

## LJMU Research Online

Sabag, A, Lovell, R, Walsh, NP, Grantham, N, Lacome, M and Buchheit, M

Upper-body resistance training following soccer match play: Compatible, complementary, or contraindicated?

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/14559/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Sabag, A, Lovell, R, Walsh, NP, Grantham, N, Lacome, M and Buchheit, M (2021) Upper-body resistance training following soccer match play: Compatible, complementary, or contraindicated? International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance. 16 (2). pp. 165-175. ISSN 1555-0273

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

#### Upper body resistance training following soccer match play: 2 compatible, complementary, or contraindicated? 3

4 5

6

1

#### Running head: Upper body resistance training in soccer

Angelo Sabag<sup>1, 2</sup>, Ric Lovell <sup>2</sup>, Neil P. Walsh <sup>3</sup>, Nick Grantham <sup>4</sup>, Mathieu Lacome <sup>5,6</sup>, and Martin Buchheit\*<sup>6,7,8</sup>

7 8 9 1, NICM Health Research Institute, Western Sydney University, Westmead, Australia.

10 2, School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Campbelltown, Australia.

3, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.

- 11 12 13 4, Sports Medicine and Science Department, Newcastle United Football Club, Newcastle, UK.
- 5, Performance Department, Paris Saint-Germain Football Club, Saint-Germain-En-Laye, France

14 6, French Institute of Sport (INSEP), Research Department, Laboratory Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 15 7370) Paris, France

- 16 7, Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
- 17 8, Kitman Labs, Dublin, Ireland
- 18
- 19 \*Corresponding author.
- 20 mb@martin-buchheit.net
- 21 22 ORCID
- 23 Angelo Sabag: 0000-0002-0195-7029
- 24 Ric Lovell: 0000-0001-5859-0267
- 25
- 26 Submission type: invited review
- 27 Word counts: 5410
- 28 References: 100
- 29 Table: 1
- 30 Figures: 4

## 31 Abstract

32

33 *Purpose.* During heavily congested schedules, professional soccer players can experience

34 exacerbated fatigue responses which are thought to contribute to an increased risk of injury.

35 Given match-induced residual fatigue can last up to 72 hours, many coaches naturally

36 prioritise recovery in the days immediately following match-day. While it is intuitive for

37 coaches and training staff to decrease the amount of auxiliary training practices to focus on

38 recovery, prescribing upper body (UB) resistance training (RT) on the day after match-play

39 (MD+1) has recently emerged as a specific training modality in this context. Whilst these

sessions may be implemented to increase training stimulus, there is limited data available
 regarding the efficacy of such a practice to improve recovery kinetics.

42 *Methods.* In this narrative review we look at the theoretical implications of performing UB

43 RT on MD+1 on the status of various physiological and psychological systems including

44 neuromuscular, metabolic, hormonal, perceptual, and immunological recovery.

45 *Results.* The available evidence suggests that in most cases this practice, as currently

46 implemented (i.e. low volume, low intensity), is unlikely complementary (i.e.does not

47 accelerate recovery) but potentially compatible (i.e. does not impair recovery).

48 *Conclusion.* Overall, since the perception of such sessions may be player-dependent, their

49 programming requires an individualised approach and should take into account match

50 dynamics (e.g. fixture scheduling, playing time, travel).

51

52 Key words: strength training; core training; soccer; team sports

53

54 Introduction

55

During the regular European soccer season, professional soccer players can play in excess of 56 57 60 competitive matches over a 45-week season.<sup>1</sup> Many players represent their respective 58 national teams in addition to their clubs both during and after the regular season, which may 59 further exacerbate fatigue. Heavily congested periods have been reported to exacerbate fatigue,<sup>2</sup> which may in turn increase injury occurrence,<sup>3</sup> although there is a relative lack of data 60 61 to confirm the latter. The term fatigue has long been understood as a disabling symptom in 62 which physical and cognitive function is limited by performance fatigability and perceived fatigability.<sup>4</sup> The psycho-biological factors contributing to fatigue following a soccer match 63 have been extensively investigated and include exercise-induced glycogen depletion, (central 64 and peripheral) neuromuscular, and mental fatigue,<sup>5</sup> amongst others. Match-induced acute 65 fatigue also has residual impacts on various indices for 24-72h, such as impaired physical- and 66 67 skill-related performance, muscle damage, and ensuing immune and endocrine responses.<sup>6,7</sup> 68 Consequently, coaches and training staff may decrease the amount of auxiliary training 69 practices, such as resistance training, during heavily congested schedules, to focus on

- 70 recovery.<sup>8</sup>
- 71

Practitioners tend to implement various recovery strategies in the 24h following match-play, with the most popular being nutrition, hydrotherapy, massage, foam rolling and various forms of active recovery.<sup>8,9</sup> Active recovery is in fact one of the commonly-employed recovery practices <sup>8,9</sup> and involves sequencing low-to-moderate intensity exercise, often of an aerobic nature, the day following match-play.<sup>10</sup> Although popular, mixed results have been reported with regard to the efficacy of active recovery for improving the temporal recovery of neuromuscular performance, markers of muscle damage, and inflammation.<sup>11</sup> While the activities performed as active recovery very between practitioners, sports and context, it is

79 activities performed as active recovery vary between practitioners, sports and context, it is

80 common practice to try to limit any additional loading that could interfere with the recovery 81 process. This includes the avoidance of any (training) load in general and more specifically, 82 any type of work heavily involving the lower limbs (minimizing ground impact and 83 neuromuscular/musculoskeletal work); for this reason, cycling, or sometimes swimming, is 84 often preferred to running. Following this reasoning, upper body (UB) exercises may also be a 85 suitable alternative (or at least be an addition to cycling), since they may be considered to 86 trigger recovery mechanisms (e.g., increased blood flow, hormonal adjustments) without directly involving the muscle groups that need to recover.<sup>12</sup> UB sessions generally include arms 87 and back exercises, and to a lesser extent core training and exercises aimed at improving pelvic 88 89 control/stability (Table 1). Whilst a growing trend in elite soccer, using UB exercise as an 90 active recovery strategy or as a means to increase training load during congested schedules 91 remains essentially anecdotal in the field of soccer, and the mechanisms through which this 92 practice may benefit post-match recovery and/or physical adaptations warrant examination.<sup>13</sup>

93

94 {Table 1}

95

96 Another challenge faced by practitioners with congested fixture schedules is the maintenance 97 of physical qualities during the in-season, as auxiliary training practices are sacrificed to 98 facilitate recovery.<sup>8</sup> In soccer, strength and conditioning practitioners typically prescribe less than two resistance-training (RT) sessions per week.<sup>8</sup> Recent studies have shown that as little 99 100 as two RT sessions consisting of 3 sets of 10 repetitions at 70% 1-rep max (RM) per muscle group may be sufficient to develop strength and maintain power in the upper and lower body.<sup>14</sup> 101 102 Therefore, it is unsurprising that the majority of physical conditioning practitioners in soccer 103 report being dissatisfied with the current amount of RT being scheduled during the in-season micro-cycle.<sup>8</sup> Accordingly, it is important to explore scheduling practices that increase RT 104 105 volume within the congested in-season micro-cycle, without compromising recovery kinetics. 106

107 The notion of scheduling UB RT within 24-hours post-match to enhance recovery kinetics 108 was recently examined. A study by Abaidia and colleagues showed that performing 3 sets of 109 5 large compound UB exercises (70% 1RM to exhaustion) within 24-hours of lower body 110 eccentric fatiguing exercises, accelerated the recovery of slow concentric hamstring force. Furthermore, the additional UB resistance training did not exacerbate plasma creatine kinase 111 (an indirect measure of muscle damage).<sup>13</sup> Despite these particularly interesting findings, 112 evidence remains limited to this single study, which had limited ecological validity in the 113 114 context of soccer. Given the paucity of data, it is unknown whether UB RT on the day after 115 match-play (MD+1) is compatible, complementary or contraindicated for temporal recovery 116 kinetics in elite soccer players. Consequently, the aim of this review is to evaluate the current evidence and factors, including neuromuscular, metabolic, hormonal, perceptual, and 117 118 immunological components, which may contribute to the suitability of scheduling UB RT on

119 MD+1, with a view to providing preliminary recommendations (e.g., compatible,

120 complementary, or contraindicated) for practice considering the current dearth of empirical evidence.

