



## LJMU Research Online

**Dargent, F, Reddon, AR, Swaney, WT, Fussman, GF, Reader, SM, Scott, ME and Forbes, MR**

**Demasculinization of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2196/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Dargent, F, Reddon, AR, Swaney, WT, Fussman, GF, Reader, SM, Scott, ME and Forbes, MR (2015) Demasculinization of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite. Parasitology. pp. 1-9. ISSN 1469-8161**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

**Demasculinisation of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite**

Journal:	<i>Parasitology</i>
Manuscript ID:	PAR-2015-0174.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article - Standard
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Aug-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Dargent, Felipe; McGill University, Biology Reddon, Adam; McGill University, Biology Swaney, William; Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology Fussmann, Gregor; McGill University, Biology Reader, Simon; McGill University, Biology Scott, Marilyn; McGill University, Institute of Parasitology Forbes, Mark; Carleton University, Biology
Key Words:	gonadal steroids, feminisation, sex-biased parasitism, testosterone, fish, Gyrodactylus, Poecilia reticulata

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1 Title

2 Demasculinisation of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite

3

4 Authors

5 Felipe Dargent<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Adam R. Reddon<sup>a</sup>, William T. Swaney<sup>a,c</sup>, Gregor F. Fussmann<sup>a</sup>, Simon M.

6 Reader<sup>a</sup>, Marilyn E. Scott<sup>d</sup>, Mark R. Forbes<sup>b</sup>

7

8 <sup>a</sup> Department of Biology, McGill University, 1205 Dr. Penfield Av., Montreal, H3A 1B1, QC,

9 Canada.

10 <sup>b</sup> Department of Biology, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, K1S 5B6, ON,

11 Canada.

12 <sup>c</sup> School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool,

13 UK.

14 <sup>d</sup> Institute of Parasitology and Centre for Host-Parasite Interactions, McGill University, 21111

15 Lakeshore Road, Ste-Anne de Bellevue, H9X 3V9, QC, Canada.

16 \* Corresponding author: [felipe.dargent@mail.mcgill.ca](mailto:felipe.dargent@mail.mcgill.ca); Tel: 514 835 4235

17

18 Running title

19 Demasculinisation and ectoparasite resistance

## 20 SUMMARY

21 Parasites are detrimental to host fitness and therefore should strongly select for host defence  
22 mechanisms. Yet, hosts vary considerably in their observed parasite loads. One notable source of  
23 inter-individual variation in parasitism is host sex. Such variation could be caused by the  
24 immunomodulatory effects of gonadal steroids. Here we assess the influence of gonadal steroids  
25 on the ability of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) to defend themselves against a common and  
26 deleterious parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*). Adult male guppies underwent 31 days of artificial  
27 demasculinisation with the androgen receptor-antagonist flutamide, or feminisation with a  
28 combination of flutamide and the synthetic oestrogen 17 $\beta$ -estradiol, and their parasite loads were  
29 compared over time to untreated males and females. Both demasculinised and feminised male  
30 guppies had lower *G. turnbulli* loads than the untreated males and females, but this effect  
31 appeared to be mainly the result of demasculinisation, with feminisation having no additional  
32 measurable effect. Furthermore, demasculinised males, feminised males and untreated females  
33 all suffered lower *Gyrodactylus*-induced mortality than untreated males. Together, these results  
34 suggest that androgens reduce the ability of guppies to control parasite loads, and modulate  
35 resistance to and survival from infection. We discuss the relevance of these findings for  
36 understanding constraints on the evolution of resistance in guppies and other vertebrates.

37

## 38 Key words

39 gonadal steroids, feminisation, sex-biased parasitism, testosterone, fish, *Gyrodactylus*, *Poecilia*  
40 *reticulata*

41

42

43 Key findings

- 44 - Blockage of androgen receptors led to lower ectoparasite loads in male guppies
- 45 - Additional treatment with oestrogen did not reduce parasitism further
- 46 - Treated males experienced *lower* parasite-induced mortality than untreated males

47

For Peer Review

## 48 INTRODUCTION

49 Parasites are pervasive and are known to negatively influence host fitness by reducing  
50 reproductive output, growth rate, mating success, and survivorship (Price, 1980). In doing so,  
51 parasites can be influential drivers of ecological processes and evolutionary patterns (Hamilton,  
52 1982; Hamilton & Zuk, 1982; Lafferty *et al.*, 2008; Minchella & Scott, 1991). Parasitism is  
53 expected to be a strong source of selection for defensive adaptations that allow hosts to control  
54 parasite numbers and mitigate parasite costs. When parasites are present, investment in costly  
55 defence mechanisms is expected to be favoured (Schmid-Hempel, 2011). Intriguingly, there is  
56 considerable within-population variation amongst individuals in their susceptibility to parasites,  
57 suggesting that antiparasite defences are costly and/or trade-off with other fitness enhancing  
58 traits, and therefore that maximal defence may not be obtainable or adaptive for all individuals  
59 (Lazzaro & Little, 2009; Sheldon & Verhulst, 1996). A striking example of among-individual  
60 variation in parasite susceptibility is the common phenomenon of sex-biased parasitism, in which  
61 one sex is more frequently infected or carries larger mean parasite loads than the other (Forbes,  
62 2007; Krasnov *et al.*, 2012; Nunn *et al.*, 2009; Zuk & McKean, 1996). For example Amo *et al.*  
63 (2005) found that wild male wall lizards (*Podarcis murallis*) had higher haemogregarine and  
64 ectoparasitic mite infection intensities than did females. Similarly, Krasnov *et al.* (2005) found  
65 higher flea abundance in males than females of six out of nine species of desert rodent.

66 Males and females differ in many ways that may partially account for sex differences in  
67 parasite infection rates. For example, males and females often differ in body size and larger  
68 individuals typically have more parasites (Guégan *et al.*, 1992; Poulin & Rohde, 1997). Males  
69 and females may also be exposed to parasites at different rates due to sex differences in space  
70 use or social behaviour (Tinsley, 1989). Furthermore, sex differences in time and energy

71 allocation to sexual activities (e.g. courting and fighting) and resource acquisition also could  
72 drive sex differences in parasite loads through differences in the amount of resources available  
73 for investment in defence (Zuk, 1990).

74 Gonadal steroids play a critical role in sexual differentiation during development,  
75 resulting in sex differences in anatomy, physiology, and behaviour (Arnold, 2009; Wallen &  
76 Baum, 2002), and therefore may have a long-term influence on sex-biased parasitism by  
77 organizing phenotypic characteristics during development which in turn affect parasite defence  
78 later in life. However, gonadal steroids also can have a more immediate influence on sex-biased  
79 parasitism because variation in circulating hormones in adults can mediate sex differences in  
80 immune function (Grossman, 1989; Zuk & McKean, 1996). Understanding precisely how  
81 circulating gonadal steroids influence defence is a crucial step in understanding individual  
82 variation in defence, as well as the potential for and magnitude of sex-biased parasitism, which  
83 in turn are necessary for understanding host-parasite dynamics in natural systems. To this end, it  
84 is essential to evaluate both the role of gonadal steroids during development and the role that  
85 circulating gonadal steroids play in parasite resistance in adults.

86 Here, we studied guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) derived from wild populations and their  
87 common and harmful ectoparasites (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) to address the importance of  
88 circulating gonadal steroids in determining antiparasite defences, i.e. the effect that steroid  
89 hormone systems have on adult resistance to parasites. To this end we manipulated gonadal  
90 steroid levels in adult guppies by administering an androgen receptor antagonist (to  
91 demasculinise them), or a combination of an androgen receptor antagonist and an artificial  
92 oestrogen (to demasculinise and then feminise them), before assessing their resistance to *G.*  
93 *turnbulli*.

## 94 MATERIALS AND METHODS

95 *The study system*

96           The guppy is a sexually-dimorphic poeciliid fish native to the island of Trinidad and  
97 Northern South America (Magurran, 2005). *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* is a highly prevalent (Harris  
98 & Lyles, 1992) and deleterious ectoparasite of wild guppies (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013; van  
99 Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007a). These monogenean flatworms transmit through host-to-host contact,  
100 and attach to their host's epithelium where they feed and give birth to flukes with fully  
101 developed embryos "in-utero" (Bakke *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, *Gyrodactylus* infections are prone  
102 to exponential population increase on individual hosts and epidemic dynamics within guppy  
103 populations (Scott & Anderson, 1984), which leads to high guppy mortality in the laboratory  
104 (Dargent *et al.*, 2013a; Van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007b) and the wild (van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007a).

105           The guppy-*Gyrodactylus* host-parasite system is a convenient model to assess the role of  
106 gonadal steroids in the defence against parasites. First, the regulatory effect of androgens on  
107 guppy behaviour and colouration may play a critical role in the expression of secondary sexual  
108 characters and mating success (Bayley *et al.*, 2002; 2003). Second, correlations between  
109 carotenoid colouration, mate preference and defence against parasites have long been recognised  
110 in guppies (Houde & Torio, 1992; Kennedy *et al.*, 1987; Kolluru *et al.*, 2006) while the  
111 ecological and evolutionary drivers of guppy parasite defence have been the focus of much  
112 recent research (Dargent *et al.*, 2013a; Dargent *et al.*, 2013b; Fitzpatrick *et al.*, 2014; Gotanda *et*  
113 *al.*, 2013; Perez-Jvostov *et al.*, 2012; Pérez-Jvostov *et al.*, 2015; Tadiri *et al.*, 2013). Missing  
114 from this increasingly well-understood model system is the degree to which circulating gonadal  
115 steroids influence defence against *Gyrodactylus* parasites in the guppy.

116 Guppies used in this research were laboratory-reared from fish collected in Trinidad. In  
117 Experiment 1, we used F2 descendants from a Trinidadian population collected in 2013 after  
118 having been experimentally translocated in 2009 ([Travis \*et al.\*, 2014](#)) from a high-predation site  
119 in the Guanapo river where *Gyrodactylus* spp. was present to a tributary stream (Lower Lalaja)  
120 where predation was low and *Gyrodactylus* was absent. In experiment 2, we used F1  
121 descendants of wild-caught fish collected between 2010 and 2011 from the Aripo and Quare  
122 rivers from sites where predation is high and *Gyrodactylus* spp. is present. These guppies were  
123 kept together as a mixed origin population.

124

#### 125 *Hormone treatments*

126 Two weeks prior to the start of the hormone treatments, sexually mature guppies were isolated  
127 into 1.8 L chambers in an aquatic housing system (Aquanearing Inc., San Diego, USA). The fish  
128 were physically isolated but retained visual contact with their neighbours throughout the  
129 experiments. The laboratory was maintained at  $23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  with a 13 h 11 h (L:D) photoperiod.  
130 We used carbon-filtered municipal water that was conditioned with Prime (Seachem  
131 Laboratories, Madison, USA), Freshwater Biozyme (Mardel, Oklahoma city, USA), and left to  
132 stand for two days and warm up before being added to the housing systems. The housing system  
133 passed water through a filter pad, a biological filter, a set of carbon filters and a UV sterilization  
134 device. Subjects were fed with TetraMin Tropical Flakes (Tetra, Melle, Germany) ground into  
135 powder and reconstituted with water to form a thick paste that was delivered using Hamilton  
136 microliter syringes (Hamilton Laboratory Products, Reno, USA). Prior to the start of the  
137 hormone treatments subjects were fed *ad libitum* and their chambers remained connected to the

138 re-circulating system, thus each chamber had a complete water change approximately every 8  
139 minutes.

140 We gathered data on individual body size (measured as standard length: SL) and mass at  
141 two time points: on the first day we began administering the hormone treatments, and 21 days  
142 later, the day we began experimental parasite infections (Tables S1, S2). To measure SL and  
143 mass we anaesthetised each guppy in 0.02% Tricaine Methanesulfonate - MS222 (Argent  
144 Chemical Laboratories, Redmond, USA) buffered to a pH of 7 with NaCO<sub>3</sub>. Guppies were then  
145 weighed to the nearest 0.01 g and photographed on the left side with a Nikon D90 camera  
146 (Nikon, Mississauga, Canada). Each image included a ruler for scale.

147 At the start of the hormone treatments, male guppies (mean mass = 0.08 g ±0.002 s.e.m.)  
148 were randomly assigned to control, demasculinisation or feminisation treatments, while females  
149 (mean mass = 0.13 g ±0.006 s.e.m.) remained untreated. Acetone was used as a solvent to  
150 combine the pharmacological agents with ground flake food. We saturated the food with acetone  
151 mixed with the hormone treatment and then allowed the acetone to evaporate in a fume hood for  
152 24 hours. Untreated control male and female guppies received food that had been saturated with  
153 acetone alone without any pharmacological treatment, guppies in the demasculinisation  
154 treatment received food that had been dosed with 4.29 mg of the androgen receptor antagonist  
155 flutamide (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) per gram of dry food, and guppies in the  
156 feminisation treatment received food that had been dosed with 4.29 mg of flutamide and 0.04 mg  
157 of the synthetic oestrogen 17β-estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) per gram of dry food.  
158 Each guppy received 5 µL/day of paste prepared with their respective treatments (in a 7:8  
159 food:water ratio), which is equivalent to 10.40 µg/day/guppy of flutamide and 0.10 µg/day/guppy  
160 of 17β-estradiol. Guppies ingested all of the food provided to them. The flutamide dosage was

161 based on previous dose-response studies in guppies showing effective inhibition of male-specific  
162 traits (Bayley *et al.*, 2003; Kinnberg & Toft, 2003), without the increased mortality seen at  
163 higher doses (Baatrup & Junge, 2001). The dose of  $17\beta$ -estradiol/g body weight was based on  
164 dose-response work in goldfish demonstrating robust inhibition of male-specific traits, but no  
165 associated weight loss (Bjerselius *et al.*, 2001). All hormone treatments lasted for 31 days (i.e. 21  
166 days of treatment without parasite infections and 10 days of treatment after *Gyrodactylus*  
167 infection). We performed two consecutive experiments. Experiment 1 had two treatments:  
168 feminisation of males and untreated males. Experiment 2 had the same treatments as Experiment  
169 1 in addition to demasculinisation of males and untreated females. These experiments were  
170 identical in all regards with the exception of the additional treatments (see below) and the use of  
171 different wild-derived guppy populations.

172         During the 31 days of hormone treatment, the guppies remained in their 1.8 L chambers,  
173 which we disconnected from the aquatic recirculating system, chemically isolating the fish to  
174 ensure that no hormone treatment passed between the chambers. Visual contact between  
175 neighbours was retained throughout the experiment and therefore the fish were not socially  
176 isolated at any time. To maintain water quality during the treatment period, we changed 75% of  
177 the water in each chamber every four days and replaced the chamber with an entirely fresh one  
178 every 12 days. Water quality was monitored throughout the experiments by performing visual  
179 checks for water clarity and residue presence and by weekly tests, in randomly selected  
180 chambers, of alkalinity, pH, nitrite, nitrate, hardness and ammonia . Water quality was within  
181 normal range throughout and we did not detect any sign of water quality degradation at any time,  
182 or of negative effects of water quality on the hosts or parasites.

183

184 *Experimental Infections*

185 21 days after the start of the hormone treatments, all fish were individually anaesthetised in  
186 0.02% MS222 and infected with two *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* each. We infected each guppy by  
187 removing a small piece of fin tissue or a scale carrying *G. turnbulli* from a euthanized infected  
188 donor guppy and placing it next to the recipient guppy's caudal fin until we saw, under a Nikon  
189 SMZ800 dissecting stereoscope (Nikon Instruments, Melville, USA), that two *G. turnbulli* had  
190 attached to the experimental fish. After infection, each guppy was allowed to recover from  
191 anaesthesia in its home chamber. We monitored *G. turnbulli* numbers on each live subject on  
192 days 6, 8 and 10 post infection, by anaesthetising the fish and counting the parasites using the  
193 dissecting stereoscope at 18x magnification. We used *G. turnbulli* from our laboratory  
194 population, which was initially obtained in 2009 from domestic guppies purchased from a  
195 commercial supplier in Montreal, QC, Canada. This *G. turnbulli* population has been maintained  
196 on domestic-origin host guppies, and therefore has not had any period of coevolution with the  
197 wild-origin guppy populations used in this study.

198

199 *Analysis*

200 To assess whether hormone treatment and guppy body size (SL) had an effect on *G. turnbulli*  
201 load on each count day, we fitted a generalised linear model (GLM) with a negative binomial  
202 distribution and a log link function using Tukey HSD for pairwise *post-hoc* comparisons. To  
203 assess the general effect of hormone treatment on the growth trajectory of *G. turnbulli* we fitted a  
204 repeated measures GLM with a negative binomial distribution for Experiment 1. We were unable  
205 to perform this analysis for Experiment 2 because of the high parasite-induced mortality in the  
206 untreated control group. The repeated measures GLM was conducted in SPSS 22 (IBM, New

207 York, USA), all remaining analyses were conducted using the R Language and Environment for  
208 Statistical Computing v 3.1.0 (R Development Core Team, 2014).  $\alpha$  was set at  $p < 0.05$ . Data are  
209 archived in the Dryad repository (link to be added).

