

LJMU Research Online

Sharwood, RE, Ghannoum, O, Kapralov, MV, Gunn, LH and Whitney, SM

Temperature responses of Rubisco from Paniceae grasses provide opportunities for improving C3 photosynthesis.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5501/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Sharwood, RE, Ghannoum, O, Kapralov, MV, Gunn, LH and Whitney, SM (2016) Temperature responses of Rubisco from Paniceae grasses provide opportunities for improving C3 photosynthesis. Nature Plants, 2. ISSN 2055-0278

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Variation in response of C ₃ and C ₄ Paniceae Rubisco to temperature
2	provides opportunities for improving C ₃ photosynthesis
3	
4	Robert E. Sharwood ¹⁺ , Oula Ghannoum ^{2+*} , Maxim V. Kapralov ³ , Laura H. Gunn ^{1,4} , and
5	Spencer M. Whitney ¹⁺ *.
6	
7	¹ Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra ACT, 2601,
8	Australia.
9	² Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Richmond,
10	New South Wales, 2753, Australia.
11	⁺ ARC Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis, Australian National
12	University Canberra ACT, 2601, Australia.
13	³ Current Address: School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores
14	University, Liverpool, L3 3AF, United Kingdom
15	⁴ Current address: Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University,
16	Uppsala, SE-751 24, Sweden.
17	*Corresponding Authors: <u>o.ghannoum@westernsydney.edu.au</u> and
18	spencer.whitney@anu.eud.au

20 Enhancing the catalytic properties of the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco is a target for 21 improving agricultural crop productivity. Here we reveal high diversity in the kinetic 22 response between 10°C to 37°C by Rubisco from C₃- and C₄-species within the grass tribe Paniceae. The CO₂-fixation rate (k_{cat}^{C}) for Rubisco from the C₄-grasses with NADP-malic 23 24 enzyme (NADP-ME) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK) photosynthetic pathways was two-fold greater than the k_{cat}^{C} of Rubisco from NAD-ME species over all 25 26 temperatures. The decline in the response of CO₂/O₂ specificity with increasing 27 temperature was slower for PCK and NADP-ME Rubisco – a trait which would be 28 advantageous in the warmer climates they inhabit relative to the NAD-ME grasses. 29 Variation in the temperatures kinetics of Paniceae C3-Rubisco and PCK-Rubisco were 30 modelled to differentially stimulate C₃-photosynthesis above and below 25°C under current 31 and elevated CO₂. Identified are large subunit amino acid substitutions that could account 32 for the catalytic variation among Paniceae Rubisco. Incompatibilities with Paniceae 33 Rubisco biogenesis in tobacco however hindered their mutagenic testing by chloroplast 34 transformation. Circumventing these bioengineering limitations is critical to tailoring the 35 properties of crop Rubisco to suit future climates.

36 Concerns about how escalating climate change will influence ecosystems are particularly 37 focused on the consequences to global agricultural productivity where increases are 38 paramount to meet the rising food and biofuel demands. Strategies to improve crop yield 39 by increasing photosynthesis have largely focused on overcoming the functional 40 inadequacies of the CO2-fixing enzyme Rubisco. A competing O2-fixing reaction by 41 Rubisco produces a toxic product whose recycling by photorespiration consumes energy 42 and releases carbon. The frequency of the oxygenation reaction increases with temperature. 43 To evade photorespiration many plants from hot, arid ecosystems have evolved C4 44 photosynthesis that concentrates CO2 around Rubisco that also facilitates improved plant 45 water, light and nitrogen use. Here we show extensive catalytic variation in Rubisco from Paniceae grasses that align with the biochemistry and environmental origins of the different 46 47 C4 plant subtypes. We reveal opportunities for enhancing crop photosynthesis under 48 current and future CO2 levels at varied temperatures.

49 The realization of the dire need to address global food security has heightened the need for 50 new solutions to increase crop yields¹. Field tests and modelling analyses have highlighted 51 how photosynthetic carbon assimilation underpins the maximal yield potential of crops². 52 This has increased efforts to identify solutions to enhance photosynthetic efficiency and 53 hence plant productivity³. Particular attention is being paid to improving the rate at which 54 ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco, EC 4.1.1.39) can fix CO2 55 (refs 4-8). The complex structure and catalytic chemistry of Rubisco has so far made improving its performance difficult^{9–11}. Diversity screens have identified natural Rubisco 56 variants with catalytic improvements of potential benefit^{11–17}, but most overlook the 57 58 influence of broad changes in temperature.

59	In C ₃ -plants Rubisco performance is hampered by slow CO ₂ -fixation rates $(k_{cat})^{C}$
60	~2-3 s ⁻¹) and competitive O_2 -fixation that produces 2-phosphoglycolate, which requires
61	recycling by the energy-consuming and CO2-releasing photorespiratory cycle. This
62	necessitates C3-plants invest up to 50% of their leaf protein (~25% of their nitrogen) into
63	Rubisco to sustain viable CO_2 assimilation rates ¹⁻³ . A reduction in the atmospheric $CO_2:O_2$
64	ratio during the Oligocene period (~30 million years ago) heightened plant photorespiration
65	rates, particularly in hot, arid environments ⁴ . This led to the convergent evolution of C ₄
66	photosynthesis along >65 multiple independent plant lineages ⁵ . C ₄ -plants contain a CO ₂
67	concentrating mechanism (CCM) that allows Rubisco in the chloroplasts of bundle sheath
68	cells (BSC) to operate under near-saturating CO ₂ levels. This supresses O ₂ -fixation and
69	photorespiration. The BSC CCM begins in the adjoining mesophyll cells (MC) where
70	inorganic carbon, as HCO3 ⁻ , is fixed to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) by PEP carboxylase
71	(PEPC) to form the C ₄ -acid oxaloacetate (OAA). Conversion of OAA to malate (or
72	aspartate) precedes its diffusion into the BSC where it is decarboxylated to elevate CO ₂
73	around Rubisco. The three biochemical subtypes of C4-plants correlate to the dominant
74	decarboxylation enzyme: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) phosphate malic
75	enzyme (NADP-ME), NAD malic enzyme (NAD-ME) or phosphoenolpyruvate
76	carboxykinase (PEP-CK) ^{6,7} .

An escalating appreciation of the significant kinetic variation among plant, algae and prokaryotic Form I Rubisco has, until recently, paid little consideration to the functional diversity and potential of C₄-Rubisco to improve C₃-photosynthesis ⁸. Adaptation of C₄-Rubisco to elevated BSC CO₂ has beneficially increased carboxylation rate (k_{cat}^{C}) but unfavourably lowered CO₂-affinity (*i.e.* increased K_m for CO₂). The increase in k_{cat}^C endows C₄-plants with accompanying improvements in their nitrogen (less Rubisco
required), water (reduced stomata apertures needed) and energy (reduced photorespiration)
use efficiencies⁹ – features considered of potential benefit to engineering in C₃-plants¹⁰.
What remains unclear is the extent to which variation in the ancestral timing, CCM
biochemistry and biogeographical origin has influenced the kinetic evolution C₄-Rubisco,
its response to temperature and it's potential to benefit C₃-photosynthesis without a CCM.

88 Here we examine the diversity in the temperature response (10°C to 37°C) of 89 Rubisco catalysis in Paniceae grasses comprising species with C₃, C₃-C₄ intermediate (C₂) 90 and all three C₄ biochemical subtypes. We identify significant variation in the kinetic 91 properties of Paniceae Rubisco which correlates with the photosynthetic physiology and 92 environmental distribution of each species. We show by modelling how the potential of 93 Paniceae Rubisco to differentially improve C₃-photosynthesis at low and high temperatures 94 under current and future CO₂. Differences in the chaperone requirements of monocot 95 Rubiscos are revealed that prevent use of chloroplast transformation to validate Paniceae 96 Rubisco "catalytic switches" using the surrogate model dicot plant tobacco.

97 Materials and Methods

98 Plant Seeds and Growth Conditions

99 Seeds for Panicum antidotale, P. monticola, P. virgatum, P. milliaceum, P. coloratum. P. 100 deustum, P. milioides, P. bisulcatum, Megathyrsus maximus, Urochloa panicoides, U. 101 mosambicensis, Cenchrus ciliaris, Setaria viridis and Steinchisma laxa were obtained 102 from Australian Plant Genetic Resources Information System (QLD, Australia) and 103 Queensland Agricultural Seeds Pty. Ltd., (Toowoomba, Australia) (Table S1) and sown in 104 germination trays containing a common germination mix. Three to four weeks after 105 germination, three seedlings were transplanted into 5L pots containing potting mix and 106 grown in the glass house under natural illumination at 28°C/22°C D/N. Plants were watered 107 regularly with the addition of a commercial of liquid fertilizer (General Purpose, Thrive 108 Professional, Yates, Australia).

109 Leaf dry matter carbon isotope composition

110 Leaf dry matter carbon isotope composition was determined to confirm which species use 111 the C₄ photosynthetic pathway. Leaf discs were oven-dried then combusted in a Carlo Erba 112 elemental analyser (Model 1108, Milan, Italy). The emitted CO₂ was analyzed by mass 113 spectrometry (VG Isotech, Manchester, UK) and the δ^{13} C was calculated as [(R_{sample} -114 $R_{standard}$]*1000, where R_{sample} and $R_{standard}$ are the 13 C/ 12 C ratio of the sample and 115 the standard Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB), respectively

116 **Rubisco catalytic measurements**

117 Rates of ${}^{14}CO_2$ fixation by fully activated Rubisco were measure at 10 to 37°C using 118 soluble leaf protein extracted from 0.5 to 2.0 cm² of leaf material extracted in 1 mL

119	extraction buffer as described by Sharwood et al (2008) ¹¹ . Preliminary assays as described
120	in Sharwood et al., (2016) ¹² were used to confirm the suitability of the extraction process
121	for sustained maximal activity over 30 min at 25°C. The ¹⁴ CO ₂ fixation assays (0.5 mL)
122	were performed in 7-mL septum-capped scintillation vials in reaction buffer (50 mM
123	EPPES-NaOH (pH 8.19 at 25°C), 10 mM MgCl ₂ , 0.4 mM RuBP) containing varying
124	concentrations of NaH ¹⁴ CO ₃ (0–40 μ M) and O ₂ (0–30%) (vol/vol), accurately mixed with
125	nitrogen using Wostoff gas-mixing pumps. The vials were incubated at the appropriate
126	assay temperature for at least 1 hr before adding 20 μ L of the soluble leaf protein to initiate
127	the reaction. The assays were terminated with 0.1 mL of 20% (v/v) formic acid after 1 min
128	(for the assays at 25 to 37°C) or 2 min (for the assays at 10 to 20°C). The Rubisco kinetic
129	measurements were performed using two to six biological samples (see Table S2 for
130	detail). Each protein sample was assayed in duplicate following incubation at 25°C for 8
131	and 12 min. The carboxylation activity varied by <2% between each technical replicate.
132	This confirmed each Rubisco was fully activated after incubating 8 min at 25°C with no
133	detectable loss of activity after incubating a further 4 min. The Rubisco content
134	(determined by ${}^{14}\text{C-CABP}$ binding 12) and integrity of the extracted L_8S_8 holoenzyme
135	Rubisco was confirmed by non-denaturing PAGE ¹³ . For each experiment a soluble leaf
136	protein preparation was added to four assays containing the highest [14CO2] and 5 nmol of
137	purified RuBP. After reacting to completion (1 to 3 h at different temperatures) they were
138	treated with formic acid, dried and processing for scintillation counting. The measured ^{14}C
139	cpm in each assay varied by $<0.5\%$ and the average value divided by 5 to derive the $^{14}CO_2$
140	specific activity. The values for pH, pK_1 , pK_2 and q (the CO ₂ solubility at 1 atm) used to
141	calculate CO ₂ levels in the assays at the different temperatures are provide in Table S5.

142 The Michaelis-Menton constants (K_m) for O₂ (K_O), for CO₂ under nitrogen (K_C) or air levels 143 of O₂ ($K_C^{21\%O2}$) were determined from the fitted data. The maximal rate of carboxylation 144 (V_c^{max}) was extrapolated from the fitted data and the caboxylation rate (k_{cat}^c) derived by 145 dividing V_c^{max} by the Rubisco-catalytic site content quantified by [¹⁴C]-2-CABP binding 146 ¹¹.

Rubisco CO_2/O_2 specificity (S_{C/O}) was measured using Rubisco rapidly purified by ion exchange then Superdex 200 (GE Life Sciences) size exclusion column chromatography ¹³. The assays were equilibrated with 500 ppm CO₂ mixed with O₂ using Wostoff gasmixing pumps and S_{C/O} calculated using CO₂:O₂ solubility ratios of 0.033, 0.035, 0.036, 0.038, 0.039 and 0.041 at assay temperatures of 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35°C, respectively (see Table S5 for gas solubility detail).

