



LJMU Research Online

Bird, SR and Hawley, JA

Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans.

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/7718/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Bird, SR and Hawley, JA (2017) Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*, 2 (1). ISSN 2055-7647

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans**

2

3 Stephen R Bird^a and John A Hawley^{b,c}

4

5 ^a School of Health and Biomedical Sciences, RMIT University, Bundoora, Melbourne, Vic
6 3083, Australia

7 ^b Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Nutrition, Australian
8 Catholic University, Melbourne, Victoria 3065, Australia;

9 ^c Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University,
10 Liverpool, UK

11

12 Corresponding author: Professor Stephen Bird

13 Email: Stephen.bird@rmit.edu.au

14

15 Word count: 8,758

16 Three Tables

17

18 Key words: Exercise, Physical Activity, Insulin Sensitivity (SI), Diabetes

19

20

21 **Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans**

22 **Abstract**

23 Purpose and Methods: This review presents established knowledge on the effects of
24 physical activity on whole-body insulin sensitivity (SI) and summarises the findings of recent
25 (2013 - 2016) studies.

26 Discussion and Conclusions: Recent studies provide further evidence to support the notion
27 that regular physical activity reduces the risk of insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and
28 type 2 diabetes, and SI improves when individuals comply with exercise and/or physical
29 activity guidelines. Many studies indicate a dose response, with higher energy expenditures
30 and higher exercise intensities, including high intensity interval training, producing greater
31 benefits on whole-body SI, although these findings are not unanimous. Aerobic exercise
32 interventions can improve SI without an associated increase in cardiorespiratory fitness as
33 measured by maximal or peak oxygen consumption. Both aerobic and resistance exercise
34 can induce improvements in glycaemic regulation, with some suggestions that exercise
35 regimens including both may be more efficacious than either exercise mode alone. Some
36 studies report exercise-induced benefits to SI that are independent of habitual diet and
37 weight loss, whilst others indicate an association with fat reduction, hence the debate over
38 the relative importance of physical activity and weight loss continues. During exercise,
39 muscle contraction stimulated improvements in SI are associated with increases in AMPK
40 activity, which deactivates TCB1D1, promoting GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane
41 and thereby increasing glucose uptake. Post-exercise, increases in Akt deactivate TCB1D4
42 and thereby increase GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane. The reduction in
43 intramuscular saturated fatty acids (FA) and concomitant reductions in ceramides, but not
44 diacylglycerols (DAGs), provide a potential link between intramuscular lipid content and SI.
45 Increased skeletal muscle capillarisation provides another independent adaptation through
46 which SI is improved, as does enhanced beta cell activity. Recent studies are combining

47 exercise interventions with dietary and feeding manipulations to investigate the potential for
48 augmenting the exercise induced improvements in SI and glycaemic control.

49 **Key words:** Exercise, Physical Activity, Insulin Sensitivity (SI), Diabetes

50 **Introduction**

51 Individuals with poor insulin sensitivity (SI) are characterized by impaired insulin action on
52 whole-body glucose uptake. This results in elevated blood [glucose], impaired glycaemic
53 control, a risk of pancreatic beta cell failure and the development of type 2 diabetes (T2D). In
54 developed countries the prevalence of this pre-diabetic state is currently reported to be 15 –
55 20%.[1] Furthermore, it is estimated that 366 million people, ~8% of the population are
56 affected by diabetes worldwide,[2] hence strategies for the treatment of the prediabetic state,
57 its prevention and preventing progression from prediabetes to T2D are an imperative. Key
58 amongst these is the inclusion of physical activity into a healthy lifestyle, and current
59 research in this field continues to seek to understand the behavioural and molecular aspects
60 of exercise in preventing diabetes and poor SI, with the intent to identify efficacious exercise
61 interventions.

62 The comparison of results between research studies into the effects of a physically active
63 lifestyle and/or exercise on insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control are problematic due to
64 differences in the methods of assessment of outcome variables. Whilst the precise protocols
65 vary, the general methods for assessing insulin sensitivity/glycaemic control include: (i)
66 measuring fasting insulin concentrations, with elevated fasted [insulin] >25mIU/L indicating
67 poor insulin sensitivity, as the pancreas endeavours to compensate for the lack of peripheral
68 insulin sensitivity by secreting greater amounts of insulin, thereby resulting in
69 hyperinsulinaemia; (ii) Oral Glucose Tolerance Testing (OGTT), which involves the ingestion
70 of a standard glucose bolus (75 g), followed by blood glucose monitoring for the subsequent
71 2 hours. Blood glucose concentrations of >7.8 and <11.0 mmol/L at 2 hours are indicative of
72 impaired glycaemic control, and >11.0 mmol/L indicates diabetes; (iii) Hyperinsulinaemic
73 euglycaemic clamp, in which the participant is infused with insulin at a known rate, creating a
74 hyperinsulinaemic state (~100 μ U/ml), while simultaneously blood glucose levels are
75 monitored and adjusted by a variable-rate infusion to maintain glycemia (5.0 – 5.5 mmol/L).

76 A high rate of glucose infusion indicates insulin sensitivity as the glucose is being rapidly
77 taken up by the cells of the body, whilst a low rate of glucose infusion indicates a loss of
78 insulin sensitivity, as the glucose is remaining in the blood rather than being taken up by the
79 cells of the body; [3] (iv) Hyperglycaemic clamp, in which plasma glucose levels are initially
80 increased to ~125mg/dl above basal values and then maintained at this hyperglycaemic
81 level, through the infusion of glucose. High infusion rates indicated good insulin sensitivity,
82 whilst low infusion rates indicate insulin resistance. [3]; (vi) Homeostatic Model Assessment
83 of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), which uses fasting [glucose] and [insulin], and is
84 calculated as $(\text{glucose mmol/L} \times \text{insulin}) / 22.5$, with a relatively low score indicating well
85 regulated fasting glucose that is being maintained through relatively low concentrations of
86 insulin, hence good insulin sensitivity, whereas an elevated HOMA-IR value, such as >2.5
87 indicates insulin resistance. In the updated homeostatic model, HOMA2-IR, values >1.5
88 suggest insulin resistance; (vii) HOMA- β is a measure of beta-cell function derived from
89 fasting values using the equation $(20 \times \text{Insulin}) / (\text{Glucose mmol/L} - 3.5) \%$. With this measure
90 indicating the extent to which a deficient beta-cell function, as opposed to insulin resistance,
91 contributes to hyperglycaemia in the fasting state; (viii) Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check
92 Index (QUICKI), which is an index of insulin sensitivity, calculated as $\text{QUICKI} = 1 / (\log$
93 $(\text{fasting plasma insulin } \mu\text{U/ml}) + \log (\text{fasting blood glucose mg/dL}))$. [4]

94 Regardless of the methods used to assess insulin sensitivity/glycaemic control, a lifestyle
95 incorporating regular physical activity has been identified as a key factor for maintaining and
96 improving many aspects of health, including insulin sensitivity. [5, 6] In this context, the term
97 physical activity covers all forms of muscular movement, including that associated with
98 strenuous physical work, active transport (walking and cycling), household tasks (cleaning
99 and gardening), incidental physical activity which occurs when undertaking other tasks, sport
100 and other active leisure pursuits. Whereas the term 'exercise' refers specifically to the
101 context of physical activity that is undertaken with the specific intent of improving health
102 and/or fitness and is therefore a subset of physical activity. Hence many cross-sectional

103 studies investigate physical activity levels as well as specific exercise habits, but
104 interventions tend to involve exercise, as they have the specific intent of affecting an aspect
105 of health.

106 Cross-sectional studies identify an association between regular physical activity and/or
107 aerobic fitness and superior SI.[5, 6] Adding further support to this association, studies
108 involving exercise interventions usually report an amelioration or in some cases, complete
109 reversal of insulin resistance.[7, 8] Assessments of the impact of a physically active lifestyle
110 suggest a dose response with each 500 kcal/wk increase in physical activity, reducing the
111 risk of type 2 diabetes by ~9%. [9]

112 Physical activity has both immediate (acute) and longer term effects on insulin sensitivity.
113 The immediate effects are the direct result of a single exercise bout and may be evident
114 during and/or for up to 72 hours post exercise. If repeated regularly these bouts produce
115 additional long term chronic improvements to SI, thereby providing superior baseline
116 glycaemic control compared to that typically seen in less active individuals. In this healthy,
117 physically active, 'trained' condition, the effects of individual exercise bouts may then
118 produce further acute responses from this already elevated SI state and thereby promote
119 optimal SI and glycaemic control. Some key issues around physical activity that are
120 considered in recent literature include: the effects of manipulating the mode of exercise; the
121 influence of exercise intensity and exercise duration; the potential benefits of high intensity
122 interval training; and the relative effects of the aforementioned on groups of different ages
123 and at different levels of impaired SI. Other innovative strategies that have received recent
124 attention include assessing whether the impact of exercise on SI is affected by whether it is
125 undertaken in a fed or fasted state, and whether a short exercise bout (exercise snack)
126 performed before meals is beneficial.

127 The purpose of this review is to provide an overview of the topic for those new to it and an
128 update of recent developments for the established researcher.

129

130 **Methods**

131 A literature search was undertaken using PubMed in in November 2015, using search terms
132 'Exercise' OR 'Physical activity', AND 'Insulin sensitivity'. This resulted in 10,185 articles,
133 which were then limited to clinical trials (n = 1,672 articles), filtered using the terms 'Human'
134 and limited to English Language publications (n = 1,371). Reviews and key articles
135 published in English since 2000 were used to present established knowledge and set the
136 background context, whilst to identify recent updates the search was reduced to articles
137 published after 2012: this resulted in 394 articles. The abstracts of these articles were then
138 evaluated and studies included if the main focus was an exercise intervention or cross
139 sectional study of physical activity habits and not confounded by the inclusion of other
140 interventions, such as drugs or diseases such as cancer. Studies were excluded if SI or
141 other measures of glycaemic control were not included as an outcome measure. Titles were
142 manually sorted and articles rejected if primary objectives were not exercise-based. They
143 were then divided on the basis of whether they assessed the acute responses that occur
144 during or immediately after a bout of physical activity, or the chronic adaptations that occur
145 over a more prolonged period of time due to repeated exercise bouts – the training effects. A
146 further search using the same search criteria was undertaken in July 2016 when the
147 manuscript was undergoing minor revisions. This identified a further 80 articles that met the
148 criteria of the search terms and the aforementioned manual sorting produced the resultant
149 total of 53 recent articles which are summarised in Tables 1 - 3.

150 In presenting this review, the authors acknowledge the growing evidence for the adverse
151 effects of sedentary behaviour on diabetes risk and SI, and that this aspect of behaviour
152 needs to be considered in the wider context of metabolic health. Likewise that exercise may
153 benefit the SI of patients with a number of chronic disease conditions, such as cancers, but
154 due to word limits, these scenarios were beyond the scope of this review.

155

156 **Molecular mechanisms for exercise-induced changes in insulin sensitivity and**
157 **glycaemic control**

158 Glucose uptake into skeletal muscle occurs via facilitated diffusion down the diffusion
159 gradient through the presence of the glucose transporter GLUT4 in the sarcolemma and T-
160 tubules. A single bout of exercise promotes acute increases in glucose uptake into the
161 skeletal muscle, both during the exercise bout and for some hours post-exercise. This
162 increase occurs as a result of GLUT4 being translocated from intracellular sites to the
163 sarcolemma and T-tubules, thereby increasing the sites at which glucose can diffuse into the
164 muscle. For a detailed review of the processes resulting in increased glucose uptake during
165 exercise, readers are directed to that by Richter and Hargreaves,[10]

166 In summary, During a bout of exercise the increased contraction-stimulated glucose uptake
167 is linked to increases in AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which results in the
168 phosphorylation of the Rab-GTPase-activating protein TBC1D1.[11] This phosphorylation
169 appears to inactivate the TBC1D1, although there is some suggestion that the TBC1D1
170 needs to be phosphorylated at both the AMPK and Akt sites for deactivation to occur.[12]
171 Since active TBC1D1 has an inhibitory effect, its deactivation enables GTP to react with Rab
172 proteins on the GLUT4 vesicles, and as a consequence there is an increase in GLUT4
173 vesicle translocation and glucose uptake into the cell.