210

### 211 *Experiment 1*

212 To assess whether guppy resistance was influenced by the action of circulating gonadal steroids  
213 we compared 15 untreated males to 14 males that had been treated simultaneously with flutamide  
214 (an androgen receptor antagonist) and 17  $\beta$ -estradiol (a synthetic oestrogen) (Table S3). Guppy  
215 body size and mass did not significantly differ between treatments (feminisation vs. untreated) at  
216 the start of the experiment (SL:  $F_{1,27}=0.91$ ,  $p=0.35$ ; mass:  $F_{1,27}=0.23$ ,  $p=0.63$ ), nor at the start of  
217 infection (i.e., 21 days after the start of hormone treatment; SL:  $F_{1,26}=0.14$ ,  $p=0.71$ ; mass:  
218  $F_{1,27}=0.01$ ,  $p=0.91$ ). Subjects were laboratory-reared F2 descendants from a Trinidadian  
219 population experimentally translocated in 2009 (Travis *et al.*, 2014).

220

### 221 *Experiment 2*

222 To disentangle the relative roles of androgens and oestrogens, we ran a second experiment,  
223 repeating both treatments in Experiment 1 along with two additional treatments: male  
224 demasculinisation and untreated females, resulting in four total treatment groups (Table S4).  
225 Males under demasculinisation were treated with flutamide only, allowing us to investigate male  
226 parasite resistance when androgen receptor signalling is blocked. Different gonadal steroids can  
227 have contrasting effects on immune function: androgens generally have immunosuppressive  
228 effects, while oestrogens often promote disease resistance, although effects can vary (Klein,  
229 2000; 2004). We also tested untreated females to check for sex-biased parasite resistance in

230 untreated guppies, as this is known to vary between populations (Dargent, 2015; Gotanda *et al.*,  
231 2013; Stephenson *et al.*, 2015).

232 As is typical for guppies, the females were larger than the males, both at the beginning of  
233 the experiment (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. SL: males=15.46  $\pm$ 0.16, females= 17.97  $\pm$ 0.3;  $F_{3,65}=21.28$  ,  
234  $p<0.001$ ) and at the time of infection (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. SL: males=15.56  $\pm$ 0.14, females=18.57  
235  $\pm$ 0.28;  $F_{3,68}=36.99$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). There was no significant difference in SL among the three male  
236 treatments at either time point (start of treatments:  $F_{2,47}=1.1$ ,  $p=0.34$ ; infection:  $F_{2,50}=0.72$ ,  
237  $p=0.49$ ). A similar pattern was observed for body mass. Female guppies were heavier than males  
238 when they started receiving the hormone treatments (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.: males=0.09  $\pm$ 0.003,  
239 females=0.13  $\pm$ 0.006; ( $F_{3,65}=14.73$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and on the first day of infection (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.:  
240 males=0.08  $\pm$ 0.003, females=0.14  $\pm$ 0.006;  $F_{3,68}=31.17$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), but, mass did not differ  
241 between male treatments at the start of the experiment ( $F_{2,47}=0.38$ ,  $p=0.68$ ) nor on the day of  
242 infection ( $F_{2,50}=0.24$ ,  $p=0.79$ ). Males did not differ in SL between Experiment 1 and 2 (initial  
243 SL:  $F_{1,77}=0.42$ ,  $p=0.52$ ; infection day SL:  $F_{1,79}=0.004$ ,  $p=0.95$ ) but males in Experiment 1 were  
244 lighter than those in Experiment 2 (initial mass:  $F_{1,77}=6.21$ ,  $p=0.01$ ; infection day mass:  
245  $F_{1,80}=4.53$ ,  $p=0.04$ ; Tables S1, S2).

246 Post-infection mortality was high in Experiment 2 and so we used a Cox proportional  
247 hazards model to determine whether hormone treatment and body size (SL) influenced guppy  
248 survival up to 13 days post infection (i.e. three days after we had finished treating the guppies  
249 with hormones). Standard length and its interaction with hormone treatment had no significant  
250 effects on survival and thus were dropped from the model by AIC step-wise model selection.

251

252

## 253 RESULTS

254 *Experiment 1*

255 Guppies that underwent feminisation via treatment with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol had  
256 significantly lower *G. turnbulli* loads than untreated guppies throughout the infection period  
257 (repeated measures GLM effect of treatment:  $F_{1,77} = 4.94$ ,  $p < 0.029$ ), and specifically on both  
258 Day 8 and Day 10 of infection (Table 1, Figure 1, Figure S5). We did not detect any significant  
259 effect of SL or of its interaction with the hormone treatment on parasite load (Table 1). Mortality  
260 following infection was low. Only 3 individuals had died (10%) by Day 10 of infection, all of  
261 which were in the untreated group (Table S3). *G. turnbulli* populations on individual guppies  
262 continued to grow through the duration of the experiment (Figure S5). We observed no obvious  
263 pathological effects of treatment with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol in concert (feminization), and  
264 this treatment significantly increased resistance to *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* on all guppies.

265

266 *Experiment 2*

267 Hormone treatment had a significant effect on parasite load at both Day 8 and Day 10 (Table 2,  
268 Figure 2, Figure S6). As in Experiment 1, males that underwent feminisation also had lower *G.*  
269 *turnbulli* loads on both Day 8 and Day 10 of infection compared to untreated males (Tables 2, 3,  
270 Figure 2), although this difference was only statistically significant on Day 10. Males that  
271 underwent the demasculisation treatment had significantly lower *G. turnbulli* loads compared to  
272 untreated males on Day 8 and 10 of infection (Tables 2, 3, Figure 2). Parasite loads were not  
273 significantly different between those males that underwent demasculinisation and those that  
274 underwent feminisation at any time point, and both had lower loads than untreated females on  
275 Day 10 (Tables 2, 3, Figure 2). With few exceptions, *G. turnbulli* populations on individual

276 guppies continued to grow for the duration of the experiment while their hosts remained alive,  
277 but growth trajectories differed with treatments (Figure S6). We observed no significant effects  
278 of SL or any interaction effects between body size and treatment on parasite load (Table 2).  
279 Contrary to previous studies on wild guppy populations (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013), we found no  
280 evidence that guppies from our Aripo/Quare mixed-origin laboratory-bred population were  
281 sexually dimorphic in *G. turnbulli* resistance (Table 3). In contrast to Experiment 1, guppy  
282 mortality after infection with *G. turnbulli* was high in the mixed Aripo/Quare population: 67% of  
283 all fish had died by the 13<sup>th</sup> day of infection (56% by the 10<sup>th</sup> day). This mortality was  
284 significantly higher in the untreated males than in either group of treated males  
285 (demasculinisation or feminisation) or the untreated females (Tables 4, S2).

286

## 287 DISCUSSION

288 We conducted two independent experiments with different populations of wild-origin guppies  
289 and found that gonadal steroid affects the ability of male guppies to control infection by the  
290 ectoparasite *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. *G. turnbulli* populations on individual hosts increased over  
291 the experiment, but treatment with the androgen receptor antagonist flutamide (resulting in  
292 ‘demasculinised’ males) or a combination of flutamide and the oestrogen 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (resulting  
293 in ‘feminised’ males) resulted in reduced *G. turnbulli* loads compared to untreated males or  
294 females. These differences were not explained by differences in body size. Furthermore, males  
295 under both feminisation and demasculinisation treatments showed significantly greater survival  
296 compared to untreated males following infection in our second experiment. Variation in *G.*  
297 *turnbulli* population growth within treatments and between experiments is likely to be influenced  
298 by the autocorrelative nature of *Gyrodactylus* population growth (Ramírez *et al.*, 2012), yet the

299 effects of gonadal steroid manipulation generated significantly different parasite loads between  
300 treatments in both experiments. Taken as a whole, these results suggest that androgens have a  
301 detrimental effect on guppy resistance to parasitism.

302 To our knowledge, only one previous study has experimentally assessed the role of  
303 gonadal steroids on *Gyrodactylus* resistance. Buchmann (1997) evaluated the effect of  
304 testosterone on female trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) resistance to *Gyrodactylus derjavini* and  
305 concluded that testosterone injections led to higher parasite loads. However, the results of the  
306 Buchmann (1997) study could not distinguish between a detrimental effect of testosterone on  
307 host defence and the alternative hypothesis that testosterone has a direct positive effect on  
308 *Gyrodactylus* reproduction. Our results suggest that a detrimental effect of androgens on the host  
309 is more likely than a direct effect of testosterone on *Gyrodactylus* reproduction. Our  
310 experimental fish received flutamide, which binds to androgen receptors broadly inhibiting the  
311 host physiological response to multiple androgens in teleost fishes (including both testosterone  
312 and 11-ketotestosterone; de Waal *et al.*, 2008; Jolly *et al.*, 2006) without altering the circulating  
313 levels of these hormones (Jensen *et al.*, 2004).

314 Oestrogens also may have immunomodulatory effects in teleost fishes (e.g. Cuesta *et al.*,  
315 2007; Watanuki *et al.*, 2002), but the degree to which they enhance or reduce host immunity  
316 seems to be highly system and species-specific (Chaves-Pozo *et al.*, 2012). When we consider  
317 the role of oestrogens on defence against *Gyrodactylus*, two lines of evidence suggest that it did  
318 not have a major effect in the guppy. First, male guppies treated with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol  
319 did not differ in resistance from male guppies treated with flutamide alone, suggesting that 17 $\beta$ -  
320 estradiol did not have a substantial additional effect on defence. Second, untreated female

321 guppies were not more resistant than males that underwent demasculinisation and, in fact, they  
322 had higher parasite burdens on Day 10 of infection.

323 Female guppies are larger than males and sexual dimorphism in body size is a common  
324 explanation for sex-biased parasitism in vertebrates. The larger sex is expected to have higher  
325 parasite loads since larger individuals have a wider area exposed to parasite attacks or a larger  
326 resource base for the parasite population to grow (Zuk & McKean, 1996). However, we did not  
327 detect a difference in parasite loads between untreated males and females, nor did body size  
328 correlate with variation in resistance in either experiment. This finding might appear surprising,  
329 given that field surveys (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013) and laboratory experiments (Dargent, 2015) report  
330 sex differences in *Gyrodactylus* load in certain guppy populations, and in at least one instance  
331 such a sex difference has been linked to size dimorphism (Cable & van Oosterhout, 2007).  
332 However, sex-biased parasitism in guppies is not consistently male biased and appears to be  
333 influenced by predation regime. For example, Gotanda *et al.* (2013) reported higher  
334 *Gyrodactylus* spp. loads on females compared to males in natural streams where the risk of  
335 predation was high but the reverse pattern at sites where the risk of predation was low,  
336 suggesting that body size differences are not a comprehensive explanation for sex-biased parasite  
337 loads in guppies. Furthermore, experimentally translocated wild guppies have been shown to  
338 rapidly evolve resistance to *Gyrodactylus* in a sex-specific manner, leading to the loss of sexual  
339 dimorphism in resistance (Dargent, 2015). Thus, it is possible that the population we used for  
340 Experiment 2 is one that shows minimal sexual dimorphism in resistance to *Gyrodactylus*,  
341 although we did observe higher mortality in untreated males than females. It is possible that the  
342 high mortality in the untreated male group, which considerably reduced our sample size,  
343 precluded our ability to detect an otherwise significant dimorphism in parasite loads.

344 Nevertheless, our results clearly show that regardless of any initial sexual dimorphism in  
345 defence, interfering with androgen signalling augments resistance to *G. turnbulli* in male  
346 guppies.

347 The significantly higher mortality of untreated males compared to untreated females  
348 suggests that androgens may reduce guppy tolerance to *Gyrodactylus* (i.e. the ability of hosts to  
349 reduce the negative impacts of a given parasite load; Raberg et al. 2007). This line of reasoning  
350 is supported by the lower mortality of males that underwent both demasculinisation and  
351 feminisation compared to the untreated males. We did observe a difference in untreated male  
352 mortality between Experiments 1 and 2, possibly the result of population differences in subjects'  
353 susceptibility to *Gyrodactylus* induced mortality. Given that laboratory conditions were identical  
354 between the two experiments, the most likely cause for particular differences in mortality and  
355 parasite loads between Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 is the fish population of origin. However,  
356 regardless of population origin, guppies that underwent hormone treatments (demasculinisation  
357 or feminisation) experienced lower mortality during infection and carried lower parasite loads  
358 than untreated males in both experiments.

359 The suppressive effect of the androgen system on guppy defence against the model  
360 monogenean *G. turnbulli* suggests a trade-off between resistance to these ectoparasites and other  
361 fitness-related traits of male guppies. On the one hand, female guppies typically prefer to mate  
362 with males that show brighter carotenoid colouration (Houde & Endler, 1990) and more active  
363 courtship (Kodric-Brown & Nicoletto, 2001), traits which have positive correlations with  
364 circulating androgens (Baatrup & Junge, 2001; Bayley *et al.*, 2003), and thus higher levels of  
365 circulating androgens would seem to increase male fitness. On the other hand, infections by  
366 *Gyrodactylus* are known to decrease male carotenoid colouration and display rate, and

367 consequently decrease female preference for males with higher *Gyrodactylus* loads (Houde &  
368 Torio, 1992; Kennedy *et al.*, 1987). Furthermore, *Gyrodactylus* infection may compromise  
369 predator evasion, for example via increased morbidity and decreased swimming performance  
370 (Cable *et al.*, 2002). Thus *Gyrodactylus* can decrease male guppy host fitness through the direct  
371 effect of increased mortality and through the indirect effect of decreased mating opportunities,  
372 which may counterbalance the fitness enhancing properties of their androgen hormones. A  
373 further possibility is that increases in circulating androgens could promote carotenoid  
374 accumulation, that in turn counterbalances the immunosuppressive effects of androgens (e.g.  
375 Blas *et al.*, 2006; McGraw & Ardia, 2007). However, this possibility is unlikely here, given that  
376 males with intact androgen levels had higher parasite burdens than those under the feminisation  
377 and demasculinisation treatments.

378         In conclusion, a reduced response of androgen receptors to circulating androgens was  
379 found to lead to decreased parasite burdens and parasite-induced mortality. Future work should  
380 determine if androgens have a direct immunosuppressive effect or, alternatively, if androgen  
381 dependent changes in sexual traits and reproductive investment indirectly affects investment in  
382 immunity. Our findings are consistent with the idea that androgens modulate immune function  
383 but run contrary to the view that size determines parasite loads, and therefore help further the  
384 understanding of inter-individual variation in parasitism. The developmental and current  
385 (circulating) effects of gonadal steroids on the immune system and resistance to infection, as  
386 well as their indirect effects on secondary sexual traits that affect fitness, are underappreciated in  
387 studies addressing the ecology and evolution of vertebrate defence against parasites. Our results  
388 on a model host-parasite system strongly suggest that gonadal steroids should be considered in

389 concert with morphological or behavioural differences when accounting for variation among  
390 individuals and between the sexes.

391

#### 392 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

393 We thank HJ Pak, K White, D Uthayakumar and G Daggupati for laboratory assistance, A  
394 Morrill and S Portalier for figure coding advice, and A Hendry for use of his aquatic housing  
395 systems (NSERC-RTI #148297). We thank D Reznick, C Ghalambor, E Ruell, D Fraser, and the  
396 FIBR team for supplying us with guppies used in Experiment 1.

397

#### 398 FINANCIAL SUPPORT

399 We thank the Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science (FD); the Natural Sciences and  
400 Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) (GFF - #356373-07; SMR - #418342-2012  
401 and #429385-2012; ARR), Richard H. Tomlinson fund (ARR) and the Canada Foundation for  
402 Innovation (SMR - #29433). Research at the Ghalambor lab was supported by a NSF Faculty  
403 Early Career (DEB-0846175). The guppy introductions were funded by a United States NSF-  
404 Frontiers in Integrative Biological Research grant to D Reznick P.I. (EF-0623632).

