

LJMU Research Online

Umeh, FK

Personal care plans and glycaemic control: the role of body mass index and physical activity

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/6313/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Umeh, FK (2017) Personal care plans and glycaemic control: the role of body mass index and physical activity. British Journal of Nursing, 26 (10). pp. 543-551. ISSN 0966-0461

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Personal care plans and glycaemic
2	control: the role of body mass index
3	and physical activity
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 <u>ABSTRACT</u>

23

24 Background

- 25 Although BMI (body mass index) and physical activity are implicated in diabetes
- 26 complications, it is unclear how these factors influence personalised care planning linked to
- 27 glycaemic control. This study assessed the mediating effects of BMI and physical activity on
- relations between personalised care plans (PCPs) and glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) levels,
- 29 using population-based data.
- 30

31 Method

32 Bootstrapping was used to analyse PCP, HbA_{1c}, BMI, and physical activity data from 3894

respondents to the 2014 Health Survey for England, for whom HbA_{1c} data was available,

regardless of diabetes status. This group comprised 1812 (46.5%) males, 17 and 2082

35 (53.5%) females, aged 16 to 90 (Mean = 51.68 years, SD = 17.25).

36

37 **Results**

38 Patients with a PCP had higher HbA_{1c} levels compared to those without a care plan. BMI

influenced this relationship amongst patients aged 40 to 60; those with a PCP and higher

40 HbA_{1c} also tended to have higher BMI values. Physical activity did not affect the relationship

41 between PCPs and glycaemic control.

42

43 Conclusions

44 BMI, but not physical activity, partly explained higher HbA_{1c} levels in patients with a PCP.

45 Given recent population-based evidence implicating exercise in diabetes complications, some

46 debate is needed on the role of physical activity in personalised care planning and glycaemic47 control.

49	KEY POINTS
50	• Patients with a PCP (personal care plan) have higher HbA _{1c} values.
51	• BMI partly explains higher HbA _{1c} levels in patients with a PCP.
52	• Physical activity is not implicated in the relationship between PCPs and HbA _{1c} levels.
53	• Given that population-based prospective evidence implicates physical activity in
54	diabetes-related complications, there is need for some debate on the role of physical
55	activity in personalised care planning and glycaemic control.
56	
57	
58	Key words
59	Personal care plan; glycaemic control; BMI; physical activity
60	
61	Acknowledgements
62	The author wishes to thank the UK Data Service for making the HSE data available
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	

71 **INTRODUCTION**

4

72 Blood glucose control is a critical aspect of diabetes care (Jia, 2016). People with diabetes, or 73 individuals experiencing hyperglycaemia (Godoy et al., 2012, Farrokhi et al., 2011) may be 74 offered personal care plans (PCPs) (Coulter et al., 2013) to help them manage their blood glucose (Diabetes UK, 2009). A PCP usually consists of a written document outlining 75 76 specific goals, and activities designed to achieve these objectives (Diabetes UK, 2009, 77 Coulter et al., 2013, The Health Developer Network, 2016). PCPs reflect a partnership 78 between the doctor/nurse and their patients (Diabetes UK, 2017), are essential for effective 79 self-management (Jansen et al., 2015, Tarkin et al., 2008), and have been implicated in improved patient outcomes (Hird et al., 2015, Russell et al., 2008). 80 81 PCPs are presumed to play an important role in HbA_{1c} (glycated haemoglobin) levels 82 (Diabetes UK, 2009). Setting clear goals for glycaemic control (e.g., achievable HbA_{1c} 83 targets), and designating specific actions to attain these objectives (e.g., weekly participation 84 in a local sports programme), can help patients initiate and sustain key lifestyle changes 85 essential for reducing HbA_{1c} (Coulter et al., 2013). A recent Cochrane review of the effects of personalised care planning in adults with long-term conditions found HbA_{1c} levels to be 86 87 0.24% lower in patients with a PCP, compared to those receiving usual care (Coulter et al., 2015). Thus, HbA_{1c} level is an important criterion that GPs consider in deciding which 88 89 patients to offer a PCP (Diabetes UK, 2017). 90 In 2015 Diabetes UK launched an 'Information Prescription' scheme to ensure 91 diabetes patients who fail to meet HbA_{1c} , blood pressure and cholesterol targets receive a 92 one-page PCP containing specific action plans for improving metabolic control (e.g., 93 reducing dietary fat, performing 150 minutes of moderate aerobic activity per week, and

strength exercises ≥ 2 days per week) (Diabetes UK, 2017, Diabetes UK, 2015a). Patients

95 with high blood pressure, high total cholesterol-to-HDL (high density lipoprotein) ratios, and

96 high HbA_{1c} have a greater risk of developing complications, and hence are likely to benefit from personalised care (Diabetes UK, 2017). Information prescriptions are integrated into 97 primary care IT systems, such as EMIS Web, so that GPs receive an automated alert if a 98 99 specific patient is failing to meet their metabolic targets (Diabetes UK, 2015b). Diabetes UK literature suggests over 1000 diabetes patients a month use information prescriptions to 100 101 manage their condition (Diabetes UK, 2015a). Information prescriptions can be considered a 102 specific IT-based PCP designed to improve glycaemic control in diabetes patients with a high 103 risk of complications (Diabetes UK, 2015a).

