



## LJMU Research Online

Hsi, S-L, Surman, P and Al-Kassas, R

**Development of a stability-indicating UPLC method for determination of isotretinoin in bulk drug**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/10469/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Hsi, S-L, Surman, P and Al-Kassas, R (2018) Development of a stability-indicating UPLC method for determination of isotretinoin in bulk drug. Pharmaceutical Development and Technology, 24 (2). pp. 189-198. ISSN 1083-7450**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>



<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17445019.2017.1345000>



**Development of a stability-indicating UPLC method for determination of Isotretinoin in bulk drug**

Journal:	<i>Pharmaceutical Development and Technology</i>
Manuscript ID	LPDT-2017-0178.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Hsi, Shih-liang; University of Auckland, pharmacy Surman, Peter; Douglas Pharmaceuticals Ltd Al-Kassas, Raida; University of Auckland, Pharmacy
Keywords:	Isotretinoin, Method development, UPLC

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Development of a stability-indicating UPLC method for determination of**  
4 **isotretinoin in bulk drug**  
5

6 Shih-Liang Hsi<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter Surman<sup>2</sup>, Raida Al-Kassas<sup>1\*</sup>  
7

8  
9 <sup>1</sup>School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland,  
10 Auckland, New Zealand

11 <sup>2</sup>Douglas Pharmaceuticals, 2 Te Pal Pl, Henderson, Auckland, New Zealand.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Corresponding Author:

18 \*Dr Raida Al-Kassas

19 School of Pharmacy

20 Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences

21 The University of Auckland

22 Private Bag 92019

23 Auckland

24 New Zealand

25 Email: r.al-kassas@auckland.ac.nz  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


## Abstract

A highly sensitive and rapid stability indicating Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatographic (UPLC) method was developed for the quantification and identification of isotretinoin in bulk. Chromatographic separation was developed using a gradient elution in a reversed-phase system at flow rate of 0.5 ml/min with 12 minutes run time. The mobile phase was a gradient mixture of mobile phase A (contained a 30:70:0.5 mixture solution of methanol / Purified water / Glacial Acetic Acid) and mobile phase B (contained a 70:25:4.5:0.5 mixture solution of methanol /Acetonitrile/Purified water / Glacial Acetic Acid). Eluents were monitored at 355 nm. The analytical method was validated for accuracy, precision, robustness, linearity and forced degradation in accordance with ICH topic Q2 (R1) Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. The method was linear over a concentration range of (1-7 µg/mL) with correlation coefficient of ( $r^2 > 0.9999$ ). The accuracy was confirmed by calculating the % recovery which was found to be 100.1 – 101.6%. The RSD values obtained for repeatability and intermediate precision experiments were less than 2%. The limit of detection (LOD) was (0.12 µg/mL) while the limit of quantification (LOQ) was (0.38µg/mL). The drug samples were exposed to different stressed conditions and the results showed that all degradation products were satisfactorily separated from each other and from the peak of the drug using the developed method. The proposed method can be used for the quantitative determination of isotretinoin with confidence.


Keywords: Isotretinoin; Method development, UPLC, Forced degradation studies, ICH guidelines.

## 1. Introduction


Isotretinoin is an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) used as an oral (systemic) treatment of severe form of acne which is also known to be one of the most useful vitamin A derivatives that affects all the major factors involved in the pathogenesis of acne [1]. It is a cis-vitamin A acid (synthetic vitamin A acid derivative) and a stereoisomer of Tretinoin and isomer of Alitretinoin. It is chemically described as (2Z,4E,6E,8E)-3,7-dimethyl-9-(2,6,6-trimethyl-1-cyclohexenyl)nona-2,4,6,8-tetraenoic acid (also known as 13-cis-retinoic acid) [2]. Isotretinoin has a small molecular weight (300.44) and is practically insoluble in water [3]. The structural formula of isotretinoin and its isomers, tretinoin and alitretinoin are as shown in schemes 1.a-c [4]:



Scheme 1.a. Chemical structure of Isotretinoin (13-cis-retinoic acid) (4)



Scheme 1.b. Chemical structure of Tretinoin (all-trans-retinoic acid) (4)



Scheme 1.c. Chemical structure of Alitretinoin (9-cis-retinoic acid) (4)

Several analytical methods utilizing High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) have been developed for quantitation of isotretinoin in pharmaceutical dosage forms including both capsule and topical gel [2]. An isocratic reversed phase HPLC was developed by Mahajan et al. (2016) [2]. Normal phase HPLC method was employed in the United States Pharmacopoeia (2007) and another method was reported to determine both isotretinoin and tretinoin simultaneously in the dermatological formulations by Tashtoush et al. (2007)[, a reversed phase method equipped with fluorescence detector has also been described by Gatti et al. (2000)[6]. However, most of these methods were associated with large consumption of solvents, long run time, the use of undesirable reagents such as Tetrahydrofuran (THF), poor sensitivity and poor resolution from retinoic isomers [2, 5].