174 It appears that a slightly different pathway is utilised to regulate glucose uptake at rest, and
175 involves TBC1D4 (also known as AS160), the paralogue of TBC1D1. TBC1D4 is involved in
176 the insulin stimulated regulation of GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake in adipocytes
177 and myocytes. Insulin promotes the phosphorylation of TBC1D4 causing its deactivation and
178 thereby increasing GLUT4 activity. TBC1D4 is also involved in the regulation of glucose
179 uptake post-exercise, when increases in SI are associated with elevated intracellular kinase

180 Akt, which results in the phosphorylation of TBC1D4.[11] TBC1D4 has similar properties to
181 TBC1D1 and produces similar effects, in that the active form TBC1D4 promotes the
182 hydrolysis of GTP to GDP on Rab proteins, thereby preventing the translocation of GLUT4 to
183 the cell membrane. Whereas when TCB1D4 is phosphorylated and deactivated the GTP
184 reaction with Rab proteins increase GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane and T
185 tubules, which elevates SI.[13] However, in contrast with TCB1D1, TCB1D4 appears to
186 display a delayed response to exercise/contraction stimuli, with its deactivation exerting an
187 effect post-exercise rather than during exercise, [11] an effect which has also been reported
188 in rats.[14] Regular exercise training may also result in chronic improvements in TBC1D4
189 phosphorylation and thereby increase basal SI.[11]

190 Repeated exercise bouts (exercise-training) has been demonstrated to increases GLUT4
191 concentrations in populations with metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes, [15] and these
192 increases are associated with changes in SI.[6, 16, 17] Such improvements are tissue
193 specific, as exercise appears to improve skeletal muscle but not hepatic SI, nor insulin-
194 stimulated glucose uptake in adipose tissue.[18, 19] In addition to which the improvements
195 are primarily located in the muscle fibres undertaking most of the work during the
196 exercise.[20]

197 Other molecules associated with the SI regulatory processes include insulin receptor
198 substrate 1 (IRS-1) and IRS-2. Whilst the precise roles of these receptor molecules require
199 further elucidation, it is evident that they are activated by the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase
200 and promote the phosphorylation/activation of Akt.[21] Thereby promoting glucose uptake
201 into the cell. Reduced p-IRS-1 (ser⁶¹²) phosphorylation has been reported in obese and
202 obese insulin-resistant subjects, suggesting an association between lower concentrations of
203 activated IRS-1 and impaired SI. Whereas acute increases in IRS-1 phosphorylation have
204 been demonstrated following a single 60 minute bout of moderate intensity exercise (60%
205 $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$), suggesting an association with increased activation of IRS-1 and improved SI.[22]

206

207 *Obesity, excess lipid availability and SI*

208 It is well established that obesity and an associated excess of available lipids results in a
209 loss of SI in skeletal muscle, and this may be linked with impaired deactivation of
210 TCB1D4.[23] Paradoxically, whilst obesity increases intramyocellular triglycerides (IMTG)
211 concentrations, so does endurance-exercise training.[24] Yet the skeletal muscles of obese
212 sedentary individuals have a compromised SI, whilst those of well-trained endurance
213 athletes are highly insulin sensitive.[24, 25] Hence there must be distinct molecular basis,
214 other than differences in IMTG concentration to explain their contrasting SI characteristics.
215 Diacylglycerols (DAGs) and ceramides are lipid intermediates that have been proposed to
216 explain this apparent paradox. However, whilst some studies have demonstrated that
217 exercise can reduce DAGs in previously inactive obese individuals, with a concomitant
218 increases in SI,[25, 26] the causative role of DAGs has been questioned as the muscles of
219 endurance trained athletes have been shown to have nearly twice the DAG content of obese
220 sedentary individuals and have a 50% higher DAG content than normal weight sedentary
221 individuals.[27, 28]

222 Conversely, evidence is accumulating for the view that ceramides (sphingolipid metabolites)
223 may be the causal link between saturated fatty acid content (but not unsaturated fats) in
224 skeletal muscle and impaired SI.[27, 28, 29] In the acute phase, exercise has been
225 demonstrated to increase serum ceramide [30], but these returned to basal levels 2 h post-
226 exercise, whilst the sphingolipids lipids measured in this study were not elevated during
227 exercise but declined to below basal levels post-exercise. However, exercise training has
228 been demonstrated to reduce plasma ceramides and these changes are negatively
229 correlated with increased SI.[31] An explanation for the molecular link between ceramides
230 and SI is through the presence of excess saturated FFA.[29] This explanation suggests that
231 the excess saturated FFA and associated high ceramide content inhibits Akt/PKB

232 phosphorylation and activation by protein phosphatase 2A, thereby preventing the
233 translocation of Akt/PKB from the cytoplasm to the membrane. This may then link to the
234 aforementioned effects on the activation of other signalling molecules, leading to an impaired
235 translocation of GLUT4 to the membrane.

236

237 *Other molecular and physiological changes linked to exercise induced improvements to SI*

238 Other molecules that may be linked to aerobic exercise induced changes in SI include
239 intracellular adhesion molecule 1, C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A, all of which have
240 been shown to be associated with impaired SI, but are reduced by exercise and weight loss,
241 thereby suggesting a link with vascular inflammation.[22] Additionally, exercise stimulated
242 increases in glycogen synthase activity, have also been proposed as a factor that increases
243 SI.[23]

244

245 Another process through which SI may be improved is through the exercise-training
246 stimulated increase in skeletal muscle capillarisation. Prior *et al.*,[32, 33] reported that
247 increases in capillarisation correlated with improvements in insulin sensitivity following 6
248 months of aerobic exercise with weight loss in older adults with impaired glucose tolerance.
249 This outcome was further investigated when after 6-months of training the participants
250 followed a 2-week no aerobic exercise washout phase, in order to isolate the acute post-
251 exercise changes in SI from the training effects. The outcome of which was that whilst many
252 of the aforementioned molecular factors returned to baseline after the washout, capillary
253 density and SI remained elevated by 15% and 18% respectively, providing evidence for a
254 link between these two factors.[34]

255

256 Additionally, whilst a good level of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is associated with a
257 reduced risk of poor insulin sensitivity, exercise interventions don't always find an
258 association between improvements in SI and CRF ($VO_{2\ max}$). This may be because

259 improvements in CRF are a result of a combination of both peripheral adaptations within the
260 muscle and central cardiovascular adaptations, such as increases in cardiac output, the
261 latter of which may not impact upon SI directly.[35]

262

263 An alternative mechanism by which exercise could improve glycaemic control, is via the
264 enhancement of pancreatic beta cell activity, which can become compromised as a
265 consequence of overstimulation and excessive insulin secretion in response to a loss of SI.

266 In support of this, it has been reported that exercise training plus weight loss can increase
267 pancreatic β -cell function in a linear dose-response manner in adults with pre-diabetes.[34]

268 Although in this study, relatively high exercise doses of >1,900 kcal/wk were used and the
269 exercise intensity increased from 60-65% HR_{max} during the first 4 weeks, to a relatively high

270 80 – 85% HR_{max} for the following 8 weeks. Hence the intervention was of relatively high

271 volume and intensity, which may not be feasible for most of the population in question. By

272 comparison, Madsen *et al.*,[36] reported improved beta cell function in type 2 diabetic

273 patients following more moderate volumes of exercise training in the form of high intensity

274 interval training (HIIT), hence the exercise intensity may be key. However, Slentz *et al.*, [37]

275 have suggested that whilst both moderate and vigorous exercise are capable of stimulating

276 improvements in beta-cell function as indicated by the Disposition Index (Disposition Index

277 (DI) = Insulin Sensitivity (SI) x Acute Insulin Response to Glucose (AIRg)), they may do so

278 via different mechanisms. Since in their 8-month intervention study, large volumes of

279 moderate intensity exercise produced a greater DI improvement than vigorous exercise, and

280 achieved this with an improvement in SI but virtually no change in AIRg, whilst the vigorous

281 exercise improved SI and resulted in a compensatory reduction in AIRg.

282

283 **Updates to acute SI responses to exercise**

284 Studies assessing the acute responses during or immediately following a single bout of
285 aerobic exercise suggest that SI is improved by more than 50% for up to 72 hours after the
286 last exercise bout.[6] However, this acute improvement in SI is lost within 5 days after the
287 last exercise bout, even in highly trained subjects.[6]

288 Table 1 summarises recent studies that assessed acute responses to exercise on SI.
289 Rynders *et al.*'s study confirms the previously reported improvements in SI in prediabetics of
290 around 50% one hour after aerobic exercise.[38] Likewise, Newsom *et al.* reported an
291 increase in SI in sedentary obese adults the day after moderate intensity exercise,[39]
292 indicating that the acute response was evident for some hours. However, whereas Rynders
293 *et al.*,[38] reported higher intensity exercise to produce greater improvements in SI (85%
294 following high intensity exercise vs 51% following moderate intensity exercise), Newsom *et al.*
295 *et al.*,[39] found that it did not. Indeed Newsom *et al.*, reported that their lower intensity (50%
296 $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$) but longer duration bout of the same calorific cost was more effective as it resulted
297 in a statistically significant 35% improvement in SI, whereas their bout at 65% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ only
298 resulted in a 20% increase that was not statistically significant. The discrepancy between
299 these studies may at least in part be due to the differences in the 'higher' exercise intensities
300 used in these studies, with Newsom *et al.*'s being of a more 'moderate' rather than 'high'
301 intensity.

302 **Table 1.** Summary of recent studies assessing acute insulin sensitivity responses to exercise

Reference	Participants	Study type	Exercise type and intensity	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
de Matos <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [22]	Twenty-seven obese or obese insulin-resistant patients.	Exercise intervention.	Acute 60 min of aerobic exercise on a cycle ergometer at 60 % of peak oxygen consumption.	Compared with paired eutrophic controls, obese subjects had higher basal levels of p-JNK and p-IRS-1 (ser612), and reduced HSP70. Exercise reduced p-IRS-1 (ser612) for both obese and obese insulin-resistant subjects. A main effect of exercise was observed for HSP70.	A single session of exercise promotes changes that are characteristic of a reduction in cellular stress. Such changes may contribute to an exercise-induced increase in SI.
Rynders <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [38]	Eighteen pre-diabetic adults.	Randomised controlled trial of acute responses to exercise.	Moderate intensity exercise at Lactate threshold (LT) vs High Intensity Exercise (75% of difference between LT and peak O ₂ consumption vs Control (1 hour of seated rest). One hour after exercise, subjects undertook an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT).	SI improved by 51% following Moderate intensity exercise and 85% following High intensity exercise.	Acute exercise had an immediate and intensity-dependent effect on improving postprandial glycaemia and SI.
Newsom <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [39]	Eleven sedentary, obese adults.	Randomised controlled trial.	Three experimental trials: (i) exercise at 50% VO _{2 peak} for ~70 min (expending ~ 350 Kcal); (ii) exercise at 65% VO _{2 peak} for ~55 min to expend	Seventy minutes of exercise at 50% VO _{2 peak} increased insulin sensitivity by 35% compared with control condition. Whereas the	A prolonged single session of exercise at a moderate intensity improved SI the next day in obese adults. This may be more effective than a shorter duration bout at a

			350 kcal; (iii) no exercise. Exercise was undertaken in the afternoon SI assessed the following morning.	55 min of exercise at 65% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ produced average increase SI of 20% compared to control condition, this was not statistically significant.	higher intensity.
Malin <i>et al.</i> , [40],	Fifteen prediabetics aged 49.9 ± 3.6 years	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial, with control condition.	Three trial conditions: (i) 1 hr rest (control); (ii) 200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at lactate threshold; and (iii) 200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at 75% of difference between lactate threshold and $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$. A 75g OGTT was undertaken 1 hr post-exercise/control.	Compared to control, exercise lowered skeletal muscle insulin resistance independently of exercise intensity, but hepatic and adipose insulin resistance was increased. Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion did not differ between conditions, but post-prandial glucose levels were lower post-exercise.	Exercise promoted insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle post exercise. The increase in insulin resistance in adipose and hepatic tissue, may further promote glucose uptake and glycogen restoration in the muscles.
Ortega <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [43]	Ten healthy young men.	Randomised cross-over trial with control condition.	Sprint Interval Training (SIT) of 4 x 30 s sprints vs continuous low intensity exercise at 46% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ vs moderate intensity exercise at 77% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ vs Control. Intravenous glucose tolerance tests undertaken 30 min, 24 h and 48 h post-exercise.	All exercise conditions improved SI for at least 48 h compared to the control condition. Thirty minutes post-exercise the improvements induced by SIT were greater than for either of the continuous exercise bouts.	All exercise bouts improved SI, and in the short-term (30 minutes post-exercise) SIT was more effective than low or moderate intensity continuous exercise at improving SI.
Terada <i>et al.</i> , [44],	Ten diabetics aged 45 – 75 years	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial, with control condition.	Four exercise conditions each of 60 minutes duration: (i) HIIT (repetitions of 3 minutes	HIIT reduced overnight and fasting glycemia the day after the exercise by more than moderate	HIIT resulted in acute benefits to glycemic regulation, which were further enhanced by undertaking the exercise in a

			at 40% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ and x 1 minute at 100% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ in fasted state; (ii) HIIT post-breakfast; (iii) Moderate intensity exercise (55% of $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$) in fasted state; and (iv) and Moderate intensity exercise, post-breakfast; plus no exercise (control).	intensity exercise. Exercising in a fasted state rather than 'post-breakfast' attenuated post-prandial glycemic increments. Compared to the control condition, HIIT in a fasted state produced significant improvements to: 24-h mean glucose, fasting glucose, postprandial glycemic increment, glycemic variability and time spent in hyperglycemia.	fasted state.
Whyte <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [45]	Ten overweight/obese men aged 26.9 ± 6.2 years.	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial.	Three trial conditions: (i) four maximal 30-s sprints, with 4.5 min recovery between each (SIT); (ii) a single maximal extended sprint (ES) matched with SIT for work done; and (iii) no exercise (CON). Oral glucose tolerance tests were undertaken on the days following each of the above.	SI Index was 44.6% higher following ES than CON, but did not differ significantly between SIT and CON. On the day following exercise, fat oxidation in the fasted state was increased by 63% and 38%, compared to CON, in SIT and ES, respectively.	A single ES, which may represent a more time-efficient alternative to SIT, can increase SI and increase fat oxidation in overweight/obese sedentary men.

