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Abstract

Complex structures and multiple interfaces of modern microelectronic packages complicate the interpretation of acoustic data. This study has four novel contributions. 1) Contributions to the finite element method. 2) Novel approaches to reduce computational cost. 3) New post processing technologies to interpret the simulation data. 4) Formation of theoretical guidance for acoustic image interpretation.

The impact of simulation resolution on the numerical dispersion error and the exploration of quadrilateral infinite boundaries make up the first part of this thesis's contributions. The former focuses on establishing the convergence score of varying resolution densities in the time and spatial domain against a very high fidelity numerical solution. The latter evaluates the configuration of quadrilateral infinite boundaries in comparison against traditional circular infinite boundaries and quadrilateral Perfectly Matched Layers.

The second part of this study features the modelling of a flip chip with a 140µm solder bump assembly, which is implemented with a 230MHz virtual raster scanning transducer with a spot size of 17µm. The Virtual Transducer was designed to reduce the total numerical elements from hundreds of millions to hundreds of thousands.

Thirdly, two techniques are invented to analyze and evaluate simulated acoustic data: 1) The C-Line plot is a 2D max plot of specific gate interfaces that allows quantitative characterization of acoustic phenomena. 2) The Acoustic Propagation Map, contour maps an overall summary of intra sample wave propagation across the time domain in one image.

Lastly, combining all the developments. The physical mechanics of edge effects was studied and verified against experimental data. A direct relationship between transducer spot size and edge effect severity was established. At regions with edge effect, the acoustic pulse interfacing with the solder bump edge is scattered mainly along the horizontal axis. The edge effect did not manifest in solder bump models without Under Bump Metallization (UBM). Measurements found acoustic penetration improvements of up to 44% with the removal of (UBM). Other acoustic mechanisms were also discovered and explored.

Defect detection mechanism was investigated by modelling crack propagation in the solder bump assembly. Gradual progression of the crack was found have a predictable influence on the edge effect profile. By exploiting this feature, the progress of crack propagation from experimental data can be interpreted by evaluating the C-Scan image.
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Chapter 1

Introduction
1 Introduction

This research examines acoustic microscope imaging principles and how they can detect defects, particularly for inspection of microelectronic packages. Specific finite element methodologies and novel post processing techniques are developed to enable this analysis. To improve reliability evaluation, the study aims to facilitate the creation of better algorithms, imaging methods and microelectronic structural design through the understanding of acoustic propagation mechanisms. Although this study is focused on acoustic propagation mechanisms in microelectronic solder bump structures, the finite element methods offered in this study are robust and can be applied to microstructures of higher complexity. The post processing techniques are designed for conventional solder bump designs, thus having wide application.

1.1 Background

Through decades of technological evolution, electronic products have been increasing in density while scaling down in package size (Vardaman, 2004, Harvey et al., 2007). From dual in line packages (DIP) to ball grid arrays (BGA), modern integrated circuits (ICs) with their increasing complexity demand space above all else. To create space, gates become smaller and electrical contacts are set smaller and closer. When the horizontal space became exhausted, chipmakers went vertical to create new 3D structures. This gave birth to a new generation of multilayer IC packages such as the flip chip, BGA (Ball grid array), SP-CSP (Stacked Package-Chip Size Package, and FSCSP (Folded Stacked Chip Scale Package) (Wakharkar et al., 2005).

Flip chip packaging techniques alone are experiencing rapid growth owing to available infrastructure developed by the private sector. This packaging technique is becoming increasingly complex with an expected 50% annual growth in solder bumps while minimizing size (Vardaman, 2004). The performance is increased further with the development of higher density packaging designs typically called SiP (system in a package) or MCM (multi-chip module). These 3D stacked chip structures can already be found in end user products such as mobile phone processors and flash memory chips. Die stacking is essential to provide high silicon density within a single package while package stacking provides the capability of stacking multiple types of memory stacks with different logic die packages to enable multiple product designs (Wakharkar et al., 2005).
In high volume markets such as the automotive industry, high performance electronics operating in harsh environments has to be delivered at the lowest possible cost. In such applications, reliability expectations of 98.5% are not unusual (Braden, 2012). From automobiles to avionics, this level of reliability is crucial considering these machines rely on lines of codes that goes into the hundreds of millions (Charette, 2009). In cars the total cost of electronics are estimated to be in the range of 40-50% of the cost of the vehicle (Murray, 2009, Braden, 2012). High efficiency designs and the introduction of electric and hybrid automobiles will only increase this figure, as approximately 90 percent (Mohr et al., 2013) of automotive innovations in 2012 featured electronics and software.

Growing consumer demand for increased levels of functionality and miniaturisation has accelerated microelectronic package density (Braden, 2012). At such densities, electrical connection pitches in between 50µm to 150µm are normal. With conventional acoustic microimaging, detection of internal features and defects in thin or small packages is approaching resolution limits (Zhang et al., 2006). According to the Motor Industry Research Association, electrical problems account for up to 70% of customer complaints and warranty claims in the first twelve months of a products life. In other industries, 7.5% of Iphones, 23.7% of XBox and 6.6% of digital cameras fail in their first two years from non accidental malfunctions (SquareTrade, 2012). In automotive, avionics and defence, electronics are required to operate in harsh environments. These reliability concerns are driving development of increasingly detailed non-destructive forensics analysis.

Metal migration, dendrite growth, microcracks, wirebond microfractures, plane-to-plane shorting, high-resistance, voids, solder or bump bridging and delamination within the package and in between dies are typical defects from the manufacturing process (Pacheco et al., 2005). Harsh operational conditions like extreme temperatures, thermal cycling, high pressure, high humidity and vibrations impose significant stress on solder joints (Yang, 2012). The material combinations in electronics have inherent differences in thermal expansion coefficients (Pang et al., 2001). Repetitive exposure to harsh conditions leads to cyclic strain deformation which eventually initiates cracks which leads to fatigue failure (Braden, 2012, Yang, 2012). Solder joint reliability is tied into the joint design, geometry, fabrication parameters, material, condition, and even the floor plan and PCB thickness can affect the surrounding components (Yang, 2012, Martin, 1999).

One of the key aspects to non-destructive forensics is the evaluation of gap type defects, like delaminations, voids, and cracks. Acoustic microscopy (AMI) has shown to have sufficient sensitivity and precision to analyze flip chip devices and has proven quite useful in
detecting specific gap type defects such as voids and delaminations (Yang et al., 2012). The key acoustic challenges are axial resolution for delaminations and crack detection at closely-spaced interfaces, and penetration through multiple interfaces with high delta Z (acoustic impedance changes) (Dias et al., 2005). When the layer thickness is less than or comparable to the wavelength of the acoustic signal, the reflected echoes from the front and the back surface of the layer overlap (Zhang et al., 2010, Semmens and Kessler, 2002). The interference between the two echoes results in an image distorting pulse distortion. In addition to pulse distortion, different propagation modes, diffraction, and dispersive attenuation make the interpretation of ultrasonic signals/images highly complex. Today a ~200 MHz transducer can resolve 10–15 µm in spatial X and Y but is limited to samples that are no thicker than a millimetre. For 3D packages, there are additional issues with multiple interfaces, where each interface has its associated attenuation and acoustic reflection. The implications of next generation microelectronic packages on acoustic defect detection mechanisms are not clearly understood.

1.2 Motivations and Contribution to Knowledge

The defect detection mechanisms in microelectronic packages are not clearly understood. Reoccurring problems such as the edge effect phenomena prevents clear evaluation at the edges of features which is part of the further work recommended by (Braden, 2012). In the context of solder joint reliability, this phenomenon prevents direct evaluation on large portions of the solder joint’s outer diameter, in the context of a plane view. Viewing the wave propagate in a cross section is physically impossible and simulated solutions represents a gap in the accumulated literature.

Through simulation, this study aims to clarify the defect detection mechanism and predict ultrasonic pulses in the time/frequency domain. Wave propagation phenomena are often modelled using numerical methods such as the finite element method. However, the approximate nature of this methodology normally incurs errors. Amplitude and dispersion errors are common in wave propagation problems. This problem is compounded as errors are cumulative with time steps. While it is quite simple to reduce these errors via mesh refinement, this method imposes unrealistic computational cost even when dealing with microscale models. The problem with high frequency analysis is the fact that the element size is reduced in proportion to the increase in frequency. That said, there are many tools, techniques and work around to overcome such obstacles. Through empirical evaluation of
finite element implementation techniques, this study aims to introduce a methodology to carry out high frequency transient acoustic simulations with sufficient accuracy.

The next objective of this work is to advance the understanding of wave propagation behaviour in microelectronic packages. This aspect of the work will facilitate the development of advance algorithms and test widely accepted hypothesis on edge effect generation. The interpretation of acoustic images are largely based on operator experience (Yang, 2012). Fundamental awareness of the wave propagation behaviour in the sample will have positive implications to the evaluation process. An extension of this work is to add to the evaluation of the data in (Yang et al., 2012) via comparison with simulated data.

In thermal cycling experiments, Braden expressed difficulty tracking the crack progression from acoustic images. This is pursued as the third key point of this study which is the exploitation of undesirable acoustic phenomena to improve the image evaluation process. The profiles of the edge effect are directly affected by changes in the imaging parameters as with the presence of defects. By introducing novel post processing techniques, the edge effect phenomena can be quantified and parameterized. This allows the association of imaging/defect parameters to the edge effect profile. Essentially the undesired side effect of acoustic imaging becomes a value added feature in the evaluation of acoustic images. Extending the work of (Yang, 2012), on quantifying the acoustic mechanism has beneficial implications towards the development of classification algorithms.

1.3 Thesis Structure

This thesis is organized onto six chapters including this one, the introduction.

Chapter 2 is a review of microelectronic technology in the context of reliability. The driving factors for miniaturization of electronic devices are discussed. Relevant examples are presented to build awareness of the scale of present day technology. The primary discussion of this chapter centres on various types of microelectronic packaging technology and techniques and what to expect in the future. Familiarity with microelectronic structures is essential for building simulation models and evaluating their acoustic images.

Chapter 3 elaborates the application of industrial acoustic imaging systems in the microelectronic industry. The theoretical basis for acoustic imaging implementation is presented. This chapter also features advance post processing methodologies to enhance evaluation efforts. The test samples involved in this study are detailed as well as experimental data from earlier work.
Chapter 4 explains the general methodology for conducting transient structural simulations. This chapter underlines the mathematical basis used for finite element analysis and replicate examples found in literature to verify the approach. Accurate material properties are crucial in this study and are shown in this chapter.

Chapter 5 focuses on novel developments in the finite element method. Resolution recipes are empirically evaluated to test for effectiveness, viability and efficiency. Novel approaches to building computational domain boundaries are tested. Findings in this chapter contribute to the optimization of this study and assure the validity of the experiments.

Chapter 6 features the invention of the Scanning Virtual Transducer (VT). This is a key development which overcomes the computational barrier required by the simulation. In this chapter, the design decisions that lead to the final implementation are discussed. Elaborate testing is carried out to evaluate the performance of the virtual transducer. The results are successfully verified against physical transducer specifications.

Chapter 7 reveals two post processing algorithms invented in this study to interpret the data output from the simulations. These are called the C-Line plot and the Acoustic Propagation Map respectively. The C-Line allows the edge effect phenomena to be characterized and provides a bridge between simulated and measured data. The Acoustic Propagation Map summarizes the wave mechanisms inside the sample into an intuitive image. These methods were crucial in elucidating acoustic phenomena.

In Chapter 8, the edge effect phenomena was isolated and studied vigorously using simulation models. Details of the acoustic wave propagation mechanism in solder joints are revealed. Association between simulated models and physical images are established. Various aspects of the observed acoustic mechanisms are evaluated in a theoretical context. Several theoretical guidance was formed. Using experimental data from previous work, the simulated model is used to approximate the progress of defect generation.

Chapter 9 summarizes and concludes the work. This is followed by a discussion of future research opportunities.
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The famous prediction by Gordon E. Moore, co-founder of Intel Corporation predicted that the number of transistors in integrated circuits will double approximately every two years. Popularized into what is now known as Moore's Law, the prediction has been loosely true for the last 40 years. The growth is generally driven by the demand for processing power and integration of features. Circuit real estate becomes crucial and the most innovative way to maximize limited space is by shrinking microelectronic components. However, exponential expansion of circuit complexity in combination with aggressive component down-scaling inevitably leads to complications ensuring reliability.

2.1 Motivation behind Device Down-Scaling.

What is the relationship between the number of transistors and the performance of the integrated circuit? To understand this, we use microprocessors as an example. The processor is synchronized to a clock cycle where each clock cycle executes an instruction usually involving simple arithmetic operations. More transistors allow higher complexity e.g. longer sentences, to be executed each clock cycle. An obvious example would be the 64bit versus 32bit arithmetic addition/subtractions. Fewer cycles per instruction and higher clock frequency translates into the requirement of larger caches, which are essentially very fast memory banks. More pipeline stages are then required to efficiently fetch and queue instructions in parallel, which translate into more transistors. Additional features like a predictor and out-of-order execution also adds to circuit complexity and density. The advent of multi-core parallel processing technologies further multiplies transistor count. Therefore, the transistor count does not necessarily increase processing power; rather the architecture’s ability to execute longer instructions in parallel every cycle executes this. (Silc et al., 1999)

Since the instruction execution is carried out on each clock cycle, the performance of the circuit can be improved simply by increasing the clock frequency. This is only partly true. While the frequency can be increased, the transistor gate will require higher voltages to react quickly. Using a capacitor circuit simplification model, the voltage to charge time relationship is governed by:

\[ I(t) = C \frac{dV}{dt} \]  

(2-1)

Where \( I \) is the instantaneous current through the capacitor at time \( t \), \( C \) is the Capacitance in Farads and \( dV/dt \) is the instantaneous rate of voltage change over time. However, increasing the voltage, ‘\( V \’ \) exponentially increases stored energy, ‘\( E \’ \) which is given as;
From the above equation, the dynamic switching power or the power consumed ‘\( P \)’ in relation to frequency ‘\( f \)’ is written as:

\[
P = \alpha CV^2 f
\]  

(2–3)

Where \( \alpha \) is the activity factor (0 ≤ \( \alpha \) ≤ 1) but typically \( \alpha \) is 0.5 for datapath logic, 0.03 to 0.05 for control logic and 0.15 for static CMOS designs (Sylvester et al., 2014). Therefore, increasing the frequency increases the thermal output of the device due to its accompanying charging and discharging. (Glisson, 2011)

There are two principal sources of power dissipation in modern microelectronic circuits, dynamic power and static power (Kim et al., 2003). Dynamic power is the result of repeated charging and discharging cycles which heats up the transistor. The primary source of transistor self-heating comes from the current flowing through the gate junction. Self heating of the device occurs when the electron flow interacts with the lattice vibrations also known as phonons (Pop et al., 2006). The drift-diffusion model shows that the electrons loose energy which is emitted as heat when the electrons step down from a higher energy band (Pop, 2004). This relationship is symbolized in Figure 2–1 where the electrons eventually give up the energy to optical phonons.

Static power refers to the off-state leakage of the device where the current leaks through transistor inversion layer even when turned off. Two primary components of static power leakage is the sub-threshold leakage which is a weak inversion current across the device and gate leakage which is a tunnelling current through the gate oxide insulation (Kim
et al., 2003). Unlike dynamic power, static leakage is exacerbated by smaller device geometries. For nano-scale devices, static power becomes significant. Hence equation 2–3 is rewritten as:

\[ P = ACV^2f + V_{\text{leak}} \]  

(2–4)

Describing the total power dissipated as a sum of dynamic power and static power. The second term models the static power loss due to the leakage current \( I_{\text{leak}} \).

For decades, some engineers have grappled with the concept of heat dissipation through erasure of information. Computation is an abstract mathematical process of mapping the input bits into an output bit. Hence the question arises as Orlov puts it “Why would there be any fundamental connection between such a mapping and the type of microscopic motion we call heat?” (Orlov et al., 2012) The answer to the question requires a concept that connects computational theory to the law of thermodynamics. Landauer's Principle describes that since the computation is represented by physical systems, there will be fundamental heat dissipation when the information is irreversibly erased (Landauer, 1961). This principle postulates a new factor of heat dissipation which would affect devices with great processing power. The Landauer Principle has recently been experimentally proven (Antoine et al., 2012).

![Figure 2–2: Comparison between (a) traditional planner transistor and (b) novel 3D Tri-Gate transistor (Intel.com)](image)

The ideal microelectronic device should operate at very high frequencies and generate zero heat emissions. While the ideal is unachievable, smaller transistors requires less power and can switch faster (Dennard et al., 1974). Dennard found that the speed improved by the same scale factor in which the horizontal dimensions were shrunk, which became the driving force behind the advancement of lithographic capability. At the time of writing, engineers have already commercialized devices based on the 22nm process which also features the world’s first mass produced 3D gate transistor (Intel, 2011) as shown in Figure 2–2. Microelectronic technology has come a long way from the humble 10µm process merely 4 decades ago (Mueller, 2006).
2.2 Microelectronic Packaging

The field of electronic packaging is very wide encompassing materials science, electronics, and manufacturing processes. The quality and precision of the package has to keep pace with increasingly complex die configurations. Microelectronic packages are required to accommodate increasing I/O channels while shrinking in overall size forcing tighter and finer package I/O pitches. High operational frequencies require materials with lower dielectric constants and losses. Increasing heat dissipation necessitates complex cooling structures and improved interface materials. The shrinking die cannot function without a compliant package to house it.

The basic roles of semiconductor packaging are to provide an encapsulated mounting platform for the die whilst providing connections to the outside world. The packaging mechanically protects the delicate die-system and allows physical handling both which are critical for use in the manufacturing process (Braden, 2012). In operation, the packaging provides thermal management as well as a physical interface to couple heat sinks for high powered applications. There are two principle aspects to microelectronic packaging which is the packaging technique and the mounting technology. The former concerns both the material and technique used to mount and encapsulate the die while the latter focuses on providing interconnects to the external environment as well as to other dies in new generation stacked die technologies.

2.2.1 Ball Grid Array Packaging

The most encountered method of die encapsulation for microelectronic packages are moulded plastic and glob top. The former is illustrated in Figure 2–3 showing a typical single die ball grid array (BGA) microelectronic package. An epoxy or eutectic type die attach is used to bond the die to the substrate film (Minges, 1989). The substrate material ranges from alumina ceramic material, glass reinforced epoxy based laminate (FR4) to polyimide flex-film (Braden, 2012). The substrate also allows the electrical interface of the die to be spread to a wider pitch by incorporating an interposer layer. The die to interposer connection is achieved through gold or aluminium wires welded to the copper contact points using either ultrasonic or thermosonic techniques (Ho et al., 2004). A ‘via’ is an electrical connection that goes through adjacent layers of substrate or die. On the substrate, it is commonly a conductive plated hole through the entire substrate layer. In the die, the through-chip via facilitates electrical connection through or between the adjacent dies.
2.2.2 Flip Chip Technology

Wire bonding is the most mature process which has been the dominant technique for die-substrate connections (Yang, 2012). The main drawback is the time consuming process of making individual connections sequentially. Therefore, systems with large numbers of I/O ports require an unacceptably long time to build (Gao, 2005). Another drawback with wire bonds is the parasitic inductance which degrades performance at high frequencies, exacerbated in proportion to the wire length. In contrast, solder bumps which directly interface with the die exhibit negligible parasitic effects (Carls, 2009). A flip chip is a die which is manufactured with metalized pads on the surface. Solder balls are reflowed unto the pads forming a ball grid array and the device is attached directly to the PCB by flipping it over Figure 2–4 (b). This offers wider interconnect area, stronger connections and better heat dissipation than wire bond technology as shown in Figure 2–4 (a).

Glob-topping method directly encapsulates devices which are mounted directly unto the printed circuit board (PCB) like flip-chip and other chip-on-board designs (Ardebili and Pecht, 2009) to provide mechanical support as well as protecting delicate device features like wires and solder bumps from corrosives and contaminants. The epoxy compound is deposited...
over the chip (Figure 2–5) and cured by heating forming a domed shape. The epoxy can also be deposited under the flip chip or BGA to strengthen its reliability. This method is called an underfill. The epoxy cures through polymerization and bonds the chip to the PCB (Figure 2–6). However the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of the epoxy needs to match the device interconnect material. This is important in order to prevent any tensile and compressive stress fatigue cracking in the interconnect due to the epoxy (Tang, 2008).

*Figure 2–5: Glob-Topping method of encapsulating die (API Technologies Corp)*

*Figure 2–6: Cured underfill on a BGA package (henkel.com)*
2.3 Next Generation Microelectronic Packages

The ability to scale down die sizes relies on access to compliant materials and increasingly sensitive lithography techniques. The pursuit of more power in smaller packages is driving innovation of three dimensional microelectronic packages Figure 2–7. These relatively new methodologies involve mounting multiple dies in a sandwich encapsulated within one package. Stacked-die packaging allows very efficient use of circuit real estate, reducing size and weight which translates into overall cost reduction. An example of this application is combining every electronic module heterogeneously integrated at the die level as shown in Figure 2–8. The technological boundaries in between front-end fabrication technology, packaging and system engineering are becoming seamless (Lu and Wong, 2008). Presently there is a plethora of 3D packaging types already in the market. This section attempts to briefly cover the basic designs. The reader may then understand that most multi-die packages are a variation and/or combination of flip chip and BGA technology.

![Figure 2–7: 3D microelectronic package development roadmap (CEA Leti)](image1)

![Figure 2–8: Die level 3D integration.(CEA Leti)](image2)
2.3.1 3D Wire Bond Packages

Wire bond stacked die methods are ideal for devices that benefit from having a large die and relatively small number of I/O interfaces. An example is shown in Figure 2–9 where multiple memory modules are stacked to radically increase the memory capacity per chip without exceeding the 1.4mm industrial standard for thickness (Yoo-chul, 2007). To achieve the target thickness (or thinness) the dies are put through a grinding process to reduce the overall thickness to as little as 8µm (Banda et al., 2008). Wire bond packages is also advantageous for daisy chained devices as illustrated in Figure 2–10 obtained from a United States patent (Haba et al., 2003).

![Hynix's 24-Die MCP](image)

*Figure 2–9: Microscopic view of a stack of 24 NAND flash memory chips (The Korea Times)*

![Figure 2–10: Staged integrated circuit die package (Haba et al., 2003)](image)

Pictures obtained from i2a technologies shown in Figure 2–11 to Figure 2–13 illustrates typical (albeit simpler) wire bond examples. Figure 2–11 shows a pyramid configuration where the smaller die is stacked of the larger die. Figure 2–12 shows same size die separated by a dummy die spacer and Figure 2–13 features dies mounted perpendicularly to each other to maximize available space for the I/O wire bond.
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Figure 2–11: Pyramid stack configuration (i2a Technologies)

Figure 2–12: Same size die with dummy die space in between (i2a Technologies)

Figure 2–13: Overhang cross stack where rectangular die are placed perpendicular to each other (i2a Technologies)
2.3.2 Flip Chip Packaging Technology

Superficially, the general description of a Wafer Level Chip Scale Package (WLCSP), a Chip Scale Package (CSP) and a Flip Chip has little contrast even for the initiated. Shown in Table 2-1, we attempt to grapples the subtle differences between each packing technology. Flip Chip is primarily related to high I/O, high performance applications which result in smaller bond pitches as shown on the table. CSP and WLCSP are more suited for low-cost and relatively low intensity applications. This is apparent from larger and stronger bond pitches but suffers a penalty in thermal dissipation. The difference during assembly is that Flip Chips require the application of underfill to counter the CTE differences from chip to substrate. CSP and WLCSP have stronger pitches and an underfill is seldom required. However, the scope of this thesis is concerned with high I/O, small scale; high performance devices which are concentrated primarily on flip chips. (Lu and Wong, 2008) (Sham, 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>WLCSP</th>
<th>CSP</th>
<th>Flip Chip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packaging Level</td>
<td>Single wafer level</td>
<td>Single chip</td>
<td>None, chip connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>packaging</td>
<td></td>
<td>packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Footprint</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Bond Pitch</td>
<td>0.5 - 0.8 mm</td>
<td>0.5 - 1.0 mm</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.35 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/O Capability</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>3000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reworkability</td>
<td>&gt; 0.7 mm pitch</td>
<td>&gt; 0.7mm pitch</td>
<td>Non-underfilled chips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>Challenging &gt; 2 watts</td>
<td>Mainly low power</td>
<td>Issues with multichip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Package Reliability</td>
<td>Bump voiding, uniformity,</td>
<td>Pad size/shape, volume, surface finishing.</td>
<td>Underfill volume, cracking, delamination, solder volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interfacial integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-1: Brief summary of WLCSP vs CSP vs Flip Chip (Sham, 2009)

Flip Chips are implemented as Flip Chip on Board (FCOB) or Flip Chip in Package (FCIP), illustrated in Figure 2–14. The difference being FCOB is mounted directly on to the final printed circuit board and FCIP describes the flip chip mounted on an interposer substrate.
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and encapsulated (Yang, 2012). The chip is installed using the Controlled Collapse Chip Connection or C4 process where the solder bump will be aligned by the surface tension during reflow with a alignment tolerance as much as 50% off the centre of the pad (Lu and Wong, 2008). The total package size is reduced and does away with wire bonding thus reducing costs from gold wires and manufacturing time. The whole surface of the die can accommodate interconnections which are also much shorter, lowering electrical issues inherent in long wire bonds. Thermal dissipation is also improved as the back of the package are exposed in FCOB installations. Although fragile on its own, the reliability greatly increases with an epoxy underfill. (Lu and Wong, 2008, Yang, 2012, Braden, 2012)

Flip chips require Under Bump Metallization (UBM) to create a low resistance connection and mechanical link from chip to the substrate. The final metal layers of most IC bond pads are aluminium, where the oxide layer is not a problem for wire bond welding practices (Riley, 2001b). Since aluminium is not a readily solderable surface and corrodes over time when not protected, the UBM requires an adhesion layer to bond with the aluminium and hermetically seal it followed by a solderable layer. There is no standard UBM metallisation formula and each manufacturer develops their own proprietary material sets (Braden, 2012). Figure 2–15: UBM construction illustration of the flip chips used in this study (Varnau, 1996) shows the construction of the UBM used in this research which is constructed from an allow sandwich of nickel, aluminium and copper. Nickel is relatively low cost and easy to use but copper is preferred for high temperature or high power applications (Riley, 2001b).

![UBM construction illustration of the flip chips used in this study (Varnau, 1996)](image-url)
2.3.3 Multichip (System in Package) 3D packaging

Wire bonding and flip chip technologies allow System in Package (SiP) which is defined by the presence of any combination of multiple active microelectronic component in one housing (Braden, 2012). The basic examples of these devices are package on package (PoP) as well as package in a package (PiP) microelectronic packages. Figure 2–16 shows a few examples of these devices. PoP usually involves multiple flip chips stacked on top of each other as well as wire bonds and a implementation of various interposers and materials in construction. PiP although similar, offers smaller foot print. Figure 2–16 shows two flip chips connected to both bottom and top inverted substrate in a sandwich and electrically connected through wire bonding. PiP can also include flip chips occupying lateral circuit real estate as well as additional dies mounted on the top inverted substrate as shown in Figure 2–17.

![Figure 2–16: Combination of Flip Chip and Wire Bond technique used to build 3D microelectronic package. (Pfahl and McElroy, 2007)](image1)

![Figure 2–17: Additional examples of PiP package designs showing multiple vertically opposing dies stacked and separated by an internal stacking module. (STATSChipPAC, 2012)](image2)
2.3.4 Further developments

As device lithography are driven further down the nanometre scale, SiP packaged components will increasingly be used Through Silicon Vias (TSV) connection technology as illustrated in Figure 2–18 (Pfahl and McElroy, 2007). The difference between microvias and TSVs are primarily their size where TSVs need to penetrated various materials as well as a significant depth of the silicon substrate (Lassig, 2007). The drive to move beyond Moore’s Law is driving developments in 3D electronic packaging. SiP packages increasingly include more devices within one encapsulation like the Intel U-Series processes where the CPU not only has two core processors, but also include a graphics module powerful enough to play high definition media and modest 3D applications. The trend leads to ever more sophisticated stacking orders and the technologies so far discussed use the existing established PCB manufacturing processes and materials (Braden, 2012).

