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MAJOR THREATS TO THE SPECIES

The two orangutan species, *Pongo pygmaeus* in Borneo and *Pongo abelii* in Sumatra, are now critically endangered because of the loss and fragmentation of their habitat, and hunting (Ancrenaz, Gumal *et al*., 2016; Singleton *et al*., 2016).

**Habitat destruction and degradation**

Satellite data show that in Sumatra, 60% of key orangutan habitat was destroyed between 1985 and 2007 (Wich *et al*., 2008), while 40% was destroyed in Borneo between 1973 and 2010 (Gaveau *et al*., 2014). On these islands, tropical forests are primarily converted to large-scale industrial plantations (oil palm, rubber, acacia and other tree species), small-scale agriculture, mining concessions, dams and other types of land-uses.

Orangutans on Borneo, more so than in Sumatra, show behavioral flexibility that allows them to, at least for the short term, survive in areas that have been affected by logging, have been fragmented, or are converted to acacia and oil palm plantations (Ancrenaz *et al*., 2010; Meijaard *et al*., 2010; Campbell-Smith *et al*., 2011; Ancrenaz *et al*., 2015; Spehar & Rayadin, 2017). However, extractive activities and other types of human disturbances might displace animals temporarily, and result in the influx of newcomers in nearby home ranges (which is called “compaction” effect) with unknown long-term social impacts on the resident populations.

**Habitat fragmentation**

Habitat fragmentation is an ever-increasing threat given the current land conversion occurring in Borneo and Sumatra. New roads, bridges, dams or railways split populations in smaller subpopulations and give access to poachers, settlers and other human encroachers, putting new pressures on remote populations. In these newly created man-made landscapes, orangutans often seek refuge in whatever forest patches are left. Although orangutans are mainly arboreal they can walk several kilometers on the ground (Ancrenaz *et al*., 2014), which could facilitate their movements between forest fragments. Fragmentation leads to smaller populations that are more susceptible to stochastic and non-stochastic threats and show reduced long-term survival (Marshall *et al*., 2009). In addition, more fragmentation results in a higher proportion of the orangutan habitat being bordered by non-forest, which carries new survival risks, such as potentially dangerous encounters with people or dogs, infrastructures (electrical lines, etc.), exposure to diseases from humans and domestic animals. The latter particularly needs specific attention due to its almost total lack of knowledge about risk management.

Ultimately, poor land-use practices that do not consider biodiversity and ecosystem services, and lack of collaboration and communication between the different groups of land-users are responsible for the increased degradation and loss of tropical
natural habitats.

Illega killing

On both islands, people have hunted orangutans for a long time, and today, orangutans are still killed to mitigate conflicts or for meat consumption (Davis et al., 2013; Wich et al., 2012). Across Borneo, interview surveys revealed that between 2,000 and 3,000 individuals were killed annually in average (Meijaard, Buchori et al., 2011). In many areas, the overall mortality rate due to killing exceeds the natural breeding rate, driving most populations to extinction (Marshal et al., 2009).

Poor knowledge about the protected status of orangutan, weak enforcement of existing laws, and absence of prosecution of people responsible for orangutan illegal trade or poaching are major obstacles to improve the situation on the ground (Meijaard, Wich et al., 2011).

CURRENT CONSERVATION EFFORTS

Despite decades of efforts and a significant amount of financial and human resources dedicated to orangutan conservation, both orangutan species are critically endangered, and their number continues to decline; showing that overall, conservation has failed the species (Meijaard, Wich et al., 2011). Two major strategies are used to conserve orangutan: rehabilitation/reintroduction and habitat protection (Wilson et al., 2014).

Orangutan rehabilitation and translocation

Today, the media often present orangutan rehabilitation and reintroduction as the frontline of orangutan conservation efforts. However, the emotive nature of ape reintroduction can be counterproductive for in-situ long-term conservation of wild populations and their habitat. Rehabilitation targets one area facing orangutan conservation, and it often becomes an animal welfare discussion, which indirectly competes for resources and prioritization with other strategies to protect habitat on a landscape scale (Wilson et al., 2014).

The rehabilitation success rate is low, probably far below 50% (Russon, 2009), unless a very intensive and costly post release monitoring is undertaken (Robins et al., 2013). Between 1964 and 2008, the various reintroduction centres located in Indonesia and Malaysia rescued at least 3,320 individuals and released about 1,250 animals (Russon, 2009). However, during the same time, tens of thousands of wild orangutans were lost because of forest destruction and poaching (Ancrenaz et al., 2016).