In contrast to HPLC, Ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) is functionally advanced in its rapidness, sensitivity and resolution [7]. It can withstand much higher back pressure with smaller packed column, lower injection volume and shorter run time [7, 8]. UPLC Technology is able to provide numerous benefits to routine testing of commercialised drug products, with increased sample throughput and decreased solvent consumption [9, 10]. This indicates that an analytical testing method using UPLC may offer significant cost effectiveness by analysing more samples per system in lesser time. The overall operating expense in both development and quality control thus can be reduced with increased productivity. All these advantages over conventional HPLC has allowed UPLC to gain its place and popularity in the industry for both routine and research purpose nowadays [11]. It is thus highly desirable to develop and validate an UPLC method for isotretinoin with satisfactory resolution, sensitivity and relatively short analysis run time in line with routine analysis for quality control purposes. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published work involves development of an analytical method for quantification of isotretinoin using UPLC. Therefore, aim of the present work was to develop and validate a stability indicating method for isotretinoin using UPLC method.

## 2. Materials and Analytical Testing Method

### 2.1 Chemicals and reagents

Isotretinoin Standard (USP) and Tretinoin (USP) were purchased from United States Pharmacopoeial Convention, USA. Alitretinoin was purchased from Olon S.P.A, Italy. Tetrahydrofuran, Methanol and Acetonitrile were procured from EMD Millipore

1  
2  
3 Corporation, Germany. Glacial Acetic Acid (GAA) was purchased from VWR International  
4 S.A.S, France. All the organic solvents were gradient grade. Purified water was obtained by  
5 MillieQ water purifier system, Auckland, New Zealand, Isotretinoin Active Pharmaceutical  
6 Ingredient (API), BASF, New Zealand.  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **2.2 Laboratory Preventive Measure**

11 Isotretinoin is sensitive to light, heat and air, hence exposure of isotretinoin to strong light is  
12 to be avoided [2]. Therefore, all experiments were performed under the recommended  
13 conditions of protection from actinic light, i.e. performing the test in a room fitted with the  
14 appropriate light filters.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

## 20 **2.3 Chromatographic System and Condition**

21 The UPLC analysis was performed on UPLC System gradient with UV Detector (Dionex  
22 UltiMate or equivalent) controlled through Chromeleon Software. Analytical Column used  
23 for this method was C18, SB, 1.8  $\mu\text{m}$ , 2.1mm x 150 mm (Waters Acquity HSS C18 SB, or  
24 equivalent). The mobile phase was a gradient mixture of mobile phase A (contained a  
25 30:70:0.5 mixture solution of methanol / Purified water / Glacial Acetic Acid) and mobile  
26 phase B (contained a 70:25:4.5:0.5 mixture solution of methanol /Acetonitrile/Purified water  
27 / Glacial Acetic Acid). Both mobile phase A and B were filtered and degassed through 0.22  
28  $\mu\text{m}$  membrane filter. The final gradient program [(min)/%B] was 0/62, 7/62, 8.5/100,  
29 9.5/100, 9.5/62 and 12/62 (Table 1). The flow rate was set at 0.5 ml/min. The column  
30 temperature was 55°C. The sample compartment was 4°C. The injection volume of sample  
31 was 2  $\mu\text{l}$ . The eluent was analyzed by UV Detector at wavelength of 355nm. An ultrasonic  
32 bath from Bandelin Sonorex (Germany) was used for standard and sample sonication.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

## 43 **2.4 Preparation of solutions**

### 44 **2.4.1 Standard solution preparation**

45 Isotretinoin stock standard solution (250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) was prepared by weighing appropriate  
46 amount isotretinoin USP standard into 100 mL volumetric flask. 20 mL THF  
47 (Tetrahydrofuran) was added to completely dissolve the standard with at least 5 minutes of  
48 sonication and made up to volume with methanol. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  working solution was prepared by  
49 further dilution from the stock solution and made up to volume with methanol.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 2.4.2 Sample solution preparation

Isotretinoin sample stock solution was prepared by weighing appropriate amount isotretinoin into volumetric flask, dissolve with 20 mL THF with at least 5 minutes of sonication and made up to volume with methanol. 5 µg/mL working solution was prepared by further dilution from the stock solution and made up to volume with methanol.

