



LJMU Research Online

Loon, M, Otaye-Ebede, LE and Stewart, J

The paradox of employee psychological well-being practices: An integrative literature review and new directions for research

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8881/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Loon, M, Otaye-Ebede, LE and Stewart, J (2018) The paradox of employee psychological well-being practices: An integrative literature review and new directions for research. International Journal of Human Resource Management. 30 (1). pp. 156-187. ISSN 1466-4399

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>



The paradox of employee psychological well-being practices: An integrative literature review and new directions for research

Journal:	<i>The International Journal of Human Resource Management</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Paper
Keywords:	psychological wellbeing, performance, HR practices, paradox, meta-theory

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **The paradox of employee psychological well-being practices: An integrative literature**
4
5 **review and new directions for research**
6
7
8

9
10 **Abstract**

11 It is well established that many HR practices for employees' psychological wellbeing (PWB)
12 and organisational performance conflict and even contradict one another. We address this
13 long-standing issue by undertaking an innovative integrative literature review using the
14 paradox metatheory as a lens. Unlike the contingency approach, a paradox perspective
15 reflects real-world tensions as normal, which can be harnessed and be beneficial. We make
16 three contributions; firstly, we identify contradictory employee PWB and organisational
17 performance HR practices; secondly, we offer a solution in addressing the inherent tension
18 between PWB and performance by developing a new sensemaking conceptual framework;
19 and for our third contribution we offer a more nuanced perspective by distinguishing
20 endogenous factors that organisations can influence to enhance the synergies between
21 employee PWB and organisational performance HR practices. The intended impact of this
22 paper is to instigate a paradigm shift and shape a new trajectory of thinking about how
23 employee PWB and organisational performance practices can exist side-by-side.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Key words: psychological wellbeing, performance, HR practices, paradox, meta-theory
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Employee-centred HR practices, in particular wellbeing, have gained much interest from scholars and practitioners, especially given their claimed benefits to both staff and the organisation (Guest, 1999). Traditionally, researchers have focused on three dimensions of wellbeing; physiological, psychological and social. Nonetheless, we argue that the psychological dimension of the construct is paramount given the important and central role of mental processes such as perception e.g. Chu, Thorne, and Guite (2004), and ultimately impacting on organisational outcomes (Danna & Griffin, 1999; Hardy, Woods, & Wall, 2003). For example, psychological distress can lead to physical exhaustion and social withdrawal from peers.

Research has also demonstrated that HRM practices lead to positive organisational outcomes (Jackson, Schuler, & Jiang, 2014). However, scholars have recognised the competing, and at times even conflicting, nature of HR practices in supporting both employee psychological wellbeing (PWB) and organisational performance. An emphasis on organisational performance through high-performance work systems (HWPS) (Aryee, Walumbwa, Seidu, & Otake, 2012) leads to work intensification (Combs, Liu, Hall, & Ketchen, 2006), while organisational change may result in the use of provisional practices (Brown, Ainsworth, & Grant, 2012) that create role ambiguity. For example, the introduction of HR practices such as pay-for-performance can lead to adverse effects on employee PWB (S. Park & Sturman, 2016) through increased feelings of rivalry and conflict among colleagues, and anxiety due to the lack of security in remuneration. Given the potential tensions between employee- vs organisational-centric HR practices, it is important to explore and identify employee PWB practices that complement and compete with practices that support organisational performance. This in turn requires a fresh approach in helping HR professionals through this potentially confounding area of practice.

1
2
3 Therefore, the aim of this study is to synthesise existing literature on the paradoxical
4 challenges posed by tensions that exist between employee PWB and organisational
5 performance practices. In doing so, a paradox metatheory will be used to interrogate and
6 integrate literature, and to differentiate between employee PWB practices that complement or
7 compete with practices supporting organisational performance. The paradox metatheory is an
8 appropriate lens as scholars such as Smith and Lewis (2011) argue that paradoxes render the
9 dominant management model of the contingency approach inadequate because management
10 are required to address opposites simultaneously, circumventing the need to choose one over
11 the other or make trade-offs. Unlike the contingency approach, a paradox perspective views
12 tensions as normal, which can be harnessed and be beneficial (Smith & Lewis, 2011).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 This study makes three important contributions. The first contribution of our study is
26 that we are the first to adopt a specific focus on paradoxes between PWB and performance
27 HR practices. The paradox lens has enabled us to comprehensively identify from extant
28 research and literature employee PWB and organisational-performance HR practices that are
29 contradictory with one another. Our second contribution is in addressing the inherent tension
30 between PWB and performance as we develop a new sensemaking conceptual framework
31 that demonstrates how the PWB-performance paradox can be addressed. The intention of this
32 contribution is to instigate a paradigm shift and shape a new trajectory of thinking about how
33 employee PWB and organisational performance practices can exist side-by-side. Finally, for
34 the third contribution, we build upon the work of Guest (2017) who adopted a symbiotic view
35 of PWB practices with organisational performance. Specifically, he argued that the two
36 should not pose a dilemma as the adoption of PWB practices ultimately contribute to
37 organisational performance. Nonetheless, we adopt a more nuanced view as we contend that
38 such opportunities for synergy are context-dependent. For example, new firms can build-in
39 employee PWB into firm performance practices early on and thereby imprinting the firm's
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 practices that coalesces PWB with organisational performance and setting a strong
4
5 precedence for future reference (Marquis & Tilcsik, 2013).
6

7 The next section is a literature review on the paradox metatheory and extant research
8
9 on the psychological dimension of wellbeing. This is followed by a discussion on the
10
11 methodology employed in this integrated literature review. The findings of the review are
12
13 then presented. A discussion follows that includes the development of a conceptual
14
15 framework. Finally, emerging issues within this area are highlighted and directions for future
16
17 research are outlined.
18
19

20 21 22 23 **Underpinning Theory and Literature Review**

24 25 *Paradoxes*

26
27 Schad, Lewis, Raisch, and Smith (2016) define paradox as, '*persistent contradiction between*
28
29 *interdependent elements*' (p. 10), and it is one of many related forms of organisational
30
31 tensions including dilemmas (McGrath, 1982), dualities (A. Smith & Graetz, 2006) and
32
33 dialectics (Seo & Creed, 2002). Paradoxes are both inherent and socially constructed
34
35 (Luscher & Lewis, 2008). Paradox is inherent due to the intrinsic nature of some systems;
36
37 e.g. equality vs equitability; and is also socially constructed due to institutional actors' choice
38
39 of cognitive frames, dialogical mixed messages (Argyris, 1988) and 'functional stupidity' as
40
41 a consequence of a lack of reflexivity (Alvesson and Spicer (2012).
42
43
44

45 While organisational tensions are ubiquitous, many are latent (Luscher & Lewis, 2008).
46
47 However, as change intensifies, such as in today's environment, these dormant tensions start
48
49 to manifest and organisations begin to face many paradoxical challenges. The multiplicity of
50
51 goals inherently invites tension as organisations strive to address competing and even
52
53 opposing needs of stakeholders (Scherer, Palazzo, & Seidl, 2013). In addition, the need to 'do
54
55 more with less' becomes proverbial as scarcity is fueled by the need to satisfy multiple goals.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Change, plurality and scarcity are potent alchemy that promotes paradoxes such as to
4 cooperate and compete (Chung & Beamish, 2010), explore and exploit (Smith, Binns, &
5 Tushman, 2010), and learn and perform (Dobrow, Smith, & Posner, 2011).
6
7

8
9 If paradoxes are not attended to, ambivalence, conflict, chaos and collapse ensue
10 (Schad et al., 2016). Finding a balanced approach is key, as Sundaramurthy and Lewis (2003)
11 argue “*Stressing one polarity exacerbates the need for the other, often sparking defenses,*
12 *impeding learning, and engendering counter-productive reinforcing cycles*” (p. 397).
13
14

15
16 Although the dominant contingency approach offers one response to tensions, it is inherently
17 about choices, which may not be genuinely available when confronted with paradoxes and
18 dualities (Smith & Lewis, 2011). The paradox perspective is about attending to all competing
19 demands at the same time; it views tensions as normal, and they can be functionally exploited
20 (Smith & Lewis, 2011).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 ***Metatheory***

