

LJMU Research Online

Mc Namara, L, Kapranas, A, Williams, CD, O Tuama, P, Kavanagh, K and Griffin, CT

Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against large pine weevil, Hylobius abietis, and their additive effects when combined with entomopathogenic nematodes

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8810/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Mc Namara, L, Kapranas, A, Williams, CD, O Tuama, P, Kavanagh, K and Griffin, CT (2018) Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against large pine weevil, Hylobius abietis, and their additive effects when combined with entomopathogenic nematodes. Journal of Pest Science. ISSN 1612-4758

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

- 1 Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against large pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis* and their additive effects
- 2 when combined with entomopathogenic nematodes.
- 3 Louise Mc Namara^{a,b} Apostolos Kapranas^c, Christopher D. Williams^d, Padraig O'Tuama^e, Kevin Kavanagh^a,
- 4 Christine T. Griffin^a
- 5

6 Affiliations

- 7 ^aDepartment of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland
- 8 ^bTeagasc, Oak Park, Crop Research Centre, Co. Carlow, Ireland (Present address)
- 9 ^cBenaki Phytopathological Institute, Kifissia, Attica, GR-145 61, Greece
- 10 ^dSchool of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK
- 11 °CCF Management, Ballingeary, Co. Cork, Ireland
- 12 Correspondence to: Louise Mc Namara; Email: Louise.McNamara@teagasc.ie, +353 (0)76 1111345, Teagasc,
- 13 Oak Park, Crop Research Centre, Co. Carlow, Ireland
- 14

15 Acknowledgments

16 We thank Ben Malone and Lorna Tierney for their technical support. We thank numerous forest managers and

17 technicians from Coillte, Ireland, for providing access to sites and helping with trials. This research was funded

- 18 by the Irish Government (Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine) under the National Development
- 19 Plan 2007–2013.
- 20

21 Disclosure statement

- 22 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.
- 23
- 24 Key Message

- Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) and nematodes (EPNs) were applied to conifer stumps alone and in
 combination for control of the large pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis*.
- Combinations of EPF and EPN had additive but not synergistic effects against *H. abietis*.
- EPN alone or in combinations with EPF reduced significantly *H. abietis* emergence.
- Application method did not affect the efficacy of EPF against *H. abietis*.
- EPF persist up to two years post-application. *Beauveria caledonica* is a new promising EPF species.
- 31
- 32 Abstract

33 The large pine weevil Hylobius abietis L. is an important pest of reforestation in northern Europe. In 34 field trials we assessed the efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) alone and in combination with 35 entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) against the immature stages, which develop in the stumps of felled conifer 36 trees. We used commercial strains of Metarhizium brunneum (Petch) (Met52) and Beauveria bassiana (Bals.-37 Criv.) Vuill. (1912) and a strain of Beauveria caledonica isolated from the pest's habitat. The EPN used were 38 Steinernema carpocapsae (Weiser) and Heterorhabditis downesi (Stock, Griffin, and Burnell). Efficacy was 39 assessed both by infection of weevils in the pine stumps and by numbers of adult weevils emerging in traps 40 erected above the stumps. EPF infected up to 23% of pine weevils, at depths of up to 25 cm below ground. 41 Three methods of application of EPF were compared, but application method did not affect infection rates. 42 When applied at half doses, EPF and EPN had additive effects: M. brunneum and S. carpocapsae caused a 43 reduction in pine weevil emergence of 58% relative to control, M. brunneum and H. downesi 93%, and B. 44 caledonica and H. downesi 86%. However, EPN alone offered good suppression of H. abietis populations and 45 suppression by the mixture did not surpass the suppression afforded by EPN on their own. Our trials showed 46 that B. caledonica is a promising species, rivalling the success of the other two EPF species. Furthermore, 47 applied EPF and especially *M. brunneum* can persist for up to two years in the forest setting. It also appears that 48 different fungi can have differential action against weevils above-below ground and therefore combinations of 49 EPF may be beneficial. Based on our trials, further development of strains and application methods are required 50 before EPF can be recommended for suppression of pine weevil.

- 51
- 52 Keywords
- 53 Forest pest, Entomopathogenic nematodes, Entomopathogenic fungi, Biocontrol; large pine weevil

55 Author Contribution Statement

LM, CG and KK conceived/designed research. LM conducted experiments. AK, CDW and POT assisted with
trials. LM and AK analysed data. LM wrote manuscript. All authors read and approved manuscript.

58

- 59 Abbreviations
- 60 Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF), entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN)

61

62

63 Introduction

64 The large pine weevil Hylobius abietis (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is a widespread pest of 65 plantation forestry in 15 European countries, where it is a threat to 3.4 million hectares of forest (Långström and 66 Day 2007). The immature weevils develop in the stumps of recently felled conifer trees and upon emergence the 67 adult weevils feed on newly planted trees and can kill up to 100% of unprotected stock (Leather et al. 1999). A 68 single adult can damage or kill several trees, therefore even small numbers of adults can have an economic 69 impact on sites that have been replanted (Wainhouse et al. 2004). Without chemical control measures it is 70 estimated that the resulting damage would reach €140 million for Europe (Långström and Day 2007). However, 71 use of chemical insecticides is to be minimised in accordance with principles of sustainable forest management 72 as well as the Sustainable Use of Pesticides directive (2009/128/EC). Therefore, there is a need for alternatives 73 for incorporation in an integrated pest management approach to this pest.

74 Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) are a promising tool in the management of the pine weevil. They 75 are applied to stumps in an inundative fashion when late instar larvae or pupae of the first generation of weevils 76 are present, between one and two years after trees are felled (Torr et al. 2005; Brixey et al. 2006; Dillon et al. 77 2006, 2007, 2008; Williams et al. 2013, Kapranas et al., 2017a). Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) are widely used 78 against soil-inhabiting beetles including black vine weevil, Otiorhynchus sulcatus (Fabricius) (Ansari et al., 79 2006; Keller and Schweizer, 2007; Shah et al., 2007; Eckard et al., 2014) and may persist well in soil 80 environments (Scheepmaker and Butt, 2010). Although EPF would initially seem to be less suitable than the 81 actively host-seeking EPN for use against a pest inhabiting such a cryptic habitat, the limited information 82 available to date suggests that they may have a place in pine weevil suppression. Firstly, all developmental 83 stages of H. abietis were killed by Metarhizium robertsii (Metschn.) Sorokīn, Metarhizium brunneum (Petch), 84 and Beauveria bassiana (Weiser.) in laboratory assays (Ansari and Butt, 2012). Secondly, M. brunneum and B. 85 bassiana were also shown to infect pine weevils in stumps in field trials and had an additive effect when applied 86 together with EPN (Williams et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2015). Thirdly, H. abietis are naturally infected with EPF 87 in the field, including Beauveria caledonica (Glare et al., 2008, Williams et al., 2013). Beauveria caledonica 88 appears to be a common natural pathogen of bark and wood-boring beetles of conifers in their natural habitat 89 (Glare et al., 2008, Reay et al. 2008; Williams et al., 2013; Wegensteiner et al., 2015; Draganoval et al., 2017), 90 making it an attractive candidate for further study against such pests, but efficacy of applied B. caledonica 91 against H. abietis has not been assessed.

