



## LJMU Research Online

Jacques, RO, Mills, SC, Cazzonatto Zerwes, P, Fagade, FO, Green, JE, Downham, S, Sexton, DW and Mueller, A

**Dynamin function is important for chemokine receptor-induced cell migration.**

<https://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/1987/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Jacques, RO, Mills, SC, Cazzonatto Zerwes, P, Fagade, FO, Green, JE, Downham, S, Sexton, DW and Mueller, A (2015) Dynamin function is important for chemokine receptor-induced cell migration. *Cell Biochemistry and Function*. 33 (6). pp. 407-414. ISSN 1099-0844**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Dynamin function is important for CC-chemokine receptor induced cell migration

Richard O Jacques<sup>a</sup>, Shirley C Mills<sup>a</sup>, Paula Cazonatto Zerwes<sup>a</sup>, Feyisope O Fagade<sup>a</sup>, John E Green<sup>a</sup>, Scott Downham<sup>a</sup>, Darren W Sexton<sup>b,c</sup> and Anja Mueller<sup>a\*</sup>

a) School of Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK, Telephone: 0044(0)1603-593138, Fax: 0044(0)1603-592003, email: [anja.mueller@uea.ac.uk](mailto:anja.mueller@uea.ac.uk)

b) Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

c) current address: School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool, L3 3HF

\*Correspondence to this author

**Abstract**

The HIV viral entry co-receptors CCR5 and CXCR4 function physiologically as typical chemokine receptors. Activation leads to cytosolic signal transduction that results in a variety of cellular responses such as cytoskeletal rearrangement and chemotaxis (CTX). Our aim was to investigate the signalling pathways involved in CC- and CXC-receptor mediated cell migration. Inhibition of dynamin I and II GTPase with dynasore completely inhibited CCL3 stimulated chemotaxis in THP-1 cells, whereas the dynasore analogue dyngo-4a, which is a more potent inhibitor, showed reduced ability to inhibit CC-chemokine induced CTX. In contrast, dynasore was not able to block cell migration via CXCR4. The same activation/inhibition pattern was verified in activated-T-lymphocytes for different CC- and CXC-chemokines. Cell migration induced by CC- and CXC-receptors is not relying on active internalisation processes driven by dynamin since the blockade of internalisation does not affect migration, but they might rely on dynamin interaction with the cytoskeleton. We identify here a functional difference in how CC- and CXC-receptor migration is controlled, suggesting that specific signalling networks are being employed for different receptor classes and potentially specific therapeutic targets to prevent receptor migration can be identified.

**Keywords**

Chemokine receptor, chemotaxis, dynamin, signalling, internalisation

## Introduction

Cellular migration can be activated by chemokine receptors, which are part of the G protein coupled receptors family (GPCRs) [1]. In different disease settings and different cancer types it has been shown that chemokine receptors play a crucial role in promoting cell migration and even cancer growth [2, 3]. Several chemokines (CCL5, CCL8) act as agonists for CCR1, CCR3 and CCR5, whereas a few chemokines, like CCL2, only activate CCR2 and CCR4, but not CCR5 [4, 5]. It has been shown that chemokine receptor activation leads to activation of heterotrimeric G proteins and phosphorylation of the receptor via G protein coupled receptor kinases (GRKs), which in turn leads to binding of  $\beta$ -arrestins to the receptor and is followed by receptor internalisation [4]. Activation is also followed by actin polymerisation but the signalling networks become which activated to allow this to happen have yet to be fully defined.

In recent years it has become clear that GPCRs do not signal solely via G proteins [6]. The so called receptosome of these receptors, which includes  $\beta$ -arrestins and other associating proteins makes signalling of GPCRs comparatively complex.  $\beta$ -arrestins can associate directly with a range of proteins including ERK1/2, cofilin, filamin and Jnk3 and therefore activate a variety of cellular responses without the involvement of G proteins [7]. Ligand biased signalling is important for chemokine receptors and it allows different ligands to activate different signalling cascades by encouraging specific ligand-receptor conformations. Receptors adopting such ligand dependent conformations then display specificity or bias towards certain signalling pathways dependent on which ligand is binding to the receptor [8] and which

1  
2  
3 receptor class is involved. There is also a marked difference in the regulation of  
4  
5 CXC-receptors and CC-receptors expression on the cell surfaces. Whereas CCR5,  
6  
7 CCR2 and CCR4 internalise via clathrin-coated-pits and caveolae, CXCR3 and  
8  
9 CXCR4 only use clathrin-coated pits [9-13]. Similarly, CCR5 recycles back to the cell  
10  
11 surface, whereas CXCR3 and CXCR4 are targeted to the late endosomes and  
12  
13 lysosomes [10, 12, 13].  
14

