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ABSTRACT

Objective: To accurately define venous thromboembolism (VTE) in the routinely collected Swedish health registers and quantify its incidence in and around pregnancy.

Study design: Cohort study using data from the Swedish Medical Birth Registry (MBR) linked to the National Patient Registry (NPR) and the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register (PDR).

Setting: Secondary care centres, Sweden.

Participant: 509 198 women aged 15–44 years who had one or more pregnancies resulting in a live birth or stillbirth between 2005 and 2011.

Main outcome measure: To estimate the incidence rate (IR) of VTE in and around pregnancy using various VTE definitions allowing direct comparison with other countries.

Results: The rate of VTE varied based on the VTE definition. We found that 43% of cases first recorded as outpatient were not accompanied by anticoagulant prescriptions, whereas this proportion was much lower than those cases first recorded in the inpatient register (9%). Using our most inclusive VTE definition, we observed higher rates of VTE compared with previously published data using similar methodology. These reduced by 31% (IR=142/100 000 person-years; 95% CI 132 to 153) and 22% (IR=331/100 000 person-years; 95% CI 304 to 361) during the antepartum and postpartum periods, respectively, using a restrictive VTE definition that required anticoagulant prescriptions associated with diagnosis, which were more in line with the existing literature.

Conclusions: We found that including VTE codes without treatment confirmation risks the inclusion of false-positive cases. When defining VTE using the NPR, anticoagulant prescription information should therefore be considered particularly for cases recorded in an outpatient setting.

INTRODUCTION

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a serious and potentially fatal condition with an incidence of 1–3 per 1000 per year.1 Owing to its rarity, the use of large administrative healthcare data sets is a very cost-effective way to study the epidemiology of VTE in terms of incidence and identification of risk factors. While routinely collected administrative registry data have the advantage of being large, prospectively recorded, population based and with minimal selection or recall bias, ensuring their accuracy in diagnosis is paramount for the veracity of findings of studies using these data.

The Swedish National Patient Register (NPR) was established in 1964 with complete national coverage from 1987 onwards. To date, various validation studies have been conducted to ensure the quality of data for a wide range of acute and chronic conditions. For instance, a review of NPR validation studies conducted by Ludvigsson et al. 

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We analysed more than 680 000 pregnancies to assess the robustness of the venous thromboembolism (VTE) diagnosis recorded in the Swedish National Patient Register using anticoagulant prescriptions in a way which has not been done before using these data.
- The use of recent data from the national registries makes our study findings contemporary and generalisable to the majority of women of childbearing age.
- Our VTE algorithm confirmation relies principally on anticoagulant prescriptions and we were not able to carry out any sort of external validation. However, our absolute rates of VTE in and around pregnancy are in line with the existing literature.
- We may have incorrectly confirmed VTE cases for some women who were being prescribed low molecular weight heparin as thromboprophylaxis rather than therapy which we cannot accurately differentiate from registry data.
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Our previous work using Abdul Sultan A, reported the personal identity number assigned to each resident in Sweden allows the NPR initially only to use in epidemiological studies. The recent inclusion of the Swedish outpatient data (those presenting to hospital but not admitted) from 2001 onwards and the availability of linked data from the Prescribed Drug Register (PDR) now allows for the assessment of different definitions of VTE to determine the one most appropriate for use in epidemiological studies. A particular setting in which VTE has been commonly studied is in and around pregnancy as, although rare, VTE is one of the leading causes of maternal mortality in developed countries. Our previous work using England’s linked primary and secondary healthcare data has shown that the rate of VTE in and around pregnancy varies markedly depending on the data source and VTE definition used. A separate VTE validation study among pregnant women from Denmark reported the overall PPV to be 75% for inpatient cases but a much lower PPV (31%) for those only presenting to the emergency department. Similarly, Virkus et al. in their study reported that 35% of women who received a diagnosis of VTE in the Danish NPR during pregnancy/post-partum were not validated (i.e., they were false-positives). The need to identify a robust definition of VTE for use in epidemiological studies is clear. Studying this rare event in and around pregnancy gives us the ability to directly compare estimates of incidence arising from the Swedish data with those from other countries where validation of definitions has previously been undertaken. The aim of our study was to use the Swedish NPR to determine the incidence rate of VTE in and around pregnancy using various VTE definitions and compare these with rates from other countries and healthcare settings.