92 There is growing evidence that combinations of EPN and EPF may act synergistically against insects, 93 including several coleopteran pests (Anbesse et al. 2008, Ansari et al. 2004, 2006, 2008, 2010; Wu et al. 2014; 94 Wakil et al. 2017). Synergy can be defined as the interaction of two or more organisms, substances or agents to 95 produce a combined effect greater than the sum of their individual effects. However, depending on the 96 combination of agents and the test conditions, the result may be merely additive (when the sum of two 97 treatments combined is equal to the sum of the effect of the two treatments used separately), or even 98 antagonistic (the combination of two or more agents results in an overall effect that is less than the sum of their 99 individual effects). The underlying mechanisms of synergistic interactions are unclear, but it is suggested that 100 one agent may stress or alter the behaviour (e.g., feeding or movement) of the host, making it more susceptible 101 to another agent. For example, insects infected by the Metarhizium brunneum may be less mobile, allowing EPN 102 more time to penetrate the host (Ansari et al. 2004). Moreover, combined applications may make hosts more 103 susceptible through suppressing their immune system, prolonging developmental stages or by the two treatments 104 acting on different components of the host population (Lacey et al. 2015; Mc Namara et al. 2017). If a 105 combination of biocontrol agents results in synergy, then the potential cost of using these agents may be reduced 106 by allowing lower application rates. Moreover, if the agents target different components of the pest population, 107 then the overall effect of the combination may be greater than could be achieved by using one or the other agent 108 on its own, irrespective of application rate.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the ability of EPF, both alone and in combination with
EPN, to suppress *H. abietis* populations in the field. Previously, field trials in Wales showed that *M. brunneum*could effectively suppress numbers of weevils emerging from stumps (Evans et al., 2015), while in Ireland,

112 significant suppression was not achieved by EPF alone, though additive effects with EPN were recorded 113 (Williams et al., 2013). Three EPF strains were utilised in these field studies, commercial strains of M. 114 brunneum and B. bassiana and a strain of B. caledonica isolated from the pine weevil habitat. The efficacy of 115 EPF was investigated alone and in combination with EPN through emergence trapping of adult weevils and 116 destructive sampling of stumps to locate infected immature weevils. The EPN species used were S. carpocapsae 117 and *H. downesi*, both of which are promising candidates for pine weevil suppression (Williams et al., 2013; 118 Kapranas et al., 2017a,b). This study extends the work of Williams et al. (2013), which was conducted under 119 similar conditions, with the following additions: (1) B. caledonica was incorporated into these trials as it infects 120 pine weevils in their cryptic habitat, making it a promising biological control agent for this pest, and additional 121 combinations of EPF and EPN were tested; (2) We assessed if the method of application of EPF can be 122 improved according to recent studies in Wales (Evans et al. 2015); (3) The location of infected weevils (depth 123 and distance in soil) was analysed in order to help explain the relative success of different species and 124 application methods; (4) Persistence of applied EPF in the forest ecosystem was recorded; (5) information on 125 growth patterns of the three EPF species in conifer stumps is presented.

126

127 Materials and Methods

128

129 Source and culture of entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes

Steinernema carpocapsae (EN03) formulated in vermiculite was provided by e-nema GmbH (Schwentinental, Germany) and was rehydrated, checked for viability and enumerated before use.
Heterorhabditis downesi (strain K122) is an Irish strain maintained at Maynooth University and was cultured in vivo in late instar Galleria mellonella larvae (Mealworm Company, Sheffield, England) as described in Dillon et al (2006). A commercial strain of *M. brunneum* (Met52; formerly referred to as *M. anisopliae*) produced by Novozymes (Denmark) was used (National Agrochemical Distributors, Lusk, Dublin).

Dry conidiospores of *B. bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. (Experimental strain 1694) were supplied by Becker Underwood, West Sussex, UK. *Beauveria caledonica* (2c7b) is a native strain isolated from a soil sample taken close to a pine stump in a felled forest in Hortland, Co. Kildare (Ireland). The soil sample was baited with *G. mellonella* larvae and fungus from the infected cadaver was identified through DNA sequencing of an ITS PCR product (a region of the internal transcribed spacer unit of the ribosomal DNA, ITS4, was amplified by PCR). 141 To obtain sufficient quantities for the trials both B. caledonica and B. bassiana were cultured in Sabouraud 142 dextrose liquid medium (Oxoid) in a shaking incubator for 6 days at 25°C and 250 rpm. Basmati rice (500 g) 143 was added to a double-bagged autoclave bag. The opening of the autoclave bag was plugged with a sponge held 144 in place with masking tape and autoclaved at 120°C for 30 minutes. Liquid culture (100 ml) was poured into the 145 bag and mixed with the rice. Bags were placed flat on shelves and turned daily for 10-15 days at 25°C. To 146 remove the spores from the rice, 100 g rice was placed in conical flasks with 100 ml PBST (Phosphate buffered 147 saline with Tween 0.05%) and placed in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm to dislodge spores. The suspension was 148 sieved through a double layer of muslin.

149

150 Sites of field studies

Two field studies were carried out over consecutive years in clear-felled lodgepole pine *Pinus contorta* Dougl. var. *latifolia* forests. The 2013 field site was at Glendine, Slieve Bloom, Laois (53°05'45.5"N 7°34'02.2"W, Felled 04-09/2011) and the 2014 site was at Cloondara, Longford (53°44'22.0"N 7°54'03.1"W, Felled 04–05/2013). The soil at both sites was deep peat. At each site, treatments were arranged in a randomized block design, with one stump of each treatment in each block and at least ten blocks. Stumps were marked and colour-coded for different treatments before treatment.

157

158 Treatments and Application

159 In all trials, 500 ml of the suspension of nematode infective juveniles (IJs) and/or fungal conidiospores 160 was applied to the stumps using the standard method of pouring the suspension onto the soil around the stump 161 (Dillon et al. 2006) and to the side of the stump. Different application methods were trialed in 2014. Full EPF 162 treatment was 1x10⁹ spores/stump and half treatment was 5x10⁸ spores/stump. Full EPN treatment was 3.5x10⁶ 163 IJs/stump and half treatment was 1.75x10⁶ IJs/stump. Half rates were included to facilitate assessment of 164 interactions between agents in mixed treatments. Control stumps were untreated. M. brunneum, B. caledonica 165 and B. bassiana were diluted to the desired concentration using 0.05% (v/v) Tween 80 as a surfactant. 166 Treatments were made up in 5 L bottles in the laboratory and were regularly agitated while being transported to 167 the field. Treatments were applied on 5.6.2013 (Glendine) and 12.6.2014 (Cloondara).

