



LJMU Research Online

Edmunds, C, Post, RJ, Wilding, CS and Rae, R

A survey investigating the diversity and distribution of entomopathogenic nematodes in the UK and the first confirmed UK record of *Steinernema carpocapsae*

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/9487/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Edmunds, C, Post, RJ, Wilding, CS and Rae, R (2018) A survey investigating the diversity and distribution of entomopathogenic nematodes in the UK and the first confirmed UK record of *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *Nematology*. 20 (9). pp. 851-858. ISSN 1568-5411

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1

2 **The diversity and distribution of entomopathogenic nematodes in**
3 **the United Kingdom and the first confirmed UK record of**
4 ***Steinernema carpocapsae***

5

6 Cassandra Edmunds, Rory J. Post, Craig S. Wilding and Robbie Rae*

7

8 Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool, L3 3AF. United Kingdom

9

10 *Corresponding author: r.g.rae@ljmu.ac.uk, +44 (0)151 231 2486

11

12 Running head: EPNs found in the U.K.

13 **Abstract**

14 Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) of the families Steinernematidae and
15 Heterorhabditidae are lethal parasites of insects that have been commercialised as biological
16 control agents to provide protection against several pestiferous species in agriculture and
17 forestry. EPNs have been isolated from across the world but the current distribution and
18 diversity of EPNs found in the U.K. is poorly understood. To remedy this we collected 518
19 soil samples from a diverse range of habitats across the U.K. and baited them with *Galleria*
20 *mellonella* to isolate EPNs. Dead *G. mellonella* were placed in White traps and emergent
21 EPNs underwent DNA barcoding analyses. From the 518 collected soil samples, 3.5% were
22 positive for EPNs. No species of *Heterorhabditis* were found, but we found seven isolates of
23 *Steinernema glaseri*, one isolate of *S. feltiae*, eight isolates of *S. affine* and two isolates of *S.*
24 *carpocapsae*. This was the first confirmed record of *S. carpocapsae* in the U.K.

25

26 **Keywords**

27 *Steinernema carpocapsae*, *S. glaseri*, *S. feltiae*, *S. affine*, *Heterorhabditis*, Lundy Island,
28 entomopathogenic nematodes

29

30 Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) of the genera *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis*
31 are lethal pathogens of hundreds of insect species (Laumond, 1979; Georgis et al., 2006;
32 Grewal et al., 1994). They have been formulated into biological control agents for use against
33 insect pests in agriculture and forestry (Campos-Herrera, 2015) including *Diaprepes*
34 *abbreviatus*, *Otiorynchus sulcatus*, *Thrips* spp., *Delia radicum*, *Phyllopertha horticola*,
35 *Cydia pomonella* and several other Dipteran and Lepidopteran larvae (Georgis et al., 2006).
36 Nematodes are applied to soil where they are attracted to cues exuded from insects including
37 host derived odorants, carbon dioxide and faeces (Dillman et al., 2012; Hallem et al., 2011,
38 Grewal et al., 1993). They then penetrate through the mouth, spiracles and anus (Dowds &
39 Peters, 2002) where they then release their symbiotic bacteria (*Xenorhabdus* for *Steinernema*
40 and *Photorhabdus* for *Heterorhabditis*), which kills insects in 24-48 hours (Forst et al., 1997).
41 The bacteria produce a selection of toxins, haemolysins and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) that
42 are responsible for killing the insect host (Chaston et al., 2011), and the nematodes feed on
43 the proliferating bacteria. When the food source is depleted they arrest development at dauer
44 stage and go in search of more potential insect hosts in the soil. Their lethality, effectiveness
45 in the field and the mass production technology (Shapiro-Ilan & Gaugler, 2002) make them
46 excellent alternatives to chemical control (Campos-Herrera, 2015).

47 EPNs have been isolated worldwide with more than 95 species of *Steinernema* and 16
48 species of *Heterorhabditis* described so far (Hunt & Nguyen, 2016). There have been many
49 surveys over the past few decades looking at EPN diversity and distribution including recent
50 surveys of Thailand (Thanwisai et al., 2012) and New Zealand (Ali & Wharton 2017). Over
51 the last 30 years there have been several surveys of EPNs in Scotland, England, Wales and
52 Northern Ireland (Boag et al., 1992; Blackshaw, 1988; Homininck & Briscoe, 1990a,b;
53 Gwynn & Richardson, 1996), though no recent surveys have been conducted. These surveys
54 have produced mixed results in terms of success of isolating EPNs. For example, Boag et al.