Translocation is often perceived as the silver bullet to “rescue” stranded orangutans that are surviving in forest patches, and to move them somewhere else. However this type of rescue operation can have perverse consequences, such as the destruction of the forest patches after orangutan translocation. In addition, the land-
users are not encouraged to develop better land-use practices within their own concession to manage the protected species they are accountable for if the animals are removed.

The strategy in itself is a reactive approach to a crisis and should be incorporated into a proactive discussion on reducing and repairing habitat loss to reduce the injured wildlife/orphan problem. A recent financial cost/benefit analysis showed that orangutan conservation should primarily focus on habitat conservation and management, and not rehabilitation (Wilson et al., 2014).

**Habitat protection**

Undoubtedly, identifying and protecting key orangutan populations and their habitat should remain the cornerstone approach to ensure the long-term survival of the species.

Over the past 15 years, Sabah (Malaysian Borneo) has significantly enlarged the network of strictly protected forests from 12% to about 27% of the total landmass of the State, encompassing more than 70% of its current orangutan distribution. Orangutans in Sarawak face a similar situation, where the majority of the remaining animals are all located within fully protected forests. However in Indonesia, only about 25% of the wild orangutan distribution occurs in protected forests, and many of these protected forests are still degraded by illegal activities (Wich et al., 2012). In Sumatra, most orangutans are concentrated in the Leuser Ecosystem where protected and unprotected forests are still encroached and converted to other types of land-uses at an alarming rate (Singleton et al., 2016).

**MOVING FORWARD**

**We need to rethink orangutan conservation at the landscape level**

Under the current situation, it appears that the long-term survival of most orangutan populations will rely heavily on (1) improved management of non-protected forests, and (2) minimizing losses among orangutan populations living in these areas. This means that conservation strategies need to focus on both protected and non-protected areas, and this will require a shift of the overall conservation mindset and novel approaches to conservation. The immediate need for such a shift is highlighted by the presence of approximately 10,000 orangutans within undeveloped plantations that had been earmarked for oil palm conversion in Borneo alone (Meijaard, Morgans et al., 2017).

**Better land-use decisions**

Land-use deciders and planners need to take into account not only the socio-economic dimension of their choices but also the long-term ecological impacts. Indeed, deforestation comes with a real cost in terms of destruction or degradation of ecosystem services and foregone benefits that political or industry leaders never
considered in their decisions (Meijaard, Ancrenaz et al., 2017). Not considering externality costs is risky business. Locally, communities will be impacted in their daily lives and will have to pay the cost of these choices in terms of erosion, pollution, flooding, health or loss of access to natural assets.

Ecological connectivity is a key element of sustainable development in Borneo and Sumatra, requiring land-use decisions to be undertaken at multi-scale levels and not limited by the political and administrative boundaries of a company or a district or even country (Runting et al., 2015). A possible frame to achieve a land-use planning over a larger landscape is given by the “jurisdictional approach” that aims at certifying a given production at the scale of a state, such as the one decided by Sabah, the Central Kalimantan Province and the South Sumatra Province to certify their entire oil palm production by 2025.

Policy changes

The current orangutan protection status is inadequate in curbing population loss in the two range countries and the political framework can also hamper orangutan conservation (Cotula et al., 2015). For example, it is urgent for the two range countries to revise laws and policies that are preventing landowners from retaining areas in their concessions under natural forest cover, or HCVF.

Empowering local communities

In non-protected habitats, forest reduction results in a closer proximity between people and animals, which inevitably lead to conflict situations. Orangutans can consume entire fruit crops in orchards belonging to local villagers resulting in significant economic losses (Campbell-Smith et al., 2011). Agro-industrial plantations also experience economic losses when apes kill acacias by stripping bark and cambium (Meijaard et al., 2010), or pull out stems of young palms to feed on their heart (Ancrenaz et al., 2015). These conflicts result in orangutan killings and create a negative perception towards wildlife, which has been a major impediment to building local support for conservation. In these newly created landscapes, it is urgent to identify and implement peaceful ways to mitigate possible conflicts with species like orangutans including: regular patrolling; new landscape design; drains and rope bridges; tree protection devices, awareness; insurance schemes; etc. (Campbell-Smith et al., 2012). We also need to encourage these groups to become active participants in conservation and not simply beneficiaries of what it can offer. Communities need to be better empowered to act.