169 Assessment of efficacy: destructive sampling and monitoring emergence

Destructive sampling of stumps was carried out using the method of Dillon *et al.* (2006). One quarter of each stump was destructively sampled, four weeks post application. Pine weevils were carefully removed using a forceps and brought back to the laboratory in 24 well plates. Different forceps were used for each treatment. The stage (larva, pupa, callow adult or adult) and infection status (alive, fungus-killed and dead due to other causes) of weevils were recorded in the field, along with the location of the individuals (depth above/below soil level and distance from the bole of the stump). In 2013 the growth patterns of the different species of EPF were also observed in destructively sampled stumps.

Emergence traps, based on Moore (2001) but without the electric shock mechanism, were erected over control and treated stumps on 3.7.13 (in Glendine) and on 24.6.14 (in Cloondara). They were emptied approximately every two weeks throughout the season until weevils ceased emerging in late autumn. Different stumps were used for destructive sampling and emergence trapping (see Tables 1 and 2). All the stumps within a block were used either for destructive sampling or for trapping emerging adult weevils.

182

183 Trials

In 2013 the first aim was to compare the efficacy of three EPF (*B. bassiana, B. caledonica, M. brunneum*) against pine weevil using destructive sampling and emergence trapping for assessment. The second aim was to investigate the use of *M. brunneum* alone and in combination with *S. carpocapsae* for the reduction in numbers of adult weevils emerging. Both EPF and EPN were applied alone as well as in combination. There were seven treatments plus untreated controls (see Table 1).

189 In 2014 the EPN used was H. downesi and EPF used were B. caledonica and M. brunneum. Both EPF 190 and EPN were applied alone as well as in combination. One aim was to assess if different methods of applying 191 EPF affected their efficacy. The methods tested were: suspension poured in the 'standard' method (applied to 192 sides/base of stump), suspension poured into the gap between bark and stump at the top of stump (top) (Evans et 193 al. 2015) and suspension applied to both top and sides (top + sides). The second aim was to assess the effect of 194 single and combined applications of *H. downesi* and either *M. brunneum* or *B. caledonica* on weevil emergence; 195 for this purpose, the treatment was applied to top and sides of the stump, as this combined both the 'standard' 196 method and the method referred to in Evans et al. (2015). There were 12 treatments plus untreated controls (see 197 Table 2).

199 Survey for persistence of fungi

200 In 2015, stumps treated in 2013 (Glendine) were tested for persistence of EPF two years post 201 application. The treatments sampled were stumps treated with B. caledonica, B. bassiana and M. brunneum and 202 controls; ten stumps were sampled per treatment. Eight soil samples (50 ml cores) were taken per stump (at a 203 depth of 0-10 and 10-20 cm and in 4 directions North, South, East, and West), and one composite bark (above 204 ground) sample (volume approx. 50 ml) consisting of at least 4 subsamples. Each soil or bark sample was baited 205 with five G. mellonella larvae. This was done by placing five larvae in a plastic tub (6cm x 9.5 cm) with each 206 sample and storing at 20°C, with inversion of the tub every 2-3 days to encourage contact between insect and 207 sample. Larvae were removed once dead but before they were mycosed. All infected larvae were surface-208 sterilized by flaming after immersion in 70% ethanol before culturing the EPF on Potato Dextrose Agar plates 209 (Oxoid, Ltd, England) covered with a layer of colourless sterile cellophane. Once sufficient hyphal growth had 210 occurred it was peeled off and placed in a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. Samples were exposed to freeze-thaw and 211 were broken down further using a plastic pestle (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC). Qiagen DNEasy Mini Plant Kit and 212 protocol was used to extract DNA. DNA was measured using the Nanodrop 1000 (Mason, Dublin, Ireland). A 213 region of the internal transcribed spacer unit of the ribosomal DNA was amplified using primers (Eurofins) 214 ITS4 (5'TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC'3) and ITS5 (5'GGA AGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG G'3) in 215 the following reaction mix; 5X MyTaq Reaction buffer (5 µl), Promega GoTaq Polymerase (0.125 µl), forward 216 and reverse primers (1 μ l of each), ddH₂0 (15.875 μ l) and template DNA (2 μ l). PCR was carried out for 3 min 217 at 94°C followed by 34 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 2 min at 55°C, followed by 7 min at 72°C, on an Eppendorf 218 Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Stevenage, UK). Positive and negative (dH₂O) controls were included in each PCR 219 run. PCR products were cleaned up using miPCR purification kit (Metabion) and sequenced by GATC Biotech 220 AG (Germany). Identities of the isolates were confirmed using BLAST.

221

222 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis using Minitab V. 16 and GraphPad Prism V.5. were carried out on data from each year separately. Emergence data were transformed to normality using log (x+1) transformation; untransformed data are shown in results. Differences in numbers of adult weevils between treatments were analyzed using ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test comparing each treatment with the control. In 2013, although the overall ANOVA was not significant, each treatment was compared to the control using a t-test inorder to assess what treatments lead to significant weevil suppression.

229 For emergence data in all field trials the type of interaction (synergistic, additive, or antagonistic) 230 between EPN and EPF was determined using a procedure described by Ansari et al. (2008). All combinations of 231 treatments that were trapped for emergence in both years were analysed; The expected additive reduction in 232 emergence ($R_{expected}$) for the EPN-EPF combinations was calculated by $R_{expected} = R_{nematode} + R_{fungus}$ (1 -233 R_{nematode}), where R_{nematode} and R_{fungus} are the observed reduction caused by EPNs and EPF alone (half rates), 234 respectively. Results from a χ^2 -test, $\chi^2 = (R_{observed} - R_{expected})^2/R_{expected}$, were compared to the χ^2 table value for 1 235 degree of freedom. If the calculated χ^2 -values exceeded the table value, there would be reason to suspect a non-236 additive effect that is synergistic/antagonistic, between the two agents. If the differences $R_{observed} - R_{expected} = D$ 237 had a positive value, a significant interaction was then considered synergistic, and if D had a negative value, a 238 significant interaction was considered antagonistic. Analysis involves half rates of all pathogens involved in 239 each combination.