55 (1992) sampled Scotland in 40 x 40 km grids and achieved a return rate of approximately
56 2.2% from their sampling regime finding only *Steinernema feltiae* and a nematode they
57 suspected was *S. carpocapsae*. However, Homininck & Briscoe (1990a,b) had a higher
58 success rate with 48% of samples being positive for finding EPNs such as *S. bibionis*, an
59 unidentified *Steinernema* sp. and an unidentified *Heterorhabditis* sp. There were several
60 factors that may contribute to this difference in success rate, one of which may be the
61 modifications to the standard method of isolating EPNs, the *Galleria mellonella* trap method
62 (Glazer & Lewis 2000). For example, some studies baited soil samples with *G. mellonella*
63 only once (Griffin et al., 1991) but others tried two times (consecutively) and at two different
64 temperatures (Homininck & Briscoe, 1990a,b). Also some studies used *Tenebrio molitor*
65 instead of *G. mellonella* (Boag et al., 1992), which may affect the numbers and species of
66 EPNs isolated. As there have been mixed results discovering what EPN species are present in
67 the U.K., and the last survey was over 20 years ago (Gwynn & Richardson, 1996), we
68 decided to carry out a survey of the biodiversity and distribution of the U.K. EPN fauna by
69 collecting soil samples and baiting them with *G. mellonella*, followed by using White traps to
70 grow any potential EPNs. Identification of species used standard genotyping procedures.

71

72 **Materials and Methods**

73 **Collection of soil samples from across the U.K.**

74 In total 518 soil samples were collected across the U.K. (Fig 1A; Supplementary Fig
75 1). The collection sites were chosen to get a broad distribution across the U.K. including a
76 wide range of habitats, land use and soil types (e.g. deciduous forest, coastal, salt marsh,
77 urban environments, improved grassland and livestock farmland) as described by Joint
78 Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey (JNCC, 2010)

79 and using the UKSO Soils map viewer (NERC, 2017). Between target land uses and habitats,
80 a random drive method was employed, as described by Homininck & Briscoe (1990a,b), to
81 cover as much area as possible. Each soil sample (300-500 g) was removed using a hand-
82 trowel to a depth of 10-15 cm. At the time of each collection, GPS coordinates and a
83 photograph were taken, and land use, soil type and any other distinguishing habitat
84 information were recorded.

85 In addition to mainland U.K. fine scale sampling of the island of Lundy was
86 conducted (n = 46 soil samples), which had never been previously sampled for EPNs. Lundy
87 Island is a small, flat topped, granite island situated 18 km from the coast of North Devon
88 with the Atlantic Ocean on its west side and the Bristol Channel on its east. It is a small
89 island extending to 5 km long and 1 km wide with an area of 345 ha (JNCC 2017). A
90 patchwork of different habitats covers the top of the island including open heathland, rough
91 and improved grassland, patches of moorland with acidic bogs and pools and rare waved
92 heathland to the north of the island (JNCC, 2017). Samples were taken based on a grid
93 system covering the whole of the island and were collected between September 2014 and July
94 2015.

95

96 **Isolation of EPNs using baiting with *G. mellonella* as bait**

97

98 Once soil samples were transported back to the laboratory they were placed into non-
99 airtight plastic boxes (10 x 8 x 5 cm) and each box was baited with six late instar *G.*
100 *mellonella* and maintained at room temperature (15-20°C) (Glazer & Lewis, 2000). Every 48
101 hours any dead or moribund *G. mellonella* were removed, rinsed with distilled water and
102 placed into individual White traps (White, 1927). This process was repeated every 2-3 days

103 for 22 days until all *G. mellonella* were removed from the box. A second bait was performed
104 using the same temperature regime with the same soil samples after 22 days.

105

106 **Molecular identification of EPN species**

107

108 Any nematodes that were found en-masse in the surrounding water of the White trap
109 after 28 days were identified using molecular analysis. Between 30-50 dauer juveniles were
110 placed in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and their DNA extracted using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and
111 Tissue DNA extraction kit following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Nematode
112 DNA was then used for PCR amplification of the 18S rRNA gene for species identification
113 (Blaxter et al., 1998) using the primers SS18U (5'-AAAGATTAAGCCATGCATG-3') and
114 SS26R (5'-CATTCTTGGCAAATGCTTTCG-3'). The PCR cycling conditions were as
115 follows: 94 °C for 5 mins, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min
116 and then 72 °C for 7 mins. To aid differentiation between *Steinernema* species, PCR of the
117 internal transcribed spacer regions ITS-1, 5.8 and ITS-2 using primers TW81 (5'-
118 GTTTCCGTAGGTGAACCTGC-3') and AB28 (5'-ATATGCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGT-3')
119 was performed (Spiridonov et al., 2004). Successful amplification of both genes was then
120 checked using gel electrophoresis and PCR products purified using a Thermo Scientific
121 GeneJET PCR Purification Kit. Amplicons were sequenced in both directions by GATC
122 (Constance, Germany). Sequences were manually checked and edited and used to identify to
123 species level using a BLASTN search in NCBI database (Altschul 1990).