Engaging with the Industry

Although still hotly debated today by many, we need to acknowledge that well-managed plantations can provide foraging resources and dispersal opportunities for orangutans and other species. They can also preserve important ecosystem functions.
Considering that more than half of the range of the Bornean orangutan is found within forestry or agriculture concessions (Wich et al., 2012), we need to ensure better biodiversity conservation outcomes in man-made landscapes. This requires for industry players to embrace better management practices that minimize the strong negative impacts of forest exploitation and agro-industrial development (Ancrenaz, Meijaard et al., 2016).

Retaining forests within an agro-industrial landscape is key in preserving ecosystem functionality, improving meta-populations and facilitating dispersal and survival of many species such as the orangutan. Remaining forests that sustain key orangutan populations should be identified as ‘High Conservation Value Forests’ and maintained as such. Engaging with the land-users before they start their on-the-ground operations, influencing ways they are going to develop their plantations or adapting current policies to the conservation needs of orangutans appear to be the only way to minimize the losses of orangutan lives.

Best management practice guidelines are already available under several certification schemes: RSPO for the oil palm growers or FSC for the timber industry (among others). Although these guidelines must be further improved, they are a start towards more sustainable practices. Simultaneously, we have to recognize that the industry needs significant incentives to develop and to implement these best management practices: educating consumers towards sustainable choices guaranteed by certification is one way to influence on-the-ground practices (Ancrenaz et al., 2016).

ZOOS AND ORANGUTAN CONSERVATION

Traditionally, the zoo community has mostly been a financial source of support for in-situ conservation initiatives. In 2012, the World Zoo and Aquarium community reported that they spent nearly US$ 350 million on wildlife conservation, making this community one of the major financial supporters of conservation efforts globally (Gusset & Dick, 2011). Zoo’s financial support to in-situ conservation projects on the long-term (ie over several years) is key to create success stories. But zoos have much more conservation skills to offer than financial support, in terms of species management and conservation breeding, capacity building, technical expertise and advocacy and outreach activities amongst more than 700 million visitors every year and with industry.

Capacity building

Zoos are increasingly working with staff from in-situ programmes for training and exposure sessions. The Orangutan Veterinary Advisory Group, initiated through Orangutan Conservancy and supported by Chester Zoo, brings together expertise from in-situ field projects, zoos, industry, academia, NGOs and Government to provide a sustainable cadre of professionals providing capacity building on wildlife health matters in Indonesia and Malaysia.
Community engagement is a vital part of the work of zoos and transferring this knowledge to field projects is a key role that can reinforce orangutan conservation. In Sabah, Chester Zoo supported and supervised the development of the “Hutan Education Awareness Program” Master Plan with the HUTAN team, following principles of strategy development from educational professionals in the UK. This collaboration allowed a transfer of skills between the zoo and field project to produce a solid education strategy with follow up evaluation built into the programme.

Another venue pursued by Houston Zoo and other zoos is to create scholarships to support students from range countries who will become the face of conservation of the species they are interested in. These scholarships are covering fees to obtain high-level education degrees in national and international universities (MSc and PhD), funds to attend professional conferences and meetings, such as the IUCN Species Survival Groups, or are used to facilitate lateral staff exchange between in-situ conservation projects to reinforce the skills and network of local field research assistants.

**Technical expertise**

Zoo staff have a wide range of currently untapped knowledge that could easily become part of the solution in assisting in-situ programs build their own capacity to meet the goals of species recovery, such as grant writing, donor management, accounting principles, carpentry and construction skills, tree nursery management, studying animal behavior, and overall communication.

A practical example includes the orangutan bridge experiment in Sabah. Following a visit to National Zoo in Washington DC (USA) where captive orangutans were using cables to move across the zoo, the Kinabatangan Orangutan Conservation Programme decided to erect bridges that would enable orangutans to cross water bodies that had become impassable following the destruction of large trees along riverbanks. Various zoos from Japan and Europe assisted KOCP in sourcing used fire hoses and weather-resistant webbing commonly used in captive settings, and in the design and building of these bridges. Orangutans, gibbons and many other species are now using these bridges regularly.

