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Impact of childhood experience and adult well-being on eating preferences and behaviours

Simon J Russell, Karen Hughes, Mark A Bellis

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To examine the relative contribution of childhood experience, measured by childhood violence and childhood happiness, and adult well-being on adult eating preferences and behaviours, independent of proximal factors such as current deprivation.

Design: A cross-sectional, stratified, randomised sample survey using retrospective measures of childhood violence and happiness and self-reported measures of current well-being.


Participants: Individuals aged 18–95-year-olds from randomly selected households (participation was successful for 90% of eligible households and 78% of the total visited addresses; n=11 243).

Outcomes: Dichotomised measures for preference of healthy foods or ‘feel good’ foods and low or high daily fruit and vegetable consumption.

Results: After correcting for demographics, combined categories for childhood experience and dichotomised measures of adult well-being were found to be significantly related to adult food preferences and eating behaviours. Participants with unhappy and violent childhoods compared to those with happy and non-violent childhoods had adjusted ORs (95% CI, significance) of 2.67 (2.15 to 3.06, p<0.001) of having low daily fruit and vegetable intake (two or less portions) and 1.53 (1.29 to 1.81, p<0.001) of choosing ‘feel good’ foods over foods which were good for their long term health.

Conclusions: Daily intake of fruit and vegetables, linked to non-communicable diseases, and preference for “feel good” foods, linked to obesity, are affected by childhood experience and adult well-being independent of demographic factors. Preventative interventions which support parent–child relationships and improve childhood experience are likely to reduce the development of poor dietary and other health-risk behaviours.

INTRODUCTION

In 2008, 1.5 billion men and women worldwide were overweight or obese. Globally, obesity has nearly doubled since 1980 and prevalence of overweight and obesity in populations continues to rise. Forecasts suggest that high rates of obesity will affect future populations' health and economics, with weight gain contributing to increases in non-communicable diseases including cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and cancers. In England, 62% of adults are now overweight or obese and the cost of overweight and obesity to the UK economy was estimated to be £15.8 billion in 2007, including £4.2 billion in costs to the National Health Service (NHS). Food supply is a dominant driver of the weight gain in populations but frameworks for obesity determinants recognise layered levels of determinants where, within any given environment, propensity and resilience towards obesity varies between individuals. Eating for pleasure or hedonic eating may stimulate substantial overeating in an environment where highly palatable foods are plentiful. Population groups at elevated risk of obesity (those who are obese or overweight and those who have previously been obese) have been found to report greater liking for higher fat items and choose to
consume ‘feel good’ foods which are high in fat or energy density.9

An important component of eating healthily is fruit and vegetables which, if consumed daily in sufficient amounts, could help prevent major diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, certain cancers, type 2 diabetes and depression.10 11 The Joint Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and WHO Expert Consultation on diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases recommended the intake of a minimum of 400 g of fruit and vegetables per day (excluding potatoes and other starchy tubers) for the prevention of chronic diseases.11

Low fruit and vegetable intake is estimated to cause about 31% of ischaemic heart disease and 11% of strokes worldwide.12 In the UK, the mean consumption of fruit and vegetables is 4.1 portions per day (328 g)13 which is less than the European average of 386 g per day14 and less than the minimum consumption recommended by the FAO/WHO. There are variations in consumption of fruit and vegetables between age groups, gender, education levels, income strata, social classes and geographical regions.15–17 In terms of psychosocial correlates; low family connectedness has been demonstrated to be associated with inadequate daily fruit and vegetable consumption among adolescents.18

In addition to variation between socioeconomic gradients and other demographic factors, childhood experience plays a key role in determining adult eating preferences, behaviours and therefore body mass index (BMI). Exposure to different foods as a child can influence adult eating preferences and behaviours and therefore levels of overweight and obesity.19 Critically, children who suffer from neglect, abuse, stress and other adverse experiences are substantially more likely to suffer from childhood and adult obesity.19 Cumulative early psychological stress and increased behavioural problems have been found to be associated with childhood obesity and rapid weight gain during adolescence.20 The contribution of adverse childhoods in the creation of problem eating behaviours has been found to persist into adulthood.21 Consequently, children from neglected backgrounds are at a greatly increased risk of obesity (compared to harmonious backgrounds, OR 7.1),22 while adults who suffered childhood depression have higher BMI than healthy comparisons even after accounting for demographic and social class.23 24