124

125 **Results**

126 **Identification of EPNs from soil samples from around the U.K.**

127

128 From 518 soil samples collected across the length and breadth of the U.K. (Fig 1A;
129 Supplementary Fig 1), 18 (3.5%) were positive for EPNs (Fig 1B; Supplementary Fig 1). The
130 18 individual sites had four different species of EPN from the genus *Steinernema* including
131 eight isolates of *S. affine*, seven isolates of *S. glaseri*, one of *S. feltiae* and two of *S.*
132 *carpocapsae* (Fig 1B; Table 1). The sampling sites that contained EPNs were predominantly
133 from rural, sparsely populated settings, the only exception was one isolate of *S. feltiae*, which
134 was found in an urban car park. No *Heterorhabditis* spp. were isolated from the soil samples
135 despite numerous samples being taken from habitats where *Heterorhabditis* spp. had
136 previously been detected in the UK such as sandy coastal areas (Homininck et al., 1995).

137 The majority of the EPNs were found in the south west of England in the counties of
138 Devon and Cornwall (Fig 1B; Table 1). In total ten out of the 18 samples were found there
139 with representatives of three *Steinernema* species (*S. glaseri*, *S. carpocapsae* and *S. affine*).
140 However, no *S. feltiae* were found. The habitat types where EPNs were found in this area
141 were diverse including moorland, grassland and farmland, variously consisting of loam and
142 peat soils. The other eight EPN isolates were sporadically found across the U.K., but with a
143 tendency for positive samples to be more common in the northern parts of the U.K.
144 *Steinernema affine* was found in Northern Ireland, Scotland and northern England.
145 *Steinernema glaseri* was isolated near Whitby and Scarborough as well as near Oxford. The
146 only isolate of *S. feltiae* was found in northern England near Alnmouth from loam soil
147 collected near a car park. Interestingly, there have been no confirmed records of *S.*
148 *carpocapsae* from the U.K. before, but we isolated two samples, both from the south west of
149 England. The island of Lundy also harboured EPNs as we found one rich loam sample from

150 farmland had a single isolate of *S. glaseri*.

151

152 **Identification of other nematode species**

153

154 Only 18 (3.5%) of the soil samples had EPNs present, however another 155 (29.9%)
155 of all the soil samples had other nematode species from a variety of trophic groups present.
156 The genera identified were: Acrobeloides (104 isolates), Aphelenchoides (3), Aphelenchus (8),
157 Cervidellus (1), Choriohabditis (2), Chiloplacus (6), Mesorhabditis (1), Oscheius (2),
158 Panagrolaimus (2), Pelodera (2), Phasmarhabditis (1), Pristionchus (15) and Rhabditis (8).
159 In some cases two nematode species were found in one sample, including a soil sample that
160 contained *S. feltiae* and *Oscheius* sp. but they were separated based on general morphology
161 before molecular identification. As these other nematode genera were not being surveyed and
162 are often found on dead *G. mellonella* from such surveys (Mráček, 1980) they were not
163 studied further.

164

165 **Discussion**

166

167 The purpose of this survey was to understand the diversity and distribution of EPNs in
168 the U.K. and to investigate whether the EPN landscape had changed since the last survey 21
169 years ago (Gwynne & Richardson, 1996). Previous surveys of the U.K. isolated *S. bibionis*, *S.*
170 *affine*, *S. feltiae* and *S. kraussei* and a *Heterorhabditis* spp. (Homininck & Briscoe, 1990a,b;
171 Griffin et al., 1991; Blackshaw, 1988; Homininck et al., 1995; Chandler et al., 1997; Gwynne

172 & Richardson 1996). We found *S. affine*, *S. feltiae*, *S. glaseri* and *S. carpocapsae*, with the
173 latter species having no previous confirmed records in the U.K.

174 From 518 soil samples 3.5% had nematodes present - similar to the survey results of
175 Boag et al. (1992) who found 2.2% of soil samples were positive for EPNs, but is lower than
176 Gwynne & Richardson (1996) who found 11% of samples had EPNs present. Other surveys
177 have recorded much higher success at finding EPNs in the U.K. Homininck & Briscoe (1990a)
178 recovered EPNs from 48.6% of soil samples and Homininck et al. (1995) found 38.2% of soil
179 samples with EPNs. Boag et al. (1992) suggested the high return rate might be due to second
180 baiting of soil samples with *G. mellonella*. We also performed a second *G. mellonella* bait on
181 all 518 soil samples, however the recovery rate did not increase. Other studies suggest that
182 baiting soil samples at different temperatures is an important consideration as some species
183 are more active at different temperatures e.g. *S. kraussei* is able to tolerate colder
184 temperatures (Mráček et al., 2005). Two of the previous U.K. based surveys baited at
185 different temperatures, which increased EPN isolation (Homininck & Briscoe 1990a,b;
186 Gwynne & Richardson, 1996). However, in this study we carried out baiting at room
187 temperature which fluctuated from 15 to 20°C. Boag et al. (1992) baited their soil samples
188 with *T. molitor* as well as *G. mellonella* as the latter may not be the most suitable host for all
189 EPN species (Spiridonov & Moens, 1999). However, they did not isolate more species or
190 achieve a higher recovery rate.