405

#### 406 ETHICAL STATEMENT

407 This study was carried out in accordance with the regulations of the McGill University Animal  
408 Care Committee (AUP #5759) and the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

409

410

411

412

413

For Peer Review

## 414 REFERENCES

- 415 **Amo, L., López, P. and Martín, J.** (2005). Prevalence and intensity of haemogregarine blood  
416 parasites and their mite vectors in the common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis*.  
417 *Parasitology Research*, **96**, 378-381. doi: 10.1007/s00436-005-1354-2.
- 418 **Arnold, A. P.** (2009). The organizational–activational hypothesis as the foundation for a unified  
419 theory of sexual differentiation of all mammalian tissues. *Hormones and Behavior*, **55**,  
420 570-578. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.03.011>.
- 421 **Baatrup, E. and Junge, M.** (2001). Antiandrogenic pesticides disrupt sexual characteristics in  
422 the adult male guppy *Poecilia reticulata*. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **109**, 1063-  
423 1070.
- 424 **Bakke, T. A., Cable, J. and Harris, P. D.** (2007). The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans:  
425 The "Russian-doll killers". In *Advances in Parasitology, Vol 64*, Vol. 64 (eds. Baker, J.  
426 R., Mller, R., and Rollinson, D.), pp. 161-+. Elsevier Academic Press Inc, San Diego.
- 427 **Bayley, M., Junge, M. and Baatrup, E.** (2002). Exposure of juvenile guppies to three  
428 antiandrogens causes demasculinization and a reduced sperm count in adult males.  
429 *Aquatic Toxicology*, **56**, 227-239. doi: Pii S0166-445x(01)00210-7  
430 Doi 10.1016/S0166-445x(01)00210-7.
- 431 **Bayley, M., Larsen, P. F., Baekgaard, H. and Baatrup, E.** (2003). The effects of vinclozolin,  
432 an anti-androgenic fungicide, on male guppy secondary sex characters and reproductive  
433 success. *Biology of Reproduction*, **69**, 1951-1956. doi: DOI  
434 10.1095/biolreprod.103.017780.
- 435 **Bjerselius, R., Lundstedt-Enkel, K., Olsén, H., Mayer, I. and Dimberg, K.** (2001). Male  
436 goldfish reproductive behaviour and physiology are severely affected by exogenous

- 437 exposure to 17 $\beta$ -estradiol. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **53**, 139-152. doi:  
438 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X\(00\)00160-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(00)00160-0).
- 439 **Blas, J., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., Bortolotti, G. R., Viñuela, J. and Marchant, T. A.** (2006).  
440 Testosterone increases bioavailability of carotenoids: Insights into the honesty of sexual  
441 signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **103**, 18633-18637. doi:  
442 10.1073/pnas.0609189103.
- 443 **Buchmann, K.** (1997). Population increase of *Gyrodactylus derjavini* on rainbow trout induced  
444 by testosterone treatment of the host. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, **30**, 145-150. doi:  
445 Doi 10.3354/Dao030145.
- 446 **Cable, J., Scott, E. C., Tinsley, R. C. and Harris, P. D.** (2002). Behavior favoring transmission  
447 in the viviparous Monogenean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. *Journal of Parasitology*, **88**, 183-  
448 184. doi: doi:10.1645/0022-3395(2002)088[0183:BFTITV]2.0.CO;2.
- 449 **Cable, J. and van Oosterhout, C.** (2007). The impact of parasites on the life history evolution  
450 of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*): The effects of host size on parasite virulence.  
451 *International Journal for Parasitology*, **37**, 1449-1458. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.04.013.
- 452 **Chaves-Pozo, E., García-Ayala, A. and Cabas, I.** (2012). Sex steroids modulate fish immune  
453 response. In *Sex steroids* (ed. Kahn, S. M.), pp. 199-220. InTech.
- 454 **Cuesta, A., Vargas-Chacoff, L., García-López, A., Arjona, F. J., Martínez-Rodríguez, G.,  
455 Meseguer, J., Mancera, J. M. and Esteban, M. A.** (2007). Effect of sex-steroid  
456 hormones, testosterone and estradiol, on humoral immune parameters of gilthead  
457 seabream. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, **23**, 693-700. doi:  
458 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2007.01.015>.

- 459 **Dargent, F.** (2015). The wild side: Assessing evolutionary ecology of defence against parasites  
460 in nature. PhD thesis. pp. 223. McGill University, Montreal.
- 461 **Dargent, F., Scott, M. E., Hendry, A. P. and Fussmann, G. F.** (2013a). Experimental  
462 elimination of parasites in nature leads to the evolution of increased resistance in hosts.  
463 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**. doi:  
464 10.1098/rspb.2013.2371.
- 465 **Dargent, F., Torres-Dowdall, J., Scott, M. E., Ramnarine, I. and Fussmann, G. F.** (2013b).  
466 Can mixed-species groups reduce individual parasite load? A field test with two closely  
467 related poeciliid fishes *Poecilia reticulata* and *Poecilia picta*. *Plos One*, **8**, e56789. doi:  
468 10.1371/journal.pone.0056789.
- 469 **de Waal, P. P., Wang, D. S., Nijenhuis, W. A., Schulz, R. W. and Bogerd, J.** (2008).  
470 Functional characterization and expression analysis of the androgen receptor in zebrafish  
471 (*Danio rerio*) testis. *Reproduction*, **136**, 225-234. doi: 10.1530/rep-08-0055.
- 472 **Feder, H. H.** (1985). Peripheral Plasma Levels of Gonadal Steroids in Adult Male and Adult,  
473 Nonpregnant Female Mammals. In *Reproduction*, Vol. 7 (eds. Adler, N., Pfaff, D., and  
474 Goy, R.), pp. 299-370. Springer US.
- 475 **Fitzpatrick, S. W., Torres-Dowdall, J., Reznick, D. N., Ghalambor, C. K. and Funk, W. C.**  
476 (2014). Parallelism isn't perfect: Could disease and flooding drive a life-history anomaly  
477 in trinidadian guppies? *The American Naturalist*, **183**, 290-300. doi: 10.1086/674611.
- 478 **Forbes, M. R.** (2007). On sex differences in optimal immunity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,  
479 **22**, 111-113. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.12.004>.
- 480 **Gotanda, K. M., Delaire, L. C., Raeymaekers, J. A. M., Pérez-Jvostov, F., Dargent, F.,**  
481 **Bentzen, P., Scott, M. E., Fussmann, G. F. and Hendry, A. P.** (2013). Adding parasites

- 482 to the guppy-predation story: insights from field surveys. *Oecologia*, **172**, 155-166. doi:  
483 10.1007/s00442-012-2485-7.
- 484 **Grossman, C.** (1989). Possible underlying mechanisms of sexual dimorphism in the immune  
485 response, fact and hypothesis. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry*, **34**, 241-251. doi:  
486 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4731\(89\)90088-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4731(89)90088-5).
- 487 **Guégan, J.-F., Lambert, A., Lévêque, C., Combes, C. and Euzet, L.** (1992). Can host body  
488 size explain the parasite species richness in tropical freshwater fishes? *Oecologia*, **90**,  
489 197-204. doi: 10.1007/BF00317176.
- 490 **Hamilton, W.** (1982). Pathogens as causes of genetic diversity in their host populations. In  
491 *Population biology of infectious diseases* (eds. Anderson, R. M., and May, R. M.), pp.  
492 269-296. Springer.
- 493 **Hamilton, W. D. and Zuk, M.** (1982). Heritable true fitness and bright birds - a role for  
494 parasites. *Science*, **218**, 384-387. doi: 10.1126/science.7123238.
- 495 **Harris, P. D. and Lyles, A. M.** (1992). Infections of *Gyrodactylus bullatarudis* and  
496 *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* on guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) in Trinidad. *Journal of*  
497 *Parasitology*, **78**, 912-914. doi: 10.2307/3283329.
- 498 **Houde, A. E. and Endler, J. A.** (1990). Correlated Evolution of Female Mating Preferences and  
499 Male Color Patterns in the Guppy *Poecilia-Reticulata*. *Science*, **248**, 1405-1408. doi: DOI  
500 10.1126/science.248.4961.1405.
- 501 **Houde, A. E. and Torio, A. J.** (1992). Effect of parasitic infection on male color pattern and  
502 female choice in guppies. *Behavioral Ecology*, **3**, 346-351. doi: 10.1093/beheco/3.4.346.
- 503 **Jensen, K. M., Kahl, M. D., Makynen, E. A., Korte, J. J., Leino, R. L., Butterworth, B. C.**  
504 **and Ankley, G. T.** (2004). Characterization of responses to the antiandrogen flutamide in

- 505 a short-term reproduction assay with the fathead minnow. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **70**, 99-  
506 110. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.06.012>.
- 507 **Jolly, C., Katsiadaki, I., Le Belle, N., Mayer, I. and Dufour, S.** (2006). Development of a  
508 stickleback kidney cell culture assay for the screening of androgenic and anti-androgenic  
509 endocrine disrupters. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **79**, 158-166. doi:  
510 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.06.005>.
- 511 **Kennedy, C. E. J., Endler, J. A., Poynton, S. L. and McMinn, H.** (1987). Parasite load  
512 predicts mate choice in guppies. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **21**, 291-295. doi:  
513 10.1007/bf00299966.
- 514 **Kime, D. E. and Manning, N. J.** (1982). Seasonal patterns of free and conjugated androgens in  
515 the brown trout *Salmo trutta*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, **48**, 222-231. doi:  
516 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480\(82\)90020-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(82)90020-X).
- 517 **Kinnberg, K. and Toft, G.** (2003). Effects of estrogenic and antiandrogenic compounds on the  
518 testis structure of the adult guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental*  
519 *Safety*, **54**, 16-24. doi: Pii S0147-6513(02)00010-6  
520 Doi 10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00010-6.
- 521 **Klein, S. L.** (2000). Hormones and mating system affect sex and species differences in immune  
522 function among vertebrates. *Behavioural Processes*, **51**, 149-166. doi:  
523 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0376-6357\(00\)00125-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0376-6357(00)00125-X).
- 524 **Klein, S. L.** (2004). Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating sex differences in  
525 parasite infection. *Parasite Immunology*, **26**, 247-264. doi: 10.1111/j.0141-  
526 9838.2004.00710.x.

- 527 **Kodric-Brown, A. and Nicoletto, P.** (2001). Female choice in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*):  
528 the interaction between male color and display. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,  
529 **50**, 346-351. doi: 10.1007/s002650100374.
- 530 **Kolluru, G. R., Grether, G. F., South, S. H., Dunlop, E., Cardinali, A., Liu, L. and**  
531 **Carapiet, A.** (2006). The effects of carotenoid and food availability on resistance to a  
532 naturally occurring parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*).  
533 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **89**, 301-309. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-  
534 8312.2006.00675.x.
- 535 **Krasnov, B., Morand, S., Hawlena, H., Khokhlova, I. and Shenbrot, G.** (2005). Sex-biased  
536 parasitism, seasonality and sexual size dimorphism in desert rodents. *Oecologia*, **146**,  
537 209-217. doi: 10.1007/s00442-005-0189-y.
- 538 **Krasnov, B. R., Bordes, F., Khokhlova, I. S. and Morand, S.** (2012). Gender-biased  
539 parasitism in small mammals: patterns, mechanisms, consequences. *Mammalia*, **76**, 1-13.  
540 doi: 10.1515/mammalia-2011-0108.
- 541 **Lafferty, K. D., Allesina, S., Arim, M., Briggs, C. J., De Leo, G., Dobson, A. P., Dunne, J.**  
542 **A., Johnson, P. T. J., Kuris, A. M., Marcogliese, D. J., Martinez, N. D., Memmott, J.,**  
543 **Marquet, P. A., McLaughlin, J. P., Mordecai, E. A., Pascual, M., Poulin, R. and**  
544 **Thieltges, D. W.** (2008). Parasites in food webs: the ultimate missing links. *Ecology*  
545 *Letters*, **11**, 533-546. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01174.x.
- 546 **Lazzaro, B. P. and Little, T. J.** (2009). *Immunity in a variable world*.
- 547 **Magurran, A. E.** (2005). *Evolutionary ecology: The Trinidadian guppy*, Oxford University  
548 Press, New York.

- 549 **McGraw, K. J. and Ardia, D. R.** (2007). *Do carotenoids buffer testosterone-induced*  
550 *immunosuppression? An experimental test in a colourful songbird.*
- 551 **Minchella, D. J. and Scott, M. E.** (1991). Parasitism: A cryptic determinant of animal  
552 community structure. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **6**, 250-254. doi: 10.1016/0169-  
553 5347(91)90071-5.
- 554 **Nunn, C. L., Lindenfors, P., Pursall, E. R. and Rolff, J.** (2009). On sexual dimorphism in  
555 immune function. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*  
556 *Sciences*, **364**, 61-69. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0148.
- 557 **Perez-Jvostov, F., Hendry, A. P., Fussmann, G. F. and Scott, M. E.** (2012). Are host-parasite  
558 interactions influenced by adaptation to predators? A test with guppies and *Gyrodactylus*  
559 in experimental stream channels. *Oecologia*, **170**, 77-88. doi: 10.1007/s00442-012-2289-  
560 9.
- 561 **Pérez-Jvostov, F., Hendry, A. P., Fussmann, G. F. and Scott, M. E.** (2015). Testing for local  
562 host–parasite adaptation: an experiment with *Gyrodactylus* ectoparasites and guppy hosts.  
563 *International Journal for Parasitology*. doi:  
564 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2015.01.010>.
- 565 **Pickering, A. D. and Christie, P.** (1980). Sexual differences in the incidence and severity of  
566 ectoparasitic infestation of the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **16**,  
567 669-683. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1980.tb03746.x.
- 568 **Poulin, R. and Rohde, K.** (1997). Comparing the richness of metazoan ectoparasite  
569 communities of marine fishes: Controlling for host phylogeny. *Oecologia*, **110**, 278-283.  
570 doi: 10.1007/s004420050160.
- 571 **Price, P. W.** (1980). *Evolutionary biology of parasites*, Princeton University Press.

- 572 **R Development Core Team** (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.  
573 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 574 **Raberg, L., Sim, D. and Read, A. F.** (2007). Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and  
575 tolerance to infectious diseases in animals. *Science*, **318**, 812-814. doi:  
576 10.1126/science.1148526.
- 577 **Ramírez, R., Harris, P. D. and Bakke, T. A.** (2012). An agent-based modelling approach to  
578 estimate error in gyrodactylid population growth. *International Journal for Parasitology*,  
579 **42**, 809-817. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.05.012>.
- 580 **Schmid-Hempel, P.** (2011). *Evolutionary parasitology : the integrated study of infections,*  
581 *immunology, ecology, and genetics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York.
- 582 **Scott, M. E. and Anderson, R. M.** (1984). The population-dynamics of *Gyrodactylus*  
583 *bullatarudis* (Monogenea) within laboratory populations of the fish host *Poecilia*  
584 *reticulata*. *Parasitology*, **89**, 159-194.
- 585 **Sheldon, B. C. and Verhulst, S.** (1996). Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and  
586 trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **11**, 317-321. doi:  
587 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(96\)10039-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(96)10039-2).
- 588 **Stephenson, J. F., van Oosterhout, C., Mohammed, R. S. and Cable, J.** (2015). Parasites of  
589 Trinidadian guppies: evidence for sex- and age-specific trait-mediated indirect effects of  
590 predators. *Ecology*, **96**, 489-498. doi: 10.1890/14-0495.1.
- 591 **Tadiri, C. P., Dargent, F. and Scott, M. E.** (2013). Relative host body condition and food  
592 availability influence epidemic dynamics: a *Poecilia reticulata*-*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*  
593 host-parasite model. *Parasitology*, **140**, 343-351. doi: doi:10.1017/S0031182012001667.

- 594 **Tinsley, R. C.** (1989). The effects of host sex on transmission success. *Parasitology Today*, **5**,  
595 190-195. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758\(89\)90144-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(89)90144-0).
- 596 **Travis, J., Reznick, D., Bassar, R. D., López-Sepulcre, A., Ferriere, R. and Coulson, T.**  
597 (2014). Chapter one - Do eco-evo feedbacks help us understand nature? Answers from  
598 studies of the trinidadian guppy. In *Advances in Ecological Research*, Vol. Volume 50  
599 (eds. Jordi Moya-Laraño, J. R., and Guy, W.), pp. 1-40. Academic Press.
- 600 **van Oosterhout, C., Mohammed, R. S., Hansen, H., Archard, G. A., McMullan, M., Weese,**  
601 **D. J. and Cable, J.** (2007a). Selection by parasites in spate conditions in wild  
602 Trinidadian guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *International Journal for Parasitology*, **37**,  
603 805-812. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.12.016.
- 604 **Van Oosterhout, C., Smith, A. M., Haenfling, B., Ramnarine, I. W., Mohammed, R. S. and**  
605 **Cable, J.** (2007b). The guppy as a conservation model: implications of parasitism and  
606 inbreeding for reintroduction success. *Conserv. Biol.*, **21**, 1573-1583.
- 607 **Wallen, K. and Baum, M. J.** (2002). Masculinization and defeminization in altricial and  
608 precocial mammals: comparative aspects of steroid hormone action. *Hormones, brain*  
609 *and behavior*, **4**, 385-423.
- 610 **Watanuki, H., Yamaguchi, T. and Sakai, M.** (2002). Suppression in function of phagocytic  
611 cells in common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. injected with estradiol, progesterone or 11-  
612 ketotestosterone. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology &*  
613 *Pharmacology*, **132**, 407-413. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0456\(02\)00100-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0456(02)00100-X).
- 614 **Zuk, M.** (1990). Reproductive strategies and disease susceptibility: an evolutionary viewpoint.  
615 *Parasitology Today*, **6**, 231-233. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758\(90\)90202-F](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(90)90202-F).

616 **Zuk, M. and McKean, K. A.** (1996). Sex differences in parasite infections: Patterns and  
617 processes. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **26**, 1009-1023. doi: 10.1016/s0020-  
618 7519(96)00086-0.

619

For Peer Review

620 Legends to figures

621

622 **Figure 1:** Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load per host for untreated male guppies (dashed  
623 line) or males treated with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation - solid line) by day of  
624 infection (Experiment 1).

625

626 **Figure 2:** Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load per host in male guppies treated with flutamide  
627 (demasculinisation), with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation), and in untreated males and  
628 females, compared across days after infection (Experiment 2). Points are slightly offset on the x  
629 axis to reduce overlap.

630

631

632 Tables

633 **Table 1:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load is significantly higher in untreated male guppies  
634 compared to males under feminisation on Day 8 and 10 of infection (Experiment 1).