The contribution of life experiences to unhealthy eating is often confounded by demographic factors; by controlling for these factors, this study contributes to the literature on how childhood experiences and adult well-being influence eating preferences and behaviours. Using a large mental well-being (MWB) survey, this study seeks to identify the effect of positive and negative aspects of childhood on food choices, independent of demographic factors including deprivation and current mental well-being. Preference for ‘feel good’ foods rather than healthy foods and daily consumption of fruit and vegetable portions are used as measures of eating preference and behaviour; and retrospective reporting of childhood happiness and violence are used as measures of positive and negative aspects of childhood. The results are explored from a public health and a psychological perspective.

METHODS
Study design and setting
The study used data from the second repetition of the North West Mental Well-being Survey (NWMWBS)24 in the North West region of England, (population, 7 056 000).25 The target sample size was 11 500 in order to provide a representative sample of the region. A clustered random approach was employed using the national Postcode Address File, which details addresses and postcodes within lower super output areas (LSOAs). Each LSOA contains approximately 1500 residents and is assigned a measure of deprivation based on 38 indicators,26 as derived from the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2010. LSOAs were randomly selected from each deprivation quintile in proportion with their occurrence for given administrative areas. A total of 21 694 households were selected to account for ineligibility and non-compliance.27 A total of 14 737 households were approached, 68% of selected households, before the target sample size was reached; participation was successful for 90% of eligible households and 78% of the total visited addresses.24

Measures
The structured survey included questions relating to demographics (such as gender, age and ethnicity), personal relationships, work and employment, physical health and well-being in addition to various lifestyle behaviours (such as diet and exercise). Participants were allocated a measure of deprivation based on the IMD 2010 quintile of the LSOA in which they lived. The English Indices of Deprivation are calculated from distinct domains including income, employment, education, housing, crime and living environment.28 Employment categories were employed (full time, part-time or self-employed), unemployed (seeking work or not seeking work for reasons of disability), full-time student, retired or other/domestic. Standard UK ethnic group categories29 were used for the recording of ethnicity. For purposes of analyses, ethnicity was broadly categorised as White and non-Caucasian, owing to small numbers of most minority groups.

Adult MWB was measured using the standardised short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (SWEMWBS),30 an abbreviated version of the WEMWBS, which has been validated for use on adults.31 The shortened version asks participants to rate their experience on a five-point scale (1, none of the time; 2, rarely; 3, some of the time; 4, often; 5, all of the time) for the 2 weeks prior to participation for seven aspects of well-being (I’ve been feeling optimistic about the
future; I’ve been feeling useful; I’ve been feeling relaxed; I’ve been dealing with problems well; I’ve been thinking clearly; I’ve been feeling close to other people; I’ve been able to make up my own mind about things).

An overall score was calculated by summing participant scores for the seven items. For analyses, responses to questions on well-being were dichotomised with low scores >1 SD below the mean (MWB, mean 27.49, SD 5.05, low <22).

Self-assessed childhood experience was measured by the question ‘Overall how happy would you say your childhood was’, using a 10-point scale (1=extremely happy, 10=extremely unhappy). Self-assessed childhood violence was measured in a similar way, ‘Overall how violent would you say your home life as a child was? This includes violence you may have witnessed at home, not just been directly involved with’ also using a 10-point scale (1=free from all violence, 10=very violent).

Childhood measures were dichotomised to indicate relatively worse scores as approximately >1 SD above the mean; happy/unhappy childhoods (mean 2.79, SD 2.14, unhappy >5) and non-violent/violent childhoods (mean 1.97, SD 1.97, violent >4). Childhood happiness and violence were highly associated (correlation coefficient 0.497, p<0.001) and for the purposes of analyses, were combined into four categories happy and non-violent (83%), unhappy but non-violent (5%), happy but violent (5%) and unhappy and violent (6%).

To determine general food preference participants were asked to choose between the options of ‘I prefer foods that are good for my health’ and ‘I prefer foods that make me feel good when I eat them’.

