



LJMU Research Online

George, KP and Whyte, G

Comparison of three current sets of electrocardiographic interpretation criteria for use in screening athletes

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/3910/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

George, KP and Whyte, G (2015) Comparison of three current sets of electrocardiographic interpretation criteria for use in screening athletes. Heart, 101 (5). pp. 384-393. ISSN 1468-201X

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Comparison of three current sets of electrocardiographic interpretation criteria for use in screening athletes

Nathan R. Riding PhD^{1,2}, Nabeel Sheikh MBBS, MRCP³, Carmen Adamuz MD, PhD⁴, Victoria Watt MD, PhD, Abdulaziz Farooq MPH¹, Gregory P. Whyte PhD, DSc,² Keith P. George PhD², Jonathan A. Drezner MD, PhD⁵, Sanjay Sharma MD, PhD, FRCP³ and Mathew G. Wilson PhD^{1,4*}

1. Athlete Health and Performance Research Centre, ASPETAR, Qatar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar
2. Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Science, Liverpool John Moores University, UK
3. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, St Georges University of London, UK
4. Department of Sports Medicine, ASPETAR, Qatar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar
5. Department of Family Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

Corresponding Author:

* Prof Mathew Wilson, PhD

ASPETAR

Qatar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital

PO Box 29222

Doha, Qatar

Tel: +9744132000

Fax: +9744132027

E-mail: mathew.wilson@aspetar.com

Abstract: 240

Word Count: 3,005

References: 24

Financial support: None – there are no links to industry

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: An increasing number of sporting bodies report unacceptably high levels of false positive electrocardiograms (ECGs) when undertaking pre-participation cardiac screening. To address this issue, modified ECG interpretation criteria have become available for use within athletes.

OBJECTIVE: This study assessed the accuracy of the new 2014 'Refined Criteria' against the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) recommendations in a cohort of Arabic, Black and Caucasian athletes.

METHODS: 2491 male athletes (1367 Arabic, 748 Black and 376 Caucasian) undertook pre-participation screening including a 12-Lead ECG; with further investigation(s) upon indication.

RESULTS: Ten athletes (0.4%) were identified with cardiac pathology; 7 with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM; 5 Black and 2 Arabic) and 3 Arabs with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (WPW). All 3 ECG criteria were 100% sensitive identifying all cases of HCM and WPW. The 2014 Refined Criteria reduced ($p < 0.0001$) the prevalence of an abnormal ECG to 5.3% vs. 11.6% (Seattle Criteria) and 22.3% (2010 ESC recommendations). The 2014 Refined Criteria significantly ($p < 0.0001$) improved specificity (94.0%) across all ethnicities compared to the Seattle Criteria (87.5%) and ESC recommendations (76.6%). Black athletes continue to present a higher prevalence ($p < 0.0001$) of abnormal ECGs compared to Arabic and Caucasian athletes (10% vs. 3.6% and 2.1%).

CONCLUSION: The 2014 Refined Criteria for athlete ECG interpretation outperformed both the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations by significantly reducing the number of false-positive ECGs in Arabic, Black and Caucasian athletes whilst maintaining 100% sensitivity for serious cardiac pathologies.

Key Words: Pre-participation screening, Ethnicity, Electrocardiography, Sudden cardiac death

What is already known about this subject?

- The high rate of false positive ECGs in athletes is the main criticism of pre-participation screening with ECG.
- The 2013 Seattle criteria improved the rate of false positive findings from that of the 2010 ESC recommendations.
- It has recently been proposed that atrial enlargement, axis deviation and right ventricular hypertrophy should not be classified as an abnormal finding in athletes if found in isolation. Using this 'Refined' criterion, there is the potential to further reduce false positive findings.

What does this study add?