153 *rbcL* amplification, sequencing and phylogenetic alignment

154 Replica genomic DNA preparations (2 to 4) from each grass species were purified from 155 ~0.5 cm² leaf discs using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's 156 instructions. The full length *rbc*L coding sequence (including adjoining 5'UTR and 3'UTR) 157 sequence) was PCR amplified from each DNA preparation using primers 5'PanrbcL (5'-158 CTAATCCATATCGAGTAGAC -3') and 3'PanrbcLDNA (5'-159 AGAATTACTGCATTTCGTAAC -3'). The amplified products varied in size between 160 species (1504 to 1589–bp) but each showed identical sequence for the independent DNA 161 preparations from each species. DNA sequences were translated into protein sequences and 162 aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and the *rbcL* phylogeny reconstructed using 163 maximum-likelihood inference conducted with RAxML version 7.2.6.

164 Chloroplast transformation of *Panicum rbcL* into tobacco

Plasmids pLevPdL and pLevPbL were biolistically transformed into the plastome of the tobacco genotype ^{cm}trL1 as described ¹³ to derive the transplastomic genotypes tob^{PdL} and tob^{PbL} that, respectively, coded the *rbc*L genes from *P. deustum* and *P. bisulcatum* (in addition to the *aad*A gene coding spectinomycin resistance) in place of the tobacco *rbc*L gene. RNA blot, [¹⁴C]-2-CABP binding and PAGE analyses of Rubisco expression were performed on independent homoplasmic lines for each genotype as described above with additional experimental detail provided in Figure S7.

172 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using one-way (species or photosynthetic type/ subtype) or two-way analysis of variance, ANOVA (Statistica, StatSoft Inc. OK, USA). Means were grouped using a Post-hoc Tukey test. Detailed description of the temperature response analysis and modelling are provided in the Figure and Table legends for convenience.

177 Results

178 Our comprehensive evaluation of Rubisco kinetics within the Paniceae tribe included two 179 C_3 , one C_3 - C_4 intermediate (signified C_2^7), four NADP-ME, four PCK and three NAD-ME 180 species (Table S1). Rubisco from tobacco, our model plant for Rubisco engineering and 181 that commonly used in biochemical modeling, was included as a control. The C_3 and C_4 182 physiologies of each species were confirmed using dry matter carbon isotope ratio (δ^{13C}) measurements (Table S1). As expected, the δ^{13C} kinetic isotope effect was significantly 183 184 lower in the C₂ and C₃ species (\approx -28.7‰) relative to the C₄ specie (\approx -13.3 to -14.6‰) 185 (Fig 1a).

186

The carboxylation properties of Paniceae Rubisco synchronize with C4-subtype.

187 Substantial variation was found in the Rubisco kinetics measured at 25°C among enzymes 188 from C_2/C_3 -species and each C₄-subtype (Table S1). Relative to the carboxylation rates (k_{cat}^{c}) of the C₂/C₃ species, the Rubisco k_{cat}^{c} was marginally higher in NAD-ME and 2-fold 189 190 greater in the NADP-ME and PCK species (Fig. 1b). Consistent with the co-dependency of $K_{\rm C}$ and $k_{\rm cat}^{\rm c}$ ¹⁴, greater reductions in CO₂-affinity (*i.e.* higher $K_{\rm C}$'s) were found for 191 192 Rubisco from NADP-ME and PCK species relative to the NAD-ME and C₂/C₃ species (Fig. 193 1c). Less variation was observed for the averaged oxygenation rates (k_{cat}^{O} ; Fig. 1d) and O₂-194 affinities (K₀; Fig. 1d) among C₃ and C₄ Rubisco. Nevertheless, the NADP-ME Rubiscos 195 tended to show less sensitivity to O_2 inhibition (*i.e.* a higher K_0). This improvement did 196 not, however, improve the specificity for CO_2 over O_2 (S_{C/O}) of NADP-ME Rubisco, which 197 was significantly lower than the more similar $S_{C/O}$ of Rubisco from the C₃, NAD-ME and 198 PCK species (Fig 1f).

199 Analysis of these core catalytic parameters underscored how the strong positive correlation between k_{cat}^{c} and K_{C} shared by plant Rubisco ¹⁴⁻²¹ extends to Paniceae C₃- and 200 201 C₄-Rubisco (Fig 1g). Uniquely, each C₄-subtype Rubisco aggregated at a distinctive 202 position along the regression indicative of adaptation to the differences in CCM efficiencies and biogeography among C_4 -subtypes²², or reflective of differences in resource 203 204 partitioning to Rubisco that, in NADP-ME plants for example, correlate with improved Nuse efficiency⁹. The "subtype-grouping" of the carboxylase kinetics was not evident in the 205 increasingly weaker linear correlations between k_{cat}^{o} and K_O (Fig 1h), k_{cat}^{c} and k_{cat}^{o} (Fig 1i) 206 207 and $K_{\rm C}$ with K₀ (Fig 1i). Evidently, the coordinated changes in $k_{\rm cat}$ ^c and $K_{\rm C}$ for each 208 Paniceae C₄-subtype are not tightly coupled to changes in oxygenase kinetics. This feature is common to Rubisco due to differences in the mechanism and energy profiles of the
carboxylation and oxygenation reactions, a property that has facilitated the evolution of
diverse Rubisco kinetics ^{14,23}.

212

The potential for Paniceae Rubisco to improve C₃-photosynthesis at 25°C.

213 A recent study of Rubisco kinetic diversity revealed how the enzyme from some C₄species, such as the increased $S_{C/O}$ and carboxylation efficiency under ambient O_2 (k_{cat}^c / 214 $K_{\rm C}^{21\%O2}$) of Zea mays (maize) NADP-ME Rubisco, has the potential to improve C₃-215 photosynthesis⁸. The bi-functionality of Rubisco necessitates consideration of both O₂ and 216 CO₂-fixing activities when evaluating improvement within C₃-photosynthesis^{1,24,25}, and 217 does not necessarily accord with a higher $k_{cat}^{c1,8}$. A correlative analysis of these parameters 218 for Paniceae Rubisco identified a weak relationship between k_{cat}^{c} and $S_{C/O}$ ($r^2 = 0.43$; Fig. 219 220 2a) supporting mounting evidence that the trade-off proposed between these parameters 14,21 shows significant natural divergence^{18,26}. Differences in O₂ inhibition among the Paniceae 221 Rubisco (*i.e.* variable K_0 values, Fig 1e) resulted in $K_0^{21\%02}$ values (quantified as 222 $K_{\rm C}(1+[O_2]/K_{\rm O}))$ that showed a weaker co-dependence with $k_{\rm cat}^{\rm c}$ (r² = 0.76; Fig 2b) relative 223 to $K_{\rm C}$ (r² = 0.88; Fig 1g). This underscores the inaccuracy of using $K_{\rm C}$ measures as a proxy 224 to interpret the relative CO₂-affinity of Rubisco under ambient O₂ (*i.e.* $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$). 225

The biochemical models of Farquhar et. al., $(1980)^{24}$ provide a useful tool to evaluate how the kinetic properties of Rubisco influence carbon assimilation in C₃-plants. These C₃-models often use tobacco Rubisco as the reference ^{1,8,27,28}. This stems from tobacco having well characterized Rubisco kinetics, it being the model species for bioengineering Rubisco by chloroplast and nucleus transformation, and its potential to support higher rates of photosynthesis at 25°C under low chloroplast CO₂ pressures (*C_c*)

than wheat Rubisco^{8,29}. Figure 2c shows comparable C_3 -modeling using the averaged $S_{C/0}$, 232 $k_{cat}^{c} / K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ and k_{cat}^{c} values from each Paniceae biochemical subtype (Table S1). Under 233 low C_c where CO₂-assimilation rates are carboxylase limited, Rubisco from the NADP-234 235 ME and PCK species would support higher rates of photosynthesis than the Paniceae C₃ 236 and NAD-ME and tobacco Rubisco (Fig 2c). Under higher C_c where photosynthesis 237 becomes limited by light dependent rates of electron transport the lower S_{C/O} of the 238 Paniceae C₄-Rubiscos would support lower rates of CO₂-assimilation relative to tobacco. 239 In contrast the higher $S_{C/O}$ of Paniceae C₃-Rubisco would enhance their CO₂-assimilating 240 capacity at C_c 's above ~240 µbar (Fig 2c).

241 The temperature diversity of Paniceae Rubisco

242 Most diversity screens of Rubisco kinetics are undertaken at 25°C and possibly one or two other temperatures^{18,20,30,31}. More rigorous studies providing kinetics that can be 243 244 extrapolated over a broad temperature range have primarily focused on Rubisco from C_3 plants ^{15,19,28,32,33}. In general, the level of kinetic variation has been sufficient to highlight 245 246 weakness in the customary use of the temperature response for tobacco Rubisco kinetics²⁷ 247 to reliably model the photosynthetic responses of other species. This weakness is 248 particularly apparent from our high precision temperature response measurements that 249 reveal substantial kinetic diversity among Paniceae Rubisco from NAD-ME, NADP-ME, PCK and C₂/C₃ groupings (Fig 3). The parameters analyzed were S_{C/0}, k_{cat}^{c} and $K_{c}^{21\%O2}$ 250 251 (averting the need to measure K_0 for C₃-modeling purposes) at six incremental 252 temperatures between 10 and 37°C (Fig S1 to S3). The activation energies (ΔH_a) for each 253 Rubisco parameter were comparable among the Paniceae species tested within each C₃ and 254 C₄-subtype grouping (Table S3). This facilitated the derivation of averaged ΔH_a and scaling 255 constant values (c) for each parameter (Fig 3a). Consistent with the highly variable 256 properties of Rubisco from each Paniceae grouping (Fig 1) the Δ H_a values showed greater 257 variation (Fig 3a) than that reported for Rubisco from differing C₃ species¹⁸ and C₄-dicot 258 *Flaveria* species¹⁹. This divergence is readily apparent from plots using the averaged Δ H_a 259 values to extrapolate the temperature response of k_{cat}^{c} (Fig 3b), $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ (Fig 3c), k_{cat}^{c} / 260 $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ (Fig 3d) and S_{C/O} (Fig 3e) for each Paniceae Rubisco grouping and tobacco 261 Rubisco (control).

The k_{cat}^{c} for each Rubisco showed a biphasic Arrhenius temperature response above and below ~25°C (Fig S1). This necessitated the derivation of two Δ Ha and *c* measurements for each Rubisco k_{cat}^{c} (Fig. 3a) whose modeled temperature responses intersect at 25°C (Fig 3b). Importantly, the dual activation energy response of k_{cat}^{c} is universal to all temperature response studies of plant Rubisco but mostly not acknowledged^{15,18-20,28,30-32}. The basis for the asymmetric response remains uncertain.

At each assay temperature the k_{cat}^{c} and $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ for each NADP-ME and PCK 268 269 Rubisco were consistently ~2-fold higher than Rubisco from P. bisulcatum (C₃), P. 270 *milioides* (C₂) and each NAD-ME species (Table S1 and S2). The shared change in k_{cat}^{c} 271 with temperature by NAD-ME and C_2 - C_3 Rubisco (Fig 3b) was not evident in the measured $K_{\rm C}^{21\%O2}$ values that showed a heightened rate of increase with temperature by NAD ME 272 273 Rubisco (Fig. 3c). The biphasic response of k_{cat}^{c} was evident in corresponding measures of carboxylation efficiency $(k_{cat}^{c} / K_{C}^{21\%O2})$ that showed two linear responses that deviated at 274 temperatures above and below ~25°C for each Rubisco (Fig 3d). A comparable k_{cat}^{c} / K_{C} 275 temperature dependency is apparent for the Rubisco from *Flaveria* C_3 and C_4 species¹⁹ and 276 Setaria viridis C₄-Rubisco¹⁵. The differential slopes of the linear regression underscores 277

the significant variation in k_{cat}^{c} and $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ between each Paniceae Rubisco grouping (both below and above 25°C) and emphasizes the extrapolative limitations of kinetic surveys examining only a few temperatures. This is particularly relevant for measures of $S_{C/O}$ where the extent of exponential change appears more prevalent with reducing temperature (Fig. 3e).

