

LJMU Research Online

McIntyre, JC, Wickham, S, Barr, B and Bentall, RP

Social Identity and Psychosis: Associations and Psychological Mechanisms

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/9040/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

McIntyre, JC, Wickham, S, Barr, B and Bentall, RP (2017) Social Identity and Psychosis: Associations and Psychological Mechanisms. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 44 (3). pp. 681-690. ISSN 0586-7614

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@limu.ac.uk

Appendix A

Sampling Procedure for Study 1

The NIHR CLAHRC NWC survey was conducted to provide a baseline assessment to support the development and evaluation of area-based interventions that promote health and wellbeing. These interventions had not taken place at the time of the survey and are not directly relevant to the present research. The sampling procedure, however, partially reflects this objective. A random probability sample was taken from 10 high deprivation intervention areas, 10 matched comparator high deprivation areas and 8 low-deprivation areas. Each area had a population of approximately 10,000 people and the majority of areas were defined by electoral ward boundaries. The areas were selected by local authority representatives based on the following considerations: population size (5,000-10,000 people), level of disadvantage (as measured via Index of Multiple Deprivation), coherent shared identity, and infrastructure for policy delivery. Three times as many addresses as was required to achieve the target sample for each area were randomly selected using the postcode address file. Sample targets were 200 for the intervention areas, 150 for the high-deprivation comparator areas, and 100 for the lowdeprivation areas. The sample targets were met within a 5% tolerance. Participants were excluded from completing the survey if they were less than 18 years of age.