METHOD
Data source
The Swedish Medical Birth Registry (MBR) was established in 1973 and collects information on antenatal and perinatal factors (eg, gestational length, mode of delivery) and their impact on the health of the mother and infant. The registry was standardised and computerised from 1982 onwards. It contains information on birth and delivery records from across Sweden with the addition of 100,000 births each year. Apart from delivery and birth information, the MBR also contains up to 12 diagnoses which may occur during pregnancy or in the course of the women’s stay in the delivery unit. These are coded using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes. The MBR has been subjected to numerous quality checks in the past and the data recorded are of a high standard. The personal identity number assigned to each resident in Sweden allows merging of data between registries such as the NPR and PDR at an individual level. The NPR initially only included the information on hospitalised patients (inpatient data); however, since 2001, it also includes outpatient consultations (outpatient data). The data contained within the NPR can mainly be categorised as patient-related data (eg, personal identity number, sex), administrative data (eg, visit date, admission and discharge dates) and medical data (eg, diagnosis and procedure information). Each diagnosis recorded within the NPR may be categorised as either a primary or secondary diagnosis. The primary diagnosis should be the main condition that was treated or investigated at the end of a hospital episode, whereas the secondary diagnosis may or may not be directly relevant to the primary diagnosis. Finally, filled drug prescriptions are recorded in PDR with the date of dispensing, drug name, strength and preparation according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification.

Study population
For the purpose of this study, we included all women aged 15–44 years from the MBR who experienced a pregnancy between 1 July 2005 and 31 December 2011. Using data from 2005 allowed us to obtain prescription data for all of our study population.

Defining venous thromboembolism
Initially, our working VTE definition included all cases of VTE recorded in either the NPR (inpatient/outpatient) or MBR occurring at any time women were between 15 and 44 years of age, while pregnant or not. We used the relevant ICD, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes (as previously used, online supplementary table S1) to identify VTE diagnosis regardless of its hierarchical position in the diagnostic field (primary or secondary). These were then stratified by the source where the first VTE case was identified (inpatient vs outpatient data). All VTEs identified in the birth registry were categorised as inpatient VTEs. For the purpose of this study, we only included the first recorded VTE and therefore excluded all subsequent VTE diagnoses. Since the complete NPR coverage dates back to 1987, we also used the relevant ICD-9 codes (415, 451, 452, 453, 671 or 673) to exclude anyone with VTE prior to their entry into the study period. For all women with first VTE, we extracted information on anticoagulant therapy along with information on date and cause of death from the cause of death register, if the woman died within the study period.

Algorithm confirmation
AVTE code was considered to be algorithm confirmed if it was accompanied by an anticoagulant prescription (based on ATC codes; online supplementary table S2) within 90 days of the event or if the woman died within 30 days of the event and the underlying cause of death was VTE. This VTE definition has been validated.
previously in English healthcare data with a PPV of 84%. On the basis of the above information, we considered two VTE definitions.

**VTE definition A:** Any first VTE recorded in either inpatient or outpatient records regardless of algorithm confirmation.

**VTE definition B:** A first VTE event recorded as an inpatient or outpatient that was confirmed by our algorithm. As such, definition B consists of cases that are a subset of definition A.

**Defining exposure time**

Women’s follow-up time between age 15–44 years was divided into time associated with pregnancy (defined from the date of conception based on a woman’s gestational age until 12 weeks postpartum for each and every pregnancy identified) and ‘time outside pregnancy’ (all other available follow-up times as described previously). Time associated with pregnancy was subsequently split into antepartum and postpartum time. In order to minimise the potential misclassification between antepartum and postpartum events, a third category of ‘time around delivery’ was also considered. Therefore, the time associated with pregnancy was divided into the antepartum period (from the estimated date of conception until 2 days before the date of delivery), time around delivery (1 day before until 2 days after delivery) and the postpartum period, which was defined from 3 days after delivery until 12 weeks postpartum. Postpartum time was then further split into early postpartum (up to 6 weeks after delivery) and late postpartum (7–12 weeks after delivery).