- The new 2014 Refined Criteria significantly improved specificity (94.0%) across all ethnicities compared to the 2012 Seattle Criteria and 2010 ESC recommendations (87.5% and 76.6% respectively).
- It is possible to reduce abnormal ECG prevalence rates to 3.6% and 2.1% in Arabic and Caucasian athletes. Whilst significantly reduced, however, the prevalence of abnormal ECGs in Black athletes continues to be 10% when using the 2014 Refined Criteria.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- This study supports the rationale that when found in isolation, axis deviation, atrial enlargement and RVH are normal physiological ECG patterns in asymptomatic athletes without a family history of sudden cardiac death.
- This study also highlights that these ECG patterns ought to be incorporated into pre-existing ECG interpretational guidelines

INTRODUCTION

In 2010, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) produced ‘revised’ recommendations for the interpretation of electrocardiograms of athletes [1]. This was due to the increasing number of sporting governing bodies undertaking pre-participation cardiovascular screening reporting unacceptably high-levels of false positive ECGs arising from the overlap between physiological ECG patterns commonly observed in athletes, and those suggestive of cardiac pathology. To demonstrate improved specificity, the authors reanalysed the ECGs of 1,005 highly trained athletes previously reported a decade earlier [2]. Originally, 402 athletes (40%) presented an abnormal ECG (so called ‘Group 2’ changes) which was lowered to 11% using the 2010 ESC recommendations. Work from our group and others has, however, demonstrated that certain black ethnic populations, such as African, African-Caribbean and Black Latin-American, continue to demonstrate a high prevalence of abnormal ECGs (circa. 20-40%) when using the 2010 ESC recommendations [3-6].

To address this issue, in 2012 an international team of experts produced the ‘Seattle Criteria’ [7]; a revision of ECG interpretation guidelines for athletes, aimed to provide greater accuracy in identifying those with cardiac pathology, whilst also attempting to reduce the false positive rate. The Seattle Criteria have demonstrated favourable results over the ESC recommendations, with a reduction in the number of ECGs previously considered abnormal (17 to 4%) in a population of high-level athletes, whilst still identifying all athletes with cardiac pathology [8]. Furthermore, the Seattle Criteria considers specific ethnic ECG facets such as anterior (V1-V4) T-wave inversion (commonly observed in up to 13% of Black athletes [4]), to represent an ethnically

benign variant of the 'athlete's heart' in those of Black ethnicity, helping to reduce false positive rates further.

Recently, Sheikh et al. [9] published additional 'Refined Criteria' for ECG interpretation, based upon their experience of screening thousands of athletes utilising both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria. Sheikh et al. [9] demonstrated that the ECG patterns of isolated atrial enlargement (left and right), axis deviation (left and right) and right ventricular hypertrophy found in both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria provided an extremely low diagnostic yield for cardiac pathology. A unique feature of this investigation was a validation assessment in 103 young athletes with confirmed hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) where by the Refined Criteria identified 98.1% of HCM cases.

Whilst the ESC guidelines were initially considered acceptable for use within Arabic athletes [3], it is unknown if the Seattle Criteria or the Refined Criteria are clinically appropriate for differentiating physiological cardiac adaptation from inherited pathology associated with sudden cardiac death (SCD) in Arabic athletes. Consequently, the aim of this investigation was to assess the accuracy of the new 2014 Refined Criteria versus the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations in a large cohort of Arabic, Black and Caucasian athletes when undertaking mass pre-participation cardiovascular screening.

METHODS

Ethical approval was obtained from Shafallah Medical Genetics Centre and the Qatar Anti-Doping Laboratory ethics committee, with all athletes completing informed consent in either Arabic or English.

Participants

Between November 2010 and January 2014, 2491 male athletes (exercising ≥ 6 h/week) presented at Aspetar Orthopaedic Sports Medicine Hospital for pre-participation cardiac screening; of whom 1367 were Arabic, 748 Black and 376 Caucasian. Ethnicity was self-determined by the athlete. Arabic athletes were recruited from seven Gulf States (Qatar, Bahrain, Oman, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Yemen and Saudi-Arabia) and seven Middle-Eastern countries (Egypt, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Jordan, Palestine and Lebanon). Black athletes came from 9 African countries (Sudan, Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Chad, Ivory Coast, Senegal, Cameroon and Ethiopia), 3 Caribbean countries (Trinidad and Tobago, Jamaica and Cuba) and those that self-determined their ethnicity as Black from South America (Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Uruguay). The vast majority of Caucasian athletes came from mainland Europe, Australia and North America with a small number from South America.

Pre-participation cardiovascular screening

All players were screened using a cardiovascular pre-competition medical assessment form. Athletes completed the questionnaire regarding family history and personal symptoms, together with measurements of height, body mass, left brachial artery blood pressure (BP) in collaboration with an Arabic, French or English-speaking cardiac nurse. Precordial auscultation

in supine and standing positions, and assessment for any physical characteristics of Marfan's syndrome were undertaken by a Sports Medicine Physician. From 2491 athletes presenting for pre-participation cardiac screening, 1718 (68.7%) required both ECG and echocardiography as standard as part of sport or club requirements.