2.4 Flip-Chip Study Sample

Some manufactured test samples are sourced from previous experiments carried out by Yang and Braden in the host research group in collaboration with Delphi. Delphi is a leading design and manufacturer of automobile electronic controller units. The test sample was designed as a multipurpose board with various types of area array packages suitable for accelerated thermal cycling tests. The sample is shown on both sides in Figure 2–19. In the previous study, the failure characteristics of the flip chip package on the board were studied extensively. The flip chips are assembled without an underfill to facilitate faster failure generation times. This will dictate the design of the numerical model to allow comparison between simulated and measured results.
The sample board is populated with fourteen flip chips mounted on an organic Flame Retardant Class 4 (FR4) substrate which is woven glass fabric with epoxy resin. In acoustic imaging, this type of substrate is known to scatter most of the ultrasound signal during inspection. This makes imaging from the top of the flip chip the only viable option. Acoustic imaging techniques pertaining to this problem will be discussed in detail in the next chapter. Each flip chip package contains 109 solder joints of 125µm height positioned at the periphery of the package. The flip chip die package is 3948µm x 8898µm with a die thickness of 725µm. The solder material recipe consists of Sn 52.9%, Pb 45.9% and Cu 1.2% with a bump diameter of 140µm. The under bump metallisation materials is a thin film metal stack of Al/NiV/Cu with a pad side of 102µm x 102 µm. Figure 2–20 shows the mounted flip chip from the side (a) and an X-Ray image (b) which shows the ball grid array design.
2.5 Chapter Summary

The miniaturization of electronics is inevitable. At the time of writing, fabrication technology has reached the 14nm node with various products scheduled to ship late 2014. New Intel processors include a display driver which further demonstrates the application of consolidating multiple functions into a single package. New developments like photonic circuits and silicon carbide materials open a new dimension in non destructive evaluation. With such exotic materials now a competitor in mainstream electronics it is imperative that the complimentary imaging techniques keep up. Theoretical understandings of acoustic propagation within these complex devices are essential in keeping pace with the latest developments.
Chapter 3

Acoustic Micro Imaging of Microelectronic Packages
3 Acoustic Micro Imaging of Microelectronic Packages

In the natural world, various creatures rely on sound to achieve “sight”. Mankind has since learned from nature and has adapted this technique to develop different fields such as sonar systems and non-destructive testing in various sciences. The exponential rise of modern technology, namely the progression of integrated circuit technology drives the need to research the material properties and structural features at the micro- and nanometre-scales.

Acoustic Micro Imaging (AMI) is a methodology developed to analyze the mechanical properties of various materials. It utilizes high frequency sound propagation above human hearing also known as ultrasound to inspect a wide range of samples for defects. Ultrasound waves are mechanical waves that transport energy through oscillations of discrete particles in solids, liquids or air. Theoretically, the operational frequency for acoustic microscopy ranges from 5 MHz to 3 GHz (Gan, 2012). This non-destructive evaluation technique is widely used in semiconductor, aerospace, automotive, oil and gas industries, and in biomedical sciences. For the microelectronic discipline, it is particularly sensitive to gap type defects such as voids and material delaminations as small as ~0.1µm (Yang, 2012, Braden, 2012). The effectiveness of the AMI technique is demonstrated in Figure 3–1 (a) where the cracked die is very obvious in the image. Air gaps from improper manufacturing are also apparent as shown in Figure 3–1(b). Unsatisfactory underfill process clearly appears as bright blotches in the resultant image as shown in Figure 3–1(c).

This acoustic technique is reliant on the fact that incident acoustic waves are reflected at every interface of dissimilar materials (Gan, 2012, Braden, 2012). The magnitude and phase of the reflection is a function of material acoustic impedance given as:

\[ Z_i = \rho_i \cdot v_i \]  

(3-1)
Where for the $i^{th}$ layer, $Z_i$ is the acoustic impedance of the material, $\rho_i$ is the density of the material and $v_i$ represents the material acoustic velocity. Since the gap is an air or vacuum gap, the acoustic pulse will be strongly reflected. This is illustrated in Figure 3–2 where the incident pulse, the relative magnitude of transmitted ($T$) and reflected ($R$) coefficient can be determined by;

$$R = \frac{(Z_2 - Z_1)}{(Z_2 + Z_1)}$$  \&  $$T = \frac{2Z_2}{(Z_2 + Z_1)}$$  

(3-2)

The impedance differences between dissimilar material interfaces can affect the polarity of the reflected wave (echo). Shown in Figure 3–3, the polarity of the wave will invert when moving from a lower to higher density medium and vice versa. This trait is useful in determining the acoustic properties of the sample. There are longitudinal (compression) waves and traverse (shear) acoustic waves. However, shear waves cannot transmit in liquids and gases therefore submersion AMI techniques work around longitudinal waves.

$$Z_1 < Z_2 \quad Z_1 > Z_2 \quad Z_1 = Z_2$$

Figure 3–3: Material impedance mismatch has a direct affect on acoustic echo polarity.
3.1 Industrial AMI Systems

Acoustic microscopy imaging systems in microelectronic industry can be divided into two principle configurations which are known as Scanning Laser Acoustic Microscope (SLAM) and Scanning Acoustic Microscope (SAM) (Maev, 2008, Hafsteinsson and Rizvi, 1984, Braden, 2012). The SLAM is usually configured in a through-scan configuration Figure 3–4a and the SAM is pulse-echo configuration Figure 3–4b.

Through-scan techniques require the acoustic energy to propagate through the entire volume of the sample. In conventional SLAM configurations, the acoustic signal is applied to the sample via a transducer. The wave propagating through the sample is attenuated and refracted by various features and materials in the sample. Variations in the acoustic signal at the sample is detected by a rapid scanning laser point by point and converted into an image (Hafsteinsson and Rizvi, 1984). This is known as the shadowgraph through transmission technique (Semmens et al., 1996). SLAM is used between 100MHz to 500MHz and benefits from real time imaging at 1/30 of a second (Semmens et al., 1996). With lower frequencies, the SLAM technique offers lower resolution but better sample penetration.

SAM receives reflected acoustic energy from various depths in the sample shown in Figure 3–4b. Along with the scanning plan; the transducer can be manipulated on the vertical Z-axis to adjust the focusing. Time separation of the received signals or time of flight reveals the depth and the interfaces of the samples. In an ideal sample, each echo describes an interface although in reality overlap may occur. By gating these echoes, a C-Scan image can be created of that specific interface layer or section. This will be discussed in detail in section 3.1.3. SAM can also feature multi-array transducers as well as through-scan configurations.
SAM can implement significantly wider and higher frequencies ranges up to the gigahertz region (Gan, 2012).

SLAM is not to be confused with the techniques of Laser Ultrasonics. This method uses lasers to form acoustic waves by means of ablation or thermoelastic mechanisms (Dixon, 2006). The ablation regime will either vaporize the sample surface or break down the air which the shockwave generates an acoustic response (Stauter et al., 1997, Hopko and Ume, 1999) on the sample. The thermoelastic regime heats the sample which causes a mechanical expansion. With repeated laser pulses, this thermal expansion or repeated ablation generates an acoustic response (Kim et al., 1998). Detection of the acoustic response falls into two categories which are interferometric detection (vibrometers, time delay, etc) and amplitude variation detection (knife edge) (Dixon 2006). Knife edge detectors are difficult to measure exact wave amplitudes or waveform shapes but benefits from easier implementation, cost and automation. There are many approaches with interferometry detectors. To generalize, this detection method can be highly sensitive with high bandwidth and works on rough surfaces (Dixon, 2006). This technique has a small footprint and is noncontact. Very high frequencies associated to this technique limits useful measurement range to reasonably thin films (Krishnaswamy, 2003). However electronics are packaged into increasingly thin sub millimetre form factors. This technique may be significant in the future.

3.1.1 Frequency Dependant Attenuation

Using higher frequency transducers can increase the imaging resolution. However, the acoustic energy is unable to penetrate the volume of the sample. In Newtonian fluids, the rate of frequency dependent attenuation $\alpha$ is described by Stoke’ law of sound attenuation (Dukhin and Goetz, 2010) which is written as equation (3-3),

$$\alpha = \frac{2\eta\omega^2}{3\rho V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-3)

where $\eta$ is the dynamic viscosity coefficient of the fluid, $\omega$ is the frequency, $\rho$ is the fluid density and $V$ is the acoustic velocity in the medium. However, the mathematical descriptions for attenuation in solids are diverse (Jarvis and Bacon, 2005). This is due to the variety of crystalline structures of the material which influences the effects of scattering and absorption (Nagy, 2013). Therefore, reliable attenuation values are obtained experimentally for specific materials (NDT, 2013a).

$$A = A_0 e^{-\alpha z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-4)
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The attenuation of sound in the sample is often not of intrinsic interest. However the attenuation characteristics can be used as a tool to form theories to explain physical or chemical phenomenon that decrease the ultrasound intensity. The amplitude change for a decaying plane wave can be expressed as equation (3-4). $A_0$ is the reference amplitude before attenuation at an initial location. $Z$ is the distance travelled by the wave and $\alpha$ is the attenuation coefficient mentioned above. (NDT, 2013a)

3.1.2 Transducer Characteristics

In acoustic imaging systems, the choice of transducer normally determines the spatial resolution, penetration and other parameters. The piezoelectric crystal of each transducer is cut to a specific frequency (Kinsler et al., 1999). An image obtained at 50MHz cannot be easily manipulated to show a 10MHz or 100MHz image as the acoustic pulse does not have such a wide frequency content. Depending on the application, the transducer is available in a variety of form factors, arrays and focal specifications (NDT, 2013b). Due to the small form factor of microelectronic samples, required contactless application, immersion transducers with focused lens are very popular in AMI inspection. Each transducer is optimized for a specific application, frequency and resolution so it can become expensive to obtain a full range of modules. Better understanding of the sample defect/feature detection requirements becomes essential during equipment acquisition. Figure 3–5 shows a few examples of immersion transducers. The concave lens at the end of the transducers focuses the acoustic beam.

![Figure 3–5: Ultrasonic transducers from 50 MHz to 230 MHz (Image courtesy of Sonoscan)]

The determination of a suitable transducer for an experimental measurement can be approximated using a set of equations known as Kino's approximation (Kino, 1987) which is given as equation (3-5) and illustrated in Figure 3–6
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\[ Beam \text{ Diameter} = \frac{(FL \times V)}{(D \times f)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-5)

Where \(FL\) is the focal length of the transducer, \(v\) is the acoustic velocity of the material, \(f\) is the centre frequency of the transducer and \(D\) is the diameter of the piezoelectric crystal.

\[ Reflected \text{ Resolution} = Beam \text{ Diameter} \times 0.707 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-6)

And the depth of field \(\Delta Z\) is written as;

\[ \Delta Z = 7.1 \times (\frac{FL}{D})^2 \times \frac{v}{f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3-7)

Figure 3–6: Comparison between low and high frequency transducer characteristics

The suitability of the 230 MHz used in this study was validated by (Braden, 2012). Using equation (3-5) to equation (3-7), the selected transducer is calculated to have a 15.6\(\mu\)m spot size with a resolution of 11.3\(\mu\)m. The specifications provided by the transducer supplier (Sonoscan) suggest that the smallest observable feature in the sample to be 15\(\mu\)m which show broad agreement with calculations. This thesis extends the experiments previously carried out (Yang, 2012) and (Braden, 2012), therefore the same transducer characteristics are required for the finite element model for consistency. The images obtained from (Yang, 2012) which is used in this study have a scan resolution of 8.89\(\mu\)m x 8.89\(\mu\)m per pixel. The acquisition of the image is obtained via SAM as it only requires access to one side of the test part. This is important since the Flip Chip samples are mounted on both sides of the substrate.
3.1.3 Acoustic Signal Gating

In pulse-echo systems, the same transducer is used to transmit and receive ultrasonic signals. The received signal is normally called A-scan signals. The A-scan signals display the amount of received ultrasonic echoes as a function of time. By applying an electronic gate to window only the desired time slice, the C-scan image of a specific interface can be revealed. This method is illustrated in Figure 3–7 showing an ultrasonic A-scan signal when the acoustic pulse passes through dissimilar interfaces.

![Figure 3–7: Illustration of AMI gating techniques.](image)

The acoustic trace/response in Figure 3–7 is referred to as an A-Scan signal. It defines the acoustic response of the axial axis of the sample at a single transducer position. A collection of A-Scans over a single lateral axis produces a B-Scan Figure 3–8(a) which describes the acoustic interpretation of the sample cross section. A C-Scan Figure 3–8(b) is produced by a compilation of A-Scan signals collected over a two dimensional lateral region. Gating techniques are required to isolate the C-Scan layer/interface in which the image will be produced.

The gating methodology varies according to system vendors. Sonoscan uses an automatic gain control for the amplitude and a user variable time boundary setting. Sonix prefers to use a ‘box’ method to process acoustic signals that exceed an upper and lower threshold in compliance with the user time variable boundary setting. In essence, both methods are similar in which the pixel intensity of the C-Scan image is determined by the maximum acoustic response amplitude of the gated window.
3.2 Advanced Acoustic Micro Imaging Techniques

Defect detection and feature gauging of next generation microelectronic packages are approaching resolution limits of AMI. Increasing the operational frequency can improve resolution, at the cost of acoustic penetration, where the balance between penetration and resolution is crucial for a successful image. Hence it is desirable to be able to increase the resolution capabilities of the AMI system without increasing the frequency or implementing hardware modifications. A plethora of signal processing techniques have been developed over the years to enhance detected ultrasound echoes. Broadly speaking, the effectiveness of direct time domain processing of acoustic signals has only been vaguely successful. In recent years, a new family of algorithms has been shown to be quite successful at enhancing acoustic imaging. This section will briefly discuss some of the more recent examples.

3.2.1 Acoustic Signal Contamination

In ultrasonic NDE, the system as well as the test sample usually produces a noise source which contaminates the measured signal. The noise is generally assumed to be an uncorrelated Gaussian random variable, with zero mean and a band limited power spectral density function (Neal and Thompson, 1989). In highly scattering materials, individual grains of the material behave like a scattering centre which produces an echo that is isolated or superimposed with other echoes (Zhang et al., 2012). This grain noise can hide or produce a false association to defects. The presence of noise imposes a limitation on defect detection and measurement accuracy.

In addition to noise, small defects can be masked by a nearby reflector. This is also apparent particularly for layered structures such as stacked microelectronic packages. In such
cases, the thicknesses of individual layers are comparable to the ultrasound wavelength which leads to the overlapping between the front and back interface reflections. To improve the acoustic signal without increasing frequency, a family of signal processing techniques such as deconvolution (Yamani et al., 1997), sparse signal representation (Zhang et al., 2012), edge detection (Murphy, 1991), and anisotropic or bilateral filtering (Ali et al., 2008) are introduced. However, in acoustic flaw detection, the ultrasonic signal is usually a time and frequency limited transient signal. Hence, time–frequency analysis techniques, are more appropriate to process ultrasonic signals (Zhang et al., 2012).

3.2.2 Sparse Signal Representation

As part of the ongoing signal processing research by the host research group spearheaded by Guang-Ming Zhang. Advanced sparse signal representation (SSR) algorithms have been developed and published over a period of more than ten years. This approach has been successfully applied to the problem of blind source separation (Zibulevsky and Pearlmutter, 2001) and has been gaining popularity over the recent years. Blind source separation refers to the extraction of signals which are not directly accessible where the extraction is carried out from another set of measurements which were generated as mixtures of the original set (Zarzoso and Nandi, 1999). SSR usually offers better performance and efficient signal modelling compared to methods based on orthonormal transforms and direct time domain processing (Huang and Aviyente, 2006). Generally, the activity of SSR concentrates around two general problems; the algorithms for performing sparse decomposition and the dictionary composition method (Aharon, 2006).

Using SSR, the time and frequency localization are preserved and have greater robustness in the presence of noise as well as better flexibility in matching echo components from the acoustic signal. In principle, the noisy and/or overlapping ultrasound echo is decomposed into sparse representations over an overcomplete dictionary. This allows the signal to be de-noised effectively and overlapping information can be isolated. The desired isolated elements can then reconstructed through an approximation algorithm into a time domain signal with the subsequent conventional acoustic image.
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Figure 3–9: Concept illustration of signal sparse representation (Cevher et al., 2010)

The key principle of SSR for acoustic imaging relies on the compressibility of the A-Scan signal. Almost all of the original signal can be represented by a small number of elementary signals called atoms. Consider Figure 3–9 where the right image is missing a significant number of pixels, the human brain is still capable of identifying key information from the image. This also means the image is compressible. SSR is the mathematical basis for this where the source signal is sparsely represented by a limited number of atoms while maintaining most of its content.

The atoms are chosen from an a priori overcomplete dictionary, where the term overcomplete describes the number of atoms exceeding the signal space. Given appropriate/specific threshold or weight the representation will contain a number of significant coefficients which can be summed together to reconstruct the signal. The noise present in the signal will be spread out among the atoms and have small coefficients due to the dictionary mismatch (Zhang et al., 2012). However, representing the signal using the smallest total number of atoms from an arbitrary dictionary is shown to be NP-hard (Aharon, 2006). Hence, any proposed developments are normally application specific. These will normally require experimental and numerical evaluation to test the effectiveness.

Success in signal denoising and preservation of flaw information in the signal for ultrasonic inspection was carried out by using a Gabor dictionary which was customized with a priori information of the transducer used (Zhang et al., 2008). The customization of the dictionary was related to the characteristics of the echo signal affected by frequency dependant attenuation. This solution was obtained from physical experiments where the resultant sampling restriction was limited to the specific problem.
In the context of this study, the overcomplete dictionary can be customized from empirical data obtained from numerical models of the sample. There are two clear benefits with numerical models. First, the numerical modelling is robust in the context of dissimilar microelectronic package configurations. This is especially true with the introduction of three dimensional stacked die packages. Second, the numerical model is able to provide a wider range of parameters for dictionary customization. These may include the incorporations of flaws, specific features and transducer variety in the numerical model. More information can also be obtained from the analysis of the wave propagation behaviour within the model which is only possible in the simulation environment.

3.2.3 Advanced Algorithms in Microelectronic Package NDT

One of the more recent development is the frequency domain imaging (FAMI) introduced by (Semmens and Kessler, 2002). Within limits, this method can create images at frequencies from 30MHz to 70MHz using data collected from only a 50MHz transducer (or 225MHz to 375MHz for a 300MHz transducer). This is possible because the acoustic pulse has frequency content within a narrow range of higher and lower frequencies (Figure 3–10). The, acoustic pulses reflected from certain features may contain a different frequency content. Fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithms can then be used to separate the reflected echoes into individual frequencies elements, hence separating the otherwise overlapping echoes. Many anomalies and features can be imaged more meaningfully as demonstrated in Figure 3–11 which compares traditional Time Domain Acoustic Micro-imaging (TDAMI) and Frequency Domain Acoustic Micro-imaging (FDAMI). However, if the overlapped echoes also overlap in the frequency domain, this methodology will fail. In addition, the algorithm builds the image only from a specific frequency, therefore it is not an interface image since there is no time resolution for the frequency representations. (Zhang et al., 2010)
The introduction of time-frequency domain imaging (TFDAMI) solves the losses of time-frequency representation (Zhang et al., 2010). The gated A-Scan data is decomposed into time-frequency representations using the Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT). Echo components pertaining to their respective structures are separated through threshold operations, denoising and significant local maxima selection. This operation produces coefficients that represent echo components from the sample features which were otherwise overlapped in the time domain. Now that once the desired element is separated, an inverse CWT is used to reconstruct the element into a time domain signal. The resultant signal has noise and undesired overlapping signals removed and can be plot as a C-Scan conventionally. The result of this algorithm is shown in Figure 3–12 where the TAMI image has poor contrast and appears muddy while the TFDAMI is sharper and has more contrast.

Figure 3–11: Comparison between TDAMI and FDAMI techniques of a flip chip C-Scan image by (Semmens and Kessler, 2002)

![Time Domain Image](image1) ![Frequency Domain Image](image2)

Figure 3–12: Power BGA C-Scan image improved by application of TFDAMI algorithm (Zhang et al., 2010)

![TDAMI](image3) ![TFDAMI](image4)
3.3 **Test Samples**

![Figure 3–13: Photograph of the completed circuit board. A collection of flip chips are mounted on both sides of the organic substrate.](image)

A number of organic substrate board assemblies were manufactured for thermal cycling studies in our research group (Braden, 2012) and (Yang, 2012). The author had access to the pristine boards and C-Scan images of the boards obtained during thermal cycling experiments. The board features a collection of commonly used microelectronic packages, including BGAs and flip-chips on boards laid out on both sides of the substrate. The finite element model of the microelectronic package is based on the flip chips shown in Figure 3–13.

The flip chips contain 109 140µm diameter solder bumps with a height of 125µm. The rectangular die has a dimension of 3948µm x 8898µm with a thickness of 725µm. The flip chips are mounted on an organic (Flame Retardant Class 4) FR-4 substrate with a thickness of 0.8mm and a Hot Air Solder Levelling lead finish. The under bump metallization (UBM) materials recipe consist of a Al/NiV/Cu thin film metal stack with a pad size of 102µm by 102µm. Epoxy underfill was not applied.
3.3.1 Edge Effect Phenomena

The data acquired by the collaboration of (Yang, 2012) and (Braden, 2012) shown in Figure 3–14 contain the manifestation of the edge effect phenomena (EEP). This phenomenon occurs both for solder bumps in close proximity to the silica die which result in an elongated smudge as well as dark circular rings on annular regions around the bumps. The mechanism of this phenomenon is not clearly understood. Collective opinion suggests that the acoustic energy is scattered by the geometry of the circular solder bumps.

The sample was subjected to thermal cycling which produced fractures in the solder bump due to mechanical fatigue. As the thermal cycling continues, the fracture propagates until complete discontinuity. Bright regions in the C-Scan image of the solder bumps shown in Figure 3–14 (after thermal cycling) is the direct result of discontinuities in the solder bumps as seen in Figure 3–15. The presence of defects in the solder bump has a direct effect on the edge effect profile as seen in Figure 3–14.

![Image of Flip Chip C-scan image with magnified view of the solder bump image before and after thermal cycling.](image)
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Figure 3–15: Microsection of flip chip revealing creep fracture of solder bump from thermal cycling experiments (Braden, 2012)

Part of the objectives of their study is to track the occurrences and propagation of the fracture which resulted from repeated thermal cycling. However, with the presence of EEP, quantifying the extent of the crack propagation becomes inexplicit. Figure 3–15 shows a solder bump with complete discontinuity at the end of the experiment. This sample was destroyed at the end of the experiment to obtain physical data of the solder bump cross section. Using non-destructive methods, Figure 3–16 shows the progress of the creep fracture. It is obvious from the image that it is difficult to gauge the extent of the damage. With numerical models, it would be possible to determine the length or severity of the creep fatigue by modelling the progression. The simulated and measured image can be compared to infer the propagation of the creep fracture in relation to the number of thermal cycles. The acoustic interpretation of the creep fatigue progress is shown in Figure 3–16.

EEP also shrouds the region beneath its dark ring. This brings to question how the defect detection mechanism occurs in the presence of EEP. What level of severity does the defect have to be at to be detected by the imaging system? The gap in this area of literature can be filled through numerical simulations.
3.4 Chapter Summary

This chapter features several examples of powerful algorithms and techniques employed to maximize the potential of AMI. However, these techniques also demonstrate a lack of interest on intra-sample acoustic mechanisms. While fundamental acoustic mechanisms are well understood, layered and complex structures, some made from exotic materials or composites complicates the defect detection mechanism. This limitation is largely due to the discreet nature of the samples themselves. It is a major challenge to provide a general guidance that applies to all samples. Inevitably, interpretation of acoustic images is guided by experience. This raises a natural prerogative to study the theoretical aspects of the acoustic defect detection mechanism specific to microelectronic packages. A theoretical guidance can explain the manifestation of various image features, creating new post processing techniques and characterize physical effects such as the edge effect phenomena. An innate understanding of intra sample acoustic mechanisms also advises design decisions to allow easy detection of critical failures.
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4 Acoustic Simulation by Finite Element Modelling

4.1 Introduction

Analysis of mechanical systems involves derivation of differential equations of physical principles such as equilibrium, conservation of energy, conservation of mass, laws of thermodynamics, Maxwell’s equations, and Newton’s law of motion. Usually, the formulations of such mathematical models for complex shapes are impossible to solve manually especially when the resulting models are non-linear partial differential equations.

Finite Element Method (FEM) is the dominant discretization technique for the numerical solution of partial differential equations in engineering and applied sciences. Major practical advances in FEM took place since the 1950s in conjunction with the development of digital computers (Papadopoulos, 2010). The method works by breaking up the mathematical model into disjointed sub-divisions called finite elements or simply ‘elements’. The elements may take the form of simple triangular (3 nodes) or quadrilateral (4 nodes) geometries for two dimensional modelling and tetrahedral (4 nodes), hexahedron (8 nodes) or higher order polyhedrons for three dimensional works. Each element has a finite number of degrees of freedom (DOF) expressed by one or more functions.

This disconnect-reassemble technique allows virtually any complex shape to be broken down into many simple pieces; individually simple enough to solve by hand. The response of each element known as element matrices are assembled into a global system of equations to create an approximation of the mathematical model (Ansys, 2009b). The global system of equations is then solved to produce the requested set of elemental and nodal results under various boundary or initial conditions.

Since the model is constructed by a mesh of elements, it can be decomposed into smaller domains. Each domain is then sent to individual processing cores in multi-core machines or computer clusters. The method is known as shared memory environment for local CPU distributed computing and distributed memory environment for computer clusters. Predictably, these methods are limited by memory bandwidth of the processor as well the bandwidth of the Message Passing Interface on the network. Preprocessing steps like meshing and post processing are done in series while the element matrices are solved in parallel. (Ansys, 2009b)
4.1.1 General Wave Equation

The General wave equation is the second-order linear partial differential equation which describes wave propagation. These sets of partial differential equations are valid for all physical waves such as acoustics, electromagnetic as well as fluid dynamics. The equation is given as

\[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} = \nabla^2 P \quad (4.1) \]

The speed of sound in the fluid medium \( C = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\rho_0}} \) where \( K \) is the bulk modulus of the fluid and \( \rho_0 \) is the mean fluid density, \( P \) is the acoustic pressure and \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian operator for divergence. The parameters are assumed to be constant and do not change with compression or expansion.

The equation is an approximation of the mathematical model and the source of the approximation can be understood by deriving the equation from the transport equation below.

\[ \frac{d}{dt} U_{(ct+x_0,t)} = 0 \quad (4.2) \]

Where \( U \) is the value derived from a differentiation of \( U_x \) where \( U_x \) is a function of space \( x \) and time \( t \) as shown in Figure 4–1 and \( c \) is an arbitrary reference to speed. The spatial position is described by \( ct+x_0 \) which are the product of velocity and time added with the position of the origin at \( x_0 \).

![Figure 4–1: Illustration of transport equation with value/amplitude \( U_x \) versus position \( x \) and time \( t \)](image-url)

Using the Chain Rule equation is written as;

\[ \frac{dU_x}{dt} = \frac{dU}{d(ct+x_0)} \cdot \frac{d(ct+x_0)}{dt} + \frac{dU}{dt} \cdot \frac{dt}{dt} = 0 \]
Where $U_x = \frac{dU}{d(ct+x_o)}$ & $U_t = \frac{dU}{dt}$

$$U_t + cU_x = 0 \quad (4-3)$$

This describes the transport equation.

![Figure 4–2: Deriving general transport equation from moving axis approach.](image)

The general transport equation can now be derived from the transport equation (4-3) via a method similar to D’Alembert solution but with an intuitive moving axis approach. The moving axis method is derived from the perspective of two viewers. As illustrated in Figure 4–2. Viewer 1 is located at the origin and from its perspective, the magnitude $U$ is occurring at position $x$ away from the viewer. For viewer 2, who is travelling with the wave at the same velocity, wave $V$ is occurring at location $x$ in respect to the travelling speed $c$ and time $t$. Since both viewers are looking at the same wave, the derivative is given as;

$$U(x,t) = V(x-ct,t) = V(\xi,t) \quad (4-4)$$

This describes the Lorentz Transformation.

Using the Chain Rule;

$$U_t = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} = -c \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \quad (4-5)$$

$$U_x = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} \quad (4-6)$$

Note:

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(x-ct) = -c$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}(x-ct) = 1$$

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = 0$$

$t$ is not dependent on $x$. Substituting (4-5) and (4-6) into the transport equation (4-3)

$$U_t + cU_x = -c \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial V}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = V_t = 0 \quad (4-7)$$
To summarize the solution up to this point:

Normal coordinates (viewer 1) $U(x,t)$

$$U_t + cU_x = 0$$

Moving Coordinates (viewer 2) $V(\xi,t)$

$$V_t = 0$$

Since $V_t = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0$, $V$ is independent of time when $\xi$ is viewed strictly as a coordinate on the x-axis. Therefore $V_t = F(\xi)$. From (4-4), then $U(x,t) = F(\xi) = F(x-ct)$.

Since (4-1) is a directional derivative, the general transport equation of a wave propagating symmetrically on opposite directions along the x-axis from the origin is written as;

$$U(x,t) = F(x - ct) + G(x + ct) \quad (4-8)$$

Where F and G are arbitrary functions. The wave equation describes a physical process which follows the same pattern in space and time. When the amplitude of an oscillator is plotted against a horizontal position or at a given point against time, both will yield a sine or cosine wave. This concludes that space and time are symmetrical for oscillators and equation (4-1) can be proved by substituting a sine function into arbitrary functions F and G of the space/time transport equation.