104 Glycaemic control is influenced by BMI (body mass index) and physical activity (Malnick and Knobler, 2006, Hu et al., 2014, Bhupathiraju and Hu, 2016, Gay et al., 2016, 105 106 Cuenca-Garcia et al., 2012, Hamer et al., 2014). Lower BMI values are associated with better 107 HbA_{1c} outcomes (Patiakas and Charalampous, 2010, Senechal et al., 2013, Diels et al., 2014). For example, one study of type 2 diabetes patients found that a decrease in waist 108 109 circumference, and increased physical fitness, was associated with an increased likelihood of 110 significant HbA_{1c} reductions (> 0.5%) (Senechal et al., 2013). A prospective study of data 111 from a 1958 birth cohort revealed that early onset of overweight/obesity was implicated in a 23.9-fold increased risk of a HbA_{1c} value \geq 7% (Power and Thomas, 2011). An investigation 112 of 2707 adults at risk from type 2 diabetes implicated higher amounts of moderate-to-113 vigorous physical activity in lower HbA_{1c} values (Gay et al., 2016). Moderate-to-vigorous 114 115 has been found to predict improved metabolic outcomes, including HbA_{1c} levels, in healthy adults (Hamer et al., 2014). 116

BMI and physical activity affect the risk of complications in diabetes patients (Segula, 2014, Blomster et al., 2013). For example, obesity (i.e., $BMI \ge 30$) is strongly implicated in cardiovascular disease (Wilson et al., 2002), high blood pressure (Segula, 2014), and higher levels of LDL cholesterol (Varbo et al., 2015). Physical inactivity has been linked to impaired renal function, increasing retinopathy, and other complications, in patients with type 1
diabetes (Waden et al., 2008). Evidence from a long-term prospective study of over 11,000
patients with type 2 diabetes found that moderate-to-vigorous levels of physical activity (of at
least 15 minutes per week) at baseline was associated with a reduced incidence of
cardiovascular events, microvascular complications, and mortality rates, over a 5-year period
(Blomster et al., 2013).

127 Despite evidence implicating BMI/physical activity in HbA_{1c} levels (Senechal et al., 128 2013, Quirk et al., 2014), and diabetes complications (Blomster et al., 2013, Waden et al., 129 2008, Segula, 2014), it is unclear the extent to which these factors influence the relationship between PCPs and glycaemic control (Diabetes UK, 2017). Although BMI and physical 130 131 activity are not part of the criteria for offering information prescriptions to patients, (Diabetes 132 UK, 2015a), they nevertheless constitute key lifestyle changes recommended for lowering 133 HbA_{1c} in personalised care planning (Diabetes UK, 2009, Diabetes UK, 2015a). Thus, it 134 follows that HbA_{1c} reductions associated with having a PCP will be partly attributable to 135 changes in BMI and/or levels of physical activity. Similarly, poor weight control, and/or failure to adhere to physical activity targets, may negative the influence of PCPs on 136 137 glycaemic control.

Nurses typically form part of health care teams who work in partnership with patients 138 139 to arrange and monitor PCPs (Coulter et al., 2013), including information prescriptions 140 (Diabetes UK, 2015b, Diabetes UK, 2017). Guidance published by Diabetes UK makes provision for a health professional to be named on information prescriptions, with a statement 141 specifically inviting patients to discuss and agree achievable HbA1c targets with a doctor or 142 143 nurse (Diabetes UK, 2015a). There is particular emphasis on controlling HbA_{1c} levels, in order to reduce the risk of complications (Diabetes UK, 2015a). Prescriptions makes specific 144 145 reference to lifestyle factors, meaning patient consultations are likely to involve

conversations about BMI/physical activity, in relation to glycaemic control (Diabetes UK,
2015a). As both BMI and physical activity contribute significantly to HbA_{1c}, and related
complications (Segula, 2014, Bhupathiraju and Hu, 2016, Blomster et al., 2013), it is
essential to better understand how these factors influence the relationship between PCPs and
HbA_{1c} levels (Diabetes UK, 2015a).

- 151
- 152 <u>AIM</u>

153 This study had two objectives. The first was to establish the association between PCPs and 154 HbA_{1c} levels. Current literature suggests HbA_{1c} can be both a precursor and outcome of PCPs. In the former scenario HbA_{1c} level is used as a criterion for offering PCPs to patients 155 156 (Diabetes UK, 2015a, Diabetes UK, 2015b). In the latter situation, PCPs can help patients 157 lower their HbA_{1c} level (Coulter et al., 2015). Both directions of causality are valid. For the 158 purposes of this paper, PCP status (i.e., whether or not a patient has a PCP) was treated as the 159 'predictor' variable, and HbA_{1c} as the 'outcome' measure. This is consistent with a primary 160 objective of personalised care - to improve glycaemic control (Coulter et al., 2015) - but does not preclude the use of HbA_{1c} as a basis for offering PCPs to patients (Diabetes UK, 161 162 2015a, Diabetes UK, 2017). The second objective was to determine the extent to which BMI and physical activity explain any relationship between PCPs and HbA_{1c} levels. 163