As an indicator of eating behaviour, participants were asked about their daily fruit and vegetable consumption: ‘On a normal day, how many portions of fruit and vegetables (excluding potatoes) would you usually eat (one portion is roughly one handful or a full piece of fruit such as an apple)?’, participants were asked to select either 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 or more. For analyses, this question was divided into low (2 or less portions per day) and higher consumption (3 or more portions per day). Data for BMI were not requested from participants as self-reported weight and height have been found to be unreliable, particularly for particular population subgroups. For example, errors in self-reported weight have been found to increase directly with increasing levels of overweight or obesity, while errors in self-reported height have been found to increase directly with increasing age among individuals aged 45 years or over.

Analyses

Respondents below the age of 18 were excluded (n=47) from analyses and individuals missing data on key variables were also excluded (age n=90, ethnicity n=24, childhood happiness n=63, childhood violence n=67; individuals may have missed more than one data item). The final sample was 11243 (98% of all those completing the survey). Analyses were undertaken using PASW (Predictive Analytics Software) V.17.0. Statistics utilised $\chi^2$ for bivariate explorations of adult well-being and the combined childhood experience categories. Stratified $\chi^2$ was used for explorations of eating preferences and behaviours, childhood experiences and current levels of deprivation. The Mann-Whitney U and the Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to test the values and variance of daily fruit and vegetable consumption against high and low adult well-being and the childhood experience categories. Backwards conditional logistic regression was used to examine independent relationships between childhood experiences and adult eating behaviours. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Liverpool John Moores University.

RESULTS

In terms of the final sample demographics, the mean age was 52.13 years (SD 19.23, range 18–95 years); 42% were male and 58% were female; and 96% were White and 4% were non-Caucasian. In terms of deprivation, 42% were from the most deprived quintile, 18% from the second most, 14% from the third most, 13% from the fourth most and 12.5% were from the least deprived quintile. In terms of childhood experience, 83% reported happy non-violent childhoods, 5% reported unhappy non-violent childhoods, 5% reported violent but happy childhoods and 6% reported unhappy violent childhoods. In terms of adult well-being the mean score for the SWEMWBS was 27.51 (SD 5.03, range 7–35).

Food preference and daily intake of fruit and vegetables were strongly associated with a range of demographics (table 1). Preference for ‘feel good’ foods over healthy foods and lower intake of fruit and vegetables varied significantly with age, gender and deprivation quintile, where younger participants, male participants and more deprived participants reported less healthy preferences and behaviours. Ethnicity had no relationship with food preference but was significantly related to fruit and vegetable intake, where white participants reported consuming fewer daily portions.

There was a significant association between food preference and daily fruit and vegetable consumption (24% of those who preferred ‘feel good’ foods reported low fruit and vegetable intake compared to 6% of those who reported eating foods that were good for their health, p<0.001). The percentage of participants who reported a preference for ‘feel good’ foods was significantly higher for those with low, compared to high, adult well-being and for those with unhappy violent childhoods, compared to happy non-violent childhoods (table 1 and figure 1A). The percentage of participants who reported low fruit and vegetable intake was 15% higher among those with low (26%) compared to high (13%) adult well-being, and 22% higher for those with unhappy violent childhoods (35%) compared to happy non-violent childhoods (13%; figure 1B).
### Table 1: Demographics, eating preference and daily fruit and vegetable consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18–24</td>
<td>11,243</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,964</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–39</td>
<td>24,62</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,666</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7770</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–54</td>
<td>24,02</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,877</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7495</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>17,61</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,481</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4696</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>3,83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,731</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10,774</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,706</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>314,749</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>2,467</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deprivation quintile</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(least deprived)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>114,836</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(most deprived)</td>
<td>2,487</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>397</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85,909</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adult well-being</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>9,736</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,048</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>148,836</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>911</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85,909</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Childhood experience</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happy non-violent</td>
<td>9,378</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,846</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhappy non-violent</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>911</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Happy violent        | 9,736| 87 |         | 5,048| 52 | 1  | 0.001 | 1 <0.001 |
| Unhappy violent      | 1,507| 13 |         | 911| 60 | 1  | 0.001 | 1 <0.001 |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; DF, degrees of freedom.
The median value for daily fruit and vegetable consumption across all categories was four, with the exception of participants with low adult well-being and unhappy violent childhoods where the median values were three. The differences in daily fruit and vegetable consumption between high and low adult well-being (Z = −16.908, p < 0.001) and the childhood experience categories (χ² = 219.910, p < 0.001) were highly significant.