- 121
- 122

#### Part one: Typical UB sessions performed on MD+1 in soccer 123

124

125 The physical determinants of soccer have been widely reviewed and include essentially locomotor-related capacities such a speed, agility, and intermittent endurance.<sup>15</sup> For this 126 reason, both the need to develop UB strength and the 'culture' of UB work are often not 127 128 prioritised. Over time, this has led to the development of specific types of sessions (Table 1), 129 which clearly differ from those performed in other team sports such as Rugby or Handball for 130 example, where players tend to lift heavy and place a large emphasis on UB strength and power development.<sup>16</sup> For the purpose of the present review, a few typical MD+1 UB sessions 131 performed in elite soccer are presented in Table 1. When analysed in relation to the typical type 132 133 of RT sessions targeting either muscle growth, maximal strength or power (Figure 1),<sup>17</sup> it 134 appears that the soccer sessions tend to fall outside optimal zones. This is related to the notion 135 that the loads are either unlikely heavy enough in relation to the number of repetitions 136 programmed, or vice-versa. While this practice may not elicit neuromuscular adaptations (i.e. 137 "time filler sessions"), its utility may lie within the possible acceleration of post-match 138 recovery. The underpinning theoretical frameworks and 'real-life' feasibility of using UB RT 139 as a 'recovery' modality at MD+1 are discussed in parts 2 and 3 of this review, respectively.

- 140 141 {Table 1}
- 142
- 143 {Figure 1}
- 144

#### 145 Part two: Recovery kinetics following match-play and insights for the programming of UB RT sessions. 146

147

#### 148 **Neuromuscular Recovery**

149

150 Neuromuscular fatigue is commonly defined as a reduction in muscle force generating 151 capacity.<sup>18</sup> The magnitude of force declines and the time-course to return to pre-match values 152 largely depends on the movement task and the muscle groups examined, but full recovery to pre-match values occur between 24–96 hours following match-play.<sup>6,19</sup> Neuromuscular fatigue 153 154 maybe classified according to two key components; peripheral and central fatigue.<sup>18</sup> 155 Determining the origin of neuromuscular fatigue requires laboratory techniques infeasible for 156 applied practice, but insights from research may inform our understanding of recovery kinetics, 157 modalities and subsequent training prescription.

158

#### 159 Central response

The central nervous system achieves force production through the activation of motor units via 160 descending drive from the motor cortex.<sup>18</sup> During fatiguing exercise, motor unit firing rates 161 decrease due to various factors; including decreases in the excitability of excitatory synaptic 162 163 inputs and lower excitatory drive originating upstream of the motoneurons, resulting in various pertubations including lower discharge rates of motor units.<sup>20</sup> Competitive match-play has been 164 165 shown to impair muscle and central nervous system function, requiring 24-48 hours to resolve, depending on the lower-limb muscle group examined.<sup>21-23</sup> Some researchers have proposed 166 that match-induced impairments to the central nervous system play an integral role in the 167 recovery kinetics of neuromuscular function following match-play.<sup>24</sup> Conversely, while there 168 is evidence to suggest that central processes significantly contribute to match-induced 169 neuromuscular fatigue, recovery is typically complete within 24–48 hours,<sup>21-23</sup> and resolution 170 171 of peripheral fatigue is considered primarily accountable for the restoration of muscular function after match-play.<sup>25</sup>

- 172
- 173

#### 174 Peripheral response

175 Peripheral fatigue occurs as a result of changes at or distal to the neuromuscular junction, which

- results in impaired transmission of muscle action potentials and decreased contractile 176
- capability of the muscle fibres.<sup>18</sup> Peripherally mediated reduction in muscle force production 177 178 may be caused by a range (and complicated interplay) of factors such as skeletal muscle

179 damage, inflammation, altered Ca++ or Na+-K+ pump function, and the accumulation of 180 metabolic by-products.<sup>18</sup> Peripheral impairments in neuromuscular function have been 181 demonstrated in the quadriceps and plantar flexors following competitive match-play, but 182 return to baseline by 48 hours.<sup>21-23</sup> Interestingly, the complete time-course recovery of 183 performance outcomes such as CMJ and 20m sprint occur despite residual muscle damage and 184 inflammation.<sup>6</sup>

185

186 The eccentric nature of critical explosive movements in soccer match-play, such as 187 accelerating, decelerating, collisions, and directional changes inflict mechanical muscle fibre 188 disruptions.<sup>26</sup> The structural fibre damage permits myocellular protein (myoglobin) and 189 enzyme (creatine kinase) efflux into serum and may reflect the degree of muscle damage post-190 match. Although circulating myoglobin returns to baseline within 24 hours post-match, 191 creatine kinase (CK) often requires  $\geq$ 72 hours.<sup>6,19</sup> The ensuing inflammatory response 192 (measured via C-reactive protein and IL-6) also typically requires 72-hours for restoration.

193

194 A recent systematic review reported that active recovery techniques characterised by low-195 intensity concentric activities (upper and lower aquatic ergometry exercises) may further 196 increase CK levels.<sup>11</sup> Additionally, an eccentric based lower-limb injury prevention program 197 administered on MD+1 was shown to inhibit CK decay at 48 hours.<sup>27</sup> Consequently, and in the 198 absence of available post-match data, it could be assumed that performing UB RT on MD+1 199 may exacerbate and/or prolong the CK response; however, considering the low load and 200 intensity of UB RT prescription shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, any increase is likely to be small and transient.<sup>13</sup> Moreover, CK reflects a consequence rather than a cause, and the origin 201 of skeletal muscle damage is unknown from serum-derived measurements.<sup>28</sup> Accordingly, an 202 203 exacerbated CK response from UB RT may not hinder lower-limb muscle performance, since 204 force generating capacity often returns to baseline before circulating CK.<sup>27</sup>

205

#### 206 Neuromuscular fatigue and active recovery modalities

Despite typical active recovery protocols (low intensity, concentric based activity) being 207 common practice amongst many physical conditioning practitioners,<sup>8</sup> the efficacy of these 208 practices for accelerating neuromuscular recovery kinetics remains controversial as the limited 209 available evidence has reported mixed results.<sup>10,29</sup> Furthermore, the potential mechanisms by 210 211 which these active recovery practices may improve central and peripheral fatigue remain 212 unknown. Despite this, it has been hypothesised that the clearance of exercise-induced 213 intramuscular metabolic by-products limits the action of the afferent inhibitory feedback system on the neural drive, thereby improving recovery of CNS structures.<sup>29</sup> Steady-state sub-214 maximal active recovery protocols reportedly accelerate the removal of exercise-induced 215 216 metabolic waste products, which may improve peripheral microcirculation and decrease the duration and/or severity of skeletal muscle damage and soreness.<sup>30</sup> Irrespective of the weak 217 218 evidence base available regarding the efficacy of typical active recovery protocols to accelerate 219 neuromuscular recovery kinetics, their purported underpinning theoretical mechanisms do not 220 translate to UB RT, since it's unlikely to enhance lower-limb muscle perfusion.

221

Following high load whole body,<sup>31,32</sup> or lower body RT,<sup>33</sup> the force generating capabilities of major muscle groups become temporarily impaired. The time-course for restoration is largely dependent upon the RT typology (strength/power/hypertrophy, Table 1 and Figure 1), intensity,

224 dependent upon the KT typology (strength/power/hypertrophy, Table T and Figure 1), intensity,

volume and structure of the load (failure/non-failure). Although some studies have documented

suppressed muscle function 24-hours post RT, they were characterised by high volume and/or

- repetitions to failure.<sup>33</sup> RT sessions designed for strength or power development often see
- 228 performance recovery within 24-hours,<sup>32</sup> mediated by restoration of both central and peripheral

neuromuscular function.<sup>31,32</sup> Considering the available RT research, and that central and peripheral factors of fatigue develop in an intensity-dependent manner,<sup>17</sup> it maybe considered unlikely that the UB RT prescription employed in Table 1 (examples for Club A and B) would impede the recovery kinetics of central and peripheral neuromuscular fatigue, given its moderate-intensity nature, low-volume prescribed, and the muscle groups targeted.<sup>13</sup> Equally, the current theoretical frameworks, in the absence of available empirical data, do not support a notion that scheduling UB RT on MD+1 accelerates neuromuscular recovery.