## 2.5 Method validation

The developed UPLC method was validated in accordance to ICH topic Q2 (R1) validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology [11] for the following.

### 2.5.1 Accuracy

Accuracy of the developed method was determined by calculating the percentage recovery of the analyzed spiked samples [11]. Known amount of isotretinoin were spiked in triplicates at different concentration levels of 60%, 100% and 140%.

### 2.5.2 Precision

Six individual samples of 100% were prepared to assess the closeness of agreement between series of measurement [12]. The %RSD value was calculated.

### 2.5.3 Intermediate precision

Reproducibility of testing method was examined as follows: Six samples at 100% level were prepared and analyzed on different days, instrument, column, mobile phase [12]. The % RSD values was calculated for all the replicates (combining from two analysis)

### 2.5.4 Linearity and range

Linearity was required to demonstrate that the detector response is directly proportional to the analyte concentration over a specific range [12]. A minimum of five solutions at the concentration from 1 to 7 µg/mL were prepared for linearity study. Duplicate injections of each solution were performed and 10 injections were performed on 100% working solution for instrument repeatability. The calibration curve was constructed and linear regression equation was obtained. The %CV (RSD) between the peak area and the actual concentration should be not less than 0.999.



### 2.5.5 Robustness

Robustness was assessed by altering chromatographic condition [2,12]. Chromatographic system variation was evaluated by using flow rates of  $\pm 0.05$  mL/min and column temperature of  $\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  from the nominal conditions of 0.5 mL/min and  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Combinations of variation are presented in Table 2, which shows four different variables. The recovery was studied and compared with nominal condition. The suitability of variation was thus determined.

### 2.5.6 LOD and LOQ

Detection and Quantitation limits are the lowest detectable and quantifiable concentration that a method can achieve. As per ICH guideline, the LOD and LOQ were determined based on the standard deviation of the response and the slope using the formulae provided below.

The detection limit (DL) is expressed as [12]:

$$\text{LOD} = 3.3 \cdot \sigma / S$$

where  $\sigma$  = the standard deviation of the residue

S = the slope of the calibration curve

The quantitation limit (QL) is expressed as:

$$\text{LOQ} = 10 \cdot \sigma / S$$

where  $\sigma$  = the standard deviation of the residue

S = the slope of the calibration curve

### 2.5.7 Forced degradation studies

Forced degradation studies were conducted to ensure that the analytical method was stability indicating and to demonstrate the ability of the method to separate isotretinoin from its degradation end products. Isotretinoin active ingredients were weighed and stressed with stressors that are recommended in the regulatory guideline [12] and analysed for isotretinoin contents. Acid degradation was carried out by incubating isotretinoin with 2M Hydrochloric acid (HCl) for 1 day. Alkaline degradation was carried out by stressing isotretinoin for 7 days with 1M Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH). The oxidative study was carried out by stressing isotretinoin with 20 mL of 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  for 8 hours. Thermal degradation was done by exposing sample at  $95^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 3 days. Hydrolysis was done by adding 20 mL of purified water into sample for 3 days. Light degradation studies on isotretinoin were carried out by exposing the sample to visible light which was not less than 1200000 lx.h and UVA radiation of 220

1  
2  
3 W.h/m<sup>2</sup>. Samples were withdrawn at specified time points. The stressed samples were  
4 neutralized (acid and base only), prepared to assay concentration and analyzed according to  
5 the chromatographic conditions with UV detection at 355nm. The stressed conditions are  
6 listed in Table 4.  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 **3. Results and Discussion**