304 In a more recent study, Malin *et al.*, [40] sought to identify the acute impact of exercise
305 intensity on different components of insulin sensitivity and indicators of glycaemic control,
306 including: glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS), skeletal muscle insulin resistance
307 (SM_{IR}), hepatic insulin resistance ($HOMA_{IR}$) and adipose insulin resistance ($ADIPOSE_{IR}$). In
308 their study they administered a 75 g OGTT 1 hr post-exercise/control and in their analyses
309 they assessed the relationship between the aforementioned measures and reported that
310 exercise lowered SM_{IR} independently of exercise intensity, but that compared to controls,
311 high intensity exercise (200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at 75% of difference between
312 lactate threshold and $VO_{2\ peak}$) increased $HOMA_{IR}$ and $ADIPOSE_{IR}$: which may initially
313 appear contradictory. However, since GSIS was not reduced post-exercise and the
314 disposition index (DI) of the hepatic and adipose tissues were lowered with high intensity
315 exercise, whilst that of muscle increased, it resulted in a lower post-prandial blood glucose.
316 Based on these findings the authors suggest that insulin secretion from the pancreas
317 matches the combined requirements of these tissues and there is some communication
318 between them to produce this outcome. They also suggest that the elevated $HOMA_{IR}$ and
319 $ADIPOSE_{IR}$ may be beneficial post-exercise, as it could promote greater glucose uptake into
320 the skeletal muscle, in which insulin resistance is lower, and thereby more effectively
321 promote the restoration of muscle glycogen post-exercise.

322 The variable of exercise intensity is manipulated and taken to greater extremes through the
323 prescription of 'high-intensity interval training' or Sprint Interval Training (SIT), in which
324 relatively short bursts of high intensity exercise are interspersed with lower intensity activity
325 or rest recovery. [41] Gibala *et al.*, [42] propose that the term HIIT be used when repeated
326 short bouts of exercise at intensities of between 80 – 100% HR_{max} are used, whilst protocols
327 that involve repeated short bouts of maximal 'all-out' exercise at intensities greater than the
328 work rate that elicits $VO_{2\ max}$ be classified as SIT.

329 In the context of studies assessing the impact of short duration, high-intensity exercise,
330 including HIIT and SIT, Ortega *et al.*, [43] found that whilst their high intensity intervals (four
331 x thirty second sprints), continuous low intensity (46% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$) and moderate intensity (77%
332 $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$) exercise bouts all improved insulin sensitivity in healthy men for at least 48 hrs. The
333 repeated sprints produced the greatest short term effects 30 minutes post exercise.
334 Similarly, the study by Terada *et al.*, [44] reported that 60 minutes of HIIT (repetitions of 3
335 minutes at 40% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ and x 1 minute at 100% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$), reduced overnight and fasting
336 glycemia the day after the exercise by more than a bout of continuous moderate intensity
337 exercise at 55% of $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$. They also reported that exercising in a fasted state rather than
338 'post-breakfast' attenuated post-prandial glycaemic increments; and compared to the control
339 condition, HIIT in a fasted state produced significant improvements to: 24-h mean glucose,
340 fasting glucose, postprandial glycaemic increment, glycaemic variability and time spent in
341 hyperglycemia.

342 In comparison, Whyte *et al.* compared four maximal 30-s sprints with 4.5 min recovery
343 between each (SIT) and a single maximal extended sprint matched for work done.[45] The
344 day following exercise, the SIT session had failed to improve SI over a control (no exercise)
345 condition, but the extended sprint had improved SI by 45%. Hence the failure of SIT to
346 improve SI in this study contradicts the findings of Ortega *et al.*,[43] but raises the possibility
347 of a single bout of high intensity exercise, of relatively short duration (approximately 2 – 3
348 minutes) being sufficient stimulus to promote the regulatory processes underlying
349 improvements in SI, and this requires further elucidation.

350

351 Updates on the association between SI and physical activity - lifestyle studies

352 Table 2 summarises the results from recent studies assessing potential links between a
353 lifestyle involving regular physical activity and SI. Uemura *et al.*'s[46] survey confirms
354 previous work that demonstrates a link between a lifestyle involving physical activity and
355 better glycaemic control, as did Rosenberger *et al.*,[47] who reported that a lifestyle involving
356 regular walking and other activities reduced by 50% the odds ratio for metabolic syndrome.
357 Similarly, Caro *et al.*,[48] reported a significantly lower (21 vs 46%) prevalence of metabolic
358 syndrome in people who complied with the aerobic exercise guidelines of 30 – 60 minutes of
359 moderate activity 5 days per week.

360 The importance of lifestyle is evident even in young people as a survey of children found that
361 physical activity was negatively associated with markers of insulin resistance, [49] and the
362 study by Telford *et al.*, found that the prevalence of insulin resistance was reduced in
363 primary school age children when physical activity was increased in school.[50]

364 **Table 2.** Summary of recent studies assessing the association between regular physical activity and insulin sensitivity

Reference	Participants	Study type	Physical Activity or other data collected	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
Uemura <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [46]	Five hundred and eighteen eligible subjects (380 men and 138 women) who attended the Tokushima Prefectural General Health Checkup Center.	Survey.	Questionnaire on lifestyle characteristics, including leisure-time exercise and daily non-exercise activities.	Subjects with longer durations of daily non-sedentary activities had significantly lower adjusted odds ratios for metabolic syndrome. Daily non-sedentary activities were associated with lower homeostasis model of assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR).	A lifestyle involving greater time spent in non-sedentary activities reduced the risk of insulin resistance.
Rosenberger <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [47]	Three hundred and one overweight/obese pre-diabetics.	Survey of physical activity habits.	Participants reported walking and other activities, and were assessed for factors associated with metabolic syndrome (MetS). Participants were categorised as those with and those without MetS.	18% of subjects with MetS reported at least 150 minutes of activity minutes per week compared with 29.8% of those without MetS. The odds of MetS was lower with greater activity minutes.	Meeting Physical Activity goals of 150 min/wk, reduced MetS odds in overweight/obese pre-diabetic adults.
Caro <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [48]	One hundred and one adults with no personal history of disease aged 30-70 years.	A cross-sectional, observational study in an adult population. Participants were age- and sex-matched for comparison.	Participants were classified into: (i) those who undertook regular exercise of 30-60 minutes of moderate physical exercise 5 days per wk, and (ii) non exercising controls who exhibited a	Indicators of fasting plasma insulin levels HOMA-IR were significantly lower in the regular physical activity group. Prevalence rates of metabolic syndrome were 20.7% and 45.8% in the regular physical activity and sedentary groups	Moderate regular physical activity is associated with higher SI.

			sedentary lifestyle.	respectively.	
Jiménez-Pavón <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [49]	One thousand and fifty three boys and girls, aged 12.5 -17.5 years.	A cross-sectional study in a school setting.	Physical Activity (PA) was assessed via accelerometry; Cardio Respiratory Fitness (CRF) assessed via a 20-m shuttle run test. Fasting insulin and glucose concentrations were measured. The HOMA-IR and quantitative SI index were calculated.	In males, vigorous PA (VPA) was negatively associated with markers of resistance (IR) after adjusting for confounders including waist circumference. In females, moderate PA, moderate to vigorous PA, and average PA were negatively associated with markers of IR after adjusting for confounders. When the sample was segmented by CRF levels, all the PA intensities were significantly negatively associated with the markers of IR in females with low CRF but not in those with middle-high CRF after adjusting for confounders.	The findings suggest that PA is negatively associated with markers of IR after adjusting for confounders including total and central body fat in both sexes. This relationship is modified by the CRF levels, which are especially important in those females with low CRF. Preventive strategies should focus not only on increasing the volume of PA but also on enhancing CRF through VPA.
Telford <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [50]	Seven hundred and eight primary school children, mean age 8.1 ± 0.35 years.	4-yr cluster-randomized intervention study into the effects of specialists vs non-specialists delivering physical education classes.	The intervention involved the employment of specialist Physical Education teachers to deliver PE classes (intervention) in primary schools, rather than delivery by generalist primary	The PE classes delivered by the PE specialists involved more fitness work than the control PE classes delivered by primary generalists (7 vs 1 min) and more moderate physical activity (17 vs 10 min respectively). There were no differences at	Specialist-taught primary school PE increased physical activity in PE classes, and was associated with a lower prevalence of IR in community-based children.

			teachers (control).	baseline, but by grade 6, the intervention had lowered the prevalence of insulin resistance (IR) by 14% in the boys and by 9% in the girls, also the percentage of children with insulin resistance (IR) greater than 3 (a cut off point for metabolic risk) was lower in the intervention than the control group (combined, 22% vs 31%; boys, 12% vs 21%; girls, 32% vs 40%).	
--	--	--	---------------------	--	--

365 Updates from studies assessing the effects of exercise training upon SI

366 Exercise training studies generally report health benefits for the majority of participants,
367 providing the exercise dose is of an appropriate intensity, frequency, duration, and
368 undertaken for sufficient time.[7, 20] Meta-analyses and reviews indicate that regular
369 aerobic exercise that complies with exercise prescription guidelines,[51] increases SI by
370 ~25-50%.[6, 8] This training adaptation is likely induced by the increased activity of the
371 muscle fibres, since low intensity aerobic activity, which primarily utilises type 1 fibres,
372 induces changes in type 1 fibres expressing myosin heavy chain (MHC) I, but not type 2
373 fibres (expressing MHC IIA or MHC IIX).[12] If such adaptations are specific to the fibres
374 that experience increased activity, then this presents the possibility of higher intensity
375 exercise, which involves a greater recruitment of the type 2 fibres, inducing beneficial
376 adaptations in both type 1 and type 2 fibres.

377

378 *Aerobic exercise interventions, including the assessment of the influence of exercise volume*
379 *and intensity*

380 Table 3 summarises the results of recent studies assessing the effect of exercise
381 interventions upon SI. Studies consistently show that moderate aerobic exercise for 30
382 minutes or more, 3 or more times a week for 8 or more weeks improves SI and other
383 markers of glycaemic control. This has been reported in a range of populations including
384 diabetic women,[52] diabetic and impaired glucose tolerance men and women,[53, 54]
385 obese men,[55] obese women,[56] obese and overweight postmenopausal women,[38]
386 obese adolescents,[57, 58] obese patients,[59] sedentary moderately overweight young
387 men,[19, 60] subjects with metabolic syndrome,[61] older obese adults with impaired
388 glucose tolerance,[34] obese adolescent girls,[62] and adults with T2DM and non-alcoholic
389 fatty liver disease.[63]

390 **Table 3.** Summary of recent studies assessing exercise training effects on insulin sensitivity

Reference	Participants	Study type	Exercise mode	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
Stuart <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [15]	Eleven participants with the metabolic Syndrome and seven non-diabetic, sedentary controls.	Exercise intervention. Pre- v post intervention comparison.	Eight weeks of increasing intensity stationary cycle training.	Cycle training without weight loss did not change insulin resistance in metabolic syndrome subjects or sedentary controls. Muscle insulin receptor expression increased in both metabolic syndrome and sedentary groups, while GLUT4 expression also increased in the metabolic syndrome subjects. The excess phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1) at Ser337 in metabolic syndrome muscle tended to increase further after training in spite of a decrease in total IRS-1.	In the absence of weight loss, the cycle training of metabolic syndrome subjects increased the expression of insulin receptors and GLUT4 in muscle but did not decrease the insulin resistance.
Malin <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [18]	Twenty four, older, obese adults with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and/or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT).	Exercise intervention.	12-wks of exercise (60 min/day, 5 days/wk at ~85% HR _{max}).	Exercise increased clamp-derived peripheral and hepatic SI more in adults with IFG or IGT alone than with IFG + IGT.	Exercise increased peripheral but not hepatic SI.
Reichkenderl <i>et al.</i> , 2013	Sixty-one, healthy,	Randomised, controlled trial.	Moderate (300 kcal/day)	Aerobic exercise training increased insulin-	Aerobic exercise training enhances glucose uptake in

