



LJMU Research Online

Whitaker, G and Rae, R

The gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* does not affect non-target freshwater snails *Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Bithynia tentaculata* and *Planorbarius corneus*

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2903/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Whitaker, G and Rae, R (2015) The gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* does not affect non-target freshwater snails *Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Bithynia tentaculata* and *Planorbarius corneus*. *NEMATOLOGY*. 17 (6). pp. 679-683. ISSN 1388-5545

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

The gastropod parasitic nematode
***Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* does not affect**
non-target freshwater snails *Lymnaea stagnalis*,
***Bithynia tentaculata* and *Planorbarius corneus*.**

Whitaker, G. and Rae, R.*

Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology,
Byrom St., Liverpool, L33AF, UK.

* Corresponding author: e-mail: r.g.rae@ljmu.ac.uk

Tel: 01512312486

19 **Abstract**

20

21 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* is a lethal parasite of several slug and
22 snail species that has been formulated into a biological control agent. However,
23 the complete host range of this nematode is poorly understood, in particular its
24 potential to affect non-target aquatic snail species. Here we exposed three
25 species of juvenile and adult freshwater snail (*Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Planorbium*
26 *corneus* and *Bithynia tentaculata*) to 30 and 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm² and
27 assessed survival, as well as differences in weight for 66 days. We show that *P.*
28 *hermaphrodita* has no effect on the survival of *L. stagnalis*, *P. corneus* and *B.*
29 *tentaculata* after 66 days of exposure. In summary, we found little evidence of *P.*
30 *hermaphrodita* causing mortality to three freshwater snail species at two
31 different life stages and believe that *P. hermaphrodita* would have little effect on
32 non-target snail species in the wild.

33

34 **Keywords**

35

36 Slugs, aquatic snails, parasites, non-target organisms.

37

38

39

40

41

42 The gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* is a
43 lethal parasite of several pest slugs and snails including *Deroceras reticulatum*

44 and *Arion ater* (Wilson et al., 1993; 2000) and has been formulated into a
45 biological control agent (Nemaslug®) for farmers and gardeners in Northern
46 Europe available from BASF-Becker Underwood (Rae et al., 2007). Once applied
47 nematodes seek out slugs and snails, responding to mucus and faeces, then
48 penetrate through the mantle and kill the host in between 4 and 21 days (Rae et
49 al., 2006; 2009a; Wilson et al., 1993; Tan and Grewal, 2001). *P. hermaphrodita*
50 has been used to protect many crops from slug damage including Chinese
51 cabbage (Rae et al., 2009b), winter wheat (Wilson et al., 1994) and oilseed rape
52 (Wilson et al., 1995).

53 The complete host range of *P. hermaphrodita* is poorly understood and
54 many slug and snail species have never been tested for their susceptibility
55 towards this nematode. One group of molluscs that have been neglected are
56 freshwater snails. There are only two studies that have focused on investigating
57 the effects of *P. hermaphrodita* on aquatic snails, which showed that under lab
58 conditions *P. hermaphrodita* can kill the non-target snail *Lymnaea stagnalis* but
59 not *Physa fontalis* (Wilson et al., 1993; Morley and Morrill, 2006). Here we
60 decided to investigate whether *P. hermaphrodita* could kill three common non-
61 target species of freshwater snail including the Great Pond snail (*L. stagnalis*),
62 the Great Ram's-horn snail (*Planorbis corneus*) and *Bithynia tentaculata*,
63 which are common, widely distributed snails which live in slow moving and
64 large ponds (Beedham, 1972). We also decided to examine whether the
65 susceptibility of snails to *P. hermaphrodita* could be due to differences in size as
66 previously it has been shown that *P. hermaphrodita* can kill juveniles of the snail
67 *Helix aspersa*, and the slugs *A. ater* and *A. lusitanicus* but adults remain resistant
68 (Glen et al., 1996; Grimm, 2002).