240 Further statistical analysis was carried out using GENSTAT statistical package (Version 14, VSN 241 International, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Analysis of factors influencing weevil infection rates were performed 242 with generalized linear models, starting from fully saturated models, using where possible empirically estimated 243 scale parameters to account for potential overdispersion, and arriving at the minimum adequate model via 244 backwards model simplification (Crawley 1993). Infection rates among different treatments (either fungi alone 245 in 2013 or fungi and application method in 2014) were compared with logistic analysis and using quasi-246 binomially distributed errors. Infection rates in relation to depth below soil surface and horizontal distance from 247 the bole of the stump were explored with mixed Generalized Linear Models. Fungal species, method of 248 application (2014), depth and distance were introduced as fixed effects whereas each stump was introduced in 249 the analysis as a random effect. Analysis was run separately for both years.

250

251 Results

252 Field trials in 2013

253 Overall, there was no significant difference between treatments in the number of emerging adult 254 weevils (ANOVA: $F_{7,79}=1.43$, p>0.05). Since the prime aim of our study is to identify agents or combinations 255 capable of reducing weevil emergence relative to the control, and simultaneous comparison of many treatments may obscure particular comparisons of interest, we compared each treatment to the control separately. Using this approach, we detected a significant difference between control stumps and both full dose *S. carpocapsae* (T=3.143, df=18, p<0.01), and the mixed treatment (T=2.35, df=18, p<0.05). Both treatments caused a reduction in number of weevils emerging relative to the control (Figure 1A). The mixed EPF and EPN treatment had an additive effect with an expected reduction in numbers of weevils emerging of 66% and an observed reduction of 58% (χ^2 = 0.011, p=0.92).

262 Destructive sampling was carried out on ten blocks four weeks after application and another ten blocks 263 eight weeks after application. The two assessment periods were combined for analysis as they were not 264 significantly different from each other. The infection rate in untreated control stumps was 4.6%; these fungi 265 were not identified. Infection rates among different fungal treatments (excluding the untreated controls) were 266 compared with logistic analysis and using quasi-binomially distributed errors. Infection rates differed 267 significantly among the three fungal species used ($F_{2.55} = 3.29$, P = 0.045, Figure 2). Infection rates in relation to 268 depth below soil surface and horizontal distance from the bole of the stump were explored with mixed 269 Generalized Linear Models. Infection rates of weevils were significantly different among fungal species (F_{2.607}= 270 7.83, P< 0.001, Figure 2). Infection rates of weevils were negatively influenced by depth ($F_{1,607} = 6.16$, p= 271 0.013, Figure 3A) and distance (F_{1,607}=21.85, P <0.001. Figure 3B). Growth morphology of the three EPF 272 species was also observed during destructive sampling (Figure 4).

273

274 Field trials in 2014

275 There was a significant difference in the number of emerging adult weevils between treatments 276 (F_{7.8}=3.73, p=0.001). Full *H. downesi* and the mixed treatment *M. brunneum* and *H. downesi* were significantly 277 different to the control stumps (p<0.05); both treatments caused a reduction in pine weevil emergence (Figure 278 1B). Both of the mixed EPF and EPN treatments had an additive effect. For M. brunneum and H. downesi the 279 expected reduction in number of pine weevils emerging was 86% and the observed reduction was 93% (χ^2 = 280 0.86, p= 0.93). For *B. caledonica* and *H. downesi* the expected reduction in number of pine weevils emerging 281 was 90% and the observed reduction was 86% (χ^2 = 0.001, p= 0.97). All treatments that included EPN reduced 282 emergence below the suggested threshold of 20 weevils per stump (Kapranas et al., 2017).

285 Another aim of the study was to compare the effect of different application methods on EPF efficacy against 286 pine weevil. To investigate this, destructive sampling was carried out on five blocks four weeks after application 287 and on another five blocks eight weeks after application. Only 0.34% of weevils in control stumps showed signs 288 of fungal infection. Infection rates among different fungal treatments (controls excluded) were compared with 289 logistic analysis and using quasi-binomially distributed errors. There was no significant difference in weevil 290 infection rates among different treatments (fungal species and application method) ($F_{5.57} = 0.41$, P = 0.838) 291 (Figure 5). Fungal species and depth were not significant factors in determining infection rates (Fungus: 292 $F_{1.682}$ =1.37, P=0.242; depth: $F_{1.682}$ =0.14, P=0.712) but their interaction was significant ($F_{1.682}$ = 3.46, p = 0.041, 293 Figure 6). Distance did not significantly influence weevil infection rates by EPF ($F_{1,682} = 0.73$, p=0.193). All 294 developmental stages of H. abietis were found to be infected (Table 3) as previously shown (Ansari and Butt 295 2012; Williams et al 2013).

296

297 Persistence of entomopathogenic fungi post application

Two years following application, *B. bassiana* and *B. caledonica* were recovered from around stumps treated with each of the three fungal species and from control stumps while *M. brunneum* was only recovered at stumps to which it had been applied (Fig. 7). Thus, *M. brunneum* appears to persist in the environment at two years post application.

302

303 Discussion

304 In this study we assess the efficacy of using EPF alone and in combination with EPN for suppression of 305 the large pine weevil H. abietis. Using EPF alone for this purpose might be inefficient because it is difficult for 306 the non-motile conidiospores to reach the weevils feeding within the roots of the stumps. Nonetheless, their 307 persistence for long periods in some environments could provide long term control effects (Scheepmaker and 308 Butt, 2010). Williams et al. (2013) investigated the efficacy of EPN and EPF (B. bassiana and M. brunneum) 309 applied to stumps to suppress H. abietis emergence and suggested that if choice of EPF strain and application 310 technologies are optimised, EPF may present a viable option for pine weevil management in the future. 311 Beauveria. caledonica is a naturally occurring pathogen of pine weevil larvae (Glare et al., 2008; Williams et 312 al., 2013; van Vlaenderen, Griffin and Meade, unpublished), which justified its inclusion in our current trials.

313 Moreover, its proposed use against pine weevils is strengthened by the fact that it appears to be restricted to

forest coleopterans (Reay *et al.* 2008) and hence its impact on non-target insects could be low.

315

316 EPF for suppression of large pine weevil and their persistence

317 EPF used alone had varying success both across the different field trials and the three fungal species 318 trialed. In 2013, B. caledonica was the most promising of the three species applied at full dose, with an overall 319 observed reduction in emergence of 38% as well as the highest proportion of infected weevils. This was the first 320 time this species has been trialed against H. abietis and the results highlight its potential application as a 321 biocontrol agent. B. bassiana was not effective in suppressing pine weevil in the 2013 field studies, similarly to 322 what was reported by Williams et al. (2013) and so it was not included in the 2014 trials. In 2014, B. caledonica 323 was found to be as effective as *M. brunneum*, infecting up to 14.7 and 17.3 % of the pine weevils within stumps, 324 respectively.