[19]	sedentary, moderately overweight, young men.		or high (600 kcal/day) physical exercise for 11 wks, vs sedentary living (control). Pre and post training, insulin-stimulated glucose uptake was assessed in five individual femoral muscle groups and four different adipose tissue regions.	stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle but not in adipose tissue.	muscle but not adipose tissues, indicating a differential effect on these tissues.
Prior <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [32]	Sixteen, sedentary, overweight-obese, older men and women, with impaired glucose tolerance.	Pre- vs post-intervention comparison.	Six-months of aerobic exercise and weight loss. Three sessions a week progressing from 20 min at 50% heart rate reserve to 45 min at 85% of heart rate reserve.	Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Capillary density was measured via biopsies of the vastus lateralis.	Insulin sensitivity increased and 120-min post-prandial glucose was lower post-intervention. These changes were associated with increases in capillary density.
Malin <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [34]	Thirty five, older, obese, adults with prediabetes.	Exercise intervention.	Progressive 12-wk exercise intervention (60 min at ~85% HR _{max} 5 days/wk).	Exercise increased first- and second-phase disposition index (DI; β -cell function = glucose-stimulated insulin secretion \times clamp-derived SI).	Exercise training plus weight loss increased pancreatic β -cell function in a linear dose-response manner in adults with pre-diabetes. Relatively high exercise doses (>2,000 kcal/wk) may be necessary to enhance β -cell function in adults with poor insulin secretion capacity.
Madsen <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [36]	Ten, non-active type 2 diabetic patients (56 \pm 2 years) and	Pre v post intervention comparison.	Three sessions per week of HIIT (10 x 60s) for 8 weeks for both type 2 diabetics and	Type 2 diabetics displayed significant improvements in HOMA-IR and β cell function. The healthy	HIIT was effective in improving HOMA-IR and β cell function in type 2 diabetics.

	thirteen matched healthy participants.		healthy participants. Glycemic control was assessed using HOMA-IR and β cell function assessed.	group, who displayed superior HOMA-IR and β cell function results at baseline, exhibited no change in these measures, which was not unexpected given their baseline values.	
Brennan <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [37]	Seventy seven, sedentary, obese men and women.	Repeated measures, intervention vs control condition.	Three to four months of aerobic exercise vs control	Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and VO_2 peak.	Changes to insulin sensitivity were not associated with changes to VO_2 peak.
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [40]	Forty-four, type 2 diabetic patients, aged 48-68 years (27 females, 17 males).	Randomized trial: Diet vs Football training plus diet.	Football training: 3 x 40 min/week for 12 weeks.	Football training plus diet group displayed improvements in HOMA-IR, whereas diet alone did not	Football training plus diet was potentially better at preventing T2D complications than diet alone. It was also more effective than diet alone at improving other markers of metabolic and cardiovascular health, such as blood lipid profile and CRF.
Motahari-Tabari, <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [52]	Fifty-three, type 2 diabetic women.	Randomized clinical trial: exercise vs control.	Thirty minutes at a maximum intensity of 60% increase in heart rate, 3 times a week for 8 weeks.	HOMA-IR improved and fasting plasma glucose and insulin were lowered.	Exercise was effective at improving SI.
Ryan <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [53]	Seventy-seven, overweight and obese, sedentary, postmenopausal, women.	Prospective controlled study.	Six months of: 'aerobic exercise (3 d/wk) + weight loss' vs 'weight loss without exercise'.	Insulin resistance decreased in both groups. Glucose utilization increased by 10% with 'aerobic exercise + weight loss' and 8% with 'weight loss without exercise'.	No statistically significant difference in changes to insulin resistance between 'aerobic exercise + weight loss' vs 'weight loss without exercise'. However, exercise benefitted other markers of metabolic health.
Mitranun <i>et al.</i>	43 participants	Randomised	Sedentary (control) vs	Fasting blood glucose	Both continuous and interval

<i>al.</i> , 2014 [54]	with type 2 diabetes.	controlled trial.	continuous exercise vs interval training. For 30 and 40 min/day, 3 times/week for 12 weeks.	levels decreased in both exercise groups. Glycosylated haemoglobin levels decreased only in the interval training group.	training were effective in improving glycaemic control, but the interval training program appears to confer greater improvements.
Skleryk <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [55]	Sixteen, sedentary, obese men.	Exercise intervention.	Two weeks of reduced-volume sprint interval training (SIT) (three sessions of 8-12 × 10 s sprints/wk) compared to traditional exercise recommendations (TER) (5 × 30 min sessions at 65% peak oxygen consumption/wk).	HOMA-IR, AS160 phosphorylation and COX II, COX IV, GLUT-4, Nur77 and SIRT1 protein expression assessed at baseline and approximately 72 h after the final training bout were unaltered in either group.	Two weeks of reduced-volume SIT or TER did not elicit any measurable metabolic adaptations in previously sedentary, obese men.
Trachta <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [56]	Fifteen, obese women.	Intervention with comparison group comprising of 'healthy' lean subjects who did not undertake the exercise intervention.	Three-month exercise program consisting of 30 min of aerobic exercise, 3 times a week.	HOMA-IR improved in the obese group.	Three months of regular exercise improved, blood glucose and HOMA-IR, but had no significant effect on lipid profile and blood pressure.
Many <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [57]	Eleven, morbidly obese minority adolescents (BMI 41.4 ± 1.8 kg/m ²)	Exercise intervention.	Eight weeks of aerobic exercise training (~180 min/wk at 40-55% VO ₂ peak). Pre- and post-intervention, SI and inflammatory markers were assessed.	Insulin action improved in response to training, as indicated by a ~37% increase in SI.	This study supports the efficacy of exercise training interventions on improving metabolic syndrome features in morbidly obese minority youth.
Racil <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [58]	Thirty-four, obese,	Randomised controlled trial.	Twelve-weeks of moderate-intensity	Significant decrease in insulin resistance (HOMA-	Interval training improved SI. High intensity interval exercise

	adolescent females.		interval training (MIIT) or high-intensity (HIIT) interval training exercise.	IR) occurred in both HIIT and MIIT groups (-29.2 ± 5.3 and -18.4 ± 8.6 %, respectively).	produced greater benefits than moderate intensity interval exercise.
Kurose, <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [59]	Forty three, obese patients.	Exercise intervention.	Thirty minutes on a cycle ergometer or treadmill, 3 times per week for 6 months, with training intensity adjusted to anaerobic threshold.	HOMA-IR improved.	Aerobic exercise improved SI. Additionally, insulin resistance was the only independent factor influencing improvement in endothelial function.
Reichkenderl <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [60]	Sixty-one, healthy, sedentary, moderately overweight, young men.	Randomised controlled trial.	Eleven weeks of physical activity at moderate dose (300 kcal/day); high dose (600 kcal/day); or sedentary living.	In both exercise groups, peripheral SI improved. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance decreased.	Physical activity improved SI and small additional health benefits were found when exercising at $\sim 3,800$ vs $\sim 2,000$ kcal/week in young moderately overweight men.
Di Raimondo <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [61]	One hundred and seventy-six subjects with metabolic syndrome.	Exercise intervention.	Walking for 1 h, 5 days a week for 24 weeks at an intensity higher than the one classified as 'comfortable' by the patient.	Mean fasting glucose improved.	Regular walking at a moderate to hard intensity improved glycaemic control.
Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [62]	Forty-four, obese, adolescent girls.	Randomised controlled trial.	Three months of 180 min/wk aerobic exercise vs resistance exercise vs a non-exercising control group. SI was evaluated by a 3-h hyperinsulinemic ($80 \text{ mU}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) euglycemic clamp.	Compared with control, aerobic exercise improved SI but resistance exercise did not.	In obese, adolescent, girls, aerobic exercise but not resistance exercise was effective in improving SI and did so independently of weight loss or calorie restriction.
Bacchi <i>et al.</i> ,	Thirty-one,	Randomized	Effects of 4-months of	Post-training, SI was	Resistance training and aerobic

2013 [63]	sedentary, adults, with type 2 diabetes, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.	controlled trial.	aerobic or resistance training on SI.	increased and hepatic fat content reduced in both groups.	training were both effective in improving SI and reducing hepatic fat content in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
Motahari-Tabari <i>et al.</i> , 2015. [64]	Fifty-three, type 2 diabetic women.	Exercise intervention vs non-exercise control condition.	Eight weeks of walking for 30 minutes three times a week.	Exercise improved HOMA-IR, fasting plasma insulin and glucose.	The exercise intervention was effective in lowering plasma glucose, insulin levels and insulin resistance.
Herzig <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [65]	One hundred and thirteen pre-diabetic males and females.	Exercise intervention vs non-exercise control condition.	Three sessions of 60 minutes walking per week, for 3 months vs non-exercise control.	The exercise intervention improved HOMA-IR, fasting insulin and glucose.	Compared to controls, the exercise group improved HOMA-IR and fasting insulin, but did not improve $VO_{2\max}$ or fasting glucose.
Damirchi, <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [66]	Twenty-one, middle-aged, men with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS).	Exercise, intervention vs control condition.	Six-weeks of aerobic exercise: 3 sessions per week, for 25 – 40 minutes of walking or running at 50 – 60% $VO_{2\text{peak}}$. Followed by 6 weeks of detraining.	HOMA-IR improved after 6 weeks of training, but had returned to baseline after 6 weeks of detraining.	Regular exercise improved insulin sensitivity, but needs to be maintained as insulin sensitivity is lost if regular exercise ceases.
Solomon <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [67]	One hundred and five participants, with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes.	Observational clinical study.	Twelve to 16 weeks of aerobic exercise training.	Glycosylated haemoglobin, fasting glucose, and 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test were improved post-intervention in 69%, 62%, and 68% of subjects, respectively, while SI improved in 90% of the participants.	Training-induced changes in glycaemic control were related to changes in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, but not SI. Training-induced changes in β -cell function may be a key determinant of training-induced improvements in glycemic

					control.
Grieco <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [68]	Forty-five, healthy, recreationally active, young adults.	Randomised controlled trial.	Six-week exercise intervention. Four groups: moderate-intensity (50% heart rate reserve [HRR]); vigorous-intensity (75% HRR); maximal-intensity intervals (95/50% HRR); and non-exercising control group.	There were no significant changes in insulin effectiveness (homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) and quantitative SI check index (QUICKI) in any exercise group.	The exercise intervention did not significantly affect insulin effectiveness in a young adult population as assessed by HOMA or QUICKI.
Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [69]	Twenty three, men and women with metabolic syndrome (MetS) and 87 men and women without metabolic syndrome. Mean age 48 and 49 years respectively.	Pre vs post exercise intervention comparison.	Three months home based exercise program of three x 30 minute sessions per week at a moderate intensity of either 'stepper' or 'cardio-dance'.	HOMA-IR was maintained in the non-MetS group (1.8 vs 1.9), but deteriorated in the MetS group (3.6 vs 4.3).	The authors reported that 72% of the non-MetS group but only 39% of the MetS group achieved the minimum exercise compliance, and suggested that this may have affected the poor outcome in the MetS group.
Duvivier <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [74]	Eighteen, healthy subjects.	Cross-over design to compare daily regimens of activity and exercise.	Four days of each of the following regimens: (i) 14 hr/d sitting; (ii) 13 hr/d sitting + 1 hr/d vigorous exercise; (iii) 8 hr/d sitting + 4 hr/d walking + 2 hr/d standing.	Oral Glucose Tolerance Tests (OGTT) were undertaken the morning after 4 days on each regimen. Area Under the Curve (AUC) for insulin was lower following the walking and standing regimen compared to the others.	Reducing sitting time by walking and standing was more effective than one hour of vigorous exercise in maintaining SI.
Earnest <i>et</i>	Men at risk for	Randomised,	Three months of	Twenty-four hour and 72 h	Eucaloric AER and INT appear

<i>al.</i> , 2013 [75]	insulin resistance.	controlled, exercise intervention trial.	eucaloric (12 kcal/kg/wk) steady state aerobic training (AER) compared with interval training (INT).	post-exercise fasting OGTT improved. HOMA-IR was improved with INT and AER. Stratification of participants based on pre-training values for HOMA-IR revealed that both low and high HOMA-IR participants demonstrated significant reductions with INT, whereas only high HOMA-IR showed significant improvements with AER.	to affect fasting glucose OGTT similarly. Both INT and AER benefitted those with high HOMA-IR, while INT also benefitted those with low HOMA-IR, thereby suggesting that INT may have a greater impact by benefitting across a wider spectrum of HOMA-IR.
Gillen <i>et al.</i> , 2016 [76]	Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years)	Randomised control trial.	For 12-weeks, three sessions per week of either: (i) Sprint Interval Training (3 x 20s maximal sprint, interspersed with 2 min cycling recovery at 50W), or (ii) 45 mins of moderate intensity cycling at ~75% HR _{max} (~110W), or (iii) non-exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed via intravenous glucose tolerance tests.	Both exercise regimens produced significant and similar improvements in SI as measure via intravenous glucose tolerance tests performed before and 72 hrs post-exercise. Likewise VO _{2 peak} improved (~19%) in both exercise groups, as did skeletal muscle mitochondrial content. There were no statistically significant changes in the control group.	Sprint Interval Training produced similar fitness and SI improvements to prolonged moderate intensity exercise, despite requiring a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment.
Shepherd <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [77]	Ninety, previously inactive volunteers.	Randomised control trial.	Ten weeks, 3 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (15 – 60s with target HR >90% HR _{max} ,	HOMA improved in both groups, but was achieved with less time commitment and greater adherence in	HIIT may provide a time-efficient alternative to continuous moderate intensity exercise.