- 236
- 237 *Considerations: Potentialy compatible*

238 Soccer-related fatigue affects both, central and peripheral nervous system function, and 239 requires up to 48-hours to resolve. The current available evidence suggests that typical aerobic-240 based active recovery protocols may not elicit meaningful improvements in neuromuscular 241 recovery. Despite this, scheduling UB RT may help contribute RT volume/stimulus to the 242 microcycle with a view to preserving UB strength (as RT is typically neglected during 243 congested schedules). In this regard, the load of UB RT sessions may need to be increased to 244 lead to substantial UB adaptations (Figure 1). In order to prevent further neuromuscular fatigue, 245 coaches should carefully consider the goals of the athlete and the volume of work undertaken 246 during match play, and other variables such as the time between match play when scheduling UB RT. Importantly, further research may be warranted to help establish a minimally effective 247 248 UB RT dose (micro-dosing) for professional soccer players performing routinely within 249 congested fixture schedules.

- 250 251 {Figure 2}
- 252
- 253 Metabolic recovery
- 254
- 255 Glycogen

256 High-intensity intermittent exercise such as soccer relies heavily on glycogenolysis, with 257 glycogen availability essential for ATP resynthesis. In soccer, carbohydrates used to fuel 258 muscles are primarily derived endogenously via glycogenolysis within the exercising 259 muscles, with a subsidiary amount arising from the liver.<sup>34</sup> It is estimated, that between 40– 90% of the exercising muscles glycogen stores are expended during a soccer match.<sup>35</sup> 260 261 Match-induced fatigue is somewhat associated with lowered or full depletion of glycogen in some muscle fibres,<sup>36</sup> and physical performance can be enhanced with higher baseline muscle 262 glycogen.<sup>37</sup> The time-course of muscle glycogen restoration post-match is dependent upon a 263 myriad of factors such as the energy intake, carbohydrate replenishment strategy, active 264 recovery, muscle fibre type etc.<sup>38</sup> Although one study showed a -27% change in baseline 265 muscle glycogen content at 24-hours post-match,<sup>39</sup> another showed a return to baseline was 266 possible at 24-h.<sup>40</sup> These discrepancies may be attributed to carbohydrate replenishment 267 268 strategy and morphological differences between muscle fibres. For example, glycogen 269 resynthesis has been observed to be incomplete in type II fibres at 48-hours post-match, 270 despite ingestion of a high-carbohydrate and whey-protein diet.<sup>40</sup> This finding supports the notion that eccentric activities in soccer may inhibit muscle glycogen resynthesis in type II 271 fibres,<sup>41</sup> which may have implications for MD+1 scheduling conditioning of players whose 272 273 team roles or physical phenotypes are characterised by explosive actions. Indeed, data from a 274 recent case study suggested that elite players under-consume carbohydrate both immediately 275 post-match, and on the subsequent day, particularly following an evening kick-off.<sup>42</sup> 276

277

278 While recent evidence has suggested that enhanced skeletal muscle adaptations (i.e. oxidative capacity) may occur when training with reduced muscle glycogen availability,<sup>43</sup> the type of 279 work being performed during RT<sup>44</sup> and subsequent anabolic signalling responses <sup>45</sup> remain 280 281 difficult to predict during this state. Therefore, it is currently unclear whether scheduling RT 282 in a potentially low-glycogen state on MD+1 would be ergogenic, ergolytic, or would have 283 no meaningful impact on session quality and resulting adaptations. Notwithstanding, muscle glycogen is an important substrate for resistance training,<sup>46</sup> resynthesising the phosphate pool 284 during high-intensity contractions. High-volume moderate- to high-intensity RT to failure 285 286 has been shown to reduce glycogen stores by 25-40% in an intensity-dependent manner, requiring up to 6 hours to replenish.<sup>47</sup> Glycogen utilisation is greatest in type II fibres during 287 RT characterised by high repetitions of a moderate load.<sup>47</sup> In contrast, traditional low-288 intensity continuous active recovery modalities also delay glycogen resynthesis, but likely in 289 type I as opposed to type II fibres.<sup>48</sup> Accordingly, scheduling RT on MD+1 may delay 290 glycogen replenishment, particularly in type II fibres. However, as glycogen depletion is 291 292 site-specific, whether UB RT (as outlined in table and figure 1) would impact replenishment 293 of match-depleted lower-limb fibres is currently unknown, but somewhat questionable. 294 Furthermore, appropriate nutritional strategies might be expected to restore glycogen stores 295 so that subsequent match performance is not impaired during congested fixture schedules.

- 296
- 297 *Considerations: Potentialy compatible*

298 The rate of muscle and liver glycogen depletion occurs in a site- and load-dependent manner. 299 Following adequate carbohydrate ingestion, glycogen is replenished in the muscle within ~48 hours and much quicker in the liver, however type II fibres may have delayed re-uptake. 300 301 Coaches should consider the magnitude of explosive actions performed by the player 302 (perhaps dependent upon positional role or the match minutes played), and the time between 303 the end of the match and the scheduled UB RT, as it is likely that type II fibre glycogen 304 replenishment in lower-body muscles remains incomplete on MD+1. As glycogen utilisation 305 during RT is greatest in type II fibres the UB RT sessions should involve low-volume and 306 low to moderate intensity resistance exercise as to not further delay muscle glycogen 307 replenishment.

308 309

### 310 Hormonal recovery

## 311312 *Testosterone*

Testosterone is a key anabolic hormone, which promotes protein synthesis, ameliorates protein 313 degradation, and improves the capacity of skeletal muscle to generate power.<sup>49</sup> It is well 314 accepted that high-intensity and/or high-volume resistance training increases circulating 315 testosterone in a load-dependent manner.<sup>50</sup> Conversely, there are mixed reports regarding the 316 effects of competitive sport on anabolic hormones, in which testosterone has been shown to 317 both increase <sup>6</sup> and decrease <sup>51</sup> after match-play. Additionally, a separate study involving 7 318 319 professional soccer players showed that testosterone levels remained unchanged following 320 match-play and continued steady for the total 72-hour monitoring period of the study.<sup>7</sup> A recent 321 meta-analysis which pooled the results of 50 soccer players showed that on average, testosterone levels remained elevated up to and including 48-hours post-match.<sup>6</sup> While match-322 323 related changes in anabolic hormones, such as testosterone, remain a topic of great interest, the endocrine response is highly variable and appears to be mediated by psychophysiological 324 factors such as match outcome and player experience.<sup>52</sup> For example, testosterone typically 325 decreases following a loss but increases following victory.<sup>53</sup> The current available evidence 326 suggests that testosterone levels remain largely unaltered during the recovery period following 327

- 330
- 331 Cortisol

332 Cortisol is a catabolic hormone that works antagonistically to testosterone by inhibiting the binding of testosterone to its androgen receptor and blocking anabolic pathways.<sup>54</sup> Cortisol 333 increases in response to training load,<sup>55</sup> match-play, and psychological stress.<sup>56</sup> Soccer match-334 play has been shown to significantly increase cortisol levels requiring up to 72-hours to 335 336 normalise.<sup>7,52</sup> Although the magnitude and/or duration of the cortisol response to soccer match-337 play varies between studies, the response is more consistent than that of testosterone. A recent 338 systematic review assessing the hormonal response immediately following soccer match-play 339 found that all available studies reported increases in cortisol levels, with an average increase 340 of 32% in male soccer players, whilst testosterone was increased in two of three studies and by a much smaller magnitude (6% increase in males).<sup>52</sup> Together, these data suggest that cortisol 341 342 response is more predictable than testosterone but there is a lack of high-quality data linking 343 cortisol levels to decreased performance. This may be because variances in hormonal responses 344 to exercise are indicative of physiological strain rather than maladaptation on the part of the athlete.57 345

346

347 The testosterone to cortisol (T:C) ratio is considered a more sensitive measure of endocrine 348 status and recovery as it reportedly demonstrates the anabolic-catabolic balance of the athlete.<sup>58</sup> 349 While a 30% decrease in T:C ratio has been proposed as an indicator of insufficient recovery.<sup>59</sup> 350 there is conflicting evidence regarding the validity of T:C ratio in predicting overtraining,<sup>58</sup> or performance.<sup>60</sup> This may be because T:C varies throughout the season and is influenced by 351 many psychophysiological factors such as the player's playing position,<sup>61</sup> match importance 352 and outcome.<sup>52</sup> Consequently, designing evidence-based training regimens, or recovery 353 354 programs informed by T:C is currently premature given the lack of available evidence.