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated the frequency and proportion of first identified VTE stratified by whether it was registered as an inpatient or outpatient visit. For each VTE definition, we calculated the rate of VTE per 100 000 person-years in and around pregnancy separately. We compared our absolute rate of VTE from each VTE definition during specific antepartum and postpartum periods with other similar studies from England and Denmark. The VTE incidence estimates in the English data were derived from a proportion of the English representative population. The diagnosis of VTE, whether they first presented as a hospital admission or in primary care, was confirmed using the same algorithm as used in the present study. The Danish VTE estimates were derived from the Danish NPR where the diagnosis of VTE recorded in the hospital discharge data had been previously validated in a small subset of the data. Finally, we compared the rate of VTE during the antepartum and postpartum periods to time outside pregnancy using a Poisson regression model to calculate incidence rate ratios (IRR) adjusted for age and calendar year. All analyses were performed using Stata V.12, Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, USA. This study was approved by the ethical review board.

**RESULTS**

**Study population**

Our cohort consisted of 509 198 women who experienced at least one pregnancy resulting in a live birth or a stillbirth during the study period. Of the 681 756 pregnancies analysed, 2389 (0.4%) ended in a stillbirth. Our person-years of follow-up associated with different time periods of pregnancy and outside pregnancy are summarised in table 1.

**Confirmation of VTE cases**

We identified a total of 2864 patients with first incident diagnosis of VTE from 2005, 1789 (62%) of which were first recorded at an outpatient visit (figure 1). We observed that 91% of VTEs first recorded during the inpatient visit were algorithm confirmed compared with 57% for those recorded as an outpatient. Of the total of 2000 patients with validated VTEs, 46% (n=928) received heparin/low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) within 90 days of the event, whereas 54% were prescribed warfarin (mostly during the postpartum period and time outside pregnancy). When comparing VTE cases between data sources, we found that 22% (n=627) of the patients with VTE had a diagnosis recorded both in inpatient and outpatient data within 90 days of the initial event with 20% (n=569) and 58% (n=1668) solely recorded in inpatient and outpatient data, respectively. Confirmation of VTE cases based on VTE type and position of diagnosis (primary vs secondary) is presented as online supplementary table S3. Of 757 cases of non-specific thrombophlebitis diagnoses, 25% (n=190) had evidence of hirudoid (C05BA01) prescriptions within 90 days of the event of which 44% (n=83) were confirmed based on our algorithm.

**Absolute rate of VTE in and around pregnancy using various VTE definitions**

Using VTE definition A (any recording of VTE without confirmation), we found the absolute rate of VTE...
during the time outside pregnancy to be 42 per 100,000 person-years (table 2). This rate decreased to 38 per 100,000 person-years when we used our restricted VTE definition (VTE definition B). Figure 2 shows the rate of VTE by weeks of postpartum which shows variation during the first 3 weeks postpartum based on the VTE definition. The rates of VTE during specific antepartum and postpartum periods using VTE definition A were much higher than in previous studies using the Danish and English data with no overlapping CIs except for the time around delivery and the late postpartum period. However, the absolute rates using VTE definition B during the third trimester of antepartum, postpartum period and time outside pregnancy were broadly in line with the Danish and/or English data with overlapping CIs.

**IRR of VTE in and around pregnancy compared with time outside pregnancy**

Using VTE definition A, we found a 5-fold (IRR=5.08; 95% CI 4.66 to 5.54) and 10-fold (IRR=10.2; 95% CI 9.27 to 11.25) higher rate of VTE during the antepartum and postpartum periods, respectively, compared with time outside pregnancy (table 3). Compared with time outside pregnancy, the overall IRRs during the antepartum (IRR=3.80) and postpartum (IRR=8.72) periods using definition B were very similar to the English data (IRR=3.10 and 8.54, respectively). In contrast, using VTE definition B in the Swedish data gave a statistically significant increased risk of VTE during the first trimester, which was not seen in the English or Danish data.