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} = -\sin(x - ct) - \sin(x + ct) , \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} = -c^2 \sin(x - ct) - c^2 \sin(x + ct),$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2}.$$ 

which proves equation (4-1)

The compliance of equation (4-1) as an acoustic wave equation requires some assumptions. Firstly the fluid is compressible, but only relatively small pressure changes with respect to the mean pressure are allowed. The first assumption also reflects that the displacement is relatively small. Secondly, the fluid is assumed to be non-flowing and inviscid (where viscosity causes no dissipative effects. Thirdly as mentioned in equation (4-1), uniform mean density and mean pressure are assumed (hence acoustic speed of material remains constant). Therefore the pressure solution then becomes a deviation from the mean pressure, and not the absolute pressure. (Ansys, 2009b)
To discretize the wave equation, gradient and divergence matrix operations are introduced to equation (4-1) (Ansys, 2009b);

\[ \nabla \cdot ( \psi ) = (L)^T \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \nabla( \psi ) = (L) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \end{bmatrix} \] (4-9)

To visualize, the gradient calculates the vector field while the divergence determines the magnitude. The Laplacian operator \( \text{L} \) is used to reduce the complexity of the equation bypassing the need to state the x y and z terms. Therefore, rewriting equation 0.1 and converting to matrix notation;

\[ \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \cdot \nabla \psi = 0 \]

\[ \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = (L)^T ((L)\psi) = 0 \] (4-10)

The Galerkin procedure (Bathe, 1996) is used to convert the strong form equation (4-10) to weak forms. This is achieved through the integration of a weighted function product with the residual. Equation (4-10) is multiplied with a virtual change in pressure and integration over the volume of the domain (Zienkiewicz and Newton, 1969) to finally obtain;

\[ \int_{vol} \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} d(vol) + \int_{vol} ((L)^T \delta \psi) ((L)\psi) d(vol) = \int_{S} \{n\}^T \delta \psi ((L)\psi) d(S) \] (4-11)

where the left side integrates the volume of the domain and the right integrates the surface 'S' where the derivative of pressure normal to the surface is applied also known as the natural boundary condition. \( \delta \psi \) represents the virtual change in pressure and \( \{n\} \) is the unit normal to the interface \( S \).

To obtain the fluid-structure interaction representation, \( S \) is treated as the interface. The relationship between normal pressure gradient of the fluid and the normal acceleration of the structure at the fluid-structure interface \( S \) with the simplifying assumptions made yields (in matrix notation) (Zienkiewicz and Newton, 1969);

\[ \{n\}^T \cdot ((L)\psi) = -\rho_o \{n\}^T \left( \frac{\delta^2}{\delta t^2} \{u\} \right) \] (4-12)

And substituting equation (4-12) into (4-11) to describe the discretized acoustic wave equation at the structural-fluid interface;

\[ \int_{vol} \frac{1}{C^2} \delta \psi \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} d(vol) + \int_{vol} ((L)^T \delta P) ((L)\psi) d(vol) = \int_{S} \rho_o \delta P \{n\}^T \left( \frac{\delta^2}{\delta t^2} \{u\} \right) d(S) \] (4-13)
4.1.2 Formulation of Transient Finite Element Matrix

The force equilibrium of a multi-degree-of-freedom lumped mass system as a function of time can be expressed by the following relationship (Wilson, 2010);

\[ F(t)_I + F(t)_D + F(t)_S = F(t) \quad (4-14) \]

in which at time \( t \), \( F(t)_I \) is the vector of inertia forces acting on the node masses. \( F(t)_D \) is the vector for viscous damping or energy dissipation forces. \( F(t)_S \) is the vector for internal forces carried by the structure and \( F(t) \) is the vector of externally applied loads. The equation is physical and valid for both linear and non-linear. Non-linear is compliant when equilibrium is formulated with respect to the deformed geometry of the structure. To obtain the structural behaviour of linear structures, equation (4-14) is converted into a set of second-order, linear, differential equations;

\[ M\ddot{u}(t)_a + C\dot{u}(t)_a + Ku(t)_a = F(t) \quad (4-15) \]

Where \( M \) is the lumped or consistent mass matrix. \( C \) is the viscous damping matrix commonly selected to approximate energy dissipation in real structures. \( K \) is the static stiffness matrix for the system of structural elements. The time-dependent vectors \( \ddot{u}(t)_a \), \( \dot{u}(t)_a \) and \( u(t)_a \) are the absolute node displacements, velocities and accelerations respectively. The equations can be thought as a set of "static" equilibrium equations and ANSYS employs Newmark time integration method to solve the equations at discrete time-points also known as time steps (Ansyl, 2009b, Wilson, 2010).

To incorporate the acoustic wave equation into the notation seen in equation (4-15), finite element approximating shape functions for the spatial variation of the pressure and displacement components are given as;

\[ P = \{N\}^T\{P_e\} \quad \& \quad u = \{N\}'^T\{u_e\} \quad (4-16) \]

where \( \{N\} \) is the element shape function for pressure, \( \{N\}' \) is the element shape function for displacements, \( \{P_e\} \) is the nodal pressure vector and \( \{u_e\} \) is the nodal displacement component vectors. When considered in the second time derivative as well as the virtual changes in pressure equation (4-16) yields;

\[ \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} = \{N\}'^T\{\ddot{P}_e\} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \{N\}'^T\{\ddot{u}_e\} \quad \& \quad \delta P = \{N\}'^T\{\delta P_e\} \quad (4-17) \]

Let matrix operator \( \{L\} \) applied to the element shape functions \( \{N\} \) be denoted by:

\[ [B] = \{L\}\{N\}^T \quad (4-18) \]
\{\delta P_e\} \text{ is an arbitrarily introduced virtual change in nodal pressure and can be factored out in the substitution of equation (4-17) and (4-18) into equation (4-13) to obtain (Ansys, 2009b);}

\[
\frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{vol}} \{N\} \{N\}^T d(\text{vol}) \{\dddot{P}_e\} + \int_{\text{vol}} [B]^T [B] d(\text{vol}) \{P_e\} + \rho_o \int_{S} \{N\} \{n\}^T (N')^T d(S) \{\dddot{u}_e\} = \{0\} \quad (4-19)
\]

The finite element wave equation from equation (4-19) can be denoted by rewriting into (4-15) to obtain (Ansys, 2009b, Liu, 2005) for fluid with structure interfaces;

\[
[M_e^P] \{\dddot{P}_e\} + [K_e^P] \{P_e\} + \rho_o [R_e]^T \{\dddot{u}_e\} = \{0\} \quad (4-20)
\]

where

\[
[M_e^P] = \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{vol}} \{N\} \{N\}^T d(\text{vol}) = \text{fluid volume mass matrix}
\]

\[
[K_e^P] = \int_{\text{vol}} [B]^T [B] d(\text{vol}) = \text{fluid volume stiffness matrix}
\]

\[
\rho_o [R_e]^T = \rho_o \int_{S} \{N\} \{n\}^T (N')^T d(S)
\]

\[
[B] = \{L\} \{N\}^T
\]

\{N\} = \text{element shape function for pressure}

\{L\} = \text{matrix operator } \nabla (\ ) \& \nabla (\ )

\{P_e\} = \text{nodal pressure vector}

And for pure fluid element domains, the natural boundary condition along the interface is removed, reducing the equation (4-20) is reduced to (Liu, 2005);

\[
[M_e^P] \{\dddot{P}_e\} + [K_e^P] \{P_e\} = \{0\} \quad (4-21)
\]

Damping is included into the element matrix by adding a dissipation term to equation (4-20) to obtain;

\[
[M_e^P] \{\dddot{P}_e\} + [K_e^P] \{P_e\} + [C_e^P] \{\dddot{P}_e\} + \rho_o [R_e]^T \{\dddot{u}_e\} = \{0\} \quad (4-22)
\]

where

\[
[C_e^P] = \frac{\beta}{c} \int_{S} \{N\} \{N^T\} d(S) = \text{fluid damping matrix}
\]

\[
\beta = \text{interface absorption coefficient}
\]

\[
\{\dddot{P}_e\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial P_e}{\partial t} \right\}
\]
4.2 **ANSYS Mechanical Parametric Design Language**

Ansyl APDL is a multi-physics finite element software package. Also known as Ansys Mechanical APDL, Ansys mechanical or simply APDL, the finite element software package differs from Ansys Workbench in its graphics user interface. APDL offers a rudimentary graphic interface and relies on the console commands and macros codes to carry out numerical simulations while Ansys Workbench is more geared towards blocked modules and graphic interface reliance similar to programs such as LabView. Due to the unavailability of acoustic features in Ansys workbench, this study is carried out entirely from the APDL console. This offers two benefits; the close understanding of the simulation settings and the use of macros which facilitates debugging and sharing.

The project is split into three major sections; pre-processing, solution and post-processing. The pre-processor involved the definition of material properties, declaration of variables, drawing or import of the model, finite element mesh creation, boundary condition definitions and setting constraints. The solution phase corresponds to the step where the functions of each finite element are assembled into a mathematical approximation of the whole model with the associated initial and input conditions. The solution phase is bespoke to the type of analysis. For transient work, each solution is represented as a load step which is a point in time, while for harmonic and modal analysis, the sub steps of a load step corresponds to the solved natural frequencies and modes. The post-processing features allow visualization and collection of the resultant data. In most cases, the toolset is unintuitive so exporting the data to third party programs such as Matlab is more efficient.

The author identifies three areas to pay special attention to when setting up a simulation project. Firstly, reliable material property values are crucial for an accurate simulation. Secondly the mesh element also needs to be inspected to have sufficient density and be defect free. Sometimes, areas or volumes need to be divided manually to achieve the best result. However, the evaluation of mesh quality is quite subjective albeit obvious. Lastly, the boundary conditions can sometimes be difficult to define. This is especially true for elastic constraints or partially damped boundaries. Each of these areas is a discipline in itself.
4.2.1 Material Properties

Consistent material property values are surprisingly hard to come by. The user has to be highly aware of the materials and degree of alloys involved in the numerical study. However, it is not uncommon to obtain a dozen different values that vary slightly from a dozen text books. This problem is exacerbated by a plethora of common as well as proprietary alloy mixtures. In the absence of citable values, it is acceptable to approximate the material properties for alloys, namely the Young modulus and the Poisson ratio by averaging the pure material property according to the ratio of content. The materials involved in this project are tabulated in Table 4-1 which shows the isotropic values of flip chip materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1000 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>(Crocker, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>1484 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die Wafer Silica (110 Orientation)</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>138 GPa</td>
<td>(Xueping et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2330 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>7695 m/s</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutectic Tin-Lead Solder (60:40)</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>38.6 GPa</td>
<td>(Enke et al., 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>8420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>2159 m/s</td>
<td>(Partee, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium 2024-T3</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>69.5 GPa</td>
<td>(Mitavete, 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2780 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>5000 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Grade Copper (C101)</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>127 GPa</td>
<td>(Aalco, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>(Xueping et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>8920 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>3773 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Nickel</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>210 GPa</td>
<td>(IMMA, 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>8890 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>4860 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4-1: List of material properties found in flip chip package*
Solid region materials are defined using mathematical models which do not require the acoustic velocities to be input directly. However, the longitudinal wave velocity $v$ is directly related to the material properties which is given by (Goel, 2007);

$$
v = \sqrt{\frac{(B + \frac{4}{3}\eta)}{\rho}} \tag{4-23}
$$

Where $B$ is the Bulk modulus, $\eta$ is the modulus of rigidity and $\rho$ the density of solid material. In ANSYS for elastic isotropic assumptions, the materials are assumed to be in the form of a long bar (which constrict the stress strain stiffness to an axis along the bar), the equation can be reduced into;

$$
v = \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \tag{4-24}
$$

Where $Y$ is the Young’s modulus which defines the stress strain ratio along a specific axis. The option to include young modulus for specific axis as well as other properties like sheer modulus is available for anisotropic configurations. However for isotropic materials assumptions, the $Y$ input is used to describe all directions.

### 4.2.2 Finite Element Types

In Ansys, there are more than a hundred types of elements to choose from. The choices seem daunting but each element is bespoke to the type of problem. Understanding the dimensionality (2D or 3D, shell or solid?) and degree of freedom involved (structural, flow, electromagnetic, pressure, displacements, etc) limits the number of suitable element types, usually to less than five. The general acoustic structural element is identified as FLUID29 for two dimensional work with infinite boundary FLUID129 as a companion element to truncate the computational domain. PLANE42 is used to describe the solid sections of the model. It is a generic solid element with a specialty for structural degrees of freedom (DOF).

FLUID29 only feature pressure DOFs but can be flagged to include displacement DOFs for elements in contact with solid surfaces. FLUID29 is applied to any fluid/air areas to create triangular or quadrilateral element meshes. Triangular meshes are considered to be less accurate with constant gradients while quadrilateral elements have more nodes that allow linear gradient functions according to coordinate directions (Pepper and Heinrich, 1992). For fluid-structure boundaries, one layer of fluid elements in contact with any structure as well as the nodes which divide the fluid-solid model need to be flagged to invoke fluid-structure
calculations. This element approximates the mathematical model using material density and acoustic velocity and may include damping. (Ansys, 2009b)

PLANE42 is a two dimensional elements with two DOF on each node that translate displacements in the X and Y directions. The element has robust structural capabilities such as plasticity, creep, stress stiffening, large deflection, and large strain capabilities. Pressure loads interact with PLANE42 element faces which is carried into the solid model as displacement data. (Ansys, 2009b)

There is a temptation to over-model the given problem by considering higher order element types (more nodes per element). While these should deliver better accuracy, the computational cost can be several orders of magnitude higher. In certain cases, the computationally expensive option can also be the least accurate. Fagan explains that in the example shown in

*Figure 4–3*, modelling the 3D shell model will not obtain any more information as compared to the axisymmetric model. While modelling the cylinder using solid elements will incorrectly estimate the radial stiffness leading to ill-conditioning of the equations on top of higher computational cost (Fagan, 1992). Hence the user’s awareness of computational cost saving methods is vital for the project effort.

*Figure 4–3: Three methods of modelling a thin walled cylinder*(Fagan, 1992)
4.2.3 Finite Element Mesh

![Temperature distribution and Finite element models](image)

Figure 4–4: Analysis of a cooling fin showing effects of increasing number of elements. (Fagan, 1992)

The size of the elements is inversely proportional to the element mesh density as is the computational cost. Figure 4–4 shows the problem of a cooling fin where the solution shows that the temperature against the length of the fin varies in a quadratic manner. Each additional element linearly approximates the thermal profile, hence increasing the number of elements allows for a better representation. However it is up to the users experience to draw the line where in this case, doubling the number of elements from 4 to 8 would probably yield only 5% improvement while doubling the computational cost (Fagan, 1992). If the problem was two dimensional, the same increase will incur 4 times the computational cost.

In cases where the mesh density varies across the model sections, the equation matrices need to reconcile. In other words, each node must be connected linked to each other. This concept is clarified in Figure 4–5 where the absence of complete connections of nodes A and B will cause errors as the equation matrix becomes distorted due to the missing relationship. It is difficult to quantify what constitutes as good reconciliation aside from qualitative evaluation of the elemental shapes being as regular as possible. It is also worth noting that while quadrilateral elements have higher fidelity, triangular elements are optimal for reconciliating mismatch mesh densities as well as defining irregular regions.
4.2.4 Boundary conditions

Boundary conditions describes the loading, constraints and other external effects and forces that are applied to a model (Fagan, 1992). For example, in the acoustic disciplines, the fluid-structure interface requires boundary condition flag to be set to tell the program to use equation 0.19 which allows the pressure data to be translated into displacement information at the fluid-solid element coupling. Without the boundary condition, the element equation matrices are approximated using equation (4-21) which would bounce off the solid interface like a perfectly reflective wall. Another example, the impedance boundary flag would inform the program to use (4-22) where the damping term will suppress the acoustic energy upon contact with the element. Since there is no damping term in (4-21), the acoustic energy will not be suppressed without the impedance boundary flag.

Some boundary conditions are defined by applying associated elements. FLUID129 is an element that is applied to the ends of truncated computational boundaries to numerically propagate any acoustic energy into infinity. Boundary conditions also refer to the configuration of symmetrical boundaries that divide mathematical models and contact modes. Boundary conditions also define model constraints where otherwise, the model would be floating hence unphysical. The constraints may perfectly hold the model in place or alternatively, an elastic constraint which reflects realistic conditions where mechanical energy is loss through the surface where the model is mounted to. Excitations like an acoustic pulse inserted from the edge of the computational boundary are also a type of boundary.
condition. While the definition is up for debate, the author argues that the initial conditions are simply the boundary conditions at the starting time of the transient analysis.

### 4.3 Acoustic Transient Analysis

There is limited literature on finite element high frequency acoustic transient analysis (HFATA), and no examples (also known as verification manuals) in Ansys APDL. In the range of several hundred megahertz and above, this field of study requires enormous computational resource as shown in (Łodygowski and Sumelka, 2006). This may be the barrier preventing more publications in this field.

The Ansys help system does state that the acoustic fluid finite elements are capable for use in transient solutions. This capability is demonstrated in (Liu, 2005). Liu's dissertation uses both harmonic analysis followed by transient work. An acoustic transient analysis requires a short acoustic pulse input and many solutions depending on the time step. Harmonic and modal analysis solves the model natural frequency within a single solution which requires significantly less time and memory. Unfortunately, Liu's work did not provide the APDL code to exactly replicate the experiment.

Other similar work using ANSYS are found in the field of laser acoustics. Notable work by (L'Etang and Huang, 2006, Xu et al., 2006) carries out an uncoupled thermal and mechanical analysis. The sample is excited with a thermal load where the resultant thermal response of the nodes is recorded and reapplied in a separate mechanical analysis to obtain the final stress data.

The numerical experiments using ANSYS found in literature concern frequencies which are much lower than the 100MHz region required in this study. There is no temporal limit in governing equations and concerns with numerical stability at higher frequencies are addressed in subchapter 5.2 below.
4.3.1 Transient Analysis

There is no guide literature found in the field of acoustic thermal analysis. Initial inspiration came from a publicly presented drop test simulation of a bar. When the bar hit the surface, the resultant mechanical energy originating from the point of impact propagated along the bar which is essentially acoustic energy. This indicates that transient solutions are suitable for acoustic analysis.

A cantilever simulation model was obtained from University of Alberta (Alberta, 2003) and modified to measure acoustic output when struck at the ends. The beam is constrained in one end and the other end is excited (plucked) with a with a displacement load. Since the input impulse is a ramped displacement over 50µs, the mesh density is set as 20 elements per wavelength (EPW) for 40 kHz. The doubling of the mesh density over the expected dominant frequency offers comprehensive fidelity and was computationally affordable. Time resolution (TRES) is set at 20 steps per period (of 20 kHz) as recommended (Alberta, 2003) and solved with the “full” transient solution. The EPW and TRES recommendations are consistent with other work such as (Xu et al., 2006, L'Etang and Huang, 2006, Che-Hua and Chun-Zen, 2006).

Figure 4–6: Basic transient analysis of a plucked cantilever beam.

Figure 4–6 shows the results from the cantilever plucking experiment. Calculations show that the pulse propagated at a velocity between 4500m/s to 5500m/s which is consistent with the acoustic velocity of Aluminium. A “range” of acoustic velocity was obtained as the result of structural deformation due to the plucking, preventing accurate determination. The Y-Axis displacement data from the centre of the beam was extracted from ANSYS and analyzed using a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) application in Matlab. The centre of the beam
oscillated at a centre frequency of 12.9 kHz as shown in Figure 4–7. The FFT application was written by the author in the Matlab.

To compare the results for verification purposes, modal analysis is executed on the cantilever model. The results are shown in Figure 4–8 which indicate one the natural frequencies at 12.5 kHz is in good agreement with the 12.9 kHz result in Figure 4–7. This is an expected result as an impulse on the model should produce dominant frequencies that correlate to the natural resonant frequencies of the model.

Expanding on the basic transient simulation, the model is increased in complexity to measure the acoustic energy scattered into air. To do this the beam is enveloped by fluid elements with air properties as shown in Figure 4–9. The absorbing boundary is created using Perfectly Matched Layers (PML) by setting FLUID29 with damping properties and activating it by switching the impedance flag to unity.

The air regions that are in contact with the beam and the boundaries are defined using triangular meshes while the 'open' areas are defined using quadrilateral elements. By splitting up the mesh area, the triangular elements are better suited for mesh density mismatches in
between the cantilever beam and the air medium. The reason for a dynamic mesh density is due to the mismatched acoustic wavelength in both materials. However, in this case, the element density of the cantilever beam is quadrupled to better match the fluid region mesh. Triangular elements are also desirable for filling odd geometries and especially circular modals. Overall, a low mesh distortion is obtained as seen in Figure 4–9. Without the mentioned countermeasures of dividing up the region, the same model will be meshed with many distortions and insufficient elemental density as seen in Figure 4–10.

The transient solution provided the acoustic response shown in Figure 4–11. The transient acoustic response is measured and analyzed using the FFT application as seen in Figure 4–12. The lowest frequency is 14 kHz followed by 42 kHz, 85 kHz and 114 kHz all which are 3, 6 and 8 multiples of 14 kHz. The fluid finite element mesh is configured for 20 elements per wavelength for a 20 kHz frequency which translate to an element size of 857.5µm. At 42 kHz, the waveform is modelled by 9.5 elements, 4.7 elements for 85 kHz and 3.5 elements for 114 kHz. To obtain an acceptable result, the first order elements have to be
at least six times smaller than the wavelength doubles down according to the harmonic order (Łodygowski and Sumelka, 2006). By this rule, the frequency response is expected to be increasingly distorted from 85 kHz onwards. For example, at 114 kHz, the model is fundamentally describing a sine wave using only 3.5 elements which is equivalent to 4 to 5 points (or nodes). Hence increasing higher frequency harmonics will have wavelengths approaching the element size, compromising fidelity.

Figure 4–11: Acoustic scatter of the plucked cantilever beam in air

Figure 4–12: FFT result of plucked cantilever beam in air.

4.3.2 Acoustic Transient Analysis

With the transient methodology established, the model is expanded for transient acoustic analysis in solid plates. The test simulation is a two dimensional 100mm PLANE42 square aluminium model encapsulated with FLUID29 elements for water with PML absorbing boundaries. As illustrated in Figure 4–13, a ramped pressure load is applied to a single node at the top of the model over 20 load steps. The loads are then zeroed and the input is left to propagate for 100 µs over 400 load steps. This simulates a sharp object dropped onto a solid aluminium block.
Since there are no guides or examples found in ANSYS, the accurate implementation of the finite element model in the ANSYS environment comes into question. This is mainly compounded by the large range of options, algorithms and features available to the user. Fortunately, most of these features pertain to computational cost reduction by tweaking the numerical methods (matrix reduction). For example, when forming the transient solution, there are Full, Reduced and Mode superposition methods to choose from. The full method fundamentally uses the full matrix formulation outlined in section 4.1.2 and is the most computationally intensive and allows all types of nonlinearities to be included. The model superposition method sums the eigenvectors from a modal analysis to calculate the structural response. There are problems with limited nonlinear response and inability to accept imposed displacements (an impulse for example). The reduced method requires that the matrices only consider the Master Degrees of Freedom which allows faster calculations. However, this method can only consider linear solutions. In this case, the full method is used, largely to avoid missing any physical phenomena and to stay true to the understood finite element matrices. (Rubacek, 2004, Ansys, 2009b, Alberta, 2003)

To verify the implementation, the author refers to (Sansalone et al., 1987). Figure 4–13 is modelled to produce similar results although the publication deals with concrete while this study specifies aluminium. Both methods are governed by the same numerical and physical mathematical model where the model is fundamentally a solid block with an impulse input. The expected result is illustrated in Figure 4–14. The impact will create surface acoustic waves also known as Rayleigh’s "R" or Lamb waves to propagate along the surface. Inside the solid material, there are plane (P-waves) which displaces parallel to the direction of propagation and shear (S-waves) in motion perpendicular to the direction of propagation (Sansalone et al., 1987a). The head waves generated from the point of impact radiates tangent to the S-waves. The different speeds of both waves can be determined by the Poisson's ratio.
of the material. For a Poisson's ratio of 0.2, the S and R waves would travel at approximately 61% and 56% of the P-wave speed respectively (Carino and Sansalone, 1990).

![Figure 4–14: Stress intensity propagation wave mode components. (Sansalone et al., 1987a)](image)

Figure 4–14 shows a good agreement between the author's solutions against Sansalone's result. The overall waveform is in good agreement with each wave component present with matching characteristics. This verifies the author's configuration of the transient acoustic analysis in ANSYS APDL is correct.

![Figure 4–15: Stress intensity propagation of; a) Author's aluminium bloc. b) Sansalone's published result.(Sansalone et al., 1987a)](image)

Figure 4–15 shows a good agreement between the author's solutions against Sansalone's result. The overall waveform is in good agreement with each wave component present with matching characteristics. This verifies the author's configuration of the transient acoustic analysis in ANSYS APDL is correct.

The boundary conditions involved in this model is straightforward to implement unlike contact and elastic boundaries conditions which can be elusive and fortunately not used in this study. First, there is FLUID129 which is a line element applied to the outer radius of the computational domain to numerically propagate any acoustic scatters to infinity via asymptotic expansion of the equation matrices. The alternative is the PML technique which numerically suppresses any scatters to zero using impedance damping values as shown in equation (4-22). This method is suitable for rectangular or oddly shaped computational domain boundaries. All elements attached to the end of the computational boundary are defined with damping properties. The boundary impedance is then set by setting "sf,all,impd,I” which activates the damping properties of said elements. The second boundary conditions involve the fluid-structure interface. This boundary definition is required when the
fluid elements border with the solid elements. The elements in contact with the solid are flagged as "in the presences of a structure" to activate the fluid structure coupling matrices described in equation (4-20). This allows the fluid pressure data to couple with the solid element's displacement data. The third boundary conditions involve symmetrical models which are rarely used in this study. The remaining settings are loading conditions and static constraints to "hold" the model in place which are straight forward to implement.

4.3.3 Number of Elements

As mentioned earlier, one possibility as to the lack of HFATA literature could be the prohibitive computational cost required. This is especially true since samples a few millimetres in size is considered too large at frequencies of a hundred megahertz or more. For the audible frequency range, Łodygowski et al demonstrates the limitation of finite element analysis to model the MAGNA assembly hall (Łodygowski and Sumelka, 2006). In 3D, the solution would require an unmanageable 200 billion finite elements for the 6750m³ building. Reducing the model to a two dimensional slice reduced the overall number of elements to 10 million, which is still relatively unmanageable by most computers and solution time would be measured in days. It is advised to have between 10 to 20 elements per wavelength in any given model to accurately approximate the waveform. This presents a problem as the number of elements increases quadratically in proportion to frequency as shown in Figure 4–16 where the number of elements goes into the billions.

![Number of Elements vs Frequency](image)

*Figure 4–16: Number of elements quadratically increases with frequency.*

There is no opportunity to reduce computational cost by manipulating the model such as introducing shell elements. The wave equation needs to be solved throughout the propagation area. This is also seen in Liu’s work where despite using a shell element to
model a human skull, the region encapsulated by the shell still required fluid elements (Figure 4–17). A harmonic analysis of a submerged ring by (Schroeder et al., 1976) and radiating sphere by (Imaoka, 2013) also demonstrates the painful amount of elements required successfully carry out a simple simulation. Schroeder’s publication is also used as a verification simulation in Ansys; hence the source code (VM177) is publicly available. Imaoka is a subject leader and the cited code is introduced to new users as the basic method to carry out harmonic and modal analysis.

4.3.4 Reducing Number of Elements

Boundary conditions and transient analysis methodologies aside, the last remaining challenge in HFATA is the management of computational expense. Two methods are applied. The first involve varying dynamic mesh density. The propagation paths itself require sufficient element density to maintain the fidelity of the waveform. However, the path can be isolated where the path itself has high element density and the surrounding area, especially the buffer regions can be constructed with lower elements per wavelengths (EPW). This will
not risk numerical accuracy and the element density can be quadratically lower proportional to the distance from the propagation paths. To use this method, understanding of the concepts introduced in Figure 4–5 then becomes vital. As shown in Figure 4–18, where the centre is the propagation path, the transition between mesh densities are prone to create mesh distortions. Such is the inherent weakness of automatic mesh algorithms. If the element is small enough to express the waveform, such distortions are negligible. When this is not the case, it becomes necessary to divide the model up to improve the mesh as seen in a comparison between Figure 4–9 and Figure 4–10.

The second method is model miniaturization. This study considers the mechanical relationship between the solder ball grid array and the flip chip silica die. Rather than model the flip chip in its entirety, the boundaries of the models are truncated to a single solder bump. To reduce computational load further, the model is a 2D slice synonymous to a B-Scan. In addition to this, the acoustic source can also be replaced by a vectored load to replicated or approximate the original oscillating source. This way, the propagation path can be significantly reduced which will save considerable computing power. These techniques will be elaborated in detail Chapter 5.2

![Diagram](image)

Figure 4–19: The propagation path of the source to the subject is truncated to reduce computational load

### 4.3.5 Chapter Summary

The typical work flow of an acoustic transient analysis is detailed in Figure 4–20. It is vital to inspect the model for overlapping areas of geometries and all shared key points are merged (e.g. a rectangle a key point at each edge). Any problems encountered with the use of the automatic meshing engine can only be efficiently corrected by modifying the model. The process of dividing up the model involves going back and forth the meshing and drawing phase. Frequency range and number of desired results within that range can be specified in
modal and harmonic analysis. For transient solutions, the time stepping is proportional to the maximum frequency period. The input condition can be tabular or following a function (e.g. sine or Gabor functions). Each run represents a single *iteration*, where the *iteration* can reflect the position of a transducer or for structural nonlinear cases, a bent beam. The next *iteration* requires the model to be redrawn and re-meshed, effectively starting over the numerical experiment. As mentioned in the beginning of Chapter 4.3, it is preferable to have a script to understand how the finite element analysis was undertaken. The block diagram clearly summarizes a broad number of methods to carry out the same numerical experiment.

