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The influence of changes in acute training load on daily sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables in elite soccer players

Abstract

Purpose To determine the sensitivity of a range of potential fatigue measures to daily training load accumulated over the previous two, three and four days during a short in-season competitive period in elite senior soccer players (n=10).

Methods Total high-speed running distance, perceived ratings of wellness (fatigue, muscle soreness, sleep quality), counter-movement jump height (CMJ), submaximal heart rate (HRex), post-exercise heart rate recovery (HRR) and heart rate variability (HRV: Ln rMSSD) were analysed during an in-season competitive period (17 days). General linear models were used to evaluate the influence of two, three and four day total high-speed running distance accumulation on fatigue measures.

Results Fluctuations in perceived ratings of fatigue were correlated with fluctuations in total high-speed running distance accumulation covered on the previous 2-days (r=0.31; small), 3-days (r=0.42; moderate) and 4-days (r=0.28; small) (p<0.05). Changes in HRex (r=0.28; small; p= 0.02) were correlated with changes in 4-day total high-speed running distance accumulation only. Correlations between variability in muscle soreness, sleep quality, CMJ, HRR% and HRV and total high-speed running distance were negligible and not statistically significant for all accumulation training loads.

Conclusions Perceived ratings of fatigue and HRex were sensitive to fluctuations in acute total high-speed running distance accumulation, although, sensitivity was not systematically influenced by the number of previous days over which the training load was accumulated. The present findings indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load is generally not improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training.
Introduction

The locomotor demands of elite soccer have progressively increased in recent years. Since leading teams are also required to compete in a high number of matches over the course of season, implementation of effective recovery strategies are paramount in order to avoid the debilitating effects associated with overtraining and injury. Increasing attention in the literature has therefore focused upon evaluating the effectiveness of a range of monitoring tools which may serve as valid indicators of fatigue status of athletes. For the purpose of this manuscript, fatigue will be defined as an inability to complete a task that was once achievable within a recent time frame.

Recent research has examined the sensitivity of potential measures of fatigue to daily fluctuations in training load in Australian Rules Football (AFL). In AFL players, perceived ratings of wellness, sub-maximal heart rate (HRe) and an index (LnSD1) of vagal-related heart rate variability (HRV) were sensitive to the fluctuations in daily training load during a pre-season training period. Similarly, in elite soccer players competing in the English Premier League (EPL), both rating of perceived fatigue and vagal related HRV measure Ln rMSSD were most sensitive to the previous days fluctuations in training load experienced during the in-season competition period. Furthermore, in the same population, only perceived ratings of wellness were sensitive to within-week fluctuations in match and training load during typical in-season competition weeks. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that these measures, particular perceived ratings of wellness, show promise as acute, simple, non-invasive assessments for tracking the fatigue status of elite team sport athletes.

Physiological adaptation to training is the culmination of repeated daily applications of training load. The level of fatigue experienced by an athlete at any one point in time is therefore unlikely to purely reflect the load incurred from the previous day’s activity, but rather the load accumulated from a number of training days. Indeed high-intensity exercise and eccentric type activity lead to increases in muscle soreness that may be present for up to 72-hours following the exercise stress. In line with such observations, Buchheit (2014) recently suggested that HRV indices, used as an indicator of the athletes training status, may be more sensitive to changes in training loads when averaged across 7-days compared to a single daily measurement. Similarly, reductions and increases in heart rate recovery (HRR) have been seen in response to weekly increases in training load and performance in physically active subjects and elite cyclists respectively.

Recent observations in elite senior soccer players have demonstrated that potential fatigue measures, particularly perceived ratings of wellness, were sensitive to within-week fluctuations in match and training load during typical competition weeks. Changes in these measures across the training week may, therefore, to some extent reflect the periodised training load incurred over a number of the preceding days and not solely the previous days training. It is possible therefore, that the relationship between such potential markers of fatigue and training load may vary as a function of the number of accumulated training days. The response to a single training session may not have the same physiological effect or magnitude compared to multiple training sessions performed over a short period of time. Therefore, our aim was to determine whether the sensitivity of a range of potential fatigue measures would vary when compared to the training load accumulated over the previous two, three or four days during a short in-season competitive phase in elite soccer players. These data would enable comparison with previous observations in the same population which
examined the sensitivity of the same measures to the previous day’s fluctuations in training load. 

Methods

Subjects

Data were collected from 10 senior outfield soccer players (19.1±0.6 years; 1.84±0.7m; 75.4±7.6 kg) competing in the EPL over a 17-day period (February) during the in-season competition phase.