355

356 In the event a soccer match does elicit sufficient anabolic stimulus, it is unclear whether 357 sequencing RT on MD+1 would further increase testosterone levels and thereby improve 358 recovery kinetics. As shown by Kraemer and colleagues, resistance training interventions 359 resembling traditional body builder programs (e.g. moderate-load, high-volume protocols with short rest periods) often result in the greatest acute response in circulating testosterone and 360 other anabolic hormones such as human growth hormone.<sup>62</sup> Consequently, a scenario of 361 competing interests may arise when attempting to elicit an RT-induced hormonal response — 362 as the intensity and/or volume required may further exacerbate the neuromuscular fatigue and 363 already elevated cortisol levels incurred by match-play.<sup>7</sup> Finally, the evidence for muscle 364 growth and strength increases being independently linked to acute exercise-induced increases 365 in endogenous anabolic hormones is equivocal,<sup>63</sup> and as such, the acute hormonal responses of 366 367 the proposed training practice (Table 1), if any, may not directly improve skeletal muscle strength nor muscle growth (and by extension, recovery). 368

- 369
- 370 Considerations: Compatible if well programmed

Coaches should employ caution when scheduling UB RT close to match play as cortisol levels, which are elevated following match play, are likely to be further increased following RT without clear evidence of the practice leading to elevations in testosterone or favourable testosterone:cortisol ratio. Furthermore, RT loads shown to elicit an anabolic response may exacerbate match-induced neuromuscular fatigue. Therefore, coaches should consider variables such as match location and minutes played as well as avoid high-intensity RT on MD+1 as to minimise the risk of inadequate recovery.

- 378
- 379 {Figure 3}
- 380

## 381 Mental / Perceptual Recovery

382

383 Mental fatigue in soccer is characterised by subjective perceptions of impaired focus (concentration), motivation, and challenges responding to errors.<sup>5</sup> Competitive match-play 384 385 may require prolonged cognitive focus in decision making and vigilance, supported by substantial ratings of mental fatigue <sup>5</sup> and technical/cognitive exertion immediately post-386 match.<sup>64</sup> Whilst limited data is available using elite-level players and ecologically valid 387 experimental designs, controlled laboratory studies have shown acute negative effects of a 388 389 *priori* mental fatigue upon soccer-related physical and technical performances.<sup>65,66</sup> However, the time-course of mental fatigue is not well understood, with just one recall-survey suggesting 390 players are not recovered 24 hours post-match,<sup>5</sup> and the impacts of travel and sleep 391 disturbances remain unknown.<sup>19</sup> 392

393

394 Given the current lack of empirical data regarding mental fatigue, insights regarding a player's 395 perceptual readiness (freshness) to train on MD+1 may be informed from self-report measures 396 of wellness (e.g., fatigue, soreness). Ratings of fatigue and soreness in elite players reduce by ~40% on MD+1, and are not recovered by MD+2, $^{67,68}$  although these responses may be more 397 heavily influenced by the match-outcome, rather than its physical exertions.<sup>67</sup> Reduced player 398 wellness before field-training has been shown to have subtle detriments on training load 399 400 measures in various football codes,<sup>67,69</sup> but the effect magnitudes were generally deemed 401 trivial.

402

403 With respect to the scheduling of UB RT on MD+1, to our knowledge there is no data available 404 that suggests that residual mental fatigue or perceptual readiness impacts the work done or 405 subsequent training adaptations. Following whole-body RT in trained individuals, selfreported fatigue and soreness ratings were restored to baseline by 48 hours.<sup>31,32</sup> Alternatively, 406 407 traditional active recovery modalities (steady-state, low-intensity) have a large effect on 408 reducing self-reported muscle soreness, but do not reduce perceived fatigue.<sup>11</sup> Collectively, 409 these findings may suggest that RT delivered on MD+1 may delay recovery from mental 410 fatigue or wellness. However, when eccentric-based lower-limb strengthening exercises were 411 administered on MD+1, neither the magnitude nor the time-course of hamstring or quadriceps soreness recovery were impacted in comparison to a control (no training) condition.<sup>27</sup> This may 412 413 suggest that any negative mental or wellness responses to MD+1 RT may be masked by the 414 greater burden incurred from competitive matches. Moreover, there is evidence suggesting that a low training volume at a low to moderate intensity (40-50% 1 repetition maximum) can 415 improve mood and affect,<sup>70,71</sup> and that UB RT may have a more positive affective response.<sup>72</sup> 416

417

#### 418 Considerations: Compatible at the individual level

419 There are very limited data available pertaining to the time-course of mental fatigue and 420 perceptual recovery in real-world elite soccer environments. In addition, the added complexity 421 of fixture congestion, travel and its associated impacts upon sleep generates further challenges 422 in translating research into applied practice, and are beyond the scope of the current review. 423 Given the potential impact of residual mental fatigue upon physical and technical 424 performances, the scheduling of UB RT on MD+1 may depend on a number of aforementioned 425 circumstances. Indeed, the psychological responses to UB RT may be very individual, as they 426 may/may not serve to boost the mood of players on MD+1; whether they may be deemed compatible for current practice has therefore to be examined at the individual level. 427

428

#### 429 Immunological recovery

430

431 Infections of the respiratory or gastrointestinal tract are widely considered to decrease

432 training availability and performance in Olympic athletes, particularly endurance athletes.<sup>73,74</sup>

433 Whether professional soccer players experience more frequent, and/or more severe,

434 infections than non-players remains a matter of contention: limited empirical evidence

indicates a relatively low illness burden in professional soccer players.<sup>55,75,76</sup> For example, a
 study from the 2010 FIFA World Cup reported that 12% of all players experienced an illness,

437 with the most frequent diagnoses being upper respiratory tract infection (31.3%) and

438 gastroenteritis (21.2%). <sup>77</sup> Importantly, most of the illnesses did not result in absence from

439 training or match. Shortcomings of studies include a lack of experimental control and

440 unstandardised methods for reporting infection symptoms; for example, studies have relied

441 on players presenting to the team medical practitioner with infection symptoms, likely

- 442 underrepresenting the true burden of illness symptoms in professional soccer.<sup>78</sup>
- 443

Infection risk in professional soccer players is likely increased, by a multitude of risk factors,
 just like in the wider population, including wintertime (common cold and influenza season);<sup>79</sup>

445 Just fixe in the wheel population, including wither time (common cold and influenza season);
 446 high levels of psychological stress, anxiety or depression;<sup>79</sup> poor sleep and long-haul

440 high levels of psychological stress, anxiety of depression, poor sleep and long-in 447 travel; $^{79,80}$  in addition, increases in training stress might also raise infection risk.<sup>81</sup>

448 Psychological stress, sleep disturbances and physical exertion all influence immunity via

449 activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis and the sympathetic nervous-system;

450 giving rise to increases in circulating catecholamines and glucocorticoid hormones (e.g.,

451 cortisol) widely acknowledged to modulate immune function.<sup>79</sup>

452

453 Over a period spanning almost 40 years, exercise immunologists have focused their research endeavours to better understanding whether heavy exercise temporarily decreases immunity, 454 providing an 'open window' for respiratory infections.<sup>82,83</sup> Readers are directed elsewhere for 455 an overview of the immune system,  $^{84}$  and a recent debate about whether heavy exercise can 456 raise the risk of infections, in line with the 'open window' theory.<sup>85</sup> Empirical evidence 457 458 indicates that innate and acquired immunity decrease transiently during the recovery period 459 after prolonged heavy exertion (such as following a soccer match); typically of the order 15– 460 70%.<sup>79,86</sup> Whether these transient changes in immunity with acute heavy exercise and 461 intensified training performed on the following days (i.e., MD+1) are sufficient to increase 462 infection susceptibility, in accordance with the 'open window' theory, has been disputed for some time.<sup>87</sup> 463