32
33 Tsoukas (1994) proposed that a metatheory is a theory of theories, while Ritzer (1990) states
34 that a metatheory is “*an overarching theoretical perspective*” (p. 3), which is similar to Lewis
35 and Smith’s (2014) construal of metatheory as a ‘theoretical framework’. Qiu, Donaldson,
36 and Luo (2012) suggest that a metatheory is a paradigm, with Hesketh and Fleetwood (2006)
37 arguing that a metatheory is an overriding assumption and that all theory employs
38 metatheory. Tsoukas (1994) contends that a metatheory is particularly useful when there are
39 no acceptable theories of a phenomenon. He further contended that a metatheory can be used
40 as an organising instrument that i) guides the identification of ontological and
41 epistemological principles of a phenomenon, which then ii) bring together divergent
42 perspectives of a phenomenon, which is premised upon various applications. He argues that
43 undertaking the two steps should elucidate the nature of a phenomenon by revealing the
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 relationship between the various perspectives and the scope of the applications of the
4
5 perspectives.
6

7 The metatheory approach has been used in a number of fields within management and
8 organisation studies. For example, Poole and Van de Ven (1989) attempted to develop a
9 metatheory of innovation, while Hesketh and Fleetwood (2006) adopted critical realism as a
10 metatheory in examining the link between HRM and performance. In addition, Jarvensivu
11 and Moller (2009) applied a metatheory of inter-organisational network management, while
12 Chao and Moon (2005) used chaos, complexity, and network theories in building a
13 metatheory to understand the complexity of culture from the perspective of a cultural mosaic.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 ***Paradox as a Metatheory***

25
26
27 Metatheories are effective in helping move beyond an ‘either/ or’ polarisation (Tsoukas,
28 1994). Schad et al. (2016) argue that the plurality and multiplicity in the application of
29 paradox renders it as a metatheory. For example, paradox has been used as a tool for
30 theorising e.g. Dameron and Torset (2014), while others have used paradox as a lens to study
31 relationships in phenomena e.g. Hahn, Preuss, Pinkse, and Figge (2014), with some framing
32 paradox as theory e.g. Smith and Lewis (2011).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Schad et al. (2016) claim that paradox as a metatheory offers a powerful lens as an
41 *explanandum* of tensions, which are ubiquitous in organisations. Lewis and Smith (2014)
42 support this view as a metatheory lens uses the principles of paradox in bridging multiple
43 constructs, theories, context and methodologies as they argue that “...*metatheory is*
44 *unconstrained by particular contexts, variables or methods, rather delineating core elements,*
45 *such as underlying assumptions and central concepts, for a scholarly community”* (p. 129). A
46 paradox metatheory is appropriate here given the complexities that are inherent in the
47 relationship between employees’ PWB and organisational performance. Such a claim is
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 supported by scholars such as Tsoukas (2017) who argue that the ‘complexification’ of
4
5 theory is needed to reflect the complexities in reality.
6
7

8 9 ***HR Practices-Psychological Wellbeing***

10 Psychological wellbeing is a multi-dimensional construct that has been conceptualised in
11
12 various ways by scholars. For example, Ryff (1995) defines it as a representation of wellness,
13
14 which is conceived as “*progressions of continued growth across the life course*” (pg. 99).
15
16 Schmutte and Ryff (1997) define it as “*a general feeling of happiness*” (pg. 551). Similarly,
17
18 Panaccio and Vandenberghe (2009) conceptualise PWB as being characterised by the
19
20 presence of positive affect, the absence of negative affect, job satisfaction and life
21
22 satisfaction. Warr (1990) on the other hand operationalised PWB along three dimensions
23
24 covering satisfaction-dissatisfaction, enthusiasm-depression and comfort –anxiety. According
25
26 to Diener and Suh (1997), PWB may be in the form of thoughts or in the form of affect. This
27
28 is consistent with Ryff and colleagues model of PWB which encompasses six dimensions;
29
30 involving self-acceptance, personal growth, purpose in life, positive relations with others,
31
32 environment mastery, and autonomy (Ryff, 1989a, 1989b; Ryff & Keyes, 1995). In
33
34 considering PWB, a distinction is often made between hedonic (positive emotions) and
35
36 eudemonic (positive functioning) well-being (Guest, 2017). Hedonic wellbeing is typically
37
38 represented by life/ job satisfaction and is seen as driven by the need for rewards/pleasure and
39
40 the avoidance of negative experiences e.g., Ryan and Deci (2001). On the other hand,
41
42 eudaimonic wellbeing provides opportunity for self-expression and is derived from the
43
44 assessment that one’s life situation is meaningful e.g. Ryff and Keyes (1995).
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 PWB has been found to relate with a number of outcomes by scholars. For example.
52
53 Cartwright and Cooper (2008) found that people with higher levels of PWB at work are
54
55 healthier, have happier lives and live longer. Wright and Cropanzano (2000) in their field
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 study, demonstrated that there was a positive relationship between PWB and job
4
5 performance. Similar findings were reported by Robertson, Birch, and Cooper (2012) where
6
7 PWB was found to have incremental value over and above that of positive job and work
8
9 attitudes in predicting self-reported levels of performance. Antecedents of PWB have also
10
11 been examined by scholars, some of which include: transformational leadership e.g. Arnold
12
13 (2017); authentic leadership and attachment insecurity e.g. Rahimnia and Sharifirad (2015);
14
15 perceived organisational support e.g. Panaccio and Vandenberghe (2009); meaningful work
16
17 and perspective taking e.g. Arnold and Walsh (2015); employee perception of HR practices
18
19 e.g. Baluch (2017); emotional intelligence e.g. Carmeli, Yitzhak-Halevy, and Weisberg
20
21 (2009); HPWS e.g. Heffernan and Dundon (2016).
22
23
24

25 These results reflect the increasing interest in PWB in scholarly research. Recent
26
27 arguments suggest that given the changes in work conditions such as the influx of
28
29 information technology, financial unsettlement, economic, political and global upheavals etc.,
30
31 in order for organisations to increase their performance, they first have to take into
32
33 consideration their employee wellbeing (c.f. Guest, 2017). Employers have therefore focused
34
35 on implementing practices that could foster employee PWB. These practices, mainly within
36
37 the remit of HR management, oftentimes pose paradoxical challenges to the organisation
38
39 because of the contradictions that exist between those that positively influence PWB and
40
41 those that focus on increasing organisational performance at the expense of PWB. Therefore,
42
43 building on the work of Guest (2017) and as noted above, we aim to interrogate and
44
45 synthesise literature to differentiate between HR employee PWB practices that complement
46
47 or compete with practices supporting organisational performance and change using the
48
49 paradox metatheory lens.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

HR Practices-High Performance

While there is a claimed link between HR practices promoting PWB and positive impact on organisation performance, there is a separate and distinct thread of research within what is referred to as Strategic HRM, which focuses on utilising HR practices to improve organisation performance (Combs et al., 2006). These performance focused HR practices have been coined under various terminologies such as high performance work systems (HPWS), high involvement management (HIM), and high commitment management, with scholars mostly examining relationships between what are referred to as HPWP and organisational outcomes (Kinnie, Swart, & Purcell, 2005). HPWP research pays little attention to potential effects of identified practices on individual employees, including their PWB (Guest, 2017).

Organisational outcomes associated with HPWP include increased job satisfaction, lower employee turnover, higher productivity, better decision-making, increased efficiency, and greater flexibility. These outcomes all help improve organisational performance (Combs et al., 2006). The main HR practices associated with HPWP include training and development; incentive compensation; selection; employee participation and flexible work arrangements (Combs et al., 2006). Each of these has some potential for impacting PWB, either positively, negatively, or both. For example, employee participation can have positive impact on PWB. However, the effects may vary across different occupational groups (Kinnie, et al., 2005), with members of some groups experiencing participation as empowering while others experience anxiety. It is reasonable to assume that there will also be individual differences within occupational groups. Similarly with incentive compensation, which may be experienced as positive and as negative by different groups and individuals. Negative experience of incentive compensation is likely to lead to anxiety and have a negative impact on PWB. It is therefore clear that HR practices associated with HPWP may be detrimental to

1
2
3 employees' PWB and, through that effect, have negative consequences for organisation
4
5 performance.
6
7

8 9 **Methodology**

10 We used two of the most commonly used databases in management studies; Scopus and Web
11 of Science (Klang, Wallnöfer, & Hacklin, 2014). The search process first involved the
12 primary topic of the study, using the Boolean terms 'health' OR 'well-being'. Using the
13 database's inbuilt filters, we then limited the articles to those in English in the field of
14 psychology and business management. By selecting only journal articles we adopted the
15 viewpoint of Podsakoff, Mackenzie, Bacharach, and Podsakoff (2005) who argued that such
16 periodicals represent validated knowledge. We then progressively searched within each
17 return set with the Boolean terms 'Employee' OR 'Workplace', followed by 'Organi*ational
18 Performance' and 'Human Resource *', using wildcards to broaden the search. Scopus had
19 106 returns, while Web of Science recorded 54. Sixty-eight articles were used for the study.
20 Table 1 shows the steps taken in the literature search and selection process.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 [Table 1 near here]
37

38 To ensure that the articles were relevant and contributed to the attainment of the study's
39 aim, we screened the articles for relevance and suitability. For example, articles were
40 excluded for five primary reasons; i) themes involved examining HR practices in improving
41 organisational performance in health-care organisations, ii) focus on PWB with cursory or no
42 mention of HR practices, iii) focus on HR practices with little or no relevance to PWB; or, in
43 relation to performance iv) articles emphasised the constructs e.g. organisational
44 commitment, with only cursory reference to PWB, and v) articles focused on detriments on
45 employee PWB in the context of personality and personal factors e.g. ethnicities. Table 2
46 shows that most of the papers reviewed were quantitative studies.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[Table 2 near here]

The articles were reviewed and evaluated using the paradox metatheory lens. While an analytical framework was developed prior to the analysis, we adopted a reflexive approach and adapted the framework to fit with the data as new perspectives emerged. The synthesis that then follows from the literature review offers a new conceptual framework (Torraco, 2016) and perspective of employee PWB and organisational performance practices.