Resting 12-lead ECG

A 12 lead ECG was recorded on all athletes after a period of 5 minutes rest in the supine position using a GE Mac 5500 (New York, USA). A well-defined protocol for electrode placement was used throughout by a single physiologist. Six electrodes are placed on the chest in the following locations: V₁, fourth intercostal space at the right sternal border; V₂, fourth intercostal space at the left sternal border; V₃, midway between V₂ and V₄; V₄, fifth intercostal space in the midclavicular line; V₅, in the horizontal plane of V₄ at the anterior axillary line, or if the anterior axillary line is ambiguous, midway between V₄ and V₆; and V₆, in the horizontal plane of V₄ at the midaxillary line. Four limb lead electrodes were placed on the arms and legs distal to the shoulders and hips.

Echocardiography

Echocardiographic examination was performed using a commercially available ultrasound system (Philips, USA). Images of the heart were obtained in the standard planes [10], with cardiac structure and function evaluated with previously described criteria [3]. All echocardiograms were obtained and reported by a single echocardiographer.

Further evaluation and follow-up

Athletes demonstrating symptoms, a family history of SCD, ECG abnormalities and/or echocardiographic abnormalities considered to represent pathology were investigated further. Subsequent studies included 24-hour ECG, maximal exercise testing and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. A diagnosis of disease was established using published criteria [11, 12].

Retrospective ECG examination

All 2491 ECGs were analysed retrospectively using the ESC recommendations, followed by the Seattle Criteria and Refined Criteria. During analysis, first and last authors were blinded to pathological findings in all athletes, with MGW providing final judgement on ECG normality or abnormality using each of the 3 ECG criteria. Electrocardiographic parameters used to define various ECG abnormalities for the 3 sets of criteria are presented in Table 1.

Refined Criteria

Differences between the ESC recommendations and the Seattle criteria can be observed in Table 1. Compared to the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, the unique aspect of the Refined Criteria is that athletes would not receive further cardiovascular evaluation when presenting with the following recognised training related ECG changes in isolation; 1) left atrial enlargement (LAE), 2) right atrial enlargement (RAE), 3) left axis deviation (LAD), 4) right axis deviation (RAD) and 5) Sokolow-Lyon voltage criteria for right ventricular hypertrophy (RVH). In line with the Seattle Criteria, a corrected (Bazett's formula) QT interval (QTc) ≥ 470 milliseconds (ms) in males and ≥ 480 ms in females, and T-wave inversion preceded by convex ST-segment elevation in leads V1-V4 in asymptomatic Black athletes do not require further

investigation. However, importantly, the presence of 2 or more of the above ECG patterns would warrant secondary investigation (Figure 1) [9]. It is worth noting that the Refined Criteria are not an evolution of the 2012 Seattle Criteria, but uses ECG parameters from both the 2010 ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria.

Statistical analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS 21.0 (Illinois, USA); presented as mean \pm SD (range) and percentage where appropriate. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Bonferroni adjustments (if applicable) was used to identify differences in athlete anthropometry. A Chi-square test was used to compare the number of abnormal ECGs between the 3 ECG interpretation criteria and between athlete ethnicities. Specificity and sensitivity for the 3 criteria were calculated in those athletes who undertook both ECG and echocardiography as part of club requirements; following which positive and negative likelihood ratios were calculated. A McNemar Chi-square test was used to compare specificity and positive likelihood ratios between criteria. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Athlete demographics

Overall, Arabic athletes were younger than both Black and Caucasian athletes (21.3 ± 5.4 vs. 24.1 ± 5.0 and 24.3 ± 5.4 years respectively; $p < 0.05$). Arabic athletes had a smaller body surface area (BSA) than both Black and Caucasian athletes ($1.87 \pm 0.26\text{m}^2$ vs. $2.00 \pm 0.28\text{m}^2$ vs. $2.14 \pm 0.26\text{m}^2$, $p < 0.05$). Caucasian athletes presented a larger BSA than Black athletes ($p < 0.05$).

Athletes competed in a range of competitive sports (n=27), with 4 high-intensity intermittent sports dominating participation rates [soccer (43.2%), handball (13.7%), volleyball (9.1%), and basketball (8.5%)].

