



## LJMU Research Online

**Gómez-Olivencia, A, Quam, R, Sala, N, Bardey, M, Ohman, JC and Balzeau, A**

**La Ferrassie 1: New perspectives on a "classic" Neandertal.**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8526/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Gómez-Olivencia, A, Quam, R, Sala, N, Bardey, M, Ohman, JC and Balzeau, A (2018) La Ferrassie 1: New perspectives on a "classic" Neandertal. Journal of Human Evolution, 117. pp. 13-32. ISSN 0047-2484**

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

**Table 1**

Raw dimensions of the La Ferrassie 1 malleus, summary statistics of other fossils, recent and fossil modern human samples, and results of the z-score analysis between Neandertals and recent modern humans.<sup>a</sup>

Specimen/Sample	Total length (mm)	Manubrium length (mm)	Manubrium ML thickness (mm)	Manubrium arc depth (mm)	Length of the corpus (mm)	S-I head width (mm)	Angle between the axes (°)	Source
La Ferrassie 1	8.78	4.90	1.10	0.49	6.26	2.60	140.6	This study
La Ferrassie 3		4.89	<b>1.26*</b>	0.44		<u>2.92**</u>		Quam et al., 2013b
Neandertals mean ± s.d.	8.56 ± 0.36							Stoessel et al., 2016a
Neandertals range (n)	8.23–8.96 (4)							
Mid. Pleist. Europe range (n)	8.80–8.98 (2)	5.16 (1)			6.40 (1)	2.70 (1)		Martínez et al., 2004; Crevecoeur, 2007; Stoessel et al., 2016a
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> mean ± s.d.	7.84 ± 0.23	4.49 ± 0.27	0.95 ± 0.13	0.24 ± 0.09	5.42 ± 0.18	2.45 ± 0.12	137.4 ± 3.2	Original specimens; Lisoněk and Trinkaus, 2006
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	7.37–8.10 (8)	4.02–4.80 (6)	0.81–1.10 (4)	0.14–0.33 (4)	5.21–5.67 (7)	2.30–2.62 (7)	132.1–140.0 (5)	Crevecoeur, 2007; Ponce de León and Zollikofer, 2013
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> mean ± s.d.	8.25 ± 0.41	4.94 ± 0.31	1.00 ± 0.09	0.33 ± 0.15	5.83 ± 0.35	2.43 ± 0.17	132.1 ± 6.2	Quam, 2006
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	7.43–9.31 (43)	4.22–5.59 (43)	0.81–1.28 (43)	0.05–0.64 (43)	4.96–6.69 (43)	2.03–2.79 (43)	116.5–145.7 (43)	

<sup>a</sup> Values underlined are outside the range of the modern human comparative sample. The fossil remains with a \* or \*\* are significantly different from the modern comparative sample based on a z-score analysis (\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ ). Values in bold indicate those values that are significantly different and/or outside of the range of the modern human sample.

**Table 2**

Raw dimensions of the La Ferrassie 1 incus, summary statistics of other fossils, recent and fossil modern human samples, and results of the z-score analysis between Neandertals and recent modern humans.<sup>a</sup>