191 EPN identification has previously been based solely on morphology and
192 crossbreeding of isolates with known EPNs strains (Poinar 1979; Stock, 2002). However, the
193 validity of crossbreeding as a means of species identification was called into question
194 following the discovery of hermaphroditic Steinernematids by Griffin et al. (2001). Genetic
195 identification methods have become more common over time and this study is the first to
196 employ direct sequencing of the 18SrRNA and ITS1 genes with samples from a U.K. wide

197 survey. Homininck et al. (1990a), Chandler et al., (1997) and Gywnne & Richardson (1996)
198 all used Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) to identify EPN species.
199 However, there are problems with this technique (Linacre & Tobe, 2009) and it does not
200 provide sufficient resolution to understand variation within species (Powers et al., 1997;
201 Szalanski et al., 2000). Similarly, there are also issues with morphological identification of
202 nematodes too as there is a lack of diagnostic traits in members of *Steinernema* and
203 *Heterorhabditis* which can be problematic even for trained experts (Stock, 2002) therefore
204 we believe we have used a potentially more accurate method to determine what species were
205 present.

206 One of the most interesting species isolated was *S. carpocapsae* as it has not
207 previously been recorded in the U.K. In the U.K. the use of EPNs as biocontrol agents centres
208 on four nematode species: *S. feltiae*, *S. kraussei*, *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae*.
209 However, *S. carpocapsae* is considered a non-native EPN species in the U.K. and its use is
210 strictly controlled by the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981). It is still possible to use *S.*
211 *carpocapsae* to control pests such as *Sciaridae*, or *Otiorhynchus* spp. (Kim et al., 2003)
212 however, its release is only allowed by holders of a government-issued licence (FERA-
213 DEFRA, 2017). As well as our study there have been some previous references to possible
214 findings of *S. carpocapsae* in the U.K. (Georgis & Hague, 1981; Boag et al., 1992) but none
215 of these have been confirmed by molecular methods (Torr et al., 2007b). *S. carpocapsae* is
216 described as having a cosmopolitan distribution (Poinar, 1979; Homininck et al., 1996;
217 Gaugler, 2002) and has been recorded in a wide range of geographic locations including the
218 USA, Argentina, Australia and Mexico (Peters, 1996). These geographic regions comprise a
219 vast range of ambient temperatures and habitats. However, it has not frequently been found in
220 the temperate areas of Europe (Kary et al., 2009; Mráček et al 2005, Sturhan, 1999). Both
221 isolates of *S. carpocapsae* were found in rural locations (wooded layby and rural farm) and

222 therefore it is unlikely that they originated from EPNs released from control usages. This
223 confirmed presence of *S. carpocapsae* on mainland UK has ramifications for the controlled
224 use of this EPN.

225 EPNs exhibit habitat preferences, for example *S. kraussei* is found more frequently in
226 woodlands (Mráček et al., 2005; Ali & Wharton 2017), and *S. glaseri* is associated with
227 looser soil textures (Koppenhofer & Fuzy, 2006). It has previously been considered a
228 nematode of temperate climates (Gaugler, 2002) although Al-Own (2013), using the same
229 molecular methods as this survey, identified 16 isolates in the South West of the U.K.
230 mainland. *Steinernema affine* is associated with grassland habitats (Torr et al., 2007a) and is
231 often found with *S. feltiae* (Sturhan, 1999). *Steinernema feltiae* is found globally and in a
232 wide range of habitats such as pastures, roadsides and any areas where human disturbance is
233 minimal (Homininck et al., 1996). Our strains of *S. affine* were found in soil from a selection
234 of habitats including moorland, a hedgerow and grassland and our single isolate of *S. feltiae*
235 was found in an urban car park.

236 We also focused on the island of Lundy, which has never been previously sampled for
237 EPNs. Lundy Island is a biologically and geologically diverse habitat and has been the
238 subject of much scientific interest. This small island displays three unique endemic species
239 including the Lundy cabbage (*Coincya wrightii*), the bronze Lundy cabbage flea beetle
240 (*Psylliodes luridipennis*) and the Lundy cabbage weevil (*Ceutorhynchus contractus* spp.
241 *pallipes*). From 46 soil samples we found *S. glaseri* is present on Lundy. How or when this
242 species was introduced to the island is unknown but further work is needed to investigate the
243 interactions of this species with the unique and diverse flora and fauna that have evolved on
244 Lundy, particularly the indigenous insect species.