			with 45 – 120 s active recovery for a total of 18 – 25 minutes, including warm up) or (ii) 30 - 45 min continuous exercise at an intensity ~70% HR _{max} .	the HIIT group.	
Arad <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [80]	Twenty-eight overweight/obese African American women.	Randomised control trial, with diet determined to maintain body weight. Exercise intervention n = 14; control n = 14.	For 14-weeks, three sessions per week of HIIT (4 x 30-60s at 75-90% Heart Rate Reserve (HRR) with 180-210s at 50% HRR between high intensity bouts) or non-exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed using 3 hr euglycaemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp.	Whilst some parameters of exercise metabolism improved, there were no improvements in SI compared to control group.	HIIT did not improve SI when weight was maintained.
Lanzi <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [81]	Nineteen obese men.	Randomised control trial.	Two week exercise intervention, 4 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (10 x 60s at 90% HR _{max} , with 60s recovery), or (ii) 40 -50 min continuous exercise at an intensity identified as that eliciting maximal fat utilisation (Fat _{max}).	Aerobic fitness improved in both groups, but HOMA2-IR only improved in the Fat _{max} group.	In the short-term (2 weeks) exercise training of a continuous moderate intensity (Fat _{max}) was more effective than HIIT at improving glycemic control.
Fisher <i>et al.</i> ,	Twenty-eight	Randomised	Six weeks, 5 sessions	Post-intervention, both	Both exercise regimens

2015 [82]	sedentary overweight/obese men (20 ± 1.5 y).	control trial.	per week of either: (i) HIIT (twenty minutes comprising of repeated bouts of 30s at 85% of peak Wingate power with 4 min recovery at 15% of peak Wingate power), or (ii) 45 - 60 min continuous exercise at an intensity of 55-65% $VO_{2\text{ max}}$.	exercise groups displayed improvements in SI but neither exercise group displayed statistically significant improvements in HOMA-IR.	improved SI, as determined by OGGT, but not HOMA-IR (fasting insulin ($\mu\text{U/ml}$) x fasting glucose (mmol/L))
Matsuo <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [83]	Twenty-six men with metabolic risk factors.	Randomised control trial.	Eight-week exercise intervention, three sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT, (3 x 3min at ~ 80 -85% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ with 2 min recovery at 50% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$, or (ii) 40min at 60 – 65% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$. Followed by four weeks of a low-calorie diet.	Both exercise interventions showed trends for improving HOMA-IR, and this was statistically significant in the HIIT group after the subsequent 4-week low calorie diet.	SI trended towards improvement with both HIIT and moderate intensity exercise, and was further improved with the low calorie diet in the HIIT group.
Inoue <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [87]	Forty-five, post-pubertal, obese, adolescents.	Pre vs post intervention comparing an aerobic exercise regimen (AT), with two exercise regimens that included both aerobic exercise and resistance exercise (LP and DUP).	Twenty-six weeks of exercise intervention, 3 x 60 minute sessions a week.	Insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR) improved in both the groups undertaking combined aerobic and resistance training, but statistically significant improvements were not found in the group undertaking aerobic exercise without resistance training (AT).	The combination of aerobic plus resistance exercise improved insulin sensitivity more effectively than aerobic exercise alone.

Dâmaso <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [88]	One hundred and sixteen, obese, adolescents.	Pre vs post intervention comparing: (i) aerobic exercise regimen, with (ii) aerobic exercise plus resistance exercise regimen.	One year of: (i) an aerobic exercise regimen, or (ii) aerobic exercise plus resistance exercise.	Insulin sensitivity measured as HOMA-IR.	Whilst both exercise regimens improved important clinical parameters, the 'aerobic plus resistance exercise' regimen produced better metabolic outcomes than aerobic exercise alone.
Nikseresht <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [89]	Thirty-four, sedentary, obese, middle-aged, men.	Exercise, interventions vs control condition.	Twelve weeks, of 3 sessions per week of: (i) 40 – 65 minutes of resistance training; (ii) aerobic interval training (4 x 4 minutes at 80 - 90% HR _{max} , with 3 minutes recovery between intervals); (iii) non-exercise control.	Fasting HOMA-IR.	Compared to control condition, both aerobic interval training and resistance training were equally effective in reducing insulin resistance.
Conceição <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [90]	Twenty, post-menopausal women.	Exercise intervention, randomised controlled trial.	Resistance training: ten exercises, with 3 x 8-10 maximal repetitions three times per week.	Compared to control group, the resistance training group displayed decreases in fasting blood glucose.	Resistance training performed three times a week may reduce the metabolic syndrome Z-score with concomitant decreases in fasting blood glucose.
Molsted <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [91]	Twenty-three patients treated by dialysis, with (n = 14) and without (n = 9) impaired glucose tolerance.	Control period, followed by the exercise intervention.	Sixteen weeks of strength training three times a week.	After the strength training, fasting insulin, 2-hr insulin and 'area under the curve' insulin (AUC) were significantly lower in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes.	Strength training was associated with a significant improvements in glucose tolerance in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes undergoing dialysis. The effect was not associated with muscle hypertrophy.
Mavros <i>et</i>	One-hundred and	Participants were	Twelve-months of	Within the resistance	Improvements in metabolic

<i>al.</i> , 2013 [92]	three older adults with type 2 diabetes.	randomized to the resistance training intervention or non-exercise control group.	resistance training, 3 days per week, or sham exercise.	training group, changes in HOMA2-IR were associated with changes in skeletal muscle mass and fat mass. Changes in visceral adipose tissue tended to be related to changes in HOMA2-IR.	health in older adults with type 2 diabetes were mediated through improvements in body composition, only if they were achieved through high-intensity progressive resistance training.
Garnett <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [93]	One-hundred and eleven obese, pre-diabetic, or insulin resistant, adolescents.	Repeated measures, exercise intervention with groups differing in dietary regimen.	Twelve weeks of 45 – 60 minutes, moderate to vigorous circuit training, twice a week.	OGTT following an overnight fast.	SI improved within 12 weeks of commencing the exercise intervention and was still improved compared to baseline at 12 months.
Trussardi Fayh <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [97]	Forty-eight, obese Individuals, age 31.8 ± 6.0 years.	Randomised clinical trial.	Participants were allocated to a diet-only group or a diet and exercise group. The intervention was maintained until 5% of the initial body weight was lost.	Both regimens produced significant and similar decreases of visceral adipose tissue and HOMA-IR.	Five percent weight loss reduced abdominal fat and insulin resistance in obese individuals, but exercise did not add to the effect of weight loss on the outcome variables.

391

392

393 A commonly advocated exercise prescription of 3 sessions per week of 30 minutes walking
394 was used by Motahari-Tabari *et al.*,[64] who reported improvements in the Homeostatic
395 Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), fasting plasma insulin and fasting
396 glucose in Type 2 diabetic women, following 8 weeks of this aerobic exercise regimen.
397 Likewise, Herzig *et al.*,[65] found that 60 minutes of walking, three times a week for 3
398 months in pre-diabetics improved HOMA-IR, fasting and 2-h insulin, despite no
399 improvements in fasting 2-h glucose or $VO_{2\max}$. The finding of an improvement in SI without
400 an improvement in $VO_{2\max}$ concurs with some previously mentioned studies.[37]

401 Damirchi *et al.*,[66] also demonstrated an improvement in insulin sensitivity in middle-aged
402 men with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) following a 6-week aerobic exercise program of 3
403 sessions per week of 25 – 40 minutes walking or running at 50 – 60% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$, and also
404 reported the interesting finding that this benefit was lost within 6 weeks of detraining.
405 Solomon *et al.*, reported improvements in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, but not SI in
406 participants with type 2 diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance, [67] and suggested that
407 training-induced changes in β -cell function may be a key determinant of training-induced
408 improvements in glycaemic control. Additionally, Skleryk *et al.*, did not find any beneficial
409 changes from 5 days a week of aerobic exercise at 65% $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ in overweight/obese
410 sedentary males,[55] but their exercise intervention was only for 2 weeks and may not have
411 been of sufficient duration to induce detectable changes. Likewise, Grieco *et al.*'s study on
412 recreationally active young adults did not change insulin effectiveness,[68] although, given
413 that the participants were already recreationally active it may be that their pre-study values
414 were not sufficiently poor to be changed by the relatively short 6-week intervention.

415 Chen *et al.*'s study also produced results that were not in accordance with similar studies
416 and they suggested that this may have been due to participants' lack of compliance and
417 exercise intensity,[69] with their non-metabolic syndrome group attaining greater compliance
418 and thereby maintaining their SI, whilst their metabolic syndrome group displayed poorer

419 compliance, which may have contributed to their decline in SI.

420

421 One exercise variable that is subject to manipulation in exercise interventions is that of
422 exercise volume, and in general, studies that have examined a possible dose response
423 report additional benefits from higher exercise doses (>1,900 kcal/wk), with increases in SI
424 and improved β -cell function in adults with prediabetes.[34] Whilst such levels of activity may
425 be desirable, compliance is often low even for much lower exercise volumes [70 - 73] and for
426 those who are unable to meet these levels it is evident that much of the health benefit is
427 attained from an exercise dose of only ~1,900 kcal/wk or even less, with only minor
428 additional benefits to fitness, body fat and insulin sensitivity when exercising for 600 kcal/day
429 compared with 300 kcal/day.[60] Indeed the commonly prescribed dose of 5 x 30 min of
430 moderate intensity exercise/wk, which is reported on numerous occasions to be effective,
431 would be around 475 – 950 kcal/wk.

432

433 Another exercise variable that is receiving attention in the research is that of exercise
434 intensity, as many of the adaptations that play a role in the exercise-induced increases in SI
435 display a response that is related to the intensity of the activity. For example, while low
436 intensity training such as walking for 30 minutes, 3 – 4 days per week, for 6 months
437 improves markers of glycaemic control (such as 'area under the curve' [AUC] for insulin), a
438 further 6 months of higher intensity exercise (jogging 3- 4 days per week for 6 months) elicits
439 substantially greater improvements.[6] Some reviews suggest that higher intensity exercise
440 (>75% of $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$) is more efficacious than lower intensity (<60% of $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$).[6] However,
441 these findings are equivocal as others have reported that lower intensity activity, such as
442 prolonged bouts of standing and walking are more effective than vigorous exercise of the
443 equivalent energy expenditure in improving insulin sensitivity as indicated by oral glucose
444 tolerance tests.[74] Hence in the context of sustained bouts of continuous exercise the issue
445 of the relative importance of exercise volume in terms of duration or total calorific cost of the

446 exercise, versus the intensity of the exercise remains to be resolved.

447

448 Interval training (HIIT and SIT) that utilise repeated brief bouts of exercise at intensities that
449 are greater than those used in exercise sessions involving a more prolonged single
450 continuous exercise bout has been demonstrated to induce significant increases in GLUT4
451 protein (up to 260%) and SI (25 – 35%).[17, 54, 58, 75] With the overall outcomes indicating
452 comparable and in some cases superior improvements in SI compared to moderate intensity
453 continuous exercise training [76], despite it involving substantially less time commitment and
454 reduced total exercise volume. Additionally, Earnest et al., [75] found interval training to
455 benefit low HOMA_{IR} patients as well as High HOMA_{IR} patients, whereas moderate intensity
456 aerobic exercise only benefitted the High HOMA_{IR} patients. Hence interval training could be
457 beneficial to both, and for those with relatively mild insulin resistance it may be more
458 effective in preventing further decline and/or restoring SI. As an extension of this, it may be
459 speculated that HIIT could be a more effective preventative exercise regimen for
460 asymptomatic healthy individuals. Furthermore, Madsen *et al.*,[36] reported that HIIT
461 improved both HOMA-IR and β cell function in type 2 diabetic patients, hence it could be
462 beneficial across the insulin resistance spectrum.