Findings

The findings are organised into four parts. The first highlights the variability in the conceptualisation of employee PWB. The second part identifies the PWB practices that are paradoxical to organisational performance, while the third highlights the ‘mutual-gains’ PWB practices with organisational performance i.e. ‘win-win’ scenario. Finally, the fourth part outlines the contexts for mutual-gains model of PWB practices on organisational performance.

Conceptualising Employee PWB

Our analysis of the literature revealed that authors conceptualised PWB broadly, including job satisfaction e.g. Boxall and Macky (2014); diversity management e.g., Guillaume, Dawson, Otaye-Ebede, Woods, and West (2017); happiness and job involvement e.g. Huang, Ahlstrom, Lee, Chen, and Hsieh (2016); as the inverse of emotional exhaustion e.g. Shantz, Arevshatian, Alfes, and Bailey (2016); as a degree of depressiveness e.g. Stengård, Bernhard-Oettel, Näswall, Ishäll, and Berntson (2015); as the inverse of burnout e.g. van Mierlo, Rutte, Vermunt, Kompier, and Doorewaard (2006); and as within the continuum anxiety-contentment e.g. Wood and de Menezes (2011). Given the broad conceptualisation of PWB, many HR practices can be construed as directly or indirectly supporting employee PWB. For example, although ‘challenging jobs’ is usually part of performance-enhancing initiative, it

1
2
3 may be conceived as an employee PWB practice in enhancing job satisfaction, although
4
5 challenging job assignments in turn may also be perceived as stressful and cause self-doubt
6
7 (Šarotar Žižek, Treven, & Čančer, 2015). Some jobs are inherently stressful, for instance,
8
9 customer-facing staff performing emotional labour can experience distress as they feel
10
11 estranged from their true self (Sloan, 2008).
12
13

14 15 16 *Paradoxical PWB Practices*

17
18 Some authors found that although HPWS may instigate employees' higher levels of
19
20 satisfaction and greater intrinsic rewards from their work, employees, at the same time, may
21
22 experience greater anxiety and more intense work rhythms, increased workloads and strains
23
24 that ultimately act as a barrier to high performance (Decramer et al., 2015; Van De Voorde &
25
26 Beijer, 2015). An unintended consequence of the introduction and on-going demands of high
27
28 involvement management (HIM), rather than create an increased sense of coherence or a
29
30 feeling of being valued by the organisation (therefore increasing PWB) (Wood & de
31
32 Menezes, 2011), lead workers to question the organisation's valuation of them and the
33
34 comprehensibility and meaningfulness of what surrounds them (Wood, Van Veldhoven,
35
36 Croon, & de Menezes, 2012). Research shows a nuanced picture in that performance-related
37
38 practices involving enriched jobs can be complementary with employee PWB but at the same
39
40 time their performance-related practices i.e. HIM, are counter-effective to employee PWB
41
42 (Fan et al., 2014). Such inconsistent results extend to the practice of performance appraisal,
43
44 as it can be either motivating or demotivating. Its effectiveness is highly contextual,
45
46 dependent on how it is designed and implemented e.g. de Koeijer, Paauwe, & Huijsman,
47
48 (2014). Additionally, participative management has been shown to be negatively associated
49
50 with employee social well-being (Boreham, Povey, & Tomaszewski, 2016), while,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 remarkably, empowering leadership practices have no significant impact on PWB (J. G. Park,
4 Kim, Yoon, & Joo, 2017) (see Appendix 1 for summary of findings).
5
6

7 Job resources e.g. autonomy and discretion are paradoxical with high efficiency
8 practices and business models such as just-in-time (JIT) and total quality management (TQM)
9 as they may impede productivity (Schabracq & Cooper, 1997). Other practices such as
10 quantitative flexibility, which is generally advantageous for organisations, is detrimental to
11 employees in terms of job security (Schabracq & Cooper, 1997). Although it is not surprising
12 that practices that support work systems such as lean may enhance organisational
13 performance but may be detrimental to employees' PWB e.g. Townsend & Wilkinson (2010),
14 it is nonetheless counter intuitive that enhancing employees' job resources to enable them to
15 better cope with such work systems can also be to employee psychological detriment. There
16 are elements in job design to build-in autonomy to enhance PWB (R. Park & Searcy, 2012)
17 such as flexible working arrangements (FWA). FWA not only increases job autonomy but it
18 can also increase work life balance (WLB) (Rudolph & Baltes, 2017) and therefore PWB
19 (Boreham et al., 2016). However, other research revealed that FWA could lead to insecurity
20 (Lange, 2013) with some scholars identifying more nuanced relationship necessitating FWA/
21 WLB practices to be coupled with effective team design to be effective (Liu & Wang, 2011).
22 Figure 1 illustrates the paradoxical PWB practices with practices supporting organisational
23 performance.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 [Figure 1 near here]

46
47 Other PWB practices that may have counter impact are employee communication
48 practices enabling participation and involvement. The positive impact of such practices is
49 limited as through the passage of time staff may feel the practices become invasive and
50 stressful (Cañibano, 2013). The need to accommodate 'more' communication may also
51 impede the speed of decision-making (Boxall & Macky, 2010). Further PWB practices aimed
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 at enhancing collegial relationships with co-workers can be undermined by competitive
4 organisational climate supported by individual-based competitive reward schemes (Reio &
5 Ghosh, 2009), which confuses staff at best and causes frustration and withdrawal of extra-
6 role behaviours at worst. The impact of some PWB practices may need further research as
7 some practices do not result in the envisaged impact e.g. health and wellness practices on
8 mitigating turnover (Caillier, 2016) or enhancing work-life balance (Bui, Liu, & Footner,
9 2016).

20 21 *Mutual Gains PWB-Performance Practices*

22 Literature also shows practices that generally provide a win-win scenario for employee PWB
23 and organisational performance. Learning and development (L&D) practices tend to have an
24 overall positive impact (Reio & Ghosh, 2009) especially if they cater for employees'
25 professional and personal development (Kira & Balkin, 2014). While most L&D practices are
26 directed at maximising employees' abilities (e.g. talent management) to increase job
27 involvement and ultimately performance (Spreitzer, Sutcliffe, Dutton, Sonenshein, & Grant,
28 2005), such practices can also help improve performance by enabling employees to better
29 cope with stress e.g. enhancing emotional intelligence e.g. Karimi, Cheng, Bartram, Leggat,
30 & Sarkeshik, 2015. van Mierlo et al. (2006) found that practices that stimulate learning
31 mitigate the effects of work intensification. L&D practices that stimulate individuals through
32 task design can reduce emotional exhaustion and thereby increase PWB (Rudolph & Baltes,
33 2017).