69

70 **Materials and Methods**

71

72 **Source of invertebrates**

73

74 *P. hermaphrodita* was purchased from BASF-Becker Underwood and was
75 stored at 10°C prior to use. Freshwater snails (*L. stagnalis*, *B. tentaculata* and *P.*
76 *corneus*) were supplied by Sciento, U.K. and collected from ponds at Calderstones
77 Park, Liverpool. Snails were kept in fresh water at 10°C prior to use.

78

79 **Infection assay with freshwater snails exposed to *P. hermaphrodita***

80

81 *P. hermaphrodita* were mixed with tap water and numbers of nematodes per 100 µl
82 were quantified. Non-airtight plastic boxes (10 x 9 x 6 cm) were filled with 120 ml of
83 fresh water. Evaporation of water was monitored by weighing boxes every 5 days
84 and adding fresh pond water if necessary to maintain approximately the same
85 volume throughout the experiment. To three boxes the recommended rate of *P.*
86 *hermaphrodita* was applied (30 nematodes per cm²) and to another three boxes five
87 times the recommended rate was applied (150 per cm²). Three boxes received no
88 nematodes and acted as the controls. For the first experiment, ten juvenile *L.*
89 *stagnalis* (mean weight = 0.48 ± 0.03 g, n = 90) were added to each box. To
90 investigate the difference in weight of snails when infected with *P. hermaphrodita*
91 we also exposed adult *L. stagnalis* to a high dose of 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm²
92 (mean weight = 4.03 ± 0.13 g, n = 60). This experimental set up was also repeated for

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

93 both sizes of *P. corneus* (juvenile mean weight = 0.118 ± 0.004 , n = 90; adult mean
94 weight = 2.14 ± 0.12 , n = 60) and only one size of *B. tentaculata* (mean weight =
95 0.302 ± 0.006 , n = 90) was exposed to 0, 30 and 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm². All
96 species of snails were weighed before and after the experiment to determine if the
97 nematode caused any effect on weight gain and food consumption which has been
98 documented in other molluscan species (Glen et al., 2000). Snails were provided
99 with food including pond weed and cabbage *ad libitum*.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

100 Survival was monitored every 3-4 days for 66 days. Any dead snails were
101 dissected to examine nematode penetrance.

102

103 **Data analysis**

104

105 Survival of snails was analysed using the log rank test carried out in
106 OASIS (Yang et al., 2011) and the weight of snails before and after nematode
107 treatment was compared using a Student t-test.

108

109 **Results**

110

111 **The effect of *P. hermaphrodita* on the survival of juvenile and adult *L.***
112 ***stagnalis*, *P. corneus* and *B. tentaculata*.**

113

114

115 *P. hermaphrodita* applied at both 30 and 150 nematodes per cm² had no
116 significant effect on the survival of juvenile or adult *L. stagnalis* after 66 days
117 exposure ($P > 0.05$) (Fig 1a, b). Similarly, *P. hermaphrodita* had no effect on the

118 survival of both juvenile and adult *P. corneus* at both doses (30 and 150
119 nematodes per cm²) ($P>0.05$) (Fig 2a, b). Also adult *B. tentaculata* were resistant
120 to both doses of *P. hermaphrodita* as there were no significant differences in
121 survival over 66 days ($P>0.05$) (Fig 3). Therefore, *P. hermaphrodita* had no effect
122 on the survival of three species of aquatic snails when applied at two different
123 doses for 66 days.

124

125 **The effect of *P. hermaphrodita* on the weight of juvenile and adult *P.*** 126 ***corneus* and adult *B. tentaculata***

127

128 There was no significant difference between the weight of juvenile or
129 adult *P. corneus* on day 0 and day 66 when exposed to no nematodes, 30 and 150
130 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm² ($P>0.05$) (Fig 4a, b). However, there was a significant
131 difference between the weight of *B. tentaculata* on day 0 and day 66 ($P<0.001$)
132 (Fig 4c), but this was the case for the untreated and both doses of *P.*
133 *hermaphrodita*, hence these snails lost weight in general throughout the
134 experiment regardless of treatment. Therefore, *P. hermaphrodita* has no effect on
135 the weight gain of aquatic snails.