15  
16 Traditionally it is thought that  $\beta\gamma$ -subunits of the G proteins induce migration via  
17  
18 activation of PI3K [14], however we have recently shown that this seems not to be  
19  
20 the case for CCL3 induced migration in THP-1 cells [15]. For CXCR4 it has been  
21  
22 shown that migration under certain circumstances is dependent on  $\beta$ -arrestins as  
23  
24 well as filamin-A, a protein, which can bind actin and interacts with  $\beta$ -arrestins [16,  
25  
26 17]. This raises the possibility that  $\beta$ -arrestin and actin interacting proteins are  
27  
28 activated downstream of different types of chemokine receptors. One of these actin  
29  
30 interacting proteins is dynamin. Several groups have shown that dynamin, an  
31  
32 enzyme which has traditionally been linked to internalisation of receptors via clathrin-  
33  
34 coated pits, is important for the integral structure of actin polymers [18-20].  
35  
36 Dynamins are large multi-domain proteins (~100 kDa) that constitute an N-terminal  
37  
38 GTPase domain, a middle domain, a PH domain, a GTPase effector domain (GED),  
39  
40 and a C-terminal proline-rich domain (PRD), which interacts with proteins that  
41  
42 contain SH3 domains [21] and there are several types of the protein: dynamin I is  
43  
44 primarily found in neurones where it is involved in synaptic vesicle endocytosis [22,  
45  
46 23] and it has been linked with several neurological processes such as long-term  
47  
48 memory formation [24]. Dynamin II is ubiquitously expressed and is found in all cell  
49  
50 types, dynamin III is primarily found in the testis. Dynamin II interacts with numerous  
51  
52 GPCRs as well as non-GPCR receptors, including the chemokine receptor CCR5 [25]  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and various cytokine receptors [26] and is, therefore, an interesting target protein to  
4  
5 investigate chemokine receptor triggered migration.  
6

7  
8 Here we analysed different small molecule inhibitors for their effects on chemokine  
9  
10 receptor-induced migration and release of intracellular calcium. We investigated  
11  
12 whether dynamin plays a role for both CC-receptor- as well as CXC-receptor-  
13  
14 induced migration or whether distinct signalling pathways are activated by different  
15  
16 subsets of receptors.  
17

## 18 19 20 **Methods**

### 21 22 *Cells and materials*

23  
24  
25 Culture conditions for THP-1 cells have previously been described [9]. Jurkat cells  
26  
27 were obtained from ATCC and grown in RPMI containing 10% FCS and 2 mM L-  
28  
29 glutamine. Blood was sampled from healthy normal subjects according to a protocol  
30  
31 approved by a local ethics committee (reference number 2008042). Peripheral blood  
32  
33 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were subsequently isolated as previously described by  
34  
35 Sabroe et al. [27]. Lymphocytes were separated from monocytes by allowing the  
36  
37 latter to adhere to a tissue culture flask for 2 hours at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and were  
38  
39 activated by culture in the presence of IL-2 (200 mg/mL) and concanavalin A (30  
40  
41 mg/mL) for at least 10 days. The chemokine used for CCR5/CCR1 activation was  
42  
43 human CCL3 (D26A) and has been described before [9, 28]. CXCL11 and CXCL12  
44  
45 were from Peprotech (UK). Dynamin inhibitors dynasore, dyngo-4a, MiTMAB,  
46  
47 OcTMAB, dynole-34-2, dynole-31-2 (negative control), iminodyn-22 and iminodyn-17  
48  
49 (negative control), pyrimidin-7 were purchased from Abcam (for an overview of  
50  
51 dynamin inhibitors see Table 1). Clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor pitstop 2  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and the corresponding negative control were from Abcam. All other chemicals were  
4  
5 from Fisher Scientific.  
6  
7

### 8 9 *Chemotaxis Assays*

10  
11 Cells were harvested and washed twice with pre-warmed, sterile PBS, then  
12  
13 resuspended in serum-free RPMI 1640 which contained 0.1% BSA. The  
14  
15 concentration of cells was adjusted to  $6.25 \times 10^7$  cells/mL. Chemoattractants were  
16  
17 loaded in a final volume of 31  $\mu$ L at indicated concentrations in the lower  
18  
19 compartment and 20  $\mu$ L of resuspended cells were loaded onto the upper  
20  
21 compartment of a microchemotaxis chamber (Receptor Technologies, Adderbury,  
22  
23 UK). The two compartments were separated by a polyvinylpyrrolidone-free  
24  
25 polycarbonate filter with 5  $\mu$ m pores. For inhibitor treatment, cells were incubated for  
26  
27 30 minutes with the inhibitor or with vehicle control before loading onto the upper  
28  
29 compartment of the chamber. Chambers were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 4  
30  
31 hours before cells were counted. Data was analysed as previously described [15].  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 *Analysis of data*

39  
40 Data were analysed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software). Statistical  
41  
42 analyses were performed using a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni multiple  
43  
44 comparison test as post-hoc test with a p value <0.05 deemed significant. In all  
45  
46 figures, data represent the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of at least three independent experiments.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## 52 **Results**