164 It was expected that (a) patients with PCPs will have lower HbA_{1c} levels compared to 165 patients who had not agreed a care plan, and (b) BMI and physical activity will be implicated 166 in this relationship, as mediating factors, such that the relationship between PCPs and HbA_{1c} 167 is partly explained by BMI and physical activity. Thus, for example, lower HbA_{1c} values in 168 patients with a PCP may partly reflect lower BMI scores, and/or greater physical activity 169 levels in such patients. These hypotheses were tested both prior to and following adjustments 170 for selected covariates, including diabetes status. 171 <u>METHOD</u>

- 173 *Sample and procedure*
- 174 This study analysed data on PCP status, HbA_{1c}, BMI, and physical activity, obtained from the
- 175 2014 Health Survey for England (HSE), an annual exercise that assesses health-related
- parameters and lifestyle factors in children and adults (Health Survey for England, 2014).
- 177 The survey is commissioned by the Health and Social Care Information Centre, and consists
- 178 of an interview (including self-administered questionnaires), followed by a visit by a nurse to
- 179 collect biomedical data. The 2014 survey was completed by 8,077 adults (aged 16 and over),
- and over 2000 children (aged 0 to 15). The study reported here analysed data from 3894
- adults for whom HbA_{1c} data was available. This group comprised 1812 (46.5%) males, and
- 182 2082 (53.5%) females, aged 16 to 90 (Mean = 51.68 years, SD = 17.25). The sample (92%)
- 183 was predominantly Caucasian.
- 184
- 185 Measures
- *Glycated haemoglobin* (HbA_{1c}) was based on non-fasting blood samples, and (for this
 study) calibrated in mmol/mol. HbA_{1c} data provides a measure of average blood glucose
 levels over the previous three months (Jia, 2016).
- PCP status was assessed via two questions. Firstly, respondents were asked if (a) they
 had ever had a PCP-related discussion with a doctor/nurse regarding a long-term condition,
 'Yes' (1)/ 'No or not sure' (0); and (b) whether they had agreed a PCP with a health
 professional during the past 12 months, 'no PCP agreed' (0)/ 'agreed a PCP < or > 12 months
 ago' (1). Responses to both items were combined to form a PCP index, with a higher
- indicating a better PCP status (e.g., discussed and/or agreed a PCP).

195 Physical activity was measured using the short IPAQ (International Physical Activity 196 Ouestionnaire) (Booth, 2000). The IPAO/Short assesses three activity levels – *walking*, 197 moderate-intensity, and vigorous-intensity – across several domains (leisure time, 198 domestic/gardening, work/transport-based). Respondents receive a score for each level, 199 reflecting a summation of duration (minutes) and frequency (days). For the purposes of this 200 study, six separate scores were evaluated: total number of minutes usually spent per day, and in the last 7 days, doing (a) 'vigorous-intensity' activities, (b) 'moderate-intensity' activities, 201 202 and (c) walking.

BMI was computed by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in metres
(kg/m²) (Nuttall, 2015). Adults (aged > 16) were classified into the following groups: Less
than 18.5 'Underweight'; 18.5 to less than 25 'Normal'; 25 to less than 30 'Overweight'; 30
or more 'Obese'; 40 or more 'Morbidly obese'. The present study evaluated raw BMI scores,
for the purposes of hypotheses testing, and BMI groups (excluding the underweight category,
and combining obese and morbidly obese groups) for descriptive statistics.

Other variables assessed included blood pressure, and diabetes status. *Blood pressure* was assessed using the Omron HEM 907 blood pressure monitor. Respondents were classified into three groups: BP under 130/80, BP under 140/90, but not under 130/80, and BP over 140/90. Respondents also indicated whether they had been diagnosed with high blood pressure by a doctor; 'Yes' (1), 'No' (2). *Diabetes status* was assessed by asking respondents if they currently have or have ever had diabetes, 'Yes' (1)/ 'No' (0).

216	
217	Insert Table 1 about here
218	
219	



229

230 <u>RESULTS</u>

231 Descriptive statistics (means/SD) are presented in Table 1. PCP data was available for 42.7% 232 (n = 1662) respondents. The vast majority of this subgroup (87.7%) had not agreed a PCP 233 with a health professional, while the remainder (12.3%) had agreed a PCP with a 234 doctor/nurse, \leq or \geq 12 months ago. These groups did not differ on measures physical activity (both groups achieved the recommended \geq 150 minutes of moderate-intensity activity), BMI 235 score (both groups classified as 'Overweight'), mental wellbeing score, or gender 236 237 distribution. There were also no group differences in the proportion who had been diagnosed 238 with high blood pressure by a doctor/nurse (over 98% of respondents had received this 239 diagnosis), and the proportion receiving earnings from employment or self-employment. However, patients who had agreed a PCP were slightly younger, more likely to have been 240 diagnosed with diabetes, and had higher blood glucose levels (HbA_{1c}). 241 242 Table 2 shows the bivariate associations between variables. Patients who had discussed and agreed a PCP with their doctor were younger, generated higher HbA1c values, 243 more likely to have been diagnosed with diabetes, and performed fewer minutes of moderate-244

intensity physical activity during the previous 7 days. In addition to denoting diabetes status,
higher HbA_{1c} values were associated with greater BMI, and fewer minutes of physical
activity per day/week. Higher BMI values also depicted older age, doctor-diagnosed HBP,
having ever had diabetes, and fewer minutes of physical activity. Gender differences are also
noteworthy: overall, females had lower blood pressure, and lower levels of light (i.e.,
walking), moderate-, and vigorous-intensity physical activity per day, and during the past 7
days.