Design

Players took part in normal team training throughout the 17-day period as prescribed by the coaching staff. This included two competitive reserve team home matches (day 1 and 10), three rest days (day 6, 11 and 16) and twelve training sessions. All players were fully familiarised with the fatigue assessments in the weeks prior to completion of the main experimental trials. Fatigue measures were assessed each morning prior to the players commencing normal training. Perceived ratings of wellness measurements were assessed every day during the 17-day period. Physiological measurements were assessed every day with the exception of match and rest days. Each day players arrived at the training ground laboratory having refrained from caffeine intake at least 12-hours prior to each assessment point. All assessments were conducted at the same time of the day in order to avoid the circadian variation in body temperature. Players were not allowed to consume fluid at any time during the fatigue assessments. The study was approved by the Liverpool John Moores University Ethics Committee. All players provided written informed consent. Prior to inclusion into the study, players were examined by the club physician and were deemed to be free from illness and injury.

Methodology

Training Load Assessment Individual player daily training and match load was monitored throughout the 17-day assessment period. Each player was also monitored during each training session and match using a portable global positioning system (GPS) technology (GPSports SPI Pro X 5 Hz, Canberra, Australia). This type of system has previously been shown to provide valid and reliable estimates of instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant velocity movements during linear, multidirectional and soccer-specific activities. All devices were activated 15-min before the data collection to allow acquisition of satellite signals. The minimum acceptable number of available satellite signals was 8 (range 8-11). Players wore the same GPS device for each session in order to avoid inter-unit error. Based on GPS data, locomotive speed above the threshold of 14.8 km/h was classified as high-speed running. Total high-speed running distance was employed in the present study as an index of training and match load due to its frequent inclusion in attempts to quantify the load incurred by elite players during training and match-play. However, high speed running will underestimate the true load incurred by the athlete since it does not account for the stress associated with the frequent accelerations and decelerations which occur during soccer. It should be noted, however, that initial analysis in the present
study highlighted a large correlation \((r=0.57)\) between total high-speed running distance and session ratings of perceived exertion (sRPE) which has previously been used as a global indicator of internal load in soccer players.\(^{24}\)

**Perceived Ratings of Wellness**

A psychometric questionnaire was used daily prior to any training or exercise to assess general indicators of player wellness.\(^9,10\) The questionnaire comprised three questions relating to perceived sleep quality (coefficient of variation 13%), muscle soreness (coefficient of variation 9%) and fatigue (coefficient of variation 12%).\(^9\) Each question scored on a seven-point Likert scale [scores of 1-7 with 1 and 7 representing very, very poor (negative state of wellness) and very, very good (positive state of wellness) respectively].

**Countermovement Jump**

Countermovement jump\(^9\) (coefficient of variation 4%)\(^9\) (CMJ) performance was evaluated using a jump mat (Fusion Sport, Queensland, Australia). Participants performed five CMJ efforts in total, two practice and three assessment jumps ensuring the hands were affixed to the hips throughout the jump. The highest jump was used as the criterion measure of performance.

**Heart rate indices**

Players completed an indoor submaximal 5-min cycling (Keiser, California, USA) /5-min recovery test as part of the warm up prior to commencing every training session.\(^9\) All players were assessed together at a fixed exercise intensity of 130 watts (85 rpm). The present intensity was selected to minimize anaerobic energy contribution\(^25\) and to permit a rapid return of heart rate to baseline for short-term HRV measurements. On completion of exercise the players remained seated in silence for 5-min. HRV expressed as the square root of the mean of the sum of squares of differences between adjacent normal R-R intervals (rMSSD, coefficient of variation 28%)\(^9\) and the natural logarithm of the rMSSD (Ln rMSSD, coefficient of variation 10%)\(^9\) were calculated as previously described\(^25\) using Polar software (Polar Precision Performance SW 5.20, Polar Electro, Kemple, Finland). Heart rate recovery (HRR) expressed as the absolute (HRR, coefficient of variation 14%)\(^9\) and relative (%HRR, coefficient of variation 10%)\(^9\) change in HR between the final 30-sec (average) of the 5-min cycling test and 60 sec after cessation of exercise were calculated as previously described.\(^9,16,25\)