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Other 'functional' HR practices include recruitment and selection involving hiring staff
50 with spiritual vitality and ethical character, tailoring compensation and benefits packages to
51 provide equitable pay scales tends (Wright, 2010), and widening and improving employee
52 engagement and voice practices to understand employees' PWB needs as well as to convey
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 organisational performance requirements that ultimately allows the discovery of mutual gains
4 thresholds e.g. Conway, Fu, Monks, Alfes, & Bailey (2016). PWB schemes, including
5 occupational health and safety, such as counselling to improve PWB, can reduce absenteeism
6 and therefore improve performance and general wellbeing e.g. Ogunyomi & Bruning (2016).
7 Tsai and Wu (2010) argue that such interventions help create a climate that promotes
8 organisational citizenship behaviours as employees become more satisfied with their job. HR
9 practices that enrich jobs through the creative design of tasks stimulate employees and
10 thereby increase commitment and performance e.g. Mihail & Kloutsiniotis (2016). Other
11 PWB practices to enhance the work environment include investing in physical infrastructure
12 (ergonomics, safety) (Sadatsafavi & Walewski, 2013), and ‘situational engineering’ involving
13 techniques designed to alter physical work environments (Wright, 2010).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 *Context for Mutual Gains*

31
32 Clearly there are many contingent factors that enable PWB and organisational performance
33 practices to exist in harmony (Ogbonnaya, Daniels, Connolly, & van Veldhoven, 2017) such
34 as age of employees (Kooij et al., 2013) and national culture (Malek, Mearns, & Flin, 2010),
35 In addition to these exogenous factors that organisation’s may not be able to influence, there
36 are however endogenous factors that can be shaped such as focusing on innovation and
37 creating a positive organisational climate without using work intensification practices
38 (Heffernan & Dundon, 2016), and establishing selection practices aimed at recruiting
39 employees that have high organisation and/or job-person fit (Mostafa, 2016).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Employee perceptions are a strong antecedent of the impact of HR practices for PWB
50 and performance e.g. Shantz et al. (2016). For example, change in organisational logics e.g.
51 public service to for-profit enterprise, may cause employees to perceive changes in HR
52 practices with suspicion e.g. Townsend & Wilkinson (2010). Such a situation is typified in
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 many universities where financial performance is highly prized (including surrogates such as
4 student recruitment and research funding) above other social goals. Employees' perception of
5 organisational support is also crucial. For example, Zhang, Zhu, Dowling, & Bartram (2013)
6 found that employees who perceive the relationship with their employers as an economic
7 exchange will in turn view HR practices for high performance as exploitive. Whereas
8 employees that perceive the relationship as a social exchange will in turn view HR practices
9 for high performance as a win-win situation in favour of both employees' well-being and
10 organisational performance. Employees' perception of organisational justice (distributive,
11 procedural and interactional) also has a strong impact on the effects of PWB and
12 organisational performance practices. Toh, Morgeson, and Campion (2008) showed that
13 paradoxes may not emerge if HR practices are consistent with organisational values, in
14 particular when organisational values view employees' PWB as synonymous with
15 organisational performance e.g. Sadatsafavi & Walewski, (2013).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Many 'progressive' organisations will claim that a host of PWB practices are inscribed
33 in policy. However, it is how the practices are introduced and implemented that counts e.g.
34 Woodrow & Guest (2014). The implementation of practice can be improved with effective
35 communication focusing on the manner in which HR policy and intended outcomes of HR
36 practices shared (Sparks et al., 2001). Van De Voorde and Beijer (2015) suggest that line
37 managers need to effectively convey the purpose of HPWS practices to improve employees'
38 attribution of the practice. Wood and de Menezes (2011) propose that organisations
39 communicate clear-cut outcomes, in particular related to enriching jobs, by enhancing
40 consultation and improving information sharing. In addition, there are some practices that
41 line managers can implement to buffer the impact of performance attributions such as
42 implementing stress management programmes. Line managers therefore play an important
43 role e.g. how well they adopt and balance HR-related roles i.e. 'Employee Champion' and
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ‘Strategic Partner’ (Shipton, Sanders, Atkinson, & Frenkel, 2016). It is equally crucial that
4
5 managers are well trained to implement participative leadership e.g. Metz, Brown, Cregan, &
6
7 Kulik (2014).
8
9

10 11 **Discussion**

12
13
14 The notion that some PWB practices may conflict with organisational performance may not
15
16 be new as the fabric of modern organisations themselves are counter to employee PWB
17
18 (O’Donohue & Nelson, 2014). Nonetheless, it is important that organisations are equipped to
19
20 deal with paradoxes as they occur as no one organisations will have ‘perfect’ circumstances
21
22 befitting both PWB and organisational performance. Smith and Lewis (2011) argue that a
23
24 paradoxical perspective requires management to address opposites simultaneously,
25
26 circumventing the need to choose one over the other or make trade-offs. Ultimately they
27
28 suggest that the solution to paradoxes demands “...*creative sensemaking*...” (p. 395). This
29
30 section discusses how management are able to address paradoxical issues due to PWB and
31
32 organisational performance practices through sensemaking.
33
34
35

36
37 Sensemaking is homologous to ‘organising’ in that it aims at restoring cognitive order
38
39 (Sandberg & Tsoukas, 2015). Sensemaking occurs in both immanent conditions and times of
40
41 crisis. The genesis of sensemaking varies: it occurs when reality simply does not match
42
43 ‘theory-in-use’ (Schwandt, 2005); when an individual ‘feels’ something is not right (Weick,
44
45 2006); there is a presence of disruptive ambiguities, crisis and disasters (Weick, Sutcliffe, &
46
47 Obstfeld, 2005); threats to identity, epiphanies and even planned changes (Maitlis &
48
49 Lawrence, 2007). Our conceptual framework (Figure 2) uses sensemaking as an approach in
50
51 addressing the PWB-performance paradox, specifically building upon Luscher and Lewis’
52
53 (2008) work, that demonstrates how effective managerial sensemaking helped firms to
54
55 address paradoxical challenges in times of change. The framework has five stages; mess,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 problem, dilemma, paradox, and achieving. Organisations move through the stages via four
4
5 activities; evidence-based enquiry, multiple perspective taking, double loop learning, and
6
7 reframing.
8

9
10 [Figure 2 near here]

11 A mess is a complicated situation and may occur when organisations attempt to balance
12
13 a firm-wide performance-orientated approach by employing PWB policies, without
14
15 consideration of existing policies; for example when work-life balance policies contradict
16
17 performance management indicators (Ackoff, 1993). A difficult situation may deteriorate into
18
19 a ‘mess’ as HR are unable to reconcile policies to reflect senior managements’ intentions,
20
21 resulting in line managers implementing the policies inconsistently. Confusion therefore sets
22
23 in. To gain clarity, evidence-based enquiry is used as it encourages the specification of
24
25 outcomes (symptoms) and potential root causes that contribute to the problematic situation
26
27 (Rousseau & McCarthy, 2007). This approach helps to verify that the issues are genuine and
28
29 not mere misunderstandings. For example, line managers may point out where the
30
31 inconsistencies lie in written policies in providing opportunities for employee autonomy but
32
33 at the same time having to adhere to strict standard operating procedures.
34
35
36
37

38 As the situation becomes clearer, people are able to identify the discrete problems.
39
40 Problems are difficulties that a person or one party faces (D'Zurilla, Nezu, & Maydeu-
41
42 Olivares, 2004). However, when aggregated and viewed collectively, the problematic
43
44 situation appears severe. A technical approach to problem solving is inadequate as solving
45
46 one problem may exacerbate another e.g. ‘shifting the burden’. For example, line managers
47
48 may adopt a contingent ‘if-then’ approach, but this may in fact undermine the reason for the
49
50 PWB policies as employees feel there is a lack of procedural justice. To address this
51
52 situation, multiple perspective taking must be adopted, involving eliciting and understanding
53
54 the view point of others (Grant & Berry, 2011). This approach helps in gaining a more
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 complete picture of the situation; i.e. ‘connecting the dots’; which identifies that there are
4
5 competing and even contradictory views. For example, while employees acknowledge that
6
7 some wellbeing policies such as FWA may not always be for altruistic reasons, they believe
8
9 that the policy in itself has benefits even though its ‘returns’ are long term orientated, which
10
11 is in contrast to management’s view who may believe that wellbeing is important but for
12
13 short-term, instrumental reasons.
14
15

16 Dilemma sets in as people in an organisation feel ‘stuck’ as the options available are
17
18 polarities that have both advantages and disadvantages (Aguinis, Gottfredson, & Joo, 2013).
19
20 When one polarity is favoured, adverse outcomes transpire. For example, with a conviction
21
22 that challenging jobs lead to learning for performance, management are intent in
23
24 ‘challenging’ employees to learn but this stresses employees as they may not be able to cope
25
26 with the challenges and feel that they have to constantly show that they have ‘learned’, which
27
28 is not always demonstrable. Double loop learning is a helpful aid in this situation as it
29
30 involves questioning one’s own beliefs and way of thinking (Argyris, 2002). Questions that
31
32 arise from a double loop learning process may include ‘why is there a dilemma in the first
33
34 place?’, ‘is this dilemma self-imposed as we take things for granted?’ For example, through
35
36 double loop learning management may appreciate that psychological safety is crucial as
37
38 people tend to be vulnerable when they learn, especially when stretched doing challenging
39
40 jobs, and that management must learning is not always a predictable process (Abubakar &
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 collaboration. In addition, managers may view that an emphasis on wellbeing practices such
4
5 as work-life balance undermine performance-related initiatives as it gives employees excuses
6
7 for not 'seeing out the work' even after completing their mandatory working hours for that
8
9 day. Reframing allows organisations to address paradoxes as it helps to change one's
10
11 interpretive framework (Maitlis, 2005), which provides a coherent configuration of
12
13 assumptions, rules, and boundaries (Bartunek, 1984). For example, the reframing of a
14
15 paradoxical situation allows managers to view such a situation not as one that is debilitating
16
17 but as a catalyst for creativity in new ways of doing things. Reframing enables managers and
18
19 employees to accept that paradoxes do not go away but workarounds nevertheless can be
20
21 identified and developed (e.g. such as adopting this sensemaking framework).
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Conclusion and Future Research**