252 Hypothesis testing was performed using a bootstrapping SPSS dialogue (Hayes, 2013, 253 Hayes, 2009). Bootstrapping was performed separately for each of the following age-related 254 subgroups: aged up to 39; over 40; over 50; and over 60. In each bootstrapping model HbA_{1c} 255 (mmol/mol) was entered as the outcome ('Variable Y'), while PCP status was treated as the 256 predictor ('Variable X'). BMI and the six IPAQ/physical activity levels, were treated as 257 mediator variables ('Variables M'). Diabetes status (whether participants had ever had 258 diabetes), blood pressure (doctor-diagnosed), and gender, were treated as control variables 259 (i.e., covariates). The conservative Sobel test was used to determine mediation. Results are shown in *Tables* 3 and 4. 260

0 to 39 years. PCP status directly predicted glycaemic control, such that people who
had agreed a PCP had higher HbA_{1c} values compared to those without a PCP. Neither BMI
nor physical activity mediated this relationship.

264 *Over 40 years.* PCP status directly predicted HbA_{1c}; patients with a PCP tended to 265 have poorer glycaemic control. This relationship was mediated by BMI, whereby patients 266 who had a care plan had both higher BMI and higher HbA_{1c} values, compared to those 267 without a plan. This depicted a mediator effect because greater BMI was also associated with 268 higher HbA_{1c} levels (see *Figure* 1). This indirect effect was significant based on the 269 conservative Sobel test (z = 2.15, p < 0.05), and accounted for 13.1% of the total effect of

270	PCP status on HbA _{1c} . Controlling for diabetes status and other covariates attenuated the
271	indirect effect (Sobel test $p > 0.05$), but did not completely abolish it (see <i>Table 3</i>).
272	Over 50 years. Having a PCP was associated with higher HbA _{1c} levels in this group.
273	This association was mediated by BMI, whereby those with a care plan had higher BMI, and
274	poorer glycaemic control, compared to people without a PCP (see Figure 2). The Sobel test
275	for this indirect effect was significant (z = 2.06, $p < 0.05$). The mediator effect accounted for
276	18.2% of the total effect of PCP status on HbA1c. Adjusting for covariates weakened but did
277	not entirely negate the indirect effect (Table 3).
278	Over 60 years. Although having a PCP predicted higher HbA _{1c} values, neither BMI
279	nor physical activity mediated this relationship.

280	
281	Insert Figure 1 about here
282	
283	
284	Insert Figure 2 about here
285	

286 **DISCUSSION**

287 Contrary to what was hypothesised patients with a PCP had higher HbA_{1c} levels. However, higher BMI scores partly explained this relationship (see Figures 1 and 2). Previous research 288 has implicated BMI in elevated HbA_{1c} (Power and Thomas, 2011, Senechal et al., 2013, 289 290 Patiakas and Charalampous, 2010). Interestingly, there was no evidence implicating physical 291 activity in PCP – HbA_{1c} relations, despite previous studies associating exercise with glycaemic control (Umpierre et al., 2011, Gay et al., 2016, Hamer et al., 2014). 292 293 Previous research has implicated PCPs in *lower* HbA_{1c} (Coulter et al., 2015). An obvious explanation for the higher HbA_{1c} levels observed here is the mediating effect of BMI. It is 294

295 possible PCPs may lead to elevated HbA_{1c} values, if patients are gaining weight, perhaps due to noncompliance with PCP targets or action plans, and/or other factors, such poor doctor-296 297 patient interaction (Paternotte et al., 2015). Previous research shows a strong connection 298 between higher BMI scores and higher HbA_{1c}, with one study linking elevated BMI scores in childhood to a 23.9-fold increased risk of a HbA_{1c} \ge 7% later in life (Power and Thomas, 299 300 2011). Thus, patients with high HbA_{1c}/BMI stand to benefit considerably from information prescriptions (Diabetes UK, 2015a) and other forms of personalised care (Coulter et al., 301 2013) that specifically target weight control. The fact that BMI mediated the PCP – HbA_{1c} 302 303 relationship specifically in 40 to 60 year olds suggests BMI plays a particularly important role in personalised care and glycaemic control in middle-aged patients (Owen et al., 2015). 304 305 Another possible explanation for the higher HbA_{1c} levels in patients with PCPs is that 306 care plans tend to be offered to patients with poorer glycaemic control (Diabetes UK, 2015a). Offering PCPs to people with higher HbA_{1c} reflects current recommendations that 307 308 information prescriptions should target individuals at high risk of complications (i.e., high 309 HbA_{1c}) (Diabetes UK, 2017). The mediating effect of BMI may simply reflect the fact that patients with high HbA_{1c} also tend to have high BMI scores (Power and Thomas, 2011), 310 and/or that GPs are simply more likely to offer PCPs to patients exhibiting both risk factors 311 (Diabetes UK, 2009). 312