463

464 Shepherd *et al.*, [77] investigated the efficacy of HIIT in a ‘gym-setting’ with ninety previously
465 inactive volunteers. In this study they reported that both HIIT and moderate intensity
466 exercise improved SI, but HIIT achieved this with less than half the time commitment and
467 greater adherence. Such findings are important given that a ‘lack of time’ remains the most
468 commonly cited barrier to regular exercise participation.[78, 79] This, combined with reports
469 of greater enjoyment when compared with sessions undertaken at a constant high intensity,
470 is likely to improve compliance, although the higher intensity of the exercise may make it
471 unsuitable for some ‘at risk’ individuals with cardiovascular issues. However, not all studies
472 have reported HIIT to improve insulin sensitivity, including those of Arad *et al.*[80] in which

473 overweight/obese African American women undertook 14-weeks of HIIT (3 sessions a
474 week), whilst maintaining a stable weight and that of Lanzi *et al.*[81] in which moderate
475 intensity exercise was more effective than HIIT in improving HOMA2-IR in obese men,
476 although the exercise intervention for this later study was only 2-weeks. The complexity of
477 the issue is exemplified in the findings of studies such as that of Fisher *et al.*, [82] in which
478 both HIIT and moderate intensity exercise improved SI, as determined by an OGTT, but did
479 not improve insulin resistance as determined by HOMA-IR (fasting insulin ($\mu\text{U/ml}$) x fasting
480 glucose (mmol/L)). In other work, whilst Matsuo *et al.* [83] reported beneficial trends in
481 HOMA-IR following HIIT as well as moderate intensity exercise, the results only reached
482 statistical significance when the participants went on to follow a 4-week low-calories diet.

483

484 *Effects of resistance training upon SI*

485 Whilst much of the early research into exercise and SI has focused on aerobic exercise,
486 recent exercise interventions using resistance training (REX) have demonstrated that this
487 mode of exercise can also improve indicators of glycaemic control in a variety of populations,
488 including older overweight individuals with prediabetes [84] and postmenopausal women
489 [85]. However, the training adaptations may not always change all indicators of glycaemic
490 control as Eikenberg *et al.*, [84], found that twice weekly resistance training for 12 weeks
491 improved 2 hr OGTT results in their participants who commenced the study with impaired
492 glucose tolerance (IGT) and impaired fasting glucose (IFG), but not in those who
493 commenced with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) without IGT. Likewise, REX did not alter
494 fasting glucose concentrations, AUC or ISI.

495 At a molecular level, REX consisting of 2 – 3 sessions per week for 8 – 26 weeks, can
496 increase GLUT 4 concentrations and translocation by 30 – 70%, and enhance SI by 10 –
497 48%. [6, 17, 86] Some studies suggest that these improvements could be partially dependent
498 upon the training stimulus increasing muscle mass, as well as qualitative changes within the

599 muscle.[86] With the metabolic adaptations potentially involving changes in the type 2A
500 fibres that are likely to be recruited during REX, as well as Type 1 fibres. As indicated
501 elsewhere these adaptations may not be homogeneous between fibre types or exercise
502 modalities. Furthermore, since both endurance exercise and REX increase SI, it is possible
503 that a combination of these two training modalities could have additive benefits,[16]
504 particularly if the molecular targets of these activities differ. Indeed the study by Inoue *et al.*,
505 found that the combination of aerobic plus resistance exercise was better than aerobic
506 exercise alone at improving insulin sensitivity in post-pubertal obese adolescents.[87] These
507 results concur with the findings of Dâmaso *et al.*, [88] whose findings also suggested that the
508 combination of aerobic and resistance training had better metabolic outcomes than aerobic
509 training alone for obese adolescents. Furthermore, Nikseresht *et al.*,[89] compared the
510 efficacy of aerobic interval training and resistance training and found them to be equally
511 effective in reducing insulin resistance and fasting insulin levels, but suggested that the
512 aerobic program had better anti-inflammatory effects. Consequently the findings of various
513 studies have contributed towards 'evidence-based' exercise recommendations now including
514 both aerobic and REX guidelines for healthy individuals.[17]

515 A recent study by Conceição *et al.*,[90] adds further support to the incorporation of REX to
516 improve glycaemic control in postmenopausal women.[90] However, the influence of
517 changes in muscle mass through resistance exercise requires further elucidation since
518 Molstead *et al.*, reported improvements in fasting insulin, 2-hr insulin and the AUC for insulin
519 in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes who had no increase in
520 muscle mass, [91] whilst Mavros *et al.*, reported that in their study the improvements in SI
521 (HOMA2-IR) in older patients with T2DM were associated with changes in skeletal muscle
522 mass.[92] Hence further work is required to elucidate the impact of quantitative (mass) and
523 qualitative changes to the skeletal musculature on SI. Bacchi *et al.*, in their study on patients
524 with T2DM and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease found that both REX and aerobic exercise
525 improved SI and reduced hepatic fat content.[63] Likewise, as previously mentioned,

526 Nikseresht *et al.*,[89] found resistance training to be as effective as aerobic interval training
527 in reducing insulin resistance in obese middle-aged men. Whereas Lee *et al.*, did not find
528 REX to improve SI in obese adolescent girls, whilst aerobic exercise did.[62]

529 Circuit training is another variation of exercise mode, including elements of resistance
530 training and HIIT, as the exercise sessions typically involve brief bouts of high intensity
531 muscular resistance exercise interspersed with rest periods. In studies involving obese 10 –
532 17 year olds with pre-diabetes and/or insulin resistance, it has been demonstrated to
533 improve insulin sensitivity, when undertaken with a dietary intervention.[93]

534

535 *The effect of exercising in a fed or fasted state and other exercise-food manipulations*

536 In 2010, Van Proeyen *et al.* [94] published a study in which they fed their participants a fat
537 rich (50% of kcal) hyper-caloric (~+30% kcal/day) diet for 6 weeks. During this time the
538 participants exercised (cycling and running) four times a week (2 x 60min and 2 x 90min).
539 Some of these participants exercised in a fasted state, whilst others ate a carbohydrate rich
540 breakfast ~90 min before the exercise, as well as receiving a carbohydrate drink during the
541 exercise session (CHO-Fed). There was also a non-exercise control group. The overall
542 outcome of this was that the group who trained in a fasted state did not increase their body
543 mass, unlike those in the control and CHO-fed groups. The fasted group also displayed
544 superior improvements in SI compared to the control group, whereas the CHO-fed group did
545 not. Furthermore the fasted group showed greater increases in GLUT4, and elevated AMP-
546 activated protein kinase α phosphorylation. The conclusions being that exercising in a fasted
547 state may enhance the exercise induced benefits to SI, compared to exercising when
548 carbohydrate had been recently ingested. The enhancements of these training effects
549 appear to concur with the improved acute responses when exercising in a fasted state.[44]
550 In related work, as mentioned previously Matsuo *et al.*[83] reported that beneficial changes

551 in HOMA-IR were enhanced when the participants underwent a low-calories diet for 4-weeks
552 following the exercise intervention, even though the exercise intervention had ceased, thus
553 further highlighting the interaction between exercise and diet in influencing SI.

554 Other exercise-feeding manipulations that have received recent attention include
555 undertaking exercise before meals – ‘exercise snacks’ [95]. From which, findings indicate
556 that brief bouts of exercise (6 x 1min incline walking at 90% HR_{max}) 30 minutes before main
557 meals improved glycemic control in individuals with insulin resistance.

558

559 *Exercise, SI and changes to body mass*

560 Numerous studies have reported that exercise induced improvements in SI are independent
561 of changes to body composition or diet induced weight loss, and that the benefits of exercise
562 and weight loss are additive.[5, 6], as reported by de Sousa *et al.*,[96] who found football
563 training couple with weight loss improved insulin sensitivity and blood lipid profile, whereas
564 weight loss alone did not. However these findings are not unequivocal as some studies
565 report weight loss to be the key component to improving SI, for example, Stuart *et al.*, found
566 that aerobic training without weight loss did not improve SI in individuals with metabolic
567 syndrome, whereas exercise with weight loss did, thereby implying that the main influence
568 on improving SI was weight loss rather than exercise.[15] Similarly, Trussardi Fayhn *et al.*,
569 found that exercise training did not add to the effect that weight loss had on improving SI in
570 obese individuals.[97]

571 By way of comparison, several recent studies suggest that the combination of exercise
572 training and diet is more effective than diet alone in improving SI, and even when the
573 additional benefits of exercise plus diet vs diet alone were modest,[62, 94] the inclusion of
574 exercise improved other markers of metabolic health.[53] Likewise, Mavros *et al.*, reported
575 that improvements in metabolic health in older patients with T2DM were mediated through

576 improvements in body composition only if they were achieved through high-intensity
577 progressive REX.[93]

578

579 **Non-responders and adverse responders to exercise interventions**

580 Whilst there is unequivocal evidence for physical activity improving population and
581 participant group mean values, indicating positive changes in the majority of participants,
582 within the data it is evident that there is considerable variation in the magnitude of response
583 to exercise interventions within the population: with some individuals displaying considerably
584 greater changes in a variety of health-related outcome measures than others, despite
585 adhering to the same exercise regimen.[98] Additionally, the magnitude of change in one
586 factor, such as $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$, is not necessarily associated with the magnitude of change in
587 another factor. For example, in the HART-D study, a 9-month exercise training intervention
588 for patients with T2DM,[99] 57% of participants displayed an increase in their peak oxygen
589 uptake ($VO_{2\text{ peak}}$), whilst the remaining 43% exhibited no change. Of those who did show an
590 improvement, only around two-thirds increased their $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$ by > 5% (high-responders to
591 exercise), and one-third displayed < 5% increase (low-responders to exercise). Yet despite
592 this disparity in the magnitude of change in aerobic capacity, the exercise intervention
593 induced similar improvements in HbA_{1c} and body composition (reduction % body fat) in both
594 responders and non-responders for $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$. Hence the improvements in glycaemic control
595 were associated with participating in the exercise training, but were not associated with
596 changes to aerobic fitness, expressed as percentage improvement in $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$, which was
597 also a finding of the study by Herzig [65].

598 Furthermore, there is also evidence that a minority of the population may respond adversely
599 to exercise intervention, as reported in the HERITAGE study on 1,687 men and women, in
600 which 126 (8.4%) displayed an adverse change (increase >3.5 mU/L) in fasting insulin.[100]

601 The underlying reasons for these adverse changes are unknown, but their elucidation may
602 further the cause of individualised exercise prescription.[100]

603

604 **Conclusion**

605 Recently (published 2013 - 2016) studies involving physical activity confirm previous
606 research findings of its efficacy in improving SI. A lifestyle incorporating aerobic exercise
607 and/or physical activity that complies with the guidelines of being of moderate intensity for at
608 least 30 minutes on 3 – 5 days per week, is associated with improved SI and glycaemic
609 control. Acute improvements in SI (2 – 72 h post exercise) occur after a single bout of
610 exercise and chronic adaptations are evident from training studies involving interventions
611 undertaken for at least 8-weeks. The benefits of physical activity/exercise are evident across
612 all ages from children to older adults, including those categorised as asymptomatic/healthy,
613 pre-diabetic/metabolic syndrome, and patients with T2DM. However, the findings are not
614 unequivocal and even within studies not all indicators of insulin sensitivity and glycaemic
615 control display improvements. Indeed, even with increases in the expression of IRS-1 and
616 GLUT4, decreases in insulin resistance are not guaranteed [69]. A dose response is
617 sometimes evident, and exercise sessions utilising higher intensities, including HIIT and SIT
618 can produce greater benefits to SI, but not always. Indeed there remains the question of
619 whether larger volumes of moderate intensity exercise or lower volumes of higher intensity
620 may not only produce a different magnitude of adaptation, but could do so via stimulating
621 different adaptations. Researchers are also assessing whether lower volume sessions may
622 have the practical advantages of greater compliance, through increased enjoyment and a
623 lesser time commitment, since lack of time is a commonly given reason for non-compliance
624 with exercise recommendations.

625 Whilst aerobic exercise interventions usually benefit SI, improvements in SI are not always
626 associated with changes to aerobic fitness ($VO_{2\ max}$), for reasons that may be explained by
627 the different adaptations induced by the exercise in the cardiovascular system and
628 peripheral musculature.

629 REX can improve SI through qualitative changes within the muscle as well as increases in
630 muscle mass but the benefits are not evident in all REX studies. However there is a growing
631 body of evidence for including both aerobic exercise and REX in exercise regimens, as this
632 appears to more effectively improve SI than either mode of exercise alone.

633 The debate continues over the relative importance of exercise versus weight loss for
634 improving SI and whether the combination of the two is more efficacious for achieving good
635 glycaemic regulation.

636 The molecular bases for exercise-training-induced improvements in SI are linked to
637 increases in GLUT4 concentration and acute exercise-induced increases in Akt that
638 deactivate TCB1D4 increasing GLUT4 translocation to the membrane, an effect that persists
639 for several hours post-exercise. Additionally, the increased capillarisation of the skeletal
640 muscle is another factor linked to improved SI. The concentration of ceramides within
641 muscle may provide the casual link between a high concentration of intramuscular saturated
642 fatty acids and impaired SI.

643 Studies in which improvements to SI were not reported may have been a consequence of
644 their interventions involving exercise intensities that were too low, durations that were too
645 short or a population group whose glycaemic control was relatively good at baseline and/or
646 were already 'recreationally active', and hence the capacity to change was limited.