635

	Day 6 <sup>a</sup>	Day 8 <sup>b</sup>	Day 10 <sup>c</sup>
Hormone treatment	3	5.49*	5.01*
SL	2.73	2.44	1.18
Treatment:SL	0.83	0.35	0.12

636 <sup>a</sup> n=29; <sup>b</sup> n=28; <sup>c</sup> n=26.

637 Generalized linear model results for *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load (integer variable with a negative  
638 binomial distribution) on guppies for days 6, 8 and 10 of infection, with treatment (feminisation  
639 vs. untreated males) as factor and guppy standard length (SL) as a covariate. F-values reported,  
640 significant differences in bold (\*=p<0.05).

641

642 **Table 2:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load varies with sex and hormone treatment on Day 8  
 643 and 10 of infection (Experiment 2).

	Day 6 <sup>a</sup>	Day 8 <sup>b</sup>	Day 10 <sup>c</sup>
Hormone treatment	2.5	3.26*	11.25***
SL	0.81	0.26	0.29
Treatment:SL	0.49	0.24	2.68

644 <sup>a</sup> n=72; <sup>b</sup> n=62; <sup>c</sup> n=40.

645 Generalized linear model results for *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load (integer variable with a negative  
 646 binomial distribution) on guppies for days 6, 8 and 10 of infection, with treatment (untreated  
 647 males, untreated females, males under demasculinisation, and males under feminisation) as  
 648 factor and guppy standard length (SL) as a covariate. F-values reported, significant variables in  
 649 bold (\*=p<0.05, \*\*\*=p<0.001).

650

651

652 **Table 3:** *Post-hoc* pairwise comparisons of *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load by treatment

653 (Experiment 2)

Treatment pair	Day 8		Day 10	
	diff.	adj. p	diff.	adj. p
UF-UM	-19.25	0.24	-80.15	0.1
FeM-UM	-18.36	0.27	-127.83	<0.01
DeM-UM	-33.05	0.01	-150.15	<0.001
FeM-UF	0.88	0.99	-47.68	0.05
DeM-UF	-13.81	0.44	-70	<0.01
DeM-FeM	-14.69	0.37	-22.32	0.60

654 Tukey HSD *post-hoc* pairwise comparison among treatments for guppies in Experiment 2. UM:

655 untreated males, UF: untreated females, DeM: males under demasculinisation, and FeM: males

656 under feminisation. A negative difference indicates that the second group in a treatment pair had

657 a higher parasite load than the first treatment.

658

659

660 **Table 4:** Guppy survival by treatment post-infection with *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*.

Coefficient	Estimate	SEM	Z-value	P (> z )
Untreated females	-2	0.47	-4.3	<0.001
Feminisation males	-1.16	0.37	-3.1	0.002
Demasculinisation males	-1.48	0.4	-3.67	<0.001

661 Cox proportional hazards results for survival until Day 13 after infection, “day of mortality” as a  
 662 response variable, and “treatment” as explanatory variable. Values are for individuals of a given  
 663 treatment compared to the untreated males.

664

665




Fig 1: Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load per host for untreated male guppies (dashed line) or males treated with flutamide and  $17\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation - solid line) by day of infection (Experiment 1).  
332x285mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Fig 2: Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load per host in male guppies treated with flutamide (demasculinisation), with flutamide and  $17\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation), and in untreated males and females, compared across days after infection (Experiment 2). Points are slightly offset on the x axis to reduce overlap.  
332x285mm (72 x 72 DPI)

## Supplementary Material

for

Demasculinisation of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite

Felipe Dargent, Adam R. Reddon, William T. Swaney, Gregor F. Fussmann, Simon M. Reader,

Marilyn E. Scott, Mark R. Forbes

**Table S1:** Mean guppy standard length (SL) by treatment

	Treatment	Initial SL (mm $\pm$ s.e.m.)	Infection SL (mm $\pm$ s.e.m.)
Exp. 1	Untreated males	15.75 ( $\pm$ 0.19)	15.60 ( $\pm$ 0.2)
	Feminisation males	15.47 ( $\pm$ 0.23)	15.49 ( $\pm$ 0.2)
Exp. 2	Untreated males	15.36 ( $\pm$ 0.26)	15.44 ( $\pm$ 0.23)
	Untreated females	17.97 ( $\pm$ 0.3)	18.57 ( $\pm$ 0.28)
	Demasculinisation males	15.79 ( $\pm$ 0.29)	15.80 ( $\pm$ 0.23)
	Feminisation males	15.24 ( $\pm$ 0.27)	15.44 ( $\pm$ 0.26)

10

11

12 **Table S2:** Mean guppy mass by treatment

	Treatment	Initial mass (g ± s.e.m.)	Infection mass (g ± s.e.m.)
Exp. 1	Untreated males	0.077 (±0.004)	0.074 (±0.003)
	Feminisation males	0.074 (±0.003)	0.075 (±0.003)
Exp. 2	Untreatedmales	0.085 (±0.004)	0.082 (±0.003)
	Untreatedfemales	0.127 (±0.006)	0.136 (±0.006)
	Demasculinisation males	0.091 (±0.006)	0.085 (±0.005)
	Feminisation males	0.084 (±0.006)	0.081 (±0.005)

13

14

15 **Table S3:** Sample size by population, treatment and day post-infection (Experiment 1).

Treatment	Day 0	Day 6	Day 8	Day 10
Untreated males	15	15	14	12
Feminisation males	14	14	14	14

16

17

For Peer Review

18 **Table S4:** Sample size by treatment and day post-infection (Experiment 2).

Treatment	Day 0	Day 6	Day 8	Day 10
Untreatedmales	17	17	11	2
Untreatedfemales	19	19	17	13
Demasculinisation males	18	18	16	13
Feminisation males	18	18	18	12

19  
20

21 **Figure legends**

22

23 **Figure S5:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* population growth trajectories on individual *Poecilia*  
24 *reticulata* hosts by hormone treatment (Experiment 1). Each line represents a separate individual.

25

26 **Figure S6:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* population growth trajectories on individual *Poecilia*  
27 *reticulata* hosts by hormone treatment (Experiment 2): A) Untreated control males, B) untreated  
28 control females, C) males under feminisation, and D) males under demasculinisation. Each line  
29 represents a separate individual.




Fig S5: *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* population growth trajectories on individual *Poecilia reticulata* hosts by hormone treatment (Experiment 1). Each line represents a separate individual.  
332x285mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Fig S6: *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* population growth trajectories on individual *Poecilia reticulata* hosts by hormone treatment (Experiment 2): A) Untreated control males, B) untreated control females, C) males under feminisation, and D) males under demasculinisation. Each line represents a separate individual. 664x571mm (72 x 72 DPI)

### Response to referees

We thank the referees for their constructive comments, which have strengthened the manuscript. We address each comment below and refer to the changes we made in the revised manuscript. The referee's original comments are in italics and our responses in plain font.

#### Referee 1

*This experiment, reporting the impact of *G. turnbulli* on male guppies which had been chemically feminised, is long overdue; I am surprised it has not been undertaken before, and I was pleased to see it being done now. I started thinking the paper could be published more or less as it is, but more careful reading made me more cautious – certainly it needs more experimental detail, and if the authors on reflection can answer my concerns about the growth curve of the parasite population on the control fish, then it can be published; otherwise more work will be necessary. The MS is also overwritten in places and could do with some reduction.*

We thank the referee for this strong endorsement that this is an important experiment. We have added the requested experimental details, and address the concern regarding the growth curve (see below). We have also edited the manuscript for length and made other changes to improve clarity.

*1). I can find no mention of basic culture conditions for guppies prior to and during experimentation and chemical feminisation. No information on water type (tap, dechlorinated, artificial river water, salt supplementation, etc.), temperature of maintenance and experimentation, photoperiod or feeding regime, all of which can affect fish condition and hence performance of gyrodactylids, are given. It is mentioned (line 176) that water quality was monitored, but we have no idea which parameters were measured, or how. Make the point early in the general methods that the fish used in the two experiments were of different stocks and came from different sources.*

We now provide details on water characteristics before and during the experiment, and the temperature and photoperiod at which the laboratory set up was maintained (lines 130-140). These conditions were identical during the two experiments, and are very similar to the conditions used in previous work done at our laboratory (cited in manuscript as: Dargent, 2015; Dargent *et al.*, 2013). We have clarified how we monitored water quality: assessing clarity and accumulation of residues, as well as random sampling of chambers to test for alkalinity, pH, nitrite, nitrate, hardness and ammonia (lines 179-181). We now indicate early in the methods (lines 117-124) that we used different wild-derived guppy populations for the two experiments.

*A key experimental factor, which is glossed over, is that the water was 75% changed every 4 days, and completely after 12 days, and we receive no details about the change rate during the experimental period. For me, this is a very long time to leave fish in the same water, and for example the Cable group change water every 2 days in a slightly smaller container. In my experience, water quality problems are the largest difficulty in getting consistent growth of gyrodactylid infections, although normally it works the other way to that seen here – it stops the parasites growing properly, rather than leading to near exponential growth! These points must be attended to before publication.*

We were not clear about this point in the original manuscript. We now clarify that water changes were continued throughout the 31 days of experiment (i.e. the 21 days when fish received the hormone treatments and on the 10 subsequent days were the fish received the hormone treatments and were infected with *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) (line 173). Thus the water was changed during the experimental period.

We agree with the reviewer's sentiment that keeping the fish in the same water for a long period of time could potentially be problematic. However, there are several reasons why we do not think that our approach to water quality affected the performance of the fish or the parasite in our experiment. First, the amount of food degradation in the tank was negligible given that we did not feed the fish *ad libitum* after the tanks were disconnected from the re-circulating system, but instead we fed them precise amounts of paste that were fully ingested (lines 159-161). Second, 1.8 litres is a relatively large volume of water for an individual that weighs an average of 0.08 grams. Third, parasite population growth in fish from the Lower Lalaja population

(Experiment 1) are in line with previous loads reported in our laboratory using a variety of guppy populations and the same parasite strain (Dargent, 2015; Dargent *et al.*, 2013). These earlier experiments were performed with the chambers attached to the recirculating systems (i.e. with complete renewal of water approximately every 8 minutes). Therefore it seems unlikely that procedures used for manual water changes had any significant impact on the parasite growth. We clarify these details in the manuscript (lines 179-183).

2). *The normal pattern of G. turnbulli growth following inoculation with two parasites is of initial growth followed by decline, the turning point depending on the particular combination of host stock and parasite strain. Clearly the outcomes of the two experiments differ in this respect, possibly because they use different fish stocks, although differences in environmental conditions (potentially unsuspected or uncharacterised) could also cause this difference. These differences do not jeopardise the results because the two experiments can be regarded independently of each other; but some sort of explanation of the difference is needed. As I look at the results in Fig 1, given the tight error bars on these data, I would suspect that this fish stock/experiment did not show any decline in infection, but most fish sustained continuous parasite population growth (both treated and untreated). Experiment 2, with much bigger error bars towards the end of the experiment, and the different outcome of drug treatment, suggests to me that these fish limited parasite population growth much more effectively than the stock used in Experiment 1. I have to say, if my interpretation is right, I have never seen a stock as susceptible as that used in Experiment 1, especially to a pet shop strain of G. turnbulli, which are normally a bit pathetic compared to wild strains. Just crudely interpreted from the figure, the parasite on this stock regularly made 120 parasites from 2 in just 10 days; Cable and Oosterhout (2007 IJP 37, 1449-1458) peaked at a mean of around 40 parasites per fish, with the same starting conditions, using avirulent parasite strain from the wild. The tame Gt3 strain in the same experiments made only around 20 per fish. So there is something special about this combination of parasite and fish used in experiment 1.*

As the reviewer notes, there are differences in the average parasite growth rate between the two experiments, our strain of *G. turnbulli* seems to have a higher growth rate on guppies descended from the Lower Lalaja population than on guppies descended from the Aripo by Quare mixed

population. That being said, we do not think it is plausible that these differences are caused by environmental (within the lab) differences, since both experiments were performed in the same location and following the same protocol, furthermore, the same parasite strain was used in both cases. It seems to us that the differences in *Gyrodactylus* dynamics are therefore most likely caused by differences between the host fish populations. As the reviewer suggests we now state in the manuscript that we consider interpopulation differences in resistance to be the most likely explanation for differences in parasite loads between the two experiments (lines 353-355).

The reviewer is correct in suggesting that the fish in Experiment 1 (Lower Lalaja population) did not reach the decline phase, here the difference between control and feminised males is due to differences in the rate of growth of the parasite (new Figure S5). We can confirm that the infections in Experiment 2 also did not reach a decline phase (new Figure S6), instead they seem to have had a slower rate of increase (with some individual exceptions). We interpret the larger error bars in Experiment 2 as a result of the high mortality of untreated control male subjects (truncated lines in new Figure S6 A). We speculate that control males in Experiment 2 would have had higher parasite loads and tighter error bars, perhaps similar to those of Experiment 1 control males, if not for the observed level of mortality. Yet, we agree with the reviewer in that the fish from Experiment 2 seemed more efficient at reducing the rate of growth of infection, particularly based on the trajectories of feminised males in both experiments. We have added the individual fish trajectories as a supplemental material (new Figures S5 and S6) to clarify the source of variation in the error bars between experiments (i.e. not due to a decline phase of infection) as well as to provide further detail on the infection dynamics.

The reviewer also mentions that the parasite loads reported in our fish are higher than those reported by other groups. However, this pattern is not unique to this study but consistent with our previous work (see above comment about water quality). We also cannot imagine environmental differences as an explanation for variation in parasite loads between Experiment 1 and Experiment 2. We now state in the manuscript that we did not detect signs of water degradation or of negative effects of water quality on the host or parasites (lines 179-183).

Parasite loads well over 40 *Gyrodactylus* at peak burden could have occurred because we only used fish that were naïve to *Gyrodactylus* (i.e. have never been infected or in direct contact with infected individuals) and whose mothers were also uninfected (i.e. removing possible maternal effects). Experiments that report peak *Gyrodactylus* loads of about 40 parasites may

have used fish that have been infected in the past and thus retained some degree of acquired resistance. For example, Cable and Van Oosterhout (2007) reported that strains of wild guppies retained acquired resistance at least for 53 days after they had cleared an infection (the longest period of time they tested), and they speculated that unlike domestic guppies (e.g. Scott, 1985), wild guppies might not lose their acquired resistance. Therefore, the low loads reported in experiments elsewhere could have been caused by individuals having some degree of acquired resistance. Since the above arguments are speculative, we would rather leave them out of the manuscript. Regardless of the cause of the relatively high *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* loads in our experiment, the key conclusions are not dependent on this observation, i.e. control fish had higher *G. turnbulli* loads than both feminised and demasculinised fish, independently of the differences in loads between the two experimental populations.

We think it is most likely that the difference in *Gyrodactylus* performance between experiments was caused by differences in the host populations used. Both experiments were performed using the same laboratory, machine, chamber model, water preparation methods, food type, food preparation methods and food delivery methods (lines 170-172). Thus, the use of different guppy populations seems the most parsimonious explanation for the difference in *Gyrodactylus* loads between the two experiments. Finally, although we can only speculate because of the high mortality in Experiment 2 (Figure S6), we argue that untreated control male loads were not very different between the two experiments. Indeed, on day 8, when there is still a large number of surviving control males in Experiment 2, control male parasite loads are very similar between the two experiments (Figures S5 and S6). In fact, what seems to have changed more strongly between the two experiments is the load on feminized males, which have a lower load on Day 10 for Experiment 2 than they do for Experiment 1. Our interpretation of this result would not be that the parasite – host-strain interaction is different between the two experiments; but that the guppies used in Experiment 2 are more responsive to the combined effect of flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation), perhaps because (as suggested by reviewer 2) they trade-off more heavily between their investment in reproduction related traits and defence (i.e. they experience a higher cost of defence).

3). I think it would be very useful for the reader to see some individual trajectories for infections on individual guppies, because I am inferring this from means and error bars, which is not an ideal position to be in. It is perhaps worth mentioning that Ramirez et al. (2012, IJP 44, 809-817) roundly criticize the use of maximum likelihood statistical analyses of gyrodactylid population dynamics, because of the autocorrelative nature of gyrodactylid population growth which means that a bad performance in the first day or two of the infection (when effects are largely stochastic) can have a massive impact on population size later in the infection. This same group have a paper in press (or just out) with *Parasites and Vectors* detailing a Bayesian method (implemented in the freeware WinBugs) which allows you to estimate individual parasite population growth rates on a fish by fish basis, and then you can take a maximum likelihood approach to analyse the growth rates from each experimental treatment. I think this would greatly simplify the analysis presented here, and is not very intensive in terms of time needed. I am sure Raul Ramirez would supply the scripts if you wanted to try this method out.

As recommended by the reviewer we have plotted the individual trajectories for all guppies (new Figures S5 and S6), by treatment, and added them to the supplementary materials. In this way we make the information easily available to interested readers.

We thank the reviewer for pointing us to the Ramirez et al. 2012 paper and subsequent work. We have included a statement in the manuscript referring to the autocorrelative nature of *Gyrodactylus spp.* population growth and its possible effect on interpretation of our results (lines 296-300). Although autocorrelation would seem to necessitate a repeated measures design, the mortality of individuals leads to an unbalanced design with respect to numbers of individuals assessed at later days post infection. Therefore, we were only able to use a repeated measures model for Experiment 1 but not for Experiment 2 because of the high mortality in the control group. The repeated measures model for Experiment 1 shows that *Gyrodactylus* loads on the untreated control males are significantly different from loads in feminised males, in agreement with the analysis on the original manuscript. We have also maintained the original analyses in the revised manuscript as they allow to distinguish at which days the differences in *Gyrodactylus* loads are significant.