Identification of cardiac pathology

Ten athletes (0.6%) were identified with a cardiac pathology associated with SCD; 7 with HCM (5 Black and 2 Arabic) and 3 Arabic athletes with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (WPW). All athletes with HCM presented with an abnormal ECG, but were diagnosed with pathology via a combination of echocardiography, 24 hour Holter, exercise stress testing and cardiac MRI. The incidence of HCM in Black athletes (0.9%) was five-times greater than in Arabic athletes (0.2%). All athletes with HCM displayed T-wave inversion; 5 inferolateral, 1 isolated lateral and 1 with anterior-inferior. Six of the 7 HCM athletes demonstrated 'deep' (>0.3 mV) T-wave inversion, ST-segment depression and Sokolow voltage criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy, calculated as the amplitude of the S wave in V1 plus the amplitude of the R wave in either V5 or V6 $\geq 3.5\text{mV}$ (mean 4.53 mV). These 3 cases of WPW demonstrated a classical delta wave, a short PR interval together with a prolonged QRS duration. All 3 athletes underwent electrophysiological study and ablation, returning to full competition following a 2-month post-operative recovery period.

Comparison of 3 ECG interpretation criteria

The number of ECGs considered abnormal using the 3 criteria is presented in Table 2. From 2491 athletes, 555 (22.3%) were considered to have an abnormal ECG according to the ESC

recommendations. The most common ECG abnormalities were a prolonged QT interval (26.1%), T-wave inversion (21.3%), right atrial enlargement (16.5%) and a short PR interval (12.4%). Black athletes were significantly more likely to present an abnormal ECG than Arabic and Caucasian athletes (29.9% vs. 19.1% and 18.6% respectively, $p < 0.0001$).

Compared to the ESC recommendations, utilisation of the Seattle Criteria significantly reduced the number of abnormal ECGs from 22.3% to 11.6% (a 48% reduction ($p < 0.0001$)). The main two ECG parameters that accounted for the majority of this improvement were an increase in the QTc cut-offs (≥ 470 ms males and ≥ 480 ms females) and the acknowledgement that isolated anterior T-wave inversion in asymptomatic Black athletes is benign. This spared 172 (6.9%) athletes from further investigation. From the remaining abnormal ECGs, 54.6% were considered abnormal due to either isolated RAE (31.8%), LAE (13.1%), left and right axis deviation (5.2% and 3.1%) and RVH (1.4%). Pathological Q waves (27.0%) and other locations of T-wave inversion (23.2%) were also highly prevalent. Black athletes were again significantly more likely to present an abnormal ECG than Arabic and Caucasian athletes (16.6% vs. 9.7% and 8.5% respectively, $p < 0.0001$). Yet across all 3 ethnicities, the number of abnormal ECGs were significantly reduced compared to the ESC recommendations ($p < 0.0001$).

Application of the Refined Criteria further reduced ($p < 0.0001$) the number of abnormal ECGs in athletes to 5.3%. Compared to the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, this produced a 76% and 54% reduction in the number of abnormal ECGs, respectively. However, Black

athletes continue to present a significantly higher ($p<0.0001$) prevalence of abnormal ECGs compared to Arabic and Caucasian athletes (10% vs. 3.6% and 2.1%).

Sensitivity and specificity for the 3 ECG interpretation criteria

From 2491 athletes screened, 1718 (68.7%) were required to undergo both ECG and echocardiography due to club requirements. This cohort also contained all of the pathological cases (7 HCM and 3 WPW) and was consequently used to calculate the incidence of serious pathology together with the sensitivity and specificity of the 3 ECG interpretation criteria (Table 3). Compared to the ESC recommendations, the Seattle Criteria significantly improved specificity from 76.6% to 87.5%, whilst the Refined Criteria further improved specificity to 94.0%. Amongst Black athletes, the Refined Criteria offered 90.2% specificity, compared to 69.5% and 83.7% for the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria respectively. This significant improvement in specificity was also seen in Arabic athletes. The Refined Criteria led to a significant improvement in specificity (95.6%), compared to 80.2% and 88.9% for the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria respectively. However, there was 100% sensitivity for all 3 ECG interpretation criteria which detected all 7 cases of HCM and 3 cases of WPW.