647

648 **What are the new findings?**

649 In addition to adding further support to the established position that a lifestyle that includes
650 regular physical activity is associated with a good SI and exercise interventions can improve
651 SI, evidence is growing for the following key findings:

- 652 • Aerobic exercise may increase SI without a measurable increase in $VO_{2\max}$ or VO_2
653 peak.
- 654 • A dose effect may be evident, with greater exercise volumes and higher exercise
655 intensities, including HIIT or SIT, producing greater benefits to SI.
- 656 • The combination of aerobic exercise training and REX may be more effective than
657 either exercise mode alone.
- 658 • Exercise induced benefits may be augmented by appropriate dietary and feeding
659 manipulations.
- 660 • Molecular research has identified key signalling molecules and proteins that are
661 influenced by exercise and provide the link to resultant changes in SI.
- 662 • Evidence is accumulating for ceramides to be the causal link between obesity and a
663 reduced SI.

664

665 **Practical recommendations**

- 666 • Despite the aforementioned general consensus, not all findings are consistent, and
667 the specific details of the most efficacious forms of exercise/physical activity for
668 improving or maintaining SI require further elucidation in order for exercise
669 prescription to be optimised.
- 670 • Research needs to assess the interaction of dietary/feeding manipulations and
671 exercise on SI and glycaemic control, as these may augment the beneficial outcomes
672 of the interventions.

- 673 • Future research needs to consider the potential influence of exercise induced
674 improvements to beta cell function and increased muscle capillarisation, alongside
675 the contribution of intramuscular changes that result in improved SI, GLUT4
676 availability and glycaemic control.
- 677 • Studies will also need to consider potential differences in the adaptations induced by
678 different: exercise modalities, Aerobic vs REX; exercise intensities and volumes,
679 including interval training (HIIT and SIT); and differences in the adaptations of
680 different fibre types.
- 681 • Likewise, the potential to adapt and improve SI is likely to be influenced by the basal
682 state of the participants: with healthy participants, overweight/obese, pre diabetic
683 metabolic syndrome, and diabetic patients all likely to differ in the magnitude of
684 adaptation and improvement.
- 685 • Given the evident benefits of physical activity/exercise interventions for preventing
686 diabetes, even amongst those with metabolic risk factors, studies aimed at identifying
687 effective preventive strategies are paramount in order to prevent further increases in
688 the prevalence of T2D, particularly since only 10% of current clinical trials focus on
689 prevention and only ~12% use behavioural interventions such as physical activity
690 rather than drugs, which are the focus of ~63.1% of studies.[101]

691

692 **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their helpful and
693 constructive comments that assisted with the finalising of the manuscript.

694 **Competing interests** The authors declare that there are no competing interests or conflicts
695 of interests regarding the publication of this article.

696 **Contributors** SRB undertook the initial search of the published literature and writing of the
697 paper. JAH provided expert specialist input into the molecular sections, the identification of

698 recent reviews that were used to present the established knowledge and contributed to the
699 writing and revisions to the final manuscript.

700 **Funding** There was no funding associated with the literature searching or preparation of this
701 review article by the authors

702

703 **References**

- 704 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Atlas 5th edn; International Diabetes Federation,
705 Brussels. www.idf.org/diabetesatlas. 2011.
- 706 2. Boyle J, Thompson T, Gregg E, *et al.* Projection of the year 2050 burden of diabetes in
707 the US adult population: dynamic modeling of incidence, mortality, and prediabetes
708 prevalence. *Popul Health Metr* 2010; **8**:29.
- 709 3. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD and Andres R. Glucose clamp technique; a method for
710 quantifying insulin secretion. *Am J Physiol*, 1979; **237**: E214-E223.
- 711 4. Katz A, Srdhar S, Nambi KM, *et al.*, Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple,
712 accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*,
713 2000; **85**:2402-2410.
- 714 5. Berman LJ, Weigensberg MJ, Spruijt-Metz D. Physical activity is related
715 to insulin sensitivity in children and adolescents, independent of adiposity: a review of the
716 literature. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2012; **28**:395-408.
- 717 6. Roberts CK, Little JP, Thyfault JP. Modification of insulin sensitivity and glycemic control
718 by activity and exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:1868-77.
- 719 7. Hawley JA and Lessard SJ. Exercise training-induced improvements in insulin action.
720 *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2008; **192**:127-35.
- 721 8. Conn VS, Koopman RJ, Ruppert TM, *et al.* Insulin sensitivity following exercise
722 interventions: systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes among healthy adults. *J*
723 *Prim Care Community Health* 2014 27; **5**:211-22.
- 724 9. Helmrich SP, Ragland DR, Leung RW, *et al.* Physical activity and reduced occurrence of
725 non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1991; **325**:
726 147-152.

- 727 10. Richter EA and Hargreaves M. Exercise, GLUT4 and skeletal muscle glucose uptake.
728 *Physiol Rev* 2013; **93**:993-1017.
- 729 11. Cartee GD. Roles of TBC1D1 and TBC1D4 in insulin- and exercise-stimulated glucose
730 transport of skeletal muscle. *Diabetologia* 2015; **58**:19-30.
- 731 12. Sakamoto K and Holman GD. Emerging role for AS160/TBC1D4 and TBC1D1 in the
732 regulation of GLUT4 traffic. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2008; **295**:E29-E37.
- 733 13. Deshmukh AS, Hawley JA, Zierath JR. Exercise-induced phospho-proteins in skeletal
734 muscle. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; **32** Suppl 4:S18-S23.
- 735 14. Katsuhiko F, Schweitzer GG, Sharma N, *et al.*, Increased AS160 phosphorylation, but
736 not TCB1D1 phosphorylation, with increased postexercise insulin sensitivity in rat skeletal
737 muscle. *Am J Physiol – Endocrinol Metab* 2009; **297**:E242-251.
- 738 15. Stuart CA, South MA, Lee ML, *et al.* Insulin responsiveness in metabolic syndrome after
739 eight weeks of cycle training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:2021-9.
- 740 16. Frøsig C, Richter EA. Improved insulin sensitivity after exercise: focus on insulin
741 signaling. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2009; **17** Suppl 3:S15-20.
- 742 17. Mann S, Beedie C, Balducci S, *et al.* Changes in insulin sensitivity in response to
743 different modalities of exercise: a review of the evidence. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2014;
744 **30**:257-68.
- 745 18. Malin SK, Haus JM, Solomon TP, *et al.* Insulin sensitivity and metabolic flexibility
746 following exercise training among different obese insulin-resistant phenotypes. *Am J*
747 *Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **305**:E1292-8.
- 748 19. Reichkender MH, Auerbach P, Rosenkilde M, *et al.* Exercise training favors increased
749 insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle in contrast to adipose tissue: a
750 randomized study using FDG PET imaging. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013;
751 **305**:E496-E506.

- 752 20. Daugaard JR, Nielsen JN, Kristiansen S, *et al.* Fiber type-specific expression of GLUT4
753 in human skeletal muscle: influence of exercise training. *Diabetes* 2000; **49**:1092-1095.
- 754 21. Guo S. Insulin signalling, resistance, and the metabolic syndrome: insights from mouse
755 models to disease mechanisms. *J Endocrinol* 2014; **220**:T1-T23.
- 756 22. de Matos MA, Ottone Vde O, Duarte TC, *et al.* Exercise reduces cellular stress related to
757 skeletal muscle insulin resistance. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2014; **19**:63-70.
- 758 23. Pehmoller C, Brandt N, Birk JB, *et al.* Exercise alleviates lipid-induced insulin resistance
759 in human skeletal muscle-signalling interaction at the level of TBC1 domain family
760 member 4. *Diabetes* 2012; **61**:2743-52.
- 761 24. Bruce CR, Anderson MJ, Carey AL, *et al.* Muscle oxidative capacity is a better predictor
762 of insulin sensitivity than lipid status. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; **88**:5444-51.
- 763 25. Dubé JJ, Amati F, Stefanovic-Racic M, *et al.* Exercise-induced alterations in
764 intramyocellular lipids and insulin resistance: the athlete's paradox revisited. *Am J*
765 *Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2008; **294**:E882-8.
- 766 26. Dubé JJ, Amati F, Toledo FG, *et al.* Effects of weight loss and exercise on insulin
767 resistance, and intramyocellular triacylglycerol, diacylglycerol and ceramide.
768 *Diabetologia* 2011; **54**:1147-56.
- 769 27. Amanti F, Dubé JJ, Alvarez-Carnero E, *et al.* Skeletal muscle triglycerides,
770 diacylglycerols, and ceramides in insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 2011; **60**:2588-97.
- 771 28. Chavez JA, Knotts TA, Wang L-P, *et al.* A role for ceramide, but not diacylglycerol, in the
772 antagonism of insulin signal transduction by saturated fatty acids. *The Journal of*
773 *Biological Chemistry* 2003; **278**:10297-303.
- 774 29. Zeirath JR. The path to insulin resistance: paved with ceramides? *Cell Metabolism* 2007;
775 **5**:161-3.

- 776 30. Bergman BC, Brozinick JT, Strauss A, *et al.* Serum sphingolipids: relationships to insulin
777 sensitivity and changes with exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2015;
778 **309**:E398-408.
- 779 31. Kasumov T, Solomon TPJ, Hwang H, *et al.* Improved insulin sensitivity after exercise
780 training is linked to reduced plasma C14:0 ceramide in obesity and type 2 diabetes.
781 *Obesity* 2015; **23**:1414-21.
- 782 32. Prior SJ, Blumenthal JB, Katzel LI, *et al.* Increased skeletal muscle capillarization after
783 aerobic exercise training and weight loss improves insulin sensitivity in adults with IGT.
784 *Diabetes Care* 2014; **37**:1469-75.
- 785 33. Prior SJ, Goldberg AP, Ortmeyer HK, *et al.* Increased skeletal muscle
786 capillarization independently enhances insulin sensitivity in older adults
787 after exercise training and detraining. *Diabetes* 2015; **64**:3386-95.
- 788 34. Malin SK, Solomon TP, Blaszczyk A, *et al.* Pancreatic β -cell function increases in a
789 linear dose-response manner following exercise training in adults with prediabetes. *Am*
790 *J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **305**:E1248-54.
- 791 35. Brennan AM, Lam M, Stotz P, *et al.* Exercise-induced improvement in insulin sensitivity
792 is not mediated by change in cardiorespiratory fitness. *Diabetes Care* 2014; **37**:e95-7.
- 793 36. Madsen SM, Thorup AC, Overgaard K, *et al.* High Intensity Interval Training Improves
794 Glycaemic Control and Pancreatic β Cell Function of Type 2 Diabetes Patients. *PLoS*
795 *One.* 2015; **10**::e0133286
- 796 37. Slentz CA, Tanner CJ, Bateman LA, *et al.* Effects of exercise training intensity on
797 pancreatic beta-cell function. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**:1807-1811.
- 798 38. Rynders CA, Weltman JY, Jiang B, *et al.* Effects of exercise intensity on postprandial
799 improvement in glucose disposal and insulin sensitivity in prediabetic adults. *J Clin*
800 *Endocrinol Metab* 2014; **99**:220-8.

- 801 39. Newsom SA, Everett AC, Hinko A, *et al.* A single session of low-intensity exercise is
802 sufficient to enhance insulin sensitivity into the next day in obese adults. *Diabetes Care*
803 2013; **36**:2516-22.
- 804 40. Malin SK, Rynders CA, Weltman JY, Barrett EJ and Weltman A. Exercise intensity
805 modulates glucose-stimulate insulin secretion when adjusted for adipose, liver and
806 skeletal muscle insulin resistance. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**:e0154063.
- 807 41. Bird SR, Hawley JA. Exercise and type 2 diabetes: new prescription for an old problem.
808 *Maturitas* 2012; **72**:311-6.
- 809 42. Gibala MJ, Gillen JB and Percival ME. Physiological and health-related adaptation to
810 low-volume interval training: influences of nutrition and sex. *Sports Med* 2014; **44**:S127-
811 S137.
- 812 43. Ortega JF, Fernández-Elías VE, Hamouti N, *et al.* Higher insulin-sensitizing response
813 after sprint interval compared to continuous exercise. *Int J Sports Med* 2015; **36**:209-14.
- 814 44. Terada T, Wilson BJ, Myette-Cote E, *et al.* Targeting specific interstitial glycemic
815 parameters with high-intensity interval exercise and fasted-state exercise in type 2
816 diabetes. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 2016; **65**:599-608.
- 817 45. Whyte LJ, Ferguson C, Wilson J, *et al.* Effects of single bout of very high-intensity
818 exercise on metabolic health biomarkers in overweight/obese sedentary men.
819 *Metabolism* 2013; **62**:212-9.
- 820 46. Uemura H, Katsuura-Kamano S, Yamaguchi M, *et al.* Abundant daily non-sedentary
821 activity is associated with reduced prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin
822 resistance. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2013; **36**:1069-75.
- 823 47. Rosenberger HE, Goff DC, Isom S, *et al.* Relationship of weekly activity minutes to
824 metabolic syndrome in prediabetes: the healthy living partnerships to prevent diabetes.
825 *J Phys Act Health* 2013; **10**:690-8.