464

465 Studies involving 90–120 minutes of intermittent exercise, including soccer-specific shuttle 466 run tests, have shown rather subtle and short-lived effects on immunoendocrine outcome measures (lasting only a matter of hours) e.g., circulating cortisol, leukocyte counts and 467 subsets, phagocytic function, lymphocyte proliferation, natural killer cell activity, mucosal 468 immunity (e.g., saliva immunoglobulin-A) and inflammatory cytokine responses.<sup>88,89</sup> 469 470 Immune health appears to be well maintained in elite soccer players across a competitive season;<sup>55,90</sup> however, times of high overall stress and limited recovery, e.g., intensive training 471 472 camps and congested fixture schedules, have been shown to influence immunity. For 473 example, a 5-day intensive training camp reduced circulating T-helper lymphocytes, Tcytotoxic lymphocytes and B-lymphocytes in elite soccer players, potentially weakening 474 infection resistance.<sup>91</sup> Congested fixture schedules (e.g., 3-game week) exacerbated the 475 circulating cortisol response post-match,<sup>92</sup> and reduced circulating natural killer cell and 476 monocyte numbers <sup>93</sup> and saliva immunoglobulin-A levels in professional soccer players.<sup>94</sup> 477

- 478 On the one hand, these 'real-world' studies of immunity in elite soccer players are important
- 479 because they include the full spectrum of lifestyle stressors, beyond the effects of physical
- 480 training stress: psychological stress and anxiety influence the immune response to exercise 481 and susceptibility to infection.<sup>95,96</sup> On the other hand, these studies did not account for an
- and susceptibility to infection.<sup>95,96</sup> On the other hand, these studies did not account for an
   influence of lifestyle factors on immunity (e.g., travel, sleep disruption, psychological stress),
- 483 and whether the observed changes in immunity (e.g., travel, sleep disruption, psychological site
- 484 infection. Recent work points to a more prominent role for lifestyle factors (e.g. stress and
- 485 anxiety, long-haul travel) than training-related factors (e.g. training load) in raising infection
- 486 risk in athletes,<sup>79,97</sup> however, further studies are required to elucidate the relative importance
- 487 of load and lifestyle factors on immune function during congested fixture schedules.
- 488
- 489 *Recommendation: Compatible*
- 490 Incorporating low-to-moderate intensity and volume UB RT on MD+1 is unlikely to directly
- 491 benefit or negatively impact immune health in soccer players. Cellular immune responses and
- inflammation tend to be more subtle after RT compared with endurance exercise;<sup>98</sup> and
- 493 whether the immune alterations with heavy, prolonged endurance exercise translate to altered
- infection risk remains a moot point.<sup>85,99</sup> To date, there is only limited empirical evidence to
- support the myriad of purported post-exercise, immune recovery strategies for athletes;
- 496 including, nutritional interventions, cryotherapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,
- 497 compression garments and active recovery interventions.<sup>86,99</sup>
- 498

# 499 Part 3: Sequencing a resistance training session on matchday+1 during the 500 weekly macrocycle: insights from real life scenarios.

501

502 Based on the literature review and abovementioned considerations, it can be concluded from 503 a theoretical standpoint that typical UB RT sessions, as currently performed in elite soccer 504 (Table 1, examples of clubs A and B and C), are 1) unlikely (in isolation) to substantially 505 improve upper body strength or muscle mass (hypertrophy), 2) unlikely to affect 506 neuromuscular recovery, 3) unlikely to improve or exacerbate metabolic perturbances, 4) 507 unlikely to elicit a favourable hormonal response, 5) unlikely to escalate mental fatigue, 6) 508 unlikely to directly benefit or negatively impact immune health. With variables considered 509 and when employed by experienced coaches, these sequences might therefore be qualified as "unlikely complementary" but "potentialy compatible"; however, they may still be 510 511 "contraindicated" in some very specific circumstances.

512

513 In fact, further than their effect, or lack thereof, on UB strength and the kinetics of biological 514 recovery, RT sessions scheduled on MD+1 (Table 1) may have various impacts on mental 515 health, which shouldn't be overlooked. Preserving and promoting (mental) freshness for the 516 next match should, without a doubt, be one of the key objectives during the post-recovery 517 process (as discussed above). While this type of recovery may be more difficult to monitor 518 with objective data (i.e., limited to questionnaires), the psychological aspect of such UB RT 519 sessions is likely highly player-dependent. For some players, UB sessions may be an 520 additional training constraint that adds to the already high mental load of congested fixtures. 521 In this context, match minutes, match location (home vs away) and the timing of the next 522 match (i.e., microcyle lengths, days between matches) may be used as objective indicators to 523 help practitioners decide whether to schedule an UB session for those more 'reluctant' players. In Figure 4, we offer a simple decision tree based upon the theoretical frameworks 524 525 outlined in Part B to help practitioners decide on the scheduling of such sessions based on 526 those variables (at the team level at least, and in the absence of available evidence). For other 527 types of players, such 'cosmetic sessions' (given the low load and their objectives) may

- 528 rather be an integral part of their overall wellness (e.g., feeling- and looking-good, readiness
- 529 to compete etc.), who may get a rather beneficial and greater mental than physiological
- benefit from them. This suggests that players physical profile, origins, habits, previous
- 531 experience should in fact be considered as important factors as those described in Figure 2
- 532 when it comes to programming these UB sessions. Practitioners are therefore left with the
- 533 decision about what and when to offer RT to individual players, which often requires a
- holistic understanding of players needs that goes beyond the theoretical concepts discussed inthis paper.
- 536
- 537 {Figure 4}
- 538

## 539 **Practical applications**

- 540 In this review we looked at the theoretical implications of performing UB RT on MD+1 on
- 541 the status of various psycho-biological systems including neuromuscular, metabolic,
- bormonal, perceptual, and immunological recovery. The available information suggests that
- 543 in most cases these sessions, as currently implemented (i.e., low volume, low intensity), are
- 1) unlikely to substantially improve upper body strength or muscle mass (at least in
- isolation), 2) unlikely to affect neuromuscular recovery, 3) unlikely to improve or exacerbate
- 546 metabolic perturbances, 4) unlikely to elicit a favourable hormonal response, 5) unlikely to
- 547 exacerbate mental fatigue, 6) unlikely to directly benefit or negatively impact immune health.
  548 Therefore, based on the appraisal of available literature, these sequences can therefore be
- 548 Inerefore, based on the appraisal of available interature, these sequences can therefore be 549 qualified as unlikely complementary (i.e. not accelerating recovery) but perhaps potentialy
- 549 quantied as univery complementary (i.e. not accelerating recovery) but perhaps potentiary 550 compatible (i.e. not impairing recovery). In certain circumstances, such as players' perceived
- readiness which limit adherence, these practices may still be "contraindicated".
- 552 It is worth noting however that the above-mentionned recommendations are specific to
- 553 typical low-volume and low-intensity UB RT sessions (Table 1); in the few cases where UB
- 554 RT sessions would be of higher volume and/or higher intensity, there practices may be
- systematically "contraindicated", especially when matches are only separated by a few days(Figure 4).
- 557

## 558 Conclusions

- 559 Overall, since the beneficial perception of those sessions may be player-dependent, their 560 programming requires an individualised approach and should take into account players' 561 perceptions and match dynamics (e.g. match minutes palyed, number of recovery days
- 562 between matches, travels).
- 563
- 564

## 565 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

- 566 567 **Funding**
- 568 No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.
- 569570 Conflict of interest
- 571 Angelo Sabag, Ric Lovell, Neil P. Walsh, Nick Grantham, Mathieu Lacome, and Martin
- 572 Buchheit declare that they have no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this review.
- 573 574