#### 12 13 14 **3.1 Method development and optimization**

15  
16 Originally, the UPLC method we developed for the analysis of isotretinoin was based on an  
17 isocratic HPLC method as detailed by [2]. The method developed using a RP-C18 column  
18 (250 x 4.6mm, 5 µm particle size) with 10 minutes run time at flow rate of 0.8ml/min.  
19 Wavelength set at 355 nm with injection volume of 20 µl. The Mobile phase was constituted  
20 of 50:45:5 (Acetonitrile/Methanol/water), pH adjusted to 4.5 using (Glacial acetic acid)  
21 GAA. A wavelength of 355 nm was used as it has identified as a suitable wavelength for  
22 quantifying isotretinoin. A trial injection was made for isotretinoin standard sample spiked  
23 with alitretinoin and tretinoin. The results showed that the reference method was able to  
24 separate isotretinoin from alitretinoin and tretinoin (Figure 1.a). However, (Figure 1.b) shows  
25 that both tretinoin and alitretinoin may have co-eluted as one single peak.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 When an UV degraded isotretinoin standard solution was injected, a shoulder peak that was  
35 not separated from isotretinoin peak was also observed which suggested that there was co-  
36 elution underneath isotretinoin peak (Figure 1.c). These findings suggested that the current  
37 column or mobile phase composition was inefficient to separate the impurities or isomers that  
38 were closely related to isotretinoin. Adjustments in flow rate, run time or temperature under  
39 an isocratic elution program were thought to be uneconomical, as a prolonged run time would  
40 be required to achieve satisfactory resolution.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Due to the observed deficiencies, we decided to modify our original UPLC method in order  
48 to capture any possible degradants as well as to ensure that they were well separated from  
49 isotretinoin peak with reasonably short run time. Several modifications based on the current  
50 isocratic method were considered for the development of UPLC method. The UV degraded  
51 standard solution (isotretinoin) and spiked tretinoin and alitretinoin solutions were used to  
52 modify the method and to optimize the chromatographic conditions. To achieve satisfactory  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 resolution and good chromatography, the RP-C18 column (250 x 4.6mm, 5 µm particle size),  
4 used in our original method which was a long column packed with larger particles and  
5 showed lack of resolution, was replaced with a shorter column packed with smaller particles  
6 eg. C18, SB, 1.8 µm, 2.1mm x 150 mm. The injection volume was lowered to less than 2 µL  
7 due to smaller column volume.  
8  
9

10 The composition of mobile phase with 0.5% glacial acetic acid (GAA), methanol and  
11 acetonitrile were re-adjusted and optimized through multiple trials by achieving the  
12 separation of isotretinoin, light degraded impurities, tretinoin and alitretinoin using the UV  
13 degraded standard solution (isotretinoin). Introduction of gradient elution program with high  
14 organic portion near the end of each injection may have served as a strong wash phase to  
15 elute out the impurities, isomers, unwanted waste or residue to avoid blockage of column or  
16 carry over. The details of the gradient elution programme and the modified mobile phase can  
17 be seen in (section 2.3 and table 1). Moreover, acetonitrile was used as a solvent of  
18 isotretinoin to prepare the samples in the original method. Since the proportion of methanol  
19 in mobile phase was relatively higher than acetonitrile, methanol was proposed to replace  
20 acetonitrile in the modified method. Standard or bulk active pharmaceutical ingredient (API)  
21 would therefore be prepared in methanol. Figure 2 shows the UV light degradants (degradant  
22 2 and degradant 3) were separated from isotretinoin with a resolution of 1.5 and 2.0, while  
23 the resolution between tretinoin and alitretinoin was 3.3. That was a great improvement in  
24 terms of resolution from the original isocratic HPLC method with the run time of 12 minutes  
25 only.  
26  
27

### 28 **3.4 Method Validation**

29 Overall, a Gradient reverse-phase UPLC method has been followed to develop an assay for  
30 determination of isotretinoin concentration in isotretinoin or related products. Quantitation of  
31 isotretinoin was carried out via comparison with an external isotretinoin standard. The  
32 validation would be in accordance with ICH topic Q2 (R1) validation of Analytical  
33 Procedures: Text and Methodology (2005).  
34  
35  
36

#### 37 **3.4.1 Accuracy**

38 Accuracy was required to demonstrate that the analytical procedure would provide results  
39 that reflect the true value for the material across the specified range of the analysis. The mean  
40 percentage recovery at each level should be within 98.0-102.0 with %RSD less than 2.0%.  
41 The recovery was calculated using linear regression equation (Table 3.a) that was established  
42 within the range of 60% - 140% (3µg/ml - 7µg/ml). Table 3.b shows the results of accuracy  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 studies. As the recovery values were in the range of 100.1 – 101.6% and the %RSD of all  
4 three levels was 0.79, the relative response factors and recovery data reported in Table 3.b  
5 indicate that the method is accurate. The retention time, theoretical plate and tailing factor  
6 were also reported to demonstrate that the chromatographic condition of isotretinoin peak  
7 was successfully obtained.  
8  
9  
10