136

137 **Discussion**

138

139 Previous studies have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* may affect non-target
140 aquatic molluscs including *L. stagnalis* (Wilson et al., 1993; Morley and Morritt,
141 2006). However, in our studies we have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* is unable
142 to kill a selection of non-target freshwater snails including *L. stagnalis*, *B.*

143 *tentaculata* and *P. corneus* at two different doses of *P. hermaphrodita* (30 and
144 150 nematodes per cm²) after 66 days exposure. Ultimately, this study shows
145 that *P. hermaphrodita* poses little risk to non-target fresh water snails.

146 The host range of *P. hermaphrodita* is best characterized in terrestrial slugs and
147 snails. Pestiferous slugs such as *D. reticulatum* and *D. panormitanum* are highly
148 susceptible to *P. hermaphrodita* (Wilson et al., 1993) but other species such as
149 *Limax maximus* and *L. pseudoflavus* (Rae et al., 2008; Grewal et al., 2003) are
150 resistant. Resistance in other species is dependent on size as adult *A. lusitanicus*
151 and *A. ater* are resistant to *P. hermaphrodita* but juveniles are susceptible (Glen
152 et al., 1996; Grimm, 2002). Similarly, in terrestrial snails, some species of
153 terrestrial snails are resistant to *P. hermaphrodita* including *Cepaea nermoralis*,
154 *Oxychilus helveticus*, *Pnentina ponentina*, *Discus rotundatus* and *Clausilia*
155 *bidentata* (Wilson et al., 2000; Coupland, 1995; Iglesias et al., 2003). It is
156 unknown why there are these differences in susceptibility to *P. hermaphrodita*
157 but some terrestrial snails, such as the Giant African snail (*Achatina fulica*) have
158 the ability to encapsulate and kill invading nematodes in their shell (Williams
159 and Rae, 2015), which has also been shown in slugs (Rae et al., 2008). However,
160 upon dissection of dead snails no encapsulated nematodes were observed so
161 perhaps this defensive ability is only in terrestrial molluscs. Similarly, we rarely
162 found *P. hermaphrodita* inside the snails, but this is not uncommon when *P.*
163 *hermaphrodita* is exposed to other snails e.g. *H. aspersa* (Rae et al., 2009a). Either
164 it is harder for *P. hermaphrodita* to penetrate into snails than slugs or our
165 experimental assay is suppressive to nematode infection. However, this seems
166 unlikely as two studies (Morley and Morrirt, 2006 and Wilson et al., 1993)
167 showed that *P. hermaphrodita* can kill *L. stagnalis* under similar conditions. One

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

168 important factor maybe the way snails were reared. Morley and Morritt (2006)
169 showed that laboratory reared *L. stagnalis* were susceptible to *P. hermaphrodita*
170 In our study we collected snails from the wild, which have been exposed to an
171 array of naturally occurring parasites and may potential have a stronger immune
172 system and are able to cope with *P. hermaphrodita*. Perhaps laboratory reared *L.*
173 *stagnalis* used in Morley and Morritt (2006) may potentially have unchallenged
174 and impaired immune systems, which made them more susceptible to *P.*
175 *hermaphrodita*?

176 In conclusion we have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* has little
177 pathogenicity towards wild caught freshwater snails and therefore poses little
178 threat to non-target aquatic snails.

179

180 **Acknowledgements**

181

182 We are very grateful to Beverly McGrath for maintenance of snails.

183

184

185 **References**

186

187 Beedham, G.E. (1972). Identification of the British Mollusca. Hulton Group Keys.

188 Hulton Educational Publications Ltd, Bath, UK.

189

190 Coupland, J.B. (1995). Susceptibility of helicid snails to isolates of the nematode

191 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* from southern France. *Journal of Invertebrate*

192 *Pathology*. 66, 207-208.