575	Refer	ences
576	1	
577	1.	Lago-Penas C, Rey E, Lago-Ballesteros J, Casais L, Dominguez E. The influence of a
578		congested calendar on physical performance in elite soccer. J Strength Cond Res.
579	•	2011;25(8):2111-2117.
580	2.	Moreira A, Bradley P, Carling C, et al. Effect of a congested match schedule on
581		immune-endocrine responses, technical performance and session-RPE in elite youth
582	-	soccer players. J Sports Sci. 2016;34(24):2255-2261.
583	3.	Bengtsson H, Ekstrand J, Waldén M, Hägglund M. Muscle injury rate in professional
584		football is higher in matches played within 5 days since the previous match: a 14-year
585		prospective study with more than 130 000 match observations. Br J Sports Med.
586		2018;52(17):1116-1122.
587	4.	Enoka RM, Duchateau J. Translating Fatigue to Human Performance. Med Sci Sports
588		<i>Exerc</i> . 2016;48(11):2228-2238.
589	5.	Thompson CJ, Noon M, Towlson C, et al. Understanding the presence of mental
590		fatigue in English academy soccer players. J Sports Sci. 2020; In Press: 1-7.
591	6.	Silva JR, Rumpf MC, Hertzog M, et al. Acute and Residual Soccer Match-Related
592		Fatigue: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2018;48(3):539-583.
593	7.	Silva JR, Ascensao A, Marques F, Seabra A, Rebelo A, Magalhaes J. Neuromuscular
594		function, hormonal and redox status and muscle damage of professional soccer
595		players after a high-level competitive match. European journal of applied physiology.
596		2013;113(9):2193-2201.
597	8.	Cross R, Siegler J, Marshall P, Lovell R. Scheduling of training and recovery during
598		the in-season weekly micro-cycle: Insights from team sport practitioners. Eur J Sport
599		<i>Sci</i> . 2019;19(10):1287-1296.
600	9.	Nedelec M, McCall A, Carling C, Legall F, Berthoin S, Dupont G. Recovery in
601		soccer : part ii-recovery strategies. Sports medicine (Auckland, NZ). 2013;43(1):9-22.
602	10.	Andersson H, Raastad T, Nilsson J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, Kadi F. Neuromuscular
603		fatigue and recovery in elite female soccer: effects of active recovery. Med Sci Sports
604		<i>Exerc</i> . 2008;40(2):372-380.
605	11.	Dupuy O, Douzi W, Theurot D, Bosquet L, Dugue B. An Evidence-Based Approach
606		for Choosing Post-exercise Recovery Techniques to Reduce Markers of Muscle
607		Damage, Soreness, Fatigue, and Inflammation: A Systematic Review With Meta-
608		Analysis. Front Physiol. 2018;9:403.
609	12.	Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA. Hormonal responses and adaptations to resistance
610	10	exercise and training. Sports Med. 2005;35(4):339-361.
611	13.	Abaidia AE, Delecroix B, Leduc C, et al. Effects of a Strength Training Session After
612		an Exercise Inducing Muscle Damage on Recovery Kinetics. J Strength Cond Res.
613		2017;31(1):115-125.
614	14.	McMaster DT, Gill N, Cronin J, McGuigan M. The development, retention and decay
615		rates of strength and power in elite rugby union, rugby league and American football:
616		a systematic review. Sports medicine (Auckland, NZ). 2013;43(5):367-384.
617	15.	Stolen T, Chamari K, Castagna C, Wisloff U. Physiology of soccer: an update. <i>Sports</i>
618		Med. 2005;35(6):501-536.
619	16.	Cardoso Marques MA, Gonzalez-Badillo JJ. In-season resistance training and
620		detraining in professional team handball players. J Strength Cond Res.
621	17	2006;20(3):563-571.
622	17.	Zatsiorsky V, Kraemer W. Science and Practice of Strength Training. Second ed:

622 17. Zatsiorsky V, Kraemer W. *Science and Practice of Strength Training*. Second ed:
623 Human Kinetics; 2006.

624	18.	Wan JJ, Qin Z, Wang PY, Sun Y, Liu X. Muscle fatigue: general understanding and
625		treatment. Experimental & molecular medicine. 2017;49(10):e384.
626	19.	Nedelec M, McCall A, Carling C, Legall F, Berthoin S, Dupont G. Recovery in
627		soccer: part I - post-match fatigue and time course of recovery. <i>Sports Med.</i>
628	• •	2012;42(12):997-1015.
629	20.	Taylor JL, Amann M, Duchateau J, Meeusen R, Rice CL. Neural Contributions to
630		Muscle Fatigue: From the Brain to the Muscle and Back Again. Med Sci Sports
631		<i>Exerc.</i> 2016;48(11):2294-2306.
632	21.	Brownstein CG, Dent JP, Parker P, et al. Etiology and Recovery of Neuromuscular
633		Fatigue following Competitive Soccer Match-Play. Front Physiol. 2017;8:831.
634	22.	Girard O, Nybo L, Mohr M, Racinais S. Plantar flexor neuromuscular adjustments
635		following match-play football in hot and cool conditions. Scand J Med Sci Sports.
636	• •	2015;25 Suppl 1:154-163.
637	23.	Rampinini E, Bosio A, Ferraresi I, Petruolo A, Morelli A, Sassi A. Match-related
638		fatigue in soccer players. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(11):2161-2170.
639	24.	Minett GM, Duffield R. Is recovery driven by central or peripheral factors? A role for
640		the brain in recovery following intermittent-sprint exercise. Front Physiol. 2014;5:24-
641		24.
642	25.	Thomas K, Dent J, Howatson G, Goodall S. Etiology and Recovery of Neuromuscular
643		Fatigue after Simulated Soccer Match Play. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2017;49(5):955-
644		964.
645	26.	Hawkins RD, Hulse MA, Wilkinson C, Hodson A, Gibson M. The association
646		football medical research programme: an audit of injuries in professional football. Br
647		J Sports Med. 2001;35(1):43-47.
648	27.	Lovell R, Whalan M, Marshall PWM, Sampson JA, Siegler JC, Buchheit M.
649		Scheduling of eccentric lower limb injury prevention exercises during the soccer
650		micro-cycle: Which day of the week? Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2018;28(10):2216-
651		2225.
652	28.	Baird MF, Graham SM, Baker JS, Bickerstaff GF. Creatine-kinase- and exercise-
653		related muscle damage implications for muscle performance and recovery. J Nutr
654		Metab. 2012;2012:960363.
655	29.	Giboin LS, Amiri E, Bertschinger R, Gruber M. Active recovery affects the recovery
656		of the corticospinal system but not of muscle contractile properties. <i>PloS one</i> .
657		2018;13(5):e0197339.
658	30.	Pinar S, Kaya F, Bicer B, Erzeybek MS, Cotuk HB. Different recovery methods and
659		muscle performance after exhausting exercise: comparison of the effects of electrical
660		muscle stimulation and massage. <i>Biol Sport</i> . 2012;29(4):269-275.
661	31.	Marshall PW, Metcalf E, Hagstrom AD, Cross R, Siegler JC, Enoka RM. Changes in
662		Fatigue Are the Same for Trained Men and Women after Resistance Exercise. Med
663		Sci Sports Exerc. 2020;52(1):196-204.
664	32.	Marshall PWM, Cross R, Haynes M. The fatigue of a full body resistance exercise
665		session in trained men. J Sci Med Sport. 2018;21(4):422-426.
666	33.	Thomas K, Brownstein CG, Dent J, Parker P, Goodall S, Howatson G.
667		Neuromuscular Fatigue and Recovery after Heavy Resistance, Jump, and Sprint
668	<i></i>	Training. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2018;50(12):2526-2535.
669	34.	Hargreaves M. Carbohydrate and lipid requirements of soccer. J Sports Sci. 1994;12
670	~-	Spec No:S13-16.
671	35.	Bangsbo J, Iaia FM, Krustrup P. Metabolic response and fatigue in soccer. Int J
672		Sports Physiol Perform. 2007;2(2):111-127.