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Chemokine receptors are expressed on different cell types, and THP-1 cells express  
4 naturally CCR1, CCR2 and CCR5 as well as CXCR4 and migrate towards stimuli  
5 with CCL2, CCL3, CCL8, CCL23 and CXCL12 whereas Jurkat cells express CXCR4  
6 and migrate towards stimuli with CXCL12, but not towards CCL3. Activated T-cells  
7 have been shown to express functional CXCR3 and CCR5 and migrate towards  
8 CXCL11 and CCL3 [29]. We therefore used these different cells to investigate the  
9 effect of dynamin inhibitors on cell migration with the view to differentiate between  
10 CC-receptor and CXC-receptor family behaviour. In our hand Jurkat cells do not  
11 migrate towards CCL3 and hence we used THP-1 cells for both CCL3 and CXCL12.  
12 Dynasore blocks migration towards CCL3 in THP-1 cells in a dose dependant  
13 manner (Figure 1a). At a concentration where dynasore clearly blocks CCL3 induced  
14 migration (40  $\mu$ M), it does not affect CXCL12 induced migration in THP-1 (Figure 1b).  
15 To rule out any ambiguities, we used the higher concentration of dynasore (80  $\mu$ M) in  
16 activated T-cells. Dynasore does not block migration of activated T-cells towards  
17 CXCL11, whereas, there is a clear trend of inhibition towards CCL3 induced  
18 migration (Figure 1 c,d), showing a distinct difference in the activation pattern of  
19 CXC- and CC-receptors. Confirming the differences between CC- and CXC-  
20 receptors are results with CXCL12 in Jurkat cells, where dynasore has no effect at  
21 all on migration, even at 80  $\mu$ M (Figure 1 e). At the concentration used, none of the  
22 dynamin inhibitors showed any cytotoxic effects in the experimental set up, as shown  
23 by MTS assays (data not shown).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 The dynasore analogue dyngo-4a, which is more potent than dynasore (dyngo-4a  
52  $IC_{50}$  16 $\pm$ 1.2  $\mu$ M versus dynasore 79.3 $\pm$ 1.3  $\mu$ M [30]) blocks migration towards CCL3  
53 in THP-1 cells to a lesser degree than dynasore. Remarkably dyngo-4a blocks  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 migration towards CXCL11 in activated T-cells and CXCL12 in THP-1 and Jurkat  
4 cells (Figure 2). Dyngo-4a shows selectivity towards dynamin I versus dynamin II  
5 whereas dynasore is non-selective and therefore these results might reflect a  
6 different usage of the dynamin isoforms by different receptors (see Table 1). We  
7 further investigated which domains of the dynamin proteins are essential for cell  
8 migration and whether they are equally important for different receptor families. In  
9 the first instance, we used iminodyn-22 and dynole-34-2 which are both non-  
10 selective dynamin I and II inhibitors, and their negative controls which are iminodyn-  
11 17 and dynole-31-2. Neither iminodyn-22 nor dynole-34-2 block migration in THP-1  
12 cells towards CCL3 or in Jurkat cells towards CXCL12 (Figure 3 a-d). However there  
13 is a distinct difference between CCL3- and CXCL12-induced chemotaxis for the non-  
14 selective MiTMAB and OcTMAB inhibitors which bind to the dynamin PH domain [30],  
15 and completely block any migration in Jurkat cells towards CXCL12 (Figure 3 f), but  
16 have no significant effect on CCL3-induced migration in THP-1 cells (Figure 3 e,g)  
17 but still effect CXCL12 migration in THP-1, even though with less of an effect than in  
18 Jurkat cells (Figure 3 h). Again this data shows a clear difference in the reliance of  
19 CC- and CXC-receptors on dynamin usage. We also used pyrimidyn-7, which  
20 competitively inhibits both GTP and phospholipid binding and is the only inhibitor  
21 available up to now which targets two distinct domains of dynamin. There is no effect  
22 on CCL3 induced migration in THP-1 cells (Figure 4 a), but CXCL12 induced  
23 migration is significantly blocked in Jurkat cells (Figure 3 b) as well as THP-1 cells  
24 (data not shown).

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Dynamin is classically known as being of importance for clathrin-coated pit triggered internalisation of receptors, even though recently its importance for actin-dynamics have become more apparent [19]. We previously showed that CCR5 can use

1  
2  
3 clathrin-coated pits for internalisation [9, 31] and indeed dynamin inhibition via  
4  
5 dynasore completely abrogates internalisation on CHO.CCR5 as well as THP-1 cells  
6  
7 as analysed via immunofluorescence (data not shown). To investigate whether it is  
8  
9 the prohibition of internalisation which prevents cell migration, we used another  
10  
11 clathrin-coated pit endocytosis inhibitor, pitstop 2 and its negative control analogue in  
12  
13 THP-1 cells for CCL3 activation as well as in Jurkat cells for CXCL12 activation.  
14  
15 Pitstop 2 does not block cell migration (Figure 4 c,d). The concentration of pitstop 2  
16  
17 used for migration assays actually inhibits internalisation of CCR5 receptor in THP-1  
18  
19 cells (data not shown). An increase of the concentration of pitstop 2 used in THP-1  
20  
21 cells, actually increased the number of migrating cells by a small, but significant  
22  
23 amount.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 Discussion