*Figure 4–20: Block diagram summary of acoustic transient model workflow*

The implementation of simple transient acoustic analysis is demonstrated. The governing equations show there should be no issue with higher frequency implementation beyond numerical instabilities which can be addressed. Each stage of the solution process is verified against established guides and examples. The final output is also compared and verified with similar published literature. The source code used to develop and demonstrate the methodology is available in the appendix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Appendix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plucked Cantilever Beam</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plucked Cantilever Beam in Air</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp Impact on Solid Block</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In this chapter, two aspects of the finite element methods are explored. Firstly, the following section explores the feasibility of implementation quadrilateral infinite absorbing boundaries using traditional circular elements. This is achieved by defining a very large radius for the circular infinite boundary which is a novel approach.

The second part of this chapter explores the optimal time and spatial resolution configuration achievable within the computational budget. While this particular point has been discussed heavily, it is worth testing the accepted norms with empirical data. It is also prudent to examine the solution convergence specific to the implementations of this study.

5.1 Development of Quadrilateral Infinite Absorbing Boundary Technique

As outlined in chapter 4.3.4, one of the challenges of finite element modelling especially for acoustic solutions is the management of element density. The primary management methodology is to reduce the overall size of the computational model. This field of study is deep and is its own discipline which is known as truncated boundaries. The ideal truncated boundary does only one thing, which is to limit the computational boundary while introducing zero scatter or artefact signals. This is achieved by realizing the Dirichlet-to-Neumann radiation condition or DtN map in short (Oberai et al., 1998). Traditionally, DtN methodologies suffer from implementation barriers as well as high computational demands (Kallivokas and Lee, 2004).

Absorbing boundary conditions (ABC) are usually developed as circular or elliptical boundary geometries and can be loosely categorized as non-local and local techniques with exception to Perfectly Matched Layer (PML) (Kallivokas and Lee, 2004). Generally, non-local techniques are developed to satisfy the DtN criteria hence is exact and non-reflecting or ideal while local ABC like infinite elements realizes an approximate solution via asymptotic expansion of the far field solution which sacrifices accuracy in favour of much lower computing demand. The PML method realizes the boundary condition by numerically forcing a damping coefficient to eliminate scatter. This method splits the boundary elements into lossless and dissipative elements that damp the wave that interfaces with it. This translates to the need to mesh an additional layer of dissipative elements to envelope the computational domain. Unlike local methods which are coupled to the boundary line of circular or elliptical
boundaries, PML can be meshed around the computational domain in any complex absorbing boundary geometries. The geometrical weakness of circular boundaries has encouraged a variety of developments of elliptical boundaries like (Kallivokas and Lee, 2004) and (Medvinsky et al., 2008). While an elliptical boundary does reduce the computational domain significantly, unlike a circle, it is relatively troublesome to implement when coupling with the vector drawing. For this work, microelectronic package simulations usually involve rectangular flip chip cross-sections. The geometry for most electronic packages is horizontally long and vertically short, and to simulate acoustic microscopy work, the area of interest traverses the model horizontally to emulate industrial ultrasound inspection (Chean Lee, 2012). It is advantageous to use a rectangular boundary to optimally truncate the computational domain. However, most local techniques including the infinite boundary are designed to be circular with a requirement to specify the spatial parameters of the circle. Although the PML method has the advantage of free geometric configuration, the performance of an infinite boundary is better under specific conditions (Gomez-Revuelto et al., 2012). Since PML is an unphysical methodology (Basu and Chopra, 2003), there arises accuracy concerns.

For ANSYS, the infinite boundary technique is called FLUID129 which is a companion element to the popular FLUID29 acoustic fluid element. This element is realized as a line element and is meshed on a circular truncated boundary with compulsory matching spatial specifications for the circular geometry. To use the PML method, the absorbing boundary is created by specifying impedance or damping value (typically 1 for 100% damping) on a FLUID29 element and applying that layer of elements enveloping the computational boundary. It is important to remember that FLUID129 infinite boundaries are always circular while PML FLUID29 technique has geometric freedom.

The objective of this section is to explore a methodology of merging the performance of an infinite boundary with the configuration freedom of the PML method. The idea was to apply and test the viability of applying FLUID129 circular absorbing boundary on a square truncated domain. To achieve this, the radius of the infinite boundary equation is assigned a very large value. The large value translates into a curvature approaching zero to realize a straight line boundary. The straight infinite boundary is meshed unto the computational domain like using regular circular FLUID129 methods. This also means that the boundary is discontinuous, and the corners of the absorbing boundaries are realized by adding multiple infinite boundary definitions. The exploitation of the radius size to map straight boundaries has not been found in any publication thus far. The second condition is that the boundary is
realized very close to the scatter source to aggressively reduce the computational domain. All simulations are carried out with the boundary at a distance of one lambda (of the dominant frequency) from the solid interface. This technique is dubbed the "Quadrilateral Infinite Boundary" (QIB).

5.1.1 ANSYS element FLUID129 and PML absorbing techniques

The primary difficulty of modelling infinite medium comes from the need to satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition (Ansys, 2009b);

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{c} P_r \right) = 0
\] (5-1)

Where \( r \) is the distance of the infinite medium from the origin, \( P_r \) is the pressure derivative along the radial direction, and \( d \) is the dimensionality of the problem. The Fluid129 element tackles this problem by truncating the unbounded domain with an absorbing artificial boundary. This is realized by (Kallivokas et al.) in two dimensions as;

\[
P_{n+1} P_n = -\frac{1}{c} \dot{P} + \left( \frac{1}{2} k - \frac{\gamma}{c} \right) P + \frac{1}{2} c P_{\lambda\lambda} + \left( \frac{1}{8} k^2 c + \frac{1}{2} \right) k \gamma P
\] (5-2)

Where \( n \) is the outward normal to curve, \( P_n \) is pressure derivative in the normal direction, \( P_{\lambda\lambda} \) is the pressure derivative along curve, \( k \) is the curvature, and \( \gamma \) is a stability parameter. Since the curvature or radius 'k' of Kallivokas's infinite boundary solution is arbitrary to \( P_n \) and \( P_{\lambda\lambda} \), a very large radius definition will not increase computational complexity. While FLUID129 applies an infinite boundary as a line on the boundary of the computational domain, FLUID29 acoustic fluid element has the ability to employ the PML technique. This method works by splitting the element into lossless and dissipative regions where the dissipative regions is the absorbing boundary usually one element in thickness. The equation is realized by including additional dissipative effects to the lossless wave equation. The PML equation for dissipative element is give as (Craggs, 1986):

\[
\int_{\text{vol}} \delta P \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} d(\text{vol}) - \int_{\text{vol}} \delta P [L]^T ([L]P)d(\text{vol}) + \int_{S} \delta P \left( \frac{\rho_0}{c} \right) \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} d(s) = 0
\] (5-3)

Where \( P \) is the acoustic pressure, \( \delta P \) is the virtual change in pressure, \([L]\) is the divergence matrix, \([L]^T\) is the gradient matrix, \( c \) is the speed of sound in acoustic medium, \( \rho_0 \) is the mean fluid density, and \( r \) is the absorption coefficient at the boundary.
5.1.2 Implementation of Absorbing Boundary Methodologies

To evaluate the performance of the proposed QIB, both traditional methodologies are constructed and evaluated for the purpose of comparison. The dimensions of the objects are based on the wavelength of the frequency range under study. Therefore, the element size is directly proportional to frequency. Fifteen elements are used to describe one wavelength. The excitation points, A and B and the data probe points C and D are indicated in Figure 5–1 and Figure 5–2. This will measure the absorption performance at a 1 wavelength distance of the centre. The corners of the quadrilateral boundary will also be evaluated.

![Figure 5–1: Infinite boundary method implementation. Wave V is numerically propagated into infinity when interfacing with the boundary.](image)

Figure 5–1 illustrates the infinite boundary methodology. A square silicon die is constructed using PLANE42 elements surrounded by water fluid elements (FLUID29). The fluid region is bounded and truncated by a circular infinite boundary. The boundary is set at a distance of one wavelength from the solid interface (point A) as indicated in Figure 5–1. When wave V interfaces with the computational boundary \( \Gamma_a \), the boundary numerically approximate the wave \( V_s \) uninterrupted propagation towards infinity \( \Gamma_\infty \). Although the distance is less than one wavelength at the corners, it is necessary to maintain this shape for a valid comparison with the square boundary.
The PML absorbing boundary is realized by defining a layer of dissipative elements (MU=1) that are attached to a square computational boundary as indicated in Figure 5–2. The distance from the centres of the solid interface to the boundaries is one wavelength. To activate the damping effects, the boundary condition requires an impedance flag (IMDP=1). The wave $V$ is numerically suppressed when interfacing the annulus of the boundary.
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Figure 5–3: Zero curvature as a result of an infinite radius is used to define the straight horizontal lines of the computational boundary. The vertical counterpart is defined in the same manner.

The proposed QIB technique implements the FLUID129 circular infinite absorbing boundary on a quadrilateral boundary. In ANSYS, the implementation of FLUID129 element requires that the dimensions specified for the infinite element matches the computational boundary. A series of straight line infinite boundaries is realized by defining a very large radius for FLUID129 (1 million meters in this study).

Figure 5–3 illustrates this methodology. As the radius approaches infinity, the curvature approaches zero ($\theta \approx 0^\circ$) describing a straight line. The continuous computational boundary $\Gamma_a$ (Figure 5–1) is replaced with $\Gamma_x$ & $\Gamma_y$ as multiple discontinuous lines are now realized and combined to form the quadrilateral infinite boundary. This is written in ANSYS’s material property constant as $R,Nset,Rad+Y,Xo,Yo$ to define the horizontal boundary and $R,Nset,Rad+X,Xo,Yo$ to describe vertical boundaries. $R$ is the command code and $Nset$ is the arbitrary material number. $X$ and $Y$ are line positions of the quadrilateral boundary. $Xo$ and $Yo$ define the position of origin where the curvature of the infinite boundary geometry will tangent with the boundary line geometry. The source code is available in Appendix iv.
5.1.3 Baseline Result and Post-Processing Procedure

Figure 5–4: Baseline result comparison between transient response with circular FLUID129 infinite boundary and without any absorbing boundary condition. Excitation obtained using a pressure load.

Point A in Figure 5–1 (circular infinite boundary) is excited with a sine wave pressure load. Point C is the probe location to record a baseline transient response with and without an absorbing boundary. The simulation is run through a spectrum of eight frequencies; 200MHz, 10MHz, 1MHz, 100kHz, 10kHz, 1kHz, 100Hz and 10Hz. 200MHz is chosen as the upper limit instead of 100MHz to evaluate a frequency closer to the experimental transducer. To synchronize the waveform for comparison, the temporal dimension is normalized into orders of period. In Figure 5–4, the transient response of all 8 frequencies are normalized in time and magnitude and fitted with a 6th order polynomial. Accuracy is not compromised from the normalizing and curve fitting as the transient response characteristic has a consistent waveform and magnitude across the range of frequencies tested.

The suppression of the 'Main Reflection' indicated in Figure 5–4 is the purpose of this study. The weak reflection despite an absorbing boundary is considered an artefact. This is the baseline data. Ideally the ‘Main Reflection’ should not exist since the wave should propagate uninterrupted to infinity. Nevertheless the inaccuracy has very low magnitudes and would not affect linear acoustic analysis. However, in non-linear harmonics, weak signals are measurable in the frequency domain. A small improvement in the absorbing boundary then
becomes significant. The spike in period 3 is complex echoes generated from interactions with non-absorbing features. Artefact waves from the boundaries will unphysically increase the magnitude.

5.1.4 Centre of Computational Domain

Quadrilateral boundaries create corners as opposed to its circular counterpart. There arises the need to test the performance of the truncation technique on both the central computational domain region as well as the corners. Firstly, the quadrilateral PML is compared against the baseline in the centre of the computational domain. The distance between boundary and solid interface is one wavelength. The baseline is already presented in Figure 5–4. The PML method is modelled as specified in Figure 5–2. Points A is excited with the 8 frequencies and recorded at data probe C. Figure 5–5 shows the transient response at each frequency step (Figure 5–4 is the average of all eight iterations). Figure 5–5 shows that the infinite boundary has slightly better absorption and stability than the PML. However, both methodologies have good overall performance with simple loads.

![Figure 5–5: Left: Transient response for circular infinite boundary (FLUID129) across a broad frequency range. Right: Transient response obtained from PML.](image)

Secondly, the proposed quadrilateral infinite boundary is benchmarked against the baseline and the quadrilateral PML technique. The log chart (Figure 5–6) indicates that the infinite boundaries both in a quadrilateral and circular form correlate very closely. Both the proposed and baseline technique suppress the main reflection artefact better than the PML method.

Figure 5–7 is constructed by calculating the area under the curve using the Trapezoidal numerical integration of only the ‘main reflection’ region of the data. This region is constraint in between two minimums near period 2 and period 3 in Figure 5–4. In an ideal
system, this area should have zero oscillation. The result agrees with (Gomez-Revuelto et al., 2012) where the infinite boundary does indeed perform better than the PML method in specific cases. The performance is not consistent as the PML outperforms the baseline method at 200MHz and 1MHz but does worse at 10MHz and 100kHz. However, at audible range, the PML consistently outperforms infinite boundary methods. The figure also shows good agreement between the proposed and baseline technique. This indicates there are no significant issues with the radius exploitation used in the proposed technique.

Figure 5–6: Log chart of transient response highlighting the difference in performance between PML, infinite and proposed absorbing boundary method.

Figure 5–7: Comparison of residual artefact oscillation in all three techniques
5.1.5 Corner Performance

The PML method uses an annular region to numerically suppress the scalar wave while the infinite boundary asymptotically expands the wave to infinity. Both are mathematically different boundary models and performance differences are expected at quadrilateral boundary corners. To test this, point B in Figure 5–2 is excited with eight frequencies from 200MHz to 10Hz. The measurement of the main reflection is obtained in point D. Figure 5–8 shows that for quadrilateral corners, the PML performs better than the infinite boundary in most cases except at higher frequencies. However, the previous section show that the infinite method performs better in specific cases, hence this result could be a coincidence and not a reflection on better performance at higher frequencies.

Both methods show an acceptable level of wave elimination. The proposed method shows significant improvement at the 200MHz and 10MHz configuration. But the PML method outperforms by a large margin at lower frequency spectrums. Merging two discontinuous infinite boundaries at a corner are not optimal application of infinite boundaries at such frequencies. The data shows that there are no absolute methods of solving local absorbing boundaries.

Figure 5–8: Log plot of the transient response of the quadrilateral PML corner and proposed quadrilateral infinite absorbing boundary.
5.1.6 Chapter Conclusion

The data confirms Gomez et al assertions that the performances of the infinite boundary vary depending on the parameters of the work. Since the only variable is the frequency and the computational domain is fixed in proportion to the wavelength of each frequency. The effectiveness of the boundary techniques do not appear to be directly associated to the frequency. However the PML technique has performed consistently better than both the baseline and proposed technique at close to and around the audible frequency range.

The requirement for circular or elliptical boundaries for infinite boundary conditions reduces the robustness of the technique. The proposed method provides a work around for this disadvantage by defining the radius to infinite, allowing the boundary condition to couple in a straight line. Experiments presented here show that the exploitation of the radius parameter does not significantly degrade the performance of the technique. In fact, the proposed technique performed in good agreement with its traditional format as seen in Figure 5–7. The minor differences can be attributed to the different geometry in which both infinite methods are tested.

In this work only one mathematical model for infinite boundaries by Kallivokas et al, is tested. Theoretically, the same exploit can be implemented into other asymptotic based formulations. The PML method by Craggs performs better at the corners of quadrilateral boundaries and does not degrade a great deal from the proposed and baseline methods. However sensitive numerical analysis with non circular boundaries can benefit greatly from the proposed method.
5.2 Evaluation of Numerical Dispersion Error for Acoustic Transient Analysis

Numerical Dispersion Error is a well known and well publicized issue in numerical studies. This largely affects harmonic wave analysis and especially transient wave propagation studies with increasing wave numbers (Ham and Bathe, 2012). Finite element methods have assumptions and simplification that reduces the ability of the polynomial basis function to form an exact representation of the field (Bin, 2004, Warren and Scott, 1998). Finite precision in the element will significantly degrade in accuracy especially in time stepped solutions typical of transient studies (Scott, 1994). Period elongation and amplitude decay (Ham and Bathe, 2012) can cause wave propagation velocity to be significantly different from the physical velocity resulting in dispersion and dissipation errors (Idesman et al., 2011).

The spatial (element per wavelength) and temporal (time step) resolution of the simulation can be set as an arbitrary number or by satisfying an equation. L’Etang et al suggest a both temporal and spatial resolution of 20 points per period and 20 elements per smallest wavelength respectively should be used (L’Etang and Huang, 2006). 20 elements per minimum wavelength will solve numerical problems to a 0.1% error (Mohamed and Masson, 2011). However, Che-Hua et al relaxes the spatial parameters by enforcing the mesh size $h_{space}$ to meet the criteria of (Che-Hua and Chun-Zen, 2006);

$$\frac{\lambda_{min}}{h_{space}} > 15$$  \hspace{1cm} (5-4)

Where $\lambda_{min}$ is the minimum wavelength in the numerical model. Hence lowering the required $h$ value from 20 to 15. To maintain numerical stability, the temporal resolution, $h_{time}$ is given as (Sansalone et al., 1987b);

$$h_{time} \leq \frac{L}{C}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5-5)

Where $L$ represents the shortest dimension of the finite element mapped in the model. Both equation (5-4) and (5-5) can be linked by replacing $L$ with $h_{space}$. $C$ specifies the material acoustic velocity of the model. The equation (5-5) specifies a time step relationship proportional to the size of the finite element which differs with L’Etang et al’s method where the $h$ values are directly specified.

In Sansalone’s work, the element size was configured as 0.02 times the plate thickness of 0.25m and 0.5m, with a fixed material velocity of 4000m/s. These values are substituted into equation (5-5) to yield an $h_{time}$ of 1.25µs and 2.5µs respectively. The paper
uses an excitation impulse of 25µs to 31µs which has a frequency range between 16kHz to 20kHz. For brevity, consider the smallest time step \( h_{\text{time}} \) for a 20kHz centre frequency. If the desired sampling resolution is 20 load steps per period, \( h_{\text{time}} \) will be 2.5µs and 1.67µs respectively for 30 load steps per period. Manual pixel measurements of the figures show a frequency response of 7kHz and 116MHz. In both cases, the calculated time step, \( h_{\text{time}} \) is either too high or too low. Hence it may be preferable to directly specify \( h \) values based on the specific work.

The evaluation of numerical error is confined to two parameters which are time step resolution and element density resolution. These two parameters are the main factors in determining the simulation fidelity and computation time needed for the solution. Large numbers of time steps have a linear increase in computational load while element density have a quadratic load increase since it is defined by the number of elements in a given area or volume.

Quadrilateral elements can reduce numerical destabilization (Fierz et al., 2012). When meshed these elements align neatly for simple geometries which lead to cleaner results on top of being able to specify linear gradients in all directions (Pepper and Heinrich, 1992). Triangular elements have greater flexibility in mapping odd geometric shapes and filling corners. However, the gradient calculation is not as accurate as quadrilateral elements as stated by Pepper et al. Since this work is interested in error generation, the model is constructed using triangular elements to exacerbate any errors. In addition, the main project uses triangular elements to describe the water medium which couples the transducer to the sample. The parameters are setup into 33 combinations and evaluated using ANSYS APDL.
5.2.1 Motivation and Objective

The primary method of reducing numerical dispersion error is by increasing spatial and temporal resolution of the numerical model. However without empirical evidence, it is difficult to justify which configurations are optimal. Ideally with enough computing power, the numerical experiment can be setup with a hundred elements per wavelength (EPW) and a hundred time steps per period (T_RES) or more. Unfortunately, a compromise has to be met in regards to available computing power.

Various published and informal literature have widely noted that 20 element per wavelength (EPW) is considered the high end of nodal density. This defines the number of dots, and subsequent lines connecting them to form elements, used to describe a geometry. However, both element density and time step resolution affects the sampling quality. Using 20 elements to describe a wave literally assigns 21 nodes to form the wave. Each time step extrapolates the wave’s position in time and space. A time step that is too large will result in missing behaviour. The error will worsen as the following time step increments are then extrapolated from the previous time step of erroneous results. Hence the definition of 'sampling frequency' is slightly different. Usually, sampling frequency is the rate of periodic data acquisition. However, the sampling frequency in numerical models cannot exceed the limits of the time step. However, in the case of (Sansalone et al., 1987b), the data was sampled at 2µs intervals although the time step was at 1µs intervals. Therefore the sampling rate was only half of what was obtainable from the model. This practice does not appear to be advantageous.

The necessity of this work stems from numerical problems being naturally explicit. By conduction a solution correlation study, this work aims to analyze a set of configurations to determine optimal choices for configuring the experiments in this study. The analysis evaluates numerical dispersion errors specifically for acoustic transient analysis. The correlation method evaluates the quality of the acoustic signal and ignores the quality of the surrounding buffer elements. This is related to all simulation experiments carried out in this thesis. Data from this work will serve as a justification of the simulation parameters and provide an error rating for the author’s subsequent and inevitable compromise.
5.2.2 Methodology

Quick ‘drafting’ simulation experiments are typically achieved by using 5 to 10 EPW. The results are inaccurate but allow the user to rapidly go back and forth the simulation configuration and the management of output. As previously mentioned 20 EPW is a commonly considered the standard for high resolution. This is also compounded by the fact that in most cases, non-clustered/distributed processing terminals cannot handle a greater number of total elements. When computing power proves insufficient for 20 EPW, simulations can also be carried out at 15 EPW which is believed to have only a slight compromise in accuracy but solves significantly faster making it highly desirable.

Table 5-1 details 33 configurations that will be evaluated. Each data set name is ‘T’ for time step resolution and ‘E’ represents the ‘EPW’ element per wavelength. The numbers associated to T, e.g. T10, (T_RES = 10), represent the time step is 10 steps per period. With an input frequency of 200MHz, T_RES = 10 solves the solution in time increments synonymous to a sampling frequency of 2GHz. In the discipline of digital signal processing, the sampling frequency must at least double the centre frequency. However, numerical studies demand a higher sampling frequency as large time steps will skip significant behaviour and distort the result. For acoustic transient analysis, harmonic responses are expected; hence a higher order sampling frequency has to be considered. This work will determine the optimal time step to use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T10E5</td>
<td>T10E10</td>
<td>T10E15</td>
<td>T10E20</td>
<td>T10E25</td>
<td>T10E30</td>
<td>T10E35</td>
<td>T10E40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T20E5</td>
<td>T20E10</td>
<td>T20E15</td>
<td>T20E20</td>
<td>T20E25</td>
<td>T20E30</td>
<td>T20E35</td>
<td>T20E40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T30E5</td>
<td>T30E10</td>
<td>T30E15</td>
<td>T30E20</td>
<td>T30E25</td>
<td>T30E30</td>
<td>T30E35</td>
<td>T30E40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T40E5</td>
<td>T40E10</td>
<td>T40E15</td>
<td>T40E20</td>
<td>T40E25</td>
<td>T40E30</td>
<td>T40E35</td>
<td>T40E40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>T80E80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-1: Data set arrangement table, ‘T’ represent time step per period and ‘E’ represents number of elements per wavelength.

The simulation is carried out in ANSYS APDL where the raw data is packaged into an array and exported to be processed in Matlab. Using a FLUID29 acoustic fluid element, a symmetrical half water model was created with total dimensions relative to wavelengths as shown in Figure 5–9. The computational domain has a 2:1 dimension which prevents any
The absorbing boundaries are mapped into the domain as a redundancy measure. The data point is measured at every $1\lambda$ increments from the top of the computational boundary up to a distance of $10\lambda$. The distance will allow the impulse to propagate freely and develop and demonstrate any occurring errors. The distance also pertains to the propagation length of the computational domain of the primary work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Velocity</td>
<td>1484 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1000 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency, $f$</td>
<td>200 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Amplitude, $A$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-12}$ Psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Excitation Equation</td>
<td>$-A \cdot \sin(2\pi ft)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2: Simulation parameters for numerical dispersion error analysis

The acoustic pulse is excited using a 200MHz sine load originating from the top of the model at duration of one cycle. The excitation load is then set to idle and left to solve for 55ns. The simulation time steps can be referred from Table 5-1, e.g. T40 = 40 time steps per period. The total number of time steps is however many steps required to reach 55ns in total simulation runtime. Pressure data is exported to Matlab for post processing. Each simulation iteration will cover a pair of temporal and spatial density as outlined in Table 5-1 (e.g. E40 = 40 elements per wavelength). The source code is available in Appendix v.

Figure 5–9: Schematic Drawing of Numerical Dispersion Error Evaluation.
5.2.3 Validation of Benchmark Data

The benchmark data can be represented by plotting an ideal sine pulse or as Fierz et al demonstrates, using very small load steps and very high element mesh density to create a benchmark data for comparison and correlation (Fierz et al., 2012). The simulated benchmark data is obtained using a configuration of a T_RES and EPW of 80 while the calculated sine pulse is constructed using the acoustic excitation equation listed in Table 5-2 for one cycle and phase shifted to match the simulated pulse.

Both pulses captured at a distance of $10\lambda$ from the source are shown in Figure 5–10 with its corresponding frequency domain result at the bottom. The specified frequency is 200 MHz, but the frequency response shows a peak magnitude at 197.6MHz and 188.7MHz respectively from the simulated and calculated pulse. Multiple harmonic residuals are also apparent since pulses are not monochromatic. The simulated pulse has very small distortions originating from numerical errors which are apparent at the higher end of the frequency domain. The figure shows that numerical errors are still present despite an unconventionally high spatial and temporal resolution. However, the comparison confirms that the benchmark result has very high fidelity with an agreeable frequency response up to 3GHz when compared to the calculated result.

![Figure 5–10: Comparison between numerical and calculated short sine pulse. (Above) Time domain acoustic response of (Below) frequency domain response.](image-url)
5.2.4 Time Domain Evaluation

Since the signal is captured at data points of $1\lambda$ intervals, all ten data points can be merged through summing with no overlapping to conveniently present the acoustic response for all sets in Table 5-1. Any overlapping will be due to wave propagation velocity inaccuracy which will lower the correlation score. The baseline is shown in Figure 5–11 and subsequent plots in Figure 5–12 to Figure 5–15 are the acoustic responses from every data set. The merging of all the data points of each data set into a continuous wave show little or no errors in terms of phase and frequency shifts.

![Figure 5–11: Merged acoustic response of baseline data](image)

![Figure 5–12: Acoustic response at 10 time steps per period with varying element density](image)
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Figure 5–13: Acoustic response at 20 time steps per period with varying element density

Figure 5–14: Acoustic response at 30 time steps per period with varying element density
Certain data sets show distortions in the waveform. This is caused by reflections from the local absorbing boundary first recorded in the first three data points close to the source. The signals from each data points are to produce Figure 5–12 to Figure 5–15. In many instance, the noise recorded at the later time of the first data point was merged with the primary pulse of the fifth data point, hence the distortions clearly seen in the middle of most plots. These distortions are left as it is since it represents the absorbing boundaries effectiveness in relation to the simulation resolution. This will degrade subsequent correlation score which allows for a conservative measure of accuracy.

These distortions can be manually removed since they do not overlap with the primary signal. However, closer analysis show that these distortions are not universal and do not occur significantly in higher resolution data sets. The propagation velocity of this noise appears to also be affected by the simulation resolution. Figure 5–16 features the acoustic response from the same data point at EPW = 15 and 20 with the baseline result included for comparison. The figure show a small increase in element density had a significant impact on the formation of the noise.

Figure 5–15: Acoustic response at 40 time steps per period with varying element density
Local absorbing boundaries will produce artefact reflections regardless of the resolution of the simulations. The experiment was configured with enough space to prevent reflections from the computational boundary from reaching any data points at the end of the simulation. This is clearly shown in the baseline result shown in Figure 5–16. The occurrence of noise in the upper figures clearly shows the sensitivity of numerical errors towards spatial resolution. The reflection from the absorbing boundary has a higher frequency component that could not be resolved by the simulation resolution. As a result the artefact reflection propagated faster than physically possible which is a typical observation in numerical dispersions errors. Hence while it is possible to manually remove this noise, the cause of the noise is directly related to the spatial density of the simulation.