The potential for improving C₃-photosynthesis under current and future CO₂ and elevated temperatures

285 The temperature response of each Paniceae Rubisco showed varying extents of improvement in S_{C/O} and/or $k_{cat}^{c}/K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ relative to tobacco Rubisco (Fig S3 and S4). The 286 287 improvements observed were greater for Rubisco from P. bisulcatum (C_3), Urochloa 288 panicoides (C₄-PCK) and P. deustum (C₄-PCK). When modeled in a C₃-photosynthesis 289 context under varying temperature and chloroplast CO_2 pressures (C_c) under saturating 290 illumination (Fig S5) all three Rubiscos differentially improved carbon assimilation 291 relative to tobacco Rubisco (Fig 4). At temperatures below 20°C the simulated 292 photosynthesis rates were limited by electron transport rate at atmospheric $CO_2(C_a)$ levels 293 above those of pre-industrial times ($C_a > 280$ ppm $\approx C_c > 170$ ppm) (Fig S5). Improvements 294 in $S_{C/O}$ were therefore required to enhance photosynthetic rates at low temperature, a 295 kinetic trait afforded by Paniceae C₃/C₂ Rubisco (Fig 3c), in particular P. bisulcatum 296 Rubisco (Fig 4 and S3). However, the heightened S_{C/O} sensitivity of *P. bisulcatum* Rubisco 297 to increasing temperature (Fig S3a) caused these improved photosynthetic rates to wane 298 with increasing C_a and temperature (Figs 4 and S5). In contrast, the improved $S_{C/O}$ response to temperature by *P. deustum* Rubisco (Fig S3) and rising $k_{cat}^{c}/K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ (Fig S4) 299 300 substantially improved photosynthesis rates at temperatures $>20^{\circ}C$ under current and 301 future C_a levels (Fig 4b). This improvement exceeded that simulated for *U. panicoides* 302 Rubisco whose lower S_{C/O} hindered its enhancement potential. The antagonistic advantage 303 of these Paniceae Rubisco to lower (*P. bisulcatum*) and higher (*U. panicoides, P. deustum*) 304 temperatures were not apparent from the 25°C kinetic measurements.

305 The challenge of identifying catalytic switches in Paniceae Rubisco

The *rbcL* gene in the plastome of each Paniceae species were fully sequenced and their amino acid sequences compared (Fig 5a). A phylogenetic analysis revealed the L-subunit sequences branched according to C_3 and C_4 -subtype physiology (Fig. S6) except *P*. *monticola* (NADP-ME) and *M. maximus* (PCK) Rubisco that share identical L-subunits but show large catalytic variation (Table S1 and S2). This suggests that Paniceae Rubisco small subunits influence catalysis, a function likely shared by the small (S-) subunits of sorghum³⁴ and wheat³⁵ Rubisco.

313 While examination of the S-subunit diversity among Paniceae remains to be 314 undertaken, our L-subunit analysis identified Ala 94 and Ala 228 (spinach Rubisco 315 numbering) as exclusive to C₄ Rubisco with Ser 328 and Glu 470 substitutions favored by 316 PCK and NADP-ME Rubisco (Fig 5a). Potential roles for amino acids 94 and 228 in 317 catalysis are unclear. Residue 94 is distal to the catalytic sites in the equatorial region of 318 Rubisco exposed to solvent where it facilitates interactions with Rubisco activase $(RCA)^{36,37}$. Residue 228 is within the α 2 helix also distal to the catalytic site but proximal 319 320 to residues at the interface of each L-subunit and two S-subunit βA-βB loops (Figure 5b). 321 Ala-228-Ser substitutions influence structural movements in these loops and can influence kinetics via long range effects ^{38,39}. Catalytic roles for Ser-328 and Glu-470 appear more 322 323 obvious. Amino acid 328 is located at the hinge of loop 6 that closes over the catalytic site

to facilitate intra-molecular interactions that influences both the fixation rate and partiality for carboxylation or oxygenation⁴⁰. Loop 6 closure involves the L-subunit C-terminus where amino acid 470 resides (Fig 5b). As a hydrophobic Ala-470 in the Paniacea NAD-ME and C₃ Rubisco, burial of the side chains into the enzyme surface may slow C-terminus movement. In contrast, Glu/Gln-470 might enhance solvent exposure and increase Cterminal tail mobility to alter the dynamics of loop 6 closure and stimulate k_{cat}^{c} .

330 We sought to test the possible role of L-subunit amino acid replacement(s) in 331 influencing the variability in kinetics and temperature response among Paniceae Rubisco 332 by tobacco chloroplast transformation. Multiple chloroplast genome (plastome) transformed tobacco lines were made (tob^{PdL} and tob^{PbL}) where the tobacco plastome *rbcL* 333 334 gene was replaced with the *rbcL* gene from *P. bisulcatum* or *P. deustum* were generated 335 (Fig S7a). Each transformed line was unable to survive outside of tissue culture (Fig 5c). 336 Despite producing ample levels of *Panicum rbcL* mRNA (Fig S7b), no hybrid L₈S₈ 337 holoenzyme (comprising Panicum L-subunits and tobacco S-subunits, Fig S7c) or 338 unassembled Panicum L-subunits (Fig S7d) were detected. This suggests there are 339 incompatibilities in the biogenesis requirements (translation, folding and/or assembly) of 340 Rubisco between monocot and dicot species.

As calls for expanding the range of Rubiscos included in catalytic diversity studies increase, so should the range of temperatures examined. Unlike prior C₃-focused Rubisco diversity studies, our high resolution catalytic screen revealed variation in the kinetic trajectories of Paniceae Rubisco capable of enhancing C₃-photosynthesis at temperatures otherwise missed, or misjudged, from assaying at 25°C and one or two other temperatures.

347 Our analyses validate the co-evolution of higher k_{cat}^{c} and K_{C} across C₄-Rubiscos in response to a CCM^{4,8,12,16,18,20}, and unveil the widest variability in temperature kinetics 348 349 reported for vascular plant Rubisco to date. We uniquely reveal alignment of Rubisco 350 kinetics with CCM biochemistry and Paniceae biogeography. For example, the higher k_{cat}^{c} 351 and $K_{\rm C}$ of the NADP-ME and PCK Paniceae Rubisco correlated with the forecast higher 352 BSC CO₂ levels in these C₄-subtypes relative to the NAD-ME and the CCM deficient C_3/C_2 species⁴¹. The slower decline in $S_{C/O}$ by PCK and NADP-ME Rubisco under increasing 353 354 temperature (Fig 3e) may reflect their warmer origins relative to the drier and cooler origins of NAD-ME and C_3 grasses, respectively⁴². Endeavors to determine whether these 355 356 correlations extend to other C₄-species should take heed of inaccurately extrapolating the 357 response of k_{cat}^{c} to temperature using a single Arrhenius fit rather than correctly accounting 358 for its biphasic response that deviates at $\sim 25^{\circ}$ C (Fig 3b) – a relationship recognized 40 359 years ago³², but whose mechanistic origin remains an unsolved.

The clustering of carboxylase properties of Paniceae Rubisco according to photosynthetic physiology contrasted with the more variable oxygenase activities (Fig 1i & j) supporting assertions these competing reactions can evolve independently due to differences in the mechanism and energy profile of their multi-step reactions²³. This 364 variability engenders natural kinetic diversity which, on the Rubisco superfamily scale, is relatively restricted for C_3 -Rubisco^{2,14,17,21,25,29} ^{19,31}. In contrast the broad kinetic diversity 365 among Paniceae Rubisco presents opportunities for enhancing C₃-photosynthesis under 366 367 varying atmospheric CO₂ and temperature (Fig S5). In particular *P. bisulcatum* (C₃) and *P.* 368 deustum (PCK) Rubisco could distinctly improve C₃-photosynthetic potential under cooler 369 and warmer temperatures, respectively, relative to the standardized tobacco Rubisco 370 control (Fig 4) #wheat/rice. The simulated improvements stemmed from temperature dependent enhancements in $S_{C/O}$ and/or $k_{cat}^{c} / K_{C}^{21\%O2}$ (Fig. 3d,e), and not necessarily from 371 high k_{cat}^{c} (as emphasized by⁸). Our findings suggest that improving C₃-crop photosynthesis 372 373 under warmer future climates may be best served by exploring the Rubisco kinetic diversity 374 of C₄-land plants, in particular among PCK and NADP-ME species.

375 Four L-subunit residues could contribute kinetic diversity among Paniceae 376 Rubisco. These included two amino acids within structural regions whose movements 377 influence Rubisco kinetics: the catalytic loop 6 (residue 328) and C-terminal tail (residue 378 470). Positive selection of Ala-328-Ser substitutions have been reported for some *Limonium* haplotypes⁴³ and a few C_3 and CAM plant species¹⁷. This suggests the higher 379 k_{cat}^{c} and K_{C} of Paniceae NADP-ME and PCK Rubisco might arise from the Glu/Gln-470 380 381 substitution (Fig 5a). Our attempts to test this by heterologous expression in tobacco 382 chloroplasts proved unsuccessful (Fig 5c). The transformation limitation appears 383 associated with differences in the ancillary protein requirements of Paniceae Rubisco 384 biogenesis (Fig 5C), a constraint also preventing the production of Rubisco from red algae⁴⁴ and seemingly other monocot species⁴⁵ in tobacco chloroplasts. 385

386 Our data indicate the S-subunits also likely influence the kinetic diversity among 387 Paniceae Rubisco. A comparable kinetic determining property was postulated for Ssubunits in rice and wheat Rubisco^{34,35}. In P. virgatum four RbcS mRNAs are made 388 389 (Phytozome) whose translated 121-123 amino acid S-subunits vary by 1 to 6 residues. 390 Mutagenic study of the multiple RbcS transcripts produced in Paniceae would be a 391 significant undertaking, but one possibly made easier using modern site specific nucleus gene editing tools that are now available in a variety of crop species⁴⁶. Clarifying the 392 393 influence of S-subunits on the temperature kinetics of Rubisco from differing plant origins 394 is critical to developing appropriate L- and/or S-subunit mutagenic technologies for 395 modifying crop Rubisco kinetics to suit future climates.

396 Corresponding author for material requests is spencer.whitney@anu.eud.au

397 Acknowledgements

- 398 We thank Asaph Cousins for supplying their *S. viridis* Rubisco kinetic data for analysis.
- 399 This research was funded by the following grants from the Australian Research Council:
- 400 DE130101760 (RES), DP120101603 (OG, SMW) and CE140100015 (OG, SMW).

401 **Author contributions**

- 402 RS, OG and SMW designed the study and undertook the experimental work. MVK
- 403 undertook the phylogenetic analysis and LHG the structural analysis. All authors
- 404 contributed to drafting the paper.

405 **Competing financial interests**

406 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

407 **References**

- 408 1 Ort, D. R. *et al.* Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and 409 bioenergy demand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 8529-8536 (2015).
- Zhu, X. G., Long, S. P. & Ort, D. R. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater
 yield. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 61, 235-261 (2010).
- 412 3 Long, Stephen P., Marshall-Colon, A. & Zhu, X.-G. Meeting the global food
 413 demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. *Cell*414 161, 56-66 (2015).
- 415 4 Carmo-Silva, E., Scales, J. C., Madgwick, P. J. & Parry, M. A. J. Optimizing
 416 Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant Cell Env* 38,
 417 1817-1832 (2015).
- 418 5 Evans, J. R. Improving photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol* **162**, 1780-1793 (2013).
- 419 6 Parry, M. A. J. *et al.* Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop 420 improvement. *J Exp Bot* **64**, 717-730 (2013).
- von Caemmerer, S., Quick, W. P. & Furbank, R. T. The development of C₄ rice:
 current progress and future challenges. *Science* 336, 1671-1672 (2012).
- Whitney, S. M., Houtz, R. L. & Alonso, H. Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO₂-sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. *Plant Physiol* 155, 27-35 (2011).
- 426 9 Andersson, I. Catalysis and regulation in Rubisco. *J Exp Bot* **59**, 1555-1568 (2008).
- 427 10 Andersson, I. & Backlund, A. Structure and function of Rubisco. *Plant Physiol*428 *Biochem* 46, 275-291 (2008).
- Sharwood, R. E., Ghannoum, O. & Whitney, S. M. Prospects for improving CO₂
 fixation in C₃-crops through understanding C₄-Rubisco biogenesis and catalytic
 diversity. *Currt Opin Plant Biol* **31**, 135-142 (2016).
- 432 12 Carmo-Silva, A. E. *et al.* Rubisco activities, properties, and regulation in three
 433 different C₄ grasses under drought. *J Exp Bot* **61**, 2355-2366 (2010).
- 434 13 Galmes, J. *et al.* Expanding knowledge of the Rubisco kinetics variability in plant
 435 species: environmental and evolutionary trends. *Plant Cell Environ* **37**, 1989-2001
 436 (2014).
- 437 14 Galmés, J., Kapralov, M. V., Copolovici, L. O., Hermida-Carrera, C. & Niinemets,
 438 Ü. Temperature responses of the Rubisco maximum carboxylase activity across
 439 domains of life: phylogenetic signals, trade-offs, and importance for carbon gain.
 440 *Photosynth Res* 123, 183-201 (2015).
- Jordan, D. B. & Ogren, W. L. The CO₂/O₂ specificity of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate
 carboxylase oxygenase dependence on ribulosebisphosphate concentration, pH
 and temperature. 161, 308-313 (1984).
- Prins, A. *et al.* Rubisco catalytic properties of wild and domesticated relatives
 provide scope for improving wheat photosynthesis. *J Exp Bot* 67, 1827-1838
 (2016).
- Young, J. N. *et al.* Large variation in the Rubisco kinetics of diatoms reveals
 diversity among their carbon-concentrating mechanisms. *J Exp Bot* 67, 3445-3456
 (2016).