31  
32  
33  
34 In this study we investigated the role of dynamin in the signalling events that occur  
35  
36 after the activation of CC- and CXC-receptors. Dynamin involvement in cell migration  
37  
38 is related to its role as a focal adhesion regulator and it has been shown that  
39  
40 inhibition of dynamin 2 inhibits focal adhesion disassembly and impairs cell migration.  
41  
42 We, therefore, used different dynamin inhibitors, which either have a higher potency  
43  
44 for dynamin I over II (dyngo-4a) or are non-selective dynamin I and II inhibitors  
45  
46 (dynasore, dynole-34-2, MiTMAB, OcTMAB, iminodyn-22, pyrimidyn-7) [30, 32, 33].  
47  
48 Dynasore has been shown previously to block endocytosis via clathrin-coated pits  
49  
50 [34-36] and indeed it blocks CCL3-induced endocytosis of CCR5 in CHO.CCR5 cells.  
51  
52 Dynasore blocks CCL3 induced migration in THP-1 cells and activated T-  
53  
54 lymphocytes, but it has no effect on either CXCL12-induced migration of THP-1 or  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Jurkat cells or CXCL11-induced migration of activated T-lymphocytes. These results  
4  
5 point towards a significant difference between CC- and CXC-receptor activated  
6  
7 signalling networks. Dyngo-4a, a close analogue of dynasore, which is more potent  
8  
9 than dynasore and has a higher potency for dynamin I over dynamin II [30], is less  
10  
11 effective in blocking CCL3-induced migration in THP-1 cells, however it blocks  
12  
13 CXCL11- and CXCL12-induced migration in activated T-lymphocytes and Jurkat  
14  
15 cells, respectively. Similarly, dynasore can significantly reduce CCL2 induced  
16  
17 migration in THP-1 cells, whereas dyngo-4a shows a trend to inhibit migration, but  
18  
19 does not reach significance. The functional differences between dynasore and  
20  
21 dyngo-4a have not been fully analysed yet, but with the knowledge available today,  
22  
23 our data points towards either a different usage of dynamin isoforms by chemokine  
24  
25 receptor subtypes or to the usage of a varying set of dynamin interacting protein by  
26  
27 different receptor subfamilies. This difference between CC- and CXC-receptors was  
28  
29 further highlighted by the use of dynole-34-2, MiTMAB, OcTMAB, iminodyn-22 and  
30  
31 pyrimidyn-7. None of those blocked CCL3-induced migration in THP-1 cells, but  
32  
33 pyrimidyn-7, MiTMAB and OcTMAB block CXCL12-induced migration in THP-1 and  
34  
35 Jurkat cells. Unlike MiTMAB and OcTMAB, which block dynamin recruitment to the  
36  
37 membranes, dynole-34-2, dyngo-4a and dynasore block dynamin function after its  
38  
39 recruitment [30]. MiTMAB, OcTMAB also bind to the PH-domain of the dynamin  
40  
41 molecule, unlike the other inhibitors, which bind to the G domain. An obvious reason  
42  
43 for the prevention of migration after the use of dynamin inhibitors is the potential  
44  
45 importance of internalisation for receptor activation and ultimately signal transduction.  
46  
47 We, therefore, employed a different clathrin-coated pits endocytosis inhibitor, pitstop  
48  
49 2 and its negative control compound to analyse whether internalisation is a pre-  
50  
51 requisite for migration. In both THP-1 cells and Jurkat cells pitstop 2 did not prevent  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 CCL3- and CXCL12-induced migration, respectively, which is evidence that receptor  
4  
5 internalisation is not necessary to activate cell migration as had been described  
6  
7 already for the CCR2b receptor [37].  
8

9  
10 In our study we detect distinct differences between the CC- and CXC-receptors.  
11  
12 Traditionally it has been shown that CXCR4 activation leads to chemotaxis in a  $\beta$ -  
13  
14 arrestin 2, ERK 1/2, G $\beta$  $\gamma$  dependent manner and is PI3K dependent [38, 39].  
15  
16 Therefore the implication of the dynamin PH domain in cell migration for CXCL12 is  
17  
18 in line with the already published signalling networks, whereas the PH domain is not  
19  
20 necessary for CCL3-induced migration, since this migration is independent of PI3K  
21  
22 activation [15]. Overall our study showed that there are distinct differences in  
23  
24 signalling networks used by CC-receptors compared to CXC-receptors which will  
25  
26 yield novel therapeutic targets to prevent cell migration triggered by specific  
27  
28 receptors.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **Acknowledgments:**

35  
36 We thank the UEA for providing a studentship for ROJ and we acknowledge the  
37  
38 support for SCM from the Novartis studentship fund.  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **References**