The fact that physical activity did not affect relations between PCPs and HbA_{1c} is worrying given that inactivity significantly increases the risk of complications (Waden et al., 2008, Blomster et al., 2013). Evidence from a long-term prospective study associates moderate-to-vigorous levels of activity with a reduced risk of cardiovascular problems, microvascular complications, and premature mortality (Blomster et al., 2013). Although other research suggests no link between exercise and complications (Makura et al., 2013), the availability of population-based prospective data (Blomster et al., 2013) suggests physical 320 inactivity should be an important factor in personalised care planning and glycaemic control. 321 This seems particularly relevant to middle-aged/older patients. This demographic may find moderate-to-vigorous intensity exercises (e.g., fast cycling, running) particularly challenging, 322 323 especially if conducted on a regular basis (Sparling et al., 2015), negating the glycaemic benefits (Kennedy et al., 2013). Other factors, such as increased calorie intake, or variations 324 325 in insulin dosage, may also attenuate the effect of physical activity on HbA_{1c}, and should be 326 carefully explored by doctors and patients when setting up PCPs (Kennedy et al., 2013). 327 This study has some limitations. Firstly, while BMI mediated the PCP – HbA_{1c} 328 association, BMI is a poor index of body fat, or morbidity and mortality risk (Nuttall, 2015). 329 Another problem is that data analysis did not control for every covariate relevant to PCP 330 status, BMI, and HbA_{1c} (e.g., dietary intake, or insulin resistance). Additionally, there is 331 uncertainty regarding the actual content of PCPs agreed with patients in this data set; due to 332 the personalised nature of PCPs, the HSE does include individual HbA_{1c} targets, or

recommended lifestyle changes. Furthermore, the HSE data analysed here pre-dates the

launch of information prescriptions by Diabetes UK (Diabetes UK, 2015b). As this new

personalised care tool is IT-based and can be deployed in a matter of minutes (Diabetes UK,

2017), it's impact on glycaemic control may be more dramatic than more generic PCP

formats (Coulter et al., 2013). Finally, the cross-sectional nature of the design precludes

inferences about the possible direction of causality.

This is the first study to examine how BMI and physical activity influence relations between personalised care planning and glycaemic control (Diabetes UK, 2017). The study suggests BMI partly explains higher HbA_{1c} levels in patients with a PCP. The irrelevance of physical activity in this context is worrying given recent population-based prospective evidence implicating exercise intensity in diabetes complications (Blomster et al., 2013). These findings are particularly important given the current emphasis on the use of

- information prescriptions to improve patient outcomes (Diabetes UK, 2015b). If physical
- activity level is a precursor for complications (Blomster et al., 2013), then there needs to be
- 347 some debate amongst doctors/nurses, in partnership with patients, on the role of exercise in
- 348 personalised care regarding glycaemic control.
- 349

350 <u>**REFERENCES**</u>

- Bhupathiraju, SN & Hu, FB 2016. Epidemiology of Obesity and Diabetes and Their
 Cardiovascular Complications. *Circulation Research*, 118, 1723-1735.
- Blomster, JI, Chow, CK, Zoungas, S, Woodward, M, Patel, A, Poulter, NR, Marre, M,
- Harrap, S, Chalmers, J & Hillis, GS 2013. The influence of physical activity on
 vascular complications and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

356 *Diabetes Obesity & Metabolism*, 15, 1008-1012.

- Booth, M 2000. Assessment of physical activity: an international perspective. *Res Q Exerc Sport*, 71 Suppl 2, 114-20.
- Coulter, A, Entwistle, VA, Eccles, A, Ryan, S, Shepperd, S & Perera, R 2015. Personalised
 care planning for adults with chronic or long-term health conditions. *The Cochrane Database Of Systematic Reviews*.
- 362 Coulter, A, Roberts, S & Dixon, A 2013. Delivering better services for people with long-term
 363 conditions: Building the house of care. London.
- 364 Cuenca-Garcia, M, Jago, R, Shield, JPH & Burren, CP 2012. How does physical activity and
- 365 fitness influence glycaemic control in young people with Type 1 diabetes? *Diabetic*366 *Medicine*, 29, e369-e376.
- 367 Diabetes Uk 2009. Diabetes care and you: what diabetes care you can expect. *Diabetes UK*.
 368 London: Diabetes UK.

- 369 Diabetes Uk. 2015a. *Information Prescriptions* [Online]. London: Diabetes UK. Available:
- 370 <u>https://www.diabetes.org.uk/Global/professionals/INFO%20P%20RESOURCE_no%</u>
- 371 <u>20print%20marks.pdf</u> [Accessed 8 February 2017].
- 372 Diabetes Uk. 2015b. *Launch of Information Prescriptions* [Online]. London: Diabetes UK.
- 373 Available: <u>https://www.diabetes.org.uk/About_us/News/Launch-of-information-</u>
- 374 prescriptions-for-people-with-diabetes/ [Accessed 8 February 2017].
- 375 Diabetes Uk. 2017. Information Prescriptions Living Well [Online]. London: Diabetes UK.
- 376 Available: <u>https://www.diabetes.org.uk/Guide-to-diabetes/Managing-your-</u>
- 377 <u>diabetes/information-prescriptions/</u> [Accessed 8 February 2017].
- 378 Diels, J, Angermund, R, Schroeder, M, Worbes-Cerezo, M & Thompson, G 2014. The

379 Efficacy and Effectiveness in HBA1C-Lowering is Dependent on Baseline Body

- 380 Mass Index (BMI) for Sitagliptin but not Canagliflozin in the Treatment of Type 2
- 381Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). Value In Health: The Journal Of The International

382 Society For Pharmacoeconomics And Outcomes Research, 17, A334.

- Farrokhi, F, Smiley, D & Umpierrez, GE 2011. Glycemic control in non-diabetic critically ill
 patients. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 25, 813824.
- Gay, JL, Buchner, DM & Schmidt, MD 2016. Dose-response association of physical activity
 with HbA1c: Intensity and bout length. *Preventive Medicine*, 86, 58-63.