We also contacted who we believe is the senior author of the Ramirez et al. 2015 unpublished/accepted manuscript. He kindly offered to send the manuscript if the first author agreed to make it available. Unfortunately, we were not able to get a copy of the manuscript. We look forward to exploring our past and present data in our future experiments, once the manuscript and scripts are published or available.

*4). As it is, the difference between the experiments, which is probably due to the performance of the parasite on the two fish stocks, makes it impossible to draw conclusions which span the two experiments; one such is the highlight 'additional treatment with oestrogen (actually with asynthetic oestradiol, which is not the same, especially in a teleost such as the guppy) did not reduce parasitism further'. This conclusion is based on the two experiments – the first with both treatments simultaneously, the second with oestradiol and flutamide separated out. My interpretation of experiment 1, which gave such different results to experiment 2, is that this stock of fish was simply unable to respond to the parasite in the first place, and so treatment with the chemical feminizers did not make a great deal of difference. In experiment 2, a good response to infection is possible in this stock, which is inhibited by male sex hormones. So the feminising treatment had a much greater effect in experiment 2 because it unmasked a strong response to the parasites. It would be interesting to know the relative baseline titre of both androgens and carotenoids in males of these two stocks of guppies.*

Our explanation of Experiment 2 methods may have not been clear enough on how the feminisation treatment was performed. We have now clarified this point (line 224). In Experiment 2 we repeated the feminisation treatment used in Experiment 1 (i.e. guppies under feminisation received both flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol) and not, as the reviewer suggests, by only using 17 $\beta$ -estradiol. In Experiment 2 we had the additional treatment of giving only flutamide to a group of guppies (i.e. demasculinisation treatment). The inference that additional treatment with synthetic oestradiol (feminisation) did not reduce parasitism further is based on the comparison between the demasculinisation treatment and the feminisation treatment in Experiment 2. These two groups are not significantly different from each other but visual inspection (Figure 2 in the manuscript) suggests that demasculinised males had lower parasite

loads than feminised males (i.e. that the additional treatment with the synthetic oestradiol increased infection if anything), thus our statement is conservative.

We consider the use of different populations a strength of our experiment, because it allows us to make generalisations about the effects of androgens on defence against *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. Indeed, as we mention in the results and discussion sections (lines 268-270, 290-293, 299-300, 355-358), in both experiments the treated populations had lower parasite loads than the untreated control population. The magnitude of this effect might well differ between populations for reasons alluded to by the reviewer.

We agree with the reviewer that, in hindsight, it would have been interesting to measure the relative baseline hormone and carotenoid concentrations of the guppies derived from the two different stocks. Yet we would not have been able to do so for the current experiments unless we had used different individuals. To our knowledge the methods for directly measuring carotenoid concentrations in male guppies involve killing the fish (e.g. Kolluru *et al.*, 2006) and given that *Gyrodactylus* infection affects carotenoid concentrations (e.g. Houde & Torio, 1992), this would have precluded our ability to test carotenoid concentration before infection and then infection dynamics on the same fish. Furthermore, given the size of guppies it is not possible to draw blood (i.e. to measure androgens) from an individual without killing the fish; while the alternative use of waterborne methods would have required a larger group of collaborators and would have considerably increased the cost of the study.

#### *Minor points*

5). *There is a good chunk in the introduction detailing the difference in sex steroids between the sexes (!); not only is a lot of this literature rather old (to say the least), I thought the fact that males had more androgens than females was moderately well established by now. Cut this part of the introduction sharply.*

As suggested by the reviewer, we have reduced most of this section. We clarify that the emphasis is not on the difference in sex steroids between the sexes but on the fact that hormones might drive sex differences in defence in two distinct ways: through their long-term effect on anatomical, physiological or behavioural differences associated with the development of each

sex and/or through current (shorter-term) effects in adulthood caused by differences in circulating levels of gonadal steroids.

6). *The section on study system is also irrelevant, and the charms of gyrodactylids for experimental epidemiology are well known now. Delete, and any important parts can be placed straight into discussion or introduction as appropriate. Interestingly, there are several lines in the study system section (lines 119-123) dealing with carotenoids and parasite resistance which is much more modern and relevant than the points about brown trout and ketotestosterone in the introduction, but it misses the also highly relevant link between androgens, carotenoids and the immune system (e.g. McGraw & Ardia, 2007, Biology Letters 3, 375). I would have thought this a much more fruitful line to pursue in this MS.*

We have reduced the information contained in the “The study system” section by about 30% but retained information that would be needed by the non-specialist reader to understand the relevant characteristics of both guppies and *Gyrodactylus*. We have also re-located to this section the information relative to the guppy’s population of origin to, as suggested by the reviewer’s first comment, make the point early that the fish used in the two experiments came from different origins (lines 117-124).

We thank the reviewer for suggesting the McGraw and Ardia (2007) paper. We now cite it to improve the discussion about the interactions between androgens and carotenoids (lines 372-377).

7). *I am not sure what the tables add to the figures – I would tend to put the F statistics in the text and/or in the figure legends.*

We would prefer to keep the tables and figures in the manuscript for two reasons. First, including more information in the figures would make them harder to read, and including this information in the text would also make it cumbersome. Second, our experience is that such tables facilitate extracting data for metaanalyses.

8). *Typo in the legend for Fig 1 - estradiol.*

Thank you, fixed.

**Referee: 2***Comments to the Author*

*The present study illustrates interactions of parasite infection rates (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) and gonadal steroids in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). Male guppies that had been demasculinised or feminised by food supplementation with an androgen receptor antagonist and/or oestrogen, showed lower infection rates with the parasite compared to sham treated males and females. This finding is interesting and may help a better understanding of variations in parasite burden in natural fish populations. The manuscript is well written and the experiments appear to be well conducted.*

We thank the reviewer for these kind comments.

*However, the conclusions made by the authors that androgens reduce the ability of guppies to resist infection (line 34) and are immunosuppressive (line 288), to my opinion can not be made based on the data presented here. Additional information on the immune status of the fish is needed to substantiate these assumptions. Accordingly, these statements should be reworded and formulated and discussed more carefully as a possible explanations for the observed phenomena. To my opinion, the observed reduction in parasite load might have been due to indirect effects, since demasculinisation and feminisation might have resulted in reduced investment in sexual traits and thereby indirectly have facilitated a stronger investment in immunity against the parasite.*

As the reviewer suggests, increased resistance to *Gyrodactylus* could be caused by direct interference of androgens with the immune system or alternatively through allocation trade-offs related to investment in sex and immunity. We agree that the word “direct” (as mentioned in the last comment below) is thus misleading, nonetheless, in both alternative mechanisms reduced androgens levels lead to increased resistance (i.e. the host ability to control parasite burden) to the parasite. We have reworded the manuscript to avoid emphasis on the immunosuppressive

alternative and have stated in the conclusion section that further work is required to distinguish between these two viable options (lines 379-382).

*With respect to the statistics used here, I wonder why 'infection time' was not included as a factor in the GLMs? Instead the authors seem to have calculated GLMs for each infection time point. Not sure if this was appropriate without correction for multiple testing. I would suggest to recalculate the GLMs with 'infection time' included as a factor.*

Ideally, we would have been able to do a repeated measures GLM as suggested by the reviewer, yet the fact that so many individuals died before day 10 in the untreated control group precludes us from pursuing a balanced statistical test of any experimental effect, controlling for days post infection, in Experiment 2. We alert the reader to this constraint imposed by the parasite-associated mortality we observed (lines 205-207). Given that mortality was low in Experiment 1 we performed a repeated measures GLM (with negative binomial distribution) and found that, in accordance to our previous analysis, untreated control males had significantly higher *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* loads than feminised males (effect of treatment:  $F_{1,77} = 4.94$ ,  $p < 0.029$ ). We have made reference to this in the text (lines 256-257).. We have also maintained the original analyses in the revised manuscript to demonstrate when *Gyrodactylus* loads differ.

*Minor comments:*

*I found it hard to understand the timelines of the two experiments; were the femininisation/demasculinisation periods the same for both experiments and were those continued after the parasite exposure? Please explain more explicitly in the materials and methods.*

All treatments had the same duration in both experiments. Treatments with gonadal steroids started three weeks prior to infection with *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* and were maintained for the ten-day infection period. Treatments were stopped after the tenth day of infection in both experiments, but in Experiment 2 we continued to monitor survival for three more days (the

point at which all remaining fish were euthanized). We have now clarified this in the methods section (lines 166-168, 170-172, 249-250).

*24 gonadal steroids?*

Corrected. It now says “gonadal steroids”.

*47 This was not tested here and consequently was not a finding of the present study.*

We have removed this finding from the list.

*51 In doing so, ...*

Corrected, thanks.

*66-67 ...females in six of nine....of dessert rodents*

We have added the word “out” to the sentence: “...in six [out] of nine...”

*90 suggest to word this more cautiously: ...steroids are ... ‘directly influencing the response to parasites’, might rather be indirect.*

We removed this sentence from the manuscript. Nonetheless, we follow the reviewer’s advice and have changed the wording of other sentences to avoid confusing the reader. We now use “current” or “immediate” instead of “direct” to reflect the more immediate effects of circulating gonadal steroids (e.g. lines 78, 281). This current (short-term) effect is to be considered in contrast to the longer-term effects of sex hormone levels during development, which can have a lasting influence on individual physiology, anatomy and behaviour, and also influence resistance or defence and/or exposure of hosts to parasites.

## References:

- Cable, J. and Van Oosterhout, C.** (2007). The role of innate and acquired resistance in two natural populations of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) infected with the ectoparasite *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **90**, 647-655. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2006.00755.x.
- Dargent, F.** (2015). The wild side: Assessing evolutionary ecology of defence against parasites in nature. PhD thesis. pp. 223. McGill University, Montreal.
- Dargent, F., Scott, M. E., Hendry, A. P. and Fussmann, G. F.** (2013). Experimental elimination of parasites in nature leads to the evolution of increased resistance in hosts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2013.2371.
- Houde, A. E. and Torio, A. J.** (1992). Effect of parasitic infection on male color pattern and female choice in guppies. *Behavioral Ecology*, **3**, 346-351. doi: 10.1093/beheco/3.4.346.
- Kolluru, G. R., Grether, G. F., South, S. H., Dunlop, E., Cardinali, A., Liu, L. and Carapiet, A.** (2006). The effects of carotenoid and food availability on resistance to a naturally occurring parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **89**, 301-309. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2006.00675.x.
- Scott, M. E.** (1985). Dynamics of challenge infections of *Gyrodactylus bullatarudis* Turnbull (Monogenea) on guppies, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters). *Journal of Fish Diseases*, **8**, 495-503. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.1985.tb00964.x.

1 Title

2 Demasculinisation of male guppies increases resistance to a common and harmful ectoparasite

3

4 Authors

5 Felipe Dargent<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Adam R. Reddon<sup>a</sup>, William T. Swaney<sup>a,c</sup>, Gregor F. Fussmann<sup>a</sup>, Simon M.

6 Reader<sup>a</sup>, Marilyn E. Scott<sup>d</sup>, Mark R. Forbes<sup>b</sup>

7

8 <sup>a</sup> Department of Biology, McGill University, 1205 Dr. Penfield Av., Montreal, H3A 1B1, QC,

9 Canada.

10 <sup>b</sup> Department of Biology, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, K1S 5B6, ON,

11 Canada.

12 <sup>c</sup> School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool,

13 UK.

14 <sup>d</sup> Institute of Parasitology and Centre for Host-Parasite Interactions, McGill University, 21111

15 Lakeshore Road, Ste-Anne de Bellevue, H9X 3V9, QC, Canada.

16 \* Corresponding author: [felipe.dargent@mail.mcgill.ca](mailto:felipe.dargent@mail.mcgill.ca); Tel: 514 835 4235

17

18 Running title

19 Demasculinisation and ectoparasite resistance

## 20 SUMMARY

21 Parasites are detrimental to host fitness and therefore should strongly select for host defence  
22 mechanisms. Yet, hosts vary considerably in their observed parasite loads. One notable source of  
23 inter-individual variation in parasitism is host sex. Such variation could be caused by the  
24 immunomodulatory effects of gonadal steroids. Here we assess the influence of gonadal steroids  
25 on the ability of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) to defend themselves against a common and  
26 deleterious parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*). Adult male guppies underwent 31 days of artificial  
27 demasculinisation with the androgen receptor-antagonist flutamide, or feminisation with a  
28 combination of flutamide and the synthetic oestrogen 17 $\beta$ -estradiol, and their parasite loads were  
29 compared over time to untreated males and females. Both demasculinised and feminised male  
30 guppies had lower *G. turnbulli* loads than the untreated males and females, but this effect  
31 appeared to be mainly the result of demasculinisation, with feminisation having no additional  
32 measurable effect. Furthermore, demasculinised males, feminised males and untreated females  
33 all suffered lower *Gyrodactylus*-induced mortality than untreated males. Together, these results  
34 suggest that androgens reduce the ability of guppies to control parasite loads, and modulate  
35 resistance to and survival from infection. We discuss the relevance of these findings for  
36 understanding constraints on the evolution of resistance in guppies and other vertebrates.

37

## 38 Key words

39 gonadal steroids, feminisation, sex-biased parasitism, testosterone, fish, *Gyrodactylus*, *Poecilia*  
40 *reticulata*

41

42

## 43 Key findings

44 | - ~~Blocking~~ Blockage of androgen receptors lead to lower ectoparasite loads in male

45 guppies

46 - Additional treatment with oestrogen did not reduce parasitism further

47 | - ~~Untreated~~ Treated males experienced ~~higher~~ lower parasite-induced mortality than

48 untreated males

49 | — ~~*Gyrodactylus* infections may mediate the effects of androgens on sexual selection~~

50

## 51 INTRODUCTION

52 Parasites are pervasive and are known to negatively influence host fitness by reducing  
53 reproductive output, growth rate, mating success, and survivorship (Price, 1980). In ~~so~~-doing so,  
54 parasites can ~~also~~ be influential drivers of ecological processes and evolutionary patterns  
55 (Hamilton, 1982; Hamilton & Zuk, 1982; Lafferty *et al.*, 2008; Minchella & Scott, 1991).

56 Parasitism is expected to be a strong source of selection for defensive adaptations that allow  
57 hosts to control parasite numbers and mitigate parasite costs. When parasites are present,  
58 investment in costly defence mechanisms is expected to be favoured (Schmid-Hempel, 2011).

59 Intriguingly, there is considerable within-population variation amongst individuals within  
60 populations in their susceptibility to parasites, suggesting that antiparasite defences are costly  
61 and/or trade-off with other fitness enhancing traits, and therefore that maximal defence may not  
62 be obtainable or adaptive for all individuals (Lazzaro & Little, 2009; Sheldon & Verhulst, 1996).

63 A striking example of among-individual variation in parasite susceptibility is the common  
64 phenomenon of sex-biased parasitism, in which one sex is more frequently infected or carries  
65 larger mean parasite loads than the other (Forbes, 2007; Krasnov *et al.*, 2012; Nunn *et al.*, 2009;  
66 Zuk & McKean, 1996). For example Amo *et al.* (2005) found that wild male wall lizards  
67 (*Podarcis muralis*) had higher haemogregarine and ectoparasitic mite infection intensities than  
68 did females. Similarly, Krasnov *et al.* (2005) found higher flea abundance in males than females  
69 of six out of nine species of desert rodent.

70 Males and females differ in many ways ~~that and each of these differences~~ may partially  
71 account for sex differences in parasite infection rates. For example, males and females often  
72 differ in body size and larger individuals typically have more parasites (Guégan *et al.*, 1992;  
73 Poulin & Rohde, 1997). Males and females may also be exposed to parasites at different rates

74 due to sex differences in space use or social behaviour (Tinsley, 1989). Furthermore, sex  
75 differences in time and energy allocation to sexual activities (e.g. courting and fighting) and  
76 resource acquisition also could drive sex differences in parasite loads through differences in the  
77 amount of resources available for investment in defence (Zuk, 1990).

78 Gonadal steroids play a critical role in sexual differentiation during development,  
79 resulting in sex differences in anatomy, physiology, and behaviour (Arnold, 2009; Wallen &  
80 Baum, 2002), and therefore may have a long-term indirectly influence on sex-biased parasitism  
81 through by organizing phenotypic characteristics during development which in turn affect  
82 parasite defence later in life development. However, gonadal steroids also can have a more  
83 immediate influence on sex-biased parasitism because as variation in circulating  
84 hormones gonadal steroids in adults can also mediate sex differences in immune function  
85 (Grossman, 1989; Zuk & McKean, 1996), as the levels of and response to these hormones often  
86 differ dramatically between males and females (Feder, 1985). For example male brown trout  
87 (*Salmo trutta*) have higher circulating levels of the primary teleost androgen 11-ketotestosterone  
88 than females (Kime & Manning, 1982) and concordantly, males from both wild and hatchery  
89 populations have higher prevalence and more severe infections of the ectoparasites *Gyrodactylus*  
90 spp., *Ichthyophthirius* spp. and *Scyphidia* spp. than females (Pickering & Christie, 1980).  
91 Furthermore, male brown trout also show decreased parasite resistance when dosed with  
92 exogenous testosterone (Buchmann, 1997). Therefore, gonadal steroid hormones may play a dual  
93 role in determining parasite resistance in adult animals by both organizing phenotypic  
94 characteristics during development which in turn affect parasite defence later in life, and by  
95 directly influencing the response to parasites in adult animals. Understanding precisely how  
96 circulating gonadal steroids influence defence is a crucial step in understanding individual

97 variation in defence, as well as the potential for and magnitude of sex-biased parasitism, which  
98 in turn are [essential-necessary](#) for understanding host-parasite dynamics in natural systems. To  
99 this end, it is essential to evaluate both the role of gonadal steroids during development and the  
100 role that circulating gonadal steroids play in parasite resistance in adults.