- 450 18 Andrews, T. J. & Whitney, S. M. Manipulating ribulose bisphosphate 451 carboxylase/oxygenase in the chloroplasts of higher plants. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 452 **414**, 159-169 (2003). 453 19 Raven, J. A. Rubisco: still the most abundant protein of Earth? New Phytol 198, 1-454 3 (2013). 455 Sage, R. F. The evolution of C₄ photosynthesis New Phytol 161, 341-370 (2004). 20 456 21 Sage, R. F., Christin, P.-A. & Edwards, E. J. The C₄ plant lineages of planet Earth. 457 J Exp Bot 62, 3155-3169 (2011). 458 Furbank, R. T. Evolution of the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three 22 459 C₄ acid decarboxylation types? J Exp Bot **62**, 3103-3108 (2011). 460 Sage, R. F., Sage, T. L. & Kocacinar, F. Photorespiration and the evolution of C₄ 23 461 photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Biol 63, 19-47 (2012). 462 24 Ghannoum, O. et al. Faster rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in 463 NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C4 grasses. Plant Physiol 137, 464 638-650 (2005). 465 25 Sharwood, R., von Caemmerer, S., Maliga, P. & Whitney, S. The catalytic 466 properties of hybrid Rubisco comprising tobacco small and sunflower large subunits mirror the kinetically equivalent source Rubiscos and can support tobacco 467 468 growth. Plant Physiol 146, 83-96 (2008). 469 26 Sharwood, R. E., Sonawane, B. V., Ghannoum, O. & Whitney, S. M. Improved 470 analysis of C₄ and C₃ photosynthesis via refined in vitro assays of their carbon 471 fixation biochemistry. J Exp Bot 67, 3137-3148 (2016). 472 27 Whitney, S. M. & Sharwood, R. E. Construction of a tobacco master line to improve 473 Rubisco engineering in chloroplasts. J Exp Bot 59, 1909-1921 (2008). 474 28 Tcherkez, G. G. B., Farquhar, G. D. & Andrews, T. J. Despite slow catalysis and 475 confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be 476 nearly perfectly optimized. Proc Nat Acad Sci 103, 7246-7251 (2006). 477 29 Boyd, R. A., Gandin, A. & Cousins, A. B. Temperature response of C₄ 478 photosynthesis: biochemical analysis of Rubisco, phosphoenolpyruvate 479 carboxylase and carbonic anhydrase in Setaria viridis. Plant Physiol 169, 1850-480 1861 (2015). 481 30 Perdomo, J. A., Cavanagh, A. P., Kubien, D. S. & Galmés, J. Temperature 482 dependence of in vitro Rubisco kinetics in species of Flaveria with different 483 photosynthetic mechanisms. Photosynth Res 124, 67-75 (2015). 484 31 Sage, R. F. Variation in the k_{cat} of Rubisco in C₃ and C₄ plants and some 485 implications for photosynthetic performance at high and low temperature. J Exp 486 Bot 53, 609-620 (2002). 487 32 Savir, Y., Noor, E., Milo, R. & Tlusty, T. Cross-species analysis traces adaptation 488 of Rubisco toward optimality in a low-dimensional landscape. Proc Nat Acad Sci 489 107, 3475-3480 (2010). 490 33 Pearcy, R. W. & Ehleringer, J. Comparative ecophysiology of C_3 and C_4 plants. 491 Plant Cell Env 7, 1-13 (1984). 492 34 Tcherkez, G. The mechanism of Rubisco-catalyzed oxygenation. Plant Cell Env 493 39, 983-997 (2016). 494 35 Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S. & Berry, J. A. A biochemical model of
- 495 photosynthetic CO_2 assimilation in leaves of C_3 species. *Planta* **149**, 78-90 (1980).

- 496 36 Sharwood, R. E. & Whitney, S. M. Correlating Rubisco catalytic and sequence
 497 diversity within C₃ plants with changes in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Plant*498 *Cell Env* **37**, 1981-1984 (2014).
- Sharkey, T. D., Bernacchi, C. J., Farquhar, G. D. & Singsaas, E. L. Fitting
 photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curves for C₃ leaves. *Plant Cell Env* 30, 1035-1040 (2007).
- Walker, B., Ariza, L. S., Kaines, S., Badger, M. R. & Cousins, A. B. Temperature
 response of *in vivo* Rubisco kinetics and mesophyll conductance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: comparisons to *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Cell Env* 36, 2108-2119 (2013).
- Hermida-Carrera, C., Kapralov, M. V. & Galmés, J. Rubisco catalytic properties
 and temperature response in crops. *Plant Physiol.*, doi:10.1104/pp.16.01846
 (2016).
- 50840Orr, D. *et al.* Surveying Rubisco diversity and temperature response to improve509crop photosynthetic efficiency. *Plant Physiol.*, doi:10.1104/pp.16.00750 (2016).
- 510 41 Badger, M. R. & Collatz, G. J. Studies on the kinetic mechanism of RuBP 511 carboxylase and oxygenase reactions, with particular reference to the effect of 512 temperature on kinetic papameters. *Carnegie YB* **76**, 355-361 (1977).
- 513 42 Ishikawa, C., Hatanaka, T., Misoo, S., Miyake, C. & Fukayama, H. Functional
 514 incorporation of sorghum small subunit increases the catalytic turnover rate of
 515 Rubisco in transgenic rice *Plant Physiol* **156**, 1603-1611 (2011).
- Hauser, T., Popilka, L., Hartl, F. U. & Hayer-Hartl, M. Role of auxiliary proteins
 in Rubisco biogenesis and function. *Nat Plants* 1 (2015).
- 44 Wachter, R. M. *et al.* Activation of interspecies-hybrid Rubisco enzymes to assess
 different models for the Rubisco-Rubisco activase interaction. *Photosynth Res* 117,
 557-566 (2013).
- 521 45 Spreitzer, R. J., Peddi, S. R. & Satagopan, S. Phylogenetic engineering at an
 522 interface between large and small subunits imparts land-plant kinetic properties to
 523 algal Rubisco. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 102, 17225-17230 (2005).
- 524 46 von Caemmerer, S. & Furbank, R. T. The C₄ pathway: an efficient CO₂ pump. 525 *Photosynth Res* **77**, 191-207, doi:10.1023/a:1025830019591 (2003).
- 52647Still, C. J., Pau, S. & Edwards, E. J. Land surface skin temperature captures thermal527environments of C3 and C4 grasses. Glob Ecol Biogeo 23, 286-296 (2014).
- 528 48 Galmés, J. *et al.* Environmentally driven evolution of Rubisco and improved
 529 photosynthesis and growth within the C₃ genus *Limonium* (Plumbaginaceae). *New*530 *Phytol* 203, 989-999 (2014).
- 531 49 Whitney, S. M. & Andrews, T. J. Plastome-encoded bacterial ribulose-1, 5-532 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) supports photosynthesis and 533 growth in tobacco. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* **98**, 14738-14743 (2001).
- 53450Bortesi, L. & Fischer, R. The CRISPR/Cas9 system for plant genome editing and535beyond. *Biotech Adv* 33, 41-52 (2015).

537 Figure Legends

Figure 1



538



Box plots of comparative (**a**) leaf dry matter ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ isotopic fractionation (δ^{13C}) and (**b** to **f**) *in vitro* measured Rubisco kinetics from tobacco and Paniceae species with C₂, C₃ and varying C₄ subtypes (NADP ME, NAD ME and PCK). See Table S1 for species list. Median values shown in boxes as vertical line, 95% confidence limits represented by horizontal lines. Letter variation indicates significant differences (p < 0.05) between parameters (Table S1). Kinetic properties analyzed include (**b**) substrate saturated

547 carboxylation and (**d**) oxygenation turnover rates (k_{cat}^c , k_{cat}^o), the Michaelis constants (K_m) 548 for (**c**) CO₂ and (**e**) O₂ (K_C , K_O) and (**f**) relative specificity for CO₂ over O₂ ($S_{C/O}$). (**g** to **j**) 549 Pairwise relationships among the kinetic parameters to assess the quality of their linear 550 correlations (dashed line).





551

552 Figure 2. Variation among the Paniceae Rubisco kinetics differentially affect 553 simulated rates of C₃-photosynthesis at 25°C.

Comparison of the relationships between k_{cat}^{c} and either (**a**) $S_{C/O}$ or (**b**) $K_C^{21\%O2}$, the value for *K*c under ambient O₂ (*O*) calculated as $K_C(1+O/K_O)$ (Table S1). The r² values show the quality of their linear correlations (dashed lines). (**c**) The influence of the averaged Paniceae C₃ and C₄ subtype Rubisco kinetics (Table S1) on CO₂ assimilation rates (*A*) at 25°C in a C₃-leaf as a function of C_c . Lines are modelled (Farquhar et al., (1980)) using the carboxylase activity limited assimilation equation:

560
$$A = \frac{(C_c. s_c - 0.5 \ O/S_{c/o}) \ k_{cat}^{\ c}. B}{C_c. s_c + K(1 + O/Ko)} - R_d$$

using a CO₂ solubility in H₂O (s_c) of 0.0334M bar⁻¹, an *O* of 253 μ M, a Rubisco content (*B*) of 30 μ mol catalytic sites.m² and a non-photorespiratory CO₂ assimilation rate (R_d) of 1 μ mol.m⁻².s⁻¹. The light limited CO₂ assimilation rates (to the right of the symbols) were modelled according to the equation:

565
$$A = \frac{(C_c.s_c - 0.5 O/S_{c/o})J}{4(C_c.s_c + O/S_{c/o})} - R_d$$

assuming an electron transport rate (*J*) of 160 μ mol.m⁻².s⁻¹. Yellow shading indicates where the modeled CO₂-assimilation rates of C₃, NADP-ME and PCK Paniceae Rubisco exceed that of Rubisco from the model C₃-plant, tobacco (dotted line).

Figure 3

a		k _{cat} ^C (<	25°C)	k _{cat} ^C (>	25°C)	K _{c²}	1%02	S _{c/o}		
Rubisco grouping s	# of pecies	ΔH _a (±S.E.) (kJ mol ⁻¹)	c (±S.E.)	ΔH _a (±S.E.) (kJ mol ⁻¹)	c (±S.E.)	ΔH _a (±S.E.) (kJ mol ⁻¹)	c (±S.E.)	ΔH _a (±S.E.) (kJ mol ⁻¹)	c (±S.E.)	
tobacco	n=1	60.3	25.5	36.4	15.8	37.3	17.9	22.5	-4.7	
C_3/C_2	n=2	69.8 ± 1.4 b	29.0 ± 0.6 ^b	36.3 ± 4.0 b	15.5 ± 1.5 ^b	28.2 ± 2.8ª	13.9 ± 1.1ª	28.6±1.1 ^b	-7.1 ± 0.4ª	
NAD ME	n=3	62.7 ± 4.5^{ab}	26.4 ± 1.6ª	27.6 ± 2.3 ab	12.2 ± 0.9ª	30.0 ± 4.4^{a}	15.0 ± 1.8ª	25.3 ± 1.6 ab	-5.8 ± 0.7 ab	
NADP ME	n=3	56.0 ± 0.6^{a}	24.4 ± 0.2ª	27.7 ± 1.4ª	12.9 ± 0.5ª	25.8 ± 4.0ª	13.7 ± 1.6ª	22.8 ± 2.0 ª	-5.0 ± 0.8 ^b	
PCK	n=3	55.6 ± 2.8ª	24.1 ± 1.1ª	26.4 ± 1.0ª	12.3 ± 0.4ª	26.9 ± 2.4ª	14.1 ± 0.9ª	21.8 ± 0.5^{a}	-4.4 ± 0.2 ^b	



570

571 Figure 3. Divergence in the catalytic properties of Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco in
572 response to temperature.

573 (a) The heat of activation (ΔH_a) and scaling constant (*c*) for the kinetic parameters of 574 tobacco Rubisco and the mean (±S.E) values measured for the various Paniceae species 575 with C₄ (NAD ME, NADP ME, PCK) or C₃ (including the aligning C₂) biochemical 576 physiologies (see Table S3). Letters show the statistical ranking using a post hoc Tukey 577 test among the biochemical physiology groupings (different letters indicated differences at 578 the 5% level, p < 0.05). (**b** to **e**) Differences in the temperature response of tobacco (grey 579 dashed line) and the averaged kinetic properties for Rubisco from Paniceae species with 580 varying biochemical physiologies. The lines are derived as described in Figures S1 to S4 581 using the values listed in panel (**a**).

Figure 4



584 Figure 4. The potential for improving the thermal response of C₃-photosynthesis.

The benefits of (**a**) *P. bisulcatum* (C₃) and (**b**) *P. deustum* (C₄-PCK) Rubisco to the rate of photosynthesis in a C₃-leaf under varying chloroplast CO₂ concentrations (*C*_a) and temperature (see scale). Rate increases are presented as a percentage above that provided by tobacco Rubisco. The data was modelled according to²⁴ using the parameters listed in Table S4 and plotted in Fig S4.