388 Godoy, DA, Soler, C, Videtta, W, Castillo Fuenzalida, L, Paranhos, J, Costilla, M, Pinero, G,

- Jibaja, M, Melo, LJ & Latin-American Brain Injury, C 2012. Hyperglycemia in
- nondiabetic patients during the acute phase of stroke. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*, 70, 134-9.
- Hamer, M, Stamatakis, E & Steptoe, A 2014. Effects of Substituting Sedentary Time with
- 392 Physical Activity on Metabolic Risk. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*,
- **393 46,** 1946-1950.

- Hayes, AF 2009. Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new
 millennium. *Communication Monographs*, 76, 408-420.
- Hayes, AF 2013. Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis:
 A Regression-based Approach, New York, NY, The Guilford Press.
- Health Survey for England 2014. Methods and documentation. Leeds: NatCen Social
 Research, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, UCL.
- 400 Hird, AE, Lemke, M, Turovsky, M, Malecki, V, Kumar, K, Deangelis, C, Chow, E & Ko, YJ
- 401 2015. Doctor, what are my options? A prospective cohort study of an individualized
- 402 care plan for patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *Current Oncology*, 22, E171-E177.
- Hu, Y, Bhupathiraju, SN, De Koning, L & Hu, FB 2014. Duration of Obesity and Overweight
 and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Among US Women. *Obesity*, 22, 2267-2273.
- Jansen, DL, Heijmans, M & Rijken, M 2015. Individual care plans for chronically ill patients
 within primary care in the Netherlands: Dissemination and associations with patient
 characteristics and patient-perceived quality of care. *Scand J Prim Health Care*, 33,
 100-6.
- Jia, WP 2016. Standardising HbA1c-based diabetes diagnosis: opportunities and challenges.
 Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics, 16, 343-355.
- 411 Kennedy, A, Nirantharakumar, K, Chimen, M, Pang, TT, Hemming, K, Andrews, RC &
- 412 Narendran, P 2013. Does Exercise Improve Glycaemic Control in Type 1 Diabetes? A
 413 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Plos One*, 8.
- 414 Makura, CBT, Nirantharakumar, K, Girling, AJ, Saravanan, P & Narendran, P 2013. Effects
- 415 of physical activity on the development and progression of microvascular
- 416 complications in type 1 diabetes: retrospective analysis of the DCCT study. *Bmc*
- 417 *Endocrine Disorders*, 13.

- 418 Malnick, SDH & Knobler, H 2006. The medical complications of obesity. *Qjm-an*419 *International Journal of Medicine*, 99, 565-579.
- 420 Nuttall, FQ 2015. Body Mass Index: Obesity, BMI, and Health: A Critical Review. *Nutrition*421 *Today*, 50, 117-128.
- 422 Owen, CG, Kapetanakis, VV, Rudnicka, AR, Wathern, AK, Lennon, L, Papacosta, O, Cook,
- 423 DG, Wannamethee, SG & Whincup, PH 2015. Body mass index in early and middle
- 424 adult life: prospective associations with myocardial infarction, stroke and diabetes
- 425 over a 30-year period: the British Regional Heart Study. *Bmj Open*, 5.
- 426 Paternotte, E, Van Dulmen, S, Van Der Lee, N, Scherpbier, AJ & Scheele, F 2015. Factors
- 427 influencing intercultural doctor-patient communication: a realist review. *Patient Educ*428 *Couns*, 98, 420-45.
- 429 Patiakas, S & Charalampous, C 2010. Correlation between the Glycated Hemoglobin (Hba1c)
 430 Level, the Arterial Blood Pressure (Ap) and the Body Mass Index (Bmi) in Diabetic
 431 Patients, and Evaluation of Its Utility as a C. *Journal of Hypertension*, 28, E300-
- 432 E300.
- 433 Power, C & Thomas, C 2011. Changes in BMI, duration of overweight and obesity, and
- 434 glucose metabolism: 45 years of follow-up of a birth cohort. *Diabetes Care*, 34, 1986435 91.
- 436 Quirk, H, Blake, H, Tennyson, R, Randell, TL & Glazebrook, C 2014. Physical activity
- 437 interventions in children and young people with Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a
- 438 systematic review with meta-analysis. *Diabetic Medicine*, 31, 1163-1173.
- Russell, G, Thille, P, Hogg, W & Lemelin, J 2008. Beyond fighting fires and chasing tails?
 Chronic illness care plans in Ontario, Canada. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 6, 146-153.
- 441 Segula, D 2014. Complications of obesity in adults: A short review of the literature. *Malawi*
- 442 *Medical Journal*, 26, 20-24.