DISCUSSION

The correct differentiation of physiological adaptation owing to sustained and intensive exercise from an inherited or congenital cardiac pathology is paramount, in order to correctly identify athletes at risk of SCD. The key finding from the current study is that the prevalence of an

abnormal ECG was significantly reduced to 5.3% when using the 2014 Refined Criteria compared to the 2013 Seattle Criteria (11.6%) and the 2010 ESC recommendations (22.3%) respectively; whilst all 3 ECG interpretation criteria proved 100% sensitive, identifying all cases of serious cardiac pathology.

Our results demonstrate that when using the 2010 ESC recommendations, almost 1 in 5 Arabic, 1 in 3 Black and 1 in 5 Caucasian athletes would require further investigation due to an abnormal ECG. These high false positive rates certainly reinforce concerns voiced by the cardiovascular screening sceptics. Whilst the ESC recommendations are based upon consensus rather than scientific evidence, the Seattle Criteria modified its ECG interpretation criteria by applying evidence that 1) accounted for the impact of African-Caribbean ethnicity upon the electrocardiographic patterns seen in athletes [4, 13, 14] and 2) by raising the QTc thresholds (≥ 470 ms men and ≥ 480 ms women) that would trigger further evaluation [15, 16]. By incorporating these two electrocardiographic features, our study demonstrates that 172 (6.9%) of athletes would be spared further investigation, helping to lower ($p < 0.0001$) the prevalence of abnormal ECGs by 48% compared to the ESC recommendations. This compares favourably with a recent investigation involving elite Caucasian athletes from Australia [8]. Our data, however, demonstrates that almost 1 in 10 Arabic, 1 in 6 Black and 1 in 11 Caucasian athletes would still require further investigation using the Seattle Criteria, primarily due to the presence of RAE (31.8%), LAE (13.1%), LAD (5.2%), RAD (3.1%) and RVH (1.4%), either in isolation or in association with a recognised training related ECG change.

Using HCM, ARVC and pulmonary hypertension populations as a comparison group, both Zaidi et al. [17] and Gati et al. [18] have demonstrated that in asymptomatic athletes, the presence of RAE, LAE, LAD, RAD and RVH either in isolation or in association with a recognised training related ECG changes correlate extremely poorly with serious cardiac pathology. Despite this, consensus opinion for the inclusion of these ECG parameters in both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria comes from the fact that these anomalies, in particular LAE, are common ECG features in HCM. In HCM, however, they usually co-exist with multitude of other ECG abnormalities such as T-wave inversion, Q-waves and ST-segment depression [18]. Excluding these ECG parameters forms the basis of the Refined Criteria improving specificity and reducing the prevalence of an abnormal ECG in our cohort to 5.3% overall. More strikingly, the Refined Criteria significantly improved the abnormal ECG prevalence in Black athletes to just 10% (vs. 29.9% ESC and 16.6% Seattle Criteria), whilst it was further reduced to 3.6% and 2.1% in Arabic and Caucasian athletes respectively.

Identification of Pathology

Our study identified 7 athletes (0.4%) with HCM; with the prevalence in Black athletes five-times greater than Arabic athletes (0.9 % vs. 0.2%). No Caucasian athlete was diagnosed with HCM. HCM is cited as the leading cause of sudden death in young athletes [19], particularly within the Black population [20]. Importantly, all 3 ECG interpretation guidelines identified all 7 HCM athletes with 100% sensitivity. Our incidence rates in the present study from a cohort of 1718 athletes who underwent systematic ECG and echocardiography are similar to those reported in previous screening studies involving Black and Arabic athletes, who report a disease

incidence of 1% and 0.5% respectively [6]. Whilst the incidence of HCM in Black athletes is still approximately 9-times higher than that observed in Caucasian athletes; our Arabic athletes now report similar HCM incidence rates to that of Caucasians, estimated at 0.1% [21].

A further 29 (1.2%) athletes were identified as having a congenital/valvular abnormality. Specifically, bicuspid aortic valve (n=7, 0.3%), mitral valve prolapse (n=3, 0.1%), mild aortic regurgitation (n=5, 0.2%), mitral regurgitation (mild) (n=2, 0.1%), atrial septal defect (n=6, 0.2%) and one case of dextrocardia (0.04%); all picked up through auscultation. None of these athletes was restricted from competition. Only one of these cases was identified via an abnormal ECG (dextrocardia). This poor sensitivity underscores the importance of a physical examination within the cardiovascular screening remit.