28
29 By adopting a specific focus on paradoxes between PWB and performance HR practices, we
30
31 make our first contribution by comprehensively identifying employee PWB and
32
33 organisational-performance HR practices that are contradictory with one another. Recent
34
35 research has established that HR practices have varying effects on different occupational
36
37 groups, and also unpredictable impact through inconsistent implementation by line managers
38
39 (Kinnie, et al., 2005). There is also the potential for confusion, and contradiction, across HR
40
41 practices. While it is well established that HR practices in relation to organisational
42
43 performance are more likely to have positive impact when 'bundled', there is still a tendency
44
45 to introduce a single practice for a single purpose. One example is FWA to support PWB.
46
47 This can ignore the impact in other areas, especially organisation performance. This is in part
48
49 a cause of confusion through contradictory objectives; e.g. is FWA primarily to promote
50
51 PWB at the expense of performance? Or, if FWA is introduced to promote organisation
52
53 performance by reducing employment costs, is this at the expense of PWB? These questions
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lead to our first contention here. This is that such questions will be at the heart of future
4
5 research and concern in professional practice (Guest, 2017). The focus will be on how to
6
7 reconcile the apparent contradictions.
8

9
10 In addressing this issue, we offer our second contribution by developing a new
11
12 sensemaking conceptual framework that demonstrates how the PWB-performance paradox
13
14 can be addressed. The underlying contention is that there is a need to reframe the apparent
15
16 contradiction as a paradox. Contradictions are conceived as problems. In contrast, paradoxes
17
18 are conceived as natural occurrences in social systems, and as opportunities for positive
19
20 learning and change. Our central argument is that future research needs to adopt the concept
21
22 of paradox as a metatheory to analyse and understand how HR practices can complement
23
24 rather than contradict each other. The conceptual framework in Figure 2 is our contribution to
25
26 enabling and supporting future research into HR practice, which promote both PWB and high
27
28 performance.
29
30

31
32 Finally, we offer our third contribution by identifying contexts for mutual gains as we
33
34 build upon the work of Guest (2017) who adopted a symbiotic view of PWB practices with
35
36 organisational performance. Specifically, we complement his work by adopting a more
37
38 nuanced perspective in identifying endogenous factors that organisations can influence to
39
40 enhance the synergies put forth by Guest (2017). Our contribution chimes with the work of
41
42 some authors; e.g. Torre (2012); who have suggested that empirical studies on the effects of
43
44 HPWS on psychological PWB is mixed, and therefore a 'sceptical view' is appropriate given
45
46 the paradoxical nature of the relationship and the near-impossibility in categorically
47
48 attributing the impact of HWPS on PWB. For example, workers involved in HPWS may
49
50 register higher levels of satisfaction and greater intrinsic rewards from their work, but at the
51
52 same time they may experience greater anxiety and more intense work rhythms. Authors
53
54 suggest a causal chain approach be adopted as an analytical approach as the impact of HR
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 practices is complex with many latent and mediating factors e.g. Ang et al. (2017). Torre
4
5 (2012) argues that a more tailored approach is required as the context in which the practices
6
7 are introduced and the needs of the individual must be considered. The conclusion of the
8
9 study is also consistent with other findings related to communication and perceptions of
10
11 employees on HR practices e.g. Shuck and Reio (2014).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

- Abubakar, A. M., & Arasli, H. (2016). Dear top management, please don't make me a cynic: intention to sabotage. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(10), 1266-1286.
- Ackoff, R. L. (1993). The art and sciences of mess management. In C. Mabey & B. Mayon-White (Eds.), *Managing Change*. London: PCP.
- Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., & Joo, H. (2013). Avoiding a "me" versus "we" dilemma: Using performance management to turn teams into a source of competitive advantage. *Business Horizons*, 56(4), 503-512.
- *Alfes, K., Shantz, A., & Truss, C. (2012). The link between perceived HRM practices, performance and well-being: The moderating effect of trust in the employer. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 22(4), 409-427.
- Alvesson, M., & Spicer, A. (2012). A stupidity-based theory of organizations. *Journal of Management Studies*, 49(7), 1194-1220.
- *Ang, S. H., Cavanagh, J., Southcombe, A., Bartram, T., Marjoribanks, T., & McNeil, N. (2017). Human resource management, social connectedness and health and well-being of older and retired men: the role of Men's Sheds. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(14), 1986-2016.
- Argyris, C. (1988). Crafting a theory of practice: The case of organizational paradoxes. In R. E. Quinn & K. S. Cameron (Eds.), *Paradox and Transformation: Towards a Theory of Change in Organization and Management* (pp. 255-278): Balinger.
- Argyris, C. (2002). Double-loop learning, teaching, and research. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 1(2), 206-218.
- Arnold, K. A. (2017). Transformational leadership and employee psychological well-being: A review and directions for future research. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 381-393.

1
2
3 Arnold, K. A., & Walsh, M. M. (2015). Customer incivility and employee well-being: testing
4
5 the moderating effects of meaning, perspective taking and transformational leadership.

6
7 *Work & Stress*, 29(4), 362-378.

8
9
10 Aryee, S., Walumbwa, F. O., Seidu, E. Y. M., & Otaye, L. E. (2012). Impact of high-
11
12 performance work systems on individual- and branch-level performance: Test of a
13
14 multilevel model of intermediate linkages. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(2), 287-300.

15
16 doi:10.1037/a0025739

17
18 Baluch, A. M. (2017). Employee perceptions of HRM and well-being in nonprofit
19
20 organizations: Unpacking the unintended. *International Journal of Human Resource*
21
22 *Management*, 28(14), 1912-1937.

23
24
25 Bartunek, J. M. (1984). Changing interpretive schemes and organizational restructuring: The
26
27 example of a religious order. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 29, 355–372.

28
29
30 *Böckerman, P., Bryson, A., & Ilmakunnas, P. (2012). Does high involvement management
31
32 improve worker wellbeing? *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 84(2), 660-
33
34 680.

35
36
37 *Boreham, P., Povey, J., & Tomaszewski, W. (2016). Work and social well-being: the impact
38
39 of employment conditions on quality of life. *International Journal of Human Resource*
40
41 *Management*, 27(6), 593-611.

42
43 *Boxall, P., Hutchison, A., & Wassenaar, B. (2015). How do high-involvement work
44
45 processes influence employee outcomes? An examination of the mediating roles of skill
46
47 utilisation and intrinsic motivation. *International Journal of Human Resource*
48
49 *Management*, 26(13), 1737-1752.

50
51
52 Boxall, P., & Macky, K. (2010). High-performance work systems and employee well-being
53
54 in New Zealand. In *Beyond Skill: Institutions, Organisations and Human Capability* (pp.
55
56 127-153): Palgrave Macmillan.