193

194 Glen, D.M., Wilson, M.J., Hughes L., Cargeeg, P. & Hajjar, A. (1996). Exploring and

195 exploiting the potential of the rhabditid nematode *Phasmarhabditis*

196 *hermaphrodita* as a biocontrol agent for slugs. In: Slugs and Snails: Agricultural,

197 Veterinary and Environmental Perspectives, BCPC Symposium Proceeding, No

198 66, ed. By Henderson, I.F., British Crop Protection Council, Alton, Hants, UK, 271-

199 280.

200

201 Glen, D.M., Wilson, M.J., Brain, P. & Stroud, G. (2000). Feeding activity and

202 survival of slugs *Deroceras reticulatum*, exposed to the rhabditid nematode,

203 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*, a model of dose response. *Biological Control* 17,

204 73-81.

205

206 Grewal, S.K., Grewal, P.S. & Hammond R.B. (2003). Susceptibility of North

207 American native and non-native slugs (Mollusca: Gastropoda) to

208 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda: Rhabditidae). *Biocontrol, Science*
209 *and Technology* 13, 119-125.

210 Grimm, B. (2002). Effect of the nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on
211 young stages of the pest slug *Arion lusitanicus*. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 68,
212 25-28.

213

214 Iglesias, J., Castillejo, J. & Castro, R. (2003). The effects of repeated applications of
215 the molluscicide metaldehyde and the biocontrol nematode *Phasmarhabditis*
216 *hermaphrodita* on molluscs, earthworms, nematodes, acarids and collembolans:
217 a two year study in North West Spain. *Pest Management Science* 59, 1217-1224.

218

219 Morley, N.J. & Morritt, D. (2006). The effects of the slug biocontrol agent,
220 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda), on non-target aquatic molluscs.
221 *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 92, 112-114.

222

223 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2006). The chemotactic response of
224 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) to cues of *Deroceras*
225 *reticulatum* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Nematology* 8, 197-200.

226

227 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2007). Biological control of terrestrial
228 molluscs using *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*- progress and prospects. *Pest*
229 *Management Science* 63, 1153-1164.

230

231 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2008). Susceptibility and immune
232 response of *Deroceras reticulatum*, *Milax gagates* and *Limax pseudoflavus*

233 exposed to the slug parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Journal*
234 *of Invertebrate Pathology* 97, 61-69.

235

236 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2009a). Chemoattraction and host
237 preference of the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*.
238 *Journal of Parasitology* 95, 517-526.

239

240 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2009b). Optimization of biological
241 (*Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*) and chemical (iron phosphate and
242 metaldehyde) slug control. *Crop Protection* 28, 765-773.

243

244 Williams, A.J. & Rae, R. [2015](#). Susceptibility of the Giant African Snail (*Achatina*
245 *fulica*) exposed to the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis*
246 *hermaphrodita*. [Submitted Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, in press.](#)

247

248 Wilson, M.J., Glen, D.M. & Pearce, J.D. (1993). Biological control of molluscs.
249 World Intellectual Property Organisation, International Patent Publication
250 Number WO 93/00816.

251

252 Wilson, M.J., Glen, D.M., George, S.K., Pearce, J.D. & Wiltshire, C.W. (1994).
253 Biological control of slugs in winter wheat using the rhabditid nematode
254 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Annals of Applied Biology* 125, 377-390.

255

256 Wilson, M.J., Hughes, L.A. & Glen, D.M. (1995). Developing strategies for the
257 nematode, *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*, as a biological control agent of slugs

258 in Integrated Crop Management Systems. Integrated Crop Protection: Towards
259 Sustainability? British Crop Protection Council (BCPC), 33-40.
260
261 Wilson, M.J., Hughes, L.A., Hamacher, G.M. & Glen, D.M. (2000). Effects of
262 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on non-target molluscs. *Pest Management*
263 *Science* 56, 711-716.
264
265 Yang, J-S., Nam H-J, Seo, M., Han, S.K., Choi, Y., Nam, H.G., Lee, S.J. & Kim, S. (2011).
266 OASIS: online application for the survival analysis assays performed in aging
267 research. *PLoS ONE* 6, e23525