325 We observed that the three EPF had distinct growth morphologies on weevil cadavers in the stump. As 326 is typical for the species, M. brunneum sporulated on the cadaver. Spores could be dispersed within the space 327 between bark and stump by water or insects (Roy et al., 2010). For B. bassiana, hyphal growth radiated out 328 from the cadaver and spread along the underside of the bark, which could distribute spores closer to other pine 329 weevils within the stump, suggesting that it might be better able to recycle and give extended control within 330 stump; however, recycling potential was not examined in this work. B. caledonica hyphae grew out from the 331 cadaver, but instead of remaining in the under-bark space, it exited through the bark: this may be useful for 332 infecting insects in the soil, including emerging *H. abietis* adults.

333 The ability of entomopathogenic Hyphomycetes species to persist in an environment is another 334 important attribute of a successful biocontrol agent. For propagules that exhibit good persistence, there will be a 335 higher probability of an insect coming in contact with sufficient propagules to cause disease (Inglis et al. 2001). 336 There is evidence that *M. brunneum* persisted in the forest soil environment at two years post application, which 337 may have implications for utilising EPF in prophylactic biocontrol, though initial tests of this approach were not 338 promising (Williams et al., 2013). It is unknown whether the fungus recovered two years post-application was 339 the original inoculum or resulted from recycling in pine weevils or other hosts. Persistence of EPF for up to two 340 years following application is not unusual, but there are few studies conducted in conifer forest agroecosystems 341 (Scheepmaker and Butt, 2010). Little is known about the natural ecology and population dynamics of EPF in

342 conifer forests (Reay et al., 2008; Ormand et al., 2010), but advances in this knowledge would be invaluable in
343 designing more effective biocontrol strategies.

344

345 Efficacy of combining of entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes

EPN offered better suppression of *H. abietis* populations than EPF, with the most effective treatments for reducing weevil emergence being EPN alone or in combination with EPF. These field trials strengthen the use of EPN, which actively seek out hosts, as a viable control method for pine weevils. *Heterorhabditis downesi* alone or combination with EPF reduced weevil emergence by 83-93%, with a reduction of 60-72% for *S. carpocapsae*. The levels of reduction by these two EPN species is in agreement with previous studies (Dillon *et al.* 2006, 2007, Torr *et al.* 2007, Williams, 2013, Kapranas et al. 2017a). The highest observed reduction in emergence across all treatments and years was a combination of *M. brunneum* and *H. downesi* (93%).

353 All three EPF and EPN combinations tested resulted in additive rather than synergistic effects. One 354 possible explanation for the lack of synergistic action of EPN+EPF is that application rates of H. downesi 355 already resulted in relatively high levels of control; even half rate resulted in reduction of weevils by 83%, and 356 therefore synergistic effects might have been better observed by using even lower rates of EPN than the ones we 357 used. However, the effect was also additive in 2013 where the 40% weevil suppression rate by half-dose S. 358 carpocapsae left ample room for detection of synergy. Moreover, there was no evidence of synergy of EPN and 359 EPF combinations in the Williams et al. (2013) study. Another approach may be to apply EPF earlier in the 360 season. EPF may weaken the insect or modulate their immune system, ultimately making them more susceptible 361 to subsequent pathogens (McNamara et al., 2017; Ansari et al., 2006; Ansari et al. 2004). Immune suppression 362 by EPF has been demonstrated for pine weevil larvae (McNamara, 2016). Early application would also coincide 363 with prevalence of late instar larvae, the stage which was found to be most likely to be infected both in the 364 present study and in Williams et al. (2013).

365

366 *Methods of application*

367 Similar field studies to the 2013 trials reported in this work were carried out in Wales from 2009-2012
368 to assess EPF and EPN at a range of doses against pine weevils (Evans *et al.* 2015). *Metarhizium brunneum* was
369 tested alone and in combination with *S. carpocapsae* and results indicated that all treatments were effective in
370 suppressing emergence of pine weevil adults even at low doses, indicating a potential reduction in cost (Evans *et*

371 al. 2015). In those studies, M. brunneum reduced weevil emergence by about 60%, a much more successful 372 result than observed in Ireland both in our 2013 study and previously by Williams et al. (2013). As the 373 application method in Wales differed to that used in Ireland, an investigation into the effect of application 374 method on treatment efficacy was included in the 2014 field study to see if it might explain the differences in 375 results. In Wales, suspension was applied to the gap between the bark and stump at the top, while in Ireland it 376 was applied to the sides of stumps and surrounding soil. Another study testing different application methods of 377 EPN for pine weevil suppression showed that application on top vs application around the stump can have 378 significant effects on EPN efficacy and that weevil suppression relative to suggested thresholds can be improved 379 by altering the method of EPN application depending on the nematode species (Kapranas et al. 2017b). These 380 two application methods were tested, along with a combination of both methods. However, application method 381 did not have a significant effect on efficacy of EPF against pine weevils. Thus, the difference in efficacy of 382 treatments in this field study in comparison to results from Wales cannot be explained by application method, 383 nor can success of the two EPF species tested in our trials be enhanced by altering application method in this 384 way. In addition, both sets of trials employed the same strain of M. brunneum, but other factors such as tree 385 species, soil type and climatic factors may be at play.

386

387 Fungal parasitism of weevils in relation to depth and distance

388 In 2013, infection rates of *H. abietis* were negatively influenced by depth and distance for all EPF, thus 389 it was harder for EPF to reach weevils further from their site of application, for instance weevils located deep in 390 the roots of stumps. EPF-infected H. abietis were found up to 16 cm above and 25 cm below soil level, again 391 highlighting the advantage of being able to infect weevils further from where the EPF is applied. Williams et al. 392 (2013) reported that applied EPF reached H. abietis at depths of up to 18 cm. In 2014, the significant interaction 393 of EPF species and depth shows that different EPF species behave differently at different depths. Beauvaria 394 caledonica infected a higher proportion of weevils above soil level (at depths >1 cm) while M. brunneum 395 infected a higher proportion of weevils below soil level (at depths >-1cm). This may indicate potential for dual 396 EPF application to control pine weevils, if the EPF are more effective at different depths perhaps together they 397 could target a larger number of weevils, conferring greater control. EPF combinations can have promising 398 results, for example M. flavoviride and B. bassiana have been used in combination to overcome the constraints 399 of temperature in controlling thermoregulating grasshoppers (Inglis et al. 1997).