245 As well as EPNs there were numerous other nematodes that were also isolated using
246 the *G. mellonella* bait technique. These included members of the *Oscheius* genus, which are
247 commonly found in soil and live on decaying matter and some are thought to be
248 entomopathogenic (Ye et al., 2010). *Pristionchus* nematodes were also found which are
249 bacterivorous and transported by beetles (Herrmann et al., 2006). However, the most
250 abundant nematodes found were those of the genus *Acrobeloides*. These nematodes are
251 bacterial-feeding nematodes found in agricultural soil (Bird & Ryder, 1993) and are routinely
252 found in soil surveys (Campos-Herrera et al., 2016). There are no reports of these nematodes
253 killing insects so they must have been isolated because they reproduced on dead *G.*
254 *mellonella*. It should be noted that we had difficulties positively identifying these nematodes
255 using just analysis of the 18SrRNA gene (Blaxter et al., 1998) and when first examined the
256 majority of isolates were identified as *Cervidellus vexilliger*. This is due to the complex
257 evolutionary relationships of members of the *Cephalobidae* that are difficult to identify at the
258 morphological and molecular level (Smythe & Nadler, 2006) and several genes should be
259 used to separate these genera. Other nematodes isolated included a member of the gastropod
260 parasitic genus *Phasmarhabditis* (designated species VP2016a); whilst not a parasite of
261 insects (Wilson et al., 1994) these nematodes readily eat bacteria and hence would be found
262 on rotting *G. mellonella*. We failed to isolate any *Heterorhabditis* sp. even though we
263 sampled from sand dunes, beach hinterlands and sandy soils where they can be found
264 (Rolston et al., 2005). However, this is not unusual as it seems that *Heterorhabditis* spp. are
265 infrequently recovered from these types of surveys (Sturhan & Liskova, 1999) with only two
266 out of six U.K. based sampling surveys finding *Heterorhabditis* (Homininck & Briscoe 1990a;
267 Homininck et al., 1995).

268 In conclusion, we have carried out a U.K. based soil survey looking for indigenous
269 EPNs. From 518 soil samples we managed to find four species of *Steinernema*, which is a

270 similar number of species present in all six surveys conducted over 29 years in the U.K.
271 (Homininck & Briscoe, 1990a,b; Griffin et al., 1991, Blackshaw, 1988; Homininck et al.,
272 1995; Chandler et al., 1997; Gwynne & Richardson, 1996) but we have found, for the first
273 time, *S. carpocapsae* in U.K. soils.

274

275 **Acknowledgements**

276

277 We would like to thank Natural England and the Lundy Field Society for granting
278 permission to sample on Lundy Island and Natalie Hughes-Crean, David Buckley, Rob
279 Morris, Elaine and Rupert Pearman for their assistance in soil collection and laboratory work.
280 The map of the U.K. was supplied by d-maps.com.

281

282 **References**

283

284 Ali, F. & Wharton, D.A. (2017). A survey of entomopathogenic nematodes from Otago, New
285 Zealand, with the first record of *Steinernema kraussei* (Steiner, 1923) (Rhabditida:
286 Steinernematidae) from the Southern Hemisphere. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 16, 1-11.
287 DOI:10.1080/03014223.2017.1322620

288

289 Al-Own, F. (2013). Population structure of insect pathogenic bacteria in U.K. soil and their
290 associated nematodes. Doctoral dissertation, University of Bath.

291

292 Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. & Lipman, D.J. (1990). Basic local
293 alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215, 403-410. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-
294 2836(05)80360-2

295

296 Bird, A.F. & Ryder, M.H. (1993). Feeding of the nematode *Acrobeloides nanus* on bacteria.
297 *Journal of Nematology* 25, 493-499.

298

299 Blackshaw, R.P. (1988). A survey of insect parasitic nematodes in Northern Ireland. *Annals*
300 *of Applied Biology* 113, 561-565. DOI:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1988.tb03333.x

301

302 Blaxter, M.L., De Ley, P., Garey, J.R., Liu, L.X., Scheldeman, P., Vierstraete, A.,
303 Vanfleteren, J.R., Mackey, L.Y., Dorris, M., Frisse, L.M., Vida, J.T. & Thomas, W.K. (1998).
304 A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum Nematoda. *Nature* 392, 71-75. DOI:
305 10.1038/32160

306

307 Boag, B., Neilson, R. & Gordon, S. C. (1992). Distribution and prevalence of the
308 entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* in Scotland. *Annals of Applied Biology* 121,
309 355–360. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1992.tb03448.x

310

311 Campos-Herrera, R. (2015). *Nematode pathogenesis of insects and other pests*. Springer
312 International Publishing Switzerland.

313

314 Campos-Herrera, R., Martin, J.A.R, Escuer, M., Garcia-Gonzalez, M.T., Duncan, L.W. &
315 Gutierrez, C. (2016). Entomopathogenic nematode food webs in an ancient, mining pollution
316 gradient in Spain. *Science of the Total Environment* 572, 312-323. DOI:
317 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.187.