590

Figure 5

94 A · ·	101 I · ·	142 V • • • • • •	143 A · · ·	145 A · · · · ·	228 A	245 G · ·	251 I	255 V · · · · · ·	270 I · · · L L L	277 S · ·	279 A · · · · · ·	280 H · · · Y	309 M	328 S	369 A · · V · V	447 T A · A A A A	464 A · · · · · · · · ·	466 K · ·	468 D • • • E E E E	470 E · · Q	471 P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	472 V • • • M M M	475 V • • · · · ·	478 E · ·	479 K · ·	480 K · ·	P.monticola M.maximus U.panicoides U.mosambicensis P.deustum S.viridis P.antidotale C.ciliaris
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V V V V V V V Cor	I I P T P P	T T T ed ir	S S S S S S S	S S Rubi	A A SCO	M	· · ·		T	· · ·			A A A A A A A E	V V V V V V	A A A A A A	· · ·	T T	E E D-MI	A A A A A A A	· · ·			• • • • •			P.miliaceum P.coloratum P.virgatum S.laxa P.milioides P.bisulcatum
b	Common to PCK and NADP-ME Rubisco b Amino acid 94, 228, 328 and 470 positioning in L ₈ S ₈ Rubisco Value of the state o																										
	Vild-type tobacco Wild-type tobacco rbcl rbcl leaf cell LaSa Vild-type tobacco rbcl rbcl LaSa Vild-type tobacco rbcl LaSa Vild-type tobacco Vild-type tobacco rbcl LaSa Vild-type tobacco Vild-type tobacco Vild-																										

a L-subunit sequence diversity and catalytic property association within the *Paniacea* Amino acid number:

591

592 Figure 5. Approaches to decipher possible catalytic switches in the L-subunit of

593 **Paniceae Rubisco.**

(a) Amino acid variation in the L-subunit of each Paniacea Rubisco analysed in this study.
A phylogenetic analysis of the L-subunit sequences and their Genbank accession
information is provided in Figure S6. (b) Structure of spinach L₈S₈ Rubisco (L-subunits in
green, S-subunits grey) viewed from the top (left) and side (middle) showing the relative

locations of ⁹⁴Glu on the solvent-exposed Rubisco surface (yellow triangle), ²²⁸Ala in the 598 599 α^2 helix (orange triangle), ³²⁸Ser at the hinge of loop 6 (purple triangle) and ⁴⁷⁰Pro in the 600 C-terminal tail extension (blue triangle) of one L-subunit. A closer view of a L-subunit pair 601 (right) with one showing ribbon structural detail and the other showing the positioning of ⁹⁴Glu, ²²⁸Ala, ³²⁸Ser and ⁴⁷⁰Pro relative to each other, an N-terminal domain loop, the α^2 602 603 helix, loop 6, C-terminal tail extension and S-subunit β A- β B loops (yellow, orange, purple, 604 blue and grey, respectively). An active site bound reaction-intermediate analogue 2-CABP 605 is shown as a ball and stick. (c) Chloroplast transformation of the Rubisco L-subunit genes 606 from P. bisulcatum (Pbis-rbcL) and P. deustum (Pbis-rbcL) into tobacco was undertaken 607 to identify the amino acids (catalytic switches) responsible for their differing catalytic properties. No Rubisco biogenesis was detected in the tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} tobacco genotypes 608 produced. Accordingly these plants could only grow in tissue culture on sucrose containing 609 media and were highly chlorotic (as shown). Detailed analysis of the transformation, 610 611 Rubisco mRNA and protein biochemistry is provided in Figure S7.

613 Supplemental data

614 Variation in response of C₃ and C₄ Paniceae Rubisco to temperature

615 provides opportunities for improving C₃ photosynthesis

- 616 Robert E. Sharwood¹⁺, Oula Ghannoum²⁺*, Maxim V. Kapralov^{1,3}, Laura H. Gunn^{1,4}, and
- 617 Spencer M. Whitney^{1+*}.
- ¹Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra ACT, 2601,
- 619 Australia.
- ⁶²⁰ ² Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Richmond
- 621 NSW, 2753, Australia.
- ⁺ ARC Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis, Australian National
- 623 University Canberra ACT, 2601, Australia.
- ⁶²⁴ ³Current Address: School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores
- 625 University, Liverpool, L3 3AF, United Kingdom.
- ⁴Current address: Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University,
- 627 Uppsala, SE-751 24, Sweden.
- 628 *Corresponding Authors: <u>o.ghannoum@westernsydney.edu.au</u> and
- 629 <u>spencer.whitney@anu.eud.au</u>
- 630
- 631 Number of Supplemental Figures: 7
- 632 Number of Supplemental Tables: 4



Figure S1. Variation in the temperature response of k_{cat}^c among the Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco.

636 (a) Substrate saturated rates of carboxylation (k_{cat}^{c}) determined using soluble leaf protein extract (n ≥ 3 biological samples per species) were measured at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 37°C with the expected 637 638 exponential decrease in k_{cat}^{c} less evident at the lower assay temperatures for all Rubisco samples, as evident in prior published data ^{18-20,28,30-32} }, including that of Boyd et al., (2015) ¹⁵ (orange triangles) for 639 640 Seteria viridis Rubisco measured by Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry (MIMS). Plotted data are listed 641 in Table S2. (b) Evaluation of the data via Arrhenius-style plots (*i.e.* ln k_{cat}^{c} vs 1/T) indicated the k_{cat}^{c} 642 response diverged at around 25°C. Shown are the averaged linear fits to each Arrhenius plot in a biphasic 643 manner with the $< 25^{\circ}$ C measurements (*i.e.* at 10, 15, 20, 25^{\circ}C) separated from the $> 25^{\circ}$ C measurements 644 (25, 30 and 37°C). The averaged data values were fitted to the following equation

645
$$Parameter = exp\left[c - \frac{\Delta H_a}{RT}\right]$$

and the heat of activation (ΔH_a) for k_{cat}^c at both < 25°C and > 25°C was derived from the slope ($\ln(k_{cat}^c)$) = $-\Delta H_a/R$; where R is the molar gas constant, 8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and the scaling constant (*c*) from the ordinal intercept. The calculated values are listed in Table S3 and were fitted to the above equation to derive the exponential curves in panel **a**. For comparison, the fitted lines for tobacco Rubisco k_{cat}^c data are shown as dotted lines in each C4 Rubisco plot. See Table S3 for statistical analysis.

Supplementary Figure S2



Figure S2. Variation in the temperature response of CO₂ affinity among the Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco.

655 (a) The Michealis constant for CO_2 measured in the presence of ambient (253 μ M) O_2 concentration $(K_{\rm C}^{21\%O2})$ determined from the same assays used to determine k_{cat}^{c} in Fig S1 (n \geq 3 biological samples 656 analyzed per specie) varied exponentially over 10 to 37°C. Orange triangles, data for Seteria viridis 657 Rubisco measured by MIMS¹⁵). Plotted data are listed in Table S2. (b) Arrhenius-style plots of the data 658 659 with the averaged linear regression fitted as described in Fig S1 to determine the heat of activation (ΔH_a) and the scaling constant (c) values listed in Table S3 and used to derive the exponential curves shown in 660 panel (a). As a scaling comparison, the fitted lines for tobacco Rubisco $K_{\rm C}^{21\%\rm O2}$ data are shown as dotted 661 lines in each C₄ Rubisco plot. See Table S3 for statistical analysis. 662

Supplementary Figure S3



Figure S3. Variation in the temperature response of CO₂ over O₂ specificity among the Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco

(a) The influence of temperature on the specificity of CO₂ over O₂ (S_{C/O}) determined using Rubisco purified from at least two biological samples per specie. Orange triangles, data for *Seteria viridis* Rubisco measured by MIMS¹⁵. Plotted data are listed in Table S2. (b) Arrhenius plots of the data with the averaged linear regression fitted as described in Fig S1 to determine the heat of activation (ΔH_a) and the scaling constant (*c*) values for S_{C/O} listed in Table S3 and used to derive the exponential curves shown in panel (a). The fitted lines for tobacco Rubisco S_{C/O} data are shown as dotted lines in each C₄ Rubisco plot as a scaling comparison. See Table S3 for statistical analysis. Supplementary Figure S4



Figure S4. Variation in carboxylation efficiency under ambient O₂ among the Paniceae and
 tobacco Rubisco under varying temperature.

The temperature response of carboxylation efficiency ($k_{cat}c' K_C^{21\%O2}$) for each Rubisco was calculated by dividing the averaged values of $k_{cat}c$ (FigS1) by its corresponding $K_C^{21\%O2}$ values (Fig S2) for each assay temperature. As shown previously for *Flaveria*¹⁹ and *Seteria viridis*¹⁵ Rubisco, the carboxylation efficiency of each Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco declined at temperatures below 25°C and showed less variation at temperatures > 25°C. Shown are the linear regressions fitted to the averaged Paniceae data for each biochemical physiology. See Table S3 for statistical analysis.



684 Figure S5. Effect of Rubisco kinetics on the thermal photosynthetic response.

685 The effects Rubisco catalytic properties on the thermal response of leaf photosynthesis (A) to leaf chloroplastic CO_2 concentration (C_c).

- 686 The curves were modelled according to Farquhar *et al.* (1980)²⁴ using equations and parameters shown in Supplementary Table S5. The
- solid and dashed lines refer to the Rubisco limited (A_c) and RuBP-regeneration limited (A_j) assimilation rates, respectively. The circles

- refer to assimilation rates under current C_a (400 µbar, white) and that predicted for 2050 (550 µbar, black). Data for tobacco Rubisco
- 689 shown in grey in each panel for comparison.

Supplementary Figure S6



690

691 Figure S6. Rubisco L-subunit phylogeny in the Paniceae.

692 Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Rubisco L-subunit sequences from the fourteen Paniceae species 693 examined in this study relative to the outgrouped Rubisco from Hordeum vulgare (barley) and Triticum aestivum (wheat). ML trees assembled under the Dayhoff model implemented in RAxML v.8⁴⁷ using 694 695 translated L-subunit sequences from the full length *rbcL* genes available from the following Genbank 696 accession: P. bisulcatum, (*); S. laxa, (*); P. milioides, (*); P. antidotale, (*); P. monticola, (*); C. 697 ciliaris, (*); S. viridis, (KT289405.1); P. virgatum, (HQ731441.1); P. milliaceum, (KU343177.1); P. 698 coloratum, (*); M. maximus, (*); U. panicoides, (*); U. panicoides, (*); P. deustum, (*); U. 699 mosambicensis, (*); H. vulgare, (KT962228.1) and T. aestivum, (KJ592713.1). *sequences submitted to

700 Genbank, awaiting accession numbers.

Supplementary Figure S7



Figure S7. Chloroplast transformation of the *P. bisulcatum* (C₃) and *P. deustum* (C₄-PCK) *rbcL* genes to assess Paniceae Rubisco biogenesis in tobacco.

704 (a) Comparison of the plastome sequence in wild-type, ^{cm}trL and the plastome transformed tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} tobacco genotypes generated in this study. Duplicate tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} lines were made by 705 plastome transformation as described ¹³ by homologous recombination replacement of the ^{cm}*rbc*M gene 706 707 in the plastome of the ^{cm}trL tobacco genotype with *rbc*L genes for *P. bisulcatum* or *P. deustum* Rubisco 708 (synthesized to match the tobacco *rbcL* nucleotide sequence where feasible) and the *aadA* selectable 709 marker gene (coding resistance to spectinomycin). Numbering represents the flanking plastome 710 sequence in the pLEVPdL and pLEVPbL transforming plasmids. P, 292-bp rbcL promoter/5'UTR; T, 711 288-bprbcL 3'UTR; T, 112-bp of psbA 3'UTR; t, 147-bp rps16 3'UTR. Position of the 221-bp 5UTR 712 probe ¹³ and the corresponding *rbcL* and *rbcL-aadA* mRNAs (dashed lines) to which it hybridizes are 713 indicated. (b) Total leaf RNA (5µg) extracted from tissue culture grown plant samples was separated on 714 denaturing formaldehyde gels and the EtBr stained RNA visualised (upper panel) before blotting onto 715 Hybond-N nitrocellulose membrane (GE healthcare) as described ¹¹ and probed with the ³²P-labelled 716 5UTR probe (lower panel). The probe correctly hybridised to the wild-type tobacco rbcL mRNA and the *rbcL* and *rbcL-aadA* mRNA transcripts in each tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} line. (c) Soluble leaf protein from the 717 718 same leaves analyzed in (b) was processed for measuring Rubisco levels by NdPAGE analysis and ¹⁴C-CABP quantification as described ²⁵. While wildtype tobacco L₈S₈ Rubisco was readily detected by ¹⁴C-719 720 CABP binding, Coomassie staining and by immunoblot analysis with an antibody to tobacco Rubisco following ndPAGE, these methods detected no hybrid L₈S₈ Rubisco biogenesis in the tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} 721 722 genotypes (*i.e.* complexes comprising the introduce *Panicum* L-subunits and the endogenous, cytosol 723 made tobacco S-subunits). (d) Further inspection of the soluble and total (comprising soluble + insoluble) 724 leaf protein separated by SDS PAGE did not detect any Rubisco L-subunit (~50 kDa) or S-subunit (~14.5 725 kDa) in either cellular protein fraction of the tob^{PbL} or tob^{PdL} lines by Comassie staining or Rubisco antibody blot analysis. This indicated that even when grown in tissue culture the resource limitations confronting the photosynthetically deplete tob^{PbL} and tob^{PdL} lines precluded the synthesis and /or accumulation of *Panicum* sp. Rubisco L-subunits. Whether co-expressing their cognate SSu or/and Raf1 48 can circumvent this biogenesis challenge remains to be tested.

