( P_{app} = \frac{dQ}{dt} \frac{1}{AC_0} \); where \( dQ/dt \) is change in the amount of drug (nM) transported per time, \( A \) is the surface area of the insert and \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of the drug in the donor chamber.

**Statistics**

Raw data were normalised to percentage with respect to controls. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD, \( N \geq 3 \). Bars graphs were drawn with Excel (Microsoft). Significance of the results was assessed with Instat (GraphPad Software) and expressed as * (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01), *** (P<0.001) and **** (P<0.0001). In single concentrations studies all treatments were compared to controls using Dunnet Multiple t-test. In concentration-dependent studies all values of a treatment were compared to each other using Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons Test.

All numerical data and statistical analyses presented in the graphs are provided in detail as Supporting information.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Plant name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Plant Part</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>MNTC (24h; µg/mL)</th>
<th>HepG2</th>
<th>Caco2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Alstonia boonei De Wild.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Anogeissus leiocarpa (DC.) Guill. &amp; Perr.</td>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Herbalist in Abuja</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Anthocliesta djalonensis A.Chev.</td>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Agbade forest</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Aristolochia repens Mill.</td>
<td>Aristolochiaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Annona senegalensis Pers.</td>
<td>Annonaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>Bridelia ferruginea Benth.</td>
<td>Phyllanthaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Ibadan herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>75.86</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Cassytha filiformis L.</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
<td>Ethnobotanist in Abuja</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Cassia sieberiana DC</td>
<td>Leguminosae</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Agbade forest</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>Daniellia ogea (Harms) Holland</td>
<td>Leguminosae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Agbade forest</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>Gongronema latifolium Benth.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Isoberlinia doka Craib &amp; Stapf</td>
<td>Leguminosae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Zaria herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI</td>
<td>Khaya ivorensis A.Chev.</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Khaya senegalensis (Desv.) A.Juss.</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Agbade forest</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Moringa oleifera Lam.</td>
<td>Moringaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Home garden in Lagos</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Mondia whitei (Hook.f.) Skeels</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG</td>
<td>Ocimum gratissimum L.</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Picralima nitida (Stapf) T.Durand &amp; H.Durand</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td>Ibadan herbal</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>Rauvolfia vomitoria Aftz.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Stem-bark</td>
<td>Ibadan herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Scoparia dulcis L.</td>
<td>Plantaginaceae</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
<td>Ethnobotanist in Abuja</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>Syzygium guineense (Willd.) DC.</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Zaria herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>Strophantus hispidus DC.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Ibadan herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>Securidaca longipedunculata Fresen.</td>
<td>Polygalaceae</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Mushin herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Terminalia avicennioides Guillet &amp; Perr.</td>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Zaria herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>Tapinanthus bangwensis (Engl.&amp;K.Krause) Danser</td>
<td>Loranthaceae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Herbalist in Imo</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>Tammarindus indica L.</td>
<td>Leguminosae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Zaria herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Vernonia amygdalina Delile</td>
<td>Compositae</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Home garden in Lagos</td>
<td>Infusion</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA</td>
<td>Ximenia Americana L.</td>
<td>Olaceae</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Zaria herbal</td>
<td>Decoction</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Effects of P-gp inhibitory plant extracts on the $P\text{app}$ values of glibenclamide and FD4 and the change in TEER values after 2 hours transport experiments in Caco-2 monolayers. Results show mean $\pm$ SD, N $\geq$ 3. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 according Dunnett Multiple Comparisons Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Direction of transport</th>
<th>% of Initial TEER</th>
<th>$P\text{app}$ Glib $(x\ 10^{-5}\ cm/s)$</th>
<th>Efflux ratio (Glib)</th>
<th>$P\text{app}$ FD4 $(x\ 10^{-6}\ cm/s)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide (10 $\mu$M)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>67 ± 17</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>63 ± 20</td>
<td>6.30 ± 0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + Verapamil (20 $\mu$M)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>63 ± 38</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.23 **</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.25 **</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>64 ± 11</td>
<td>4.62 ± 0.26 **</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + A. senegalensis (50 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>48 ± 13</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.14 ns</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.19 ns</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.54 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>23 ± 7</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.25 **</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.49 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + B. ferruginea (50 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>44 ± 13</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.08 **</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.16 ns</td>
<td>4.90 ± 1.88 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>17 ± 6</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.23 **</td>
<td>5.42 ± 2.08 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + C. filiformis (100 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>70 ± 28</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.12 **</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.15 **</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.38 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>49 ± 36</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.18 **</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.28 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + D. ogea (50 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>45 ± 31</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.06 **</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.20 **</td>
<td>4.40 ± 1.86 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>23 ± 9</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.33 ns</td>
<td>3.95 ± 1.67 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + K. ivorensi (100 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>26 ± 9</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.32 **</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.34 **</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.09 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>6.66 ± 0.36 ns</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.21 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + S. guineense (100 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>53 ± 23</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.16 **</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.23 **</td>
<td>5.80 ± 1.60 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>5.76 ± 0.29 ns</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.83 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + T. avicennioides (100 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>25 ± 17</td>
<td>3.09 ± 0.19 *</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.25 *</td>
<td>9.67 ± 2.59 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>19 ± 5</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.32 *</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.65 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glib (10$\mu$M) + X. americana (100 $\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>AP-BL</td>
<td>28 ± 13</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.07 **</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.10 **</td>
<td>9.33 ± 3.97 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL-AP</td>
<td>25 ± 27</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.12 **</td>
<td>3.37 ± 1.44 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Intracellular concentration of glutathione in HepG2 cells after treatment with either extracts or 10μM buthionide sulfoximine (But) with respect to the Control (water). Results show mean ± SD, N ≥ 3. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, according Dunnett Multiple Comparisons Test. Plant codes as per Table 1.
Figure 2: Percentage of intracellular Rh-123 concentration in Caco-2 Vincristine-Resistant cells in the presence of either extracts or 20µM verapamil (Vp) with respect to the Control (water). Results are the mean ± SD, N ≥ 3. * P<0.05 and ** P<0.01, according Dunnett Multiple Comparisons Test. Plant codes as per Table 1.
Figure 3: Dose-dependent inhibition of Intracellular Rh-123 concentration in Caco-2 Vincristine Resistant cells in the presence of serial dilutions of the extracts with respect to the Control (water). Plant codes and maximum non-toxic concentrations (MNTC) as per Table 1. Results are the mean ± SD, N = 3. *** P>0.05, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, according Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons Test.