Backwards conditional logistic regression analyses were applied to independently examine the effects of adult well-being and childhood experience on eating preferences and behaviours, while controlling for the effects of demographics (table 2i). All relationships for adult well-being and childhood experience were significant (p < 0.001). Low fruit and vegetable intake showed the greatest increases in adjusted odds for low adult well-being and unhappy violent childhoods. Compared with high adult well-being, adjusted odds of low fruit and vegetable intake were 1.81 (95% CIs 1.58 to 2.08) in those with unhappy violent childhoods, adjusted odds of low fruit and vegetable intake were 2.57 (95% CIs 2.15 to 3.06) in those with unhappy violent childhoods and 1.58 (95% CIs 1.28 to 1.95) for those with unhappy non-violent childhood, although the group of happy violent childhoods was not significant. Compared with happy non-violent childhoods, adjusted odds of preferring ‘feel good’ foods were 1.53 (95% CIs 1.29 to 1.80) in those with unhappy violent childhoods. Compared with high adult well-being adjusted odds of preferring ‘feel good’ foods were 1.24 (95% CIs 1.11 to 1.39) in those with low adult well-being.

DISCUSSION

The links between obesity and eating for pleasure⁹ and the links between a range of health risks and low fruit and vegetable consumption¹⁰ ¹¹ have been extensively demonstrated. While associations between social deprivation and obesity in the UK have been identified,³⁶ a key aim of this research was to examine the association between childhood experience and adult well-being independent of demographic factors, including current level of deprivation. For example, among those in the most deprived quintile, low daily fruit and vegetable consumption was more than doubled in those reporting unhappy and violent childhoods (39%) compared with those with happy and non-violent childhoods (18%; figure 1B). After accounting for current level of deprivation, analyses revealed that adults with low well-being and those that suffered adverse childhood experiences were significantly more inclined to eat for pleasure rather than for the good of their health and more likely to consume lower levels of daily fruit and vegetable portions. Since childhood experience is a determinant of adult well-being,§ the association between childhood experience and adult eating preferences, behaviours and therefore propensity for obesity may be even greater than indicated by these results.

A variety of theories consistent with our findings connect childhood experiences with adult eating behaviours. There is increasing evidence for chronic stress during childhood causing maladaptive brain development with an array of negative consequences. These include impacts on the plastic processes of brain development, emotional regulation, cognitive response, memory and learning,³³ and autonomic, endocrine and immune systems.³⁶ Children exposed to adverse conditions are also at significantly increased risk of a range of mental and emotional problems including depression and anxiety disorders,³⁷ and some individuals respond to such states with excessive eating.³⁸ Links between emotional distress and overeating,³⁹ and between emotional overeating and depression,⁴⁰ have been reported. Negative moods and stress are the most frequently cited precipitants of binge eating.⁴¹ The neurobiology of stress is also interlinked with that of energy regulation.

---

Figure 1 (A) Preference for ‘feel good’ foods by current level of deprivation and childhood experience, with 95% CIs. Happy non-violent childhood (n=9378), unhappy violent childhood (n=715); Pearson χ² found the overall trend to be highly significant (χ² = 60.285, sig < 0.001). (B) Low fruit and vegetable consumption (≤2 portions per day) by current level of deprivation and childhood experience, with 95% CIs. Happy non-violent childhood (n=9378), unhappy violent childhood (n=715). Pearson χ² found the overall trend to be highly significant (χ² = 241.598, sig < 0.001).