Smar	•aa	Physiology	δ^{13C}	k_{cat}^{c}	Kc	$K c^{21\% O2}$	kcat ^o	Ko	k _{cat} º/Ko	Sc/o	k _{cat} ^c / Kc ^{21%O2}	k _{cat} c/ Kc
Spec	nes		(‰)	(s ⁻¹)	(µM)	(µM)	(s ⁻¹)	(µM)	(mM ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)	(mol.mol ⁻¹)	(mM. ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)	(mM. ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)
	Panicum antidotale		-1292	3.9 ± 0.2	n.m	25.2	n.m	n.m	n.m	74.5 ± 0.4	156	n.m
	Panicum monticola	C_4	-13.53	5.3 ± 0.7	18.2 ± 0.5	26.6	2.0	543 ± 67	3.7	79.4 ± 1.7	198	290
	Cenchrus ciliaris	NADP-ME	-12.46	6.0 ± 0.8	19.0 ± 0.7	29.2	2.1	470 ± 52	4.5	69.9 ± 3.0	205	314
	Setaria viridis		-13.81	5.9 ± 0.5	18.1 ± 0.6	25.5	2.8	619 ± 86	4.4	72.7 ± 0.2	230	323
ŝ	Panicum virgatum		-13.98	3.3 ± 0.9	12.7 ± 0.1	24.5	0.9	271 ± 12	3.1	82.6 ± 2.8	133	258
ecie	Panicum milliaceum	C ₄ NAD-ME	-15.50	2.1 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.3	13.1	1.1	313 ± 46	3.6	79.9 ± 4.3	159	287
s sb	Panicum coloratum		-14.20	3.4 ± 0.6	11.1 ± 0.5	17.3	1.6	445 ± 58	3.6	84.8 ± 2.8	197	308
ceae	Megathyrsus maximus		-14.32	5.3 ± 0.5	13.9 ± 0.8	27.1	1.3	265 ± 34	4.7	80.3 ± 2.8	195	380
anic	Urochloa panicoides	C_4	-14.51	5.6 ± 0.6	15.4 ± 0.7	24.1	2.1	444 ± 80	4.6	78.3 ± 0.3	232	364
Å	Panicum deustum	PCK	-12.62	5.0 ± 0.5	15.4 ± 0.2	28.1	1.2	306 ± 16	3.8	84.8 ± 0.2	177	322
	Urochloa mosambicensis		-13.08	5.7 ± 0.7	14.8 ± 0.4	22.8	2.2	464 ± 79	4.7	82.5 ± 1.3	252	388
	Panicum milioides	C2	-31.50	2.2 ± 0.3	7.4 ± 0.3	12.1	1.3	387 ± 46	3.3	92.3 ± 1.0	182	301
	Panicum bisulcatum		-28.68	2.6 ± 0.4	7.8 ± 0.3	12.6	1.6	416 ± 67	3.8	87.7 ± 1.5	207	333
	Steinchisma laxa	C ₃	-28.70	2.3 ± 0.3	7.7 ± 0.5	12.4	1.4	419 ± 89	3.2	91.4 ± 4.8	184	294
Nice	otiana tabacum	_	n.m.	3.1 ± 0.3	9.7 ± 0.1	18.3	1.1	283 ± 15	3.9	82.6 ± 0.8	168	318
		C3	-28.7±0.1 a	2.4±0.2 a	7.8±0.1 a	12.5±0.1 a	1.5±0.1 a	418±1 a	3.5±0.3 a	90±2 a	195±12 a	313±19 ab
	Averages of	NAD-ME	-14.6±0.5 b	2.9±0.4 a	10.3±1.6 a	18.3±3.3 a	1.2±0.2 a	343±52 a	3.4±0.2 a	82±1 a	163±18 a	284±15 a
	Paniceae Rubisco	NADP-ME	-13.3±0.4 b	5.7±0.2 b	18.4±0.3 c	27.1±1.1 b	2.3±0.2 a	544±43 a	4.2±0.3 a	74±3 b	211±10 a	309±10 ab
		PCK	-13.6±0.5 b	5.4±0.2 b	14.9±0.4 b	25.5±1.2 b	1.7±0.3 a	370±49 a	4.5±0.2 a	81±1 a	214±17 a	363±15 b
	Type (p)		***	***	***	**	ns(0.08)	ns(0.072)	*	**	ns	*

Table S1: Summary of the catalytic parameters of Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco at 25°C.

For each species data are the mean±SE of at least N=3 biological samples assayed in duplicate. One-way ANOVA was undertaken

using the photosynthetic type/subtype as the main factor. Symbols show the statistical significance levels (ns = p > 0.05; * = p < 0.05;

** = p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001), while letters show the ranking of the means using a post hoc Tukey test (different letters indicate

statistical differences at the 5% level, p < 0.05). k_{cat}^{o} , maximal oxygenation rate calculated from $S_{C/O} = (k_{cat}^{c}/K_{C})/(k_{cat}^{o}/K_{O})$. $K_{C}^{21\%O2}$,

735 $K_{\rm C}$ under ambient atmospheric O₂ levels ($O = 252 \,\mu {\rm M}$ O₂ in air saturated H₂O) calculated as $K_{\rm C}(1+O/K_{\rm O})$. n.m, not measured.

Temp	tobacco	P. bis.	P. milioi.		P. miliac	P. color	P. virg	NAD	P. mont.	<i>S. v</i>	irid	C. cilaris	NADD	P. deust	M. max	U. panic	
$(^{\circ}\mathbf{C})$	N = 4	N = 3	N = 3	C2/C3	N = 4	N = 3	N = 3	ME	N = 4	N = 3	*Boyd et.	N = 3	ME	N = 4	N = 3	N = 3	РСК
()	(x2)	(x2)	(x2)		(x2)	(x2)	(x2)	IVIE	(x2)	(x2)	al 2015	(<i>x</i> 2)	MIL	(<i>x</i> 2)	(x2)	(x2)	
	k_{cat}^{C}	(s^{-1})															
10	0.88 ± 0.19	0.53±0.04	0.49 ± 0.04	0.51±0.02	0.44 ± 0.01	0.97 ± 0.06	0.96 ± 0.08	0.79±0.17	1.52 ± 0.06	1.70±0.03	0.88±0.08	1.81 ± 0.14	1.68 ± 0.08	1.40 ± 0.02	1.75±0.04	1.58 ± 0.11	1.58±0.10
15	1.37±0.22	1.09 ± 0.07	0.92±0.15	1.00 ± 0.08	0.79 ± 0.06	1.70 ± 0.09	1.48 ± 0.24	1.32±0.27	2.64 ± 0.27	2.80 ± 0.15	1.55±0.23	2.99±0.17	2.81±0.10	2.21±0.05	$2.80{\pm}0.10$	2.75±0.14	2.59±0.19
20	1.98 ± 0.13	$1.47{\pm}0.02$	$1.34{\pm}0.07$	$1.40{\pm}0.07$	1.26 ± 0.01	2.43 ± 0.24	2.27 ± 0.29	1.99 ± 0.37	3.54 ± 0.37	3.88 ± 0.24	3.47±0.17	4.15 ± 0.31	3.86 ± 0.18	3.42 ± 0.31	3.65 ± 0.02	3.64 ± 0.50	$3.57{\pm}0.08$
25	$3.10{\pm}0.08$	2.60 ± 0.19	2.21 ± 0.09	$2.41{\pm}0.20$	2.08 ± 0.05	3.41 ± 0.09	$3.30{\pm}0.06$	$2.93{\pm}0.43$	$5.28{\pm}0.16$	5.85 ± 0.33	5.21±0.22	$5.98{\pm}0.09$	5.70 ± 0.21	4.96 ± 0.32	$5.38{\pm}0.12$	$5.60{\pm}0.26$	$5.28{\pm}0.18$
30	3.78 ± 0.37	2.83 ± 0.07	2.87 ± 0.41	$2.85{\pm}0.02$	$2.37{\pm}0.12$	3.70 ± 0.11	3.87 ± 0.26	3.31 ± 0.48	$6.29{\pm}0.51$	6.65 ± 0.29	8.33±2.82	$7.04{\pm}0.87$	6.66 ± 0.22	$5.80{\pm}0.28$	6.34±0.13	6.59 ± 0.51	6.25 ± 0.23
37	$5.45{\pm}0.67$	$4.26{\pm}0.30$	4.15 ± 0.47	$4.20{\pm}0.06$	3.24 ± 0.24	4.86 ± 0.77	5.34 ± 0.90	$\textbf{4.48{\pm}0.64}$	$8.49{\pm}1.03$	$8.78{\pm}0.28$	$13.88{\pm}1.35$	$9.01{\pm}1.21$	8.76 ± 0.15	7.64 ± 0.43	7.76 ± 0.16	8.51 ± 0.71	7.97±0.27
											(35°C)						
	$K_{\rm C}^{21\%{\rm O}2}(\mu{\rm M})$																
10	7.6±1.9	5.9±0.1	7.7±2.2	6.8±0.9	4.9±1.0	10.4±1.5	11.8±3.9	9.0±2.1	11.0±2.1	19.8±2.3	35.4±5.5	18.6±0.4	16.5±2.8	14.1±2.8	17.8±0.5	11.7±1.1	14.6±1.8
15	11.0±1.4	9.3±2.6	$8.4{\pm}0.4$	8.8±0.5	9.8±0.6	15.2±1.3	19.7±1.4	14.9±2.8	15.8±4.5	24.6±3.2	37.9±6.7	26.1±0.8	22.2±3.2	19.5±2.1	21.6±0.3	18.2±0.4	19.8±1.0
20	12.9 ± 2.0	8.3±0.6	9.2±1.2	8.8±0.5	7.5±0.2	13.2±1.6	$15.9{\pm}1.0$	12.2±2.5	18.4±4.3	$20.0{\pm}2.4$	50.6 ± 7.0	23.6±1.5	20.7±1.5	20.1±2.8	18.8 ± 0.3	16.6 ± 0.1	18.5±1.0
25	18.3±0.9	12.6±1.0	12.1 ± 0.8	12.4±0.3	13.1±1.1	17.3 ± 1.7	24.5 ± 2.1	18.3±3.3	26.6 ± 4.0	$25.5{\pm}2.0$	57.5±6.3	29.2 ± 2.3	27.1±1.1	28.1±2.0	27.1±1.1	24.1±1.0	26.4±1.2
30	22.0±3.4	13.6±1.2	$14.4{\pm}1.4$	14.0 ± 0.4	15.7±2.5	19.1±2.3	30.1±3.7	21.9±4.6	31.7±4.8	30.6 ± 2.0	103.8±9.4	35.4 ± 5.4	32.6±1.5	32.5 ± 2.3	$33.9{\pm}2.3$	28.2 ± 2.2	31.5±1.7
37	30.8±3.8	20.6±5.3	19.2±01.5	19.9±0.8	19.6±5.3	25.1±6.0	45.9±3.3	30.2±8.0	45.8±5.4	39.3±3.6	138.1±15.3	45.6±7.2	43.5±2.1	42.0±3.0	39.2±2.0	38.0 ± 6.6	39.7±1.2
											$(35^{\circ}C)$						
	$k_{cat}^{C} / K_{C}^{21\%O2} (\text{mM}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1})$																
10	111	90	64	77±13	90	93	81	88±4	138	86	26	97	107±16	99	98	135	111±12
15	125	117	110	114±3	81	111	75	89±11	167	114	42	115	132±17	113	129	152	131±11
20	154	178	144	161±17	167	185	142	165±12	192	194	73	176	189±6	170	194	219	194±14
25	169	206	183	194±12	159	197	135	164±18	198	229	93	205	211±9	177	195	232	201±16
30	172	208	200	203±4	151	194	125	156±20	198	217	81	199	205±6	179	187	234	200±17
37	177	206	217	211±5	165	194	116	158±23	186	223	104	198	202±11	182	198	224	201±12
											(35°C)						
	S _{C/O} (mol.mo	ol^{-1})														
	N = 4	N = 2	N = 2		N = 2	N = 3	N = 2			N = 2		N = 2		N = 3	N = 2	N = 2	
	(x3)	(x3)	(x3)		(x3)	(x3)	(x3)			(x3)		(x3)		(x3)	(x3)	(x3)	
10	130.0±1.0) 152.7±2.	0 152.4±4.9	152.5±0.	1 127.1±2.	6 138.2±5.1	142.7±2.	6 136.0±4.	.7 n.m.	115.9±3.	3 74.9±3.0	5 106.4±0.8	111.2±3.9	128.0±6.4	119.0±2.9	128.6 ± 2.0	125.2±3.1
15	114.7±2.1	1 126.1±2.4	4 120.8±0.8	123.4±2.	7 114.7±2.	6 109.3±0.8	3 117.5±1.4	4 113.8±2.	4	108.0±2.	2 74.5±9.1	93.2±0.8	100.6±6.0	117.7±2.0	103.4±3.3	106.5 ± 1.6	109.2±4.3
20	94.9±0.4	102.0±0.	6 105.1±1.2	103.5±1.	6 105.7±8.4	4 90.1±1.9	95.4±0.5	97.0±4.	6	79.1±0.5	5 64.1±7.9	79.9±0.1	79.5±0.3	100.3±1.3	90.7±0.5	86.7±2.4	92.6±4.0
25	82.0±0.6	87.7±1.5	92.3±1.0	90.0±2.3	8 80.0±4.3	78.8±0.8	82.6±3.3	80.4±1.	1	72.7±0.2	2 61.5±2.5	5 69.9±2.0	71.3±1.2	84.8±0.2	80.3 ± 2.8	78.2±0.3	81.1±1.9
30	69.0±2.1	68.6±1.4	67.7±1.4	68.2±0.4	67.0±0.6	70.2±0.9	74.3±0.2	70.5±2.	1	64.2±1.1	35.6±2.0	0 60.6±0.1	62.4±1.3	75.7±0.3	60.9 ± 2.4	69.0±0.6	68.5±4.3
35	61.1±0.7	53.7±3.2	58.1±1.7	55.9±2.2	2 46.1±3.4	59.4±0.3	61.2±1.1	55.6±4.	8	47.1±2.2	2 27.8±3.4	4 52.8±0.5	49.9±2.3	62.9±0.7	56.9 ± 0.8	$58.0{\pm}1.4$	59.3±1.8