- 1
2
3 *Boxall, P., & Macky, K. (2014). High-involvement work processes, work intensification and
4 employee well-being. *Work Employment and Society*, 28(6), 963-984.
5
6
7 Brown, A. D., Ainsworth, S., & Grant, D. (2012). The Rhetoric of Institutional Change.
8
9 *Organization Studies*, 33(3), 297-321.
10
11 *Bui, H. T. M., Liu, G., & Footner, S. (2016). Perceptions of HR practices on job motivation
12 and work-life balance: Mixed drives and outcomes in a labor-intensive sector.
13
14 *International Journal of Manpower*, 37(6), 1004-1023.
15
16
17 *Caillier, J. G. (2016). Does satisfaction with family-friendly programs reduce turnover? A
18 panel study conducted in U.S. federal agencies. *Public Personnel Management*, 45(3),
19 284-307.
20
21
22
23
24 *Cañibano, A. (2013). Implementing innovative HRM: Trade-off effects on employee well-
25 being. *Management Decision*, 51(3), 643-660.
26
27
28 Carmeli, A., Yitzhak-Halevy, M., & Weisberg, J. (2009). The relationship between emotional
29 intelligence and psychological wellbeing. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 24(1), 66-
30 78.
31
32
33
34
35
36 Cartwright, S., & Cooper, C. L. (Eds.). (2008). *The Oxford Handbook of Personnel*
37
38 *Psychology*. USA: Oxford University Press.
39
40
41 *Carvalho, V. S., & Chambel, M. J. (2014). Work-to-family enrichment and employees'
42 well-being: High performance work system and job characteristics. *Social Indicators*
43 *Research*, 119(1), 373-387.
44
45
46
47 *Chan, S. C. H., & Mak, W. M. (2012). High performance human resource practices and
48 organizational performance: The mediating role of occupational safety and health. *Journal*
49 *of Chinese Human Resources Management*, 3(2), 136-150.
50
51
52
53
54 Chao, G. T., & Moon, H. (2005). The cultural mosaic: A metatheory for understanding the
55 complexity of culture. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(6), 1128-1140.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Chu, A., Thorne, A., & Guite, H. (2004). The impact on mental well-being of the urban and
4
5 physical environment: An assessment of the evidence. *Journal of Public Mental Health*,
6
7 3(2), 17-32.
8
9
10 Chung, C. C., & Beamish, P. W. (2010). The trap of continual ownership change in
11
12 international equity joint ventures. *Organization Science*, 21(5), 995–1015.
13
14 Combs, J., Liu, Y. M., Hall, A., & Ketchen, D. (2006). How much do high-performance work
15
16 practices matter? A meta-analysis of their effects on organizational performance.
17
18 *Personnel Psychology*, 59(3), 501-528.
19
20
21 *Conway, E., Fu, N., Monks, K., Alfes, K., & Bailey, C. (2016). Demands or resources? The
22
23 relationship between HR practices, employee engagement, and emotional exhaustion
24
25 within a hybrid model of employment relations. *Human Resource Management*, 55(5),
26
27 901-917.
28
29
30 D'Zurilla, T. J., Nezu, A. M., & Maydeu-Olivares, A. (2004). Social problem solving: Theory
31
32 and assessment. In E. Chang, T. J. D'Zurilla, & L. J. Sanna (Eds.), *Social Problem*
33
34 *Solving: Theory, Research, and Training*. Washington DC: American Psychological
35
36 Association.
37
38
39 Dameron, S., & Torset, C. (2014). The discursive construction of strategists' subjectivities:
40
41 Towards a paradox lens on strategy. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(2), 291–319.
42
43 Danna, K., & Griffin, R. W. (1999). Health and well-being in the workplace: A review and
44
45 synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Management*, 25, 357–384.
46
47
48 *de Koeijer, R. J., Paauwe, J., & Huijsman, R. (2014). Toward a conceptual framework for
49
50 exploring multilevel relationships between Lean Management and Six Sigma, enabling
51
52 HRM, strategic climate and outcomes in healthcare. *International Journal of Human*
53
54 *Resource Management*, 25(21), 2911-2925.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Decramer, A., Audenaert, M., Van Waeyenberg, T., Claeys, T., Claes, C., Vandevelde, S., . .
4
5 . Crucke, S. (2015). Does performance management affect nurses' well-being? *Evaluation*
6
7 *and Program Planning*, 49, 98-105.
8
9
10 Diener, E., & Suh, E. (1997). Measuring quality of life: Economic, social, and subjective
11
12 indicators. *Social Indicators Research*, 40(1), 189-216.
13
14 Dobrow, S. R., Smith, W. K., & Posner, M. A. (2011). Managing the grading paradox:
15
16 Leveraging the power of choice in the classroom. *Academy of Management Learning &*
17
18 *Education*, 10(2), 261–276.
19
20
21 Downey, S. N., van der Werff, L., Thomas, K. M., & Plaut, V. C. (2015). The role of
22
23 diversity practices and inclusion in promoting trust and employee engagement. *Journal of*
24
25 *Applied Social Psychology*, 45(1), 35-44.
26
27
28 *Edgar, F., Geare, A., Zhang, J. A., & McAndrew, I. (2015). Mutual gains or conflicting
29
30 outcomes? How HRM benefits professionals. *International Journal of Manpower*, 36(8),
31
32 1248-1265.
33
34
35 *Fan, D., Cui, L., Zhang, M. M., Zhu, C. J., Härtel, C. E. J., & Nyland, C. (2014). Influence
36
37 of high performance work systems on employee subjective well-being and job burnout:
38
39 Empirical evidence from the Chinese healthcare sector. *International Journal of Human*
40
41 *Resource Management*, 25(7), 931-950.
42
43
44 *Giebels, E., & Janssen, O. (2005). Conflict stress and reduced well-being at work: The
45
46 buffering effect of third-party help. *European Journal of Work and Organizational*
47
48 *Psychology*, 14(2), 137-155.
49
50 Grant, A. M., & Berry, J. W. (2011). The necessity of others is the mother of invention:
51
52 Intrinsic and prosocial motivations, perspective taking, and creativity. *Academy of*
53
54 *Management Journal*, 54(1), 73-96.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Grant, A. M., Christianson, M. K., & Price, R. H. (2007). Happiness, health, or
4 relationships? Managerial practices and employee well-being tradeoffs. *Academy of*
5 *Management Perspectives*, 21, 51-63.
6
7
8
9
10 Guest, D. E. (1999). Human resource management the workers' verdict. *Human Resource*
11 *Management Journal*, 9, 5-25.
12
13
14 *Guest, D. E. (2017). Human resource management and employee well-being: Towards a
15 new analytic framework. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(1), 22–38.
16
17
18 *Guillaume, Y. R. F., Dawson, J. F., Otaye-Ebede, L., Woods, S. A., & West, M. A. (2017).
19 Harnessing demographic differences in organizations: What moderates the effects of
20 workplace diversity? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(2), 276-303.
21
22
23
24
25 Hahn, T., Preuss, L., Pinkse, J., & Figge, F. (2014). Cognitive frames in corporate
26 sustainability: Managerial sensemaking with paradoxical and business case frames.
27 *Academy of Management Review*, 39(4), 463–487.
28
29
30
31
32 Hardy, G. E., Woods, D., & Wall, T. D. (2003). The impact of psychological distress on
33 absence from work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 306–314.
34
35
36 *Heffernan, M., & Dundon, T. (2016). Cross-level effects of high-performance work systems
37 (HPWS) and employee well-being: The mediating effect of organisational justice. *Human*
38 *Resource Management Journal*, 26(2), 211-231.
39
40
41
42
43 Hesketh, A., & Fleetwood, S. (2006). Beyond measuring the human resources management-
44 organizational performance link: Applying critical realist meta-theory. *Organization*,
45 13(5), 677-699.
46
47
48
49 *Holland, P. J., Allen, B. C., & Cooper, B. K. (2013). Reducing burnout in Australian nurses:
50 The role of employee direct voice and managerial responsiveness. *International Journal of*
51 *Human Resource Management*, 24(16), 3146-3162.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Huang, L. C., Ahlstrom, D., Lee, A. Y. P., Chen, S. Y., & Hsieh, M. J. (2016). High
4 performance work systems, employee well-being, and job involvement: an empirical
5 study. *Personnel Review*, 45(2), 296-314.
6
7
8
9 *Ilies, R., Schwind, K. M., & Heller, D. (2007). Employee well-being: A multilevel model
10 linking work and nonwork domains. *European Journal of Work and Organizational*
11 *Psychology*, 16(3), 326-341.
12
13
14 Jackson, S. E., Schuler, R. S., & Jiang, K. (2014). An aspirational framework for strategic
15 human resource management. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1), 1-56.
16
17
18 Jarvensivu, T., & Moller, K. (2009). Metatheory of network management: A contingency
19 perspective. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 38(6), 654-661.
20
21
22 *Joo, B. K., Park, J. G., & Lim, T. (2016). Structural determinants of psychological well-
23 being for knowledge workers in South Korea. *Personnel Review*, 45(5), 1069-1086.
24
25
26 *Karimi, L., Cheng, C., Bartram, T., Leggat, S. G., & Sarkeshik, S. (2015). The effects of
27 emotional intelligence and stress-related presenteeism on nurses' well-being. *Asia Pacific*
28 *Journal of Human Resources*, 53(3), 296-310.
29
30
31
32 Kinnee, N. J., Swart, J., & Purcell, J. (2005). Influences on the choice of HR system: The
33 network organization perspective. *The International Journal of Human Resource*
34 *Management*, 16(6), 1004-1028.
35
36
37 *Kira, M., & Balkin, D. B. (2014). Interactions between work and identities: Thriving,
38 withering, or redefining the self? *Human Resource Management Review*, 24(2), 131-143.
39
40
41 Klang, D., Wallnöfer, M., & Hacklin, F. (2014). The business model paradox: A systematic
42 review and exploration of antecedents. *International Journal of Management Reviews*,
43 16(4), 454-478.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Kooij, D. T., Guest, D. E., Clinton, M., Knight, T., Jansen, P. G., & Dikkers, J. S. (2013).
4
5 How the impact of HR practices on employee well-being and performance changes with
6
7 age. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 23(1), 18-35.
8
9
10 *Lange, T. (2013). Scarred from the past or afraid of the future? Unemployment and job
11
12 satisfaction across European labour markets. *International Journal of Human Resource*
13
14 *Management*, 24(6), 1096-1112.
15
16 Lewis, M. W., & Smith, W. K. (2014). Paradox as a metatheoretical perspective: Sharpening
17
18 the focus and widening the scope. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 50(2), 127
19
20 -149.
21
22
23 Liu, N. C., & Wang, C. Y. (2011). Searching for a balance: Work-family practices, work-
24
25 team design, and organizational performance. *International Journal of Human Resource*
26
27 *Management*, 22(10), 2071-2085.
28
29
30 Luscher, L. S., & Lewis, M. W. (2008). Organizational change and managerial sensemaking:
31
32 Working through paradox. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(2), 221-240.
33
34
35 Maitlis, S. (2005). The social processes of organizational sensemaking. *Academy of*
36
37 *Management Journal*, 48(1), 21-49.
38
39
40 Maitlis, S., & Lawrence, T. B. (2007). Triggers and enablers of sensegiving in organizations.
41
42 *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 57-84.
43
44 *Malek, M. D. A., Mearns, K., & Flin, R. (2010). Stress and psychological well-being in UK
45
46 and Malaysian fire fighters. *Cross Cultural Management-an International Journal*, 17(1),
47
48 50-61.
49
50
51 Marquis, C., & Tilcsik, A. (2013). Imprinting: Towards a multilevel theory. *The Academy of*
52
53 *Management Annals*, 7(1), 193-243.
54
55
56 McGrath, J. (1982). Dilemmatics: The study of research choices and dilemmas. In J.
57
58 McGrath (Ed.), *Judgment Calls in Research* (pp. 69-80). Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Metz, I., Brown, M., Cregan, C., & Kulik, C. T. (2014). "Toxin handling" and well-being:
4
5 The case of the human resources manager. *European Journal of Work and Organizational*
6
7 *Psychology*, 23(2), 248-262.
8
- 9
10 *Michie, S., & West, M. A. (2004). Managing people and performance: an evidence based
11
12 framework applied to health service organizations. *International Journal of Management*
13
14 *Reviews*, 5-6(2), 91-111.
15
- 16 *Mihail, D. M., & Kloutsiniotis, P. V. (2016). The effects of high-performance work systems
17
18 on hospital employees' work-related well-being: Evidence from Greece. *European*
19
20 *Management Journal*, 34(4), 424-438.
21
- 22 Mihail, D. M., & Kloutsiniotis, P. V. (2016). Modeling patient care quality: an empirical
23
24 high-performance work system approach. *Personnel Review*, 45(6), 1176-1199.
25
26
- 27 *Mostafa, A. M. S. (2016). High-Performance HR Practices, Work Stress and Quit Intentions
28
29 in the Public Health Sector: Does person–organization fit matter? *Public Management*
30
31 *Review*, 18(8), 1218-1237.
32
33
- 34 *O'Donohue, W., & Nelson, L. (2014). Alienation: An old concept with contemporary
35
36 relevance for human resource management. *International Journal of Organizational*
37
38 *Analysis*, 22(3), 301-316.
39
40
- 41 *Ogbonnaya, C., Daniels, K., Connolly, S., & van Veldhoven, M. (2017). Integrated and
42
43 isolated impact of high-performance work practices on employee health and well-being: A
44
45 comparative study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(1), 98-114.
46
47
- 48 *Ogunyomi, P., & Bruning, N. S. (2016). Human resource management and organizational
49
50 performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria. *International Journal of*
51
52 *Human Resource Management*, 27(6), 612-634.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Panaccio, A., & Vandenberghe, C. (2009). Perceived organizational support, organizational
4
5 commitment and psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Vocational*
6
7 *Behavior, 75*(2), 224-236.
8
9
10 *Park, J. G., Kim, J. S., Yoon, S. W., & Joo, B. K. (2017). The effects of empowering
11
12 leadership on psychological well-being and job engagement The mediating role of
13
14 psychological capital. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 38*(3), 350-367.
15
16
17 *Park, R., & Searcy, D. (2012). Job autonomy as a predictor of mental well-being: The
18
19 moderating role of quality-competitive environment. *Journal of Business and Psychology,*
20
21 *27*(3), 305-316.
22
23 Park, S., & Sturman, M. C. (2016). Evaluating form and functionality of pay-for-performance
24
25 plans: The relative incentive and sorting effects of merit pay, bonuses, and long-term
26
27 incentives. *Human Resource Management, 55*(4), 697-719. doi:10.1002/hrm.21740
28
29
30 *Pas, B., Peters, P., Doorewaard, H., Eisinga, R., & Lagro-Janssen, T. (2011). Feminisation
31
32 of the medical profession: A strategic HRM dilemma? The effects of family-friendly HR
33
34 practices on female doctors' contracted working hours. *Human Resource Management*
35
36 *Journal, 21*(3), 285-302.
37
38
39 Podsakoff, P. M., Mackenzie, S. B., Bacharach, D. G., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2005). The
40
41 influence of management journals in the 1980s and 1990s. *Strategic Management Journal,*
42
43 *26*, 473–488.
44
45
46 Poole, M. S., & Van de Ven, A. H. (1989). Toward a general theory of innovation processes.
47
48 In A. Van de Ven, H. Angle, & M. S. Poole (Eds.), *Research on the Management of*
49
50 *Innovation* (pp. 637-662). New York: Harper & Row.
51
52
53 Qiu, J., Donaldson, L., & Luo, B. N. (2012). The benefits of persisting with paradigms in
54
55 organizational research. *Academy of Management Perspectives, 26*(1), 93-104.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Rahimnia, F., & Sharifirad, M. S. (2015). Authentic leadership and employee well-being: The
4
5 mediating role of attachment insecurity. *Journal of Business Ethics, 132*(2), 363-377.
6
7 *Reio, T. G., & Ghosh, R. (2009). Antecedents and outcomes of workplace incivility:
8
9 Implications for human resource development research and practice. *Human Resource*
10
11 *Development Quarterly, 20*(3), 237-264.
12
13
14 Ritzer, G. (1990). Metatheorizing in sociology. *Sociological Forum, 5*(1), 3–15.
15
16 Robertson, I. T., Birch, A. J., & Cooper, C. L. (2012). Job and work attitudes, engagement
17
18 and employee performance: Where does psychological well-being fit in? *Leadership &*
19
20 *Organization Development Journal, 33*(3-4), 224-232.
21
22
23 Rousseau, D. M., & McCarthy, S. (2007). Educating managers from an evidence-based
24
25 perspective. *Academy of Management Learning & Education, 6*(1), 84-101.
26
27
28 Rudolph, C. W., & Baltes, B. B. (2017). Age and health jointly moderate the influence of
29
30 flexible work arrangements on work engagement: Evidence from two empirical studies.
31
32 *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(1), 40-58.
33
34
35 Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research
36
37 on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*(1), 141-166.
38
39
40 Ryff, C. D. (1989a). Beyond Ponce de Leon and life satisfaction: New directions in quest of
41
42 successful ageing. *International Journal of Behavioral Development, 12*(1), 35-55.
43
44
45 Ryff, C. D. (1989b). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of
46
47 psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*(6), 1069-
48
49 1081.
50
51
52 Ryff, C. D. (1995). Psychological well-being in adult life. *Current Directions in*
53
54 *Psychological Science, 4*(4), 99-104.
55
56
57 Ryff, C. D., & Keyes, C. L. M. (1995). The structure of psychological well-being revisited.
58
59 *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*(4), 719-727.
60