---

1 Unadjusted odds ratios were also calculated to indicate the associations for given groups without controlling for other factors.
Stress during childhood or adulthood can augment the consumption of ‘feel good’ foods, which increases the salience of such foods and can lead to weight gain. Reward pathways associated with stress and the consumption of ‘feel good’ foods can increase motivation, which can increase the risk of developing addictive behaviours. Availability of food is also a determinant of the quality and quantity of food eaten by humans and a dominant driver of obesity. In the presence of high food availability, weight regulation requires higher cognitive control since psychological and behavioural factors urge an individual to eat. Those that suffer early life stress, such as abuse and neglect, may be inhibited in the development of higher order, complex cognitive and affective functions associated with brain regions which undergo protracted postnatal development. These findings are consistent with previous research which indicates that a significantly higher number of obese individuals engaged with weight loss programmes had suffered adverse childhood experiences and evidence that patients with binge eating disorder frequently suffer from psychopathology, including unhealthy restraint and psychological distress, such as depression. Adverse experiences and stress during formative periods of development may affect eating preferences and behaviours into adulthood, independent of MWB and current level of deprivation and with detrimental effects, including overweight or obesity.

Public health interventions for obesity are frequently aimed at adults but evidence of how diet can be moderated at that stage is unclear. Interventions with children often focus on education about diet but recognition of the link between early life experiences and adult eating behaviours may provide wider avenues for preventative interventions. Our findings suggest that public health approaches that seek to improve childhood experiences, most notably by supporting parent–child relationships, may be particularly cost-effective owing to their potential of reducing the development of various health-risk behaviours. Further research is required to determine at what stage of development the influence of early life stress begins to manifest behavioural change leading to overweight and obesity. Public health interventions for obesity are frequently aimed at adults but evidence of how diet can be moderated at that stage is unclear. Interventions with children often focus on education about diet but recognition of the link between early life experiences and adult eating behaviours may provide wider avenues for preventative interventions. Our findings suggest that public health approaches that seek to improve childhood experiences, most notably by supporting parent–child relationships, may be particularly cost-effective owing to their potential of reducing the development of various health-risk behaviours.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eating for pleasure rather than health</th>
<th>Low fruit and vegetable consumption (≤2 portions/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
<td><strong>AOR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24</td>
<td>1.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–39</td>
<td>1.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–54</td>
<td>1.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ref) 65+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>(Ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Caucasian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile (Ref) (least deprived) 1</td>
<td>1.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(most deprived) 5</td>
<td>2.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult well-being (Ref) High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>1.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood experience (Ref) Happy non-violent</td>
<td>Unhappy non-violent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy violent</td>
<td>1.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhappy violent</td>
<td>1.874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Odds were adjusted to identify the individual contribution of each independent variable in the model.*
research is also required to identify the other factors in this relationship, which may include reduced food quality, fewer family meals and increased passive time, all of which may be coincident with neglectful homes.

Limitations

Measures of general eating preference and daily consumption of fruit and vegetables were used rather than BMI, for which self-reporting is unreliable; however, these indicators may also be subject to inaccuracies. While previous piloting of the question to measure general eating preference indicated a level of reliability, some participants might ‘feel good’ when they eat healthy foods or believe that their diet is healthy when it is not; similarly participants may misunderstand what constitutes a portion of fruit or vegetables. The relationship between childhood experience and adult well-being may also be subject to some inaccuracy since the association is closely linked and there may be some reporting bias. The measures for childhood experience were retrospective and adults suffering with low or high adult well-being may recall their childhoods with distortion; a wider range of validated questions would assist in reducing the potential inaccuracies. The dependent variables were dichotomised (for adult well-being) or combined into four groups (for childhood happiness and violence) for analyses. While combining the data in this way could lead to a loss of information, the objective of the study was to examine the effect of low adult well-being and adverse childhood experience on poor diet choices and inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption. The categorisation of low adult well-being used a measure of less than one SD below the mean and the highly significant association of childhood happiness and violence justified their combination.

CONCLUSIONS

Empirical evidence that demonstrates effective interventions for overweight and obese children and adults is limited and prevention efforts have rarely been sustained. Results here suggest that low daily intake of fruit and vegetables, linked to non-communicable diseases, and preference for ‘feel good’ foods, linked to obesity, are affected by childhood experience and adult well-being independent of demographic factors. Preventative interventions which support parent–child relationships and improve childhood experience are likely to reduce the development of poor dietary and other health-risk behaviours. Such prevention and support would require a multiagency approach, with coordination from domestic violence, parenting, developmental and school services. Obesity treatments for adults may also benefit by incorporating mental health elements to improve current psychological functioning and subjective well-being, which may enable healthier eating and sustained weight loss.
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