402 Conclusions

403 The results for EPF in two years' trials reported here together with the three similar eradicant trials 404 reported by Williams et al. (2013) together provide a comprehensive assessment of three EPF, both alone and 405 with EPN. Based on the five years of trials conducted in Ireland to date, we can conclude that strains and 406 methods tested to date do not on their own result in adequate infection or suppression of pine weevils, nor could 407 they be recommended for use in combination with EPN. On the positive side, EPF infected pine weevils at 408 depths of 25 cm below soil level and distances of 25 cm from the bole. Here, we applied EPF in a conventional 409 manner- aqueous suspension of spores applied to the soil/tree surface, relying on the application water, rainfall 410 and possible phoretic hosts to carry them to the weevils developing under the tree bark, including deep below 411 soil level. Considering the difficulties of access to weevils, the level of success achieved in these trials is 412 encouraging and should stimulate a search for superior strains adapted to this environment, and improvement of 413 application methods. For example, Goble et al. (2016) applied microsclerotia of M. brunneum to tree bark in a 414 hydromulch to target a wood-boring pest, the Asian longhorned beetles Anoplophora glabripennis 415 (Motschulsky). The native EPF B. caledonica emerged as a potential candidate for biological control of large 416 pine weevil with efficacy rivalling or surpassing the commercial strains tested. Its use certainly warrants further 417 investigation, but a search for superior strains is also warranted. Our trials and those of Williams et al. (2013) 418 used available examples of three EPF species. As there is considerable intra-specific variation in EPF (Amiri-419 Beshel et al., 2000; Quesada-Moraga & Vey 2003; Ansari & Butt, 2012), there is scope for selecting a strain of 420 fungus that would be better adapted to the pest and habitat than the three tested here. The natural occurrence of 421 diverse species of EPF infecting pine weevils (Williams et al., 2013, van Vlaenderen, Griffin and Meade 422 unpublished; Popowska-Nowak et al., 2016; Wegensteiner et al., 2015) presents a source of potential isolates 423 that may be suited to this purpose, such as the B. caledonica strain used in these field trials. Further research 424 could be directed on alternative methods of application EPN and EPF to the inundation method as the forest 425 industry will require effective non-pesticide methods for weevil control in the near future due to legislation 426 (SUD) and registration challenges.

427

429 References

- 430 Amiri-Besheli, B., Khambay, B., Cameron, S., Deadman, M. L., & Butt, T. M. (2000). Inter-and intra-specific
- 431 variation in destruxin production by insect pathogenic *Metarhizium* spp., and its significance to pathogenesis.
- 432 *Mycological research*, 104, 447-452.
- 433 Anbesse, S. A., Adge, B. J. and Gebru, W. M. (2008) Laboratory screening for virulent entomopathogenic
- 434 nematodes (Heterorhabditis bacteriophora and Steinernema yirgalemense) and fungi (Metarhizium brunneum
- 435 and *Beauveria bassiana*) and assessment of possible synergistic effects of combined use against grubs of the
- 436 barley chafer *Coptognathus curtipennis*', *Nematology*, 10, 701-709.
- 437 Ansari, M. A. and Butt, T. M. (2012) Susceptibility of different developmental stages of large pine weevil
- 438 Hylobius abietis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to entomopathogenic fungi and effect of fungal infection to adult
- 439 weevils by formulation and application methods, *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 111, 33-40.
- Ansari, M. A., Shah, F. A. and Butt, T. M. (2008) Combined use of entomopathogenic nematodes and *Metarhizium brunneum* as a new approach for black vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*, control, *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 129, 340-347.
- Ansari, M. A., Shah, F. A. and Butt, T. M. (2010) The entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema kraussei* and
 Metarhizium brunneum work synergistically in controlling overwintering larvae of the black vine weevil,
- 445 Otiorhynchus sulcatus, in strawberry growbags, Biocontrol Science and Technology, 20, 99-105.
- 446 Ansari, M. A., Shah, F. A., Tirry, L. and Moens, M. (2006) Field trials against *Hoplia philanthus* (Coleoptera:
- Scarabaeidae) with a combination of an entomopathogenic nematode and the fungus *Metarhizium brunneum*CLO 53, *Biological Control*, 39, 453-459.
- 449 Ansari, M. A., Tirry, L. and Moens, M. (2004) Interaction between *Metarhizium brunneum* CLO 53 and 450 entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of *Hoplia philanthus*, *Biological Control*, 31, 172-180.
- 451 Barredo, J. I., Strona, G., Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Stancanelli, G., & San-Miguel-Ayanz, J. (2015) Assessing the
- 452 potential distribution of insect pests: case studies on large pine weevil (*Hylobius abietis* L) and horse-chestnut
- 453 leaf miner (*Cameraria ohridella*) under present and future climate conditions in European forests. *EPPO*454 *Bulletin*, 45, 273-281.
- Brixey, J. M., Moore, R., & Milner, A. D. (2006) Effect of entomopathogenic nematode (*Steinernema carpocapsae Weiser*) application technique on the efficacy and distribution of infection of the large pine weevil

- (Hylobius abietis L.) in stumps of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis Carr.*) created at different times. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 226, 161-172.
- 459 Dillon, A. B., Downes, M. J., Ward, D. and Griffin, C. T. (2007) Optimizing application of entomopathogenic
- 460 nematodes to manage large pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis* L.(Coleoptera: Curculionidae) populations developing

461 in pine stumps, *Pinus sylvestris*, *Biological control*, 40, 253-263.

- 462 Dillon, A. B., Rolston, A. N., Meade, C. V., Downes, M. J. and Griffin, C. T. (2008) Establishment, persistence,
- 463 and introgression of entomopathogenic nematodes in a forest ecosystem, *Ecological Applications*, 18, 735-747.
- 464 Dillon, A.B., Ward, D., Downes, M.J. and C.T. Griffin (2006) Suppression of the large pine weevil Hylobius
- 465 *abietis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in pine stumps by entomopathogenic nematodes with different foraging
- 466 strategies. *Biological Control*, 38, 217–226
- 467 Draganova, S.A., Doychev, D.D., Pilarska, D.K., and Takov, D.I. (2017) Bioassays of Entomopathogenic Fungi
- 468 against Xylophagous Insects in Bulgaria: Laboratory and Field Experiments. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica.*, 69,
 469 411-419.
- Eckard, S., Ansari, M.A., Bacher, S., Butt, T.M., Enkerli, J. and Grabenweger, G. (2014) Virulence of in vivo
 and in vitro produced conidia of *Metarhizium brunneum* strains for control of wireworms, *Crop Protection*, 64,
 137-142.
- 473 Evans, H., McAllister, F., Saunders, T., Moore, R., Jenkins, T., Butt, T., Ansari, M., Griffin, C., Williams, C., 474 Teck, R. and Sweeney, J. (2015)The Impact project guide to Hylobius 475 management.http://www.impactproject.eu/uploads/impact_hylobius_publication.pdf
- 476 Furlong, M. J., & Groden, E. (2001) Evaluation of synergistic interactions between the Colorado potato beetle
- 477 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) pathogen *Beauveria bassiana* and the insecticides, imidacloprid, and cyromazine.
- 478 Journal of Economic Entomology, 94, 344-356.
- Glare, T.R., Reay, S.D., Nelson, T.L., and Moore, R. (2008) *Beauveria caledonica* is a naturally occurring
 pathogen of forest beetles, *Mycological Research*, 112, 352-360
- 481 Goble, T.A., Gardescu, S., Jackson, M.A. and Hajek, A.E. (2016) Evaluating different carriers of *Metarhizium*
- 482 brunneum F52 microsclerotia for control of adult Asian longhorned beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae),
- 483 Biocontrol Science and Technology, 26, 1212-1229.