- 1
2
3 *Sadatsafavi, H., & Walewski, J. (2013). Corporate sustainability: The environmental design
4 and human resource management interface in healthcare settings. *Herd-Health*
5
6 and human resource management interface in healthcare settings. *Herd-Health*
7
8 *Environments Research & Design Journal*, 6(2), 98-118.
- 9
10 Sandberg, J., & Tsoukas, H. (2015). Making sense of the sensemaking perspective: Its
11 constituents, limitations, and opportunities for further development. *Journal of*
12
13 *Organizational Behavior*, 36, S6-S32.
- 14
15
16 *Šarotar Žižek, S., Treven, S., & Čančer, V. (2015). Employees in Slovenia and their
17 psychological well-being based on Ryff's model of psychological well-being. *Social*
18
19 *Indicators Research*, 121(2), 483-502.
- 20
21
22
23 *Schabracq, M. J., & Cooper, C. L. (1997). Flexibility of labor, well-being, and stress.
24
25
26 *International Journal of Stress Management*, 4(4), 259-274.
- 27
28 Schad, J., Lewis, M. W., Raisch, S., & Smith, W. K. (2016). Paradox Research in
29
30 Management Science: Looking Back to Move Forward. *Academy of Management Annals*,
31
32 10(1), 5-64.
- 33
34 Scherer, A. G., Palazzo, G., & Seidl, D. (2013). Managing legitimacy in complex and
35
36 heterogeneous environments: Sustainable development in a globalized world. *Journal of*
37
38 *Management Studies*, 50(2), 259–284.
- 39
40 Schmutte, P. S., & Ryff, C. D. (1997). Personality and well-being: Reexamining methods and
41
42 meanings. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73(3), 549-559.
- 43
44
45 Schwandt, D. R. (2005). When managers become philosophers: Integrating learning with
46
47 sensemaking. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 4(2), 176-192.
- 48
49 Seo, M. G., & Creed, W. E. D. (2002). Institutional contradictions, praxis and institutional
50
51 change: A dialectical perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 222–247.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Shantz, A., Arevshatian, L., Alfes, K., & Bailey, C. (2016). The effect of HRM attributions
4 on emotional exhaustion and the mediating roles of job involvement and work overload.
5
6 *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(2), 172-191.
7
8
9
10 *Shipton, H., Sanders, K., Atkinson, C., & Frenkel, S. (2016). Sense-giving in health care:
11 the relationship between the HR roles of line managers and employee commitment.
12
13 *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(1), 29-45.
14
15
16 *Shuck, B., & Reio, T. G. (2014). Employee engagement and well-being: A moderation
17 model and implications for practice. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*,
18 21(1), 43-58.
19
20
21
22
23 *Sloan, M. M. (2008). Emotion management and workplace status: Consequences for well-
24 being. *International Journal of Work Organisation and Emotion*, 2(3), 236-255.
25
26
27 Smith, A., & Graetz, F. (2006). Organizing dualities and strategizing for change. *Strategic*
28 *Change*, 15(5), 231-239.
29
30
31
32 Smith, W. K., Binns, A., & Tushman, M. L. (2010). Complex business models: Managing
33 strategic paradoxes simultaneously. *Long Range Planning*, 43, 448-461.
34
35
36 Smith, W. K., & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium
37 model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 381-403.
38
39
40
41 *Sparks, K., Faragher, B., & Cooper, C. L. (2001). Well-being and occupational health in the
42 21st century workplace. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 74(4),
43 489-509.
44
45
46
47 *Spreitzer, G., Sutcliffe, K., Dutton, J., Sonenshein, S., & Grant, A. M. (2005). A socially
48 embedded model of thriving at work. *Organization Science*, 16(5), 537-549.
49
50
51
52 *Stengård, J., Bernhard-Oettel, C., Näswall, K., Ishäll, L., & Berntson, E. (2015).
53 Understanding the determinants of well-being and organizational attitudes during a plant
54 closure: A Swedish case study. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 36(4), 611-631.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Stormer, F. (2008). The logic of contingent work and overwork. *Relations Industrielles-*
4 *Industrial Relations*, 63(2), 343-361.
5
6
7 Sundaramurthy, C., & Lewis, M. W. (2003). Control and collaboration: Paradoxes of
8 governance. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3), 397–415.
9
10
11 *Toh, S. M., Morgeson, F. P., & Campion, M. A. (2008). Human resource configurations:
12 Investigating fit with the organizational context. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(4),
13 864-882.
14
15
16
17
18 Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing integrative literature reviews: Using the past and present to
19 explore the future. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(4), 404-428.
20
21
22
23 vTorre, E. D. (2012). High performance work systems and workers' well-being: A sceptical
24 view. *International Journal of Work Innovation*, 1(1), 7-23.
25
26
27
28 *Townsend, K., & Wilkinson, A. (2010). Managing under pressure: HRM in hospitals.
29 *Human Resource Management Journal*, 20(4), 332-338.
30
31
32 *Tsai, Y. F., & Wu, S. W. (2010). The relationships between organisational citizenship
33 behaviour, job satisfaction and turnover intention. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(23-24),
34 3564-3574.
35
36
37
38 Tsoukas, H. (1994). What is management? An outline of a metatheory. *British Journal of*
39 *Management*, 5(4), 289-301.
40
41
42
43 Tsoukas, H. (2017). Don't simplify, complexify: From disjunctive to conjunctive theorizing
44 in organization and management studies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 54(2), 132-153.
45
46
47
48 *Van De Voorde, K., & Beijer, S. (2015). The role of employee HR attributions in the
49 relationship between high-performance work systems and employee outcomes. *Human*
50 *Resource Management Journal*, 25(1), 62-78.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Van Veldhoven, M. (2012). Employee well-being and
4 the HRM-organizational performance relationship: A review of quantitative studies.
5 *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 14(4), 391-407.
6
7
8
9
10 *van Mierlo, H., Rutte, C., Vermunt, J., Kompier, M., & Doorewaard, J. (2006). Individual
11 autonomy in work teams: The role of team autonomy, self-efficacy, and social support.
12 *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 15(3), 281-299.
13
14
15
16 Warr, P. (1990). The measurement of well-being and other aspects of mental health. *Journal*
17 *of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 63(3), 193-210.
18
19
20
21 Weick, K. E. (2006). Faith, evidence, and action: Better guesses in an unknowable world.
22 *Organization Studies*, 27(11), 1723-1736.
23
24
25 Weick, K. E., Sutcliffe, K. M., & Obstfeld, D. (2005). Organizing and the process of
26 sensemaking. *Organization Science*, 16(4), 409-421.
27
28
29
30 *Wood, S., & de Menezes, L. M. (2011). High involvement management, high-performance
31 work systems and well-being. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*,
32 22(7), 1586-1610
33
34
35
36 *Wood, S., Van Veldhoven, M., Croon, M., & de Menezes, L. M. (2012). Enriched job
37 design, high involvement management and organizational performance: The mediating
38 roles of job satisfaction and well-being. *Human Relations*, 65(4), 419-445.
39
40
41
42
43 *Woodrow, C., & Guest, D. E. (2014). When good HR gets bad results: Exploring the
44 challenge of HR implementation in the case of workplace bullying. *Human Resource*
45 *Management Journal*, 24(1), 38-56.
46
47
48
49 *Wright, T. A. (2010). Much more than meets the eye: The role of psychological well-being
50 in job performance, employee retention and cardiovascular health. *Organizational*
51 *Dynamics*, 39(1), 13-23.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Wright, T. A., & Cropanzano, R. (2000). Psychological well-being and job satisfaction as
4
5 predictors of job performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 84-94.
6