Table S2: The catalytic parameters of Paniceae and tobacco Rubisco between 10°C and 37°C.

737 Rubisco catalysis parameters (average \pm S.E.) measured in duplicate (x2) or triplicate (x3) for each biological sample (N). *Data for S.

738 *viridis* Rubisco shown in blue italic (N=4 \pm S.E.) measured by MIMS¹⁵. The data for each species are plotted in Figures S1 to S4 with

739 the parameter averages (\pm SE) for tobacco Rubisco and for each Paniceae photosynthetic type/subtype shown in bold and shaded grey

- are plotted in Figures 3. n.m, not measured. The data from this study are statistically analyzed following derivation of the heat of
- activation (ΔH_a) and scaling constant (*c*) values for each parameter (see Table S3).

743

		k_{cat}^{c} (>	25°C)	k_{cat}^{c} (<	25°C)	<i>K</i> c ²¹	% O2	Sc	2/0
		$\Delta H_a (\pm \text{S.D})$	$c (\pm S.D)$	$\Delta H_a (\pm \text{S.D})$	$c (\pm S.D)$	$\Delta H_a (\pm \text{S.D})$	$c (\pm S.D)$	$\Delta H_a (\pm \text{S.D})$	<i>c</i> (± S.D)
Species	Physiology	kJ.mol ⁻¹		kJ.mol ⁻¹		kJ.mol ⁻¹		kJ.mol ⁻¹	
Nicotiana tabacum	C.	36.4±2.9	15.8±1.2	60.3±1.9	25.5±0.8	37.3±1.4	17.9±0.7	22.5±1.2	-4.7±0.3
Panicum bisulcatum	C3	32.4±8.5	13.9±3.7	71.2±7.2	29.7±3.0	31.0±3.7	15.0±1.8	29.7±2.0	-7.6±0.5
Panicum milioides	C ₂	40.3±0.5	17.0±0.2	68.4±4.2	28.4±1.8	25.4±1.9	12.7±1.0	27.6±3.0	-6.7±0.7
Panicum monticola		30.6±1.8	14.0±0.8	56.5±4.2	24.5±1.8	33.8±1.9	16.9±0.9	n.	m
Cenchrus ciliaris	C ₄ (NADP-ME	26.3±0.7	12.4±0.3	54.9±3.1	23.9±1.3	22.1±2.4	12.4±1.3	20.3±0.5	-4.0±0.1
Sotania vinidia		26.3±2.8	12.4±1.3	56.6±2.8	24.6±1.2	21.4±0.1	11.9±0.1	25.3±4.4	-6.0±1.0
setaria virtais	#Boyd et al 2015	75.0±1.1	31.9±0.5	94.2±10.4	39.3±9.4	44.7±3.8	22.3±1.9	25.2±5.7	-6.2±1.4
Panicum virgatum		31.1±2.9	13.7±1.3	58.1±0.8	24.7±0.3	34.0±4.5	16.9±2.2	23.8±1.9	-5.2±0.4
Panicum milliaceum	C ₄ (NAD-ME)	28.6±4.0	12.3±1.7	71.6±1.8	29.6±0.7	34.9±5.8	16.6±2.7	28.6±6.1	-7.2±1.5
Panicum coloratum		23.2±4.5	10.6±2.1	58.3±4.6	24.8±1.9	21.3±2.8	11.4±1.5	23.5±2.3	-5.1±0.5
Megathyrsus maximus		24.5±1.2	11.6±0.5	50.2±3.3	21.9±1.4	22.2±3.0	12.3±1.7	22.2±3.3	-4.6±0.7
Urochloa panicoides	C ₄ (PCK)	26.9±0.9	12.6±0.4	57.3±4.5	24.8±1.9	29.9±3.0	15.2±1.5	22.3±2.0	-4.6±0.4
Panicum deustum		27.8±1.7	12.8±0.8	59.5±1.3	25.6±0.5	28.7±1.7	14.9±0.9	20.8±2.3	-3.9±0.4
Averages	C ₃ /C ₂	36.3 b	15.5 b	69.8 b	29.0 b	28.2 a	13.9 a	28.6 b	-7.1 a
	NAD-ME	27.6 ab	12.2 a	62.7 ab	26.6 ab	30.0 a	15.0 a	25.3 ab	-5.8 ab
	NADP-ME	27.7 a	12.9 a	56.0 a	24.4 a	25.8 a	13.7 a	22.8 a	-5.0 b
	PCK	26.4 a	12.3 a	55.6 a	24.1 a	26.9 a	14.1 a	21.7 a	-4.4 b
Type (p)		ns(0.081)	ns(0.10)	*	ns(0.062)	ns	ns	ns(0.072)	ns(0.089)

744 Values of ΔH_a and c were determined from measures of k_{cat}^c (Fig S1), $K_c^{21\%02}$ (Fig S2) and $S_{C/O}$ (Fig S4) made at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35 (or

745 37)°C (see Table S2 for data) and fitted to the Arrhenius-type equation

746
$$Parameter = exp\left[c - \frac{\Delta H_a}{RT}\right]$$

747 where R the molar gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and T the assay temperature (K). n.m, not measured. For each species data are the mean \pm SE

748 of at least N=3 biological samples assayed in duplicate. One-way ANOVA was undertaken using the photosynthetic type/subtype as the main

factor. Symbols show the statistical significance levels (ns = p > 0.05; * = p < 0.05), while letters show the ranking of the means using a post hoc

- Tukey test (different letters indicate statistical differences at the 5% level, p < 0.05). # Comparative data for *S. viridis* Rubisco from Boyd et
- 751 al., $(2015)^{15}$ measured by MIMS.

	Temperature (°C)										
Species	Parameters	10	15	20	25	30	37	Reference			
	k_{cat}^{c} , (s ⁻¹)	1.4	1.8	2.4	3.1	4.7	8.0	Tables S1-2			
Nicotiana	$K_{\rm C}^{\rm air}$ ($\mu { m M}$)	7.9	10.4	13.6	17.6	22.5	31.4	Tables S1-2			
tabacum	Sc/o (M M ⁻¹)	131	111	95	81	70	57	Tables S1-2			
	J _{max} /V _{cmax}	2.6	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	Sharkey <i>et al.</i> (2007) ²⁷			
	k_{cat}^{c} , (s ⁻¹)	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	4.2	8.0	Tables S1-2			
Panicum bisulcatum	$K_{\rm C}^{\rm air}$ ($\mu { m M}$)	6.2	7.8	9.7	12.1	14.8	19.6	Tables S1-2			
	S _{C/O} (M M ⁻¹)	156	125	101	83	68	52	Tables S1-2			
	k_{cat}^{c} , (s ⁻¹)	2.7	3.3	4.1	5.1	7.6	12.9	Tables S1-2			
Panicum deustum	$K_{\rm C}^{\rm air}$ ($\mu { m M}$)	14.6	18.1	22.2	27.1	32.8	42.4	Tables S1-2			
acustum	Sc/o (M M ⁻¹)	132	114	98	85	74	61	Tables S1-2			
	k_{cat}^{c} , (s ⁻¹)	3.2	3.8	4.7	5.6	8.3	13.9	Tables S1-2			
Urochloa panicoides	$K_{\rm C}^{\rm air}$ ($\mu { m M}$)	12.5	15.5	19.2	23.6	28.8	37.6	Tables S1-2			
punconces	Sc/o (M M ⁻¹)	125	106	91	78	67	55	Tables S1-2			
	$g_m \pmod{\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{bar}^{-1}}$	0.24	0.33	0.44	0.57	0.72	0.97	von Caemmerer and Evans (2015) ⁴⁹			
	TPU (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	3.81	5.62	8.14	11.40	14.65	14.10	Sharkey <i>et al.</i> (2007) ²⁷			
	$R_d \;(\mu mol \; m^{-2} \; s^{-1})$	0.37	0.52	0.73	1.04	1.36	2.06	Sharkey <i>et al.</i> (2007) ²⁷			
Common parameters	s_c (M bar ⁻¹)	0.0512	0.0442	0.0383	0.0334	0.0292	0.0245	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry's law			
purumeters	s_o (M bar ⁻¹)	0.00170	0.00154	0.00139	0.00126	0.00115	0.00101	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry's law			
	$J_{max} (\mu { m mol} \ { m m}^{-2} \ { m s}^{-1})$	63	87	118	160	214	317	Sharkey <i>et al.</i> (2007) ²⁷			
	Rubisco sites (µmol s-1)	30	30	30	30	30	30				

752 **Table S4. Summary of parameters used in the modelling plots shown in Figure 4 and Figure S5.**

Photosynthesis rate, A was calculated as $A = \min(A_c, A_j, A_t)$, where A_c, A_j and A_t are the CO₂-limited (A_c), light-limited (A_j) and the triose

754 phosphate utilisation (TPU)-limited (*A*_t) assimilation rates, respectively. Their expressions are defined as:

755
$$A_{c} = \frac{m.k_{cat}^{c}(C_{c.s_{c}} - 0.5O_{c}/S_{c/o})}{(C_{c.s_{c}} + K_{cair})} - R_{d};$$

756
$$A_j = \frac{(C_c \cdot s_c - 0.5O_c/S_{c/o})J_{\text{max}}}{4(C_c \cdot s_c + O_c/S_{c/o})} - R_d$$
; and

$$757 \quad A_t = 3\text{TPU} - R_d \; .$$

The model parameters used are: m = amount of leaf Rubisco set at 30 μ mol active sites m⁻²; k_{cat}^{c} (s⁻¹) = Rubisco carboxylation rate; K_{C}^{air}

759 (μM) = Michaelis-Menten constant of Rubisco for CO₂ and S_{C/O} = CO₂/O₂ specificity of Rubisco. The maximal RuBP carboxylation-

160 limited assimilation rate, $V_{\text{cmax}} = \text{m.}k_{\text{cat}}^c$. The maximal RuBP regeneration-limited assimilation rate, J_{max} (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) is set to equal

761 $1.7V_{\text{cmax}}$ for tobacco at 25°C; its values at other temperatures were calculated using the thermal dependence from Bernacchi et al (2003) 762 ⁵⁰:

763
$$J_{max}(T) = J_{max_{25}} \cdot e^{\left(c - \frac{Ha}{R.(273 + T)}\right)}$$
, where c = 17.7 and $\Delta H_a = 43.9$ (in kJ mol⁻¹).