101 ~~In the current study, Here,~~ we ~~use studied~~ guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) derived from wild  
102 populations and their common and harmful ectoparasites (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) to address the  
103 importance of ~~this second role of circulating~~ gonadal steroids in determining antiparasite  
104 defences, i.e. the effect that steroid hormone systems have on adult resistance to parasites. To  
105 this end we manipulated gonadal steroid levels in adult guppies by administering an androgen  
106 receptor antagonist (to demasculinise them), or a combination of an androgen receptor antagonist  
107 and an [artificial](#) oestrogen (to demasculinise and then feminise them), before assessing their  
108 resistance to *G. turnbulli*.

109

## 110 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 111 *The study system*

112 The guppy is a sexually-dimorphic poeciliid fish native to the island of Trinidad and  
113 Northern South America (Magurran, 2005). *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* is a highly prevalent (Harris  
114 & Lyles, 1992) and deleterious ectoparasite of wild guppies (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013; van  
115 Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007a). These monogenean flatworms transmit through host-to-host contact,  
116 and attach to their host's epithelium where they feed and give birth to flukes with fully  
117 developed embryos "in-utero" (Bakke *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, *Gyrodactylus* infections are prone  
118 to exponential population increase on individual hosts and epidemic dynamics within guppy

119 populations (Scott & Anderson, 1984), which leads to high guppy mortality in the laboratory  
120 (Dargent *et al.*, 2013a; Van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007b) and the wild (van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2007a).

121         The guppy-*Gyrodactylus* host-parasite system is a convenient model to assess the role of  
122 gonadal steroids in the defence against parasites. First, the regulatory effect of androgens on  
123 guppy behaviour and colouration may play a critical role in the expression of secondary sexual  
124 characters and mating success (Bayley *et al.*, 2002; 2003). Second, correlations between  
125 carotenoid colouration, mate preference and defence against parasites have long been recognised  
126 in guppies (Houde & Torio, 1992; Kennedy *et al.*, 1987; Kolluru *et al.*, 2006) while the  
127 ecological and evolutionary drivers of guppy parasite defence have been the focus of much  
128 recent research (Dargent *et al.*, 2013a; Dargent *et al.*, 2013b; Fitzpatrick *et al.*, 2014; Gotanda *et*  
129 *al.*, 2013; Perez-Jvostov *et al.*, 2012; Pérez-Jvostov *et al.*, 2015; Tadiri *et al.*, 2013). Missing  
130 from this increasingly well-understood model system is the degree to which circulating gonadal  
131 steroids influence defence against *Gyrodactylus* parasites in the guppy. ~~Field evidence suggests~~  
132 ~~that sex hormones could play an important role in regulating guppy defence against~~  
133 ~~*Gyrodactylus*. Guppies show sex-biased *Gyrodactylus* parasitism in the wild, with females~~  
134 ~~carrying higher *Gyrodactylus* loads than males at sites where predation is high and the reverse~~  
135 ~~pattern at sites where predation is low (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, common garden~~  
136 ~~laboratory experiments on isolated guppies report sex-biased parasite loads despite controlling~~  
137 ~~for many of the ecological and behavioural factors commonly assumed to underlie sex~~  
138 ~~differences in *Gyrodactylus* loads.~~

139         Guppies used in this research were laboratory-reared from fish collected in Trinidad. In  
140 Experiment 1, we used F2 descendants from a Trinidadian population collected in 2013 after  
141 having been experimentally translocated in 2009 (Travis *et al.*, 2014) from a high-predation site

142 in the Guanapo river where *Gyrodactylus* spp. was present to a tributary stream (Lower Lalaja)  
143 where predation was low and *Gyrodactylus* was absent. In experiment 2, we used F1  
144 descendants of wild-caught fish collected between 2010 and 2011 from the Aripo and Quare  
145 rivers from sites where predation is high and *Gyrodactylus* spp. is present. These guppies were  
146 kept together as a mixed origin population.

147

#### 148 *Hormone treatments*

149 Two weeks prior to the start of the hormone treatments, sexually mature guppies were isolated  
150 into 1.8 L chambers in an aquatic housing system (Aquaneering Inc., San Diego, USA). The fish  
151 were physically isolated but retained visual contact with their neighbours throughout the  
152 experiments. Subjects were fed *ad libitum* with TetraMin Tropical Flakes (Tetra, Melle,  
153 Germany) ground into powder and reconstituted with water to form a thick paste that was  
154 delivered using Hamilton microliter syringes (Hamilton Laboratory Products, Reno, USA). The  
155 laboratory was maintained at  $23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  with a 13 h 11 h (L:D) photoperiod. We used carbon-  
156 filtered municipal water that was conditioned with Prime (Seachem Laboratories, Madison,  
157 USA), Freshwater Biozyme (Mardel, Oklahoma city, USA), and left to stand for two days and  
158 warm up before being added to the housing systems. The housing system passed water through a  
159 filter pad, a biological filter, a set of carbon filters and a UV sterilization device. Subjects were  
160 fed with TetraMin Tropical Flakes (Tetra, Melle, Germany) ground into powder and  
161 reconstituted with water to form a thick paste that was delivered using Hamilton microliter  
162 syringes (Hamilton Laboratory Products, Reno, USA). Prior to the start of the hormone  
163 treatments subjects were fed *ad libitum* and their chambers remained connected to the re-

164 | [circulating system, thus each chamber had a complete water change-turnover approximately](#)  
165 | [every 8 minutes.](#)

166 | We gathered data on individual body size (measured as standard length: SL) and mass  
167 | at two time points: on the first day we began administering the hormone treatments, and 21 days  
168 | later, the day we began experimental parasite infections (Tables S1, S2). To measure SL and  
169 | mass we anaesthetised each guppy in 0.02% Tricaine Methanesulfonate - MS222 (Argent  
170 | Chemical Laboratories, Redmond, USA) buffered to a pH of 7 with NaCO<sub>3</sub>. Guppies were then  
171 | weighed to the nearest 0.01 g and photographed on the left side with a Nikon D90 camera  
172 | (Nikon, Mississauga, Canada). Each image included a ruler for scale.

173 | At the start of the hormone treatments, male guppies (mean mass = 0.08 g ±0.002 s.e.m.)  
174 | were randomly assigned to ~~either~~ control, demasculinisation or feminisation treatments, while  
175 | females (mean mass = 0.13 g ±0.006 s.e.m.) remained untreated. Acetone was used as a solvent  
176 | to combine the pharmacological agents with ground flake food. We saturated the food with  
177 | acetone mixed with the hormone treatment and then allowed the acetone to evaporate in a fume  
178 | hood for 24 hours. Untreated control [male and female](#) guppies received food that had been  
179 | saturated with acetone alone without any pharmacological treatment, guppies in the  
180 | demasculinisation treatment received food that had been dosed with 4.29 mg of the androgen  
181 | receptor antagonist flutamide (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) per gram of dry food, and  
182 | guppies in the feminisation treatment received food that had been dosed with 4.29 mg of  
183 | flutamide and 0.04 mg of the ~~synthetic~~ oestrogen 17β-estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville,  
184 | Canada) per gram of dry food. Each guppy received 5 μL/day of paste prepared with their  
185 | respective treatments (in a 7:8 food:water ratio), which is equivalent to 10.40 μg/day/guppy of  
186 | flutamide and 0.10 μg/day/guppy of 17β-estradiol. Guppies ingested all of the food provided to

187 | them. The ~~dose of~~ flutamide/~~g body weight~~dosage was based on previous dose-response studies  
188 | in guppies showing effective inhibition of male-specific traits (Bayley *et al.*, 2003; Kinnberg &  
189 | Toft, 2003), without the increased mortality seen at higher doses (Baatrup & Junge, 2001). The  
190 | dose of 17 $\beta$ -estradiol/g body weight was based on dose-response work in goldfish demonstrating  
191 | robust inhibition of male-specific traits, but no associated weight loss (Bjerselius *et al.*, 2001).

192 | All hormone treatments lasted for 31 days (i.e. 21 days of treatment without parasite infections  
193 | and 10 days of treatment after *Gyrodactylus* infection). We performed two consecutive  
194 | experiments. Experiment 1 had two treatments: feminisation of males and untreated males.  
195 | Experiment 2 had the same treatments as Experiment 1 in addition to demasculinisation of males  
196 | and untreated females. These experiments were identical in all regards with the exception of the  
197 | additional treatments (see below) and the use of different wild-derived guppy populations (see  
198 | below).

199 |       During the 31 days of hormone treatment, the guppies remained in their 1.8 L chambers,  
200 | which we disconnected from the aquatic ~~flow-through~~recirculating system, chemically isolating  
201 | the fish to ensure that no hormone treatment passed between the chambers. Visual contact  
202 | between neighbours was retained throughout the experiment and therefore the fish were not  
203 | socially isolated at any time. To maintain water quality during the treatment period, we changed  
204 | 75% of the water in each chamber every four days and replaced the chamber with an entirely  
205 | fresh one every 12 days. Water quality was monitored throughout the experiments by performing  
206 | visual checks for water clarity and residue presence and by weekly tests, in randomly selected  
207 | chambers, of alkalinity, pH, nitrite, nitrate, hardness and ammonia throughout the experiments  
208 | and, wWater quality was within normal range throughout and we did not detect any sign of water  
209 | quality degradation at any time, or of negative effects of water quality on the hosts or parasites.

210

211 *Experimental Infections*

212 21 days after the start of the hormone treatments, all fish were individually anaesthetised in  
213 0.02% MS222 and infected with two *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* each. We infected each guppy by  
214 removing a small piece of fin tissue or a scale carrying *G. turnbulli* from a euthanized infected  
215 donor guppy and placing it next to the recipient guppy's caudal fin until we saw, under a [Nikon](#)  
216 [SMZ800](#) dissecting ~~micro~~stereoscope ([Nikon Instruments, Melville, USA](#)), that two *G. turnbulli*  
217 had attached to the experimental fish. After infection, each guppy was allowed to recover from  
218 anaesthesia in its home chamber. We monitored *G. turnbulli* numbers on each live subject on  
219 days 6, 8 and 10 post infection, by anaesthetising the fish and counting the parasites using ~~the a~~  
220 ~~Nikon C-BD115~~ dissecting stereoscope (~~Nikon Instruments, Melville, USA~~) at 18x  
221 magnification. We used *G. turnbulli* from our laboratory population, which was initially obtained  
222 in 2009 from domestic guppies purchased from a commercial supplier in Montreal, QC, Canada.  
223 This *G. turnbulli* population has been maintained on domestic-origin host guppies, and therefore  
224 has not had any period of coevolution with the wild-origin guppy populations used in this study.

225

226 *Analysis*

227 To assess whether hormone treatment and guppy body size (SL) had an effect on *G. turnbulli*  
228 load on each count day, we fitted a generalised linear model (GLM) with a negative binomial  
229 distribution and a log link function using Tukey HSD for pairwise *post-hoc* comparisons. [To](#)  
230 [assess the general effect of hormone treatment on the growth trajectory of \*G. turnbulli\* we fitted a](#)  
231 [repeated measures GLM with a negative binomial distribution for Experiment 1, but not for. We](#)  
232 [were unable to perform this analysis for Experiment 2 because of the high parasite-induced](#)

233 | [mortality in the untreated control group. The repeated measures GLM was conducted in SPSS 22](#)  
234 | [\(IBM, New York, USA\), all remaining](#) analyses were conducted using the R Language and  
235 | Environment for Statistical Computing v 3.1.0 (R Development Core Team, 2014).  $\alpha$  was set at  
236 |  $p < 0.05$ . Data are archived in the Dryad repository (link to be added).

237

### 238 | *Experiment 1*

239 | To assess whether guppy resistance was influenced by the action of circulating gonadal steroids  
240 | we compared 15 untreated males to 14 males that had been treated simultaneously with flutamide  
241 | (an androgen receptor antagonist) and 17  $\beta$ -estradiol (an [synthetic](#) oestrogen) (Table S3). Guppy  
242 | body size and mass did not significantly differ between treatments (feminisation vs. untreated) at  
243 | the start of the experiment (SL:  $F_{1,27}=0.91$ ,  $p=0.35$ ; mass:  $F_{1,27}=0.23$ ,  $p=0.63$ ), nor at the start of  
244 | infection (i.e., 21 days after the start of hormone treatment; SL:  $F_{1,26}=0.14$ ,  $p=0.71$ ; mass:  
245 |  $F_{1,27}=0.01$ ,  $p=0.91$ ). Subjects were laboratory-reared F2 descendants from a Trinidadian  
246 | population experimentally translocated in 2009 (Travis *et al.*, 2014). ~~The ancestral population~~  
247 | ~~was translocated from a high predation site in the Guanapo river where *Gyrodactylus* spp. was~~  
248 | ~~present to a tributary stream (Lower Lalaja) where predation was low and *Gyrodactylus* was~~  
249 | ~~absent, and was collected from the latter location in 2013 (Travis *et al.*, 2014).~~

250

### 251 | *Experiment 2*

252 | To disentangle the relative roles of androgens and oestrogens, we ran a second experiment,  
253 | [repeating both treatments in Experiment 1 along](#) with two additional treatments: male  
254 | demasculinisation and untreated females, resulting in four total treatment groups (Table S4).  
255 | Males under demasculinisation were treated with flutamide only, allowing us to investigate male

256 parasite resistance when androgen receptor signalling is blocked. Different gonadal steroids can  
257 have contrasting effects on immune function: androgens generally have immunosuppressive  
258 effects, while oestrogens often promote disease resistance, although effects can vary (Klein,  
259 2000; 2004). We also tested untreated females to check for sex-biased parasite resistance in  
260 untreated guppies, as this is known to vary between populations (Dargent, 2015; Gotanda *et al.*,  
261 2013; Stephenson *et al.*, 2015).

262 ~~Subjects in Experiment 2 were laboratory reared guppies derived from wild caught fish~~  
263 ~~collected between 2010 and 2011 from the Aripo and Quare rivers in Trinidad from sites where~~  
264 ~~predation is high and *Gyrodactylus* spp. is present. These guppies were kept together as a mixed~~  
265 ~~origin population.~~ As is typical for guppies, the females were ~~of~~ larger SL than the males, both at  
266 the beginning of the experiment (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. SL: males=15.46  $\pm$  0.16, females= 17.97  $\pm$  0.3;  
267  $F_{3,65}=21.28$  ,  $p<0.001$ ) and at the time of infection (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. SL: males=15.56  $\pm$  0.14,  
268 females=18.57  $\pm$  0.28;  $F_{3,68}=36.99$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). ~~However, t~~ There was no significant SL difference  
269 ~~in SL~~ among the three male treatments at either time point (start of treatments:  $F_{2,47}=1.1$ ,  $p=0.34$ ;  
270 infection:  $F_{2,50}=0.72$ ,  $p=0.49$ ). A similar pattern was observed for body mass. Female guppies  
271 were heavier than males when they started receiving the hormone treatments (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.:  
272 males=0.09  $\pm$  0.003, females=0.13  $\pm$  0.006; ( $F_{3,65}=14.73$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and on the first day of  
273 infection (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.: males=0.08  $\pm$  0.003, females=0.14  $\pm$  0.006;  $F_{3,68}=31.17$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), but,  
274 mass did not differ between male treatments at the start of the ~~treatment experiment~~ ( $F_{2,47}=0.38$ ,  
275  $p=0.68$ ) nor on the day of infection ( $F_{2,50}=0.24$ ,  $p=0.79$ ). Males did not differ in SL between  
276 Experiment 1 and 2 (initial SL:  $F_{1,77}=0.42$ ,  $p=0.52$ ; infection day SL:  $F_{1,79}=0.004$ ,  $p=0.95$ ) but  
277 ~~males in Experiment 1 were lighter than those in Experiment 2~~ ~~did differ in mass~~ (initial mass:

278 |  $F_{1,77}=6.21$ ,  $p=0.01$ ; infection day mass:  $F_{1,80}=4.53$ ,  $p=0.04$ ); ~~);~~ males in Experiment 1 were  
279 | slightly lighter (Tables S1, S2).

280 | Post-infection mortality was high in Experiment 2 and so we used a Cox proportional  
281 | hazards model to determine whether hormone treatment and body size (SL) influenced guppy  
282 | survival up to 13 days post infection (i.e. three days after we had finished treating the guppies  
283 | with hormones~~the hormone treatments had finished~~). Standard length and its interaction with  
284 | hormone treatment had no significant effects on survival and thus were dropped from the model  
285 | by AIC step-wise model selection.