7 *Zacharatos, A., Hershcovis, M. S., Turner, N., & Barling, J. (2007). Human resource
8
9 management in the North American automotive industry: A meta-analytic review.
10
11 *Personnel Review*, 36(2), 231-254.
12

13
14 *Zhang, M. Q., Zhu, C. J., Dowling, P. J., & Bartram, T. (2013). Exploring the effects of
15
16 high-performance work systems (HPWS) on the work-related well-being of Chinese
17
18 hospital employees. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(16),
19
20 3196-3212.
21
22

23
24
25 *part of sample reviewed
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tables and Figures

Table 1: Literature search and screening steps

Steps	Database	
	Scopus	Web of Science
Terms used: Health OR Well-Being	3,858,734	1,557,881
Limit to fields: Psychology and Business Management, and English and Articles only	217,234	34,744
Terms used: Employee OR Workplace	18,507	30,092
Term used to search within previous search result set 'Organi*ational Performance'	778	139
Term used to search within previous search result set: 'Human Resource *'	106	54
Merged set	160	
Duplicates removed	140	
Review of abstracts to determine relevance of articles:	93	
Final number of articles used in analysis	68	

Table 2: Type of paper reviewed

Field of study		% of 68 articles
Empirical	Quantitative	67.6
	Qualitative	2.9
	Multi-method	4.4
Conceptual/ Review		17
Total		100%

Figure 1: Illustration of the paradox between HR PWB and HR performance-orientated practices





Figure 2: Conceptual framework: Sensemaking approach in addressing PWB-performance HR practices paradoxes



*Adapted from Luscher and Lewis (2008)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60