The values at 25°C for TPU (11.4 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) and mitochondrial respiration, R_d (1 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) and their thermal dependence were adapted from Sharkey et al (2007)²⁷:

766
$$R_d(T) = R_{d_{25}} \cdot e^{\left(c - \frac{Ha}{R.(273 + T)}\right)}$$
, where $c = 18.72$ and $\Delta H_a = 46.4$ (in kJ mol⁻¹)

767
$$TPU(T) = TPU_{25} \left[\frac{e^{\left(c - \frac{Ha}{R.(273 + T)}\right)}}{\frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{S.(273 + T).Hd}{R.(273 + T)}\right)}} \right], \text{ where } c = 21.46 \text{ and } \Delta H_a = 53.1, \Delta H_d = 201.8 \text{ and } 0.65 \text{ (in kJ mol^{-1})}.$$

C_c and O_c are the CO₂ and O₂ concentrations in the chloroplast, respectively. Gas concentrations in the liquid phase were calculated using the solubility constants for CO₂ (s_c = 0.0334 M bar⁻¹) and O₂ (s_o = 0.00126 M bar⁻¹) at 25°C. Their thermal dependence was determined according to Henry's law using the following expressions (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henrys_law):

771
$$s_c(T) = s_{c_{25}} \cdot 2400. e^{\left(\frac{1}{273+T} - \frac{1}{298}\right)}$$
 and

772
$$s_o(T) = s_{o_{25}} \cdot 1700. e^{\left(\frac{1}{273+T} - \frac{1}{298}\right)}$$

Intercellular CO₂ concentration, C_i was calculated using a constant C_i/C_a ratio of 0.70⁴⁹. C_c was calculated as $C_c = C_i - A/g_m$, where g_m is the mesophyll conductance to CO₂ transfer. Tobacco g_m at 25°C (0.57 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ bar⁻¹) and its thermal dependence were taken from von Caemmerer and Evans (2015) ⁴⁹.

776 **Table S5. Parameters used to calculate CO₂ concentrations in ¹⁴CO₂-fixation**

777 assays at the varying temperatures.

Parameter (units)	Value											
<i>T</i>	(10°C)	(15°C)	(20°C)	(25°C)	(30°C)	(37°C)						
<i>I</i> ; assay temperature	283K	288K	293K	298K	303K	310K						
q; CO ₂ solubility at 1 atm (<i>Mol.L⁻¹.atm⁻¹</i>)	0.0524	0.0455	0.0382	0.0329	0.0289	0.0240						
<i>R</i> : universal gas constant (<i>L.atm.K</i> ⁻¹ .mol ⁻¹)			0.082	057								
pK ₁	6.362	6.327	6.280	6.251	6.226	6.202						
pK ₂	10.499	10.431	10.377	10.329	10.290	10.238						
_*pH	8.27	8.24	8.21	8.16	8.11	8.03						

778 The values were fitted to the Henderson-Hasselbalch derived equation

779
$$[CO_2] = \frac{(C_t)}{1 + \frac{V}{vqRT} + 10^{(pH-pK1)} + 10^{(2pH-pK1-pK2)}}$$

780 V/v: ratio of reaction vial headspace (V) to assay volume (v).

*example pH variation for 50 mM EPPES-NaOH buffer adjusted to pH 8.16 at 25°C; the

782 0.26 pH variation has <1% effect on tobacco Rubisco carboxylase activity.

783 **References**

- Andrews, T. J. & Whitney, S. M. Manipulating ribulose bisphosphate
 carboxylase/oxygenase in the chloroplasts of higher plants. *Arch.Biochem.Biophys.* 414, 159-169 (2003).
- Carmo-Silva, E., Scales, J. C., Madgwick, P. J. & Parry, M. A. J. Optimizing
 Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant Cell Env* 38, 1817-1832 (2015).
- Raven, J. A. Rubisco: still the most abundant protein of Earth? *New Phytol* 198, 1-3 (2013).
- Sage, R. F. The evolution of C₄ photosynthesis *New Phytol* **161**, 341-370 (2004).
- 5 Sage, R. F., Christin, P.-A. & Edwards, E. J. The C₄ plant lineages of planet Earth. *J Exp Bot* 62, 3155-3169 (2011).
- Furbank, R. T. Evolution of the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three
 C₄ acid decarboxylation types? *J Exp Bot* 62, 3103-3108 (2011).
- 797 7 Sage, R. F., Sage, T. L. & Kocacinar, F. Photorespiration and the evolution of C₄
 798 photosynthesis. *Ann Rev Plant Biol* 63, 19-47 (2012).
- Sharwood, R. E., Ghannoum, O. & Whitney, S. M. Prospects for improving CO₂
 fixation in C₃-crops through understanding C₄-Rubisco biogenesis and catalytic
 diversity. *Currt Opin Plant Biol* **31**, 135-142 (2016).
- 9 Ghannoum, O. *et al.* Faster rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in
 NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C₄ grasses. *Plant Physiol* 137,
 638-650 (2005).
- von Caemmerer, S., Quick, W. P. & Furbank, R. T. The development of C₄ rice:
 current progress and future challenges. *Science* 336, 1671-1672 (2012).
- 807 11 Sharwood, R., von Caemmerer, S., Maliga, P. & Whitney, S. The catalytic
 808 properties of hybrid Rubisco comprising tobacco small and sunflower large
 809 subunits mirror the kinetically equivalent source Rubiscos and can support tobacco
 810 growth. *Plant Physiol* 146, 83-96 (2008).
- 811 12 Sharwood, R. E., Sonawane, B. V., Ghannoum, O. & Whitney, S. M. Improved 812 analysis of C_4 and C_3 photosynthesis via refined in vitro assays of their carbon 813 fixation biochemistry. *J Exp Bot* **67**, 3137-3148 (2016).
- Whitney, S. M. & Sharwood, R. E. Construction of a tobacco master line to improve
 Rubisco engineering in chloroplasts. *J Exp Bot* 59, 1909-1921 (2008).
- Tcherkez, G. G. B., Farquhar, G. D. & Andrews, T. J. Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly optimized. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* 103, 7246-7251 (2006).
- 819 15 Boyd, R. A., Gandin, A. & Cousins, A. B. Temperature response of C₄
 820 photosynthesis: biochemical analysis of Rubisco, phosphoenolpyruvate
 821 carboxylase and carbonic anhydrase in *Setaria viridis*. *Plant Physiol* 169, 1850822 1861 (2015).
- 16 Carmo-Silva, A. E. *et al.* Rubisco activities, properties, and regulation in three
 different C₄ grasses under drought. *J Exp Bot* **61**, 2355-2366 (2010).
- Galmes, J. *et al.* Expanding knowledge of the Rubisco kinetics variability in plant
 species: environmental and evolutionary trends. *Plant Cell Environ* **37**, 1989-2001
 (2014).

- 828 18 Galmés, J., Kapralov, M. V., Copolovici, L. O., Hermida-Carrera, C. & Niinemets,
 829 Ü. Temperature responses of the Rubisco maximum carboxylase activity across
 830 domains of life: phylogenetic signals, trade-offs, and importance for carbon gain.
 831 *Photosynth Res* 123, 183-201 (2015).
- Perdomo, J. A., Cavanagh, A. P., Kubien, D. S. & Galmés, J. Temperature
 dependence of in vitro Rubisco kinetics in species of *Flaveria* with different
 photosynthetic mechanisms. *Photosynth Res* 124, 67-75 (2015).
- 835 20 Sage, R. F. Variation in the k_{cat} of Rubisco in C₃ and C₄ plants and some 836 implications for photosynthetic performance at high and low temperature. *J Exp* 837 *Bot* **53**, 609-620 (2002).
- 838 21 Savir, Y., Noor, E., Milo, R. & Tlusty, T. Cross-species analysis traces adaptation
 839 of Rubisco toward optimality in a low-dimensional landscape. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*840 **107**, 3475-3480 (2010).
- Pearcy, R. W. & Ehleringer, J. Comparative ecophysiology of C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant Cell Env* 7, 1-13 (1984).
- 843 23 Tcherkez, G. The mechanism of Rubisco-catalyzed oxygenation. *Plant Cell Env*844 **39**, 983-997 (2016).
- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S. & Berry, J. A. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149, 78-90 (1980).
- Sharwood, R. E. & Whitney, S. M. Correlating Rubisco catalytic and sequence
 diversity within C₃ plants with changes in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Plant Cell Env* 37, 1981-1984 (2014).
- Young, J. N. *et al.* Large variation in the Rubisco kinetics of diatoms reveals
 diversity among their carbon-concentrating mechanisms. *J Exp Bot* 67, 3445-3456
 (2016).
- Sharkey, T. D., Bernacchi, C. J., Farquhar, G. D. & Singsaas, E. L. Fitting
 photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curves for C₃ leaves. *Plant Cell Env* 30,
 1035-1040 (2007).
- Walker, B., Ariza, L. S., Kaines, S., Badger, M. R. & Cousins, A. B. Temperature
 response of *in vivo* Rubisco kinetics and mesophyll conductance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: comparisons to *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Cell Env* 36, 2108-2119 (2013).
- Whitney, S. M., Houtz, R. L. & Alonso, H. Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO₂-sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. *Plant Physiol* 155, 27-35 (2011).
- 862 30 Hermida-Carrera, C., Kapralov, M. V. & Galmés, J. Rubisco catalytic properties
 863 and temperature response in crops. *Plant Physiol.*, doi:10.1104/pp.16.01846
 864 (2016).
- 865 31 Orr, D. *et al.* Surveying Rubisco diversity and temperature response to improve
 866 crop photosynthetic efficiency. *Plant Physiol.*, doi:10.1104/pp.16.00750 (2016).
- Badger, M. R. & Collatz, G. J. Studies on the kinetic mechanism of RuBP
 carboxylase and oxygenase reactions, with particular reference to the effect of
 temperature on kinetic papameters. *Carnegie YB* 76, 355-361 (1977).
- Jordan, D. B. & Ogren, W. L. The CO₂/O₂ specificity of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate
 carboxylase oxygenase dependence on ribulosebisphosphate concentration, pH
 and temperature. 161, 308-313 (1984).

873	34	Ishikawa, C., Hatanaka, T., Misoo, S., Miyake, C. & Fukayama, H. Functional
8/4		Incorporation of sorghum small subunit increases the catalytic turnover rate of Dubiced in transcenie rice <i>Plant Physical</i> 156 , 1602, 1611 (2011)
015 976	25	Rubisco in transgenic fice <i>Plant Physici</i> 150 , 1005-1011 (2011).
8/0 877	55	provide scope for improving wheet photosynthesis I for Bet 67, 1927 1929
0// 070		provide scope for improving wheat photosynthesis. $J Exp Bol 01$, 1827-1858
8/8	26	(2010). Housen T. Denilles I. Hentl F. H. & Housen Hentl M. Dele of ouriliers motions.
8/9	30	in Publicae biogenesis and function. Nat Planta 1 (2015)
00U 001	27	In Rubisco biogenesis and function. <i>Nat Planis</i> 1 (2013). Weakter D. M. et al. Activation of interpresion hybrid Dubisco angumento accesso
001	57	different models for the Dubiano Dubiano activano interaction. Discountly Des 117
002 002		unrerent models for the Rubisco-Rubisco activase interaction. <i>Fnotosynth Res</i> 11 7, 557,566 (2012)
003	20	JJ7-J00 (2015).
004 885	30	Riochem 46 275-291 (2008)
886	30	Spreitzer R I Peddi S R & Satagonan S Phylogenetic engineering at an
887	57	interface between large and small subunits imparts land-plant kinetic properties to
888		algal Rubisco. Proc Natl Acad Sci 102, 17225-17230 (2005)
889	40	Andersson I Catalysis and regulation in Rubisco I Frn Rat 59 1555-1568 (2008)
890	41	von Caemmerer S & Eurbank R T The C_4 nathway: an efficient CO_2 nump
891	71	Photosynth Res 77 191-207 doi:10.1023/a:1025830019591 (2003)
892	42	Still C. J. Pau, S. & Edwards, E. J. Land surface skin temperature captures thermal
893	.2	environments of C_3 and C_4 grasses. <i>Glob Ecol Biogeo</i> 23 , 286-296 (2014).
894	43	Galmés, J. et al. Environmentally driven evolution of Rubisco and improved
895		photosynthesis and growth within the C ₃ genus <i>Limonium</i> (Plumbaginaceae). <i>New</i>
896		Phytol 203, 989-999 (2014).
897	44	Whitney, S. M. & Andrews, T. J. Plastome-encoded bacterial ribulose-1, 5-
898		bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) supports photosynthesis and
899		growth in tobacco. Proc Nat Acad Sci 98, 14738-14743 (2001).
900	45	Parry, M. A. J. et al. Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop
901		improvement. J Exp Bot 64, 717-730 (2013).
902	46	Bortesi, L. & Fischer, R. The CRISPR/Cas9 system for plant genome editing and
903		beyond. Biotech Adv 33, 41-52 (2015).
904	47	Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
905		analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinform 30, 1312-1313 (2014).
906	48	Whitney, S. M., Birch, R., Kelso, C., Beck, J. L. & Kapralov, M. V. Improving
907		recombinant Rubisco biogenesis, plant photosynthesis and growth by coexpressing
908		its ancillary RAF1 chaperone. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112, 3564-3569 (2015).
909	49	von Caemmerer, S. & Evans, J. R. Temperature responses of mesophyll
910		conductance differ greatly between species. Plant Cell Env 38, 629-637 (2015).
911	50	Bernacchi, C. J., Pimentel, C. & Long, S. P. In vivo temperature response functions
912		of parameters required to model RuBP-limited photosynthesis. Plant Cell Env 26,
913		1419-1430 (2003).