- 44  
45  
46  
47 [1] M. Thelen, Dancing to the tune of chemokines, *Nat Immunol*, 2 (2001) 129-134.  
48  
49 [2] B. Homey, A. Muller, A. Zlotnik, Chemokines: agents for the immunotherapy of  
50  
51 cancer?, *Nat Rev Immunol*, 2 (2002) 175-184.  
52  
53 [3] A. Zlotnik, Chemokines and cancer, Ernst Schering Res Found Workshop, (2004)  
54  
55 53-58.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [4] F. Bachelierie, A. Ben-Baruch, A.M. Burkhardt, C. Combadiere, J.M. Farber, G.J.  
4  
5 Graham, R. Horuk, A.H. Sparre-Ulrich, M. Locati, A.D. Luster, A. Mantovani, K.  
6  
7 Matsushima, P.M. Murphy, R. Nibbs, H. Nomiya, C.A. Power, A.E. Proudfoot,  
8  
9 M.M. Rosenkilde, A. Rot, S. Sozzani, M. Thelen, O. Yoshie, A. Zlotnik, International  
10  
11 Union of Pharmacology. LXXXIX. Update on the Extended Family of Chemokine  
12  
13 Receptors and Introducing a New Nomenclature for Atypical Chemokine Receptors,  
14  
15 Pharmacological reviews, 66 (2014) 1-79.  
16  
17  
18 [5] A. Zlotnik, O. Yoshie, The chemokine superfamily revisited, Immunity, 36 (2012)  
19  
20 705-716.  
21  
22  
23 [6] A.C. Magalhaes, H. Dunn, S.S. Ferguson, Regulation of GPCR activity, trafficking  
24  
25 and localization by GPCR-interacting proteins, Br J Pharmacol, 165 (2012) 1717-  
26  
27 1736.  
28  
29  
30 [7] S. Rajagopal, K. Rajagopal, R.J. Lefkowitz, Teaching old receptors new tricks:  
31  
32 biasing seven-transmembrane receptors, Nature reviews. Drug discovery, 9 (2010)  
33  
34 373-386.  
35  
36  
37 [8] S. Rajagopal, D.L. Bassoni, J.J. Campbell, N.P. Gerard, C. Gerard, T.S.  
38  
39 Wehrman, Biased agonism as a mechanism for differential signaling by chemokine  
40  
41 receptors, J Biol Chem, 288 (2013) 35039-35048.  
42  
43  
44 [9] A. Mueller, E. Kelly, P.G. Strange, Pathways for internalization and recycling of  
45  
46 the chemokine receptor CCR5, Blood, 99 (2002) 785-791.  
47  
48  
49 [10] A. Meiser, A. Mueller, E.L. Wise, E.M. McDonagh, S.J. Petit, N. Saran, P.C.  
50  
51 Clark, T.J. Williams, J.E. Pease, The Chemokine Receptor CXCR3 Is Degraded  
52  
53 following Internalization and Is Replenished at the Cell Surface by De Novo  
54  
55 Synthesis of Receptor, J Immunol, 180 (2008) 6713-6724.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [11] M. Mariani, R. Lang, E. Binda, P. Panina-Bordignon, D. D'Ambrosio, Dominance  
4 of CCL22 over CCL17 in induction of chemokine receptor CCR4 desensitization and  
5 internalization on human Th2 cells, *Eur J Immunol*, 34 (2004) 231-240.  
6  
7  
8  
9 [12] E.M. Borroni, A. Mantovani, M. Locati, R. Bonecchi, Chemokine receptors  
10 intracellular trafficking, *Pharmacology & therapeutics*, 127 (2010) 1-8.  
11  
12  
13 [13] Y. Zhang, A. Foudi, J.F. Geay, M. Berthebaud, D. Buet, P. Jarrier, A. Jalil, W.  
14 Vainchenker, F. Louache, Intracellular localization and constitutive endocytosis of  
15 CXCR4 in human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells, *Stem Cells*, 22 (2004)  
16 1015-1029.  
17  
18  
19 [14] G. Germena, E. Hirsch, PI3Ks and small GTPases in neutrophil migration: two  
20 sides of the same coin, *Mol Immunol*, 55 (2013) 83-86.  
21  
22  
23 [15] J.S. Kerr, R.O. Jacques, C. Moyano Cardaba, T. Tse, D. Sexton, A. Mueller,  
24 Differential regulation of chemotaxis: role of Gbetagamma in chemokine receptor-  
25 induced cell migration, *Cell Signal*, 25 (2013) 729-735.  
26  
27  
28 [16] B. Lagane, K.Y. Chow, K. Balabanian, A. Levoye, J. Harriague, T. Planchenault,  
29 F. Baleux, N. Gunera-Saad, F. Arenzana-Seisdedos, F. Bachelierie, CXCR4  
30 dimerization and beta-arrestin-mediated signaling account for the enhanced  
31 chemotaxis to CXCL12 in WHIM syndrome, *Blood*, 112 (2008) 34-44.  
32  
33  
34 [17] M.G. Scott, V. Pierotti, H. Storez, E. Lindberg, A. Thuret, O. Muntaner, C.  
35 Labbe-Jullie, J.A. Pitcher, S. Marullo, Cooperative regulation of extracellular signal-  
36 regulated kinase activation and cell shape change by filamin A and beta-arrestins,  
37 *Molecular and cellular biology*, 26 (2006) 3432-3445.  
38  
39  
40 [18] S.M. Ferguson, A. Raimondi, S. Paradise, H. Shen, K. Mesaki, A. Ferguson, O.  
41 Destaing, G. Ko, J. Takasaki, O. Cremona, O.T. E, P. De Camilli, Coordinated  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 actions of actin and BAR proteins upstream of dynamin at endocytic clathrin-coated  
4 pits, *Developmental cell*, 17 (2009) 811-822.