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analysed with general linear models, which allowed for the fact that data were collected within-subjects over time.\(^26\) Recently, step-wise regression approaches have been criticised for reliable variable selection in a model.\(^27,28\) Our added problem was the predicted high multicolinearity between the various independent variables in our study. Therefore, we used a combination of expert knowledge regarding which variables hold superior practical/clinical importance\(^28\) and a multicolinearity correlation coefficient of >0.5 for initial variable selection. Total high-speed running distance was selected in order to provide an indication of training and match load (independent variable) in the present study. We then quantified the relationships between the various predictors and outcomes using model I (unadjusted model) and model II (fully adjusted model from which partial correlation coefficients and associated 95% confidence intervals for each predictor could be derived). To calculate acute training load accumulation, the rolling mean 2, 3 and 4-day total high-speed running distances were then related to the subsequent day’s morning-measured fatigue
variables. The following criteria were adopted to interpret the magnitude of the correlation (r)
between test measures: <0.1 trivial, 0.1 to 0.3 small, 0.3 to 0.5 moderate, 0.5 to 0.7 large, 0.7
to 0.9 very large, and 0.9 to 1.0 almost perfect. The level of statistical significance was set
at p<0.05 for all tests.
Results

Partial correlations, least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between total high-speed running distance (over 2-4 days) and morning-measured fatigue variables are shown in Tables 1-7. Absolute variability in training load and fatigue measures over the 17 day period can be viewed in a recent publication. All players competed in both matches during the 17-day period with a median of 79 min playing time per player (range = 32-93 min). Variability in ratings of perceived fatigue were correlated to variability in total high-speed running distance covered on the previous 2, 3 and 4 days (p<0.05; Table 1). Small-to-moderate correlations were observed for 2 (r = -0.31), 3 (r=-0.42) and 4 (r = -0.28) day cumulative total high-speed running distance. Correlations between variability in perceived sleep quality and muscle soreness and total high-speed running distance across all days were trivial to small and not statistically significant (Table 2 and 3).

Insert Tables 1-3 here

Correlations between variability in CMJ and total high-speed running distance across all days were trivial to small and not statistically significant (Table 4).

Insert Table 4 here

Correlations between variability in HReX and total high-speed running distance across all days were trivial to small and only statistically significant with 4-day cumulative total high-speed running distance (r=0.28; p=0.02; Table 5).

Insert Table 5 here

Correlations between variability in HRR (%) and Ln rMSSD and total high-speed running distance for all days were trivial and not statistically significant (Table 6 and 7).

Insert Tables 6 and 7 here
Discussion

The aim of the current study was to determine whether the sensitivity of a range of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load was influenced by the number of previous days over which the training load was accumulated in elite soccer players. When compared with previous data published on the current population, the present findings indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue measures to changes in training load is generally not improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training. 9

The use of simple perceived ratings of wellness is an efficient and practical approach to determining the fatigue status of elite team sport athletes. 8-10,30 Previous observations on elite soccer players showed a moderate-to-strong significant correlation between the players perceived rating of fatigue and the previous days total high-speed running distance (r=-0.51; p<0.001). 9 Furthermore, the slope of the regression model indicated that every ~400m increase in total high-speed running distance led to a one unit decrease (For example a player may change from very poor level of fatigue to very, very poor level of fatigue following an additional ~400m total high-speed running distance) in fatigue with 37% of the variance in training load explained by all the statistically significant predictors. 9 In contrast, the current findings demonstrate that the sensitivity of morning-measured perceived fatigue, to changes in training load is reduced from significantly moderate to significantly small (r=-0.42 to -0.28) when compared with the training load observed beyond the previous days training 9

Indeed, the variance in training load explained by all the statistically significant predictors decreased to 15% when training load was accumulated over a number (2-4) of days, highlighting the importance of immediately preceding load in elite soccer players. This apparent importance of the previous days training load on morning-measured fatigue may to some extent be explained by the nature of training cycles undertaken by elite soccer players. During the in-season competition period, players rotate around weekly cycles comprising one to two matches (very high load) interspersed with training sessions (moderate to high load) and recovery sessions. 22,31,32 This cycle of daily loading peaks and troughs within a short time frame may, therefore, only lead to changes in fatigue status that are largely representative of the previous days training. The influence of accumulated training load on morning measured perceived fatigue may be more relevant to endurance based sports where load is distributed and sustained over extended training blocks.