443	Senechal, M, Swift, DL, Johannsen, NM, Blair, SN, Earnest, CP, Lavie, CJ & Church, TS
444	2013. Changes in body fat distribution and fitness are associated with changes in
445	hemoglobin A1c after 9 months of exercise training: results from the HART-D study.
446	Diabetes Care, 36, 2843-9.
447	Sparling, PB, Howard, BJ, Dunstan, DW & Owen, N 2015. Recommendations for physical
448	activity in older adults. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed), 350, h100.
449	Tarkin, IS, Sop, A & Pape, HC 2008. High-energy foot and ankle trauma: principles for
450	formulating an individualized care plan. Foot Ankle Clin, 13, 705-23.
451	The Health Developer Network. 2016. Care plan overview [Online]. London. Available:
452	http://developer.nhs.uk/library/systems/care-plans/care-plan-overview/ [Accessed 28
453	June 2016].
454	Umpierre, D, Ribeiro, PA, Kramer, CK, Leitao, CB, Zucatti, AT, Azevedo, MJ, Gross, JL,
455	Ribeiro, JP & Schaan, BD 2011. Physical activity advice only or structured exercise
456	training and association with HbA1c levels in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review
457	and meta-analysis. JAMA, 305, 1790-9.
458	Varbo, A, Benn, M, Smith, GD, Timpson, NJ, Tybjaerg-Hansen, A & Nordestgaard, BG
459	2015. Remnant Cholesterol, Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol, and Blood
460	Pressure as Mediators From Obesity to Ischemic Heart Disease. Circulation Research,
461	116, 665-673.
462	Waden, J, Forsblom, C, Thorn, LM, Saraheimo, M, Rosengard-Barlund, M, Heikkila, O,
463	Lakka, TA, Tikkanen, H, Groop, PH & Grp, FS 2008. Physical Activity and Diabetes
464	Complications in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes The Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy
465	(FinnDiane) Study. Diabetes Care, 31, 230-232.

466	Wilson, PWF, D'agostino, RB, Sullivan, L, Parise, H & Kannel, WB 2002. Overweight and
467	obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk - The Framingham experience.
468	Archives of Internal Medicine, 162, 1867-1872.
469	
470	
471	
472	
473	
474	
475	
476	
477	
478	
479	
480	
481	
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	
490	



activity; BMI = Body mass index.

		PCP status	
Variables	No PCP agreed	Agreed PCP $<$ or > 12 months	Р
		ago	
Sample size (%)	1458 (87.7%)	204 (12.3%)	
Age	57.85 (16.87)	55.08 (15.94)	t(1660) = 2.25, p < 0.05
Gender (Male/Female)	638 (86.8%)/820 (88.5%)	97 (13.2%)/107 (11.5%)	Not Significant
HbA _{1c} (mmol/mol)	39.67 (10.32)	42.07 (13.98)	t(1660) = -2.36, p < 0.05
BMI (Body mass index)	28.52 (5.52)	29.13 (6.05)	Not Significant
Diabetes status (currently have, or ever had	172 (11.8%)/1284 (88.2%)	37 (18.1%)/167 (81.9%)	$\chi(1) = 6.50, p < 0.01$
diabetes) (Yes/No)			
High blood pressure – doctor diagnosed	585 (98%)/12 (2%)	82 (98.8%)/1(1.2%)	Not Significant
(Yes/No)			
Minutes VPA per day	57.62 (106.13)	52.20 (104.47)	Not Significant
Minutes MPA per day	64.00 (103.14)	50.33 (85.31)	Not Significant
Minutes Walking per day	78.18 (99.62)	85.04 (118.45)	Not Significant
Minutes VPA per week	214.06 (542.67)	217.42 (578.30)	Not Significant
Minutes MPA per week	279.64 (581.86)	212.51 (482.57)	Not Significant
Minutes Walking per week	424.20 (624.99)	456.83 (749.69)	Not Significant

Figures show the mean (+ standard deviation) or count (+ percentage). PCP = Personal care plan (status); MPA = Moderate-intensity activity; VPA = Vigorous-intensity