318

319 Chandler, D., Hay, D. & Reid, A.P. (1997). Sampling and occurrence of entomopathogenic
320 fungi and nematodes in U.K. soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 5, 133-141. DOI:10.1016/S0929-
321 1393(96)00144-8

322

323 Chaston, J.M., Suen, G., Tucker, S.L., Andersen, A.W., Bhasin, A., Bode, E., Bode, H.B.,
324 Brachmann, A.O., Cowles, C.E., Cowles, K.N et al. (2011). The entomopathogenic bacterial
325 endosymbionts *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*: convergent lifestyles from divergent
326 genomes. *PLoS One* DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0027909

327

328 Dillman, A.R., Guillermin, M.L., Lee, J.H., Kim, B., Sternberg, P.W. & Hallem, E.A. (2012).
329 Olfaction shapes host-parasite interactions in parasitic nematodes. *Proceedings of the*
330 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 109, E2324-E2333. DOI:
331 10.1073/pnas.1211436109.

332

333 Dowds, B.C.A. & Peters, A. (2002). Virulence mechanisms. In: Gaugler, R. (Ed).
334 *Entomopathogenic Nematology*. Wallingford, UK, CAB International, pp. 79-98.

335

336 FERA.DEFRA.gov.uk. (2017). UK Plant Health Risk Register. [online] Available at:
337 [https://secure.fera.defra.gov.uk/phiw/riskRegister/plant-health/non-native-biocontrol-](https://secure.fera.defra.gov.uk/phiw/riskRegister/plant-health/non-native-biocontrol-agents.cfm)
338 [agents.cfm](https://secure.fera.defra.gov.uk/phiw/riskRegister/plant-health/non-native-biocontrol-agents.cfm) [Accessed 19 Jul. 2017].
339
340 Forst, S., Dowds, B., Boemare, N. & Stackebrandt, E. (1997). *Xenorhabdus* and
341 *Photorhabdus* sp.: bugs that kill bugs. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 51, 47-72. DOI:
342 10.1146/annurev.micro.51.1.47
343
344 Gaugler R. (2002). *Entomopathogenic Nematology*. Wallingford, UK, CAB International.
345
346 Georgis, R. & Hague, N. G. M. (1981). A Neoplectanid nematode in the larch
347 sawfly *Cephalcia lariciphila* (Hymenoptera: Pamphiliidae). *Annals of Applied Biology* 99,
348 171–177. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1981.tb05144.x
349
350 Georgis R., Koppenhöfer A.M., Lacey L.A., Bélair G., Duncan L.W., Grewal P.S., Samish
351 M., Tan L., Torr P. & van Tol R.W.H.M. (2006). Successes and failures in the use of
352 parasitic nematodes for pest control. *Biological Control* 38, 103-123.
353 DOI:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.11.005
354
355 Glazer, I. & Lewis, E.E. (2000). Bioassays of entomopathogenic microbes and nematodes. In
356 Navon, A. & Ascher, K.R.S. (Eds). *Bioassays of entomopathogenic microbes and nematodes*.
357 Wallingford, UK, CAB International, pp. 229-248.
358

359 Grewal, P.S., Gaugler, R. & Selvan, S. (1993). Host recognition of entomopathogenic
360 nematodes: behavioural responses to contact with host faeces. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*
361 19, 1219-1231.

362

363 Grewal P.S., Selvan S. & Gaugler R. (1994). Thermal adaptation of entomopathogenic
364 nematodes: niche breadth for infection, establishment, and reproduction. *Journal of Thermal*
365 *Biology* 19, 245-253. DOI:10.1016/0306-4565(94)90047-7

366

367 Griffin, C. T., Moore, J. F., & Downes, M. J. (1991). Occurrence of insect-parasitic
368 nematodes (Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae) in the Republic of
369 Ireland. *Nematologica* 37, 92-100. DOI:10.1163/187529291X00097

370

371 Griffin, C. T., O'Callaghan, K. M., & Dix, I. (2001). A self-fertile species of *Steinernema*
372 from Indonesia: further evidence of convergent evolution amongst entomopathogenic
373 nematodes? *Parasitology* 122, 181-186. DOI:10.1017/S003118200100717

374

375 Gwynn, R.L. & Richardson, P.N. (1996). Incidence of entomopathogenic nematodes in soil
376 samples collected from Scotland, England and Wales. *Fundamental and Applied*
377 *Nematology* 19, 427-431.

378

379 Hallem, E.A., Dillman, A.R., Hong, A.V., Zhang, Y., Yano, J.M., DeMarco, S.F. &
380 Sternberg, P.W. (2011). A sensory code for host seeking in parasitic nematodes. *Current*
381 *Biology* 21, 377-383. DOI:10.1016/j.cub.2011.01048.