**DISCUSSION**

**Main finding**

Using a nationwide cohort of pregnant women, we have shown that the majority of first VTEs recorded in the Swedish inpatient data (91%) were accompanied by anticoagulant therapy following the event. This proportion, however, was much lower for those first recorded as outpatients. We also found that the rate of VTE in and around pregnancy and outside pregnancy varied based on the VTE definition used. Our most inclusive VTE definition (VTE definition A) gave us absolute rates of VTE in and around pregnancy which were much higher compared with those obtained from the English and Danish data. However, using a more restrictive definition of VTE
which largely relied on confirmation of VTE cases based on anticoagulant therapy from the prescription register, we found absolute and relative rates of VTE to be more comparable with the English and/or Danish data which used similar definitions.

**Strength and limitations**

Using Swedish national registries, we analysed more than 680,000 pregnancies resulting in a live birth or stillbirth to determine how the incidence of VTE in and around pregnancy varies based on the VTE definition and data set used. The ability to combine national data from inpatient, outpatient, birth and prescription registers allowed us to directly assess the robustness of the VTE diagnosis occurring in different data sources in a way which has not been done before using these data. Furthermore, the use of recent data from the national registries makes our study findings contemporary and generalisable to the majority of women of childbearing age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>VTE definition A (using any code for VTE regardless of confirmation)</th>
<th>VTE definition B (using only algorithm-confirmed VTE)</th>
<th>English data</th>
<th>Danish data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Rate (95% CI)</td>
<td>N Rate (95% CI)</td>
<td>N Rate</td>
<td>N Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside pregnancy</td>
<td>1105 42 (40 to 44)</td>
<td>1015 38</td>
<td>1480 32 (30–33)</td>
<td>2895 36 (34–37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum</td>
<td>995 205 (192 to 218)</td>
<td>690 142 (132 to 153)</td>
<td>156 99 (85–116)</td>
<td>491 107 (97–116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 1</td>
<td>207 136 (118 to 155)</td>
<td>172 113 (97 to 131)</td>
<td>23 46 (31–70)</td>
<td>61 41 (32–52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 2</td>
<td>275 174 (154 to 196)</td>
<td>178 112 (97 to 130)</td>
<td>30 58 (41–83)</td>
<td>75 57 (46–72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 3</td>
<td>513 292 (268 to 319)</td>
<td>340 194 (174 to 216)</td>
<td>103 182 (150–221)</td>
<td>355 197 (177–219)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Around delivery</td>
<td>115 1546 (1288 to 1856)</td>
<td>79 1061 (851 to 1323)</td>
<td>34 1428 (1020–1998)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum</td>
<td>649 423 (392 to 457)</td>
<td>509 331 (304 to 361)</td>
<td>135 274 (231–324)</td>
<td>218 175 (153–200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early postpartum</td>
<td>584 754 (696 to 818)</td>
<td>460 593 (541 to 650)</td>
<td>177 468 (391–561)</td>
<td>199 304 (264–350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late postpartum</td>
<td>65 85 (70 to 109)</td>
<td>49 64 (49 to 85)</td>
<td>18 73 (46–116)</td>
<td>319 32 (19–50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Absolute rate of first VTE per 100,000 person-years in and around pregnancy and outside pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 person-years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outside pregnancy</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 1</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 2</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 3</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1 postpartum</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7-12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Absolute rate of venous thromboembolism (VTE) per 100,000 person-years in and around pregnancy using different methods and data sources compared with the English and Danish data.
For our VTE definition B, we used an algorithm that mimics a previously validated VTE definition in the UK’s primary care data with a PPV of 84%. This definition, though itself specifically validated in an outside pregnancy setting, has been shown to produce absolute rates of VTE during the antepartum and postpartum periods which are comparable to pooled estimates from several countries published in the existing literature. While we did not have the data to externally validate our VTE confirmation algorithm in the Swedish data, a similar VTE definition has been externally validated in the Danish patient register with a PPV of 99%. The study also highlighted that cross-linkage with the national register of medicinal products provides reliable validation of the VTE event. It is noteworthy that such a validation does not give us any indication of the negative predictive value and we cannot rule out that, particularly within pregnancy, certain women with VTE may receive anticoagulant prescription from hospital immediately following diagnosis not routinely recorded in the PDR. In this study, however, we believe that the impact of this will be minimal as women diagnosed with VTE are likely to be on anticoagulant therapy for at least 4 weeks, which should therefore be captured in the PDR.