Figure 5–16: Data set comparison showing (a) numerical dispersion error caused by insufficient spatial resolution, (b) improvements with practical resolution, (c) benchmark result.
Figure 5–17: Correlation score of all data sets against baseline result

All acoustic responses shown in Figure 5–12 to Figure 5–15 are correlated against the baseline result to produce Figure 5–17. The Pearson linear correlation algorithm was chosen to evaluate the data. The covariance of the data is linear which is compatible with the Pearson method. The algorithm is defined by the ratio of the covariance between two variables to the product of their respective standard deviations, denoted as (Chok, 2010);

\[ \rho = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}} \]  

(0.27)

where,

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} ; \bar{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n} \]  

(0.28)

And, \( x_i \) is the points at the time axis and \( y_i \) is the points at amplitude axis. The total number of points \( n \) is determined by the TRES specification as follows;

\[ 1 \leq n \leq (55ns * TRES) / 5ns \]  

(0.29)

The correlation scores show that 10 time steps per period is largely insufficient. A temporal configuration of 20 steps per period and temporal resolution of 15 elements per wavelength (T20E15) is sufficient to achieve an error ratio of 5% against the baseline. It is also clear that increases in temporal and spatial resolution do not yield significant improvements in error ratings. However, qualitative evaluation suggests the minimum acceptable resolution setting is T20E25 from Figure 5–13. Pearson linear correlation evaluates the covariance in the transient response without regards to the actual magnitude differences between two sets. The correlation method is also vulnerable to data that has a non linear covariance e.g. a bell curve (Chok, 2010). Additional analysis in the frequency domain is carried out in the next section 4.5.5.
5.2.5 Frequency Domain Evaluation

Previously, an ideal sine pulse is shown to have numerous harmonic components. These components are clearly identified when the signal is plotted in the frequency domain. Distortions in the acoustic response due to numerical errors will also change the frequency response. The baseline result resolved harmonic components close to 3GHz (Figure 5–10) before the frequency response became relatively distorted. The fidelity of the frequency response of lower resolution configuration namely T20E15 and T20E20 is of particularly interest. This resolution range is used in the wider numerical community. In most cases, singular processing terminals do not possess the necessary processing power to handle higher simulation resolutions.

Every data set listed Table 5-1 is plotted in the frequency domain from the data point located 5λ from the source. The selection of the data point was arbitrary since multiple oscillation cycles did not distort the waves (low resolution results as exception). This section will identify distortions in the frequency response and remove its corresponding dataset. From Figure 5–10, a good simulation resolution can resolve very high harmonic components and this ability of each data set will be examined against that benchmark.

![FFT Plot of All Data Sets](image)

*Figure 5–18: Overview FFT plot of all data sets.*

All the datasets plotted in the frequency domain are shown in Figure 5–18. The cluttered figure aims to provide a frequency domain overview of the collected data. The context of Figure 5–18 will become apparent as each harmonic component is manually
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counted as shown in Figure 5–19 where data set T20E15 is being counted. The final tally is charted in Figure 5–20 where each line represents a temporal resolution (TRES) and the x-axis stipulates the spatial resolution (EPW).

![Graph showing counting harmonic components for T20E15](image)

*Figure 5–19: Methodology used for counting harmonic components*

In all cases, a spatial resolution of 5 elements per wavelength could not resolve the primary frequency without distortion, hence it is considered to have resolved a zero number of harmonic components (dominant frequency is counted as the first harmonic component). With sufficient spatial resolution, a temporal resolution (TRES) of 10 time steps per period breaks down completely after the 700MHz range resolving between 2 to 4 components. T20E15 and T20E20 is the simulation resolution recommended by the literature is able to resolved 5 harmonic components up to 1.2GHz. This level of fidelity is sufficient in most applications. At simulation resolutions that are considered very high, 8 to 11 harmonic components are resolved. The biggest increase in performance is shown by the gap between T20 and T30 with T40 only showing a marginal increase. The benchmark data of T80E80 is able to resolve 17 components which is less than double the components of T40E40. There is an optimal value for the spatial resolution depending on the temporal resolution. Any further increase in spatial resolution does not further increase the fidelity beyond the limits of the specific temporal resolution. Adherence to this guideline would optimize the model.
Figure 5–20: Total number of harmonic components resolved by each data set. T80E80 resolved 17 harmonic components, not shown in the figure.
5.2.6 Problems with Close Boundaries

This study found that the design of the computational boundary is as crucial as the simulation resolution. This point is demonstrated in Figure 5–21 which was data obtained from a previously failed experiment. The absorbing boundary was working but was too close the propagation path. The resultant artefact reflection can be seen to be unphysical and has contaminated the data. The numerical errors were exacerbated as the noise signal propagates through multiple cycles. This error was present in a T100E100 data set and were consistent with literature where the errors accumulates and grow over long distances (Yue and Guddati, 2005). Hence, the simulation work has to be designed with consideration of the size of the computational boundary, the quality of the absorbing boundary and the optimal simulation resolution.

![Figure 5–21: Acoustic pulse at six time instances where artefact reflection from absorbing boundary overlaps and gradually corrupts the main signal](image)
5.2.7 Chapter Conclusion

The aim was to obtain the optimal combination between computational load and numerical accuracy. Any practical simulation approach will have to consider a marginal but measurable amount of error in the simulation. The resolution setting used in most literature has a correlation coefficient above 0.95 for a spatial density (EPW) of 15 elements per wavelength and close to 0.99 when the EPW is 20 when compared against the baseline result. The results also show no significant improvement in numerical errors above this resolution setting. Ideally, all simulations would be carried out with a TRES and EPW of 80 as shown by the baseline, but the quadratic increase in computational cost is highly prohibitive. With stand alone terminals, T20E20 is barely manageable even with multi-core processing. If noise that arises from the interaction with the computational boundary is managed, the resolution setting can be as low as T20E15. This noise is the typical manifestation of numerical dispersion errors. The oscillation amplitude of harmonic components as well as the propagation velocity experiences an unphysical increase. Increasing the temporal resolution would improve the resolution of the harmonic components at a linear increase in computational cost. It appears the spatial density is related to the distortion of the frequency response while the temporal resolution affects the fidelity of the harmonic components. Since short pulses contain many high frequency components, the qualities of a short pulse are more dependent on the temporal resolution.
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This chapter examines the finite element methodology used for the modelling Flip Chip – AMI image acquisition. There are two concerns with any finite element work; accuracy and cost. Accuracy can be split into two categories. First, the inherent error introduced by the weak form equations used to solve finite element problems. The approximation errors need to be small enough to be negligible. The second problem with accuracy is the configuration of the finite element software. A valid problem when a user does not appreciate the subtle differences between each configuration profile and choices. Then we arrive at the problem of computation cost which represents a significant barrier. To overcome this, various approaches and compromise are deployed. The effects of these cost reduction techniques are not always well documented. Now it becomes important to validate the configuration to ensure the cost management strategy do not affect the desired accuracy.

6.1 Modelling of Acoustic Micro Imaging for Flip Chip Packages.

In practical AMI systems, the sample is normally submerged in water to reduce impedance mismatch as the transducer produces pulse echoes which propagate along the longitudinal axis through the sample. When the pulse echo encounters an interface between two differing materials, a reflection is produced due to the impedance mismatch. This reflection is then picked up and recorded by the same transducer. The transducer then moves a lateral step (typically a few micrometers) and repeats the process. The resultant data is gated in related to time to obtain information at the desired interface or depth of the sample. Understandably, the acoustic pulse propagation mechanism within the solid specimen cannot be observed directly.

Numerical models can accurately approximate the intra sample acoustic mechanisms and various other physical phenomena. The key challenge however is the management of computational resources. This chapter will examine the strategies and configuration developed to perform acoustic transient analysis within the computational budget.

The numerical model is configured to imitate the AMI scanning mechanism. Each transducer position will require a simulation restart which linearly increases computational cost proportional to the scanning resolution. Each simulation restart will be called an “iteration” followed by a number which reflects the transducer position off the central axis of the solder bump (this keyword will be used extensively in the next chapter). The strategy to lower total number of elements is accomplished by dynamically adjusting the resolution
densities. This is a relatively common approach to finite element modelling. The area of interest will have high element densities while the surrounding buffer zones will have gradually larger elements as we move away from the region of interest and towards the computational boundary. The best approach would be the removal of large number of elements. This is achieved by down scaling the model and making full use of absorbing boundaries. However the deployment of these methods may generate inaccuracies. The overall cost reduction practices are explored and tested in this chapter.

6.1.1 Model Down Scaling

![Diagram](image)
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Figure 6–1: Large numbers of elements are required to model non-crucial regions.

The physical AMI equipment employs a 230 MHz Sonoscan transducer with a width of 4750µm and a focal length of 9500µm. As discussed in Chapter 4, aggressive regulation of the total number of elements is required to successfully run the simulation. To construct a high frequency water medium element from the tip of the transducer through to the first interface of the sample at 15 elements per wavelength at 200 MHz (as illustrated Figure 6–1) will require a staggering 180 million elements. This figure does not yet include the elements required to model the sample and the surrounding buffer regions. The computational cost to model a large swath of the transmission medium is costly and inefficient use of computational resources. There is also an issue where the computational size of the model overloads the numerical software leading to crashes and premature termination as experienced during early stages of this research. The solution was to introduce a micro scale virtual transducer which reduced the total number of elements into a manageable range of 100,000 elements.

A virtual transducer (VT) is mathematically derived by vectoring the wave displacements to match the characteristics of an ideal acoustic wave at a specific time and space. This emulates ideal uninterrupted pulse echo propagation in the fluid medium and
significantly reduces computational cost and wastage. This idea is similarly demonstrated in (Sang-Hyuk et al., 2009) to model an acoustic propagation through a rat femur using plane waves. The difference between this study and Sang-Hyuk is the requirement for high frequency focused acoustic waves in a microscaled environment. There are also two other methods demonstrated in laser acoustic literature. First, the displacement load of the laser directly onto the sample using an approximate Gaussian profile of the thermal elastic displacement (Xu et al., 2004). Another way is to run twofold simulations to first measure the thermal elastic stress and reapply the resultant loads into the sample for better accuracy (Xu et al., 2006). For focused transducers, the displacement profile on the sample is expected to be complex; hence approximations like the Gaussian profile demonstrated in the former methodology risk missing physical behaviour. The latter method is compatible with this experiment, but requires too much computational power as the model in Figure 6–1 will still have to be created.

To construct a virtual transducer (VT) there are two principle parameters which are the curvature of the VT and the length of the arc. The length of arc (Figure 6–2) defines the active region of the VT geometry which is coupled with pressure loads and data points to transmit and receive acoustic signals. The arc length is related to the chord length of the circle given as:

\[ \text{Chord} = d \times \frac{C_0}{d_0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6-1)

\[ R = \frac{\sqrt{4d^2 + c^2}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6-2)

Figure 6–2: Transducer is scaled down to microscale to reduce computational load

Where d is the desired focal length of the Virtual Transducer, \( C_0 \) and \( d_0 \) are the lens diameter and focal length of the physical transducer. The lens radius of the physical transducer \( R_0 \), is usually unknown. The radius \( R \) for the microscale transducer is given as:
This allows a transducer to be modelled very close to the sample as shown in Figure 6–3(a) which will significantly reduce the computational load. The sample is a single solder bump connected to the chip through under bump metallization (UBM) layers. The material specifications of the UBM is detailed in Figure 6–3(b) which was obtained from (Yang et al., 2012, Varnau, 1996). The exact thickness of the UBM is not known and estimated through various solder bumps cross-sectional images. The alloy recipe of the UBM is not specified in literature, therefore specified using commonly used alloys in the microelectronics industry. Since AMI is an interface technique, discrepancies in the UBM thickness are not critical to study edge effect phenomena. Slight variation in the alloy properties will not significantly impact the acoustic properties of the material. The simplification of the numerical model design is in compliance with the physical configuration (Figure 6–3c).

Figure 6–3: (a) Schematic model of single solder bump (Unit: μm). (b) Illustration of UBM construction and composition (Varnau 1996). (c) Actual UBM cross section (Riley, 2001a)
6.1.2 Finite Element Mapping

Three types of finite elements are required to construct the simulation. FLUID29 represents the liquid medium, PLANE42 is used to model the solid flip chip assembly and a truncated absorbing boundary is created using a mixture of FLUID129 (Ansys, 2009a) infinite boundary (Kallivokas et al.) and FLUID29 Perfectly Matched Layers (PML) (Gomez-Revuelto et al.) to suppress the computational artefacts. The truncated boundary is necessary because it is unrealistic to model an infinitely big buffer domain. Small buffer regions are still required since local truncation boundaries are inexact. This discussion is covered in more detail in the previous chapter. The solder bump, silicon wafer and UBM materials are modelled using PLANE42 solid elements. The material properties used in the model are detailed in Table 4-1 of Chapter 4.

![Diagram of finite element model](image)

*Figure 6–4: Area segments of the finite element model separate material types and improves automatic element mesh mapping.*

Figure 6–4 shows the segments used to define and aid the dynamic mesh creation process. Areas A1, A12, A13, A22 and A23 (labels overlap A22 overlaps with A23) define the silicon region. While A18, A5, A8 and A4 models the lead solder. The thin layers in between the silicon and lead solder regions are the UBM as specified in Figure 6–3.

At each iteration, the solder bump position is shifted to mimic the scanning mechanism of an AMI system. Since the scanning point begins at the centre of the solder bump and shifts to the outer edges, the model is not divisible by half. This makes the model asymmetrical since the whole solder bump is modelled and any kind of mirroring will introduce another copy the sample during the scanning process. Therefore, this model does
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not benefit from symmetrical half modelling. Fortunately, the resultant B-Scan data is symmetrical; hence the transducer scanning only needs to cover half the solder bump. The buffer zones provided by column group A14, to A21 allows the solder bump to manoeuvre horizontally without clipping the model. The “picture frame” like segments are used to improve the element mesh map and will be explained in more detail below. More information on the transducer scanning mechanism is located in Chapter 6.3.3.

Figure 6–5: Close up view of the element map of the flip chip model

As discussed in Chapter 4, the element density for acoustic models depends largely on the acoustic wavelength of the respective material. This leads to different mesh densities of segments across the model which can be observed in Figure 6–5. The boundary between segments with mismatched density changes are modelled with a “frame” several elements thick to allow smooth transitions between densities. This is demonstrated in A12 and A22 with their respective frames A13 and A23. The frame uses triangular elements which are well suited for mapping odd geometries and is very robust when matching element density changes. Without the frame, the automatic element creation algorithm will result in a highly distorted element map as seen in A14. In this case, A14 is a buffer zone and therefore
element imperfections can be ignored. Also note that although the UBM has 4 layers instead of 3, the centre twin layers are defined with the same material properties.

Ideally, the entire computational domain can be described with the mesh density of the smallest wavelength (water). This way there is no concern for mismatched element densities. However, this would incur an unmanageable amount of computational resources. Qualitative evaluation found triangular elements suitable to mesh the odd geometry of the high density water region that buffers the VT to the first solid interface. The use of triangular elements lowers the occurrence of shape violations which occur frequently in quadrilateral elements. One example of this is shown in A10 where at the edge, the quadrilateral elements appear triangular. This introduces numerical error but is ignored in this case since it serves as a buffer region.

### 6.1.3 Boundary Conditions

As illustrated in Figure 6–6, there are three boundary conditions implemented. The virtual transducer curve is fitted with element type 10, which is the arbitrary designation for FLUID129 infinite boundary. Virtually all interface interactions require that the fluid-structure interface flag for FLUID29 be activated, as indicated by the presence of element type 2. Element type 1 is lossless non-structural FLUID29 element which only has pressure degree of freedom. The pressure data is translated into displacements at the interface between element 2 and 4 with ‘SF, all, FSI’ flag activated. These displacement data are asymptotically expanded into infinity when interfacing with FLUID129, or numerically suppressed (PML) at the computational boundaries when ‘SF, all, IMPD, 1’ is activated. The arrows indicate constrained displacement degree of freedom which is applied to the entire boundary.
6.2 Development of Virtual Transducer

The thickness of the physical flip chip die used in our experimental testing is 700µm. This dimension is very large when considered inside a high frequency computational domain. An excessive amount of computational power is required to realize such a model to scale. To overcome the computational cost barrier the silicon die thickness was reduced in the simulation to reduce the required number of elements. In order for the acoustic pulse to focus on the "Under Bump Metallization (UBM) – solder bump" interface after the silicon die thickness reduction, the VT transducer is adjusted using the equation from Snell’s law given by:

\[
\frac{\sin \theta_1}{V_1} = \frac{\sin \theta_2}{V_2}
\]  

(6-2)

Where \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) is the angle of the incident and longitudinal refracted wave \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are the acoustic velocities of the respective materials. The UBM-solder bump interface is of interest as defects such as voids and cracks are likely to occur here as shown in Figure 6–8. This is similar with the practice of die back thinning which removes a significant portion of die to reduce the edge effect phenomena (EEP) (Semmens and Kessler, 1998). Hence, the presence of EEP in the simulated data is expected to be less than the measured data. Multiple input vectors as well as material properties translate into complex propagation vectors and modes that cannot be adequately predicted by Equation (6-2). In physical systems, the user has the option to perform quick live adjustments from the evaluation of the A-Scan signal, a luxury.
not available to numerical models. Therefore a calibration experiment was required to
determine to obtain the optimal silicon model thickness. It is also imperative to characterize
and verify the virtual transducer which is a key component in this experiment.

Figure 6–8: Cross-section image of solder bump obtained through destructive inspection showing (a)
hair line crack and (b) void (Braden, 2012)
6.2.1 Initial Development

The way a virtual transducer is implemented for this experiment is novel hence the design requires testing. The propagating waves follow a vector; the effect of down scaling should have a straight forward relationship as shown earlier in Figure 6–2. However, since the propagation vectors are complex, the effects were investigated to assess possible limits of down scaling and the performance variance of different VT scales.

![Initial virtual transducer setup](image)

Figure 6–9: Initial virtual transducer setup

To evaluate the acoustic penetration of the VT, a virtual transducer with a 199.2µm radius of curvature was first created as shown in Figure 6–9. This parameter was obtained from early developmental trials and can be considered an arbitrary starting point. The model consisted of a silicon die placed in a water medium with the associated absorbing boundaries at the edges. The model construction and transient solution setup is essentially similar to Figure 6–4 with exception to smaller buffer regions since no transducer movement was required.

When the wave propagates through the silicon die, the distance of the focal point was reduced by a ratio of 4.72. Figure 6–10 shows the propagation of the wave. At T = 20ns, the leading edge of the acoustic wave incidents the silicon die at 14º which exceeds the critical angle of 10º but is insufficient to cause a reflection. Eventually the incident angle is small enough to enable refraction and the wave fronts converge into a single spot at T = 25ns. This is considered the focal point. Eventually the propagation vectors diverge/defocus as shown at T = 34.4ns. The focal point which is determined by the strongest acoustic "spot" was measured at 36.75µm inside the silicon die (the water-die interface is considered as the position of 0µm).
The next stage of the test was to evaluate a range of curvature settings. Longer focal points will increase simulation areas which significantly increases computational cost. A range of focal points from 20µm to 50µm was created by multiplying 5µm intervals with the magnification ratio of 4.72 and appending the resulting value to the curvature setting. This created a list of approximate curvature settings shown Table 6-1. This became the basic of a range of virtual transducer geometry configurations to determine the exact focal point in silicon as depicted in Figure 6–11. Only three parameters are adjusted to measure the shift of focal point; (a) the curvature, (b) the load excitation chord and (c) the chord distance from sample interface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Focal Point in Silicon Die</th>
<th>Approximated Curvature</th>
<th>Finite Element Focal Point Result</th>
<th>Deviation (approximation vs. FEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>54.72973</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>246.4</td>
<td>48.82751</td>
<td>8.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>222.8</td>
<td>43.19356</td>
<td>7.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>199.2</td>
<td>36.75477</td>
<td>5.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>30.31598</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>24.41375</td>
<td>2.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>128.4</td>
<td>20.65779</td>
<td>3.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-1: In silicon focal characteristics of 230 MHz virtual transducer. Units in µm.
This crude method of approximation was necessary since mathematically producing a list of curvature parameters using Equation (6-2) would have been impractical. This is due to the fact that the focused transducer has an infinite number of incident angles. Calculating a portion of the vectors did not provide any insight on where the acoustic signal is concentrated. This is shown in Figure 6–12 where the focal point can only be ascertained to be in the region in between 10µm to 24µm in the silicon die. However, results from the finite element model found the focal point to be at 36µm as previously mentioned. Since incident and refracted angles have a non-linear relationship, the refracted vector angles will follow a normal distribution towards the longitudinal axis. This requires another approximation. Therefore, the crude approximation was preferred and found (Table 6-1) to suffer only small discrepancies between 3.29% to 9.46% when compared against the finite element results.
The focal points tabulated in Table 6-1 are determined from the position of the peaks in Figure 6–13. The figure also shows that the longer the focal point, the higher the acoustic pressure. This is the consequence of the way the acoustic excitation load is implemented. As the focal distance increased, the acoustic load coupled to the VT was wider hence the
acoustic signal was stronger. The difference in magnitude was the result of the numerical technique. Analysis of results relies on relative differences between features; hence this effect can be safely ignored.

Each curvature configuration appears to produce a consistent response with the exception to the 20µm VT. This could be related to the focal point in the silicon being half of the silicon wavelength of 40µm. While the cause cannot be confirmed, the data shows that the VT has a lower scale limit. In addition, the 25µm VT has a rough response beyond the focal point while the 30µm VT contains an anomalous bump around 50µm. The shortest usable configuration in the curvature list appears to be the 35µm VT. This parameter is in agreement with earlier trial and error developments. The focal depth (DOF) which is the length in which the pulse stays in focus does not deviate significantly. As expected, VTs with longer focal points do not appear to cause any problems.
6.2.2 Virtual Transducer Characterization and Verification

Going back to Figure 6–3, the total thickness of the UBM layers is 10µm where 5µm of that thickness protrudes from the silicon. This makes the total thickness of 38µm from the top surface of the die to the bottom of the UBM. When the curvature radius is 199.2µm (or 35µm VT), the tested focal point occurs at 36.75µm (Table 6-1). This puts the focal point close to the UBM interface to the solder bump, which is the ideal location to study crack propagation and void defects in physical systems. The methodology used to obtain Table 6-1 compares the VT configuration using its basic characteristics. The previous work does not thoroughly evaluate the individual virtual transducer (VT). This section will characterize the selected 35µm VT in more detail.

![Figure 6–14: Depth of focus (vertical) measurement of the virtual transducer output inside silicon](image)

Figure 6–14 and Figure 6–15 are measurements which detail the 35µm VT. Figure 6–14 (a) is the raw data collected from the data points placed along the propagation path co-axis with the centre of the VT. The figure is not an acoustic image. Each column consists of 500 data points stretching from the top to the bottom of the silicon die. The data points are configured to only record the maximum value experienced at that specific point. The x-axis describes the transient time step of each column. By compiling the maximum of each row, we obtain the depth of focus profile produced by the VT. The depth of focus is optical terminology which describes the distance over which the sample or interface of interest can be displaced while remaining acceptably in focus. In photography circles, this is also known as depth of field. The spike at the end of the plot is the result of the wave reflecting off the silicon to water interface at the exit (bottom) end of the silicon die and can be ignored.
In Figure 6–14, the lower and upper horizontal line indicates the -3dB and -6dB cutoff threshold. Taking the definition from (NDT, 2013b), the -6dB focal zone is used to define the focal depth and spot size thresholds. This definition is unable to be implemented as -6dB will bring the threshold below available data. Therefore, the threshold is lowered as far as possible. The lower horizontal line defines the VT with a DOF of 71.8µm.

Figure 6–15: Spot size measurements in silicon die; (b) Intra sample acoustic wave at focal point, (a) Y-axis measurement, (c) X-axis measurement

Figure 6–15(b) shows the acoustic stress wave at the time and position at the focal point inside the silicon die. To characterize the spot size, data collection paths are placed on the horizontal and vertical axis of the maximum amplitude as shown by lines in Figure 6–15(b). These data paths record the vertical Figure 6–15(a) and horizontal Figure 6–15(c) dimensions of the acoustic spot. Using the 6-dB rule, spot X-Y axis measures as 17.8µm and 12.2µm respectively. However, Figure 6–15(c) encounters the data limit before reaching true -6dB thresholds. At -3dB, the spot X-axis measures 12.4µm. The focal point is measured at 35.9µm inside the silicon die. This measurement deviates from the original data (from Table 6-1) by 0.8µm. This is most likely the result of higher mesh resolution in combination with more elaborate data acquisition techniques using high resolution data paths to collect the waveform data. This deviation should have a negligible impact on the model design.
To verify the VT, the performance in water was compared against information provided by supplier of the physical transducer. To facilitate this comparison, the silicon die is removed from the model. The height (y-axis) of the computational domain is then extended in anticipation of longer focal points and DOFs. Figure 6–16(b) shows the acoustic wave at the time and position of its focal point. Repeating the same data collection methodology, high resolution paths of 300 data points on each axis are used. Figure 6–16(a) is the resultant measurement of the lateral spot size. Figure 6–16(c) uses the same technique detailed in Figure 6–14 to obtain the DOF.

The physical transducer has a rated spot size of 16µm and a DOF of 186µm (Braden, 2012). The VT has a spot size of 13.3µm (-3dB) and 17.8µm (-6dB) from Figure 6–16(a) and a DOF of 148.6µm µm (-3dB) in water shown in Figure 6–16(c). The -6dB parameter is unobtainable from the data as the near field minimum occurring at 104µm prevents accurate measurement. The closest measurement is the horizontal line shown in Figure 6–16(c). The lateral spot size is 11% bigger and DOF is 20% smaller than the physical transducer parameters. This discrepancy could be a combination of model down scaling or ideal simulation environment. Bear in mind, the DOF measurement is obstructed by the near field and could possibly have been wider. However, at this scale, the deviation is considered to be very small. Therefore the VT is considered to be in good agreement with the physical counterpart.
6.3 **Finite Element Solution**

The finite element model is designed around the virtual transducer (VT) as detailed in the previous section. The model then has to be solved with the solution type depending on the requested data. All finite element transient solutions in this dissertation are set up using the same methodology which will be discussed here.

### 6.3.1 Transient Solution Setup

The full transient method is used. As mentioned in Chapter 4, this method is computationally expensive; however, there is no risk of missing any physical behaviour in the mathematical model. Automatic time stepping is disabled in favour of manually specifying higher and optimal resolution time stepping. The loadings in between each time step are ramped ‘KBC, I’ to create a gradient in between loading steps. (KBC is an ANSYS specific code). The solution setup is written into a separate macro file. All relevant parameters such as frequencies, stepping resolution, simulation run time and loading points are defined externally. This way, the macro can be reused in a robust manner.

![Figure 6-17: FFT of simulated A-Scan data from flip chip model. Lower left: the spectrum of the first pulse; Lower right: the spectrum of the second pulse.](image)

The temporal resolution is setup at 20 time steps per period of the specified frequency. For the edge effect study, the VT output is 230MHz. The spatial and temporal resolutions are setup to accommodate 250MHz. This will ensure the bandwidth of the 230MHz output can
be accommodated fully. The simulation solves a total time of 77ns using 283 load steps (finite element terminology for time steps). From the total time, the first 17ns is the excitation of the acoustic wave at the VT. The excitation then stops and the model is solved for another 60ns for the acoustic wave propagate through the numerical sample. In this time, the wave will pass through the entire model from the silicon to the bottom section of the solder bump. The simulation is terminated at 77ns before any reflections from the computational domain boundaries can contaminate the data.

Figure 6–17 is a Matlab application created by the author to conveniently apply Fast Fourier Transform to any signals. The familiar A-Scan signal shown in the figure should not be confused with A-Scans from physical systems. In this case, the A-Scan data also records the initial VT excitation also known as the main bang. This has the added benefit of directly analyzing the VT output bandwidth. The frequency domain plot on the left of Figure 6–17 is the initial acoustic output (main bang) from the VT (around x-axis = 50). The peak is a plateau with edges marked by data points as 203.5 MHz to 271.3 MHz with a centre frequency of 238 MHz.

The second pulse (around x-axis = 150) is an echo from the water-silicon interface. The FFT is shown on the lower right of Figure 6–17. There is no plateau in the second pulse FFT result indicating a narrower bandwidth due to dispersive attenuation. At the peak, centre frequency of 234MHz. The following echoes represent the UBM followed by the solder bump interface where echo pulses are overlapping.

### 6.3.2 Acoustic Excitation Load

The acoustic excitation vector is normal to the arc curvature at each node. The acoustic pulse excitation is approximated using a one dimensional Gabor function, using an approach by (Zhang et al., 2008). The typical one dimensional Gabor function is written as:

\[ f(t) = \exp \left( -\frac{\pi t^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot \cos(2\pi \omega t), \]  

Where \( \sigma \) controls the standard deviation (bandwidth) of the function and \( \omega \) controls the spatial frequency. To digitize the equation, the Gabor function is rewritten as:

\[ f(t) = A \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{\pi (t-u)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot \cos(\omega(t-u)), \]  

Where \( A \) is the reference amplitude, \( \omega \) is the frequency modulation and \( u \) its translation and \( \sigma \) controls the envelope of the Gaussian function. Figure 6–18 shows in better detail the effect of each parameter. This experiment uses the \( \omega, u \) and \( \sigma \) values used in (Zhang et al., 2008) that approximates the acoustic output of the physical 230 MHz transducer used in this study.
The resultant one dimensional Gabor approximation is compared against a physical acoustic echo as shown in Figure 6–19 where $u = 20$, $\omega = 0.4396$ and $\sigma = 19.1943$. The main bang shown in Figure 6–17 is the result of these parameters. In Figure 6–19 we compare the calculated output with the measured main bang.