- 484 Inglis, G. D., Johnson, D. L., Cheng, K. J. and Goettel, M. S. (1997) Use of pathogen combinations to overcome
- the constraints of temperature on entomopathogenic hyphomycetes against grasshoppers, *Biological Control*, 8,
 143-152.
- 487 Inglis, G.D., Goettel, M.S., Butt, T.M. and Strasser, H. (2001) Use of hyphomycetous fungi for managing insect
- 488 pests. In: T.M. Butt, C. Jackson, N. Magan (Eds.), Fungi as Biocontrol Agents: Progress, Problems and
- 489 Potential, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 23-69
- 490 Inward, D. J., Wainhouse, D. and Peace, A. (2012) The effect of temperature on the development and life cycle
- 491 regulation of the pine weevil *Hylobius abietis* and the potential impacts of climate change, *Agricultural and*492 *Forest Entomology*, 14, 348-357.
- 493 Kapranas, A., Malone, B., Quinn, S., Mc Namara, L., Williams, C.D., O'Tuama, P., Peters, A. and Griffin, C.T.,
- 494 (2017a) Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes for control of large pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis*: effects of
- 495 soil type, pest density and spatial distribution. Journal of Pest Science, 90, 495-505.
- Kapranas A, Malone B, Quinn S, O'Tuama P, Peters A & Griffin CT (2017b) Optimizing the application
 method of entomopathogenic nematode suspension for biological control of large pine weevil *Hylobius abietis*. *Biocontrol*, 62, 659–667.
- 499 Lacey, L. A., Grzywacz, D., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Frutos, R., Brownbridge, M. and Goettel, M. S. (2015) Insect
- 500 pathogens as biological control agents: back to the future, *Journal of invertebrate pathology*, 132, 1-41.
- 501 Långström, B. and Day, K. R. (2007) Damage, control and management of weevil pests, especially Hylobius
- 502 abietis. In: Bark and wood boring insects in living trees in Europe, a synthesis. Lieutier, F., Day, K.R., Battisti,
- 503 A., Grégoire, J.-C., Evans, H.F. (Eds.) Springer, 415-444.
- 504 Leather, S.R., Day K.R. and A.N. Salisbury (1999) The biology and ecology of the large pine weevil, *Hylobius*
- 505 *abietis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): a problem of dispersal? *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 89, 3-16.
- 506 Mc Namara, L., Carolan, J.C., Griffin, C.T., Fitzpatrick, D. and Kavanagh, K (2017) The effect of
- 507 entomopathogenic fungal culture filtrate on the immune response of the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella*.
- 508 Journal of Insect Physiology, 100, 82-92.
- 509 Moore, R. (2001) Emergence trap developed to capture adult large pine weevil *Hylobius abietis* (Coleoptera:
- 510 Curculionidae) and its parasite Bracon hylobii (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), Bulletin of entomological research,
- 511 91, 109-115.

512 Morales-Rodriguez, A. and Peck, D. C. (2009) Synergies between biological and neonicotinoid insecticides for 513 the curative control of the white grubs *Amphimallon majale* and *Popillia japonica*, *Biological control*, 51, 169-

514 180.

- 515 Popowska-Nowak, E., Skrzecz, I., Tumialis, D., Pezowicz, E., Samborska, I. and Góral, K. (2016)
 516 Entomopathogenic Fungi in the Soils of Forest Plantations: Towards the Control of Large Pine Weevil,
 517 *Hylobius abietis, Baltic Forestry*, 22, 8-15.
- Purwar, J. P., & Sachan, G. C. (2006) Synergistic effect of entomogenous fungi on some insecticides against
 Bihar hairy caterpillar *Spilarctia obliqua* (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae). *Microbiological research*, 161, 38-42.
- 520 Ormond, E.L., Thomas, A.P.M., Pugh, P.J.A., Pell, J.K. and Roy, H.E. (2010) A fungal pathogen in time and
- space: the population dynamics of *Beauveria bassiana* in a conifer forest, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*,
 74,146–154.
- 523 Quesada-Moraga, E., & Vey, A. (2003) Intra-specific variation in virulence and in vitro production of 524 macromolecular toxins active against locust among *Beauveria bassiana* strains and effects of in vivo and in 525 vitro passage on these factors. *Biocontrol science and technology*, 13, 323-340.
- 526 Reay, S. D., Brownbridge, M., Cummings, N. J., Nelson, T. L., Souffre, B., Lignon, C. and Glare, T. R. (2008)
- 527 Isolation and characterization of *Beauveria* spp. associated with exotic bark beetles in New Zealand *Pinus*
- 528 *radiata* plantation forests, *Biological Control*, 46, 484-494.
- Roy, H. E., Vega, F. E., Chandler, D., Goettel, M. S., Pell, J., and Wajnberg, E. (Eds.). (2010) The ecology of
 fungal entomopathogens. Dordrecht: Springer.
- 531 Scheepmaker, J.W.A. and Butt, T.M. (2010) Natural and released inoculum levels of entomopathogenic fungal
- 532 biocontrol agents in soil in relation to risk assessment and in accordance with EU regulations, *Biocontrol*
- 533 Science and Technology, 20:5, 503-552
- 534 Keller, S. and Schweizer, C. (2007) White grub control in golf courses. *IOBC WPRS Bulletin*, 30, 101-104.
- 535 Shah, F. A., Ansari, M. A., Prasad, M. and Butt, T. M. (2007) Evaluation of black vine weevil (Otiorhynchus
- 536 sulcatus) control strategies using Metarhizium brunneum with sublethal doses of insecticides in disparate
- 537 horticultural growing media, *Biological Control*, 40, 246-252.