- 826 48. Caro J, Navarro I, Romero P, *et al.* Metabolic effects of regular physical exercise in
827 healthy population. *Endocrinol Nutr* 2013; **60**:167-72.
- 828 49. Jiménez-Pavón D, Ruiz JR, Ortega FB *et al.* Physical activity and markers of insulin
829 resistance in adolescents: role of cardiorespiratory fitness levels-the HELENA study.
830 *Pediatr Diabetes* 2013; **14**:249-58.
- 831 50. Telford RD, Cunningham RB, Telford RM, *et al.* Physical education can improve insulin
832 resistance: the LOOK randomized cluster trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:1956-64.
- 833 51. Exercise and Type 2 Diabetes: American College of Sports Medicine and the American
834 Diabetes Association: Joint Position Statement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2010; **42**:2282-
835 2303.
- 836 52. Motahari-Tabari N, Ahmad Shirvani M, Shirzad-E-Ahoodashty M, *et al.* The effect of 8
837 weeks aerobic exercise on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical
838 trial. *Glob J Health Sci* 2014; **7**:115-21.
- 839 53. Ryan AS, Ge S, Blumenthal JB, *et al.* Aerobic exercise and weight loss reduce vascular
840 markers of inflammation and improve insulin sensitivity in obese women. *J Am Geriatr*
841 *Soc* 2014; **62**:607-14.
- 842 54. Mitranun W, Deerochanawong C, Tanaka H, *et al.* Continuous vs interval training on
843 glycemic control and macro- and microvascular reactivity in type 2 diabetic patients.
844 *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014; **24**: e69-76.
- 845 55. Skleryk JR, Karagounis LG, Hawley JA, *et al.* Two weeks of reduced-volume sprint
846 interval or traditional exercise training does not improve metabolic functioning in
847 sedentary obese men. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013; **15**:1146-53.
- 848 56. Trachta P, Drápalová J, Kaválková P, *et al.* Three months of regular aerobic exercise in
849 patients with obesity improve systemic subclinical inflammation without major influence

- 850 on blood pressure and endocrine production of subcutaneous fat. *Physiol Res* 2014; **63**
851 Suppl 2: S299-308.
- 852 57. Many G, Hurtado ME, Tanner C, *et al.* Moderate-intensity aerobic training program
853 improves insulin sensitivity and inflammatory markers in a pilot study of morbidly obese
854 minority teens. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2013; **25**:12-26.
- 855 58. Racil G, Ben Ounis O, Hammouda O, *et al.* Effects of high vs. moderate exercise
856 intensity during interval training on lipids and adiponectin levels in obese young females.
857 *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2013; **113**:2531-40.
- 858 59. Kurose S, Tsutsumi H, Yamanaka Y, *et al.* Improvement in endothelial function by
859 lifestyle modification focused on exercise training is associated with insulin resistance in
860 obese patients. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2014; **8**:e106-14.
- 861 60. Reichkender MH, Rosenkilde M, Auerbach PL, *et al.* Only minor additional metabolic
862 health benefits of high as opposed to moderate dose physical exercise in young,
863 moderately overweight men. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2014; **22**:1220-32.
- 864 61. Di Raimondo D, Tuttolomondo A, Buttà C, *et al.* Metabolic and anti-inflammatory effects
865 of a home-based programme of aerobic physical exercise. *Int J Clin Pract* 2013;
866 **67**:1247-53.
- 867 62. Lee S, Deldin AR, White D, *et al.* Aerobic exercise but not resistance exercise reduces
868 intrahepatic lipid content and visceral fat and improves insulin sensitivity in obese
869 adolescent girls: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013;
870 **305**:E1222-9.
- 871 63. Bacchi E, Negri C, Targher G, *et al.* Both resistance training and aerobic training reduce
872 hepatic fat content in type 2 diabetic subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (the
873 RAED2 Randomized Trial). *Hepatology* 2013; **58**:1287-95.

- 874 64. Motahari-Tabari N, Ahmad Shirvani M, Shirzad-E-Ahoodashty M, *et al.* The effect of 8
875 weeks aerobic exercise on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical
876 trial. *Glob J Health Sci* 2015; **7**:115-21.
- 877 65. Herzig KH, Ahola R, Leppäluoto J, *et al.* Light physical activity determined by a motion
878 sensor decreases insulin resistance, improves lipid homeostasis and reduces visceral fat
879 in high-risk subjects: PreDiabEx study RCT. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2014; **38**:1089-96.
- 880 66. Damirchi A, Tehrani BS, Alamdari KA, *et al.* Influence of aerobic training and detraining
881 on serum BDNF, insulin resistance, and metabolic risk factors in middle-aged men
882 diagnosed with metabolic syndrome. *Clin J Sport Med* 2014; **24**:513-8.
- 883 67. Solomon TP, Malin SK, Karstoft K, *et al.* Pancreatic β -cell function is a stronger predictor
884 of changes in glycemic control after an aerobic exercise intervention than insulin
885 sensitivity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **98**:4176-86.
- 886 68. Grieco CR, Swain DP, Colberg SR, *et al.* Effect of intensity of aerobic training on insulin
887 sensitivity/resistance in recreationally active adults. *J Strength Cond Res* 2013;
888 **27**:2270-6.
- 889 69. Chen CN, Chuang LM, Korivi M, *et al.* Home-based exercise may not decrease
890 the insulin resistance in individuals with metabolic syndrome. *J Phys Act*
891 *Health* 2015;**12**:74-9.
- 892 70. Ford ES and Herman WH, Leisure-time physical activity patterns in the U.S. diabetic
893 population. Findings from the 1990 National Health Interview Survey—Health Promotion
894 and Disease Prevention Supplement. *Diabetes Care*,; 1995: **18B**: 27–33.
- 895 71. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW *et al.* Physical activity in the United States measured
896 by accelerometer. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2008; **40**: 181–188.

- 897 72. Health and Social Care Information Centre, Health Survey for England—2008: Physical
898 Activity and Fitness, The Information Centre, Leeds, UK, 2009, [http://www.hscic.gov.uk/](http://www.hscic.gov.uk/pubs/hse08physicalactivity)
899 [pubs/hse08physicalactivity](http://www.hscic.gov.uk/pubs/hse08physicalactivity).
- 900 73. Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, *et al.* Physical activity of Canadian children and
901 youth: accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian health measures survey.
902 *Health Reports* 2011; **22**: 15– 23.
- 903 74. Duvivier BM, Schaper NC, Bremers MA, *et al.* Minimal intensity physical activity
904 (standing and walking) of longer duration improves insulin action and plasma lipids more
905 than shorter periods of moderate to vigorous exercise (cycling) in sedentary subjects
906 when energy expenditure is comparable. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**:e55542.
- 907 75. Earnest CP, Lupo M, Thibodaux J, *et al.* Interval training in men at risk for insulin
908 resistance. *Int J Sports Med.* 2013; **34**:355-63.
- 909 76. Gillen JB, Martin BJ, MacInnis MJ, *et al.* Twelve weeks of sprint interval training
910 improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional endurance training
911 despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. *PloS One* 2016;
912 **11**:e0154075.
- 913 77. Shepherd SO, Wilson OJ, Taylor AS, *et al.* Low-volume high-intensity interval training in
914 a gym setting improves cardiometabolic and psychological health. *Plos One*, 2015;
915 **10**:e0139056.
- 916 78. Gibala MJ, Little JP, MacDonald MJ *et al.* Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high
917 intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol* 2012; **590**:1077-84.
- 918 79. Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. Correlates of adults' participation in
919 physical activity: review and update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002; **34**: 1996–2001.

- 920 80. Arad AD, DiMenna FJ, Thomas N, *et al.*, High-intensity interval training without weight
921 loss improves exercise but not basal or insulin-induced metabolism in overweight/obese
922 African American women. *J Apply Physiol* 2015; **119**:352-362.
- 923 81. Lanzi S, Codecasa F, Cornacchia M, *et al.* Short-term HIIT and Fat_{max} training increases
924 aerobic and metabolic fitness in men with class II and III obesity. *Obesity* 2015; **23**:1987-
925 1994.
- 926 82. Fisher G, Brown AW, Bohan Brown MM, *et al.*, High intensity interval- vs moderate
927 intensity- training for improving cardiometabolic health in overweight or obese males: a
928 randomized controlled trial. *Plos One* 2015; **10**:e0138853.
- 929 83. Matsuo T, So R, Shimojo N, *et al.* Effect of aerobic exercise training followed by a low-
930 calorie diet on metabolic syndrome risk factors in men. *Nut Metab Cardiovasc Disease*
931 2015; **25**:832-838.
- 932 84. Eikenberg JD, Savla J, Marinik EL, *et al.* Prediabetes phenotype influences
933 improvements in glucose homeostasis with resistance training. *Plos One* 2016;
934 **11**:e0148009.
- 935 85. Oliveira FAO, Gadelha AB, Gauche R, *et al.*, Resistance training improves isokinetic
936 strength and metabolic syndrome-related phenotypes in postmenopausal women. *Clinical*
937 *Interventions in Aging* 2015; **10**:1299-1304.
- 938 86. Strasser B, Pesta D. Resistance training for diabetes prevention and therapy:
939 experimental findings and molecular mechanisms. *Biomed Res Int* 2013; **2013**:805217.
- 940 87. Inoue DS, De Mello MT, Foschini D, *et al.* Linear and undulating periodized strength plus
941 aerobic training promote similar benefits and lead to improvement of insulin resistance on
942 obese adolescents. *J Diabetes Complications* 2015; **29**:258-64.

- 943 88. Dâmaso AR, da Silveira Campos RM, Caranti DA, *et al.* Aerobic plus resistance training
944 was more effective in improving the visceral adiposity, metabolic profile and inflammatory
945 markers than aerobic training in obese adolescents. *J Sports Sci* 2014; **32**:1435-45.
- 946 89. Nikseresht M, Agha-Alinejad H, Azarbayjani MA, *et al.* Effects of nonlinear resistance
947 and aerobic interval training on cytokines and insulin resistance in sedentary men who
948 are obese. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014; **28**:2560-8.
- 949 90. Conceição MS, Bonganha V, Vechin FC, *et al.* Sixteen weeks of resistance training can
950 decrease the risk of metabolic syndrome in healthy postmenopausal women. *Clin Interv*
951 *Aging* 2013; **8**:1221-1228.
- 952 91. Molsted S, Harrison AP, Eidemak I, *et al.* Improved glucose tolerance after high-load
953 strength training in patients undergoing dialysis. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2013; **123**:134-141.
- 954 92. Mavros Y, Kay S, Anderberg KA, *et al.* Changes in insulin resistance and HbA1c are
955 related to exercise-mediated changes in body composition in older adults with type 2
956 diabetes: interim outcomes from the GREAT2DO trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013; **36**:2372-9.
- 957 93. Garnett SP, Gow M, Ho M, *et al.* Improved insulin sensitivity and body composition,
958 irrespective of macronutrient intake, after a 12 month intervention in adolescents with pre-
959 diabetes; RESIST a randomised control trial. *BMC Pediatr* 2014; **14**:289.
- 960 94. Van Proeyen K, Szlufcik K, Nielens H, *et al.* Training in the fasted state improves
961 glucose tolerance during fat-rich diet. *J Physiol* 2010; **588**:4289-4302.
- 962 95. Francois ME, Baldi JC, Manning PJ, *et al.* 'Exercise snacks' before meals: a novel
963 strategy to improve glycemic control in individuals with insulin resistance. *Diabetologia*
964 2014; **57**:1437-1445.
- 965 96. de Sousa MV, Fukui R, Krstrup P, *et al.* Positive effects of football on fitness, lipid
966 profile, and insulin resistance in Brazilian patients with type 2 diabetes. *Scand J Med*
967 *Sci Sports* 2014; **24** Suppl 1:57-65.

- 968 97. Trussardi Fayh AP, Lopes AL, Fernandes PR, *et al.* Impact of weight loss with or without
969 exercise on abdominal fat and insulin resistance in obese individuals: a randomised
970 clinical trial. *Br J Nutr* 2013; **110**:486-92.
- 971 98. Winett RA, Davy BM, Savla J, *et al.* Using response variation to develop more effective,
972 personalized behavioural medicine?: evidence from the Resist Diabetes study. *Transl*
973 *Behav Med* 2014; **4**:333–8.
- 974 99. Pandey A, Swify DL, McGuire DK, *et al.* Metabolic effects of exercise training among
975 fitness-nonresponsive patients with type 2 diabetes: the HART-D study. *Diabetes Care*
976 2015; **38**:1494–501.
- 977 100. Bouchard C, Blair SN, Church TS, *et al.* Adverse Metabolic Response to Regular
978 Exercise: Is It a Rare or Common Occurrence? *PLoS one* 2012; **7**:e37887.
- 979 101. Lakey WC, Barnard K, Batch BC, *et al.* Are current clinical trials in diabetes addressing
980 important issues in diabetes care? *Diabetologia* 2013; **56**:1226-1235.