5  
6  
7 [19] J. Chua, R. Rikhy, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, Dynamin 2 orchestrates the global  
8 actomyosin cytoskeleton for epithelial maintenance and apical constriction, *Proc Natl*  
9 *Acad Sci U S A*, 106 (2009) 20770-20775.

10  
11  
12 [20] G. Gallo, More than one ring to bind them all: recent insights into the structure of  
13 the axon, *Developmental neurobiology*, 73 (2013) 799-805.

14  
15  
16 [21] H.S. Shpetner, R.B. Vallee, Dynamin is a GTPase stimulated to high levels of  
17 activity by microtubules, *Nature*, 355 (1992) 733-735.

18  
19  
20 [22] P. De Camilli, K. Takei, P.S. McPherson, The function of dynamin in endocytosis,  
21 *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 5 (1995) 559-565.

22  
23  
24 [23] K. Tomizawa, S. Sunada, Y.F. Lu, Y. Oda, M. Kinuta, T. Ohshima, T. Saito, F.Y.  
25 Wei, M. Matsushita, S.T. Li, K. Tsutsui, S. Hisanaga, K. Mikoshiba, K. Takei, H.  
26 Matsui, Cophosphorylation of amphiphysin I and dynamin I by Cdk5 regulates  
27 clathrin-mediated endocytosis of synaptic vesicles, *J Cell Biol*, 163 (2003) 813-824.

28  
29  
30 [24] T. Casoli, G. Di Stefano, P. Fattoretti, B. Giorgetti, M. Balialetti, F. Lattanzio, G.  
31 Aicardi, D. Platano, Dynamin binding protein gene expression and memory  
32 performance in aged rats, *Neurobiology of aging*, 33 (2012) 618 e615-619.

33  
34  
35 [25] K. Tadagaki, D. Tudor, F. Gbahou, P. Tschische, M. Waldhoer, M. Bomsel, R.  
36 Jockers, M. Kamal, Human cytomegalovirus-encoded UL33 and UL78 heteromerize  
37 with host CCR5 and CXCR4 impairing their HIV coreceptor activity, *Blood*, 119 (2012)  
38 4908-4918.

39  
40  
41 [26] K. Kawada, G. Upadhyay, S. Ferandon, S. Janarthanan, M. Hall, J.P. Vilardaga,  
42 V. Yajnik, Cell migration is regulated by platelet-derived growth factor receptor  
43 endocytosis, *Molecular and cellular biology*, 29 (2009) 4508-4518.

1  
2  
3 [27] I. Sabroe, A. Hartnell, L.A. Jopling, S. Bel, P.D. Ponath, J.E. Pease, P.D. Collins,  
4  
5 T.J. Williams, Differential regulation of eosinophil chemokine signaling via CCR3 and  
6  
7 non-CCR3 pathways, *J Immunol*, 162 (1999) 2946-2955.

8  
9 [28] A. Mueller, N.G. Mahmoud, P.G. Strange, Diverse signalling by different  
10  
11 chemokines through the chemokine receptor CCR5, *Biochem Pharmacol*, 72 (2006)  
12  
13 739-748.

14  
15 [29] M. Loetscher, B. Gerber, P. Loetscher, S.A. Jones, L. Piali, I. Clark-Lewis, M.  
16  
17 Baggolini, B. Moser, Chemokine receptor specific for IP10 and mig: structure,  
18  
19 function, and expression in activated T-lymphocytes, *J Exp Med*, 184 (1996) 963-969.

20  
21 [30] C.B. Harper, M.R. Popoff, A. McCluskey, P.J. Robinson, F.A. Meunier, Targeting  
22  
23 membrane trafficking in infection prophylaxis: dynamin inhibitors, *Trends in cell*  
24  
25 *biology*, 23 (2013) 90-101.

26  
27 [31] A. Mueller, P.G. Strange, Mechanisms of internalization and recycling of the  
28  
29 chemokine receptor, CCR5, *Eur J Biochem*, 271 (2004) 243-252.