286

## 287 | RESULTS

### 288 | *Experiment 1*

289 | Guppies that underwent feminisation via treatment with flutamide and  $17\beta$ -estradiol had  
290 | significantly lower *G. turnbulli* loads than untreated guppies throughout the infection period  
291 | (repeated measures GLM effect of treatment:  $F_{1,77} = 4.94$ ,  $p < 0.029$ ), and specifically on both  
292 | Day 8 and Day 10 of infection (Table 1, Figure 1, Figure S5). We did not detect any significant  
293 | effect of SL or of its interaction with the hormone treatment on parasite load (Table 1). Mortality  
294 | following infection was low. Only 3 individuals had died (10%) by Day 10 of infection, all of  
295 | which were in the untreated group (Table S3). *G. turnbulli* populations on individual guppies  
296 | continued to grow through the duration of the experiment (Figure S5). We observed no obvious  
297 | pathological effects of treatment with flutamide and  $17\beta$ -estradiol in concert (feminization), and  
298 | this treatment significantly increased resistance to *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* on ~~in~~ all male-guppies.

299

### 300 | *Experiment 2*

301 Hormone treatment had a significant effect on parasite load at both Day 8 and Day 10 (Table 2,  
302 Figure 2, Figure S6). As in Experiment 1, males that underwent feminisation also had lower *G.*  
303 *turnbulli* loads on both Day 8 and Day 10 of infection compared to untreated males (Tables 2, 3,  
304 Figure 2), although this difference was only statistically significant on Day 10. Males that  
305 underwent the demasculisation treatment had significantly lower *G. turnbulli* loads compared to  
306 untreated males on Day 8 and 10 of infection (Tables 2, 3, Figure 2). As in Experiment 1, males  
307 that underwent feminisation also had lower *G. turnbulli* loads on both Day 8 and Day 10 of  
308 infection compared to untreated males (Tables 2, 3, Figure 2), although this difference was only  
309 statistically significant on Day 10. Parasite loads were not significantly different between those  
310 males that underwent demasculinisation and those that underwent feminisation at any time point,  
311 and both had lower loads than untreated females on Day 10 (Tables 2, 3, Figure 2). With few  
312 exceptions, *G. turnbulli* populations on individual guppies continued to grow for the duration of  
313 the experiment while their hosts remained alive, but growth trajectories differed with treatments  
314 (Figure S6). We observed no significant effects of SL or any interaction effects between body  
315 size and treatment on parasite load (Table 2). Contrary to previous studies on wild guppy  
316 populations (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013), we found no evidence that guppies from our Aripo/Quare  
317 mixed-origin laboratory-bred population were sexually dimorphic in *G. turnbulli* resistance  
318 (Table 3). In contrast to Experiment 1, guppy mortality after infection with *G. turnbulli* was high  
319 in the mixed Aripo/Quare population: 67% of all fish had died by the 13<sup>th</sup> day of infection (56%  
320 by the 10<sup>th</sup> day). This mortality was significantly higher in the untreated males than in either  
321 group of treated males (demasculinisation or feminisation) or the untreated females (Tables 4,  
322 S2).

323

## 324 DISCUSSION

325 We conducted two independent experiments with different populations of wild-origin guppies  
326 and found that ~~the action of~~ gonadal steroids affects the ability of male guppies to control  
327 infection by the ectoparasite *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. *G. turnbulli* populations on individual hosts  
328 increased over the experiment, but treatment with the androgen receptor antagonist flutamide  
329 (resulting in ‘demasculinised’ males) or a combination of flutamide and the oestrogen 17 $\beta$ -  
330 estradiol (resulting in ‘feminised’ males) resulted in reduced *G. turnbulli* loads compared to  
331 untreated males or females. These differences were not explained by differences in body size.  
332 Furthermore, males under both feminisation and demasculinisation treatments showed  
333 significantly greater survival compared to untreated males following infection in our second  
334 experiment. Variation in *G. turnbulli* population growth within treatments and between  
335 experiments is likely to be influenced by the autocorrelative nature of *Gyrodactylus* population  
336 growth (Ramírez *et al.*, 2012), yet the effects of gonadal steroid manipulation generated  
337 significantly different parasite loads between treatments in both experiments. Taken as a whole,  
338 these results suggest that androgens have an ~~immunosuppressive detrimental~~ effect ~~in the on~~  
339 guppy resistance to parasitism.

340 To our knowledge, only one previous study has experimentally assessed the role of  
341 gonadal steroids on *Gyrodactylus* resistance. Buchmann (1997) evaluated the effect of  
342 testosterone on female trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) resistance to *Gyrodactylus derjavini* and  
343 concluded that testosterone injections lead to higher parasite loads. However, the results of the  
344 Buchmann (1997) study could not distinguish between an ~~detrimental immunosuppressive~~ effect  
345 of testosterone on ~~the host~~ defence and the alternative hypothesis that testosterone has a direct  
346 positive effect on *Gyrodactylus* reproduction. Our results suggest that an ~~immunosuppressive~~

347 | detrimental effect of androgens on the host is more likely than a direct effect of testosterone on  
348 | *Gyrodactylus* reproduction. Our experimental fish received flutamide, which binds to androgen  
349 | receptors broadly inhibiting the host physiological response to multiple androgens in teleost  
350 | fishes (including both testosterone and 11-ketotestosterone; de Waal *et al.*, 2008; Jolly *et al.*,  
351 | 2006) without altering the circulating levels of these hormones (Jensen *et al.*, 2004).

352 | Oestrogens also may have immunomodulatory effects in teleost fishes (e.g. Cuesta *et al.*,  
353 | 2007; Watanuki *et al.*, 2002), but the degree to which they enhance or reduce host immunity  
354 | seems to be highly system and species-specific (Chaves-Pozo *et al.*, 2012). When we consider  
355 | the role of oestrogens on defence against *Gyrodactylus*, two lines of evidence suggest that it did  
356 | not have a major effect in the guppy. First, male guppies treated with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol  
357 | did not differ in resistance from male guppies treated with flutamide alone, suggesting that 17 $\beta$ -  
358 | estradiol did not have a substantial additional immunomodulatory effect on defence. Second,  
359 | untreated female guppies were not more resistant than males that underwent demasculinisation  
360 | and, in fact, they had higher parasite burdens on Day 10 of infection.

361 | Female guppies are larger than males and sexual dimorphism in body size is a common  
362 | explanation for sex-biased parasitism in vertebrates. The larger sex is expected to have higher  
363 | parasite loads since larger individuals have a wider area exposed to parasite attacks or a larger  
364 | resource base for the parasite population to grow (Zuk & McKean, 1996). However, we did not  
365 | detect a difference in parasite loads between untreated males and females, nor did body size  
366 | correlate with variation in resistance in either experiment. This finding might appear surprising,  
367 | given that field surveys (Gotanda *et al.*, 2013) and laboratory experiments (Dargent, 2015) report  
368 | sex differences in *Gyrodactylus* load in certain guppy populations, and in at least one instance  
369 | such a sex difference has been linked to size dimorphism (Cable & van Oosterhout, 2007).

370 However, sex-biased parasitism in guppies is not consistently male biased and appears to be  
371 influenced by ~~interactions with~~ predation regime. For example, Gotanda *et al.* (2013) reported  
372 higher *Gyrodactylus* spp. loads on females ~~than on~~ compared to males in natural streams where  
373 the risk of predation was high but the reverse pattern at sites where the risk of predation was low,  
374 suggesting that body size differences are not a comprehensive explanation for sex-biased parasite  
375 loads in guppies. Furthermore, experimentally translocated wild guppies have been shown to  
376 rapidly evolve resistance to *Gyrodactylus* in a sex-specific manner, leading to the loss of sexual  
377 dimorphism in resistance (Dargent, 2015). Thus, it is possible that the population we used for  
378 Experiment 2 is one that shows minimal sexual dimorphism in resistance to *Gyrodactylus*,  
379 although we did observe ~~considerably~~ higher mortality in untreated males than females. It is  
380 possible that the high mortality in the untreated male group, which considerably reduced our  
381 sample size, precluded our ability to detect an otherwise significant dimorphism in parasite  
382 loads. Nevertheless, our results clearly show that regardless of any initial sexual dimorphism in  
383 defence, interfering with androgen signalling augments resistance to *G. turnbulli* in male  
384 guppies.

385 The significantly higher mortality of untreated males compared to untreated females  
386 suggests that androgens may reduce guppy tolerance to *Gyrodactylus* (i.e. the ability of hosts to  
387 reduce the negative impacts of a given parasite load; Raberg *et al.* 2007). This line of reasoning  
388 is supported by the lower mortality of males that underwent both demasculinisation and  
389 feminisation compared to the untreated males. We did observe a difference in untreated male  
390 mortality between Experiments 1 and 2, possibly the result of population differences in subjects'  
391 susceptibility to *Gyrodactylus* induced mortality. Given that laboratory conditions were identical  
392 between the two experiments, the most likely cause for particular differences in mortality and

393 | parasite loads between Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 is the fish population of origin. However,  
394 | regardless of population origin, guppies that underwent hormone treatments (demasculinisation  
395 | or feminisation) experienced lower mortality during infection and carried lower parasite loads  
396 | than untreated males in both experiments.

397 |         The suppressive effect of the androgen system on guppy defence against the model  
398 | monogenean *G. turnbulli* suggests a trade-off between resistance to these ectoparasites and other  
399 | fitness-related traits of male guppies. On the one hand, female guppies typically prefer to mate  
400 | with males that show brighter carotenoid colouration (Houde & Endler, 1990) and more active  
401 | courtship (Kodric-Brown & Nicoletto, 2001), traits which have positive correlations with  
402 | circulating androgens (Baatrup & Junge, 2001; Bayley *et al.*, 2003), and thus higher levels of  
403 | circulating androgens would seem to increase male fitness. On the other hand, infections by  
404 | *Gyrodactylus* are known to decrease male carotenoid colouration and display rate, and  
405 | consequently decrease female preference for males with higher *Gyrodactylus* loads (Houde &  
406 | Torio, 1992; Kennedy *et al.*, 1987). Furthermore, *Gyrodactylus* infection may compromise  
407 | predator evasion, for example via increased morbidity and decreased swimming performance  
408 | (Cable *et al.*, 2002). Thus *Gyrodactylus* can decrease male guppy host fitness through the direct  
409 | effect of increased mortality and through the indirect effect of decreased mating opportunities,  
410 | which may counterbalance the fitness enhancing properties of their androgen hormones. A  
411 | further possibility is that increases in circulating androgens could promote carotenoid  
412 | accumulation, that in turn counterbalances the immunosuppressive effects of androgens (e.g.  
413 | Blas *et al.*, 2006; McGraw & Ardia, 2007). However, this possibility is unlikely here, given that  
414 | males with intact androgen levels had higher parasite burdens than those ~~which had been under~~  
415 | the feminisation and demasculinisation treatments.

416 In conclusion, a reduced response of androgen receptors to circulating androgens was  
417 found to lead to decreased parasite burdens and parasite-induced mortality. Future work should  
418 determine if androgens have a direct immunosuppressive effect or, alternatively, if androgen  
419 dependent changes in sexual traits and reproductive investment indirectly affects investment in  
420 immunity. Our findings are consistent with the idea that androgens modulate immune function  
421 but run contrary to the view that size determines parasite loads, and therefore help further the  
422 understanding of inter-individual variation in parasitism. The developmental and direct-current  
423 (circulating) effects of gonadal steroids on the immune system and resistance to infection, as  
424 well as their indirect effects on secondary sexual traits that affect fitness, are  
425 underappreciated~~often ignored~~ in studies addressing the ecology and evolution of vertebrate  
426 defence against parasites. Our results on a model host-parasite system strongly suggest that  
427 gonadal steroids should be considered in concert with morphological or behavioural differences  
428 when accounting for variation among individuals and between the sexes.

429

## 430 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

431 We thank HJ Pak, K White, D Uthayakumar and G Daggupati for laboratory assistance, [A](#)  
432 [Morrill and S Portalier for figure coding advice](#), and A Hendry for use of his aquatic housing  
433 systems (NSERC-RTI #148297). We thank D Reznick, C Ghalambor, E Ruell, D Fraser, and the  
434 FIBR team for supplying us with guppies used in Experiment 1.

435

## 436 FINANCIAL SUPPORT

437 We thank the Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science (FD); the Natural Sciences and  
438 Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) (GFF - #356373-07; SMR - #418342-2012  
439 and #429385-2012; ARR), Richard H. Tomlinson fund (ARR) and the Canada Foundation for  
440 Innovation (SMR - #29433). Research at the Ghalambor lab was supported by a NSF Faculty  
441 Early Career (DEB-0846175). The guppy introductions were funded by a United States NSF-  
442 Frontiers in Integrative Biological Research grant to D Reznick P.I. (EF-0623632).

443

## 444 ETHICAL STATEMENT

445 This study was carried out in accordance with the regulations of the McGill University Animal  
446 Care Committee (AUP #5759) and the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

447

448

449

450

451

## 452 REFERENCES

- 453 **Amo, L., López, P. and Martín, J.** (2005). Prevalence and intensity of haemogregarine blood  
454 parasites and their mite vectors in the common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis*.  
455 *Parasitology Research*, **96**, 378-381. doi: 10.1007/s00436-005-1354-2.
- 456 **Arnold, A. P.** (2009). The organizational–activational hypothesis as the foundation for a unified  
457 theory of sexual differentiation of all mammalian tissues. *Hormones and Behavior*, **55**,  
458 570-578. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.03.011>.
- 459 **Baatrup, E. and Junge, M.** (2001). Antiandrogenic pesticides disrupt sexual characteristics in  
460 the adult male guppy *Poecilia reticulata*. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **109**, 1063-  
461 1070.
- 462 **Bakke, T. A., Cable, J. and Harris, P. D.** (2007). The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans:  
463 The "Russian-doll killers". In *Advances in Parasitology, Vol 64*, Vol. 64 (eds. Baker, J.  
464 R., Mller, R., and Rollinson, D.), pp. 161-+. Elsevier Academic Press Inc, San Diego.
- 465 **Bayley, M., Junge, M. and Baatrup, E.** (2002). Exposure of juvenile guppies to three  
466 antiandrogens causes demasculinization and a reduced sperm count in adult males.  
467 *Aquatic Toxicology*, **56**, 227-239. doi: Pii S0166-445x(01)00210-7  
468 Doi 10.1016/S0166-445x(01)00210-7.
- 469 **Bayley, M., Larsen, P. F., Baekgaard, H. and Baatrup, E.** (2003). The effects of vinclozolin,  
470 an anti-androgenic fungicide, on male guppy secondary sex characters and reproductive  
471 success. *Biology of Reproduction*, **69**, 1951-1956. doi: DOI  
472 10.1095/biolreprod.103.017780.
- 473 **Bjerselius, R., Lundstedt-Enkel, K., Olsén, H., Mayer, I. and Dimberg, K.** (2001). Male  
474 goldfish reproductive behaviour and physiology are severely affected by exogenous

- 475 exposure to 17 $\beta$ -estradiol. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **53**, 139-152. doi:  
476 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X\(00\)00160-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(00)00160-0).
- 477 **Blas, J., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., Bortolotti, G. R., Viñuela, J. and Marchant, T. A.** (2006).  
478 Testosterone increases bioavailability of carotenoids: Insights into the honesty of sexual  
479 signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **103**, 18633-18637. doi:  
480 10.1073/pnas.0609189103.
- 481 **Buchmann, K.** (1997). Population increase of *Gyrodactylus derjavini* on rainbow trout induced  
482 by testosterone treatment of the host. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, **30**, 145-150. doi:  
483 Doi 10.3354/Dao030145.
- 484 **Cable, J., Scott, E. C., Tinsley, R. C. and Harris, P. D.** (2002). Behavior favoring transmission  
485 in the viviparous Monogenean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. *Journal of Parasitology*, **88**, 183-  
486 184. doi: doi:10.1645/0022-3395(2002)088[0183:BFTITV]2.0.CO;2.
- 487 **Cable, J. and van Oosterhout, C.** (2007). The impact of parasites on the life history evolution  
488 of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*): The effects of host size on parasite virulence.  
489 *International Journal for Parasitology*, **37**, 1449-1458. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.04.013.
- 490 **Chaves-Pozo, E., García-Ayala, A. and Cabas, I.** (2012). Sex steroids modulate fish immune  
491 response. In *Sex steroids* (ed. Kahn, S. M.), pp. 199-220. InTech.
- 492 **Cuesta, A., Vargas-Chacoff, L., García-López, A., Arjona, F. J., Martínez-Rodríguez, G.,**  
493 **Meseguer, J., Mancera, J. M. and Esteban, M. A.** (2007). Effect of sex-steroid  
494 hormones, testosterone and estradiol, on humoral immune parameters of gilthead  
495 seabream. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, **23**, 693-700. doi:  
496 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2007.01.015>.

- 497 **Dargent, F.** (2015). The wild side: Assessing evolutionary ecology of defence against parasites  
498 in nature. PhD thesis. pp. 223. McGill University, Montreal.
- 499 **Dargent, F., Scott, M. E., Hendry, A. P. and Fussmann, G. F.** (2013a). Experimental  
500 elimination of parasites in nature leads to the evolution of increased resistance in hosts.  
501 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**. doi:  
502 10.1098/rspb.2013.2371.
- 503 **Dargent, F., Torres-Dowdall, J., Scott, M. E., Ramnarine, I. and Fussmann, G. F.** (2013b).  
504 Can mixed-species groups reduce individual parasite load? A field test with two closely  
505 related poeciliid fishes *Poecilia reticulata* and *Poecilia picta*. *Plos One*, **8**, e56789. doi:  
506 10.1371/journal.pone.0056789.
- 507 **de Waal, P. P., Wang, D. S., Nijenhuis, W. A., Schulz, R. W. and Bogerd, J.** (2008).  
508 Functional characterization and expression analysis of the androgen receptor in zebrafish  
509 (*Danio rerio*) testis. *Reproduction*, **136**, 225-234. doi: 10.1530/rep-08-0055.
- 510 **Feder, H. H.** (1985). Peripheral Plasma Levels of Gonadal Steroids in Adult Male and Adult,  
511 Nonpregnant Female Mammals. In *Reproduction*, Vol. 7 (eds. Adler, N., Pfaff, D., and  
512 Goy, R.), pp. 299-370. Springer US.
- 513 **Fitzpatrick, S. W., Torres-Dowdall, J., Reznick, D. N., Ghalambor, C. K. and Funk, W. C.**  
514 (2014). Parallelism isn't perfect: Could disease and flooding drive a life-history anomaly  
515 in trinidadian guppies? *The American Naturalist*, **183**, 290-300. doi: 10.1086/674611.
- 516 **Forbes, M. R.** (2007). On sex differences in optimal immunity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,  
517 **22**, 111-113. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.12.004>.
- 518 **Gotanda, K. M., Delaire, L. C., Raeymaekers, J. A. M., Pérez-Jvostov, F., Dargent, F.,**  
519 **Bentzen, P., Scott, M. E., Fussmann, G. F. and Hendry, A. P.** (2013). Adding parasites

- 520 to the guppy-predation story: insights from field surveys. *Oecologia*, **172**, 155-166. doi:  
521 10.1007/s00442-012-2485-7.
- 522 **Grossman, C.** (1989). Possible underlying mechanisms of sexual dimorphism in the immune  
523 response, fact and hypothesis. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry*, **34**, 241-251. doi:  
524 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4731\(89\)90088-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4731(89)90088-5).
- 525 **Guégan, J.-F., Lambert, A., Lévêque, C., Combes, C. and Euzet, L.** (1992). Can host body  
526 size explain the parasite species richness in tropical freshwater fishes? *Oecologia*, **90**,  
527 197-204. doi: 10.1007/BF00317176.
- 528 **Hamilton, W.** (1982). Pathogens as causes of genetic diversity in their host populations. In  
529 *Population biology of infectious diseases* (eds. Anderson, R. M., and May, R. M.), pp.  
530 269-296. Springer.
- 531 **Hamilton, W. D. and Zuk, M.** (1982). Heritable true fitness and bright birds - a role for  
532 parasites. *Science*, **218**, 384-387. doi: 10.1126/science.7123238.
- 533 **Harris, P. D. and Lyles, A. M.** (1992). Infections of *Gyrodactylus bullatarudis* and  
534 *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* on guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) in Trinidad. *Journal of*  
535 *Parasitology*, **78**, 912-914. doi: 10.2307/3283329.
- 536 **Houde, A. E. and Endler, J. A.** (1990). Correlated Evolution of Female Mating Preferences and  
537 Male Color Patterns in the Guppy *Poecilia-Reticulata*. *Science*, **248**, 1405-1408. doi: DOI  
538 10.1126/science.248.4961.1405.
- 539 **Houde, A. E. and Torio, A. J.** (1992). Effect of parasitic infection on male color pattern and  
540 female choice in guppies. *Behavioral Ecology*, **3**, 346-351. doi: 10.1093/beheco/3.4.346.
- 541 **Jensen, K. M., Kahl, M. D., Makynen, E. A., Korte, J. J., Leino, R. L., Butterworth, B. C.**  
542 **and Ankley, G. T.** (2004). Characterization of responses to the antiandrogen flutamide in

- 543 a short-term reproduction assay with the fathead minnow. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **70**, 99-  
544 110. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2004.06.012>.
- 545 **Jolly, C., Katsiadaki, I., Le Belle, N., Mayer, I. and Dufour, S.** (2006). Development of a  
546 stickleback kidney cell culture assay for the screening of androgenic and anti-androgenic  
547 endocrine disrupters. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **79**, 158-166. doi:  
548 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.06.005>.
- 549 **Kennedy, C. E. J., Endler, J. A., Poynton, S. L. and McMinn, H.** (1987). Parasite load  
550 predicts mate choice in guppies. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **21**, 291-295. doi:  
551 10.1007/bf00299966.
- 552 **Kime, D. E. and Manning, N. J.** (1982). Seasonal patterns of free and conjugated androgens in  
553 the brown trout *Salmo trutta*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, **48**, 222-231. doi:  
554 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480\(82\)90020-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(82)90020-X).
- 555 **Kinnberg, K. and Toft, G.** (2003). Effects of estrogenic and antiandrogenic compounds on the  
556 testis structure of the adult guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental*  
557 *Safety*, **54**, 16-24. doi: Pii S0147-6513(02)00010-6  
558 Doi 10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00010-6.
- 559 **Klein, S. L.** (2000). Hormones and mating system affect sex and species differences in immune  
560 function among vertebrates. *Behavioural Processes*, **51**, 149-166. doi:  
561 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0376-6357\(00\)00125-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0376-6357(00)00125-X).
- 562 **Klein, S. L.** (2004). Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating sex differences in  
563 parasite infection. *Parasite Immunology*, **26**, 247-264. doi: 10.1111/j.0141-  
564 9838.2004.00710.x.

- 565 **Kodric-Brown, A. and Nicoletto, P.** (2001). Female choice in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*):  
566 the interaction between male color and display. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,  
567 **50**, 346-351. doi: 10.1007/s002650100374.
- 568 **Kolluru, G. R., Grether, G. F., South, S. H., Dunlop, E., Cardinali, A., Liu, L. and**  
569 **Carapiet, A.** (2006). The effects of carotenoid and food availability on resistance to a  
570 naturally occurring parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*).  
571 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **89**, 301-309. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-  
572 8312.2006.00675.x.
- 573 **Krasnov, B., Morand, S., Hawlena, H., Khokhlova, I. and Shenbrot, G.** (2005). Sex-biased  
574 parasitism, seasonality and sexual size dimorphism in desert rodents. *Oecologia*, **146**,  
575 209-217. doi: 10.1007/s00442-005-0189-y.
- 576 **Krasnov, B. R., Bordes, F., Khokhlova, I. S. and Morand, S.** (2012). Gender-biased  
577 parasitism in small mammals: patterns, mechanisms, consequences. *Mammalia*, **76**, 1-13.  
578 doi: 10.1515/mammalia-2011-0108.
- 579 **Lafferty, K. D., Allesina, S., Arim, M., Briggs, C. J., De Leo, G., Dobson, A. P., Dunne, J.**  
580 **A., Johnson, P. T. J., Kuris, A. M., Marcogliese, D. J., Martinez, N. D., Memmott, J.,**  
581 **Marquet, P. A., McLaughlin, J. P., Mordecai, E. A., Pascual, M., Poulin, R. and**  
582 **Thieltges, D. W.** (2008). Parasites in food webs: the ultimate missing links. *Ecology*  
583 *Letters*, **11**, 533-546. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01174.x.
- 584 **Lazzaro, B. P. and Little, T. J.** (2009). *Immunity in a variable world*.
- 585 **Magurran, A. E.** (2005). *Evolutionary ecology: The Trinidadian guppy*, Oxford University  
586 Press, New York.

- 587 **McGraw, K. J. and Ardia, D. R.** (2007). *Do carotenoids buffer testosterone-induced*  
588 *immunosuppression? An experimental test in a colourful songbird.*
- 589 **Minchella, D. J. and Scott, M. E.** (1991). Parasitism: A cryptic determinant of animal  
590 community structure. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **6**, 250-254. doi: 10.1016/0169-  
591 5347(91)90071-5.
- 592 **Nunn, C. L., Lindenfors, P., Pursall, E. R. and Rolff, J.** (2009). On sexual dimorphism in  
593 immune function. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*  
594 *Sciences*, **364**, 61-69. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0148.
- 595 **Perez-Jvostov, F., Hendry, A. P., Fussmann, G. F. and Scott, M. E.** (2012). Are host-parasite  
596 interactions influenced by adaptation to predators? A test with guppies and *Gyrodactylus*  
597 in experimental stream channels. *Oecologia*, **170**, 77-88. doi: 10.1007/s00442-012-2289-  
598 9.
- 599 **Pérez-Jvostov, F., Hendry, A. P., Fussmann, G. F. and Scott, M. E.** (2015). Testing for local  
600 host–parasite adaptation: an experiment with *Gyrodactylus* ectoparasites and guppy hosts.  
601 *International Journal for Parasitology*. doi:  
602 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2015.01.010>.
- 603 **Pickering, A. D. and Christie, P.** (1980). Sexual differences in the incidence and severity of  
604 ectoparasitic infestation of the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **16**,  
605 669-683. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1980.tb03746.x.
- 606 **Poulin, R. and Rohde, K.** (1997). Comparing the richness of metazoan ectoparasite  
607 communities of marine fishes: Controlling for host phylogeny. *Oecologia*, **110**, 278-283.  
608 doi: 10.1007/s004420050160.
- 609 **Price, P. W.** (1980). *Evolutionary biology of parasites*, Princeton University Press.

- 610 **R Development Core Team** (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.  
611 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 612 **Raberg, L., Sim, D. and Read, A. F.** (2007). Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and  
613 tolerance to infectious diseases in animals. *Science*, **318**, 812-814. doi:  
614 10.1126/science.1148526.
- 615 **Ramírez, R., Harris, P. D. and Bakke, T. A.** (2012). An agent-based modelling approach to  
616 estimate error in gyrodactylid population growth. *International Journal for Parasitology*,  
617 **42**, 809-817. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.05.012>.
- 618 **Schmid-Hempel, P.** (2011). *Evolutionary parasitology : the integrated study of infections,*  
619 *immunology, ecology, and genetics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York.
- 620 **Scott, M. E. and Anderson, R. M.** (1984). The population-dynamics of *Gyrodactylus*  
621 *bullatarudis* (Monogenea) within laboratory populations of the fish host *Poecilia*  
622 *reticulata*. *Parasitology*, **89**, 159-194.
- 623 **Sheldon, B. C. and Verhulst, S.** (1996). Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and  
624 trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **11**, 317-321. doi:  
625 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(96\)10039-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(96)10039-2).
- 626 **Stephenson, J. F., van Oosterhout, C., Mohammed, R. S. and Cable, J.** (2015). Parasites of  
627 Trinidadian guppies: evidence for sex- and age-specific trait-mediated indirect effects of  
628 predators. *Ecology*, **96**, 489-498. doi: 10.1890/14-0495.1.
- 629 **Tadiri, C. P., Dargent, F. and Scott, M. E.** (2013). Relative host body condition and food  
630 availability influence epidemic dynamics: a *Poecilia reticulata*-*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*  
631 host-parasite model. *Parasitology*, **140**, 343-351. doi: doi:10.1017/S0031182012001667.

- 632 **Tinsley, R. C.** (1989). The effects of host sex on transmission success. *Parasitology Today*, **5**,  
633 190-195. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758\(89\)90144-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(89)90144-0).
- 634 **Travis, J., Reznick, D., Bassar, R. D., López-Sepulcre, A., Ferriere, R. and Coulson, T.**  
635 (2014). Chapter one - Do eco-evo feedbacks help us understand nature? Answers from  
636 studies of the trinidadian guppy. In *Advances in Ecological Research*, Vol. Volume 50  
637 (eds. Jordi Moya-Laraño, J. R., and Guy, W.), pp. 1-40. Academic Press.
- 638 **van Oosterhout, C., Mohammed, R. S., Hansen, H., Archard, G. A., McMullan, M., Weese,**  
639 **D. J. and Cable, J.** (2007a). Selection by parasites in spate conditions in wild  
640 Trinidadian guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *International Journal for Parasitology*, **37**,  
641 805-812. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.12.016.
- 642 **Van Oosterhout, C., Smith, A. M., Haenfling, B., Ramnarine, I. W., Mohammed, R. S. and**  
643 **Cable, J.** (2007b). The guppy as a conservation model: implications of parasitism and  
644 inbreeding for reintroduction success. *Conserv. Biol.*, **21**, 1573-1583.
- 645 **Wallen, K. and Baum, M. J.** (2002). Masculinization and defeminization in altricial and  
646 precocial mammals: comparative aspects of steroid hormone action. *Hormones, brain*  
647 *and behavior*, **4**, 385-423.
- 648 **Watanuki, H., Yamaguchi, T. and Sakai, M.** (2002). Suppression in function of phagocytic  
649 cells in common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. injected with estradiol, progesterone or 11-  
650 ketotestosterone. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology &*  
651 *Pharmacology*, **132**, 407-413. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0456\(02\)00100-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0456(02)00100-X).
- 652 **Zuk, M.** (1990). Reproductive strategies and disease susceptibility: an evolutionary viewpoint.  
653 *Parasitology Today*, **6**, 231-233. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758\(90\)90202-F](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(90)90202-F).

654 **Zuk, M. and McKean, K. A.** (1996). Sex differences in parasite infections: Patterns and  
655 processes. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **26**, 1009-1023. doi: 10.1016/s0020-  
656 7519(96)00086-0.

657

For Peer Review

658 Legends to figures

659

660 **Figure 1:** Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load per host for untreated male guppies (dashed  
661 | line) or males treated with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation - solid line) by day of  
662 | infection (Experiment 1).

663

664 **Figure 2:** Mean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load per host in male guppies treated with flutamide  
665 (demasculinisation), with flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (feminisation), and in untreated males and  
666 females, compared across days after infection (Experiment 2). Points are slightly offset on the x  
667 axis to reduce overlap.

668

669

670 Tables

671 **Table 1:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load is significantly higher in untreated male guppies

672 compared to males under feminisation on Day 8 and 10 of infection (Experiment 1).

673

	Day 6 <sup>a</sup>	Day 8 <sup>b</sup>	Day 10 <sup>c</sup>
Hormone treatment	3	5.49*	5.01*
SL	2.73	2.44	1.18
Treatment:SL	0.83	0.35	0.12

674 <sup>a</sup> n=29; <sup>b</sup> n=28; <sup>c</sup> n=26.

675 Generalized linear model results for *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load (integer variable with a negative

676 binomial distribution) on guppies for days 6, 8 and 10 of infection, with treatment (feminisation

677 vs. untreated males) as factor and guppy standard length (SL) as a covariate. F-values reported,

678 significant differences in bold (\*=p<0.05).

679

680 **Table 2:** *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* parasite load varies with sex and hormone treatment on Day 8  
 681 and 10 of infection (Experiment 2).

	Day 6 <sup>a</sup>	Day 8 <sup>b</sup>	Day 10 <sup>c</sup>
Hormone treatment	2.5	3.26*	11.25***
SL	0.81	0.26	0.29
Treatment:SL	0.49	0.24	2.68

682 <sup>a</sup> n=72; <sup>b</sup> n=62; <sup>c</sup> n=40.

683 Generalized linear model results for *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load (integer variable with a negative  
 684 binomial distribution) on guppies for days 6, 8 and 10 of infection, with treatment (untreated  
 685 males, untreated females, males under demasculinisation, and males under feminisation) as  
 686 factor and guppy standard length (SL) as a covariate. F-values reported, significant variables in  
 687 | bold (\*=p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*=p<0.001).

688

689

690 **Table 3:** *Post-hoc* pairwise comparisons of *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* load by treatment

691 (Experiment 2)

Treatment pair	Day 8		Day 10	
	diff.	adj. p	diff.	adj. p
UF-UM	-19.25	0.24	-80.15	0.1
FeM-UM	-18.36	0.27	-127.83	<0.01
DeM-UM	-33.05	0.01	-150.15	<0.001
FeM-UF	0.88	0.99	-47.68	0.05
DeM-UF	-13.81	0.44	-70	<0.01
DeM-FeM	-14.69	0.37	-22.32	0.60

692 Tukey HSD *post-hoc* pairwise comparison among treatments for guppies in Experiment 2. UM:

693 untreated males, UF: untreated females, DeM: males under demasculinisation, and FeM: males

694 under feminisation. A negative difference indicates that the second group in a treatment pair had

695 a higher parasite load than the first treatment.

696

697

698 **Table 4:** Guppy survival by treatment post-infection with *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*.

Coefficient	Estimate	SEM	Z-value	P ( $> z $ )
Untreated females	-2	0.47	-4.3	<0.001
Feminisation males	-1.16	0.37	-3.1	0.002
Demasculinisation males	-1.48	0.4	-3.67	<0.001

699 Cox proportional hazards results for survival until Day 13 after infection, “day of mortality” as a  
 700 response variable, and “treatment” as explanatory variable. Values are for individuals of a given  
 701 treatment compared to the untreated males.

702

703