Small significant correlations have been reported between daily perceived ratings of sleep quality (r=0.2) and muscle soreness (r=0.3) and the previous days training load during pre-season training in elite AFL players. 7 In contrast, in EPL players the relationship between daily training load and perceived ratings of sleep quality and muscle soreness were trivial and non-significant. 9 Furthermore, in the current study, we demonstrate that the magnitude of these relationships are not influenced by the number of days over which training load was accumulated. Muscle soreness has been found to be significantly elevated between 24 and 72-hours following a soccer match 12,13,33. Moreover, sleep quality has been seen to decrease around periods of competition. 34 In the present study, only two match days were included in the sample of 17-days, consequently, the limited match exposure and training intensity may not have been sufficient to influence muscle soreness and sleep quality. In a previous study from the same population of players, match demands accounted for ~40% of total weekly load, moreover, perceived ratings of wellness were found to be lowest on the day post-match,
further showing the debilitating effects of a match on fatigue status. Indeed, the average daily training load in the current study (RPE-TL 361) is considerably lower than that reported during an AFL pre-season training camp (RPE-TL 746) where daily readings of muscle soreness and sleep quality were associated with changes in load. Future work involving a greater frequency of matches is therefore warranted in order to fully examine the influence of changes in loading on morning-measured perceived ratings of muscle soreness and sleep.

Previously, in elite soccer players, a small, positive daily correlation was observed \( (r=0.23) \) between CMJ height and total high-speed running distance suggesting improved performance with increased total high-speed running distance. \(^9\) It has been reported that the assessment of neuromuscular function via the use of jump protocols may be impaired up to 72-hours post-match. \(^{35,36}\) However, in the present study, a non-significant trivial to small relationship was found between changes in CMJ height and total high-speed running distance accumulation over 2-4 days. Collectively, the findings from the current study and those from earlier investigations, \(^{9,37}\) demonstrate that CMJ height is generally insensitive to acute changes in workload in elite soccer players. CMJ height alone may be too crude of a measure in order to detect changes in training load, however, alternative CMJ derived neuromuscular parameters may hold sensitivity to alterations in load irrespective of the limited change in CMJ height. For example, neuromuscular parameters (eccentric, concentric, and total duration, time to peak force/power, flight time:contraction time ratio) derived from CMJ have been found suitable for detection of neuromuscular fatigue. \(^{38}\) Reductions in 18 different neuromuscular variables were found following a high-intensity fatiguing protocol in college-level team sport athletes. \(^{39}\) Furthermore, reductions in the flight time contraction time ratio have been found across a season in AFL players indicating sensitivity to increases in load over time. \(^{39}\) Future research is required to investigate whether alternative measures derived from CMJ are sensitive to changes in training load in elite soccer players.

In recent years heart rate (HR) indices (HRV, HRR and HREx) have been used as a popular method to measure variations in the autonomic nervous system (ANS) in an attempt to understand athlete adaptation/fatigue status. \(^{14}\) The use of vagal related time domain indices such as Ln rMSSD have been found to have greater reliability and are ideal for assessments over short periods when compared to spectral indices of HRV. \(^{40,41}\) A small significant correlation \( (r=-0.2; \ p=0.04) \) was found between the daily fluctuations in Ln rMSSD and total high-speed running distance in elite soccer players from an earlier study. \(^9\) In this study, the slope of the regression model indicated that every ~300m increase in total high-speed running distance led to a decrease of one unit in HRV i.e. more sympathetic dominance the greater the training load. \(^{7,9,42}\) In the current study, non-significant, trivial correlations were observed between fluctuations in 2, 3 and 4-day total high-speed running distance and changes in morning-measured HRV, implying no additional effect on HRV beyond the previous days of training load. The limited relationships may reflect the low loads incurred by players observed in the current study. Buchheit et al, (2013) found significant daily correlations \( (r=0.40) \) with a comparable vagal related parameter HRV (Ln SD1) during a pre-season camp in AFL players. \(^7\) A possible reason for the small-to-moderate correlation found may be due to the enhanced training load performed by AFL players. \(^7\) Another potential reason for the lack of sensitivity observed for HRV in the present study may be due to the inherent variation of this measure. Indeed, based on data derived from endurance sports it is suggested that the use of one single data point could be misleading for practitioners due to the high day-to-day variation in these indices. \(^{43}\) When data were averaged over a week or using 7-day rolling averages, sensitivity to training load and performance has been improved compared to a single assessment point. A similar observation in young Handball players has also been
reported when single monthly assessments were found to have less than 20% sensitivity to training status. Future work is required to observe whether more frequent measures of HRV improve sensitivity to training load. Furthermore, future research is needed to establish how HRV responds to more extended and sustained periods of training and match load in elite soccer players.