Table 2 Bivariate correlations and descriptive statistics

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
1) PCP index	-													
2) Age	-0.057 ^a	-												
3) Gender (M/F)	-0.037	0.011	-											
4) Hb _{A1c} mmol/ml	0.088^{b}	0.297 ^b	-0.016	-										
5) HBP (doctor)	-0.002	0.381 ^b	-0.034 ^a	0.255 ^b	-									
6) Diabetes (Y/N)	0.086^{b}	0.165 ^b	-0.022	0.599 ^b	0.203 ^b	-								
7) BMI score	0.047	0.163 ^b	-0.029	0.249 ^b	0.225 ^b	0.178^{b}	-							
8) VPA min p/d	-0.025	-0.111 ^b	-0.177 ^b	-0.030	-0.071 ^b	-0.038 ^a	-0.049 ^b	-						
9) MPA min p/d	-0.041	-0.074 ^b	-0.135 ^b	-0.053 ^b	-0.063 ^b	-0.061 ^b	-0.026	0.474b	-					
10) WK min p/d	0.012	-0.104 ^b	-0.075 ^b	-0.057 ^b	-0.082 ^b	-0.033	-0.057 ^b	0.345b	0.361 ^b	-				
11) VPA min p/w	-0.029	-0.096 ^b	-0.173 ^b	-0.023	-0.063 ^b	-0.041 ^a	-0.034 ^a	0.907b	0.454 ^b	0.340 ^b	-			
12) MPA min p/w	-0.057 ^a	-0.075 ^b	-0.131 ^b	-0.039 ^a	-0.052 ^b	-0.047 ^b	-0.010	0.443b	0.905 ^b	0.368 ^b	0.485 ^b	-		
13) WK min p/w	0.002	-0.111 ^b	-0.073 ^b	-0.062 ^b	-0.086 ^b	-0.036 ^a	-0.059 ^b	0.345b	0.369 ^b	0.945 ^b	0.360 ^b	0.404 ^b	-	
14) \geq 30 min of	-0.020	-0.225 ^b	-0.106 ^b	-0.171 ^b	-0.155 ^b	-0.116 ^b	-0.138 ^b	0.420b	0.431 ^b	0.164 ^b	0.311 ^b	0.335 ^b	0.156 ^b	-
MPA/VPA p/wk														

Note. HBP (High Blood Pressure), BMI (Body Mass Index), VPA (Vigorous-intensity physical activity), MPA (Moderate-intensity physical activity),

WK (Walking), p/d (per day), p/w (per week). HBP reflects doctor-diagnosed cases. All physical activity variables denote total number of minutes spent on the specified activity. Superscripts: ${}^{a}p < .05$, ${}^{b}p < .01$, ${}^{c}p < .001$.

- 530 531 532
- 535

Table 3 – Mediating effects of BMI and physical activity on the PCP – HbA1c association, before and after adjusting for diabetes status and other covariates.

	Age groups								
Regression pathways	0-3	39	Over	Over 40		Over 50		Over 60	
	Effect	(CI)	Effect	(CI)	Effect	(CI)	Effect	(CI)	
Total effect of PCP on HbA _{1c}	1.58 ^a	(0.34, 2.82)	1.84 ^a	(0.87, 2.81)	1.46 ^a	(0.39, 2.52)	1.60 ^a	(0.45, 2.74)	
Direct effect of PCP on HbA _{1c}	1.53 ^a	(0.28, 2.78)	1.57 ^a	(0.62, 2.53)	1.20 ^a	(0.15, 2.24)	1.48 ^a	(0.37, 2.59)	
Indirect effect of PCP on HbA _{1c} via;									
Minutes VPA per day	0.03	(-0.16, 0.64)	-0.02	(-0.18, 0.02)	-0.03	(-0.22, 0.03)	-0.00	(-0.23, 0.05)	
Minutes MPA per day	-0.28	(-0.84, 0.02)	0.06	(-0.02, 0.23)	0.05	(-0.01, 0.26)	-0.01	(-0.23, 0.04)	
Minutes Walking per day	0.18	(-0.03, 1.16)	0.00	(-0.11, 0.31)	0.00	(-0.09, 0.18)	-0.01	(-0.24, 0.04)	
Minutes VPA past week	-0.01	(-0.48, 0.22)	-0.01	(-0.14, 0.05)	-0.01	(-0.20, 0.04)	-0.00	(-0.15, 0.08)	
Minutes MPA past week	0.29	(-0.02, 0.72)	-0.00	(-0.13, 0.13)	-0.01	(-0.19, 0.09)	0.00	(-0.05, 0.12)	
Minutes Walking past week	-0.18	(-1.01, 0.03)	-0.00	(-0.26, 0.12)	-0.00	(-0.10, 0.07)	-0.01	(-0.18, 0.06)	
BMI (body mass index)	0.02	(-0.10, 0.23)	0.24 ^a	(0.03, 0.48)	0.26ª	(0.05, 0.56)	0.17	(-0.08, 0.49)	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		× , - · - /		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , ,	

 $^{a}p<0.05$ or CI range excludes '0'. PCP = Personal care plan (status) ; MPA = Moderate-intensity activity ; VPA = Vigorous-intensity activity. BMI = Body mass index. For simplicity the table does not include the effects of variable X (PCP) on variables M (physical activity, BMI), and effects of variables M on variable Y (HbA_{1c}).

	Age groups			
Regression pathways	Over 40		Over 50	
	Effect	CI	Effect	CI
Total effect of PCP on HbA _{1c}	0.78^{a}	(0.02, 1.54)	0.72	(-0.12, 1.58)
Direct effect of PCP on HbA _{1c}	0.71	(-0.05, 1.47)	0.66	(-0.18, 1.52)
Indirect effect of PCP on HbA1c via;				
BMI (body mass index)	0.07 ^a	(0.00, 0.18)	0.09 ^a	(0.01, 0.25)
and 0.5 or CI range evolution (0). I ever confidence interval for	the DMI effect in the f	over 10 group' evended zero	(0.002) Ear simul	isity only the significant

550 551 552 553 554 555	^a p <0.05 or CI range excludes '0'. Lower confidence interval for the BMI effect in the 'over 40 group' exceeded zero (0.003). For simplicity only the significant mediator variable (BMI) is included here; the table does not include the other <i>M</i> variables.
556	
557	
558	