- 673 36. Krustrup P, Mohr M, Steensberg A, Bencke J, Kjaer M, Bangsbo J. Muscle and blood
  674 metabolites during a soccer game: implications for sprint performance. *Med Sci*675 *Sports Exerc.* 2006;38(6):1165-1174.
- Balsom PD, Gaitanos GC, Soderlund K, Ekblom B. High-intensity exercise and
  muscle glycogen availability in humans. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1999;165(4):337-345.
- Burke LM, van Loon LJC, Hawley JA. Postexercise muscle glycogen resynthesis in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2017;122(5):1055-1067.
- Krustrup P, Ortenblad N, Nielsen J, et al. Maximal voluntary contraction force, SR
  function and glycogen resynthesis during the first 72 h after a high-level competitive
  soccer game. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2011;111(12):2987-2995.
- 683 40. Gunnarsson TP, Bendiksen M, Bischoff R, et al. Effect of whey protein- and
  684 carbohydrate-enriched diet on glycogen resynthesis during the first 48 h after a soccer
  685 game. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2013;23(4):508-515.
- 686 41. Costill DL, Pascoe DD, Fink WJ, Robergs RA, Barr SI, Pearson D. Impaired muscle
  687 glycogen resynthesis after eccentric exercise. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 1990;69(1):46688 50.
- Anderson L, Naughton RJ, Close GL, et al. Daily Distribution of Macronutrient
  Intakes of Professional Soccer Players From the English Premier League. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2017;27(6):491-498.
- 692 43. Bartlett JD, Hawley JA, Morton JP. Carbohydrate availability and exercise training
  693 adaptation: too much of a good thing? *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2015;15(1):3-12.
- Haff GG, Koch AJ, Potteiger JA, et al. Carbohydrate supplementation attenuates
  muscle glycogen loss during acute bouts of resistance exercise. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2000;10(3):326-339.
- 697 45. Camera DM, West DW, Burd NA, et al. Low muscle glycogen concentration does not suppress the anabolic response to resistance exercise. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*.
  699 2012;113(2):206-214.
- 70046.Murray B, Rosenbloom C. Fundamentals of glycogen metabolism for coaches and<br/>athletes. *Nutr Rev.* 2018;76(4):243-259.
- 47. Pascoe DD, Costill DL, Fink WJ, Robergs RA, Zachwieja JJ. Glycogen resynthesis in
  r03 skeletal muscle following resistive exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25(3):349r04 354.
- 705 48. Choi D, Cole KJ, Goodpaster BH, Fink WJ, Costill DL. Effect of passive and active
  706 recovery on the resynthesis of muscle glycogen. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
  707 1994;26(8):992-996.
- 49. Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating Testosterone as the
  Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance. *Endocr Rev.*2018;39(5):803-829.
- 50. Ahtiainen JP, Nyman K, Huhtaniemi I, et al. Effects of resistance training on
  testosterone metabolism in younger and older men. *Exp Gerontol.* 2015;69:148-158.
- 51. Ispirlidis I, Fatouros IG, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Time-course of changes in inflammatory
  and performance responses following a soccer game. *Clinical journal of sport medicine : official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine.*2008;18(5):423-431.
- 52. Slimani M, Baker JS, Cheour F, Taylor L, Bragazzi NL. Steroid hormones and
  psychological responses to soccer matches: Insights from a systematic review and
  meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2017;12(10):e0186100.
- 53. Wood RI, Stanton SJ. Testosterone and sport: current perspectives. *Horm Behav.*2012;61(1):147-155.

724 55. Filaire E, Lac G, Pequignot JM. Biological, hormonal, and psychological parameters 725 in professional soccer players throughout a competitive season. Percept Mot Skills. 726 2003;97(3 Pt 2):1061-1072. 727 van Paridon KN, Timmis MA, Nevison CM, Bristow M. The anticipatory stress 56. 728 response to sport competition; a systematic review with meta-analysis of cortisol 729 reactivity. BMJ open sport & exercise medicine. 2017;3(1):e000261. 730 57. Kreher JB, Schwartz JB. Overtraining syndrome: a practical guide. Sports Health. 731 2012;4(2):128-138. 732 Kraemer WJ, French DN, Paxton NJ, et al. Changes in exercise performance and 58. 733 hormonal concentrations over a big ten soccer season in starters and nonstarters. J 734 Strength Cond Res. 2004;18(1):121-128. 735 59. Vervoorn C, Quist AM, Vermulst LJ, Erich WB, de Vries WR, Thijssen JH. The 736 behaviour of the plasma free testosterone/cortisol ratio during a season of elite rowing 737 training. Int J Sports Med. 1991;12(3):257-263. 738 60. Rowell AE, Aughey RJ, Hopkins WG, Stewart AM, Cormack SJ. Identification of 739 Sensitive Measures of Recovery After External Load From Football Match Play. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2017;12(7):969-976. 740 741 61. Rowell AE, Aughey RJ, Hopkins WG, Esmaeili A, Lazarus BH, Cormack SJ. Effects 742 of Training and Competition Load on Neuromuscular Recovery, Testosterone, 743 Cortisol, and Match Performance During a Season of Professional Football. Front 744 Physiol. 2018;9:668. 745 Kraemer WJ, Marchitelli L, Gordon SE, et al. Hormonal and growth factor responses 62. 746 to heavy resistance exercise protocols. Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md: 747 1985). 1990;69(4):1442-1450. 748 Schoenfeld BJ. Postexercise hypertrophic adaptations: a reexamination of the 63. 749 hormone hypothesis and its applicability to resistance training program design. J 750 Strength Cond Res. 2013;27(6):1720-1730. Barrett S, McLaren S, Spears I, Ward P, Weston M. The Influence of Playing Position 751 64. 752 and Contextual Factors on Soccer Players' Match Differential Ratings of Perceived Exertion: A Preliminary Investigation. Sports (Basel). 2018;6(1). 753 754 65. Badin OO, Smith MR, Conte D, Coutts AJ. Mental Fatigue: Impairment of Technical 755 Performance in Small-Sided Soccer Games. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 756 2016;11(8):1100-1105. 757 66. Smith MR, Marcora SM, Coutts AJ. Mental Fatigue Impairs Intermittent Running 758 Performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47(8):1682-1690. 759 67. Scott D, Norris D, Lovell R. Dose-response relationship between external load and 760 wellness in elite women's soccer matches: do customized velocity thresholds add 761 value? . Int J Sport Physiol. 2020; In Press. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Tracking 762 68. 763 Morning Fatigue Status Across In-Season Training Weeks in Elite Soccer Players. Int 764 J Sports Physiol Perform. 2016;11(7):947-952. 765 Gallo TF, Cormack SJ, Gabbett TJ, Lorenzen CH. Pre-training perceived wellness 69. 766 impacts training output in Australian football players. J Sports Sci. 2016;34(15):1445-767 1451. Arent SM, Landers DM, Matt KS, Etnier JL. Dose-Response and Mechanistic Issues 768 70. 769 in the Resistance Training and Affect Relationship. J Sport Exercise Psy. 770 2005;27(1):92-110.

Cumming DC, Quigley ME, Yen SS. Acute suppression of circulating testosterone

levels by cortisol in men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1983;57(3):671-673.

722

723

54.