### 11 12 **3.4.2 Precision, Intermediate Precision, Injection Repeatability**

13  
14 The repeatability and intermediate precision were determined as described in Section 2.5.2  
15 and 2.5.3. As Per ICH guidelines (2005), the %RSD value between six individual samples  
16 should be less than 2.0%. Our results which are listed in Tables 4.a and b reveal that the  
17 %RSD value for precision study of six 100% solutions was 1.5 %, which was meeting criteria  
18 of 2.0%. The %RSD value of twelve individual results from two days of study was 1.5%  
19 which was also less than 2.0% for intermediate precision. This suggested that the method  
20 was accurate and precise as acceptable recovery and precision has been achieved from 60%-  
21 140%. %RSD value for Instrumental repeatability of ten injections on the 100% sample  
22 solution was 0.76 indicating the injection repeatability was also precise (Table 4.b).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 31 **3.4.3 Linearity and Range**

32 A series of solutions containing isotretinoin at concentration of 20% to 140% (1µg/ml -  
33 7µg/ml) of the nominal concentration were analysed to determine the linearity of the  
34 proposed method. The peak area versus concentration data were treated by least-squares  
35 linear regression analysis. The result from Linearity and range assessment suggested that the  
36 method is linear with R<sup>2</sup> value equal to 0.9999, the linear regression equation obtained was  
37 22410x+213.33 (Table 5).  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 44 **3.4.4 Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ)**

45 The analytical method showed good linearity within the specified range. The LOD and LOQ  
46 were calculated based on standard deviation of residue (843.2795) and slope (22410) as per  
47 formula provided in ICH guideline (11) and they were 0.12µg/ml and 0.38 µg/mL  
48 respectively.  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 54 **3.4.5 Robustness - Chromatographic Parameter Variations**

55 Robustness was assessed by altering the chromatographic conditions including flow rate and  
56 column temperature listed in Table 6. The number of theoretical plates and the tailing factors  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 were within the acceptance criteria of greater than 20000 and 0.8-1.5 respectively. The  
4 %RSD value of results between nominal and combination of changes was only 0.54  
5 demonstrating that the chromatographic condition was robust and reliable results can be  
6 obtained within the prescribed degree.  
7  
8

### 9 10 **3.4.6 Forced degradation studies**

11  
12 Stress study should be carried out to establish the inherent stability characteristics of drug as  
13 according to drug stability guideline Q1A (R2) issued by ICH [13]. Therefore, it is essential  
14 to have a stability indicative testing method for pharmaceutical development. The method is  
15 used to detect how the stability of the drug substances or products that can be changed with  
16 time under specified stress conditions. It should be able to measure the changes in active  
17 ingredient level accurately without interference from degradants, impurities or formulation  
18 matrix [14]. It is also useful to predict the degradation of drug compound at early stage of  
19 development when the availability of information is limited. Several methods using HPLC  
20 for isotretinoin quantitation were recently developed and validated by Mahajan et al., 2016  
21 Guimaraes et al., 2010; and Dalvi et al., 2016 [ 2, 15, 16], however, only accuracy, linearity,  
22 precision and robustness were covered by the validation [14, 15] . Forced degradation was  
23 not being conducted for all the HPLC methods. Tashtoush et al (2007) [5] has conducted  
24 photostability study on tretinoin and isotretinoin, however, the authors claimed that the  
25 method was not qualified as a stability indicating method as the separation parameters  
26 between retinoic acids and its degradant required more attention in order to achieve better  
27 resolution. Hence, the proper degradation profile of isotretinoin remains unknown. In our  
28 study, the degradation experiments were therefore carried out on isotretinoin using the newly  
29 developed UPLC method to determine the stability-indicating property of the method.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 Recovery of isotretinoin in each stressed sample was calculated relative to an unstressed  
45 sample using data acquired at 355 nm. As the concentration of working solution was only  
46 5µg/ml, degradation under mild stress conditions might be insufficient to produce desired  
47 amount of degradants to be detected on the chromatograms. Our aim was therefore to achieve  
48 degradation with relatively harsh conditions to generate desired amount of degradation  
49 products that can be used to assess the analytical methods performance suggested by Singh et  
50 al (2013) [17]. The results of the percentage recovery of the stressed samples and the number  
51 of degradants produced are summarized in Table 7. The percentage recovery of the light  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 stressed sample was 90.9%, 87.9% for heat, 81.0% for oxidation, 93.7% for hydrolysis,  
4 73.7% for acid stressed sample, and 97.3% for base stressed samples. Among all of the  
5 stressed samples studied, only based stressed samples showed a degradation of less than 5%  
6 even after 7 days incubation with 1M NaOH. This suggests that isotretinoin is relatively  
7 stable in basic conditions. On the other hand, extensive degradation was observed for acid  
8 stressed sample with production of seven degradants. Other stressed samples including heat,  
9 hydrolysis, light and oxidation have all produced various degrees of unknown degradants at  
10 355nm. Calculation of mass balance (using % area) was attempted but was not precise as  
11 100% recovery cannot be obtained for some of the stress conditions e.g. acid. This might be  
12 due to the degradants not having the same UV spectra of isotretinoin or the absorptivity  
13 coefficient may have different values according to Cione et al. (2011) [18].  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 The ICH guideline (2003) has also commented that mass balance is not achievable in all  
23 circumstances with due consideration of the margin of analytical precision. The focus of the  
24 forced degradation may instead be on assuring the specificity of the assay and completeness  
25 of the investigation of the degradation route (Riley and Rosanske 1996) [19]. It was therefore  
26 important to demonstrate the ability of the method to resolve the degradants from its principle  
27 peak which was confirmed by analysing the resolutions of the nearest degradants. Figures  
28 3.a-f of light, heat water, and acid stressed samples show unknown degradant peaks eluted  
29 before isotretinoin peak. The resolution between isotretinoin peak and the peaks of nearest  
30 impurities eluted before isotretinoin were 1.6, 1.7, 1.6 and 10.5 respectively. Apart from base  
31 stress sample which showed no degradant before and after isotretinoin peak at wavelength  
32 355nm, a resolution of more than 1.5 was achieved for all nearest degradants that eluted after  
33 isotretinoin for all the stressed samples investigated. The method was therefore proven to be  
34 stability-indicative as it was not only able to reflect on the recovery of isotretinoin under  
35 various stressed conditions, but resolution between the degradants and isotretinoin peak was  
36 also achievable with satisfaction at 355nm.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 According to ICH guidelines, the information generated from the forced degradation studies  
49 is important to determine the intrinsic stability of the drug molecule and to select proper  
50 formulation, packaging and appropriate storage conditions to maintain the drug in stable form  
51 for long period of time.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 3.4.7 Specificity

USP defines specificity as the ability to assess unequivocally the analyte in the presence of components that may be expected to be present, such as impurities, degradation products, and matrix components. Our method was considered a specific as there was no interference from other spiked components including tretinoin and alitretinoin with the isotretinoin peak at wavelength 355 nm (Figure 4). Table 8 shows that the resolution between isotretinoin and alitretinoin was 6.981. Even alitretinoin and tretinoin were also well separated from each other with resolution of 3.461, which allowed identification of individual isomers that might be appearing during processing or storing. This improvement in separation between retinoic related substances eg tretinoin, isotretinoin and alitretinoin has addressed the poor resolution issue that was previously raised by Tashtoush et al (2007) [5]. Moreover, the principle peak in the stressed sample has demonstrated to be resolved from other forced degradants.

## 4. Conclusion

A simple, rapid and economical UPLC method has been developed for qualification and quantification of isotretinoin in bulk drug. The developed method was validated against the current ICH guideline and showed the ability of delivering accurate, precise, specific, linear and robust results within the validated range. The study has also concluded that isotretinoin is most labile to acid, oxidative stress and thermal degradation, and more stable against photolysis, alkaline and hydrolysis. Degradants and spiked retinoic acids were present without interfering isotretinoin to show the method is stability indicating. The analytical method therefore may be used for assay of isotretinoin and identification of isotretinoin and its isomers ie. tretinoin and alitretinoin for routine quality assessment and stability study.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

### Acknowledgement

Financial, equipment and reagents support from Douglas Pharmaceutical (NZ) Ltd is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

1. J. Leyden, J. Rosso, and E. Baum. The Use of Isotretinoin in the Treatment of Acne Vulgaris Clinical Considerations and Future Directions. Supplement to the J of Clin and Aesth Dermatol. 7 (2014) 4-21.
2. M. Mahajan, R. Singh, S. Jain. Development of a reproducible, sensitive and rapid reversed phase chromatographic method for the estimation of isotretinoin incorporated in bulk drugs, pharmaceutical dosage forms and biological matrix. Cur. Pharm. Anal. 12 (2016) 278-85.
3. Technical Information - Isotretinoin. BASF Group. 2008(03\_030772e-08):1-4.
4. S. Mukherjee, V. Patravale. Retinoids in the treatment of skin aging: an overview of clinical efficacy and safety. Clin Interv in Ag.1 (2006) 327-48.
5. B. Tashtoush, E. Jacobson, M. Jacobson. A rapid HPLC method for simultaneous determination of tretinoin and isotretinoin in dermatological formulations. J. of Pharmac. and Biomed. Anal. 19 (2007) 859-64.
6. R. Gatti, M. Gioia and V. Cavrini. Analysis and stability study of retinoids in pharmaceuticals by fluorescence. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 1;23(1) (2000) 147-159.
7. L. Nováková, L. Matysová, P. Solish. Advantages of application of UPLC in pharmaceutical analysis. Tal. 68 (2006a) 908-18.
8. L. Nováková, L. Matysová, P. Solish. Advantages of ultra performance liquid chromatography over high-performance liquid chromatography: comparison of different analytical approaches during analysis of diclofenac gel. J Sep Sci. 29 (2006b) 2433-43.
9. T. Wu, C. Wang, X. Wang, H. Xiao, O. Ma. Comparison of UPLC and HPLC for analysis of 12 phthalates. Chromatog. 68 (2008) 803-806.
10. A. Stephen, C. Wren, P. Tchelitcheff. Use of ultra-performance liquid chromatography in pharmaceutical development. J. of Chromatog. A. 1119 (2006) 140-146.
11. B. Pratima, S. Zibran. 2013. Review of Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography and its Application. Int. J. of Res. in Pharm. and Sci. 23 (2013) 19-40.
12. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2(R1). International conference of harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. 2005.
13. International Conference on Harmonization. Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Product. Q1A(R2). IFPMA, Geneva, Switzerland. 2003.
14. FDA Guidance for Industry, Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation, Draft Guidance. Food and Drug Administration. 2000.
15. C. Guimarães, F. Mena, B. Mena, I. Ivo Lebrun, J. Quenca-Guillen, A. Auada, L. Mercuri, P. Ferreira, M. Santoro. Determination of isotretinoin in pharmaceutical formulations by reversed-phase HPLC. J. of Biomed. Sci. and Engineer. 3 (2010) 454-8.
16. S. Dalvi, P. Ingale, S. Hatture. Validated HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of isotretinoin and erythromycin in bulk drug form. Indo Amer. J. of Pharm. Res. 6 (2016) 5254-5259.
17. S. Singh, M. Junwal, G. Modha, H. Tiwari, M. Kurmi, N. Parashar N and Sidduri P. Forced degradation studies to assess the stability of drugs and products. Trends Anal Chem 49 (2013) 71-88.
18. A. Cione, E. Tonhi and P. Silva P. Stability Indicating Methods. Quality Control of Herbal Medicines and Related Areas. Prof. Shoyama Y. InTech: (2011) 25-36.
19. C. Riley and T. Rosanske. Development and validation of analytical methods 1st ed. Progress in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. (1996).



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1. Gradient elution program for isotretinoin assay.**

<b>Time (min)</b>	<b>Mobil Phase A %</b>	<b>Mobile Phase B %</b>
0	38	62
7	38	62
8.5	0	100
9.5	0	100
9.5	38	62
12	38	62

**Table 2. Chromatographic variation condition**

<b>Method</b>	<b>Column Temperature(C<sup>o</sup>)</b>	<b>Flow Rate (mL/minute)</b>
1	53	0.45
2	53	0.55
3	57	0.45
4	57	0.55

**Table 3. Range for Accuracy data calculation. a**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Values</b>
Linearity range (3-7 $\mu$ g/mL)	60-140%
Slope	5842.5x
Intercept	471.43
Correlation Coefficient	0.9999
Regression equation	y=5842.5x + 471.43

**Accuracy data. b**

<b>Concentration (%)</b>	<b>Theoretical (mg)</b>	<b>Recovered (mg)</b>	<b>Accuracy (%)</b>	<b>Retention Time (min)</b>	<b>Theoretical plate</b>	<b>Tailing Factor</b>
60	15	15.24	101.6	7.7	20550	1.1
100	25	25.1	100.4	7.7	20624	1.1
140	35	35.04	100.1	7.7	20626	1.1
Mean			100.7	7.7	20600	1.1
RSD (%)			0.79	0.0	0.2	0.0