382

383 Herrmann, M., Mayer, W.E. & Sommer, R.J. (2006). Sex, bugs and Haldane's rule: the
384 nematode genus *Pristionchus* in the United States. *Frontiers in Zoology* 3, 14. DOI:
385 10.1186/1742-9994-3-14

386

387 Hominick, W. M. & Briscoe, B. R. (1990a). Occurrence of entomopathogenic nematodes
388 (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) in British soils. *Parasitology* 100, 295-
389 302. DOI:10.1017/S0031182000061308

390

391 Hominick, W.M. & Briscoe, B.R. (1990b). Survey of 15 sites over 28 months for
392 entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). *Parasitology* 100, 289–294.
393 DOI:10.1017/S0031182000061291

394

395 Hominick, W. M., Reid, A. P. & Briscoe, B. R. (1995). Prevalence and habitat specificity of
396 Steinernematid and Heterorhabditid nematodes isolated during soil surveys of the UK and the
397 Netherlands. *Journal of Helminthology* 69, 27-32. DOI:10.1017/S0022149X00013791

398

399 Hominick, W. M., Reid, A. P., Bohan, D. A. & Briscoe, B. R. (1996). Entomopathogenic
400 nematodes: biodiversity, geographical distribution and the convention on biological diversity.
401 *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 6, 317-332. DOI:10.1080/09583159631307

402

403 Hunt, D.J. & Nguyen, K.B. (2016). *Advances in entomopathogenic nematode taxonomy and*
404 *phylogeny*. Leiden-Boston, BRILL.

405

406 JNCC (2010). Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey - a technique for environmental audit.
407 Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC).
408
409 Kary, N.E., Niknam, G., Griffin, C. T., Mohammadi, S. A. & Moghaddam, M. (2009). A
410 survey of entomopathogenic nematodes of the families Steinernematidae and
411 Heterorhabditidae (Nematoda: Rhabditida) in the north-west of Iran. *Nematology* 11, 107-
412 116. DOI: 10.1163/156854108X398453
413
414 Kim, H.H.K., Choo, H.Y., Kaya, H.K., Lee, D.W., Lee, S.M. & Jeon, H.Y. (2003).
415 *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) as a biological control agent
416 against the fungus gnat *Bradysia agrestis* (Diptera: Sciaridae) in propagation houses.
417 *Biocontrol, Science and Technology* 14, 171-183. DOI:10.1080/09583150310001655693
418
419 Koppenhöfer, A.M. & Fuzy, E.M. (2006). Effect of soil type on infectivity and persistence of
420 the entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema scarabaei*, *Steinernema glaseri*,
421 *Heterorhabditis zealandica*, and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. *Journal of Invertebrate*
422 *Pathology* 92, 11-22. DOI: 10.1016/j.jip.2006.02.003
423
424 Laumond C., Mauleon H. & Kermarrec A. (1979). New data on the host spectrum and the
425 parasitism of the entomophagous nematode, *Neaplectana carpocapsae* (biological control).
426 *Entomophaga* 24, 13-27.
427
428 Linacre, A. & Tobe, S.S. (2009). Species identification using DNA loci. In: Linacre, A. (Ed).
429 *Forensic Science in Wildlife Investigations*. Florida, U.S.A., CRC Press, pp. 61-94.
430

431 Mráček, Z. (1980). The use of “Galleria traps” for obtaining nematode parasites of insects in
432 Czechoslovakia (Lepidoptera: Nematoda, Steinernematidae). *Acta Entomologica*
433 *Bohemoslovaca* 77, 378-382.

434

435 Mráček, Z., Bečvář, S., Kindlmann, P., & Jersáková, J. (2005). Habitat preference for
436 entomopathogenic nematodes, their insect hosts and new faunistic records for the Czech
437 Republic. *Biological Control* 34, 27-37. DOI:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.03.023

438

439 NERC. (2017). Map Viewer, UK Soil Observatory, Natural Environment Research Council.
440 Ukso.org. Available at: <http://www.ukso.org/mapViewer.html> [Accessed 19 Jul. 2017].

441

442 Peters, A. (1996). The natural host range of *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* spp. and their
443 impact on insect populations. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 6, 389-402. DOI:
444 10.1080/09583159631361

445

446 Poinar Jr., G.O. (1979). *Nematodes for Biological Control of Insects*. Boca Raton, Florida,
447 CRC Press.

448

449 Powers, T.O., Todd, T.C., Burnell, A., Murray, P.C.B., Fleming, C.C., Szalanski, A.L.,
450 Adams, B.A. & Harris, T.S. (1997). The rDNA internal transcribed spacer region as a
451 taxonomic marker for nematodes. *Journal of Nematology* 29, 441-450.