![Figure 6–17: Demonstration of Gaussian Function Parameters](image1)

![Figure 6–18: Demonstration of Gaussian Function Parameters](image2)

![Figure 6–19: Gabor approximation (dashed line) of normalized physical ultrasonic echo (solid line) of a 230 MHz focused transducer. (Zhang et al., 2008)](image3)
6.3.3 Scanning AMI Mechanism of Virtual Transducer

In practical scanning acoustic microscopy, the transducer moves in a raster scan in the X-Y plane which obtains an A-Scan at each position. All the A-Scans are then gated at the desired interface to create a C-Scan image. Each pixel of the C-Scan image is the maximum value of the gated data. The A-Scans produced from the simulation cover the cross-section of the solder bump. In physical systems, this is also known as a B-Scan. The resultant symmetrical C-Scan image will be produced by gating the simulated B-Scan data. This will be discussed further in Chapter 7.2.3.

Figure 6–20: The finite element model at the start and end of raster scan iteration range

In the two dimensional finite element model, the transducer only displaces along the x-axis at a fixed height. The longitudinal z-axis of physical systems is referred to as the y-axis in the two dimensional model. Each transducer X-position changes the model and requires a reiteration of the finite element solution. Each iteration produces one A-Scan. For brevity, each solution and its corresponding transducer position will be referred as iteration-Xµm where X is the centre position of the virtual transducer (VT). Iteration-0µm is the solution where the centre of the VT is coincident with the centre of the solder bump. At iteration-70µm, the VT position is at the edge of the solder bump when the central axis is tangential to the edge of the solder bump. To be comprehensive, the model is solved up to
Figure 6–20 shows the finite element model at the initial and final iteration of the experiment. The scanning resolution for this experiment is set at 1µm. It can be arbitrarily adjusted with a linear impact on computational cost. The models are solved with (Figure 6–4) and without (Figure 6–20) the under bump metallization (UBM). The data is organized into two sets with 80 iterations each.
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The C-scan provides a plane-type view of the location and size of test specimen features. The plane of the image is parallel to the scan pattern of the transducer. In physical systems, C-scan images are produced with an automated data acquisition system, such as a computer controlled immersion scanning system. Typically, a data collection gate is established on the A-scan and the amplitude or the time-of-flight of the signal is recorded at regular intervals as the transducer is scanned over the test piece. The relative signal amplitude or the time-of-flight is displayed as a shade of gray or a color for each of the positions where data was recorded. The C-scan provides an image of the features that reflect and scatter the sound within and on the surfaces of the test piece.

This chapter will talk about two post processing algorithms invented to interpret the data obtained from the finite element solution. To proceed, it is important to understand the source of data which has not yet been presented at this point. The simulation produced two sets of data. One pertains to the acoustic response of reflections received by the virtual transducer. This data set represents information obtained from physical AMI operations. The second data set is the across section “slides” which measures the acoustic wave as it propagates through the sample. Each slide is a specific time and the whole data is packaged into a three dimensional array. To be clear, the first data set is a simulated B-Scan of the sample. The second data set is a movie of the acoustic wave propagating through the sample. On top of this, there are three primary model of the sample which are named Model A, B and C respectively. The context of these different models will be apparently in during the discussion sections. In the meantime, be informed that Model A is the typical solder bump construction. Model B is constructed with the solder bump connected directly to the silicon without an interconnecting Under Bump Metallization layer. Model C is similar to Model A but with a crack introduced in the solder bump. Refer to Chapter 8.1 for more details.
7.1 The Edge Effect Phenomena

Figure 7–1: AMI C-Scan image of a Flip Chip with closer image of three individual solder bumps

Edge Effect Phenomena (EEP) are widely observed in Acoustic Micro Imaging (AMI). The occurrence of EEP is widely accepted to occur when acoustic energy scatters from the geometric edges or is refracted when the acoustic pulse propagates through multiple material interfaces (Semmens, 2000). The phenomenon is usually observed at the edge or perimeter of the die or package as well as on the outer radius of solder balls as shown in Figure 7–1. This C-Scan image is obtained from experimental measurements (Yang et al., 2012) during a study of flip chip accelerated ageing. The physical AMI system uses a pulse-echo methodology which produces an acoustic pulse and receives the resultant reflection at the same transducer. The image in Figure 7–1 shows the detail of solder bumps affected by edge effects. Clear details of the die metallization indicate the imaging system is correctly focused with acceptable resolution. The supplemented individual solder bump images were obtained at the maximum magnification scale of 1 micron per pixel. The Sonoscan equipment supplier suggests that the smallest observable feature is 15µm, hence the image is not sharp.

In imaging microelectronic packages, the edge effect phenomenon manifests itself as a dark ring around the solder bump. This indicates that a significant portion of the incident acoustic energy has not been reflected back to the receiving transducer from the solder bump.
curvature. Additionally for solder bumps at the edge of the flip chip, the image appears smudged as acoustic energy is scattered away from the silicon edge. These dark edges may have the potential to obscure the detection of cracks and voids. Consequently, the interpretation of C-scan images and an accurate characterization of the bond quality become inexplicit due to uncertainty of the edge effect generation mechanism.

The physical generation of the edge effect phenomenon is not clearly understood. Investigating the fundamental mechanism of edge effect of flip chip solder joints using acoustic simulations is novel work. To accomplish this, a new post processing technique is proposed which was called the C-Line plot (Lee et al., 2012). Initially, a B-Scan image of the solder bump cross section finite element model is obtained. The B-Scan image is gated at the interface of interest to obtain a 2D plot. This resultant C-Line plot will direct characterization of the EEP in solder bumps.
7.2 C-Line Plot for Characterizing Edge Effect

To analyze the edge effect phenomena from the finite element data, a novel method called the C-Line plot was conceived. An A-Scan is produced at each simulation iteration which reflects the scanning step position of the virtual transducer. The A-Scans are assembled to create a B-Scan. The B-Scan is a cross-sectional image of the solder bump or basically the direct acoustic interpretation of the finite element model. The acoustic interpretation and the actual model is compared side by side in Figure 7–2. In the B-scan, the y-axis represents the time-of-flight travel time and the x-axis is the iteration which represents the transducer position, giving lateral dimension of the model. From the B-scan, the depth of the reflector interface and its approximate linear dimensions in the scan direction can be determined. This is shown in the simulated B-Scan image in Figure 7–2(a) with interface annotations with reference to Figure 7–2(b). The bands seen in the image of Figure 7–2(a) indicates the different interfaces which the acoustic pulse travels through.
7.2.1 C-Line Plot from B-Scan Data

To obtain the C-Line plot from the B-Scan, the desired interface must be selected. The transducer is focused at the UBM interface; therefore we are interested in the interface sections from rows 43ns to 55ns as seen in Figure 7–3. The gated data is then applied with the max algorithm shown in Equation (7-1) to obtain the highest magnitude in each column. The gap in the bands shown around the “UBM Edge” lines is caused by loss of acoustic energy and is reflected accordingly in the resultant C-Line plot.

\[ m_j = \max_{i=1...N}[M(i,j)] \]  

(7-1)

Where \( N \) is the total number of rows, \( i \) is the row which is the time axis, and \( j \) is the column which is the scan position.

![Image: Simulated C-Scan image of 140μm flip chip solder bump with UBM](image)

Figure 7–3: Method for obtaining C-Line plot from B-Scan data
7.2.2 C-Line Plot from C-scan Data

The C-Line plot can be derived from measured C-Scan images. First two lines are overlaid on the X-Y axis as shown in Figure 7–4 which will form the data capture points. For this work the data is captured along the width of 160µm as the solder bump has a diameter of 140µm. The scanning scope of the simulation model is 80µm (or 160µm since the solder bump is assumed to be symmetrical). By coincidence, the measured imaged and the simulated data shares an image scale of 1µm per pixel thus obtaining the same number of points. The measured C-Line (Figure 7–4(b)) is obtained simply by plotting the image intensity along the lines Measure X and Measure Y as shown in the Figure 7–4(a).

![Figure 7–4: C-Line plot acquisition method from measured data (a) overlay data capture lines (b) resultant C-Line plot](image_url)
7.2.3 Simulated C-Scan from C-Line Plot

This method can also work in reverse to create an ideal solder bump image. The simulated C-Line plot Figure 7–5(a) can me mapped around a central axis to create a C-Scan image. This is possible when we accept the solder bump is symmetrical. However, physical solder bump connections suffer from tilts and various manufacturing imperfections. This point will be well appreciated from Figure 8–7 from the next chapters. The algorithm used for building the simulated C-Scan is available in Appendix xi.

![C-Line Data Map](image)

*Figure 7–5: (a) C-line plot produced from simulated data. (b): Solder bump C-Scan reconstructed from C-Line data.*
7.2.4 Annotating the C-Line

Figure 7–6(a) shows the best case measured data where the alignment of the solder bump connection to the silica is relatively centred. Annotations are introduced to name various characteristics of the C-Line to assist discussion. The edge effect manifestation can be characterized by a drop in acoustic echo energy received by the transducer as it scans close to the edge. When the transducer scans past the edge, the impedance mismatch between water and silicon produces a strong echo. This translates into a "W" shape profile on the C-Line.

At the centre of the solder bump, the C-Line plot has a relatively flat profile. We call this region the *cap* as seen in Figure 7–6(a). This particular terminology is widely used by (Braden, 2012, Yang, 2012). Expanding on the term, *cap-x* describes the x-axis length of the flat region and *cap-y* describes y-axis position as seen in Figure 7–6(b).

Figure 7–6(a) annotates the edge effect profile; the initial atrophy of the acoustic echo is named the *sink transition*. This is followed by the *dip* which is the minimum caused by the edge effect. As the echo increases in magnitude towards the outer solder bump radius, this gradient is called the *rise transition*. The *end* describes the point where the *rise transition* levels off and the acoustic pulse is no longer affected by the solder bump structure. For quantitative evaluation, the *sink and rise* point are introduced as a method to measure the *dip width* these points are defined by the centre of their respective transition gradients.

![Figure 7–6: Comparison of simulated and measured C-Line with novel annotations](image)
7.2.5 Discussion: C-Line Plot

The "gap" around 45µm (marked as UBM Edge) in the B-Scan (Figure 7–2) shows the edge effect phenomenon. This is the region of interest which requires additional analysis. The edge effect in the simulated data is less pronounced compared to its measured counterpart. This is expected due to the silicon die model being thinner than physical samples. Die back thinning is a technique used to reduce the presence of edge effects in AMI images (Semmens and Kessler, 1998). This technique damages the sample by removing inert layers from the sample, so would not be considered a non-destructive method. Aside from a smaller edge effect, the plot shows considerably more detail than the measured C-Line. The AMI equipment used to obtain the measured samples is expected to define features as small as 15µm. Used at the scale of 1µm per pixel; the images were obtained outside the operational limits of the equipment. The resultant image appears to be out of focus. More importantly, fine details like those seen in Figure 7–5(a) are expected to be lost.

This technique allows C-Scan images of solder bumps to be simplified and quantified. This is particularly useful when dealing with solder bump images that has an off centre cap. Works like (Yang, 2012) show that designing an algorithm that detects the centre of the solder bump from a C-Scan is a complex endeavour. Since it is established that the rise transition is constant, the C-Line method offers another form of calibration. In addition, multiple C-Lines extracted from C-Scan images can be averaged and/or extrapolated to assume perfect alignment as shown in Figure 7–5(b). This allows the effects of sample tilting to be ignored and the analysis to be concentrated on the quality of the bonds.
7.3 Acoustic Propagation Map

The novel Acoustic Propagation Map (APM) algorithm compresses the wave propagating across time domain (from a series of image slides a.k.a movie) into one image. This method gives a summary of the transducer beam profile within the sample while retaining physical mechanisms such as scatters, refractions, reflections and transmissions. As a qualitative tool, this algorithm contrasts certain wave mechanics as will be shown in the results section. An example of the Sum APM is shown in Figure 7–7. The APM clearly maps out the spread of the acoustic beam within the sample.

![Image of Acoustic Propagation Map](image)

Figure 7–7: Sample Acoustic Propagation Map of (a) Model A: Conventional solder bump connection and (b) Model B: Solder bump connected directly to silicon without UBM

7.3.1 Transient Slide Data Acquisition

To benefit from more robust post processing options available in external applications such as Matlab, the results are exported as a series of cross section slides for each time-step or simulation load step. The solution files for Model A alone would exceed 300 Gigabytes for all 80 iterations of transducer positions. This method benefits from reduce file sizes by extracting only the desired information from the simulation, reducing it to 300 Megabytes per iteration (as opposed to 50GBs). Exporting data in this manner is also necessary since ANSYS solution files are in a proprietary format. The data contained within the paths are exported as Comma Separated Values (CSV) arrays which can be read by most applications.
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Figure 7–8: Path operations for data capture along paths at a resolution of 1µm per pixel.

Path operations are used to extract the solution data as shown in Figure 7–8. Each path is spaced 1µm apart and data is sampled along the respective path at 1µm intervals. The resultant image has a resolution of 1µm per pixel square. The coverage area is a cross section of the solder bump model and is centred co-axis with the transducer position depicted by the iteration number in micrometers. The element density is smaller than 1µm per pixel allowing higher resolution export if required. This was deemed unnecessary, especially since the physical AMI is only capable of resolving 15µm features. Running the simulation for 60ns produces 283 time steps and the resultant path operations produces 4 types of data. These are Y-displacement, X-displacement, vector sum displacement and pressure which makes a total of 1132 CSV files stored in Matlab cell arrays. Earlier time steps 1 to 80 are truncated as the acoustic wave has not reached the solid material. For brevity, each of these two dimensional array images will be referred to as slides.
7.3.2 Acoustic Propagation Map (APM) Methodology

\[
APM_m(i,j) = \max_{k=1,...,K} [M(i,j,k)] \quad (7-2)
\]
\[
APM_s(i,j) = \sum_{k=1,...,K} [M(i,j,k)] \quad (7-3)
\]

Where \( K \) is the total number of pages, \( i \) is the row and \( j \) is the column.

The path operations outlined previously in produces a 2D cross section slides which contain displacement and pressure data (solid and fluid region). To study these data types as a unit of energy, the data is multiplied to the power of 2 to obtain the approximate displacement and pressure intensity. The slides are then merged to flatten the temporal dimension. Figure 7–9 illustrates the merging process. Each set of slides are packaged into a three dimensional array. Each page of the array represents a slide. Fixing the column and row for each pixel, e.g. A, B and C, the data is conditioned with the MAX (Equation (7-2)) or SUM (Equation (7-3)) along the page dimension. Both algorithms provide different aspects of evaluation. Summation will add all the acoustic energy experienced at each point, revealing information on reflections and vector. Maximum will plot the path of the strongest acoustic energy, isolating direction and magnitude of specific waves. Further post processing adds quantitative data of the distribution of acoustic energy which will be discussed in later sections where it is in use.

Figure 7–9: Generation of APM by sum or max numerical operations along the page dimension for each column and row address.

Figure 7–10 shows the Matlab code used to carry out the operation illustrated in Figure 7–9. In ANSYS, the displacements and pressure solutions exist on different layers and cannot be graphically presented together in one image. This creates a problem associating the displacement inside the solid with the resultant scatter in the fluid domain. Both domains also suffer from incompatible scales. The Acoustic Propagation Map (APM) has the added benefit
of overcoming this incompatibility. Using the Matlab code (Figure 7–10), this problem is addressed first by normalizing all values against the maximum value of their respective data group. The maximum value is derived from the entire data set from Model A to Model C. This means the figure scales are universally normalized both in data source as well as the plotting scale. The images are merged to create a composite image of the transient acoustic propagation. Pixels in the fluid region are missing displacement data which is filled with pressure data using the if-else operation.

```matlab
for xxx=1:1:4
    bufmaxap(xxx)=max(max(AC_sumUP{xxx})); %Pressure Data
    bufmaxas(xxx)=max(max(AC_sumUS{xxx})); %Vector Sum Displacements
    bufmaxap(xxx+4)=max(max(AB_sumUP{xxx}));
    bufmaxas(xxx+4)=max(max(AB_sumUS{xxx}));
    bufmaxap(xxx+8)=max(max(AA_sumUP{xxx}));
    bufmaxas(xxx+8)=max(max(AA_sumUS{xxx}));
end
bufmaxap=max(bufmaxap)*0.9; %scale pressure scale up
bufmaxas=max(bufmaxas);

% Preview (Optional Section)
for xxx=ls_start:1:ls_total2
    buffer1=AAC_US{3,xxx};
    buffer2=AAC_UP{3,xxx};
    [m n]=size(buffer1); %y x
    %check each pixel
    for xx=1:1:n
        yn=2;
        for yy=2:1:m
            if (buffer1(yy,xx)== 0)
                hhh(yn-1,xx)=buffer2(yn,xx)/bufmaxap; %normalize as well
            else
                hhh(yn-1,xx)=buffer1(yn,xx)/bufmaxas;
            end
            yn=yn+1;
        end
    end
    imagesc(hhh);
    w=waitforbuttonpress;
end
```

*Figure 7–10: Matlab code for normalizing and combining pressure and displacement data*
7.3.3 Discussion: Acoustic Propagation Map

![Image of Acoustic Propagation Map]

*Figure 7–11: Transient slides from beginning to end of data obtained using path operations.*

It is important to understand that there are two types of APM which are produced from the SUM and MAX algorithm respectively. The SUM shows that total amount of energy available in each region as the wave swirling around the sample are added up. The MAX algorithm would be synonymous to a transducer beam profile. This algorithm simply maps the travel path of the acoustic wave. This allows weak signals to be recorded which are important when evaluating faint scattering of acoustic energy.

The APM reduces the dependency for multimedia figures and creates a robust qualitative evaluator. Specific regions can be isolated in the map to obtain quantitative data. Since the acoustic propagation map is generated using the sum or max of all slides for each pixel, it becomes essential to understand the temporal scope of the data before interpretation. Figure 7–11 shows that the captured data begins at the initial incidence on the silicon die and ends when the wave is at the edge of the data acquisition window. This caveat is important as the scattered acoustic energy in the fluid is observed to drop off considerably before the wave in the fluid region exits data window. From Figure 7–11, it is clear that the drop off is not a introduced by the post processing algorithm. The post processing source code is included in Appendix x.
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8 Characterization of Acoustic Phenomena

In this chapter, we interpret the simulation results to observe various acoustic mechanisms occurring in sample. These observations are cross referenced with measured data to confirm or debunk certain assumptions and when required, form new hypothesis. Firstly, the simulated model's configuration is outlined followed by a general overview of the results. The analysis and discussions are then presented and qualified in their respective sub chapters.

8.1 Simulation Model

There are three numerical models used in this study. Firstly is model A which is the typical construction with an under bump metallization (UBM) layer connecting the solder bump to the silicon die with sizable buffer zones to reduce artefacts as shown in Figure 8–1. To provide a reference for comparison, model B is constructed by removing the UBM layer as shown in Figure 8–2. This essentially assumes the best case where the impedance mismatch of the UBM layer is ideally non-existent. Comparisons between model A and B will differentiate the severity of the edge effect caused by the UBM edge and the solder bump edge respectively.

Figure 8–1: Model A: a full model of solder bump connected to the silicon die through an UBM layer.

Appendix vii
Model C features an air gap added to the model A to simulate crack propagation. The crack size begins at 25µm with 2.5µm increment until the crack reaches the total length of 75µm as shown in Figure 8–3. At 25µm and below, the resultant changes to the C-Line is negligible. For symmetrical implications, the air gap only required to be 70µm long but stops at 75µm to accommodate the edges of the acoustic pulse. Preliminary observations found the changes to the C-Line profile are negligible before 25µm and after 72.5µm. From a results management perspective, this means there are 21 variations of model C each representing a specific length of air gap.

Figure 8–3: Model C: air gaps from 25µm to 75µm are added to the model A to simulate crack propagation in solder bumps.
8.2 Result Overview

Figure 8–4: Preliminary comparison of simulation and measured C-Line data.

Figure 8–4 provides a preliminary overview comparison between the simulated and measured C-Line data. It illustrates the general trend of measured C-Lines versus the simulated counterpart. To provide a comparison, the simulated data is mirrored (as indicated by the x-axis) to represent the full diameter of the solder bump. Data show good agreement with a similar “W” shaped characteristic. The following sections will elaborate more on these measurements. A clearer simplified version of Figure 8–4 will be presented later.

It is important to note that the rise transitions of the measured data are aligned. As a direct result of edge effect, the outer diameters of the solder bump C-Scan image are gradual and cannot be confidently determined. This issue is exacerbated by various tilt orientations of the solder bump connections themselves. The alignment of the rise transition allows correct alignment of the measured data.
8.2.1 Simulated C-Line Result

Figure 8–5 contains of four C-Line plots from two models with and without the Under Bump Metallization (UBM) construction. The blue solid line represent data from model A built with the UBM layer in between the silicon die and the solder bump. The green dotted line is obtained from model B which do not feature a UBM layer. Using the same solution, the data is obtained in two ways. In Figure 8–5(a), the C-Line is constructed from the sum acoustic pressure received on the entire virtual transducer (VT) arc as to be expected in physical systems. Figure 8–5(b) is constructed from data obtained from a single node at the middle of the VT arc. Although "single point VT" methods of recording echoes are physically impossible, it narrows the data acquisition to a narrow aperture of a single node. This reveals echoes which are mostly travelling in the vector normal to the sample.

For model B, Figure 8–5(a) shows that cap-y is significantly lower and its rise transition occurs slightly earlier then model A. The end of the C-Line has different characteristics in both models. The final 10µm (where the VT is scanning away from the solder bump) are expected to have similar C-Line plots since the geometry are exact and the acoustic pulse is expected to be beyond influence of the UBM edge. In Figure 8–5(b) the C-Line of both models at the end is in agreement. This indicates the difference of the end is caused by echoes originating from the wider ends (outer edges) of the acoustic pulse. The different C-Line response at the end shown in Figure 8–5(a) suggest the outer edges of the acoustic pulse has a larger effect on the overall VT performance then initially expected.
In Figure 8–5, there is a bulge in magnitude around the 33µm region. This bulge is missing in model B indicating relation to the UBM edge. Without the UBM, the C-Line profile for model B exhibits a steep response when the acoustic pulse encounters the silicon-water interface. Therefore the presence of the bulge might have feature identification implications. This “bulge hypothesis” is discussed in detail in section 8.4.

Going back to the annotations in Figure 7–6, the width of the edge effect from the measured data is much larger than the simulated data. This may reveal certain differences in effective acoustic spot size occurring in the sample. Section 8.5 will compare simulated and measured C-Line and its correlation to the acoustic spot size.

Figure 8–5(b) shows that Point VT C-Line measurements lack a rise transition. The interface at that is expected to produce a strong echo due to the high impedance mismatch. In addition, the acoustic energy is expected to reflect directly back to the Point VT along the longitudinal vector. To analyze this further, an auxiliary experiment (shown in Figure 8–6) was carried out by removing the solder bump and the UBM from the model.

Figure 8–6(a) at time = 25ns, the acoustic pulse in front of the Virtual Transducer (VT) is reflecting off the initial water-silicon interface. Figure 8–6(b), the echo observed in front of the VT is an echo from the silicon-water interface. This echo has an interference pattern causing low pressure along the centre of the VT axis. This the reason behind the missing rise transition in Figure 8–5(b). The interference is unlikely to be caused by artefact reflections from the VT itself since the infinite boundary would have suppressed the artefacts by orders of magnitude. It is most likely caused by a combination of complex modes and internal reflection generated within the silicon sample.
Figure 8–6: Left: Acoustic output from the virtual transducer approaching the water-silicon interface. Right: Interferences caused by propagation mechanisms in sample.

8.2.2 Measured C-Scan

Figure 8–7: C-Scans of 14 physical solder bumps at 1µm per pixel resolution with one simulated C-Scan for comparison

A total of 28 C-Lines were obtained from 14 C-Scan images of solder bumps as shown in Figure 8–7. The last image with the black background is the simulated C-Scan
inserted for reference. These images are extracted from solder bumps relatively far from the edge of the silicon die. This is necessary as the edge of the silicon die causes an elongated “smudge” like edge effect as seen in Figure 7–1. Each solder bump provides two C-Lines by measuring the X and Y axis separately. The bright spot in the centre of the solder bump is referred to as the "cap" as mentioned in chapter 7.2.4. At the cap, edge effects do not occur and the interfaces through the sample are relatively transparent to the AMI. Surrounding the cap is the edge effect which forms a dark ring indicating the lack of acoustic signal received in that annular region. In most images, the cap is off centre. The exact reason for this is not known but it is widely accepted to be caused by sample tilting. This off centre position of the cap will affect the C-Line plot which needs to be taken into account during analysis. The C-Line plot extracted from these images are shown in Figure 8–4 with the methodology outlined in Figure 7–4.
8.2.3 Transient Result

Figure 8–9 to Figure 8–12 are "raw" solution data from the ANSYS software suite. It shows the acoustic wave (displacement intensity) propagating across the sample cross section. Focus will be given to iterations 25µm, 33µm, 45µm, and 55µm which are specific points in the C-Line plot that contains interesting features as shown in Figure 8–8.

These will be the iteration where the transient result and Acoustic Propagation Map (APM) are generated on. Iteration 25µm is the last point where the cap is largely unchanged while being close to the UBM edge. At this point, the interface interaction of the acoustic beam is expected to be predictable as indicated by the C-Line plot.

Iteration 33µm is the VT position where the peak of the bulge occurs on the C-Line while being closest to the sink transition. This generation of this bulge is unclear and is given due attention in Chapter 8.4.

At iteration 45µm, the VT is focused on the geometric edge between the solder bump and silicon die and has a magnitude close to the minimum on the C-Line plot. APM at this iteration will reveal insight on what happens to most of the lost acoustic energy.

At iteration 55µm, the C-Line has reached the peak of the rise transition. The strong reflections originate from the silicon-water interface which is well understood. However, it is interesting to compare the scatter profile as well as the acoustic energy present around the outer radius of the solder bump.
Each figure is a comparison between model A and B at three time instances starting from the point where the acoustic pulse initially interfaces with the silicon die to solder bump boundary, and ending at the through propagation. For convenience, the video link is hosted on YouTube and it compares Model A and Model C of the same iteration with their individual vector components. Barring internet access, the video is also available in the accompanying DVD (Appendix xiii). To clarify, Figure 8–9 to Figure 8–12 are generated by combining 6 pressures with 6 vector sum displacement slides from model A and B respectively. To emphasize, these figures are raw transient solution data from ANSYS. It is presented to form a context in which subsequent post processing and analysis is based on. The slides of all four transducer positions at key time steps can be reviewed from Appendix xii.
Figure 8–9: Transient acoustic propagation comparison at iteration = 25µm

Video File 25um (Requires Internet)
Figure 8–10: Transient acoustic propagation comparison at iteration = 33µm

Video File 33um (Requires Internet)
**Figure 8–11**: Transient acoustic propagation comparison at iteration = 45μm

[Video File 45um](#) (Requires Internet)
Chapter 8 Characterization of Acoustic Phenomena

Figure 8–12: Transient acoustic propagation comparison at iteration = 55µm

Video File 55um (Requires Internet)
8.2.4 Acoustic Propagation Map

The following Figure 8–13 to Figure 8–16 show the acoustic propagation maps obtained from max and sum algorithms respectively. In all the images, the displacement intensity data (for solid) is merged with the pressure intensity data (for fluid) to produce a complete map. Each image is normalized against the largest value from the entire data set (of the same type); therefore uniting the contours scales for their respective algorithms. The following four figures are presented to form a summary of initial findings. Specific regions will be picked out for analysis as the need arises. The maps are arranged according to transducer position as stipulated in micrometers, referred to as “iterations” for brevity. The acoustic propagation map for X and Y axis data types are available in Appendix xiv.

Figure 8–13: Sum acoustic field map for model A with UBM
The APM in Figure 8–13 shows the scattered acoustic energy in the fluid region increasing as the VT is positioned closer to the edge. This preliminary observation is in line with the popular assumption that the edge effect is generated mainly from acoustic energy diffused by the sample edges. This hypothesis will be referred to as the scatter theory and will be discussed in greater detail in section 8.3.

Figure 8–13 and Figure 8–14 shows significant magnitude at the silicon to water interface in iteration \(45\mu m\) and \(55\mu m\) at points (i) and (ii) indicated in the figures. This indicates strong reflection as the incident and reflected waves are added together by the sum algorithm. This clearly indicates a strong reflection due to the high impedance mismatch between silicon and water. So far, we have just pointed out the obvious.

Firstly, consider that the contour profile of (i) and (ii) are observed identically in both model A and model B of both Figure 8–13 and Figure 8–14. At \(45\mu m\), the edge effect occurs while the C-Line has higher magnitudes at \(55\mu m\). This effect is most likely caused by specific interactions with the UBM layer. Secondly, consider that at iterations \(45\mu m\) and \(55\mu m\), the C-Line results defer between Model A and Model B. The dip occurs earlier with Model B, although identical contours (i) and (ii) were observed in both figures. This observation serves as a preliminary involvement of the UBM structure in the edge effect generation. To test this, detailed measurements of the acoustic energy in the context of UBM presence are required. The difference in penetrated acoustic energy with and without a UBM present is outlined in Section 8.3.3.

From Figure 8–13 at iteration \(= 45\mu m\), while the edge effect is apparent, a small amount of acoustic energy is observed within the solder bump structure. Defect detection may still be possible under these circumstances. However, at iteration \(55\mu m\) the acoustic propagation maps of both models A and B (Figure 8–13 and Figure 8–14) show minute quantities of acoustic energy propagated into the solder bump. This will hinder any defect detection mechanism from occurring. The presences of these energies are measured in Chapter 8.3.3 and 8.3.4 respectively.

The next question then arises that strong reflections from the silicon-water interface will overwhelm any signals reflected from defects in the solder bump. To study this, cracks are applied to the solder bump and the simulation is rerun. The analysis of the C-Line profile according to the width of gap type defects are discussed in section 8.6.
Figure 8–14: Sum acoustic propagation map for model B without UBM.
Figure 8–15 and Figure 8–16 are APM created using the max algorithm. The sum algorithm maps the total amount of acoustic energy available in each region. The max algorithm maps the travel path of the acoustic wave. In a sense, this closer resembles a transducer beam profile since weak signals are mapped and retained. From these figures we observe that the acoustic energy is focused on the UBM through a complex mode of propagation. The bulk of the acoustic energy is not propagation through the centre of the focal axis (iii) as one might expect. Instead the propagation mode channels the bulk of the acoustic energy along the outer edges of the focal beam as indicated by (iv). Points (i) and (ii) also show a predictable strong reflection from the silicon-water interface.

![Max Acoustic Propagation Map for Model A with UBM](image)

*Figure 8–15: Max acoustic propagation map for model A with UBM*
Shown in Figure 8–16, without the UBM, a significant amount of acoustic energy is able to propagate into the solder bump. This immediately shows that the UBM structure has a considerable effect on acoustic penetration despite being very thin. At iteration 45µm and 55µm, the acoustic energy available in the solder bump is still largely blocked by the edge. This also immediately shows that defect detection in the solder bump cannot occur at regions without a physical connection above it as indicated by sections (v) and (vi). More measurements on this subject are presented on 8.3.3.

Figure 8–16: Max acoustic propagation map for model B without UBM
8.3 Scattering Hypothesis for Edge Effect Phenomena

It is widely accepted that the edge effect mechanism is generated when the acoustic pulse is scattered by the edge of a sample. For microelectronic packages, these can be the UBM edge, the edge between the silicon die and the solder bump, the solder bump edge, or even the edge of the silicon die itself. In the literature, the exact mechanism of edge effect generation is not studied in a cross sectional capacity. We proposed and tested a straightforward mechanism, and widely accepted hypothesis, illustrated in Figure 8–17(b) where the signal is scattered away from the vertical vector (y-axis) in all directions. This is under the consideration that the wave propagation vector has to be vertical to be received by the transducer. Therefore any scattered acoustic energy outside this vector is lost and it is this loss that generates the edge effect.

![Figure 8–17: (a) Normalized C-Line plot comparison of measured and simulated data. (b) Proposed model of acoustic energy scattered by an edge.](image)

The edge effect is described by the loss of acoustic energy. The C-Line plot shows that this relationship is tied to the influence region which describes the acoustic pulse area of effect as shown in Figure 8–17(a). To understand this, first consider that the influence region is defined by double the width (x-axis) of the acoustic pulse spot size. To elaborate, consider Figure 8–18 where that the greater edges on both sides of the acoustic pulse can reflect enough energy to affect the C-Line plot. Now consider a transducer scanning from left to
right. Features interacting with the acoustic pulse will produce a stronger acoustic response depending on how close the feature is to the centre of the acoustic pulse. Therefore we propose that changes in the C-Line profile can be linked to the influence region. However, this simple mechanism does not completely explain the manifestation of an edge effect.

**Figure 8–18**: The "influence region" defines the acoustic pulse area of effect as the transducer scans across the sample from, X represents transducer scanning position.

The traditional definition for the spot size uses a -6dB cut-off. Figure 8–17(a) provides the influence region for both -3dB and -6dB. We suggest that the C-Line gradient changes when the influence region moves from one feature to another. From this result, it would appear that the -3dB influence region has better correlation to the changes in C-Line gradient. The simulated data show that acoustic intensity below 50% of the acoustic peak intensity cannot affect the C-Line in a significant way. The thresholds however are solely a matter of definition which is not the point of this study. Hence we will consider both -3dB and -6dB thresholds in the discussion.

The simulated C-Line presented in Figure 8–17(a) show that there is a loss of acoustic energy when the transducer is centred on the edge. This may be due to the solder bump edge or the UBM edge and we assume it is caused by the proposed mechanism. We explore this further in the next section as Chapter 8.3.1. We also find the C-Line increases in magnitude to form a bulge (peak at 33µm) as the VT approaches the edge (analysis on the bulge itself in Chapter 8.4). The change of the Bulge from a positive to a negative gradient correlates to the limits of the -3dB influence region. As the transducer is gradually positioned closer to the
edge, portions of the acoustic pulse are shaved away by the edge which causes the \textit{sink transition} (The annotation legend is shown in Figure 7–6). However the generation of the initial bulge is not clearly understood. At the C-Line \textit{dip}, the amount of scattering reaches its peak close to the centre of acoustic pulse. As the transducer position continues to move towards the silicon water boundary, the reflected energy increases due to the high impedance mismatch which causes the \textit{rise transition}. The gradient of the C-Line changes when the pulse's -3dB \textit{influence region} is beyond the edge. When we consider the -6dB \textit{influence region}, the limits correlates to the gradient change in Model A at the 63µm point only. We find more correlation points with the -3dB limits which correspond very well with the gradient changes in Model B. Although correlation does not imply causation, the limits of the -3dB \textit{influence region} has correlated very well with the gradient changes of both model A and B. This correlation strongly supports the proposed mechanism where the edges of the acoustic pulse (down to 50% of peak) have a measurable impact on the acoustic response. It is also worth noting that the calculation of the \textit{influence region} was carried out independently of the C-Line observation.

\textit{Model B} was introduced to measure the difference in the C-Line plot if an UBM was not present. In essence, \textit{model B} simplifies the work and isolates the acoustic energy that is lost through the solder bump edge alone. As shown in Figure 8–17(a), the C-Line plot for \textit{model B} can easily be interpreted as a straight forward transition between silicon-bump interfaces to silicon-water interface which correlates strongly with the \textit{influence region}. This provides additional evidence for the proposed scattering model. More importantly, the C-Line for \textit{Model B} does not show the appearance of edge effects. It indicates that the total acoustic energy lost to edge effects from the solder bump geometry may not go below a certain level. This lower limit is also observed in measured data.

The strong correlation between the \textit{influence region} and the C-Line gradient show strong evidence of two things;

1. The Under Bump Metallization (UBM) layer has a strong impact on edge effect generation
2. The proposed visualization of the acoustic scattering mechanism shown in Figure 8–17(b) is accurate.
8.3.1 Acoustic Energy Loss Mechanism

At this point, we understand that the edge effect C-Line profile has a relationship with the influence region. This section tracks the acoustic energy loss in the presence of edge effect. At the sample edge, the acoustic energy may be lost through scattering, mode conversation or other mechanisms. Figure 8–19 is constructed by enlarging the max acoustic propagation map from iteration at 45µm. The map shows identical propagation profile of scattered acoustic energy in the fluid region from both models. Two marginal differences can be observed. First, in the grid marked by α, the dark blue contour shows the UBM reflecting significant amounts of the acoustic energy and preventing penetration into the solder bump. The grid marked by β shows that the refraction from the UBM has increased the amount of acoustic energy interfacing with the solder bump edge, causing a marginal increase in scattered energy.

The map generated using the max algorithm will only map the largest pressure experienced at any pixel during the entire 60ns duration. This will provide an intuitive visualization of the propagation paths of acoustic energy which are scattered into the fluid. The scattered acoustic energy appears to be attenuated rapidly when scattered into the fluid medium. The bands are caused by the superposition of waves originating from various sources. The bands show the general direction of the vector sum. Therefore we can confirm that acoustic energy is scattered in a general diagonal path predicted in our proposed scatter model. Direct tracking of these waves are unattainable in the current simulation configuration. From a theoretical basis, these sources may include a combination of; 1.
Refraction from the silicon plane and solder bump; 2. Scattering from the edge of the solder bump; 3. Leakage from Lamb waves as the thickness of the silicon die is comparable (Freedman, 1995) to the acoustic wavelength.

Both models in Figure 8–19 show a solid band along the silicon die marked by the horizontal arrow. This unbroken trail on the acoustic map shows a considerable amount of acoustic energy scattered in a horizontal vector (abbreviated as horizontal scatter). The lack of interference pattern also indicates a solid pulse of energy travelling in that vector. This is compared and confirmed with enlarged transient slides shown in Figure 8–20 (enlarged from Figure 8–11). The data suggests that large portions of the scatter are refracted close to the horizontal vector when the centre of acoustic pulse interfaces the model edge. This may explain the loss of acoustic energy which generates the edge effect. The data also show that the horizontal scatter is not affected by the presence of the UBM since it is present in both model A and B and is caused primarily by the "silicon die-solder bump" edge.

Figure 8–20: Enlarged fluid region transient data of model A at iteration 45µm

The horizontal scatter is examined further by comparing the max acoustic propagation map of iteration 45µm with that of 55µm. The contour scales exaggerated to enhance the image. Figure 8–21 shows that at iteration 55µm , there is no horizontal scatter as all the
bands have a vector sum in the a diagonal direction caused by superposition. The mechanism of horizontal scatters is only observed in iterations where the edge effect occurs. This is important since any y-axis propagation will be reflected, while all energy on the horizontal scatter is unrecoverable. This suggests that the horizontal scatter is a significant factor in edge effect generation.

![Figure 8-21: Enlarged max acoustic propagation map of model A at iteration 45\(\mu\)m and 55\(\mu\)m](image)

### 8.3.2 Leaky Lamb Waves

![Figure 8-22: Max X and Y component acoustic propagation map](image)

There is a possibility the horizontal scatter is manifested through leaky Lamb waves since the wavelength of the silicon die at 230MHz is 33.5\(\mu\)m which is comparable to the die thickness of 33\(\mu\)m (the UBM protrusion adds 5\(\mu\)m bringing the thickness to 38\(\mu\)m). From
Figure 8–22 the data is split into individual X and Y components. Lamb waves are complex phenomena and if present, the propagation mode for this sample is unclear. However, traces of acoustic energy are observed propagating in the X-axis Figure 8–22(a). It is unclear if the source of this energy is refracted from the edge or a generated Lamb wave. If the horizontal scatter is generated from leaky Lamb waves, there should be evidence of Y direction energy near the silicon-water interface (circled in Figure 8–22(b)) which is absent. Observing the horizontal scatter closely in Figure 8–23, the propagation path diverges from the silicon die as marked by the dotted lines. There is no evidence that leaky Lamb waves are producing acoustic energy in the Y-axis and adding to the horizontal scatter. Bear in mind that this calculation is based on the max algorithm. Therefore any presence of acoustic energy in the pixel within the 60ns simulation time will show.

Figure 8–23: Enlarged view of horizontal scatter
8.3.3 Solder Bump Region Scatter Measurement

A large difference in penetrating acoustic energy can be observed from the contours in Figure 8–24 (excerpt from Chapter 0). From theory, it is well understood that the presence of the UBM will impede acoustic penetration. This is an opportunity to quantify the difference in acoustic energy present in the solder bump with and without the UBM using the algorithm from the previous section as marked by the square box in Figure 8–24. Quantifying the amount of acoustic energy present in the in the solder bump past the UBM layer after various attenuation and dispersive mechanism will have beneficial implications for future design work. It also provides a general guidance as to the maximum possible intensity of the reflected echo by void type defects within the solder bump.

*Figure 8–24: Sum acoustic propagation map of model A at iteration 33µm and 45µm comparing acoustic energy distributed inside solder bump.*
Figure 8–25: (a) Calculation Algorithm. (b) Trapezoidal integration of the plot showing differences in total energy present inside solder bump for each iteration. (c) Comparison of relative total acoustic energy penetrating into the solder bump between model A and B at four transducer positions.

Each line represents a specific model at a specific transducer position where the annotations are colour coded accordingly in Figure 8–25(c). The plot compares the sum distribution of relative acoustic energy along the width of the solder bump of models A and B. The transducer positions are annotated on the figure with the corresponding acoustic energy differences in reference to model A. Between iteration 25µm to 45µm, the acoustic energy present in model A is between 44% to 31.9% lower than model B. At iteration 55µm, the acoustic energy inside the solder bump is actually higher in model A. The plot shows the...
maximum amount of acoustic energy possible in such a structure as well as isolating the amount of energy lost by the UBM. By applying a trapezoidal integration on the plots in Figure 8–25(c), Figure 8–25(b) summarizes comparison of total acoustic energy present inside the solder bump at each.

To examine the observed increase in acoustic energy at iteration 55μm. We study the transducer beam in the solder bump in its X and Y displacement component respectively. Figure 8–26(a) shows the max acoustic propagation map at iteration 25μm. This iteration is used for illustration purposes only as the transducer beam is not observable at iteration 55μm. The box in the figure encloses 10μm of either side of the transducer central axis to illustrate the window that will be calculated. Figure 8–26(b) compares the resultant X and Y sum displacement intensity.

Both models have similar distribution of acoustic energy in this iteration. We find that most of the acoustic energy is propagating at the X-axis. Continuing on the assumption that only Y-Axis acoustic energy can benefit defect detection. The acoustic energy present inside the solder bump at iteration 55μm is too insignificant to have any defect detection value.

![Figure 8–26: (a) Illustration of calculated area. (b) X and Y component energy in the boxed area in (a) at iteration 55μm.](image)
8.3.4 Fluid Region Scatter Measurement

As previously discussed, the sum Acoustic Propagation Map (APM) in Figure 8–27 shows a similar distribution of acoustic energy between both models. However, closer observation show marginal differences which can be quantified by adding the total acoustic energy which will be abbreviated as the scatter response plot. The plot isolates the source of acoustic scatter between the UBM and just the solder bump edge. Only considering the region below the silicon die, for each column (x-axis) the rows (y-axis) are summed as illustrated in Figure 8–28(a). The horizontal range extends from the beginning of the solder bump edge and extends 30µm outward as illustrated Figure 8–28(a). The chart will track the relative difference in acoustic energy present in the water medium around the edge at all times versus the lateral axis. The process is applied to all four iterations of interest. The resultant plot in Figure 8–28(c) compares the scatter between both model A and B.

From the C-Line data in Figure 8–17(a), model B has higher magnitude than model A when the transducer passes the 45µm point which indicates more energy was conserved and reflected back to the receiving transducer. Figure 8–28(b) also shows there is also less energy present in the fluid domain up to iteration 45µm. At iteration-55µm the scatter response of both models are in agreement since the VT position is relatively distant from the UBM, hence the model geometry is similar. For iterations 25µm and 33µm, the edges of the acoustic pulse are interacting with the edge of the UBM causing marginal difference in the amount of scattered energy. However at iteration-45µm, the scatter of model A is noticeably bigger but not significant.
Overall, there are marginal differences of acoustic energy present in the fluid region around the edges in both models A and B. This supports the earlier discussion that the UBM has little contribution to the amount of acoustic energy scattered in the fluid domain. Most of the scattering is attributed to the edge between the solder bump and the silicon die.
8.4 Bulge Hypothesis

Figure 8–29: Image of solder bump cross section cited from (a) (Braden, 2012) and (b) (Frear, 1999) showing UBM layer similar to the numerical model (c)

Figure 8–29 is inserted to show the general agreement shared between physical UBM constructions and the simulated model. In the C-Line plot of model A shown again in Figure 8–31(bottom), exists a bulge with a peak around 33µm on the x-axis (iteration 33µm). The bulge as a term is preferred over peak to avoid term contamination. The effect does not appear in model B which indicates it is most likely caused by the UBM edge. We propose that given sufficient resolution, the existence of the bulge; 1) is a physical phenomenon and 2) can be exploited for evaluation purposes. The theoretical basis behind this idea is rooted on diffraction effects which occur in optical microscopy as seen here around the edge of a razor.

Figure 8–30: Light diffraction effects occurring around sample edges (Davidson, 1998)

This chapter set out to examine the theoretical basis for the generation of this feature. Firstly, the bulge is a feature observed in the C-Line only. Secondly, and most importantly, the bulge does not appear in measured data. However, the simulated data predicts this feature should exist. This is coupled by the fact that the simulated UBM has an edge similar to physical models. Therefore the author predicts that given higher resolution images of the solder bump, the bulge may be detected. Hence the term bulge hypothesis.
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Figure 8–31: Propagation vector shown on the sum acoustic propagation map for each model at iteration 25µm and 33µm with its associative resultant magnitude in the C-Line plot

Four acoustic propagation maps (APM) are shown in Figure 8–31 which are generated using the sum algorithm. Reflected acoustic waves which propagate across the incident path will add up to a stronger contour intensity. On this basis, Figure 8–31 A(i) and B(i) at iteration 25µm demonstrates symmetrical response on the APM. At 33µm, interactions with the edges produced a complex APM. The vectors shown by the coloured arrows are estimates based on this principle. Each arrow colour marks the halves of the acoustic pulse. This is purely to help isolate the propagation vectors for discussion purposes. The white arrow indicates the resulting vector from the merging the blue and red vector penetrating the UBM.
Figure 8–31 A(ii) shows high contour intensity in the circled regions 1 and 2. The contour intensity at A(ii) at point 1 is higher than the same point at A(i). This directly indicates more acoustic energy being reflected back to the receiving transducer at A(ii) which is also shown in the C-Line figures. To rule out the possibility that the bulge is caused by silicon-water interface, we look at B(ii) where the wider edges of the acoustic pulse is interacting with the water interface at point 3. The reflected energy exits the silicon die through point 4 resulting in slightly increased acoustic energy. However, when comparing to the C-Line, there is no significant difference (model B has no bulge). In the context of silicon-water reflections, we expect the same mechanism in model A with no significant increase to the C-Line magnitude. This surmises that the bulge is not caused by strong reflections off the water interface. In addition to this, the strong intensity in point 2 is most likely the cause of the increased intensity at point 1 which is on the other side of the sample. The reason for this is unclear. We hypothesize that the incident waves (Figure 8–32 at the sides of the UBM are refracted through the multi layered structure back into the receiving transducer.

![Figure 8–32: Displacement vector sum incidents the UBM structure from the side when the transducer is at a 33µm x-position](image)

Figure 8–33 is an enlarged version of A(ii) which only considers the vector that incident on the side of the UBM. Point 1 is the component of the acoustic waves that incident the sides of the UBM structure. This splits the incident wave into refraction and reflection components. The reflected component 3 interfaces with the water and is reflected back towards the transducer. The other half of the incident component is refracted along layers of the UBM until it exits the UBM structure at 4 on a vector leading back to the transducer. The argument is that acoustic energy which normally does not interact with the sides of the UBM either penetrates the sample or is scattered into the water medium. However, this portion of the acoustic energy is refracted back to the transducer, so the bulge is generated from the additional energy.
8.4.1 Manual Calculation

To prove that the bulge is caused by the vector component 1 from Figure 8–33, the propagation path is solved by calculating long chains of Snell's law equations according to Figure 8–34. The equation was solved using Matlab shown in Figure 8–35 to plot the incident angle required on the side of the UBM to obtain an exit vector from the UBM that is able to transmit back into the receiving transducer symbolized by $\theta_{\text{out}}$. To reach the transducer, the refracted angle exiting the silicon die into the water has to be within 0 ° to 14° of the normal axis. However, the high impedance mismatch between silicon and water, allows virtually any $\theta_{\text{out}}$ angle from the UBM to satisfy this condition. Just as long as the acoustic energy reaches the other end of the silicon interface while still within the lateral range of the transducer. Therefore any subjective value of $\theta_{\text{out}}$, which is reasonably wider than the critical angle can be accepted. This significantly loosens the criteria.
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\[
\begin{align*}
\text{xn} &= 1 \\
\text{for } \ xxx &= 1:5:90 \\
V_s &= 0.7695; \ \% \text{silicon} \\
V_a &= 0.5; \ \% \text{aluminium} \\
V_n &= 0.4860; \ \% \text{nickel} \\
V_w &= 0.1484; \ \% \text{water} \\
V_c &= 0.3773; \ \% \text{copper} \\
V_p &= 0.2159; \ \% \text{lead} \\
Q_{\text{out}} &= \xxx; \\
Q_3 &= \text{asind}(V_a \times \text{sind}(Q_{\text{out}})/V_s); \ \% \text{silicon to alu} \\
Q_2 &= \text{asind}(V_n \times \text{sind}(Q_3)/V_a); \ \% \text{alu to nickel} \\
Q_1 &= \text{asind}(V_c \times \text{sind}(Q_2)/V_n); \ \% \text{nickel to copp} \\
AA_{Qa}(\text{xn}) &= \text{asind}(V_s \times \text{sind}(90 - Q_3)/V_a); \ \% \text{silicon to alu} \\
AA_{Qn1}(\text{xn}) &= \text{asind}(V_s \times \text{sind}(90 - Q_2)/V_n); \ \% \text{silicon to nickel} \\
AA_{Qn2}(\text{xn}) &= \text{asind}(V_p \times \text{sind}(90-Q_2)/V_n); \ \% \text{lead to nickel} \\
AA_{Qc}(\text{xn}) &= \text{asind}(V_p \times \text{sind}(90-Q_1)/V_c); \ \% \text{lead to copper} \\
\text{xn} &= \text{xn} + 1; \\
\text{end}
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 8–35:** Matlab code for solving acoustic propagation vector using Snell’s Law

There are four incident cases which as shown in Figure 8–34 which describes different interface couplings. Despite the relaxed criteria, the results obtained by the above calculations do not support the hypothesis. For two cases \(\theta_a\) and \(\theta_c\), the required incident angle exceeds the critical angle which means the incident wave is fully reflected by the UBM structure. However, two other cases shown in Figure 8–36 reveal the incident angle requirements of \(\theta_{n2}\) and \(\theta_c\) are plausible. However, since both these incident angles are from lead to nickel and lead to copper respectively, we have to take into account the refraction from silicon to lead. This becomes a problem since to achieve the UBM incident angles charted in Figure 8–36, the incident angle from silicon to lead would also exceed the critical angle.
If we consider if the acoustic pulse travels from silicon to water then to lead and finally into the UBM, the acoustic vectors cannot satisfy the required criteria. The refracted angle from silicon to water is 11.1° and from water to silicon, the refracted angle is 16.3° both which falls short of the 20° to 35° incident angle range required. However, the manual calculations are simple and could not include complex interactions of other acoustic components such as Rayleigh waves or creep waves. Even in the simulated data, these subtler mechanisms are not observable in contrast to the primary acoustic modes.

8.4.2 Analysis from Point and Arc VT Data

![Figure 8–37: Normalized C-Line of Point VT and Arc VT showing slight difference in position of bulge peak and sink transition.](image)

In Figure 8–37, both C-Line measured as the sum of the VT arc and as a single point in the VT centre is normalized and compared. Essentially, the Point VT has a narrow aperture which can only receive echoes from a strictly limited range of vectors (Figure 8–38). Despite this, the Point VT still produces a bulge that peaks 4µm closer to the UBM edge than the Arc VT. The Point VT data also rules out the cause of the bulge originating from the interactions with the silicon-water interface near the bump as the C-Line data show a drop in acoustic energy when interacting with water. This circumstantially supports the hypothesis. Firstly, the acoustic energy required to produce the bulge can only be sourced from the acoustic energy that incidents on the sides of the UBM. Secondly, to be picked up by the point VT, echoes are required to have a propagation vector close to the normal. These types of vectors are more likely to form closer to the sides of the UBM. Waves which propagate relatively far away from the transducer central axis will naturally have a wider angle; otherwise they would
simply be propagating along the longitudinal axis. Hence for Point VT the transducer has to be closer to the edge of the UBM to pick up this particular acoustic energy. This would explain why the Point VT C-Line in Figure 8–37 peaks 4µm closer to the UBM edge than the Arc VT.

Figure 8–38: Aperture comparison between Point VT and Arc VT

8.4.3 Mode Conversions

The UBM layer is essentially a sandwich of three thin plates. The incident angle on the UBM may lead to mode conversions to Lamb waves or surface acoustic waves. However, the UBM layers itself is a fraction of the wavelength of the incident pulse which are conditions generally associated with surface acoustic waves. However it is not clear if surface acoustic waves can exist on the interface in between solids. However, the wave propagation across the sample body is convoluted and overlaps. Therefore, evidence of this acoustic mechanism cannot be determined.
8.5 **Spot Size Relationship to Edge Effect Phenomena**

From the previous discussions it was shown that the spot size of the acoustic pulse within the physical sample was most likely bigger than the simulated data. The cause of this is unclear and it is not possible to confirm this in the physical sample. However, the data suggests a possible link between the dip width and the acoustic spot size within the silicon die. If true, this presents a practical application of the C-Line. This section will examine this application based on available data. However, the spirit of this discussion is leaning towards future work.

The simulated silicon die model is thin. This is necessary to manage computational cost. The study also found that acoustic energy is focused at a relatively shallow depth in the silicon die. Therefore, a thin die also has the additional benefit of focusing the acoustic energy directly on the UBM interface for best results. The silicon die for the physical sample has a thickness of 700µm versus the numerical model at 33µm. In physical systems, the depth of field may be reduced as described by Snell's Law (Figure 6–9). This may prevent the AMI from optimally focusing on the UBM interface. Thin samples also reduce the appearance of edge effects and allows for the deployment of higher frequency transducers with high numerical aperture lens (Semmens and Kessler, 1998). This practice is shown in Figure 8–40, where a combination of increasing transducer focal characteristics and removal of sample thickness (die back thinning) the edge effect is reduced or eliminated. This indicates that the measured images may have been obtained at the far end of the DOF where the acoustic pulse...
begins to defocus. Yang and Braden has described this as a possible barrier to obtaining
better quality acoustic images from present equipment (Yang et al., 2012). If this is true, the
measured image is obtained at a larger spot size due to the defocusing.

![Figures 8-40](image1.jpg)

(a) Conventional Setup  (b) Optimized Setup

*Figure 8–40: (a) Sample images with conventional transducer setup. (b) Improvements with
modifications to system and sample manipulation (Semmens and Canumalla, 1999)*

A larger spot size translates into a wider influence region on the C-Line plot which
leads to a wider dip width as shown in Figure 8–39. The dip width terminology is introduced
in this study to characterize the severity of the edge effect manifestation. The simulated C-
Line has a dip width of 12µm with a spot size of 12.4µm (-3dB) or 17.8 (-6dB). The
measured data has a dip width of 33µm. Assuming the spot size scales linearly to the dip
width, under -3dB definition, the measured data is obtained with an effective spot size of
34.1µm. Using the -6dB definition, the physical spot size is increased to 46.75µm.

To confirm this, the virtual transducer (VT) was simulated in pure water with the
silicon die construction simply omitted from the computational domain. The result was
shown earlier in Figure 6–16. To compare with the physical transducer, we compare the -
6dB values from the simulated data. In water, the VT produced a spot size of 17.8µm. When
measured inside the silicon die, the spot size was also 17.8µm. This appeared to be a one to
one ratio. The Sonoscan transducer is rated at 16µm in water hence the one to one ratio is
expected to apply. However, if we consider the -3dB spot size which has shown to have
greater relevancy in the simulation model, the spot size is reduced to 12.2µm in the silicon die which has a scale down of 0.93. Assuming linear relationship, applying this scale to the physical transducer should produce a spot size of 14.9µm inside the silicon. This value fits better with Sonoscan's statement that the 230MHz transducer can resolve features as small as 15µm.

The numerical data suggest that if the physical AMI was optimally focused on the UBM interface, the resultant dip width from the measured C-Line in Figure 8–39 should be in the range of 15-18µm instead of 33µm. A consultation with the AMI equipment expert verifies that the measured images could not be improved further with available equipment. Therefore, if the assumption that the dip width scales linearly with the spot size, it can be concluded that the physical image was obtained out of focus. This would explain the relatively poor image obtained from the C-Scan of the test samples. However, further work will be required to work out these assumptions.

8.6 C-Line Profile of Crack Propagation

![Figure 8-41: Comparison of edge effect before and after thermal cycling damage. (Yang et al., 2012)](Image)

Figure 8–41 are measured C-Scans of two solder bumps focused at the silicon die to solder bump interface. Bumps A₀ and B₀ is the initial pristine condition. And A₄₀ and B₄₀ are the image after thermal cycling has introduced crack defects into the solder bumps. For the silicon-bump interface, the maze of silicon circuit metallization can be clearly seen which confirms that the transducer is correctly focused at the desired interface.
Defects present in the solder bumps have a significant impact on the edge effect characteristics. Without defects, the initial images show the characteristic dark rings around the cap which is the edge effect. When a crack is introduced by thermal cycling, the edge effect forms a crescent shape around the cap as seen on A40 and B40 in Figure 8–41. The cap profile of other solder bumps in the image is consistent, which indicate this may not be caused by variations due to sample tilting. Therefore there are significant implications in utilizing the presence of edge effect to evaluate gap type defects and its severity.

8.6.1 Simulation Result

![C-Line profile of solder bump with increasing air gap width](image)

*Figure 8–42: Comparison of C-Line profile of solder bump with increasing air gap width.*

*Model C* is constructed by applying an air gap measuring from 25µm to 75µm to the solder bump in *Model A*. The width of the air gap is modelled at 2.5µm increments beginning from of 25µm where no changes in the C-Line are observed up to that point. The gap itself is fixed at 2µm. The focal point of the virtual transducer is focused at the solder bump to substrate interface but data is gated at the UBM interface. This will decrease the generation of the bulge and brings the resultant C-Line much closer to the measured data. The construction of *model C* is shown in Figure 8–3. Each increment requires a re-initialization of the simulation work which requires significant computational resources. Therefore the simulated
transducer scanning is done at 2µm steps to reduce the load and extrapolated from there. A total of 21 result sets of model C were obtained, each representing a gradual increase of the air gap lateral width. This will emulate the propagation of a crack due to mechanical fatigue. In total, this simulated required 4 weeks continuous run on a single terminal. This does not include coding and analysis time.

For each set, a single C-Line is extracted using the arc VT method. This method sums all pressure data detected at the entire arc of the VT. All resultant 21 C-Lines are placed plotted in Figure 8–42 a change in C-Line profile for each air gap width. The thicker lines are shown to indicate the beginning and the end of the air gap width iterations. The plot clearly shows that the progress of the crack propagation is reflected in the C-Line profile in a consistent manner.
8.6.2 Measured Result

![Image of C-Scan images and C-Line graphs](image-url)

Figure 8–43: Top: C-Scan image of a single solder bump after various stages of thermal cycling inducing crack propagation. Bottom: C-Line extracted from the C-Scan image

The top of Figure 8–43 are C-Scan images obtained from thermal cycling experiments carried out by Braden and Yang (Braden, 2012, Yang, 2012). The image features the same solder bump at various stages of the thermal cycling experiment. The mechanical fatigue from thermal cycling will cause the solder bump to crack. Repeated cycling will induce the crack to propagate deeper into the centre of the solder bump until complete discontinuity is achieved. This crack was shown in Figure 6–8(a). From the C-Scan alone, it is apparent that the formation of cracks changes the profile of the edge effect. The C-Line for each image is extracted according to the methodology detailed in section 8.2.2. The resultant C-Lines are shown at the bottom of Figure 8–43.

The C-Lines in Figure 8–43 are not aligned and the quantization level in which the image was obtained is unknown. To quantify the features, a reference point is established by
aligning the rise transitions of each of the measured C-Lines. This method is expected to overcome the spatial uncertainty since the simulated C-Line exhibits consistent rise transitions positions. The aligned measured C-Line is shown in Figure 8–44(top). Initial observation of the dip shows good agreement between simulated and measured data.

8.6.3 Quantifying C-Line features

Linking the C-Line profiles between measured and simulated data will allow approximation of the crack width in the physical sample in between stages of thermal cycling experiments. To compare the data, features of C-Line are quantified manually by selecting key points by hand. These key points are shown in Figure 8–44 annotated as Dip and Cap. The C-Line at 25µm (air gap width = 25µm) is used as a reference. The dip refers to the minimal point of the edge effect. Both X and Y locations are referenced in Figure 8–44. The definition of cap however is slightly more qualitative. The cap-x is the position where the C-Line initially crosses the reference C-Line. But as the air gap becomes wider, this definition breaks down. This is clearly shown in Cap-X57.5µm where if following the strict definition, would have shared the same values as Cap-X47.5µm. A clearer, more obvious definition is required.

Focusing on just the simulated data for now, Figure 8–45 shows the relationship between the X-position of the cap and the Y-position of the dip. The size of the air gap has a quantitative change in the C-Line profile. The image intensity of the cap has an inverse relationship with the intensity of the dip.
Figure 8–44: Features on the measured (top) and simulated (bottom) C-Lines are quantified according to their x-y positions on plot. (Top) The rise transitions are aligned to provide a consistent reference point.
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Figure 8–45: capx vs dipy

Figure 8–46: Ratio of X and Y Dip positions against pristine sample from simulated and measured data. Top: Dip y position profile. Bottom: Dip x position profile
Accurate alignment of the measured data is necessary to obtain conclusive data. However, in this study, the measured data was not obtained with this work in mind. Therefore the inference and assumptions required will only allow a preliminary study. Therefore, in light of alignment uncertainty, the best recourse is to base the analysis on the changes in C-Line features against a C-Line profile without air gaps. The changes can be quantified and preliminary conclusions can be drawn.

The *dip* and *cap* values from the initial C-Line of their respective data source are used as reference points. Figure 8–46 is created by dividing the subsequent *dip* and *cap* values of C-Lines with air gaps with the reference value to establish a ratio. This is expected to normalize the resultant plot of both data sources to the same scale as the feature changes are relative to the pristine example. The resultant ratio profiles are comparable. The top of Figure 8–46 is obtained using the Y-Axis ratio of the *dip* points. While the lower figure uses the *dip* X-axis. *Cap*-X data is not available as the measured C-Line with defects did not intersect the reference C-Line. In addition, the ratio produced by the measured data is higher than the simulated counterpart. This might be attributed to non-linear quantization by the AMI equipment.

At 32 thermal cycles shown at the top of Figure 8–46, the width of the crack is approximated have a horizontal width of between 30µm to 40µm. This is solely based on referencing the simulated data. The plot also evens out beyond 40µm which prevents characterization if the crack is longer. Another limitation relies on the acquisition of the measured data which appears to be clipped. A limited data sample between thermal cycles from the measured data prevents firmer conclusions. Figure 8–46(bottom) is constructed from irregular data which may be manifested from poor scanning resolution from the simulation execution. Cubic curve fitting is employed to average the data points. From the fitted plot, the gradients are comparable up to 45µm. No other obvious correlations are observed.

### 8.6.4 Discussions

The effect of crack propagation on the C-Line profile is a unique study. There are limitations in both the simulated and measured data. Therefore this section was presented as a preliminary investigation to make fundamental discoveries and help inform further work. Comprehensive analysis will require repeating the thermal cycling test with more stringent parameters. In the thermal cycling experiment, the boards are monitored every 8 cycles. However, a non-linear relationship between the *dip* and *cap* versus the width of the air gap is
observed in the simulated data. Therefore shorter monitoring cycles are desired especially during the initiation phase of the defect.

Crack initiations usually originate from the outer parameter of the solder bump (Yang, 2012) which is the focus of this study. Other types of defects like voids and on rare occasions, the cracks initiated from the centre of the solder bump will provide another set of C-Line profiles. The C-Line profile of more comprehensive variety of defect types was recommended for further work.

The measured C-Line shows clipping around the peak as well as the dip. Widening and standardizing the quantization levels of the AMI system is required to provide a reliable reference magnitude to align multiple C-Lines together. In the physical experiment, a heavy metal bracket is used to hold the sample during C-Scan acquisition. This allows the transducer Z-axis focal position to be remembered and repeated. Therefore the issue is mainly related to the analogue to digital conversion threshold and gating.

In the simulated data, the rise transition reliably indicates the position where the silicon-water interface begins. From that, we infer that the rise transition seen in the measured data is equally consistent which allows for lateral (x-axis) alignment of the measured data. This inference is justified based on the fact that the rise transition is generated from initial contact with the silicon-water interface which has a high impedance mismatch. Ideally, there should be a physical marker that can be observed in the C-Scan image. It is also unfeasible to use native features like die edges as these are susceptible to the edge effects that cause wide image intensity gradients (smudges). This problem is exacerbated by relatively low scanning resolutions of 8µm per pixel.

The novel C-Line plot was proposed as a robust tool to characterize the features caused by the edge effect mechanisms. However, obtaining the simulated data required 3 terminals and a total of 1400 hours of run time. In the simulated data, the spatial density of the buffer regions was lowered aggressively (5 elements per wavelength) and the scanning resolution was done at 2µm intervals. This compromise is reflected in Figure 8–46 where the Dip-X ratios were relatively erratic. Given that newer version of ANSYS can utilize the processors from graphic cards, more comprehensive simulations can be carried out in further work.
Chapter 9

Conclusion
9 Summary and Conclusion

This thesis details the methodology for a high frequency transient simulation in a micro scale environment of advanced electronic packaging technologies. This required a solid multidisciplinary background in microelectronic packaging technology and acoustic imaging technology. Moving the work into the domain of numerical simulations, the finite element method and its variety of approaches was studied. A preliminary study revealed that the computing resource required to solve the experiment were beyond the available equipment capabilities. This led to the development of compromises and techniques which were tested empirically. With the general methodology firmly established, configurations specific to the required experiment were evaluated for validity. A firm correlation between simulated and measured data was achieved. Comprehensive analysis of the experimental data generated several hypotheses. When possible, supplementary experiments were added to verify or test the hypotheses with mixed success. The contributions of this study are split into three sections preceded by a summary of the background.

9.1 Background Summary

The development of complex multilayered microelectronic packages represents a problem for current acoustic inspection technology that is reaching its resolution limits. This has led to reliability issues that are particularly important in industries where critical failures pose a risk to health and safety as well as economic importance to all industries. However, acoustic inspection remains a most effective means for the detection of void type defects. However certain key limitations to acoustic inspection include;

- Application specific transducers limit flexibility which increases evaluation cost.
- While resolution increases with frequency, the penetration capability degrades. The introduction of stacked die packages exacerbates this issue.
- The manifestation of edge effect phenomena prevents direct evaluation of edge regions of the sample.

To overcome such limitations without introducing hardware modifications, various algorithms were developed. One key recent development is the sparse representation method. This advanced algorithm partly relies on a priori information to build up a dictionary. However, the acoustic propagation mechanisms in complex microelectronic packages are not clearly understood. Simulated data may have important implications for this technique in future work.
9.2 Contributions to Finite Element Methodology

There are many options and approaches to constructing a numerical simulation. This process is mainly reflected by the governing equations and they are used. For the simulation work, the experiment was carried out using a full transient method. This method was computationally expensive, but has no risk of missing physical phenomena, as all terms in the governing equations are calculated. Preliminary work applied an impact load to a piece of aluminium block to observe the resultant S, P and R waves, which were all present. This verified the basic transient simulation setup. Data from the primary simulation work on the solder bump assembly showed agreement with measured data.

9.2.1 Evaluation of Numerical Dispersion Error

It is a widely held view that a temporal and spatial resolution of both 20 elements and time steps per wavelength are required to suppress numerical dispersion errors. However, the increased spatial resolution produced a quadratic increase to computational cost, while increasing temporal resolution had a linear cost increase. The performances of the solution convergence of these parameters are tested. An elaborate study covering a wide spectrum of spatial and temporal combinations was tested to evaluate the numerical dispersion error. This study concluded that:

- 20 elements per wavelength has a correlation 0.99 compared to the control result
- 15 elements per wavelength has a correlation of 0.95 compared to the control result
- There was no significant increase in fidelity beyond 20 elements per wavelength
- 15 elements per wavelength is significantly cheaper to compute
- A temporal resolution of 15 is acceptable and 20 are recommended. Computational cost increase is linear.

9.2.2 Development of Quadrilateral Infinite Boundary

The literature review shows that the realization of an ideal absorbing boundary condition is impractical. "Local" techniques are introduced to approximate the absorbing boundary namely;

- The Infinite Boundary approach, which asymptotically propagates the waves to infinity
- The Perfectly Matched Layer technique, which unphysically suppresses the wave
The differences between these two techniques were compared empirically. The study concluded with mixed results with regard to specific frequencies. The infinite boundary method does not perform well at cornered boundaries. However, both methods are effective enough to suppress artefact waves in the primary experiment.

9.3 Development of Micro-Scale Virtual Transducer

The key to the successful execution of the simulation was a reduction of the total number of elements. A virtual transducer was modelled close to the sample which significantly reduced the number of elements required. Water fluid elements were the most computationally expensive to model due to the relatively slow acoustic speed which resulted in smaller elements. The solid elements for acoustically fast materials were significantly less demanding due to larger required elements. Although less expensive to model, the thin silica die model was designed to ensure optimal focus of the virtual transducer. The buffer regions were dynamically reduced depending on distance from the area of interest.

Several variations of the virtual transducer were tested. A virtual transducer too close to the sample was found to have an unpredictable response. The optimal focal parameter was empirically selected with the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curvature Radius</td>
<td>199.2µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chord Length</td>
<td>96.74µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from Sample</td>
<td>44.76µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Frequency</td>
<td>230 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation Load</td>
<td>( f(t) = A \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{(t-u)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \cdot \cos(\omega(t-u)) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resultant spot size measured 13.3µm (-3dB) and 17.8µm (-6dB) in water and at a focal depth of 148.6µm, which was comparable to the specifications of the physical transducer with a spot size of 16µm (-6dB) and a depth of focus of 186µm. The supplier of the 230MHz physical transducer states that features as small as 15µm can be resolved. In the simulation, the effective spot size observed inside the silica die model was measured as 12.4µm (-3dB) or 17.8µm (-6dB) which indicated close agreement with the supplier’s specifications.
9.4 Contribution to Post Processing Techniques

9.4.1 C-Line Plot

The C-Line plot was introduced as a novel post processing tool for the characterization of the edge effect phenomena. The key strength of the C-Line plot is the relatively simple application technique. Application of this methodology allows the edge effect manifestation to be quantified and opens new avenues for evaluation and research. The changes of acoustic response due to feature transitions are marked by gradient changes in the C-Line plot. These changes have strong correlations with the 12.4µm (-3dB) spot size definition.

The method also found that rise transitions are a good indicator of solder bump spatial position. By aligning the rise transitions, the centre of the solder bump from C-Scan images can be determined more systematically.

9.4.2 Acoustic Propagation Map

The simulation produced X, Y and vector sum displacements in solids as well as pressure data in the fluid region. The pressure response from the virtual transducer is equivalent to that of physical systems. The C-Line was derived from this data type. Transient pressure and displacement data were merged into cross sectional slides for qualitative evaluation of the acoustic wave propagation process. Through post processing methods, these two different data types were consolidated into a single image.

The acoustic propagation map was introduced by merging the slides using either max or sum numerical algorithms. The acoustic propagation map shows in one picture the distribution of acoustic energy at various transducer positions. Combining the analysis from the C-Line, transient slides and acoustic propagation maps various observations were drawn.
9.5 Contribution to Theory

9.5.1 Horizontal Scatter

The C-Line plot and the acoustic propagation map were cross analysed with the following findings:

- A significant portion of the acoustic energy was reflected by the UBM interface.
- When the transducer was positioned at the "solder bump - silica die" edge, a large portion of the energy was refracted along the silica-water interface, aptly named "horizontal scatter".
- The horizontal scatter mechanism occurs regardless of the presence of the UBM.
- Absence of an UBM in the solder joint assembly will eliminate the presence of edge effects.

The edge effect generation on the C-Line is annotated as the dip which is the minimum magnitude on the C-Line profile. Despite the edge effect, the drop in acoustic energy was governed by a lower limit indicating that not all the acoustic energy was lost. On the acoustic propagation map, the horizontal scatter was only observed when the centre of the transducer was around the dip. This concludes that in addition to loss through scattering, a large amount of acoustic energy was lost through horizontal scattering.

9.5.2 The Bulge

The numerical simulation predicts the existence of features that do not appear in the measured data. This was due to the low resolution of the experimentally measured image, which on paper is only able to resolve features as small as 15µm. This makes verification difficult. The C-Line profile showed a ‘bulge’, which occurred when the transducer was focused closed to the UBM edge. The bulge was not present in Model B, which does not have a UBM. The hypothesis proposed here is that given improved AMI resolution, the bulge would be experimentally observed. With the bulge present, it will mark the boundaries of the UBM which benefits image evaluation. However, manual calculations could not confirm the source of this phenomenon. Using the point VT data to restrict the aperture of the receiving virtual transducer, it was observed that the source of the bulge originated very close to the UBM edge.
9.5.3 Scatter Plot

The scatter plot measured the relative amount of acoustic energy present in

1. **The Fluid region around the edge of the "solder bump - silica die"**

   The plot showed that the presence of the UBM marginally increased the amount of acoustic energy scattered into the fluid domain. The majority of the scattered energy was caused by the “solder bump – silica die” edge.

2. **The solder bump**

   Measurements of the displacement energy inside the solder bump showed that without the UBM present, acoustic energy penetrating into the solder bump is 31.9% to 44% higher than is the case when the UBM is present. However, it also showed that at iteration 55µm, Y-Axis acoustic energy is insignificant. In the context of C-scan images, this means the outer radius of the solder bump cannot be evaluated reliably since no useful acoustic energy was available for defect detection to occur within the solder bump in the annular region. This will pose significant problems in detecting the initiation of cracks.

9.5.4 Spot Size Approximation

   This study proposed that the gap of the edge effect called the dip width is directly associated with the effective acoustic spot size occurring within the sample. Assuming that these parameters have a linear relationship, the dip width and the spot size can be used to postulate the effective spot size of physical data. Using this approximation with the current data, it was found that the measured data was obtained with an effective spot size of 33µm, or 46.75µm if the simulated -3dB spot size is used instead. Either consideration was significantly bigger than the simulated spot size required to resolve features as small as 15µm. The thicker silica dies, may have reduced the depth of field to an extent where the acoustic pulse was defocused at the desired interface. This idea is consistent with C-Scan images from thinned die which show C-Scans of solder bumps with thinner edge effect rings (which translate into narrower dip widths).
9.5.5 C-Line Profiles in Crack Propagation

The C-Line profile is affected by the presence of crack defects, which are modelled as air gaps in the solder bump. The change in the profile follows a predictable trend. Quantification of these profile changes was used to predict the progress of the crack propagation in physical C-Scan images. The changes in the C-Line profile against linear increase in air gap width were found to be non-linear. Preliminary work shows that this methodology is feasible. However, the analysis is only presented as preliminary work, since the available measured data does not contain enough data points to be conclusive. A firm observation was that an initiated crack can propagate up to 25µm towards the centre of the solder bump before a change is observed in the C-Line plot. However, this difference was detected due to the availability of the reference C-Line for comparison. Actual detection in physical systems may only be able to differentiate greater crack widths to give noticeable results.
9.6 Further Work

9.6.1 Simulated Sparse Representation Dictionary

Sparse signal representations are partly based on a priori information to form the dictionary. This method enables super resolution, as well as effective noise reduction. Ideal acoustic responses from simulated models of various configurations can be used to optimize the dictionary definitions. The acoustic response from specific defects introduced to the model can be included to enrich these definitions. This concept is demonstrated by the Indian buffet process in sparse signal recovery, as shown in Figure 9–1. An equivalent method would be a learned dictionary based on the simulated C-Scan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Noisy Data</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Learned Dictionary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Original Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Noisy Data" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Recovered Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Learned Dictionary" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 9–1: Image recovery using the Indian Buffet Process (Cevher et al., 2010)*

9.6.2 Expansion of Edge Effect Characterization

This study can be further expanded by characterizing a wider range of solder bump configurations. These include wider, thicker, UBM designs and metal compositions. Randomized gain structures can also be modelled into the materials. In addition, the physical sample used in this study used lead bumps without epoxy under-fill applied to the flip chip. However, many applications now use lead free alternatives for the solder bump, and in a manufacturing environment, epoxy under-fill is usually applied to increase reliability. This represents gaps in this study with respect to the current practice. Given recent advancements in computing and software capabilities, namely the utilization of graphic processing units, such work is now viable.
9.6.3 Further Analysis of the Bulge

The generation of the bulge may have similar properties to the diffraction effects seen in optical metrology. The methodologies applied to isolate the cause of this phenomenon were unconvincing. Manual calculations of the acoustic wave vector could not isolate the source of the acoustic energy that causes the Bulge. The next recommended step would be to obtain measured images of a thinned die of the same configuration. This step would however, destroy the sample, making the method no-longer non-destructive technique. If the bulge does appear in measured data, further analysis may reveal its use as a new evaluation tool.

9.6.4 Horizontal Scatter

Horizontal scatter was apparent in the acoustic propagation map. Yet, the propagation mechanism observed in the simulation was complex. Direct tracking of the wave as it propagates through the sample would clarify the generation mechanism of the horizontal scatter. It would also improve this field of study by revealing subtler acoustic mechanisms that may be related to the defect detection mechanism.

9.6.5 Improving Defect Detection Study

One of the limitations of the study presented in Chapter 8.6 was the insufficient number of data points from the measured data and the compromised simulation fidelity. Improving the simulation is merely involves the task of increasing resolution and allowing for more time to solve the simulation (2000 - 3000 hours with the author's equipment). However, obtaining closer data points for the measured data requires re-initialization of the thermal cycling experiments. The sample will be required to be scanned at every thermal cycle, as opposed to every 8 thermal cycles, as collected in the current data. This is crucial since the defects do not affect the C-Line in a linear fashion. In the context of the C-Line plot, the first few data points will contain a significant amount of information. When the sample is being scanned, the quantization settings will have to be fixed to retain consistency. Preferably, a marker can be introduced into the sample for alignment purposes.

On the simulation side, we found that the defect remains undetected until the crack has a width of at least 25µm. The next step would be to introduce void type defects at various positions within the solder bump. The sizes of the void can be increased gradually until a response from the C-Line is detected. The transducer configuration could be changed as well. Such a study would provide a guide on the minimal detectable defect size given specific acoustic setups.
9.6.6 Evaluation of Under Bump Metallization

In this study, it was found that the UBM structure has a significant impact on the penetration of acoustic energy. It would be useful then to evaluate various UBM configurations to find the optimal recipe. This can be done by varying individual thickness of the UBM layers to vary the concentration. This work may provide useful insight on future UBM designs.

9.6.7 Simplify Solder Bump Image using C-Line Plots

As mentioned in 7.2.5, the C-Line plot can be used to create a C-Scan image. Inherent complexities with measured data make alignment and determination of centre difficult. Since the position of the rise transition is relatively constant, it can be used for alignment. An approximate image can then be created from the measured data. This seems counter intuitive since measured data in its original state are ideal for analysis. However, overcoming alignment problems can have immense benefits for implementation of algorithms.

For example, multiple C-Lines can be extracted from various axes (diagonal, vertical, horizontal, etc) of an approximate solder bump location. The image will most likely have an off-centre cap. The C-Line plot can then be aligned not unlike the methods used in Chapter 8.6.3. The data can then either be averaged or extrapolated along its own sector. E.g. Two C-Lines from X,Y axis are extrapolated 90° around a central axis to rebuild the C-Scan image. Depending on the number of C-Lines extracted, the alignment factor is eliminated and work can be concentrated on the bonding quality.
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10.1 Publications

Lee, Chean, Zhang, G.M. and Harvey, D.M.

*General Engineering Research Institute Annual Research Symposium 2013*

**Abstract:** Acoustic images are no stranger to anomalies and phenomenon which degrade the image. The understandings of such mechanisms are implied form collective opinions and seldom empirically verified. Most post processing algorithms are developed to improve the final acoustic image. But there has been little focus on the study of the acoustic mechanism inside the sample. With the finite element technique, this has become possible. A novel post processing methodology is required to interpret and bridge the simulated and measured data. The C-Line plot is a novel methodology proposes to solve this conundrum. This technique has shown clear benefits as a supplement to current non-destructive reliability studies.

2) Analysis of solder joint Edge Effect in Acoustic Micro Imaging of microelectronic packages: A preliminary study

Lee, Chean, Zhang, G.M. and Harvey, D.M. (2012)

*Electronic System-Integration Technology Conference (ESTC), 2012 4th*

**Abstract:** A guaranteed occurrence when using Acoustic Micro Imaging, the phenomena called Edge Effect is not clearly understood. The widely accepted reliance on scatter theory to explain edge effect is challenged in this study. The edge effect of solder joints is investigated using finite element modelling. Simulation strategies for reducing computational load are proposed. A methodology to characterize acoustic data for edge effect analysis is further developed. Results show that the relationship between scatter theory and edge effect is not straight forward.
3) **Acoustic Micro Imaging Edge Effect Characterization of 80µm Solder Bump Flip Chip**

Lee, Chean, Zhang, G.M. and Harvey, D.M.

*General Engineering Research Institute Annual Research Symposium 2012*

**Abstract:** Acoustic Micro Imaging (AMI) is an established tool for non destructive reliability evaluation in microelectronic packages. It is especially sensitive for evaluating delaminations and voids. Most common use of AMI requires an acoustic pulse or echo to be reflected from the material under evaluation. The performance of an acoustic system is defined by its spot size, focus depth, focal length and frequency. Spot size defines the lateral diameter of a focused acoustic beam and the focus depth indicates the axial length in which it remains focused. Acoustic frequency will directly affect the spot size as well as the penetration vs. resolution relationship of the system.

4) **Acoustic field simulation of electronic package: a preliminary study**

Lee, Chean, Zhang, G.M. and Harvey, D.M.

*General Engineering Research Institute Annual Research Symposium 2010*

**Abstract.** Acoustic micro imaging has reached resolution limits with the continuous progression of electronic packages. Acoustic field simulation is used to understand the defect detection mechanism. The simulation setup methodology and various verification techniques are outlined. Preliminary results are presented.
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10.2 Source Code

The following source codes are available from the DVD accompanying this thesis.

**Appendix i: Input File 020B, Plucked Cantilever Beam**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\020B cantilever plucking.mac

**Appendix ii: Plucked cantilever beam surrounded by air medium**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\020C cantilever plucking in fluid.mac

**Appendix iii: Transient Impact Impulse on Solid block**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\020D Solid Block.mac

**Appendix iv: Source Code for Quadrilateral Infinite Absorbing Boundary Technique**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\019 impd_fluid129 testing.mac

**Appendix v: Source Code for Numerical Dispersion Error Experiment**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\020A num disp err.mac

**Appendix vi: Post Processing Source Code: 023Analysis dvd.m**
[dvd]: \Matlab Codes\023Analysis dvd.m

**Appendix vii: Primary Acoustic Mechanism Study Experiment Model A**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\016 Edge Effect Launch B6.mac

**Appendix viii: Primary Acoustic Mechanism Study Experiment Model B**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\016 Edge Effect Launch C6.mac

**Appendix ix: Defect Detection Mechanism Experiment (Model C)**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\021 Defect Detection v8.mac

**Appendix x: Generation of Acoustic Propagation Map**
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\022A Hi Res.mac
[dvd]: \Ansys Codes\023B focuspcb.mac

**Appendix xi: Simulated C-Scan Algorithm**
The simulated C-Scan image is constructed using the C-Line from using these algorithms
[dvd]: \Matlab Codes\simulated cscan\
10.3 Plots

Additional plots not shown in the thesis are available on the accompanying DVD.

Appendix xii: All Plots of Sum, X and Y vector components at three key times

On DVD:

Plots of both model A and B. This is an extension of Chapter 8.2.3

[dvd] \DoublePlots

Source Code: "023Analysis dvd.m"

Filename convention: dp[type]_[iteration]_[time step]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Time Step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S Vector Sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Vector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Vector</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Step is 0.21307ns

E.g. dpS_1_129 refers to double plot of Vector Sum at iteration 25µm at time step 129

Appendix xiii: Video of intra sample acoustic propagation at four transducer positions

On DVD:

[dvd] \Propagation Video

Source Code: "023Analysis dvd.m"

On Internet:

**Acoustic Propagation at Solder Bump Edge, 25µm**
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UDz0J2D66uU

**Acoustic Propagation at Solder Bump Edge, 33µm**
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZW4vKK1Dadw

**Acoustic Propagation at Solder Bump Edge, 45µm**
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HNt-l-i6mDk

**Acoustic Propagation at Solder Bump Edge, 55µm**
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rPUWX0rJsPA
Appendix

Appendix xiv: X and Y vector component APM of model A, B and C

On DVD
[dvd]: \Acoustic Propagation Maps
Source Code: "023Analysis dvd.m"

10.4 Data

Appendix xv: Path Operations Raw Data

On DVD:

Ansys output data for Model A: Nominal Construction
[dvd]: \RawData\PathOper022n023\ModelA

Ansys output data for Model B: No UBM
[dvd]: \RawData\PathOper022n023\ModelB

Ansys output data for Model C: Crack defect
[dvd]: \RawData\PathOper022n023\ModelC

Appendix xvi: Measured Solder Bump C-Scan and Plot

The data for this work is encapsulated in a Matlab database format along with figures and processing script.
[dvd]: \Measured Solder Bumps\
Appendix

Appendix xvii: Model A and B C-Line Data

Data for Model A is found in
[dvd]: \RawData\BC6\Raw Files B6
Data for Model B is found in
[dvd]: \RawData\BC6\Raw Files C6
B6 and C6 are version identities of the script and should not be confused with model A and B mentioned in the study.

The post processed data is available in the Matlab database
[dvd]: \RawData\BC6\BC6data PSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA_PSA</td>
<td>Simulated B-Scan data for Model A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSA refers to Point, Sum, Average. The cell arrays are organized in this order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA_C_PSA</td>
<td>Simulated B-Scan data for Model B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA_time</td>
<td>Simulation run time and stepping resolution reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_C_PSA(s)</td>
<td>The B-Scan has been gated at the desired interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_PSA(s)</td>
<td>Presence of (s) indicate data has been smoothed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_pntB6 or C6</td>
<td>C-Line plot produced from applying Max to A_PSA or A_C_PSA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_sumB6 or C6(N)</td>
<td>Sum or Point represent their source data acquisition method. (N) indicates normalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_Cline</td>
<td>Measured C-Line. Refer to Appendix xvi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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