Limitations

Our results are based on observational cross-sectional data. The potential for a false negative result exists even though 90-95% of individuals with HCM and 80% with ARVC exhibit ECG abnormalities [22, 23]. Furthermore, without serial examination over many years, it is near impossible to ascertain if asymptomatic athletes without a family history of SCD presenting with isolated LAD/RAD or LAE/RAE would later go onto develop a cardiomyopathy. Secondly, our population was exclusively male, limiting the application of our data to the female athletic population.

In the present study one athlete, a 27-year old black football player from South America died unexpectedly. At the time of pre-participation screening, the athlete reported no cardiovascular symptoms and no family history of SCD. ECG and echocardiography were strictly normal. The athlete self-presented to the emergency department complaining of severe abdominal pain and died several hours later. An autopsy was performed but the report has not been made available to the authors.

Conclusion

The 2014 Refined Criteria outperformed both the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations by significantly reducing the number of false-positive ECGs in Arabic, Black and Caucasian male athletes whilst remaining 100% sensitive in identifying all athletes with cardiac pathology associated with SCD. The 2014 Refined Criteria can not only be successfully applied to Black and Caucasian athletes, but also to Arabic athletes, helping to reduce both further investigation rates and the potential for unnecessary disqualifications, whilst maintaining sensitivity for conditions that may predispose athletes to SCD.

Acknowledgements

Led by Nelly Khalil, we acknowledge the sterling efforts of Aspetar's athlete screening team in the data collection; Pascal Tahtouh, Farah Demachkieh, Nisrine Sawaya and Ezzoubair Moustati.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in HEART editions and any other BMJPG products to exploit all subsidiary rights.

References

1. Corrado, D., et al., *Recommendations for interpretation of 12-lead electrocardiogram in the athlete*. Eur Heart J, 2010. **31**(2): p. 243-59.
2. Pelliccia, A., et al., *Clinical significance of abnormal electrocardiographic patterns in trained athletes*. Circulation, 2000. **102**(3): p. 278-84.
3. Riding, N.R., et al., *ECG and morphologic adaptations in Arabic athletes: are the European Society of Cardiology's recommendations for the interpretation of the 12-lead ECG appropriate for this ethnicity?* Br J Sports Med, 2013.
4. Papadakis, M., et al., *The prevalence, distribution, and clinical outcomes of electrocardiographic repolarization patterns in male athletes of African/Afro-Caribbean origin*. Eur Heart J, 2011.
5. Magalski, A., et al., *Cardiovascular screening with electrocardiography and echocardiography in collegiate athletes*. Am J Med, 2011. **124**(6): p. 511-8.
6. Wilson, M.G., et al., *Prevalence of electrocardiographic abnormalities in West-Asian and African male athletes*. Br J Sports Med, 2012. **46**(5): p. 341-7.
7. Drezner, J.A., et al., *Electrocardiographic interpretation in athletes: the 'Seattle criteria'*. Br J Sports Med, 2013. **47**(3): p. 122-4.
8. Brosnan, M., et al., *The Seattle Criteria increase the specificity of preparticipation ECG screening among elite athletes*. Br J Sports Med, 2013.
9. Sheikh, N., et al., *Comparison of ECG Criteria for the Detection of Cardiac Abnormalities in Elite Black and White Athletes*. Circulation, 2014.
10. Tajik, A.J., et al., *Two-dimensional real-time ultrasonic imaging of the heart and great vessels. Technique, image orientation, structure identification, and validation*. Mayo Clin Proc, 1978. **53**(5): p. 271-303.
11. Maron, B.J., et al., *American College of Cardiology/European Society of Cardiology clinical expert consensus document on hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2003. **42**(9): p. 1687-713.
12. Maron, B.J., D.P. Zipes, and M. Ackerman, *36th Bethesda Conference: eligibility recommendations for competitive athletes with cardiovascular abnormalities*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2005. **45**(8): p. 1311-75.