30  
31 [32] A.B. McGeachie, L.R. Odell, A. Quan, J.A. Daniel, N. Chau, T.A. Hill, N.N.  
32  
33 Gorgani, D.J. Keating, M.A. Cousin, E.M. van Dam, A. Mariana, A. Whiting, S.  
34  
35 Perera, A. Novelle, K.A. Young, F.M. Deane, J. Gilbert, J.A. Sakoff, M. Chircop, A.  
36  
37 McCluskey, P.J. Robinson, Pyrimidyn compounds: dual-action small molecule  
38  
39 pyrimidine-based dynamin inhibitors, *ACS chemical biology*, 8 (2013) 1507-1518.

40  
41 [33] T.A. Hill, A. Mariana, C.P. Gordon, L.R. Odell, M.J. Robertson, A.B. McGeachie,  
42  
43 N. Chau, J.A. Daniel, N.N. Gorgani, P.J. Robinson, A. McCluskey, Iminochromene  
44  
45 inhibitors of dynamins I and II GTPase activity and endocytosis, *J Med Chem*, 53  
46  
47 (2010) 4094-4102.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 [34] S. Lee, K.Y. Jung, J. Park, J.H. Cho, Y.C. Kim, S. Chang, Synthesis of potent  
4 chemical inhibitors of dynamin GTPase, *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*, 20 (2010) 4858-  
5 4864.  
6  
7

8  
9 [35] C.B. Harper, S. Martin, T.H. Nguyen, S.J. Daniels, N.A. Lavidis, M.R. Popoff, G.  
10 Hadzic, A. Mariana, N. Chau, A. McCluskey, P.J. Robinson, F.A. Meunier, Dynamin  
11 inhibition blocks botulinum neurotoxin type A endocytosis in neurons and delays  
12 botulism, *J Biol Chem*, 286 (2011) 35966-35976.  
13  
14

15  
16 [36] A. McCluskey, J.A. Daniel, G. Hadzic, N. Chau, E.L. Clayton, A. Mariana, A.  
17 Whiting, N.N. Gorgani, J. Lloyd, A. Quan, L. Moshkanbaryans, S. Krishnan, S.  
18 Perera, M. Chircop, L. von Kleist, A.B. McGeachie, M.T. Howes, R.G. Parton, M.  
19 Campbell, J.A. Sakoff, X. Wang, J.Y. Sun, M.J. Robertson, F.M. Deane, T.H.  
20 Nguyen, F.A. Meunier, M.A. Cousin, P.J. Robinson, Building a better dynasore: the  
21 dyngo compounds potently inhibit dynamin and endocytosis, *Traffic*, 14 (2013) 1272-  
22 1289.  
23  
24

25  
26 [37] H. Arai, C.L. Tsou, I.F. Charo, Chemotaxis in a lymphocyte cell line transfected  
27 with C-C chemokine receptor 2B: evidence that directed migration is mediated by  
28 betagamma dimers released by activation of Galphai-coupled receptors, *Proc Natl*  
29 *Acad Sci U S A*, 94 (1997) 14495-14499.  
30  
31

32  
33 [38] L.J. Drury, J.J. Ziarek, S. Gravel, C.T. Veldkamp, T. Takekoshi, S.T. Hwang, N.  
34 Heveker, B.F. Volkman, M.B. Dwinell, Monomeric and dimeric CXCL12 inhibit  
35 metastasis through distinct CXCR4 interactions and signaling pathways, *Proc Natl*  
36 *Acad Sci U S A*, 108 (2011) 17655-17660.  
37  
38

39  
40 [39] C. Delgado-Martin, C. Escribano, J.L. Pablos, L. Riol-Blanco, J.L. Rodriguez-  
41 Fernandez, Chemokine CXCL12 uses CXCR4 and a signaling core formed by  
42 bifunctional Akt, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)1/2, and mammalian  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) proteins to control chemotaxis and  
4  
5 survival simultaneously in mature dendritic cells, J Biol Chem, 286 (2011) 37222-  
6  
7 37236.  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 13 14 15 **Figures and legends**

16  
17  
18  
19 **Figure 1:** Cell migration towards CCL3 but not towards CXCL11 or CXCL12 is  
20 blocked by dynasore. a) THP-1 cells were treated with 16, 40 or 80  $\mu$ M of dynasore.  
21  
22 b) THP-1 cells were treated with 40  $\mu$ M of dynasore. Migration was induced with 1  
23  
24 nM CXCL12. c) Activated T-lymphocytes were treated with 80  $\mu$ M of dynasore and  
25  
26 migration was induced with 20 nM CCL3. d) Activated T-lymphocytes were treated  
27  
28 with 80  $\mu$ M of dynasore and migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL11. e) Jurkat  
29  
30 cells were treated with 80  $\mu$ M of dynasore and migration was induced with 1 nM  
31  
32 CXCL12. Base level of migration was determined in the absence of chemokines.  
33  
34 Statistical analysis were performed using a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni  
35  
36 Multiple comparison test as post-test \*\* showing a p value  $<0.01$  and \*\*\*  $<0.001$ .  
37  
38 Data represent the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of at least three independent experiments.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 **Figure 2:** Cell migration towards CCL3, CXCL11 and CXCL12 is blocked by dyngo-  
48  
49 4a. a) THP-1 cells were treated with 80  $\mu$ M of dyngo-4a. Migration was induced with  
50  
51 1 nM CCL3. b) Activated T-lymphocytes were treated with 80  $\mu$ M of dyngo-4a and  
52  
53 migration was induced with 20 nM CXCL11. c) THP-1 cells were treated with 80  $\mu$ M  
54  
55 of dyngo-4a and migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL12. d) Jurkat cells were  
56  
57 treated with 80  $\mu$ M of dyngo-4a and migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL12.  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Statistical analysis were performed using a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni  
4  
5 Multiple comparison test as post-test with \* showing a p value <0.05, \*\* showing a p  
6  
7 value <0.01 and \*\*\* showing a p value < 0.001. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of  
8  
9 at least three independent experiments.  
10