**Table 4.****Precision data and intermediate precision. a**

<b>Concentration (%)</b>	<b>Precision 1</b>	<b>Precision 2</b>
	101.9	101.5
	100.5	97.6
<b>100%</b>	98.7	98.9
	98.8	98.0
	98.1	100.8
	98.3	100.4
<b>Average (%)</b>	99.4	99.5
<b>%RSD</b>	1.5	1.6
	<b>Intermediate Precision (n=12)</b>	
<b>Average (%)</b>	99.5	
<b>%RSD</b>	1.5	

**Injection Repeatability. b**

<b>Injection Number (n)</b>	<b>Peak Area</b>
1	114571
2	113440
3	114119
4	113394
5	112009
6	112073
7	113271
8	112508
9	113128
10	114058
<b>Average (%) %RSD</b>	<b>113257 0.76</b>

**Table 5. Linearity and Limits**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Values</b>
Linearity range (1-7 $\mu$ g/mL)	20-140%
Slope	22410x
Intercept	213.33
Correlation Coefficient	0.9999
Regression equation	$y=22410x + 213.33$
LOD ( $\mu$ g/mL)	12 $\mu$ g/ml
LOQ ( $\mu$ g/mL)	0.38 $\mu$ g/ml

Table 6. Robustness data

Method	Column Temperature(C <sup>o</sup> )	Flow Rate (mL/minute)	Retention Time (min)	Tailing Factor	Theoretical plate	Resolution with nearest peak		Recovery (%)
						Before	After	
Nominal	55	0.50	7.8	1.2	23303	1.6	2.1	98.1
1	53	0.45	8.8	1.1	25562	1.6	2.1	98.3
2	53	0.55	8.3	1.2	24796	1.7	2.1	98.2
3	57	0.45	8.1	1.2	24221	1.6	2.1	98.2
4	57	0.55	7.2	1.2	23285	1.5	2.0	99.4
Mean								98.4
%RSD								0.54



Table 7. Forced degradation data

Stress Types	Stress conditions	Assay Recovery (%)	Mass Balance (%)	No. of Degradants/impurities at 355 nm		Resolution between nearest degradant	
				Known (Tretinoin)	Unknown	Before	After
				Light	visible light not less than 1200000 lx.h followed by UVA ration at 220 W.h/m <sup>2</sup>	90.9	98.5
Heat	95°C for 3 days	87.9	97.5	1	2	1.7	12.6
Oxidation	20 mL of 30% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> for 8 hours	81.0	99.4	1	peak not found	-*	12.2
Hydrolysis	20 mL of	93.7	98.0	1	1	1.6	12.4

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

	purified water into sample for 3 days							
Acid	2M HCl for 1 day	73.7	88.7	1	6	10.5	12.6	
Base	1M NaOH for 7 days	97.3	97.3	peak not found	peak not found	-*	-*	

---

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

-\*: No nearest peak was identified.

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

**Table 8. Specificity study**

<b>Compound</b>	<b>Retention time</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>Tailing Factor</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>
Isotretinoin	7.56	0.06	1.1	0.0	6.987	0.01
Alitretinoin	9.075	0.03	1.0	0.0	3.461	0.02
Tretinoin	9.657	0.0	1.1	0.0		

45  
46  
47




Figure 1.a. Standard solution spiked with isotretinoin and tretinoin




Figure 1.b. An overlay of alitretinoin (7.521min), isotretinoin(6.886 min) and tretinoin (7.582 min) solution. The alitretinoin peak elute about the same time as tretinoin.




Figure 1.c. UV light degraded standard solution, a shoulder was noticed on the isotretinoin peak (isotretinoin at 6.914 min, tretinoin at 7.569min).







Figure 2. UV light degraded standard solution. Resolution between of isotretinoin and its light degradant 1 was 1.5 and 2.0 for light degradant 2. Resolution between alitretinoin and tretinoin was 3.3.




16  
17 a. Unstressed isotretinoin solution.




33  
34 b. Light Stressed solution




51 c. Heat stressed solution



d. Peroxide stressed solution




e. Hydrolysis solution



f. Acid stressed solution





g. Base stressed solution

Figure 3. Chromatograms of isotretinoin samples exposed to different stressed conditions

(a) Unstressed samples (b) light (c) Heat, 95C (d) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (e) hydrolysis by exposing to water (f) HCl (g) 1M NaOH.




Figure 4. Spiked alitretinoin (3rd peak) and tretinoin (2nd peak) did not interfere with isotretinoin (1st peak). Alitretinoin and tretinoin were also well separated from each other.