In the present study, small significant increases in HRe were associated with increases in 4-days accumulated total high-speed running distance. Contrastingly, Buchheit et al. (2013) found a large negative correlation between daily training load and HRe suggesting a reduction in heart rate following increases in training load. However, this data was collected during a short pre-season AFL training camp in the heat where environmental and/or training induced changes in plasma volume are more likely responsible than alterations stemmed solely from the previous days training load. Reductions in heart rate have also been observed in athletes involved in extremely high training loads. Indeed, HRe during intensified training intensities showed significant reductions in overreached triathletes. Le Meur and colleagues (2013) suggested the cause of this reduction in heart rate to be a hyper-activation of the parasympathetic nervous system via central, cardiac and/or periphery mechanisms. In contrast to Le Meur and colleagues (2013) the results of the current study suggest, although, speculative, an acute stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system thus increasing HRe following a short continued period of training. Indeed, both in recreational marathon runners and world class rowers, a significant increase in sympathetic dominance following a training block in the lead up to competition has been observed.

Sensitivity between HRR% and 2-4 day THIR accumulation was trivial and non-significant in the present study. Previous data also failed to find a relationship between daily HRR% and total high-speed running distance over a 17-day competitive period. In contrast, previous studies have observed responses between both acute and chronic training load and HRR. Borresen and Lambert (2007) found that HRR decreased with an increase in training load and subsequently a tendency for a faster HRR with a decrease in training load. The authors speculate, however, that the reduced HRR with an increase in training load may be explained by the severe increase in training load (TRIMP increased by 55%), potentially inducing overreaching, and hence a parasympathetic predominance as previously discussed. The use of HRe and HRR in healthy athletes to predict changes in performance or fatigue should be treated with caution and interpreted together with other measures of fatigue, such as perceived ratings of wellness. As a consequence, if HR-derived assessments of fatigue/adaptation are to be effective in team sports, a higher volume of assessments may be required as previously discussed. However, undertaking such measures may prove difficult with the large volume of athletes engaged in team sports.
Practical Applications

Perceived ratings of fatigue show particular promise as simple, non-invasive assessments of fatigue status in elite soccer players during an in-season competitive phase. The present findings also indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load is generally not improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training, therefore, it is likely to be most effective when taken on a daily basis. Future research is needed to determine the acute and longitudinal usefulness of HReX, HRR and vagal related HRV as a monitoring tool in team sports.

Conclusion

The sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load is not improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training. Perceived ratings of fatigue shows the most promise as a simple, non-invasive assessment of fatigue status in elite soccer players in detection of acute load fluctuations during an in-season competitive phase compared to the other markers of fatigue measured.
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Table 1: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured perceived fatigue and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day -0.31 (-0.51 to -0.78)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>149.167</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day -0.42 (-0.61 to -0.18)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>166.509</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day -0.28 (-0.52 to -0.01)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>108.53</td>
<td>p=0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured perceived sleep quality and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day -0.03 (-0.27 to 0.21)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-10.633</td>
<td>p=0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day -0.1 (-0.35 to 0.16)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-9.869</td>
<td>p=0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day 0.04 (-0.27 to 0.28)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>15.774</td>
<td>p=0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured perceived muscle soreness and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day -0.19 (-0.41 to 0.05)</td>
<td>Trivial/Small</td>
<td>-58.443</td>
<td>p=0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day -0.16 (-0.40 to 0.10)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-36.258</td>
<td>p=0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day -0.13 (-0.4 to 0.15)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-28.05</td>
<td>p=0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured countermovement jump performance and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day</td>
<td>0.13 (-0.11 to 0.36)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>24.944</td>
<td>p= 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.05 to 0.42)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>31.478</td>
<td>p=0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day</td>
<td>0.23 (-0.05 to 0.48)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>34.02</td>
<td>p=0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured sub-maximal heart rate and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day</td>
<td>0.18 (-0.06 to 0.40)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>p=0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.05 to 0.44)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>4.863</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day</td>
<td>0.28 (0.05 to 0.52)</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>5.948</td>
<td>p=0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured Ln rMSSD (HRV) and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 (-0.25 to 0.29)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>p= 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 (-0.27 to 0.25)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>9.426</td>
<td>p=0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.41 to 0.13)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-95.337</td>
<td>p=0.279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured heart rate recovery (HRR%) and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-day</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (-0.14 to 0.33)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>p=0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-day</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (-0.16 to 0.35)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>p=0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-day</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.23 to 0.32)</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-1.584</td>
<td>p=0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>