Specimen/Sample	Short process length (mm)	Long process length (mm)	Functional length (mm)	Long process arc depth (mm)	Articular facet height (mm)	Inter-process length (mm)	Inter-process arc depth (mm)	Angle between the axes (°)	Incudal index	Source
La Ferrassie 1	5.26	7.22	4.25	0.55	<b><u>3.50**</u></b>	5.71	1.81	<b>53.7*</b>	72.9	This study
La Ferrassie 3	4.74	6.89	4.08	0.40	3.34	<b><u>5.51*</u></b>	1.43	<b><u>48.2**</u></b>	68.8	Quam et al., 2013b
Amud 7	5.07	6.98	4.08	<b>0.28**</b>	<b><u>3.44*</u></b>	<b><u>5.38*</u></b>	<b><u>2.13*</u></b>	<b><u>48.3**</u></b>	72.6	Quam and Rak, 2008
Neandertal sample (mean ± s.d)		7.25 ± 0.28								Stoessel et al., 2016a
Neandertals range (n)		6.74–7.58 (10)								
Mid. Pleist. Europe range (n)	4.90 (1)	7.50–7.69 (2)	4.33 (1)			5.90 (1)				Martínez et al., 2004; Lisoněk and Trinkaus, 2006; Crevecoeur, 2007
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d)	4.76 ± 0.44	6.61 ± 0.29	3.90 ± 0.19	0.38 ± 0.10	3.23 ± 0.15	5.79 ± 0.44	1.58 ± 0.27	61.3 ± 4.9	71.3 ± 4.5	Lisoněk and Trinkaus, 2006; Crevecoeur, 2007; Quam et al., 2013b; Stoessel et al., 2016a
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	4.10–5.50 (7)	6.30–7.10 (8)	3.57–4.11 (6)	0.26–0.56 (7)	2.99–3.45 (7)	5.29–6.60 (6)	1.30–2.00 (6)	52.4–67.3 (7)	64.1–77.5 (6)	
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d)	5.07 ± 0.37	6.83 ± 0.32	4.00 ± 0.21	0.56 ± 0.14	3.00 ± 0.19	6.18 ± 0.34	1.66 ± 0.21	64.0 ± 4.7	74.3 ± 4.9	Quam, 2006
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	4.02–5.86 (41)	6.17–7.59 (43)	3.61–4.46 (42)	0.25–0.80 (43)	2.60–3.41 (42)	5.61–7.44 (41)	1.18–1.95 (41)	56.6–75.6 (41)	59.2–84.8 (41)	

<sup>a</sup>Values underlined are outside the range of the modern human comparative sample. The fossil remains with a \* or \*\* are significantly different from the modern comparative sample based on a z-score analysis (\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ ). Values in bold indicate those values that are significantly different and/or outside of the range of the modern human sample.

**Table 3**The middle ear lever ratio<sup>a</sup> in La Ferrassie 1 and Pleistocene and recent hominins.<sup>a</sup>

Specimen/Sample	Malleus functional length (mm)	Incus functional length (mm)	Middle Ear lever ratio <sup>b</sup>	Source
Mid. Pleist. Europe ( <i>n</i> = 1)	5.16	4.33	119.2	Martínez et al., 2004
La Ferrassie 1	4.90	4.25	115.3	This study
La Ferrassie 3	4.89	4.08	119.9	Quam et al., 2013b
Neandertals mean ± s.d.			116.0 ± 10.0	Stoessel et al., 2016a
Neandertals range ( <i>n</i> )			105.0–125.0 (5)	
Qafzeh 12	<u>4.02**</u>	3.84	<b>104.7*</b>	Quam and Rak, 2008
Qafzeh 15	4.48	<u>3.57*</u>	125.5	Quam and Rak, 2008
Dolní Věstonice 14	4.60	4.11	111.9	Lisoněk and Trinkaus, 2006
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d)	4.94 ± 0.31	4.00 ± 0.21	123.4 ± 8.5	Quam, 2006
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range ( <i>n</i> )	4.22–5.59 (43)	3.61–4.46 (42)	101.9–138.9 (42)	
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d)			120.1 ± 8.4	Stoessel et al., 2016a
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range ( <i>n</i> )			107.7–137.0 (27)	

<sup>a</sup>Values underlined are outside the range of the modern human comparative sample. The fossil remains with a \* or \*\* are significantly different from the modern comparative sample based on a z-score analysis (\**p* < 0.05, \*\**p* < 0.01). Values in bold indicate those values that are significantly different and/or outside of the range of the modern human sample.