13. Papadakis, M., et al., *Prevalence and significance of T-wave inversions in predominantly Caucasian adolescent athletes*. Eur Heart J, 2009. **30**(14): p. 1728-35.
14. Di Paolo, F.M., et al., *The athlete's heart in adolescent Africans: an electrocardiographic and echocardiographic study*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2012. **59**(11): p. 1029-36.
15. Basavarajaiah, S., et al., *Prevalence and significance of an isolated long QT interval in elite athletes*. Eur Heart J, 2007. **28**(23): p. 2944-9.
16. Goldenberg, I., et al., *Risk factors for aborted cardiac arrest and sudden cardiac death in children with the congenital long-QT syndrome*. Circulation, 2008. **117**(17): p. 2184-91.
17. Zaidi, A., et al., *Clinical significance of electrocardiographic right ventricular hypertrophy in athletes: comparison with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy and pulmonary hypertension*. Eur Heart J, 2013. **34**(47): p. 3649-56.
18. Gati, S., et al., *Should axis deviation or atrial enlargement be categorised as abnormal in young athletes? The athlete's electrocardiogram: time for re-appraisal of markers of pathology*. Eur Heart J, 2013. **34**(47): p. 3641-8.
19. Maron, B.J., et al., *Sudden death in young competitive athletes. Clinical, demographic, and pathological profiles*. Jama, 1996. **276**(3): p. 199-204.
20. Maron, B.J., et al., *Relationship of race to sudden cardiac death in competitive athletes with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2003. **41**(6): p. 974-80.
21. Basavarajaiah, S., et al., *Prevalence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in highly trained athletes: relevance to pre-participation screening*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2008. **51**(10): p. 1033-9.
22. Maron, B.J., *Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review*. Jama, 2002. **287**(10): p. 1308-20.
23. Marcus, F.I., *Electrocardiographic features of inherited diseases that predispose to the development of cardiac arrhythmias, long QT syndrome, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia, and Brugada syndrome*. J Electrocardiol, 2000. **33 Suppl**: p. 1-10.

Table 1: Electrocardiographic parameters used to define various ECG abnormalities in the European Society of Cardiology recommendations, Seattle criteria and Refined Criteria.

ECG Abnormality	European Society of Cardiology Recommendations	Seattle Criteria	Refined Criteria
Left atrial enlargement	Negative portion of the P wave in lead V1 $\geq 0.1\text{mV}$ in depth and ≥ 40 msec in duration	Prolonged P wave duration of >120 msec in leads I or II with negative portion of the P wave $\geq 0.1\text{mV}$ in depth and ≥ 40 msec in duration in lead V1	As ESC
Right atrial enlargement	P-wave amplitude $\geq 2.5\text{mm}$ in leads II, III or aVF	As ESC	As ESC
Left QRS-axis deviation	-30° to -90°	As ESC	As ESC
Right QRS-axis deviation	$>115^{\circ}$	$>120^{\circ}$	As ESC
Right ventricular hypertrophy	Sum of R wave in V1 and S wave in V5 or V6 ≥ 1.05 mV	Sum of R wave in V1 and S wave in V5 $>1.05\text{mV}$ and right axis deviation $>120^{\circ}$	As ESC
Corrected QT interval	>440 msec (males) and >460 msec (females)	>470 msec (males) and 480 msec (females)	As Seattle
Complete left bundle branch block	QRS ≥ 120 msec predominantly negative QRS complex in lead V1 (QS or rS), and upright monophasic R wave in leads I & V6	As ESC	As ESC
Complete right bundle branch block	RSR' pattern in anterior precordial leads with QRS duration $\geq 120\text{msec}$	Not relevant	As ESC
Intraventricular conduction delay	Any QRS duration $>120\text{msec}$ including RBBB and LBBB	Any QRS duration ≥ 140 msec or complete LBBB	As ESC
Pathological Q-wave	$>0.4\text{mV}$ deep in any lead except III, aVR	$>0.3\text{mV}$ deep and/or >40 msec duration in ≥ 2 leads except III and aVR	≥ 40 msec in duration or $\geq 25\%$ of the height of the ensuing R-wave

Significant T-wave inversion	≥ 2 mm in ≥ 2 adjacent leads (deep) or 'minor' in ≥ 2 leads	> 1 mm in depth in two or more leads V2–6, II and aVF or I and aVL (excludes III, aVR and V1)	As Seattle
ST-segment depression	≥ 0.5 mm deep in ≥ 2 leads	As ESC	As ESC
Ventricular pre-excitation	PR interval < 120 msec with or without delta wave	PR interval < 120 msec with delta wave	As Seattle