11  
12  
13  
14 **Figure 3:** Effect of different dynamin inhibitors on migration towards CCL3 and  
15  
16 CXCL12. a) THP-1 cells were treated with 1  $\mu$ M of iminodn-17 and 1  $\mu$ M of  
17  
18 iminodn-22. Migration was induced with 1 nM CCL3. b) Jurkat cells were treated  
19  
20 with 1  $\mu$ M of iminodn-17 and 1  $\mu$ M of iminodn-22. Migration was induced with 1 nM  
21  
22 CXCL12. c) THP-1 cells were treated with 15  $\mu$ M dynole-31-2 and 15  $\mu$ M dynole-34-  
23  
24 2 and migration was induced with 1 nM CCL3 d) Jurkat cells were treated with 15  $\mu$ M  
25  
26 dynole-31-2 and 15  $\mu$ M dynole-34-2 and migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL12.  
27  
28 e) THP-1 cells were treated with 10  $\mu$ M of MiTMAB and migration was induced with 1  
29  
30 nM CCL3. f) Jurkat cells were treated with 10  $\mu$ M of MiTMAB and 5  $\mu$ M of OcTMAB.  
31  
32 Migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL12. g) THP-1 cells were treated with 5  $\mu$ M of  
33  
34 OcTMAB. Migration was induced with 1 nM CCL3. h) THP-1 cells were treated with  
35  
36 10  $\mu$ M of MiTMAB and 5  $\mu$ M of OcTMAB. Migration was induced with 1 nM CXCL12.  
37  
38 Statistical analysis were performed using a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni  
39  
40 Multiple comparison test as post-test with \*\*\* showing a p value < 0.001. Data  
41  
42 represent the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of at least three independent experiments.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 **Figure 4:** Effect of endocytosis inhibitors on migration towards CCL3 and CXCL12. a)  
51  
52 THP-1 cells were treated with 10  $\mu$ M of pyrimidyn-7. Migration was induced with 1  
53  
54 nM CCL3. b) THP-1 cells were treated with 10  $\mu$ M of pyrimidyn-7. Migration was  
55  
56 induced with 1 nM CXCL12 and migrated cells were counted after 4 hours. c) THP-1  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 cells were treated with 1.25  $\mu\text{M}$  of pitstop 2 and pitstop 2 negative control compound  
4  
5 and 30  $\mu\text{M}$  of pitstop 2 and pitstop 2 negative control compound, respectively.  
6  
7 Migration was induced with 1 nM CCL3. d) Jurkat cells were treated with 30  $\mu\text{M}$  of  
8  
9 pitstop 2 and pitstop 2 negative control compound, respectively and migration was  
10  
11 induced with 1 nM CXCL12. Statistical analysis were performed using a one-way  
12  
13 ANOVA with a Bonferroni Multiple comparison test as post-test with \*\* showing a p  
14  
15 value < 0.01 and \*\*\* showing a p value < 0.001. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.  
16  
17 of at least three independent experiments.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 2

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 3

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 4

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)



**Table 1:** Overview of dynamin inhibitors

Inhibitor	Mode of action	IC <sub>50(SVE)</sub> <sup>a</sup> (μM)	Specificity Dynamin I versus II (μM)	Refs
Dyngo-4a <sup>TM</sup>	G domain: allosteric site	16±1.2	Dynamin I selective 0.38±0.05 vs 2.6±0.12	[30, 35]
Dynasore	Unknown: non-competitive inhibition	79.3±1.3	Non-selective	[35]
Dynole-34-2 <sup>TM</sup>	G domain: uncompetitive with GTP	105	Non-selective	[36]
Iminodyn 22 <sup>TM</sup>	G domain: uncompetitive with GTP	99.5±1.7	Non-selective	[33]
Pyrimidin-7 <sup>TM</sup>	Competitively inhibits both GTP and phospholipid binding	Not reported	Non-selective 1.1vs1.8	[32]
MiTMAB <sup>TM</sup>	PH domain: competitive with lipid and non-competitive with GTP	105	Non-selective	[36]
OcTMAB <sup>TM</sup>	PH domain: competitive with lipid and non-competitive with GTP	Not reported	Non-selective	[36]

<sup>a</sup> FM4-64 uptake in synaptosomes