**Outreach campaigns: awareness and lobbying**

Public outreach can go far beyond interpretation boards displayed inside the zoo premises, and modern day zoos need to use various forms of education to disseminate their message. A recent study confirmed the value of education at zoos and aquariums to engage members of the public with biodiversity-related issues and demonstrated that the aggregate impact from such experiences can be enhanced through coordinated public engagement initiatives (Moss *et al*., 2017).

Typically zoos are using conservation education campaigns to raise funds and awareness about the plight of the orangutan. But they are also increasingly
promoting behavior changes of their public; a study found that including persuasive messages requesting behavior is often seen as a positive step, improving the visitor experience (Smith et al., 2012). The issue of unsustainable palm oil production has offered an opportunity to have a positive impact on orangutan conservation through behavior change and advocacy. Indeed zoos are useful vehicles for disseminating information not only to the public but also to the industry or to the political world. They are therefore an important part of the palm oil debate.

Acknowledging that consumers can play a key role in increasing the demand for sustainably produced palm oil, zoos are beginning to develop and deliver lobbying and behavior change campaigns supported by zoological associations such as BIAZA and AZA who are encouraging their members to support certified sustainable practices. A genuine collaboration and dialogue between zoological institutions, in-situ groups and associations is needed to ensure that clear messages are promoted to the public. In Australia, the Zoos Victoria’s “Don’t Palm Us Off Campaign” was launched with the aims to increase awareness about palm oil, to make palm oil labeling compulsory in this country and to subsequently drive a market need for certified sustainable palm oil (Pearson et al., 2014). A follow-up assessment showed that 80% of visitors were willing to change their future behavior to support orangutan conservation. This campaign was the first educational campaign of its kind in Australia and highlighted the importance of continued innovation in zoo-based conservation education and practice to maximize contributions to species conservation (Pearson et al., 2014).

Campaigns in European zoos began around the “Clear Labels Not Forests” campaign in 2011. The campaign by NGOS and EAZA called for the successful bill for mandatory labelling of individual vegetable oil on food product packaging in the EU. A number of AZA members including Cheyenne Mountain Zoo and Philadelphia Zoo have led and supported public campaigns for support of sustainable palm oil, and in 2015 Houston Zoo hosted the AZA Orangutan Species Survival Plan and Palm Oil Summit, bringing together zoos, NGOs and industry.

What is the future place of zoos in orangutan conservation?

As conservation organizations and as tourist attractions, zoos have the capacity to influence governments and industry in their own countries to encourage change. A key responsibility of zoological institutions is to work collaboratively with in-situ projects to develop a message that is reflective of the situation on the ground. But zoos also need to engage with unlikely partners to be successful in conservation campaigns; purely messaging to a zoo audience is unlikely to have a conservation impact and zoos should use their influence in the community to increase the scope of their messaging. New ways of thinking are needed to reach a larger audience. With the aim of increasing demand for sustainable palm oil, Chester Zoo’s Sustainable Palm Oil Challenge is working with and reaching out to new audiences and partners not necessarily linked to the zoo, including the arts and theatre interested in food sustainability, restaurants to increase sustainable palm oil sourcing in the hospitality industry and reaching out to key organizations in the
region, using influence to increase support. BIAZA has engaged with the UK Government on sustainable palm oil through the UK roundtable, acting as an environmental voice on the group. Thirteen zoos globally are members of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm oil (RSPO); and by doing so they are contributing to the global efforts to improve the practices of the palm oil industry.

Zoos such as Copenhagen Zoo have entered into partnerships alongside oil palm companies to collaborate on enhancing biodiversity on their sites, and the Zoological Society of London (ZSL) is working closely with industry through their Sustainable Palm Oil Transparency Toolkit (SPOTT), which includes scored assessment of the worlds’ largest palm oil companies. These collaborations are a way forward for collaboration between NGOs and industry.

As tourist attractions with 700 million visitors per year globally, zoos should utilize their platform to communicate more to build public pressure around conservation issues and to become a spokesperson for wild orangutans. Consistent messaging from NGOs, zoo associations and individual zoos would encourage collaborative working and international campaigning across networks. Zoos could be perceived as neutral partners to facilitate dialogue between corporate partners, range-country conservationists and environmental organizations. They also could become one of the key partners to operate a shift in people’s views that often opposes conservation and development.
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