452

453 Rolsten, A., Griffen, C.T. & Downes, M.J. (2005). Distribution of entomopathogenic
454 nematodes in an Irish dune system. *Nematology* 7, 259-266. DOI:
455 10.1163/1568541054879485
456

457 Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. & Gaugler, R. (2002). Production technology for entomopathogenic
458 nematodes and their bacterial symbionts. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology &*
459 *Biotechnology* 28, 137-146.
460

461 Smythe, A.B. & Nadler, S.A. (2006). Molecular phylogeny of Acrobelloides and Cephalobus
462 (Nematoda: Cephalobidae) reveals paraphyletic taxa and recurrent evolution of simple labial
463 morphology. *Nematology* 8, 819-836. DOI:10.1163/156854106779799178
464

465 Spiridonov, S. E., & Moens, M. (1999). Two previously unreported species of
466 Steinernematids from woodlands in Belgium. *Russian journal of Nematology* 7, 39-42.
467

468 Spiridonov, S. E., Reid, A.P., Podrucka, K., Subbotin, S.A. & Moens, M. (2004).
469 Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Steinernema* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) as inferred
470 from analyses of sequences of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA and morphological
471 features. *Nematology* 6, 547-566.
472

473 Stock, S. P. (2002). New trends in entomopathogenic nematode systematics: impact of
474 molecular biology and phylogenetic reconstruction. *Proceedings of the International*
475 *Conference on Parasitology*. Vancouver, Canada, pp. 1-8.
476

477 Sturhan, D. (1999). Prevalence and habitat specificity of entomopathogenic nematodes in
478 Germany. Proceedings of COST meeting, Italy, 1995. 819, 123-132.
479

480 Sturhan, D. & Liskova, M. (1999). Occurrence and distribution of entomopathogenic
481 nematodes in the Slovak Republic. *Nematology* 1, 273-277. DOI:10.1163/156854199508261
482

483 Szalanski, A.L., Taylor, D.B. & Mullin, P.G. (2000). Assessing nuclear and mitochondrial
484 DNA sequence variation within *Steinernema* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). *Journal of*
485 *Nematology* 32, 229-233.
486

487 Thanwisai, A., Tandhavanant, S., Saiprom, N., Waterfield, N.R., Long, P.K., Bode, H.B.,
488 Peacock, S.J. & Chantratita, N. (2012). Diversity of *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* spp. and
489 their symbiotic entomopathogenic nematodes from Thailand. *PLoS*
490 *One* DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0043835
491

492 Torr, P., Spiridonov, S. E., Heritage, S. & Wilson, M. J. (2007a). Habitat associations of two
493 entomopathogenic nematodes: a quantitative study using real-time quantitative polymerase
494 chain reactions. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 76, 238–245. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
495 2656.2006.01196.x
496

497 Torr, P., Heritage, S. & Wilson, M. J. (2007b). *Steinernema kraussei*, an indigenous
498 nematode found in coniferous forests: efficacy and field persistence against *Hylobius*
499 *abietis*. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 9, 181-188. DOI:10.1111/j.1461-
500 9563.2007.00333.x
501

502 White, G.F. (1927). A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. Science
503 66, 1709. DOI:10.1126/science.66.1709.302-a

504

505 Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Available at:
506 <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/section/14/enacted> [Accessed 17 July 2017].

507

508 Wilson, M.J., Glen, D.M., Hughes, L.A., Pearce, J.D. & Rodgers, P.B. (1994). Laboratory
509 tests of the potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of field slugs (*Deroceras*
510 *reticulatum*). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 64, 182-187. DOI:10.1016/S0022-
511 2011(94)90100-7

512

513 Ye, W., Torres-Barragan, A. & Cardoza, Y. J. (2010). *Oscheius carolinensis* n. sp.
514 (Nematoda: Rhabditidae), a potential entomopathogenic nematode from vermicompost.
515 Nematology 12, 121-135. DOI:10.1163/156854109X458464

516

517 **Figure legends**

518

519 **Fig 1:** Location of soil samples collected throughout the U.K (A) and those that were positive
520 for EPNs including *S. affine* (white circle), *S. feltiae* (grey circle), *S. carpocapsae* (black
521 circle) and *S. glaseri* (black star) (B). Each dot represents a location where at least one soil
522 sample was taken. Scale bar represents 100 miles.

523

524 **Supplementary Figure legends**

525

526 **Suppl. Fig 1:** Interactive map of exact location of soil samples collected throughout the U.K.
527 which can be found at:
528 [https://www.google.com/maps/d/viewer?mid=1P70uNnG_HR5nAj_H_0J1pCTx_sk&ll=54.34787995](https://www.google.com/maps/d/viewer?mid=1P70uNnG_HR5nAj_H_0J1pCTx_sk&ll=54.34787995568756%2C-5.651589203125013&z=7)
529 [568756%2C-5.651589203125013&z=7](https://www.google.com/maps/d/viewer?mid=1P70uNnG_HR5nAj_H_0J1pCTx_sk&ll=54.34787995568756%2C-5.651589203125013&z=7)

530 Each yellow dot represents location where at least one soil sample was taken and the exact
531 location of soil samples where EPNs were recorded included: *S. affine* (red star), *S. feltiae*
532 (purple cross), *S. carpocapsae* (green square) and *S. glaseri* (blue diamond).

533

534 **Table legends**

535

536 **Table 1:** Date of collection, collection location, land use and soil type of samples collected
537 throughout the U.K which had EPNs present.

538