- Shah, F. A., Gaffney, M., Ansari, M. A., Prasad, M. and Butt, T. M. (2008) Neem seed cake enhances the
 efficacy of the insect pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium brunneum* for the control of black vine weevil, *Otiorhynuchs sulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), *Biological Control*, 44, 111-115.
- 541 Tan, J.Y., Wainhouse, D., Day, K.R. & Morgan, G. (2010) Flight ability and reproductive development in newly
- 542 emerged pine weevil Hylobius abietis and potential effects of climate change. Agricultural and Forest
- 543 *Entomology*, 12, 427–434.
- Torr, P., Wilson, M.J. and Heritage, S. (2005) Forestry applications. In: Grewal, P.S., Ehlers, R.U., ShapiroIlan, D.J. (Eds.), *Nematodes as Biocontrol Agents*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp. 281–294.
- 546 Wainhouse, D., Boswell, R. and Ashburner, R. (2004) Maturation feeding and reproductive development in 547 adult pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), *Bulletin of entomological research*, 94, 81-87.
- 548 Wakil, W., Yasin, M., and Shapiro-Ilan, D. (2017) Effects of single and combined applications of 549 entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes against *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Olivier). *Scientific Reports* 7, 550 5971.
- Wegensteiner, R., Tkaczuk, C., Balazy, S., Griesser, S., Rouffaud, M.A., Stradner, A., Steinwender, B.M.
 Hager, H., and Papierok, B. (2015) Occurrence of pathogens in populations of *Ips typographus*, *Ips sexdentatus*(Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae) and *Hylobius spp*. (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Curculioninae) from
- Austria, Poland and France, *Acta Protozoologica*, 54, 219–232.
- 555 Williams, C. D., Dillon, A. B., Harvey, C. D., Hennessy, R., Mc Namara, L. and Griffin, C. T. (2013) Control of
- 556 a major pest of forestry, Hylobius abietis, with entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi using eradicant and
- 557 prophylactic strategies, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 305, 212-222.
- 558 Wu, S., Youngman, R. R., Kok, L. T., Laub, C. A. & Pfeiffer, D. G. (2014) Interaction between 559 entomopathogenic nematodes and entomopathogenic fungi applied to third instar southern masked chafer white 560 grubs, *Cyclocephala lurida* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *Biological*
- 561 *Control* 76, 65–73.
- 562

564 Table 1. Treatments in 2013 field trial, giving number of stumps used for erection of emergence traps or for

565 destructive sampling to record locations and stages of infected inse	cts
--	-----

Species	Full dose	Half dose
B. bassiana	10 traps + 20 destructive	
B. caledonica	10 traps + 20 destructive	
M. brunneum	10 traps + 20 destructive	10 traps
S. carpocapsae	10 traps	10 traps
M brunneum + S. carpocapsae		10 traps
Untreated	10 traps + 20 destructive	

⁵⁶⁶

Table 2. Treatments in 2014 field trial, giving number of stumps used for erection of emergence traps or for destructive sampling (dest.) to record locations and stages of infected insects. Agents were applied either in full or half dose. Full dose rates of EPF were applied in each of three manners (to sides and top of stump or to either sides or top only).

Species	Full dose			Half dose
	Sides and top	Sides	Тор	Sides and top
B. caledonica	10 traps + 10 dest.	10 dest.	10 dest.	10 traps
M. brunneum	10 traps + 10 dest.	10 dest.	10 dest.	10 traps
H. downesi	10 traps			10 traps
B. caledonica + H. downesi				10 traps
M. brunneum + H. downesi				10 traps
Control	10 traps + 10 dest.			

571

574 575 576 577 Table 3. Proportion of *Hylobius abietis* infected by fungus (total n, alive and dead, in parentheses) at each stage of the lifecycle present at destructive sampling of stumps treated with each of three species of entomopathogenic fungus

Trial	H. abietis	B. caledonica	M. brunneum	B. bassiana
	stage	treated stumps	treated stumps	treated stumps
2013	Larvae	0.36 (128)	0.24 (81)	0.13 (146)
	Pupae	0.11 (72)	0.14 (52)	0.02 (49)
	Callow adult	0 (3)	0 (3)	0 (18)
	Adults	0 (25)	0 (10)	0.1 (21)
2014	Larvae	0.22 (94)	0.44 (57)	-
	Pupae	0.11 (102)	0.17 (132)	-
	Callow adult	0.16 (19)	0.29 (7)	-
	Adults	0.11(84)	0.06 (86)	-



Figure 1. Mean (\pm SE) number of *Hylobius abietis* emerging in **a.** 2013 and **b.** 2014 field studies. Control: untreated stumps, BB: *Beauveria bassiana* BC: *B. caledonica*, MET: *Metarhizium brunneum*, SC: *Steinernema carpocapsae*, HD: *Heterorhabditis downesi*, Mixed: half rate of each agent and half. Treatments differing from control are indicated by *p<0.05 or **p<0.01, two tailed t-test (2013) or Dunnett's multiple comparison test 2014. Data labels refer to % reduction in emergence relative to control.

589



Figure 2. Proportion of Hylobius abietis that were infected by fungus at time of destructive sampling compared with logistic analysis and using quasi binomially distributed errors (2013 field study). Infection rates differed significantly among different fungal species ($F_{2,55} = 3.29$, P = 0.045). Stumps were treated with *Beauveria* bassiana (BB), B. caledonica (BC), or Metarhizium brunneum (MET). Treatments sharing the same letter are not significantly different.



Figure 3. Proportion of *Hylobius abietis* infected with EPF, relative to a. depth (cm) above (+)/below (-) soil
level and b. distance (cm) from stump, at time of destructive sampling in 2013 field studies. BB: *Beauveria bassiana* BC: *B. caledonica*, MET: *Metarhizium brunneum*. Points show actual data and lines show the fitted
logistic regression models. Points are slightly displaced from x axis for clarity.





Figure 4. *Hylobius abietis* larvae A. alive and B-D in stumps treated with EPF. B *Beauveria caledonica*:
Mycelium encases the cadaver and extends from it as a single rhizomorph, which grew through the bark
(bark removed for clarity). The extended portion seen at the bottom of the picture had emerged through
the bark into the soil. C. *Beauveria bassiana:* hyphal growth covers cadaver and radiates from it, remaining
under the bark and D. *Metarhizium brunneum* with minimal extension of hyphae from cadaver.





Figure 5. Proportion of *Hylobius abietis* that were fungal infected at time of destructive sampling compared with logistic analysis and using quasi binomially distributed errors (2014 field study). Stumps were treated with either *B. caledonica* (BC) or *M. brunneum* (MET). There were no significant differences in weevil infection rates among different treatments (fungal species and application method) ($F_{5,57} = 0.41$, P = 0.838).



Figure 6. Proportion of *Hylobius abietis* infected with EPF, relative to depth (cm) above (+)/below (-) soil level
at time of destructive sampling in 2014 field studies. BC: *Beauveria caledonica*, MET: *Metarhizium brunneum*.
Points show actual data and lines show the fitted logistic regression models. Points are slightly displaced from x
axis for clarity.





Figure 7. Recovery of three entomopathogenic fungi from (a) soil samples (N=80) and (b) bark samples (N=10)
two years after application of the same three fungi to stumps (10 per treatment). Numbers above the bars are
numbers of stumps from which the fungus was recovered.