LBBB, left bundle branch block; mm, millimetres; msec, milliseconds; RBBB, right bundle branch block

Table 2: Performance comparison of 3 ECG interpretation criteria (ESC recommendations vs. Seattle Criteria vs. Refined Criteria)

	Combined (n=2491)	Arabic (n=1367)	Black (n=748)	Caucasian (n=376)
Prevalence of an abnormal ECG using ESC recommendations	555 (22.3%)	261 (19.1%)	224 (29.9%)	70 (18.6%)
Prevalence of an abnormal ECG using Seattle Criteria	289 (11.6%)	133 (9.7%)	124 (16.6%)	32 (8.5%)
Prevalence of an abnormal ECG using Refined Criteria	132 (5.3%)	49 (3.6%)	75 (10%)	8 (2.1%)
Number of identified conditions associated with SCD	10 (7 HCM; 3 WPW)	4 (2 HCM; 2 WPW)	6 (5 HCM; 1 WPW)	0
FPR when using ESC recommendations	21.9%	18.8%	29.1%	18.6%
FPR when using Seattle Criteria	11.2%	9.4%	15.8%	8.5%
FPR when using Refined Criteria	4.9%	3.3%	9.2%	2.1%

ECG; electrocardiogram, ESC; European Society of Cardiology, SCD; sudden cardiac death, HCM; hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, WPW; wolff-parkinson-white syndrome and FPR; false positive rate

Table 3: Table representing the sensitivity and specificity and likelihood ratios of 3 ECG interpretation criteria

	ESC recommendations			Seattle Criteria			Refined Criteria		
		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG
Combined athletes: Arabic, Black and Caucasian	+ve path.	10	0	+ve path.	10	0	+ve path.	10	0
	-ve path.	400	1308	-ve path.	213	1495	-ve path.	102	1606
	Positive predictive value (%) [95% CI]	2.4 [1.2 - 4.4]		4.5 [2.2 - 8.1]		8.9 [4.37 - 15.81]			
Sensitivity (%) [95% CI]	100 [69.0 - 100]		100 [69.0 - 100]		100 [69.0 - 100]				
Specificity (%) [95% CI]	76.6 [74.5 - 78.6]		87.5* [85.9 - 89.1]		94.0*† [92.8 - 95.1]				
Positive likelihood ratio [95% CI]	4.3 [3.9 - 4.7]		8.0* [7.1 - 9.1]		16.8*† [13.9 - 20.2]				
Black athletes		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG
	+ve path.	6	0	+ve path.	6	0	+ve path.	6	0
	-ve path.	168	383	-ve path.	90	461	-ve path.	54	497
Positive predictive value (%) [95% CI]	3.4 [1.3-7.4]		6.2 [2.3-13.1]		10 [3.8-20.5]				
Sensitivity (%) [95% CI]	100 [54.1 -100]		100 [54.1 -100]		100 [54.1 -100]				
Specificity (%) [95% CI]	69.5 [65.5 -73.3]		83.7 [80.3 -86.7] *		90.2 [87.4 -92.5] *†				
Positive likelihood ratio [95% CI]	3.3 [1.8 to 3.6]		6.1 [3.2 to 7.1] *		10.2 [5.3 to 12.5] *†				
Arabic athletes		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG		+ve ECG	-ve ECG
	+ve path.	4	0	+ve path.	4	0	+ve path.	4	0
	-ve path.	185	750	-ve path.	104	831	-ve path.	41	894
Positive predictive value (%) [95% CI]	2.1 [0.6-5.3]		3.7 [1.0-9.2]		8.9 [2.5-21.2]				
Sensitivity (%) [95% CI]	100 [39.8 - 100]		100 [39.8 -100]		100 [39.8 -100]				
Specificity (%) [95% CI]	80.2 [75.6-82.7]		88.9* [86.7-90.8]		95.6*† [94.1-96.8]				
Positive likelihood ratio [95% CI]	5.1 [12.2-5.4]		9.0* [3.8-10.1]		22.8*† [9.4-28.8]				

ESC; European Society of Cardiology, +ve ECG; abnormal electrocardiogram, -ve ECG; normal electrocardiogram, +ve path; identified cardiac pathology, -ve path; no identified cardiac pathology. * Significantly different from ESC recommendations. †Significantly different from Seattle Criteria

Figure 1. Definition of an abnormal ECG using the Refined criteria