Another limitation of this study is that our algorithm confirmation relies principally on anticoagulant prescriptions and we were not able to carry out any sort of external validation (review of medical records). Second, our algorithm only examines one dimension of case confirmation. The optimal validation study would examine specificity, sensitivity, and positive and negative predictive values for which no other data sources were available. However, the absolute rates using VTE definition B for antepartum and the early postpartum period of 142 and 595 per 100 000 person-years, respectively, are broadly in concordance with the pooled estimates from previous studies conducted after 2005 (118 and 424 per 100 000 person-years, respectively) with overlapping CIs.

**Comparison with other studies**

This study is not a traditional validation study as previously conducted in other settings (ie, validating VTE cases by reviewing patients’ medical records). However, Rosengren et al carried out external validation of VTE cases identified in a random sample of men born between 1915 and 1922 and between 1924 and 1925 in a particular Swedish county in their study to quantify the impact of psychosocial factors on VTE using NPR. The study found that 85% and 70% of their inpatient recorded pulmonary embolism (PE) and deep vein thrombosis (DVT) cases, respectively, were externally validated. Our algorithm was able to confirm 94% and 88% of inpatient recorded PE and DVT cases, respectively, which may be due to changes in the diagnostic modalities and improvements in the recording of VTE from 1998 onwards. Our higher and lower number of cases confirmed in the outpatient and inpatient, respectively, have also been previously demonstrated in the Danish data where VTE diagnosis was validated by conducting a manual review of medical records for each patient. One possible explanation for the lower number of outpatient cases confirmed by our algorithm could be the potential inclusion of superficial thrombophlebitis treated with hirudoid. However, only 26% (n=98) of non-confirmed cases (based on our algorithm) of non-specific thrombophlebitis (ICD-10: 1809, 1821, 1808, 1803; ICD-9: 451, 671) recorded in the outpatient were prescribed hirudoid within 90 days of the event. Therefore it may be possible that those with suspected VTE seen in the outpatient are erroneously given VTE diagnosis before case confirmation which may require further investigation.

We found that, using our restrictive VTE definition, our absolute rates of antepartum and postpartum VTE were 31% and 22% lower, respectively, than VTE without confirmation (VTE definition A). This finding is consistent with another Danish validation study which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>VTE definition A</th>
<th>VTE definition B</th>
<th>English data</th>
<th>Danish data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IRR* (95%CI)</td>
<td>IRR* (95%CI)</td>
<td>IRR (95%CI)†</td>
<td>IRR (95%CI)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside pregnancy</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum</td>
<td>5.08 (4.66 to 5.54)</td>
<td>3.80 (3.44 to 4.19)</td>
<td>3.10 (2.63 to 3.66)</td>
<td>2.95 (2.68 to 3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 1</td>
<td>3.42 (2.95 to 3.98)</td>
<td>3.04 (2.58 to 3.56)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.96 to 2.20)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.86 to 1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 2</td>
<td>4.31 (3.78 to 4.93)</td>
<td>3.01 (2.56 to 3.53)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.27 to 2.62)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.24 to 1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimester 3</td>
<td>7.14 (6.43 to 7.94)</td>
<td>5.12 (4.53 to 5.80)</td>
<td>5.69 (4.66 to 6.95)</td>
<td>5.48 (4.89 to 6.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Around delivery</td>
<td>37.5 (30.9 to 44.45)</td>
<td>27.97 (22.24 to 35.17)</td>
<td>44.5 (31.68 to 62.54)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum</td>
<td>10.21 (9.27 to 11.25)</td>
<td>8.72 (7.83 to 9.70)</td>
<td>8.54 (7.16 to 10.19)</td>
<td>4.85 (4.21 to 5.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early postpartum</td>
<td>19.27 (16.53 to 20.21)</td>
<td>15.62 (14.00 to 17.45)</td>
<td>14.61 (12.10 to 17.67)</td>
<td>8.44 (7.27 to 9.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late postpartum</td>
<td>2.06 (1.60 to 2.64)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.26 to 2.25)</td>
<td>2.29 (1.44 to 3.65)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.53 to 1.39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reported that 40% and 21% of the VTE diagnosis during the antepartum and postpartum periods, respectively, are not validated. We do acknowledge that there may be differences between the Danish and Swedish registers in terms of data recording; therefore, the above comparisons should be interpreted with caution.