<sup>b</sup>Lever ratio = (Malleus functional length/Incus functional length) × 100

**Table 4**

Raw dimensions of the La Ferrassie 1 stapes, summary statistics of other fossils, recent and fossil modern human samples, and results of the z-score analysis between Neandertals and recent modern humans.<sup>a</sup>

Specimen/Sample	Head	Total	Foramen	Obturatorfor amen width (mm)	Anterior crus length (mm)	Posterior crus length (mm)	Angle A (°)	Angle B (°)	Angle C (°)	Stapedial index	Source
La Ferrassie 1	1.05	3.04	1.65	1.98	2.56	3.29	52.1	78.3	49.6	90.1	This study
La Ferrassie 3	<b><u>0.71</u>**</b>	2.76	1.89	1.79	2.38	3.20	47.3	86.9	45.7	90.6	Quam et al., 2013b
La Ferrassie 8	<b><u>0.80</u>*</b>	2.63	1.75	1.74	2.60	3.20	48.3	89.7	42.0	83.3	Gómez-Olivencia et al., 2015
Subalyuk 2	0.93	(2.70)	(1.60)	1.60	(2.45)	(2.90)	(50.3)				Quam et al., 2013b
Neandertal sample (mean ± s.d)		3.11 ± 0.18									Stoessel et al., 2016a
Neandertal sample range (n)		2.88–3.31 (5)									
Oase 2					(3.2)	(3.0)					Ponce de León and Zollikofer, 2013
Cro-Magnon 1		3.39									Stoessel et al., 2016a
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d.)	1.21 ± 0.16	3.44 ± 0.20	1.90 ± 0.18	1.74 ± 0.19	3.40 ± 0.21	3.35 ± 0.20	49.7 ± 3.4	67.4 ± 3.5	63.0 ± 4.1	85.90 ± 5.29	Quam, 2006
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	0.84–1.52 (40)	2.94–3.87 (40)	1.52–2.30 (41)	1.34–2.18 (42)	2.94–3.93 (40)	2.77–3.72 (40)	44.3–59.6 (40)	60.4–75.0 (40)	53.6–70.3 (40)	77.20–102.72 (39)	

<sup>a</sup>Values in parentheses are estimated. Values underlined are outside the range of the modern human comparative sample. The fossil remains with a \* or \*\* are significantly different from the modern comparative sample based on a z-score analysis (\* =  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $p < 0.01$ ). Values in bold indicate those values that are significantly different and/or outside of the range of the modern human sample.

**Table 5**

Comparison of stapes footplate and oval window dimensions in the La Ferrassie 1 Neandertal compared to other Neandertals, Middle Pleistocene fossils, and recent and fossil modern humans.<sup>a</sup>

Specimen/Sample	Footplate length (mm)	Footplate width (mm)	Measured footplate area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Measured oval window area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Footplate index	Oval window index	Source
La Ferrassie 1	2.74	1.49	3.39		<b>54.4*</b>		Original specimen
La Ferrassie 3	<b>(2.50)**</b>	(1.25)		2.70	(50.0)	54.0	Original specimen
La Ferrassie 8	<b><u>2.19**</u></b>	1.22	<b><u>2.16**</u></b>		<b>55.7*</b>		Gómez-Olivencia et al., 2015
Neandertals (mean ± s.d.)			2.58 ± 0.20	3.01 ± 0.35		54.6 ± 1.6	Quam et al., 2013b; Stoessel et al., 2016a
Neandertals range (n)			2.35–2.81 (5)	2.36–3.67 (18)		53.2–56.5 (5)	
Mid. Pleist. Europe range (n)			2.77 (1)	3.02–3.98 (3)			Martínez et al., 2004
Oase 2	2.9						Ponce de León and Zollikofer, 2013
Cro Magnon 1			2.99				Stoessel et al., 2016a
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d.)				3.46 ± 0.28		48.8 ± 5.8	Quam et al., 2013b
Fossil <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)				3.06–3.88 (9)		36.7–55.8 (9)	
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> (mean ± s.d.)	2.94 ± 0.14	1.39 ± 0.10	3.39 ± 0.32		47.29 ± 3.30		Original specimens
Recent <i>H. sapiens</i> range (n)	2.47–3.27 (46)	1.20–1.63 (43)	2.95–4.29 (41)		40.27–57.09 (43)		

<sup>a</sup>Values in parentheses are estimated. Values underlined are outside the range of the modern human comparative sample. The fossil remains with a \* or \*\* are significantly different from the modern comparative sample based on a z-score analysis (\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ ). Values in bold indicate those values that are significantly different and/or outside of the range of the modern human sample.

**Table 6**

Fracture properties in the La Ferrassie 1 long bones.

		<b>NISP<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>%</b>
Fracture outline	Longitudinal	0	0.0
	Transversal	16	80.0
	Oblique	4	20.0
Fracture angle	Right	11	100.0
	Acute/obtuse	0	0.0
	Mixed	0	0.0
Fracture edge	Smooth	0	0.0
	Jagged	17	100.0
	Mixed	0	0.0
Shaft circumference	1: < 50%	0	0.0
	2: > 50%	0	0.0
	3: Complete	18	100.0
Shaft fragment	1: <25%	0	0.0
	2: 25-50%	1	5.6
	3: 50-75%	3	16.7
	4: >75%	14	77.8

<sup>a</sup>NISP = Number of identified specimens

**Table 7**Results of the chi square analysis of the fracture properties of La Ferrassie 1 compared to other samples<sup>a</sup>.

Long bones	Fracture angle	Fracture outline	Shaft circumference
<b>LF1 vs Sarrians</b>	<i>n</i> (11/269) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.6943 <i>p</i> = 0.058	<i>n</i> (20/326) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.0299 <i>p</i> = 0.081	<i>n</i> (18/226) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.3178 <i>p</i> = 0.314
<b>LF1 vs Fontbrégoua</b>	<i>n</i> (11/174) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 25.609 <i>p</i> = <b>2.75E-06</b>	<i>n</i> (20/169) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 6.36 <i>p</i> = <b>0.041586</b>	<i>n</i> (18/151) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 89.681 <i>p</i> = <b>3.36E-20</b>
<b>LF1 vs SH</b>	<i>n</i> (11/471) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.3907 <i>p</i> = 0.499	<i>n</i> (20/587) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.4454 <i>p</i> = 0.485	<i>n</i> (18/475) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.3839 <i>p</i> = 0.501
Cranial bones	Fracture angle	Cortical delamination	
<b>LF1 vs SH</b>	N (25/513) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.88016 <i>p</i> = 0.348	N (25/518) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.2648 <i>p</i> = 0.261	
<b>LF1 vs Agris</b>	N (25/15) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 25.378 <i>p</i> = <b>4.71E-07</b>	N (25/15) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 35.897 <i>p</i> = <b>2.08E-09</b>	
<b>LF1 vs AUZAI</b>	N (25/46) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 40.888 <i>p</i> = <b>1.61E-10</b>	N (25/46) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.225 <i>p</i> = <b>0.00081</b>	
<b>LF1 vs Corconne</b>	N (25/297) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.3527 <i>p</i> = 0.245	N (25/297) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.8446 <i>p</i> = 0.050	
<b>LF1 vs Villedubert</b>	N (25/1760) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.6228 <i>p</i> = 0.203	N (25/1760) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.5643 <i>p</i> = 0.059	
<b>LF1 vs LC1</b>	N (25/16) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.7028 <i>p</i> = 0.054	N (26/17) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.096099 <i>p</i> = 0.757	
<b>LF1 vs LQH5</b>	N (25/30) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.056122 <i>p</i> = 0.813	N (26/31) Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.016073 <i>p</i> = 0.899	

<sup>a</sup>Bold *p*-values refer to significant results. Data for LF1 (La Ferrassie 1), LC1 (La Chapelle-aux-Saints 1), and LQH5 (La Quina H5) are original from this work. In the all other cases, it has been extracted from the bibliography: Sarrians and Fontbrégoua Neolithic assemblages from Villa and Mahieu (1991); Agris Mesolithic site, AUZAI (Châteliers du Vieil-Auzay from the Neolithic period), the Neolithic site of Corconne site and the Chalcolithic site of Villedubert from Jordana et al. (2013); and SH (Sima de los Huesos Middle Pleistocene hominins) from Sala et al. (2015a, 2016). Fontbrégoua, Agris and Châteliers du Vieil-Auzay are considered typically fresh bone fractured samples. Sarrians, Corconne,