771 71. Bartholomew JB, Moore J, Todd J, Todd T, Elrod CC. Psychological States 772 Following Resistance Exercise of Different Workloads. Journal of Applied Sport 773 Psychology. 2001;13(4):399-410. 774 72. Elsangedy HM, Krinski K, Machado DG, Agricola PM, Okano AH, Gregorio da Silva 775 S. Self-selected intensity, ratings of perceived exertion, and affective responses in 776 sedentary male subjects during resistance training. J Phys Ther Sci. 2016;28(6):1795-777 1800. 778 Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the 73. 779 London Summer Olympic Games 2012. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(7):407-414. 780 74. Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Shephard RJ, et al. Position statement. Part one: Immune 781 function and exercise. Exerc Immunol Rev. 2011;17:6-63. 782 Bayne H, Schwellnus M, van Rensburg DJ, Botha J, Pillay L. Incidence of injury and 75. 783 illness in South African professional male soccer players: a prospective cohort study. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2018;58(6):875-879. 784 785 Orhant E, Carling C, Cox A. A three-year prospective study of illness in professional 76. 786 soccer players. Res Sports Med. 2010;18(3):199-204. 787 77. Dvorak J, Junge A, Derman W, Schwellnus M. Injuries and illnesses of football 788 players during the 2010 FIFA World Cup. Br J Sports Med. 2011;45(8):626-630. Bermon S, Castell LM, Calder PC, et al. Consensus Statement Immunonutrition and 789 78. 790 Exercise. Exerc Immunol Rev. 2017;23:8-50. 791 79. Walsh NP. Recommendations to maintain immune health in athletes. Eur J Sport Sci. 792 2018;18(6):820-831. 793 80. Drew MK, Vlahovich N, Hughes D, et al. A multifactorial evaluation of illness risk 794 factors in athletes preparing for the Summer Olympic Games. J Sci Med Sport. 795 2017;20(8):745-750. 796 81. Hellard P, Avalos M, Guimaraes F, Toussaint JF, Pyne DB. Training-related risk of 797 common illnesses in elite swimmers over a 4-yr period. Medicine and science in 798 sports and exercise. 2015;47(4):698-707. 799 82. Tomasi TB, Trudeau FB, Czerwinski D, Erredge S. Immune parameters in athletes 800 before and after strenuous exercise. J Clin Immunol. 1982;2(3):173-178. 801 Fitzgerald L. Exercise and the immune system. Immunol Today. 1988;9(11):337-339. 83. 802 Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Walsh NP. Exercise Immunology. Abingdon: Routledge; 84. 803 2013. 804 Simpson RJ, Campbell JP, Gleeson M, et al. Can exercise affect immune function to 85. increase susceptibility to infection? Exerc Immunol Rev. 2020;26:8-22. 805 806 86. Peake JM, Neubauer O, Walsh NP, Simpson RJ. Recovery of the immune system 807 after exercise. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2017;122(5):1077-1087. Ekblom B, Ekblom O, Malm C. Infectious episodes before and after a marathon race. 808 87. 809 Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2006;16(4):287-293. Bishop NC, Blannin AK, Robson PJ, Walsh NP, Gleeson M. The effects of 810 88. 811 carbohydrate supplementation on immune responses to a soccer-specific exercise 812 protocol. J Sports Sci. 1999;17(10):787-796. Sari-Sarraf V, Reilly T, Doran DA. Salivary IgA response to intermittent and 813 89. continuous exercise. Int J Sports Med. 2006;27(11):849-855. 814 815 90. Rosic I, Malicevic S, Medic S. Immune response to hepatitis B vaccine in elite 816 athletes. Med Pregl. 2008;61(1-2):55-59. Malm C, Ekblom O, Ekblom B. Immune system alteration in response to increased 817 91. 818 physical training during a five day soccer training camp. Int J Sports Med. 2004;25(6):471-476. 819

- Mohr M, Draganidis D, Chatzinikolaou A, et al. Muscle damage, inflammatory,
  immune and performance responses to three football games in 1 week in competitive
  male players. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2016;116(1):179-193.
- Malm C, Ekblom O, Ekblom B. Immune system alteration in response to two
  consecutive soccer games. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 2004;180(2):143-155.
- Morgans R, Orme P, Anderson L, Drust B, Morton JP. An intensive Winter fixture
  schedule induces a transient fall in salivary IgA in English premier league soccer
  players. *Res Sports Med.* 2014;22(4):346-354.
- 828 95. Edwards JP, Walsh NP, Diment PC, Roberts R. Anxiety and perceived psychological
  829 stress play an important role in the immune response after exercise. *Exerc Immunol*830 *Rev.* 2018;24:26-34.
- 831 96. Fondell E, Lagerros YT, Sundberg CJ, et al. Physical activity, stress, and self-reported
  832 upper respiratory tract infection. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*.
  833 2011;43(2):272-279.
- 834 97. Svendsen IS, Taylor IM, Tønnessen E, Bahr R, Gleeson M. Training-related and
  835 competition-related risk factors for respiratory tract and gastrointestinal infections in
  836 elite cross-country skiers. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(13):809-815.
- 837 98. Schlagheck ML, Walzik D, Joisten N, et al. Cellular immune response to acute
  838 exercise: Comparison of endurance and resistance exercise. *Eur J Haematol.* 2020.
- 839 99. Walsh NP. Nutrition and Athlete Immune Health: New Perspectives on an Old
  840 Paradigm. *Sports Med.* 2019;49(Suppl 2):153-168.
- Romagnoli M, Sanchis-Gomar F, Alis R, et al. Changes in muscle damage,
  inflammation, and fatigue-related parameters in young elite soccer players after a
  match. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2016;56(10):1198-1205.

844

Club	Physiological objectives	When	Sets	Exercise #1 Reps (Load)	Exercise #2 Reps (Load)	Exercise #3 Reps (Load)	Exercise #4 Reps (Load)	Exercise #5 Reps (Load)	Exercise #6 Reps (Load)
A	Unclear	D+3/D-3	3-4	Dumbbell triceps extension 10x (5-10 kg)	Assisted Chin-up with bands 12x	Dead Bug with swiss ball 10x	Supinated triceps pushdown 10x (15kg)	Alternating renegade row 10x (6kg)	Half kneeling cable chop – lateral pull 10x (15 kg)
A	Unclear	D+1	2-3	Crunches 20x	Inclined Fly 10x (16-20 kg)	Lat pulldown 10x (30 kg)	Push ups on a reversed Bosu 10x	1-arm inclined press with dumbbell 10x (15 kg each)	Superman on a Swiss ball 8x (5 kg per arm)
В	Unclear	D+1	2-3	Barbell Bench press 5x (75% 1RM)	Half kneeling cable chop – frontal pull 10x (15 kg)	Dumbbell lateral raise 12x (5kg)	Ab wheel rollout 12x	TRX Push-up 12x	T-bar row 12x (20 kg)
С	Hypertrophy (Repeated efforts)	Periodized, individual needs	4-5	Alternating dumbbell bench Press 8x (20-25 kg each)	Push-up 12x	Dumbbell bent over row 8x (15 kg each)	TRX Row 12x	Standing dumbbell curl to overhead press 8x (15kg each)	Bodyweight Dip 8x
С	Strength (Repeated efforts)	Periodized, individual needs	4-5	Barbell Bench press 5x (85% 1RM)	Plyometric Push-up 12x	Dumbbell bent over row 5x (20 kg each)	Single arm supine row 5x (body weight)	Bodyweight Chin-up 5x	

 Table 1. Example of typical upper body resistance training sessions performed in 3 different elite soccer clubs participating in the European Champions league, as provided by their Head of Performance. The coloured ovals refer to the types of sessions objectives shown in figure 1.





—Central Resonse — Peripheral Response ····· Central Response + UB RT\* ····· Peripheral Response + UB RT\*



**Fig. 1** Classification of typical resistance training sessions in relation to intensity (% 1RM) and volume (number of repetitions). Adapted from Zatsiorsky and Kraemer. 2006.<sup>17</sup> The typical MD+1 UB sessions performed in soccer (Table 1) fall outside these 'optimal' zones, which question their effectiveness with respect to neuromuscular adaptations. 1RM, one-repetition max. MD+1, day after match-play. UB, upper body.



**Fig. 2** Schematic change (%) in central and peripheral performance  $\pm$  upper body session. Adapted from Brownstein et al. 2017.<sup>21</sup> The addition of UB RT on MD+1 may slightly impair central recovery and, to a lesser degree, peripheral recovery, however these are unlikely to affect performance outcomes. Central response =

inferred from voluntary activation data. Peripheral response = inferred from potentiated twitch force data. UB,

upper body. RT, resistance training. \*Broken lines indicate theoretical projections.



**Fig. 3** Schematic change (%) in transient free testosterone:Cortisol ratio  $\pm$  upper body session. Adapted from Romagnoli et al. 2016.<sup>100</sup> The addition of UB RT on MD+1 may induce favourable improvements in T:C ratio, however these changes are likely to be minimal due to the nature of the UB sessions. UB, upper body. RT, resistance training. \*Broken lines indicate theoretical projections.



**Fig. 4** Proposed decision tree to help practitioners decide on the scheduling of such sessions based on match minutes, match location (home vs away) and the timing of the next match (i.e., microcyle lengths, days between matches).