Our absolute rates of VTE during the first and second trimesters using VTE definition A were slightly higher than those reported by the English and Danish data. This finding is supplemented by the lower maternal VTE-related mortality observed in Sweden compared with England and Denmark. This may reflect better management/treatment of VTE, particularly during early pregnancy in Sweden. On that note, we cannot ignore the fact that there is a possibility that we have incorrectly included as VTE cases some women who were being treated with LMWH as preventive thromboprophylaxis rather than as a therapy for a newly occurring VTE event. There is, however, considerable difficulty in knowing the exact dosage of LMWH that a woman would or should receive for thromboprophylaxis as this is dependent on a combination of risk factors and physician judgement which we cannot presume to infer from registry data. The lower rate of VTE during the postpartum period in the Danish data might be due to the potential inclusion of only inpatient VTE cases (although this is not clearly stated in the study). However, even with the inclusion of outpatient data, concerns over their coverage and the use of many different definitions to record this information within the register should not be overlooked. Finally, our absolute rate of VTE during the antepartum and postpartum periods were much higher using VTE definition A compared with the previous studies done on the subject using data up to or after 2005. While our incidence rate of VTE in and around pregnancy varied based on VTE definition used, our IRR during the antepartum and postpartum periods compared with time outside pregnancy remained broadly similar. This, however, needs to be further investigated for other risk factors which may be more sensitive to VTE definition in these data.

Implications

Our study has important implications in the way VTE is defined in the Swedish NPR. While a higher proportion of VTEs first recorded in the inpatient data are accompanied by an anticoagulant prescription, this proportion was far lower for those first recorded in the outpatient data. If all outpatient cases were to be included in future research studies, they are likely to include false-positives, leading to an overestimation of risk if prescription information is not used. Our restrictive VTE definition provided absolute rates of VTE during the antepartum and postpartum periods that were more comparable to the estimates from the previously published English data, which used similar methodology for case confirmation. Although this study only assessed women of childbearing age (15–44 years) and further comparative studies should be recommended in other patient groups, we believe it is not unreasonable to suggest that prescription confirmation of VTE should be carefully considered when studying the epidemiology of VTE using the Swedish health registries.

Author affiliations

1. Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
2. Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
3. Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, University of Nottingham, Queens Medical Centre, UK
4. Department of Medicine, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
5. Department of Women’s and Children’s Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
6. Department of Paediatrics, Örebro University Hospital, Örebro, Sweden

Contributors AAS, LJF, JW, KMF, MJG and DH conceived the idea for the study, with OS and JFL also making important contributions to the design of the study. AAS carried out the data management and analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All the authors were involved in the interpretation of the data, contributed towards a critical revision of the manuscript and approved the final draft. AAS had full access to all of the data and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Competing interests AAS is jointly funded by the Nottingham Digestive Disease Centre and CORE/Coeliac UK. The collaboration between the University of Nottingham and the Karolinska Institute has been enabled by funding from JW’s University of Nottingham/Nottingham University Hospital’s NHS Trust Senior Clinical Research Fellowship which also pays his salary. DH is funded by an NIHR postdoctoral fellowship.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Additional data are available by emailing the corresponding author.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES