

# LJMU Research Online

Wilding, CS, Fletcher, N, Smith, EK, Prentis, P, Weedall, GD and Stewart, Z

The genome of the sea anemone Actinia equina (L.): Meiotic toolkit genes and the question of sexual reproduction.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12593/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Wilding, CS, Fletcher, N, Smith, EK, Prentis, P, Weedall, GD and Stewart, Z (2020) The genome of the sea anemone Actinia equina (L.): Meiotic toolkit genes and the question of sexual reproduction. Marine Genomics. ISSN 1874-7787

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	The genome of the sea anemone Actinia equina (L.): meiotic toolkit genes and the question of sexual
2	reproduction.
3	Craig S. Wilding <sup>1</sup> , Nicola Fletcher <sup>1</sup> , Eleanor K. Smith <sup>1</sup> , Peter Prentis <sup>2,3</sup> , Gareth D. Weedall <sup>1</sup> and Zac
4	Stewart <sup>2</sup> .
5	1. School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom
6	Street, Liverpool, L3 3AF, UK.
7	2. School of Earth, Environmental and Biological Sciences, Science and Engineering Faculty,
8	Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia
9	<b>3.</b> Institute for Future Environments, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

#### 10 ABSTRACT

11 The beadlet anemone Actinia equina (L.) (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Actiniaria: Actiniidae) is one of the most familiar organisms of the North European intertidal zone. Once considered a single, morphologically 12 13 variable species across northern Europe, it is now recognised as one member of a variable species 14 complex. Previous studies of distribution, aggression, allozymes and mitochondrial DNA suggest that 15 the diversity in form and colour within A. equina may hide still unrecognised species diversity. To 16 empower further study of A. equina population genetics and systematics, we sequenced (PacBio 17 Sequel) the genome of a single A. equina individual to produce a high-quality genome assembly (contig N<sub>50</sub> = 492,607bp, 1,485 contigs, number of protein coding genes = 47,671, 97% BUSCO completeness). 18 19 There is debate as to whether A. equina reproduces solely asexually, since no reliable, consistent 20 evidence of sexual reproduction has been found. To gain further insight, we examined the genome for 21 evidence of a 'meiotic toolkit' - genes believed to be found consistently in sexually reproducing 22 organisms – and demonstrate that the A. equina genome appears not to have this full complement. 23 Additionally, Smudgeplot analysis, coupled with high haplotype diversity, indicates this genome 24 assembly to be of ambiguous ploidy, suggesting that A. equina may not be diploid. The suggested 25 polyploid nature of this species coupled with the deficiency in meiotic toolkit genes, indicates that 26 further field and laboratory studies of this species is warranted to understand how this species 27 reproduces and what role ploidy may play in speciation within this speciose genus.

28

#### 29 KEYWORDS

30 Meiotic toolkit; ploidy; phylum Cnidaria; cryptic species; speciation

31

#### 32 INTRODUCTION

33 Genomic resources open up fantastic opportunities in population, speciation and comparative 34 genomics [1-3]. However, non-model organisms typically lack such resources. This is particularly true 35 for members of the phylum Cnidaria which, with currently only 26 genomes

36 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome) available for over 11,000 species [4], the majority from coral 37 species [5], is particularly underrepresented in genome databases. Of these, only three are from the 38 1,100+ species [6] of Actiniarian sea anemones: Nematostella vectensis [7], Exaiptasia pallida [8] and 39 Anemonia viridis [9], with an additional genome from Actinia tenebrosa recently completed [10]. 40 Although transcriptomes are available for some other anemone species e.g. [11, 12], these lack 41 corresponding genome assemblies. Yet Cnidarian genomes are extremely variable in terms of size, 42 base composition, transposable element content, and gene conservation [13], and much can be learnt 43 of the developmental transcriptional machinery from them [14] and so invite further study.

44

A common northern European Cnidarian is the littoral anthozoan Actinia equina. Despite its 45 46 familiarity, A. equina has a complex and unresolved taxonomic history [15-17]. What was once 47 considered a single polymorphic species with a wide geographic range is now defined as a species 48 complex [16], with A. equina sensu lato split into at least A. equina (L.), A. prasina [18], A. fragacea 49 [19] (but see [20]), A. nigropunctata [21], A. ebhayiensis [22], A. schmidti and A. sali [23], mostly based 50 upon allozyme electrophoresis. Some members of the genus remain poorly described and others likely 51 still contain cryptic species diversity [16]. For example, A. equina sensu stricto exists as a number of 52 differently coloured morphs with a, typically, red or red-brown column but pedal discs (the structure 53 used to attach to rocks) that can be red, pink, orange, green or grey. Animals with red/pink discs differ 54 from those with green/grey discs in a variety of ways, including intertidal distribution [24-26], 55 adhesiveness [25], aggression [27, 28], and nematocyst [29] and acrorhagial [30] morphology. In addition, both allozyme [24, 25, 30] and mitochondrial DNA [31] studies suggest genetic 56 57 differentiation among these morphs. It seems likely that further diversity awaits discovery within this 58 'species'.

Cnidaria exhibit a range of reproductive strategies from fully sexual to asexual – employing pedal
laceration, fission, budding, parthenogenesis or somatic embryogenesis [32-35] – though a mixture of
both sexual and asexual strategies is common. Asexual reproduction in some species is associated

62 with periods of environmental stress, small body size or poor nutrition [32] and such facultative 63 asexual reproduction has been reported in numerous species e.g. Actinia tenebrosa [36], Anthopleura 64 elegantissima [37], Haliplanella luciae [38] and Sagartia elegans [39]. Although A. equina has been 65 widely studied in an ecological context, there remain doubts about whether it reproduces sexually, 66 asexually or uses a combination of both strategies, although much of the literature fails to distinguish 67 between facultative and obligate asexuality. Thus, whilst Schama et al. [40] concluded that 'the 68 binomial A. equina was retained for the asexually reproducing British samples' and Spaulding [41] 69 reports A. equina as an obligate brooder, various studies [42-44] have detected gonadal tissue in A. 70 equina and indeed described the nature of the sperm of this species [45].

71 Many of the studies reporting evidence of sexual reproduction (presence of eggs/sperm) predate the 72 recognition of cryptic species among A. equina s.l. Due to the morphological similarity, and 73 overlapping distributions of some of these species it is unclear whether samples claimed to be sexually 74 reproducing definitively concern A. equina or other species, unrecognised at the time of study, which 75 may have different reproductive strategies. For example, two species previously regarded as varieties 76 of A. equina: A. fragacea [46] and A. cari [16] are non-brooding. Population genetics does suggest 77 sexuality in A. equina, since populations examined through allozyme electrophoresis are typically in 78 Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium [16] and Chomsky et al. [47] detected unique AFLP profiles for all 79 individuals examined from the Mediterranean coast of Israel, arguing against a clonal origin. However, 80 the samples studied by Chomsky et al. [47] have now been recognised as A. schmidti [48], again 81 reinforcing the problem in interpreting studies of this species which pre-date the application of genetic 82 studies. It would also be expected that if A. equina reproduced sexually, planktonic planulae would be 83 seen in plankton tows. However, no Actinia samples were found from metabarcoding Adriatic Sea 84 plankton samples [49] although six other Actiniarians and 12 hydrozoans were successfully identified.

85 It is certainly the case that *A. equina* are frequently encountered with young brooding within the
86 coelenteron [42, 43]. These juvenile offspring have been suggested to arise through parthenogenesis
87 or perhaps somatic embryogenesis [46, 50] or internal budding [51], a strategy rare in other genera

88 [33]. It has also been argued that those brooded young may represent sexually reproduced individuals 89 which have subsequently re-entered an adult anemone. Although A. equina are capable of holding 90 allogeneic individuals if these are introduced artificially [52] evidence from colouration matching the 91 brooding 'parent' [53], from allozyme data [42, 51] and from DNA evidence [35] confirms that 92 juveniles within the coelenteron are clonal individuals. However, this does not necessarily mean that 93 A. equina are obligately asexual. A variety of anemones including A. schmidti [48] and A. tenebrosa 94 [54] employ both sexual and asexual phases dependent upon the ecological context. Actinia may 95 employ a mixed reproductive strategy with sporadic sexual recruitment [55], although there remains 96 a lack of definitive, conclusive laboratory or field evidence for the existence of sexually reproduced 97 larvae.

Genomics may shed light on this issue. Though there appear to be no consistent genomic signatures of asexuality across diverse taxa [56], species which undergo sexual reproduction require a series of genes involved in meiotic recombination and DNA repair, and the presence and expression of these within sequenced genomes may imply that a species undergoes sexual reproduction [57-59]. This set of genes has been termed 'the meiotic toolkit' and used previously to study the modes of reproduction in a variety of groups including arthropods [60], diatoms [61] and protists [62]. The absence of the full meiotic toolkit complement may suggest obligate asexuality in *A. equina*.

Here, we sequenced a single individual of *A. equina* and, from the resultant genome, annotated the genes of the meiotic toolkit, estimated ploidy, and designed PCR primers to amplify a polymorphic toxin locus, using these to provide additional evidence that what is currently considered as a single species (*A. equina*) is composed of more than a single genetic entity. This genomic resource promises much for the future detailed unravelling of this species.

110

## 111 MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 112 DNA extraction and sequencing

113 We have previously described the genome sequencing for this species [31]. Briefly, genomic DNA was 114 extracted from a single individual A. equina with a red column and red pedal disk collected from 115 Rhosneigr, Wales, UK, following grinding in liquid nitrogen in 20 ml 80mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 100mM Tris-116 HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5% SDS, 100 µg/ml proteinase K, and 40 µl RNaseA (100 mg/ml) and incubated at 60°C 117 for 3 h. Salt-chloroform extraction [63] of DNA was undertaken, then DNA precipitated with 0.6 volumes of isopropanol, and dissolved in water, following which additional purification was 118 119 undertaken using a Qiagen Genomic Tip 20/G and precipitated a second time with 0.6 volumes of 120 isopropanol. 20 kb-insert PacBio sequencing libraries were sequenced on five SMRT cells on a Pacific 121 Biosciences Sequel (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) at the Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool. Full MIxS details for this project are provided in Table 1. 122

123

## 124 Genome assembly

125 Assembly of subreads was undertaken using three separate assembly methods: CANU v1.7 [64], 126 SMARTdenovo (https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo) or WTDBG [65]. For diploid species with 127 high levels of polymorphism, alternative haplotypes at the same genomic region may be assembled 128 into multiple separate sequence contigs that appear to be different genomic regions in the final 129 assembly, erroneously inflating the haploid genome size. To reduce the impact of this on assembly, 130 for the SMARTdenovo assembly, the Purge Haplotigs procedure [66] was applied. For CANU v1.7 [64] 131 all subreads were assembled in three steps: read correction, trimming and assembly. Read correction 132 and trimming were run with default parameters for PacBio data and an estimated genome size of 503 133 Mb, based on [67]. Assembly of trimmed reads was run for a range of predicted error rates ('error' 134 here also includes heterozygosity). The default value for corrected PacBio reads is 0.045, which was 135 run in addition to 0.035, 0.055, 0.065, 0.075, 0.085, 0.095 and 0.105. Assembly quality was assessed 136 for each assembly using N statistics and BUSCO analysis [68, 69], with the 978 gene 'Metazoa' 137 reference set.

138

#### 139 *Meiotic toolkit gene model annotation*

140 Gene models were produced using a transcriptome assembled from short-read Illumina transcript 141 sequences of Actinia equina (accessions SRX4378330 and SRX4378325 [70]) using a combination of 142 different assemblers: Trinity de novo/genome-guided [71, 72], Velvet-oases [73], SOAPdenovo-trans 143 [74], with EvidentialGene and Scallop [75]) combined 144 https://sourceforge.net/projects/evidentialgene/. Gene model annotation involved using 145 EVidenceModeler to combine Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments (PASA) outputs [76] and 146 BRAKER [77] with 2x PASA updates followed by downstream processing using a custom script 147 (processing pipeline.sh; https://github.com/zkstewart/Genome analysis scripts) in which gmap\_gene\_find.py was used to annotate extra genes missed by PASA+BRAKER, followed by 148 149 automatic removal of transposons and rRNA models falsely annotated as coding genes. Gene models 150 annotated on contigs that Purge Haplotigs identified as 'additional' haplotypes were then removed to 151 avoid 'double-counting' of alleles as paralogues. The final set of gene models was used to produce a 152 set of predicted transcripts that were analysed for genome 'completeness' using BUSCO [68, 69] (with 153 the option '-m trans') to identify orthologues of the 978-gene 'Metazoa' single-copy orthologues 154 reference gene set.

There is some variation in which genes are considered to be part of the meiotic toolkit. Patil *et al.* [61] lists 37, Malik *et al.* [62] 29, Schurko and Logsdon Jr [57] 12 and Hofstatter and Lahr [58] 14. Here, we combined the genes in these four studies to search for a total of 46 genes (Table 2).

Meiotic toolkit genes were verified in the *A. equina* genome through standalone tBLASTn searching of transcripts using sequences from the anthozoans *Nematostella vectensis, Exaiptasia pallida* or *Pocillipora damicornis* (Supp. Table 1) or other invertebrates where no orthologue in these Cnidarian species could be found. Meiotic toolkit transcripts were subsequently used in BLASTn searches of the final genome assembly with intron/exon structure manually annotated. Where no transcript was found, tBLASTn searches of the genome assembly was undertaken. Intron/exon structures of genes

were determined manually following transcript alignments to genomic contigs and, where necessary,
extended using tBLASTn searches.

166

## 167 Variation in the Acrorhagin-1 gene

168 Anemones with red columns and red, orange, or green pedal discs were collected from 10 locations 169 in England (New Brighton), Scotland (Millport), Wales (Abraham's Bosom – Holyhead, Llandudno, 170 Marloes, Penbryn and Rhosneigr), Ireland (Portmarnock) and the Isle of Man (Peel and Niarbyl). 171 Location and sample details are provided in Supp. Table 2. DNA was extracted from clips of tentacles or pedal disc using the GeneJet Genomic DNA purification kit following the manufacturer's 172 173 instructions. (5'-TTTGCGAGAAGTTGGATTTCC-3') (5'-Primers AcroF1 and AcroR1 174 GCAGCGTCCTTTGAACATCA-3') to amplify Acrorhagin-1 [78] (Genbank accession number AB212066) 175 which is intron-less in this genome assembly and therefore amplifiable from genomic DNA without 176 the issue of length variable introns disrupting sequencing quality, were designed using Primer3 [79]. 177 PCR reactions were conducted for 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds; 55°C for 30 seconds; and 72°C for 178 1 minute with successful PCR products cleaned using a GeneJet PCR purification kit and sequenced 179 using both AcroF1 and AcroR1 by GATC Biotech (Constanz, Germany). Sequences were aligned and 180 manually edited in CodonCode Aligner (CodonCode Corporation). Where indels resulted in 181 heterozygous sequences containing strings of double peaks, haplotypes were separated using Poly 182 Peak Parser [80].

183

### 184 *Ploidy estimation*

Smudgeplot v0.2.1 [81] was used to estimate ploidy levels from corrected reads generated using
MECAT (a modified Canu) [82] using default settings excepting that a k-mer value of 31 was used
instead of 21.

188

189 **RESULTS** 

#### 190 Genome Assembly Statistics

191 PacBio sequencing produced 3,507,426 'polymerase' reads (single reads that can cover the same 192 insert multiple times) that were split into a total of 4,936,001 subreads (full or partial passes of the 193 same insert). Of these subreads, 487,629 were longer than 20 kb and 1,409,598 longer than 10 kb.

194 Raw FASTQ data have been submitted to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with accession number195 SRR7651651.

196 Assembly statistics from the three separate assembly methods utilised (Canu, SMARTdenovo and 197 WTDBG) are shown in Table 3. Because of the high number of contigs in the WTDBG assembly, Purge 198 Haplotigs was not run and this assembly was not further considered. For the Canu assembly, the effect 199 of different error rates is shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 with the default error rate (0.045) accepted 200 since this produced the optimum balance of genome size and number of contigs. The 'best' assembly, 201 as adjudged by higher N<sub>50</sub> and lower number of contigs is the SMARTdenovo assembly following Purge 202 Haplotigs. This genome assembly has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession 203 WHPX00000000. The version described in this paper is version WHPX01000000 and has been used in 204 all further BLAST analyses. It is also available on the ReefGenomics web resource [83].

205 The best assembly (SMARTdenovo + Purge Haplotigs) was taken forward for detailed annotation. The 206 predicted proteome of A. equina, based upon genome annotation of this assembly, contains 47,671 207 proteins (55,607 including alternative isoforms). BUSCO analysis indicated a high level of 208 completeness of these gene models. Of the 978 reference genes in the 'Metazoa' gene set, 949 (97%) 209 were found with 618 (63.2%) complete single-copy orthologues, 331 (33.8%) complete but duplicated, 210 19 (1.9%) fragmented and 10 (1.1%) missing. We note that, despite the Purge Haplotigs contigs 211 removal step, a large proportion of these apparently single-copy genes were duplicated, suggesting 212 that either the process may incompletely remove such allelic contigs or that the genome is unusually 213 repetitive and the gene duplication represents a real phenomenon. Regarding the missing genes, we also note that a higher BUSCO score (98.2% completeness) was found before the Purge Haplotigs 214

contig removal step, thus it may be possible that manual inspection of the contigs Purge Haplotigs

216 flagged for removal could marginally elevate the BUSCO completeness score in this assembly.

217

## 218 Meiotic toolkit

219 We searched the transcriptome and genome for 46 'meiotic toolkit' genes [57, 58, 61, 62] and found 220 evidence for 41 of them (Table 2) with 27 completely annotated at the transcript and genomic level, 221 four with partial transcript but complete genome annotations, and the remaining 10 being partial 222 annotations. Of the core 13 meiotic proteins discussed in [57, 58, 61, 62], only eight were found, with 223 Hop1, Mer3, Msh4, Rec8 and Zip4 all missing (Table 2). We note that for Scc3 the best match is Cohesin 224 subunit SA-1, and Mlh2 was not found, with the closest match being Pms2 (partial transcript and 225 partial genome annotation). Some genes in the genome assembly (but not transcript sequence) had a 226 single indel relative to the aligned transcript which would have shifted the reading frame. Since PacBio 227 assemblies are known to be at risk of this [84], we adjusted the gene annotation based on the 228 transcript sequence to produce full ORFs.

All meiotic toolkit gene annotations have been submitted to Genbank (Accession numbersMN307071-MN307111).

231

### 232 Polyploidy

Smudgeplot analysis using default settings indicated *A. equina* to have ambiguous ploidy status (Figure
1), with a diploid (AB) confidence of only 0.39, triploid (AAB) of 0.28, and tetraploid (AAAB) of 0.28.
Additionally, BUSCO analysis indicated a high percentage of duplicated genes (33.8% duplicated genes
- see above).

237

## 238 Toxin gene haplotypes

Primers AcroF1 and AcroR1 amplified only a single band from all anemones. There was discrete
difference in size of amplified products from anemones with a red/orange pedal disc (389bp) versus

241 those with a green pedal disk (547-550 bp). Alignments of the full-length sequences seen in the 242 population are shown in Fig 2 and the haplotype network from the coding sequence in Supplementary 243 Fig. S2. From 57 individual anemones we identified seven haplotypes (Accession numbers MN605634-244 MN605640) and eight separate genotypes. Regardless of collection locale, anemones with a green 245 pedal disc differed in length and sequence from those with a red/orange pedal disc; haplotypes 1-4 246 were seen only in anemones with a green pedal disc, whilst haplotypes 5-7 were seen in only 247 anemones with a red pedal disc (Table 4). Haplotype 6 was identical in sequence to the sequence of 248 Acrorhagin-1 from [78]. Substantial variation was present in the 5'-UTR which displayed significant 249 length and sequence variation between haplotypes but variation was also present in the coding 250 sequence with both non-synonymous and frame-shift variation (a single base (A) frame-shift deletion 251 in the coding sequence of haplotype 1 results in truncation of the coding sequence).

252

## 253 DISCUSSION

254 Here, we provide a high-quality genome resource for the well-studied Cnidarian A. equina with an N<sub>50</sub> 255 of 492,607bp and a BUSCO completeness of 97%. The estimated genome size of 409.0 MBp is 256 somewhat smaller than the 503MBp predicted by flow cytometric (FC) analysis [67] although since the 257 specimen of A. equina used for FC was collected in Japanese waters, it is not clear whether it truly was 258 A. equina (regarded as a North European species) or an unrecognised congeneric member of the 259 species complex and therefore not fully representative of A. equina sensu stricto (though we note that 260 the anemone sample of Honma et al. [78] was collected in Japanese waters and has an identical 261 Acrorhagin-1 haplotype to those found in UK waters). The genome size is larger than that estimated 262 for the draft genome of its congener A. tenebrosa at 255Mbp, though this draft genome may not have 263 fully captured all repeat regions [10]. The genome of *A. equina* is available through ReefGenomics.org 264 [83], making it accessible to the research community. We utilise this to show that A. equina is missing 265 some of the genes regarded as critical for meiosis, providing evidence that A. equina may indeed be 266 asexual, as suggested by Schama et al. [40].

267 We were able to annotate only 40 of 46 genes suggested by various authors [57, 58, 61, 62] to form 268 part of the meiotic toolkit. No matches in either the genome or transcriptome were found for Hop1, 269 Mer3, Msh4, Rec8 or Zip4 whilst BLAST searches with Mlh2 identified a partial match to Pms2 only, 270 yet the eukaryotic orthologue of *Mlh2* is *Pms1* not *Pms2* [85] and a partial annotation of *Pms1* was 271 separately successfully completed. In stark contrast to Actinia, both Exaiptasia pallida and Pocillipora 272 damicornis exhibit a full complement of these meiotic toolkit genes with the exception of Mlh2 which 273 appears absent in all Cnidaria (Supp. Table 1). In addition to Mlh2, Rec8 could not be found within 274 either the Nematostella genome [7] or transcriptome [86]. Whilst Nematostella appears not to display 275 the full meiotic toolkit complement seen in Exaiptasia and Pocillopora, it does exhibit a more complete complement than Actinia despite the BUSCO completeness for Nematostella being lower than that of 276 277 Actinia (93.8% vs. 97% for gene model statistics). Thus, Rec8 may simply be missing in Nematostella 278 due to a less complete assembly.

279 The apparent absence of six MT loci in the assembled Actinia genome could also result from 280 incomplete assembly, whilst their absence from the transcriptomic dataset may be due to sampling 281 of tissue/timepoints/developmental stages in which those genes are not expressed. However, the 282 absence of these same six genes from two independent datasets – the genome reported here and the 283 transcriptome built from the data of Waldron et al. [70] is suggestive that these genes are indeed 284 absent from A. equina. Three other gene annotations contained apparent single indels in the genomic 285 annotation that were not present in the corresponding transcripts, which could indicate the presence 286 of pseudogenes. However, as indels are a common error in PacBio data [84, 87], we have corrected 287 the gene model contingent upon the transcript data. For some genes we were able only to generate 288 a partial annotation of both gene model and transcript. Identification of full-length orthologues may 289 be hampered where genes are highly variable, which is particularly true in some gene regions of BRCA2 290 where N. vectensis does have substantial differences from the human orthologue [88]. Thus, BLAST 291 searches may not have identified the complete transcript leading to difficulty in annotating the full-292 length gene. Nevertheless, the fact that the 40 genes (41 with Pms2) fully or partially annotated are

functionally expressed (as evidenced from transcript data) suggests complete annotation should be
possible for all identified genes if deeper transcript sequencing, or full-length isoform sequencing [89]
is undertaken.

296 We identified 97% BUSCO completeness in the gene models from this genome, indicative of a high-297 quality genome. However, whilst largely complete, a significant proportion of genes (33.8%) are 298 duplicated. This is much higher than that seen in other Cnidarian genomes [90]. This high level of 299 duplicated loci could result from uncollapsed haplotypes in the data (despite the fact that Purge 300 Haplotigs was run to remove these) or from complete or partial genome duplication. Contrary to what 301 is expected in diploid species, Smudgeplot analysis of A. equina did not provide a clear indication of 302 diploidy, with alternative ploidy statuses of triploidy or tetraploidy also being likely; this observation 303 alongside the gene duplication suggested by BUSCO results indicates that this species may not be 304 diploid. This ambiguous ploidy determination is in contrast to other Actiniarians which are confidently 305 identified as diploid (Stewart and Prentis, unpublished). Questions have been raised previously about 306 ploidy levels in the genus Actinia. Perrin et al. [16], reviewing the data from allozyme electrophoresis 307 studies queried 'whether existence of multiple loci has resulted from duplication of restricted portions 308 of the genome or from polyploidy'. Polyploidy is not unknown in phylum Cnidaria. Karyotype analysis 309 indicates triploidy and tetraploidy occurs in the coral genus Acropora [91] and Shaw et al. [92] showed 310 closely related Sagartia species have differing ploidy levels – Sagartia troglodytes var. decorata being 311 diploid and Sagartia troglodytes var. ornata being tetraploid. Ploidy can be important in speciation 312 [93, 94] and may be linked to asexual lineages [94]. Indeed, in 'asexual complexes', sexual species 313 coexist and reproduce with asexual biotypes that have arisen from sexual ancestors producing derived 314 biotypes of differing ploidy [94]. Thus, in any study of such a speciose genus as Actinia which has 315 evidence of a mixture of sexual and asexual lineages it is important to consider further investigation 316 of sample ploidy, and it remains to be seen whether A. equina exists as forms with >1 ploidy level. 317 Ploidy estimation through karyotyping can be difficult in Cnidarians [95], especially where gametes 318 are not easily accessible. However, since ploidy can be estimated from microsatellite [96], genotypingby-sequencing [97], and whole genome data [98], this genome will empower development of tools forthis.

321 Previous genetic research to look at population genetics or phylogenetics of this species and its close 322 relatives has been limited by the low number of loci for consideration. The mtDNA, previously used to 323 look at differentiation within A. equina [31] is a single locus and evolves slowly in the Anthozoa [99], 324 and while multi-locus allozyme studies [18, 23-25, 30, 100] and rDNA sequencing [20, 35] have been 325 undertaken, they are both limited in scope since they are restricted to a small number of loci [101]. 326 This genome effectively removes these limits. We have utilised this resource to study genetic 327 differentiation at a toxin locus (Acrorhagin-1) demonstrating additional nuclear DNA evidence (in 328 concert with the mtDNA data of [31]) that there is consistent differentiation between pedal disc colour 329 morphs collected from across the Western UK, with red-pedal disk and green-pedal disk morphs 330 displaying highly divergent Acrorhagin-1 haplotype lengths and sequences. The indel present in 331 haplotype 1 from green-based anemones changes the reading frame substantially and it will be 332 important to study whether this remains functional. It thus seems likely that what is currently 333 recognised as A. equina is indeed >1 species and that further work using multi-locus data is needed to 334 further investigate this. A replicated, structured ecological sampling coupled with genomic scale 335 variant screening is ultimately necessary to quantify the variation between these two morphs. This 336 genome provides the tools to undertake this, empowering understanding of the number of species in 337 this common, familiar, but perhaps underappreciated genus.

338

## 339 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by an award from the Liverpool John Moores University – Technology Directorate Voucher Scheme providing access to University of Liverpool's Shared Research Facilities. We wish to thank Ríoghnach O'Neill and Síofra, Ruairí and Ronan Palliser for assistance with the anemone sampling at Portmarnock, Ireland.

#### 345 **REFERENCES**

346 [1] C.R. Campbell, J.W. Poelstra, A.D. Yoder, What is speciation genomics? The roles of ecology, gene

- flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species, Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 124 (2018) 561-583.
- [2] N. Galtier, Delineating species in the speciation continuum: a proposal, Evol. Appl., 12 (2019) 657663.
- [3] N.J. Nadeau, T. Kawakami, Population genomics of speciation and admixture, in: O.P. Rajora (Ed.)
  Population Genomics, Springer, 2018, pp. 1-41.
- 352 [4] M. Daly, M.R. Brugler, P. Cartwright, A.G. Collins, M.N. Dawson, D.G. Fautin, S.C. France, C.S.

353 Mcfadden, D.M. Opresko, E. Rodriguez, S.L. Romano, J.L. Stake, The phylum Cnidaria: A review of

354 phylogenetic patterns and diversity 300 years after Linnaeus, Zootaxa, 1668 (2007) 127-182.

355 [5] D. Bhattacharya, S. Agrawal, M. Aranda, S. Baumgarten, M. Belcaid, J.L. Drake, D. Erwin, S. Foret,

356 R.D. Gates, D.F. Gruber, B. Kamel, M.P. Lesser, O. Levy, Y.J. Liew, M. MacManes, T. Mass, M. Medina,

357 S. Mehr, E. Meyer, D.C. Price, H.M. Putnam, H. Qiu, C. Shinzato, E. Shoguchi, A.J. Stokes, S. Tambutté,

358 D. Tchernov, C.R. Voolstra, N. Wagner, C.W. Walker, A.P.M. Weber, V. Weis, E. Zelzion, D. Zoccola,

- P.G. Falkowski, Comparative genomics explains the evolutionary success of reef-forming corals, eLife,
  5 (2016) e13288.
- [6] D.G. Fautin, T. Zelenchuk, D. Raveendran, Genera of orders Actiniaria and Corallimorpharia
  (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Hexacorallia), and their type species, Zootaxa, 1668 (2007) 62.

363 [7] N.H. Putnam, M. Srivastava, U. Hellsten, B. Dirks, J. Chapman, A. Salamov, A. Terry, H. Shapiro, E.

Lindquist, V.V. Kapitonov, J. Jurka, G. Genikhovich, I.V. Grigoriev, S.M. Lucas, R.E. Steele, J.R. Finnerty,

365 U. Technau, M.Q. Martindale, D.S. Rokhsar, Sea anemone genome reveals ancestral eumetazoan gene

repertoire and genomic organization, Science, 317 (2007) 86-94.

367 [8] S. Baumgarten, O. Simakov, L.Y. Esherick, Y.J. Liew, E.M. Lehnert, C.T. Michell, Y. Li, E.A. Hambleton,

A. Guse, M.E. Oates, J. Gough, V.M. Weis, M. Aranda, J.R. Pringle, C.R. Voolstra, The genome of

- 369 Aiptasia, a sea anemone model for coral symbiosis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112 (2015) 11893-
- 370 11898.

- [9] I. Urbarova, H. Patel, S. Forêt, B.O. Karlsen, T.E. Jørgensen, J.M. Hall-Spencer, S.D. Johansen,
  Elucidating the small regulatory RNA repertoire of the sea anemone *Anemonia viridis* based on whole
  genome and small RNA sequencing, Genome Biol. Evol., 10 (2018) 410-426.
- [10] J.M. Surm, Z.K. Stewart, A. Papanicolaou, A. Pavasovic, P.J. Prentis, The draft genome of *Actinia tenebrosa* reveals insights into toxin evolution, Ecol. Evol., 9 (2019) 11314-11328.
- [11] S.A. Kitchen, C.M. Crowder, A.Z. Poole, V.M. Weis, E. Meyer, *De novo* assembly and
   characterization of four Anthozoan (phylum Cnidaria) transcriptomes, G3: Genes | Genomes | Genetics,
- 378 5 (2015) 2441-2452.
- 379 [12] J.M. Surm, H.L. Smith, B. Madio, E.A.B. Undheim, G.F. King, B.R. Hamilton, C.A. van der Burg, A.
- 380 Pavasovic, P.J. Prentis, A process of convergent amplification and tissue-specific expression dominates
- the evolution of toxin and toxin-like genes in sea anemones, Mol. Ecol., 28 (2019) 2272-2289.
- 382 [13] R.E. Steele, C.N. David, U. Technau, A genomic view of 500 million years of cnidarian evolution,
- 383 Trends Genet., 27 (2011) 7-13.
- [14] U. Technau, M. Schwaiger, Recent advances in genomics and transcriptomics of Cnidarians, Mar.
- 385 Genomics, 24 (2015) 131-138.
- 386 [15] R.L. Manuel, British Anthozoa, E.J. Brill, Leiden, 1988.
- 387 [16] M.C. Perrin, J.P. Thorpe, A.M. Solé-Cava, Population structuring, gene dispersal and reproduction
- in the Actinia equina species group, Oceanog. Mar. Biol., 37 (1999) 129-152.
- 389 [17] T.A. Stephenson, The British sea anemones, The Ray Society, London, 1935.
- 390 [18] A.M. Sole-Cava, J.P. Thorpe, Further genetic evidence for the reproductive isolation of green sea
- anemone Actinia prasina Gosse from common intertidal beadlet anemone Actinia equina (L.), Mar.
- 392 Ecol. Prog. Ser., 38 (1987) 225-229.
- [19] M.A. Carter, J.P. Thorpe, Reproductive, genetic and ecological evidence that *Actinia equina* var.
- 394 *mesembryanthemum* and var. *fragacea* are not conspecific, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 61 (1981) 79-93.

- [20] A.M. Pereira, C. Brito, J. Sanches, C. Sousa-Santos, J.I. Robalo, Absence of consistent genetic
  differentiation among several morphs of *Actinia* (Actiniaria: Actiniidae) occurring in the Portuguese
  coast, Zootaxa, 3893 (2014) 595-600.
- 398 [21] J.C. den Hartog, O. Ocaña, A new endemic *Actinia* species (Actiniaria: Actiniidae) from the central
  399 Macaronesian Archipelagos, Zool. Meded. (Leiden), 77 (2003) 1-14.
- 400 [22] R. Schama, M. Mitchell, A.M. Solé-Cava, Actinia ebhayiensis sp. nov., a new species of sea
- anemone (Anthozoa: Actiniaria: Actiniidae) from South Africa, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 92 (2012) 885894.
- 403 [23] F.A. Monteiro, A.M. Solé-Cava, J.P. Thorpe, Extensive genetic divergence between populations of
- 404 the common intertidal sea anemone *Actinia equina* from Britain, the Mediterranean and the Cape
- 405 Verde Islands, Mar. Biol., 129 (1997) 425-433.
- 406 [24] D.L.J. Quicke, R.C. Brace, Evidence for the existence of a third, ecologically distinct morph of the 407 anemone, *Actinia equina*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 64 (1984) 531-534.
- 408 [25] D.L.J. Quicke, A.M. Donoghue, R.C. Brace, Biochemical-genetic and ecological evidence that
- red/brown individuals of the anemone *Actinia equina* comprise two morphs in Britain, Mar. Biol., 77
  (1983) 29-37.
- 411 [26] D.L.J. Quicke, A.M. Donoghue, T.F. Keeling, R.C. Brace, Littoral distributions and evidence for
- 412 differential post-settlement selection of the morphs of *Actinia equina*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 65
- 413 (1985) 1-20.
- 414 [27] R.C. Brace, H.A. Reynolds, Relative intraspecific aggressiveness of pedal disc colour phenotypes
  415 of the beadlet anemone, *Actinia equina*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 69 (1989) 273-278.
- 416 [28] J.R. Collins, E.L. Vernon, J.S. Thomson, Variation in risk-taking and aggression in morphotypes of
- 417 the beadlet anemone, Actinia equina (L.), and the green anemone, Actinia prasina (Gosse), J. Exp. Mar.
- 418 Biol. Ecol., 496 (2017) 29-36.
- 419 [29] A.L. Allcock, P.C. Watts, J.P. Thorpe, Divergence of nematocysts in two colour morphs of the
- 420 intertidal beadlet anemone *Actinia equina*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 78 (1998) 821-828.

- 421 [30] A.M. Donoghue, D.L.J. Quicke, R.C. Brace, Biochemical-genetic and acrorhagial characteristics of
- 422 pedal disc colour phenotypes of *Actinia equina*, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 65 (1985) 21-33.

423 [31] C.S. Wilding, G.D. Weedall, Morphotypes of the common beadlet anemone Actinia equina (L.) are

424 genetically distinct, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 510 (2019) 81-85.

- 425 [32] F.-S. Chia, Sea anemone reproduction: patterns and adaptive radiations, in: G.O. Mackie (Ed.)
- 426 Coelenterate Ecology and Behavior, Springer, New York, 1976, pp. 261-270.
- 427 [33] E.S. Bocharova, I.A. Kozevich, Modes of reproduction in sea anemones (Cnidaria, Anthozoa), Biol.
  428 Bull., 38 (2011) 849-860.
- 429 [34] A.M. Reitzel, D. Stefanik, J.R. Finnerty, Asexual reproduction in Cnidaria: comparative
   430 developmental processes and candidate mechanisms, in: T. Flatt, A. Heyland (Eds.) Mechanisms of life
- 431 history evolution: the genetics and physiology of life history traits and trade-offs, Oxford Scholarship
  432 Online, 2011, pp. 101-113.
- 433 [35] A.M. Pereira, E. Cadeireiro, J.I. Robalo, Asexual origin of brooding in the sea anemones Actinia
  - 434 *equina* and *A. schmidti*: molecular evidence from the Portuguese shore, N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw. Res., 51
    435 (2016) 316-320.
  - 436 [36] D.J. Ayre, The effects of asexual reproduction and inter-genotypic aggression on the genotypic
  - 437 structure of populations of the sea anemone *Actinia tenebrosa*, Oecologia, 57 (1983) 158-165.
  - 438 [37] C.S. McFadden, R.K. Grosberg, B.B. Cameron, D.P. Karlton, D. Secord, Genetic relationships within
  - 439 and between clonal and solitary forms of the sea anemone Anthopleura elegantissima revisited:
  - 440 evidence for the existence of two species, Mar. Biol., 128 (1997) 127-139.
  - [38] J.M. Shick, A.N. Lamb, Asexual reproduction and genetics population structure in the colonizing
    sea anemone *Haliplanella luciae*, Biol. Bull., 153 (1977) 604-617.
  - [39] P.W. Shaw, Effects of asexual reproduction on population structure of *Sagartia elegans*(Anthozoa: Actiniaria), Hydrobiologia, 216 (1991) 519-525.
  - [40] R. Schama, A.M. Solé-Cava, J.P. Thorpe, Genetic divergence between east and west Atlantic
    populations of *Actinia* spp. sea anemones (Cnidaria: Actiniidae), Marine Biology, 146 (2005) 435-443.

- 447 [41] J.G. Spaulding, Embryonic and larval development in sea anemones (Anthozoa: Actiniaria), Integ.
- 448 Compar. Biol., 14 (2015) 511-520.
- [42] M.A. Carter, C.H. Thorp, The reproduction of *Actinia equina* L. var. *mesembryanthemum*, J. Mar.
- 450 Biol. Assoc. U. K., 59 (1979) 989-1001.
- 451 [43] F.-S. Chia, M.A. Rostron, Some aspects of the reproductive biology of *Actinia equina* [Cnidaria:
- 452 Anthozoa], J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 50 (1970) 253-264.
- 453 [44] M.A. Rostron, J. Rostron, Fecundity and reproductive ecology of a natural population of Actinia
- 454 equina L. (Cnidaria: Anthozoa), J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 33 (1978) 251-259.
- 455 [45] A.U. Larkman, M.A. Carter, The spermatozoon of Actinia equina L. var. mesembryanthemum, J.
- 456 Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 60 (1980) 193-204.
- 457 [46] S.E. Gashout, R.F.G. Ormond, Evidence for parthenogenetic reproduction in the sea anemone
- 458 Actinia equina L., J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 59 (1979) 975-987.
- 459 [47] O. Chomsky, J. Douek, N.E. Chadwick, Z. Dubinsky, B. Rinkevich, Biological and population-genetic
- 460 aspects of the sea anemone Actinia equina (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) along the Mediterranean coast of
- 461 Israel, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 375 (2009) 16-20.
- 462 [48] E.A. Sales, L.F. Afonso, A.M. Pereira, Viviparous reproductive seasonality in Actinia schmidti
- 463 (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) on the Portuguese west coast, Cah. Biol. Mar., 59 (2018) 143-147.
- 464 [49] S. Stefanni, D. Stanković, D. Borme, A. de Olazabal, T. Juretić, A. Pallavicini, V. Tirelli, Multi-marker
- 465 metabarcoding approach to study mesozooplankton at basin scale, Sci. Rep., 8 (2018) 12085.
- 466 [50] D.G. Polteva, Regeneration and somatic embryogenesis of Actinia equina in different stages of
- 467 ontogenic development, Acta Biol. Hung., 14 (1963) 199-208.
- 468 [51] J. Orr, J.P. Thorpe, M.A. Carter, Biochemical genetic confirmation of the asexual reproduction of
- 469 brooded offspring in the sea anemone *Actinia equina*, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 7 (1982) 227-229.
- 470 [52] R. Lubbock, C. Allbut, The sea anemone Actinia equina tolerates allogeneic juveniles but alters
- 471 their phenotype, Nature, 293 (1981) 474-475.
- 472 [53] A.J. Cain, Breeding system of a sessile animal, Nature, 247 (1974) 289-290.

- 473 [54] D.J. Ayre, The effects of sexual and asexual reproduction on geographic variation in the sea
  474 anemone *Actinia tenebrosa*, Oecologia, 62 (1984) 222-229.
- 475 [55] M.A. Carter, J. Miles, Gametogenic cycles and reproduction in the beadlet sea anemone Actinia
- 476 *equina* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa), Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 36 (1989) 129-155.
- 477 [56] K.S. Jaron, J. Bast, T.R. Ranallo-Benavidez, M. Robinson-Rechavi, T. Schwander, Genomic features
- 478 of asexual animals, bioRxiv, (2018) 497495.
- 479 [57] A.M. Schurko, J.M. Logsdon Jr, Using a meiosis detection toolkit to investigate ancient asexual
  480 "scandals" and the evolution of sex, Bioessays, 30 (2008) 579-589.
- 481 [58] P.G. Hofstatter, D.J.G. Lahr, All eukaryotes are sexual, unless proven otherwise, Bioessays, 41
- 482 (2019) 1800246.
- 483 [59] A.M. Schurko, M. Neiman, J.M. Logsdon, Jr., Signs of sex: what we know and how we know it,
- 484 Trends Ecol. Evol., 24 (2009) 208-217.
- [60] A.M. Schurko, D.J. Mazur, J.M. Logsdon Jr, Inventory and phylogenomic distribution of meiotic
  genes in *Nasonia vitripennis* and among diverse arthropods, Insect Mol. Biol., 19 (2010) 165-180.
- 487 [61] S. Patil, S. Moeys, P. von Dassow, M.J.J. Huysman, D. Mapleson, L. De Veylder, R. Sanges, W.
- 488 Vyverman, M. Montresor, M.I. Ferrante, Identification of the meiotic toolkit in diatoms and
- 489 exploration of meiosis-specific SPO11 and RAD51 homologs in the sexual species *Pseudo-nitzschia*
- 490 *multistriata* and *Seminavis robusta*, BMC Genomics, 16 (2015) 930.
- 491 [62] S.-B. Malik, A.W. Pightling, L.M. Stefaniak, A.M. Schurko, J.M. Logsdon, Jr., An expanded inventory
- 492 of conserved meiotic genes provides evidence for sex in *Trichomonas vaginalis*, PLoS ONE, 3 (2008)
  493 e2879.
- 494 [63] R. Müllenbach, P.J. Lagoda, C. Welter, An efficient salt-chloroform extraction of DNA from blood
  495 and tissues, Trends Genet., 5 (1989) 391.
- 496 [64] S. Koren, B.P. Walenz, K. Berlin, J.R. Miller, N.H. Bergman, A.M. Phillippy, Canu: scalable and
- 497 accurate long-read assembly via adaptive *k*-mer weighting and repeat separation, Genome Res., 27
  498 (2017) 722-736.

- 499 [65] J. Ruan, H. Li, Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2, bioRxiv, (2019) 530972.
- 500 [66] M.J. Roach, S.A. Schmidt, A.R. Borneman, Purge Haplotigs: allelic contig reassignment for third-
- 501 gen diploid genome assemblies, BMC Bioinformatics, 19 (2018) 460.
- 502 [67] K. Adachi, H. Miyake, T. Kuramochi, K. Mizusawa, S.-i. Okumura, Genome size distribution in
  503 phylum Cnidaria, Fisheries Sci., 83 (2017) 107-112.
- 504 [68] F.A. Simão, R.M. Waterhouse, P. Ioannidis, E.V. Kriventseva, E.M. Zdobnov, BUSCO: assessing 505 genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs, Bioinformatics, 31 (2015)
- 506 3210-3212.
- [69] R.M. Waterhouse, M. Seppey, F.A. Simão, M. Manni, P. Ioannidis, G. Klioutchnikov, E.V.
  Kriventseva, E.M. Zdobnov, BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and
  phylogenomics, Mol. Biol. Evol., 35 (2018) 543-548.
- [70] F.M. Waldron, G.N. Stone, D.J. Obbard, Metagenomic sequencing suggests a diversity of RNA
  interference-like responses to viruses across multicellular eukaryotes, PLoS Genet., 14 (2018)
  e1007533.
- [71] M.G. Grabherr, B.J. Haas, M. Yassour, J.Z. Levin, D.A. Thompson, I. Amit, X. Adiconis, L. Fan, R.
  Raychowdhury, Q. Zeng, Z. Chen, E. Mauceli, N. Hacohen, A. Gnirke, N. Rhind, F. di Palma, B.W. Birren,
  C. Nusbaum, K. Lindblad-Toh, N. Friedman, A. Regev, Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNASeq data without a reference genome, Nat. Biotechnol., 29 (2011) 644-652.
- [72] B.J. Haas, A. Papanicolaou, M. Yassour, M. Grabherr, P.D. Blood, J. Bowden, M.B. Couger, D.
  Eccles, B. Li, M. Lieber, M.D. MacManes, M. Ott, J. Orvis, N. Pochet, F. Strozzi, N. Weeks, R. Westerman,
  T. William, C.N. Dewey, R. Henschel, R.D. LeDuc, N. Friedman, A. Regev, *De novo* transcript sequence
  reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis, Nat.
  Protoc., 8 (2013) 1494-1512.
- 522 [73] M.H. Schulz, D.R. Zerbino, M. Vingron, E. Birney, Oases: robust *de novo* RNA-seq assembly across
- 523 the dynamic range of expression levels, Bioinformatics, 28 (2012) 1086-1092.

- 524 [74] Y. Xie, G. Wu, J. Tang, R. Luo, J. Patterson, S. Liu, W. Huang, G. He, S. Gu, S. Li, X. Zhou, T.-W. Lam,
- Y. Li, X. Xu, G.K.-S. Wong, J. Wang, SOAPdenovo-Trans: *de novo* transcriptome assembly with short
  RNA-Seq reads, Bioinformatics, 30 (2014) 1660-1666.
- 527 [75] M. Shao, C. Kingsford, Accurate assembly of transcripts through phase-preserving graph 528 decomposition, Nat. Biotechnol., 35 (2017) 1167.
- [76] B.J. Haas, S.L. Salzberg, W. Zhu, M. Pertea, J.E. Allen, J. Orvis, O. White, C.R. Buell, J.R. Wortman,
  Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and the Program to
  Assemble Spliced Alignments, Genome Biol., 9 (2008) R7-R7.
- 532 [77] K.J. Hoff, S. Lange, A. Lomsadze, M. Borodovsky, M. Stanke, BRAKER1: unsupervised RNA-Seq-
- based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS, Bioinformatics, 32 (2016) 767-769.
- [78] T. Honma, S. Minagawa, H. Nagai, M. Ishida, Y. Nagashima, K. Shiomi, Novel peptide toxins from
- 535 acrorhagi, aggressive organs of the sea anemone *Actinia equina*, Toxicon, 46 (2005) 768-774.
- 536 [79] A. Untergasser, I. Cutcutache, T. Koressaar, J. Ye, B.C. Faircloth, M. Remm, S.G. Rozen, Primer3 -
- new capabilities and interfaces, Nucleic Acids Res., 40 (2012) e115.
- 538 [80] J.T. Hill, B.L. Demarest, B.W. Bisgrove, Y.-C. Su, M. Smith, H.J. Yost, Poly peak parser: method and
- 539 software for identification of unknown indels using sanger sequencing of polymerase chain reaction
- 540 products, Dev. Dyn., 243 (2014) 1632-1636.
- 541 [81] T.R. Ranallo-Benavidez, K.S. Jaron, M.C. Schatz, GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplots: reference-
- 542 free profiling of polyploid genomes, bioRxiv, (2019) 747568.
- 543 [82] C.-L. Xiao, Y. Chen, S.-Q. Xie, K.-N. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Han, F. Luo, Z. Xie, MECAT: fast mapping,
- error correction, and de novo assembly for single-molecule sequencing reads, Nat. Methods, 14 (2017)
- 545 1072.
- 546 [83] Y.J. Liew, M. Aranda, C.R. Voolstra, Reefgenomics.Org a repository for marine genomics data,
  547 Database, 2016 (2016) baw152.
- [84] M. Watson, A. Warr, Errors in long-read assemblies can critically affect protein prediction, Nat.
  Biotechnol., 37 (2019) 124-126.

- [85] S.D. Brown, O.D. Jarosinska, A. Lorenz, Genetic interactions between the chromosome axisassociated protein Hop1 and homologous recombination determinants in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, Curr. Genet., 64 (2018) 1089-1104.
- 553 [86] S. Tulin, D. Aguiar, S. Istrail, J. Smith, A quantitative reference transcriptome for Nematostella
- 554 *vectensis* earlyembryonic development: a pipeline for de novo assembly in emerging model systems,
- 555 EvoDevo, 4 (2013) 16.
- 556 [87] D. Wyman, A. Mortazavi, TranscriptClean: variant-aware correction of indels, mismatches and
  557 splice junctions in long-read transcripts, Bioinformatics, 35 (2018) 340-342.
- 558 [88] J.C. Sullivan, J.R. Finnerty, A surprising abundance of human disease genes in a simple "basal"
- animal, the starlet sea anemone (*Nematostella vectensis*), Genome, 50 (2007) 689-692.
- 560 [89] B. Wang, V. Kumar, A. Olson, D. Ware, Reviving the transcriptome studies: an insight into the
- 561 emergence of single-molecule transcriptome sequencing, Frontiers Genet., 10 (2019).
- 562 [90] J.B. Jiang, A.M. Quattrini, W.R. Francis, J.F. Ryan, E. Rodríguez, C.S. McFadden, A hybrid *de novo*563 assembly of the sea pansy (*Renilla muelleri*) genome, GigaScience, 8 (2019).
- 564 [91] J.C. Kenyon, Models of reticulate evolution in the coral genus *Acropora* based on chromosome
- numbers: parallels with plants, Evolution, 51 (1997) 756-767.
- 566 [92] P.W. Shaw, J.A. Beardmore, J.S. Ryland, Sagartia troglodytes (Anthozoa: Actiniaria) consists of
- 567 two species, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 41 (1987) 21-28.
- 568 [93] C.J. Rothfels, S.P. Otto, Polyploid speciation, in: R.M. Kliman (Ed.) The Encyclopedia of 569 Evolutionary Biology, Academic Press, Oxford, 2016, pp. 317-326.
- 570 [94] L. Choleva, K. Janko, Rise and persistence of animal polyploidy: evolutionary constraints and
  571 potential, Cytogenet. Genome Res., 140 (2013) 151-170.
- 572 [95] L. Guo, A. Accorsi, S. He, C. Guerrero-Hernández, S. Sivagnanam, S. McKinney, M. Gibson, A.
- 573 Sánchez Alvarado, An adaptable chromosome preparation methodology for use in invertebrate
- 574 research organisms, BMC Biol., 16 (2018) 25.

- 575 [96] L.V. Clark, M. Jasieniuk, polysat: an R package for polyploid microsatellite analysis, Mol. Ecol. Res.,
- 576 11 (2011) 562-566.
- 577 [97] Z. Gompert, K.E. Mock, Detection of individual ploidy levels with genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS)
- 578 analysis, Mol. Ecol. Res., 17 (2017) 1156-1167.
- 579 [98] C.L. Weiß, M. Pais, L.M. Cano, S. Kamoun, H.A. Burbano, nQuire: a statistical framework for ploidy
- 580 estimation using next generation sequencing, BMC Bioinformatics, 19 (2018) 122.
- 581 [99] T.L. Shearer, M.J.H. van Oppen, S.L. Romano, G. Wörheide, Slow mitochondrial DNA sequence
- evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria), Mol. Ecol., 11 (2002) 2475-2487.
- 583 [100] A.M. Solé-Cava, J.P. Thorpe, Genetic divergence between colour morphs in populations of the
- 584 common intertidal sea anemones Actinia equina and A. prasina (Anthozoa: Actiniaria) in the Isle of
- 585 Man, Mar. Biol., 112 (1992) 243-252.
- 586 [101] J.P. Thorpe, A.M. Solé-Cava, The use of allozyme electrophoresis in invertebrate systematics,
- 587 Zool. Scr., 23 (1994) 3-18.
- 588

589 Figure Legends:

590 Figure 1.

591 Smudgeplot output with log scaling generated using default program settings. Diploid status (AB) is 592 estimated to be marginally more likely (confidence = 0.39) than alternative ploidy status which 593 includes triploidy (AAB, confidence = 0.28), tetraploidy (AAAB, confidence = 0.28) and others (variable, 594 confidence = 0.05).

595

596 Figure 2.

597 Alignment of *Acrorhagin-1* haplotypes from geographic samples of *A. equina*. Seven haplotypes (1-7)

598 were seen in total and are here aligned to *Acrorhagin-1* (Genbank accession number AB212066.1) and

599 Acrorhagin-1a (AB212067.1) from Honma et al. [78]. Primers (Acro-F1 and Acro-R1) are shown above

600 the sequence. Coding sequence is shown in bold.



	Acro-F1
	TTTGCGAGAAGTTGGATTTCC>
Acrorhagin-1	TTTGCGAGAAGTTGGATTTCCCATCGAAATCTTCATTTGATCCCAAACTCATAAATCGAATGAAAAAATAATCTGGCAACAGGATATGAACCTGCT
Acrorhagin-la	TTTGCGAGAAGTTGAATTTCCCATCGAAATCTTCATTTGATCCCAAACTCATAAATCGAATGAAAACATAATCTGGCAACAGGATATGAACCTTCT
Hap1	ACTCATAAATAAATCGAATGAAATAAAGCAAACAGGATATGAATCGCT
Hap2	ACTCATAAATAAATCGAATGAAATAAATAAGCAAACAGGATATGAATCTGCT
Нар3	ACTCATAAATAAATGGAATGAATAATAAGCAAACAGGATATGAATCTGCT
Hap4	ACTCATAAATAAATGGAATGAAATAATAAGGAAACAGGATATGAATCTGCT
Hap5	ACTCATAAATCGAATGAAAAAATAATCTGGCAACAGGATATGAACTGCT
Нарб	ACTCATADATCGAATGAATAATATCTGGCAACAGGATATGAACTGCT
Нар7	ACTCATAAATCGAATGAAAAATAATCTGGCAACAGGATATGAACCTGCT
Acrorhagin-1	ТТСТБААТТСАТААТААТАСБ-А
Acrorhagin-la	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACGGAACTAA
Hap1	${\tt TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACGGGACTAACTATTTATCAGTAATGATGAAAAAGTAAATTATACACCGTGAAAAAGATGAAAAAACTTACTGACGTTTC$
Hap2	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACGGGACTCACTATTTATCAGTAATGATGAAAAAGTAAATTATACACCGTGAAAAAGATGAAAAAACTTACTGACGTTTC
Нар3	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACGGGACTCACTATTTATCAGTAATGATGATGAAACTAAGTAAATTATACACCGTGAAAAAGATGAAAAAACTGACGTTTC
Hap4	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACGGGACTCACTATTTATCAGTAATGATGAAAAAGTAAATTATACACCGTGAAAAAGATGAAAAAACTTACTGACGTTTC
Нар5	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACG-A
Нарб	TTCTGRATTCATAATAATACG-A
Hap7	TTCTGAATTCATAATAATACG-A
	********
Acrorhagin-1	CTATTTATCATTTTCTTCTACAG <b>ATG</b>
Acrorhagin-la	CTATTTATCATTTTCTTCTACAG <b>ATG</b>
Hap1	GGACGCTCGGCGGGGGGAAAAAGAGCTCTCTCACTTTTTAGCTTTTTTCTCTTTTTTCTCTTTTTTTTTT
Hap2	GGACGCTCGCTCGGGGGGGAAAAGAGGCTCCACCACTGCTGGTATTTCTTCTTCTCTCTCCACACACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCCACCCCCCCCACCCCCCCCCC
Нар3	GGACGCTAGTCCTTCGTCGGAGTAAAAAGAGCTCTAGCTCACACTAGTTTTTAGCTAGTTATATCTTCTTATAGCTATTTATCATTTTCTTCGACAG <b>ATG</b>
Hap4	GGACGCTAGTCCTTCGTCGGAGTAAAAGAGCTCTAGGTCACGCTAGTTTTTAGCTAGTTATATCTTCTTATAGCTATTTATCATTTTCTTCGCCACGACG
-	
Hap5	CTATTTATCATTTCTCTCTACAG <b>ATG</b>
Нарб	CTATTTATCATTTCTTCTACAG <b>ATG</b>
Нар7	CTATTTATCATTTTCTTCTACAG <b>ATG</b>
Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGACTCCAGACGGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC
Acrorhagin-la Hapl	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC
Acrorhagin-la Hapl Hap2	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC
<i>Acrorhagin-la</i> Hapl Hap2 Hap3 Hap4	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTTGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTGACGCGTGGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCCAGACGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCCATTCGTTCAGACGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTGACGCGTGGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCCAGACGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCCATTCGTTCAGACGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTCACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATGATCGTCTGCGAATCGTCGACTCCACTCCA
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTCACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATGATCGTCTGCGAATCGTCGACTCCACTCCAGACGATACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGACTCCACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-l	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGATCGTCGATCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1a Hap1	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCAGACAGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCAGACAGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCAGACGGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-l Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-l Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap3	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGGGTGGANTGGTGGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGTGACTCCAGACGATACCTGGGGTGAAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap6 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGCCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGGACTCCAGACAGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGTCGTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hapl Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAGTCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGTCGACTCCAGACGGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGTCGTCCACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGGGTGGATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hapl Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGCCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGGGTGGATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAAGGCCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGAGGC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTTGGAGTGCTTGCT
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6	
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap4 Hap6 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-l Acrorhagin-la Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANCGTCGTTGACTCCACCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGCT
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGGCTGGATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATGCTGTGTGTCACCCTGGTGGACGCCCGGGAGACTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATGCGTGTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGTGGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTCACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTCACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGCACTCCACTCGGTCGATACCTGGGTGGAAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTATGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGCCACTCAGTGCCACGTTAGCCTGGGTGGAATGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTATGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTGCGCACTCCACTCAGTGCCACGTTAGCACAGGTCGAATCGCGG AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTCTTGGAGTGGTAGTGCCACGACAACCATCGTGGCCACGTTAGCAACAGCATCGGGTG AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTCTTGCCAAATGCCAGGCCCGCCGCACAACCATCGTGGTGGCCACGTTAGCAACAGCATCGGGT GACAGGATGATTGTTTTCCAAGTATATTCTTGTCAAATGCCAGACCCCCGCCAGGCAAACCATCGTGGTGGACCACGTTAGCAAACCATCGGT GACAGATGATTGTTTTCCAAGTATATTTCTTGTCAAATGCCAGACCATCGTGCGACAACCATCGTGGTGGACCACATTACGTACG
Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1a Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1 Acrorhagin-1 Hap2 Hap2 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCACCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAGTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGGTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCAGACGGTACCTGGGTGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap6 Hap7	ANTCANGTANTGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGATCCATCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATGGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGANTCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGGTGGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap2 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap4 Hap5 Hap4 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4	ANTCANGTANTGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGATCGTCGATCGCTCACTCCATTCGTTCCAGACGATCGTCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCANGTANTGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTGGATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCCAGACGATCGTCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCANGTANTGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTGGATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCCAGACGATCGTCCGGAGATCGCC ANTCANGTANTGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCGCC ANTCANGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGGGAGATCGCC ANTCANGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACGACGCCCGGGGGGAATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACGACGCCGGGGGGAATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAATGGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGGACTCCA ANTCAAGTATGGTTTACTAAGTCTTGTCAAAGTCTGCCACGACGACGACCACCGGCCAGCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATGGTCGTTGACTCCATCTTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAATGGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATGGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATGGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATGGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCCTGGGAGATCTGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTACAGCGTCGAATGGTCGTGGACTCCATTCGTTTCAGACAGTCCTGGGAGAATCGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGGACTCCAGACGATACCTGGGGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGGACTCCAGACGATACCTGGGGAAATGCC AATCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCTACAGCGTCGACTGCGCGACTCGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7	<pre>httchagthattgacthattictciggatggattgitga</pre>
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5	ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGATTGTCAAAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATCTCAGACATTCCCTGGGAGAATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTAAAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTAAAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTAAAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTTAACAGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGACTCCATTCGTTCAGACAGTCCCGGGAGATCGCC ANTCAAGTAATGACTATATTCCTGGTTCTTGGAGTGCTTGTCAACGCGTCGAATCGTCGTGGACTCCA
Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap6 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-l Acrorhagin-la Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap7 Acrorhagin-la Hap1 Hap1 Hap2 Hap3 Hap4 Hap5 Hap6 Hap1	<pre>httchagthattgacthattictciggatggattgitga</pre>

## Table 1. MIxS descriptors

Investigation\_type: Eukaryote The genome sequence of the sea anemone, Actinia equina Project\_name: 53.225889 N -4.524833 E Lat lon: Geo\_loc\_name: United Kingdom: Rhosneigr Collected\_by: Craig Wilding Collection\_date: 10 April 2018 Environment Intertidal zone broad-scale environmental context : ENVO:01000125 local-scale environmental context: ENVO:01000428 environmental medium: ENVO:0000319 Sample type: Whole body Developmental stage: Adult Sequencing method: Pacbio sequel Assembly method: SMARTdenovo with Purge Haplotigs Data accessibility: BioProject: PRJNA479715 BioSample: SAMN09602970 Experiment: SRX4514416 Raw read data: SRR7651651 Genome: WHPX0000000

Table 2.

Meiotic toolkit genes studied in *A. equina*. Genes described as belonging to the meiotic toolkit in [57, 58, 61, 62] were examined. Gene models were complete ( $\mathbb{O}$ ), partial at the 5' end (5'- $\mathbb{P}$ ), partial at the 3' end ( $\mathbb{P}$ -3'), or partial at both ends (5'- $\mathbb{P}$ -3'). 1 = single base length variation seen between genomic model and transcript with genomic model corrected based upon transcript. 2 = closest match *Pms2*. 3= No Methionine at start. 4 = No stop codon. 5 = closest match *Cohesin subunit SA-1*. Genbank accession numbers of *A. equina* gene models are provided.

Gene	Description	[57]	[58]	[61]	[62]	Transcript	Gene	Accession
Brca2	Breast Cancer 2; DNA repair associated	•				P	5'-@-3'	MN307071
Dmc1	Meiotic recombination protein DMC1/LIM15 homolog		٠	٠	•	C	C	MN307072
Dna2	DNA replication factor Dna2	•				P	5'-®-3'	MN307073
Exo1	Exonuclease-1	•				P	@-3'	MN307074
Fancm	Fanconi anemia group M protein homolog	•				P	C	MN307075
Fen1	Flap endonuclease-1	•				C	C	MN307076
Hap2	Hapless 2				•	P	@-3'	MN307077
Hop1	HORMA domain-containing protein 1-like		٠	٠	٠	х	х	
Hop2	Homologous pairing protein 2 homolog		٠	٠	•	C	C	MN307078
Mcm2	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 2	•				C	C	MN307079
Mcm3	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 3	•				C	C	MN307080
Mcm4	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 4	•				C	C	MN307081
Mcm5	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 5	•				C	C	MN307082
Mcm6	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 6	•				C	$\mathbb{C}^1$	MN307083
Mcm7	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 7	•				C	C	MN307084
Mcm8	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 8	•				C	C	MN307085
Mcm9	DNA replication licensing factor Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 9	•				P	@-3'	MN307086
Mer3 = Hfm1	Helicase for Meiosis 1	•	٠		•	х	х	
Mlh1	MutL Homolog 1	•	•			P	C	MN307087
Mlh2	MutL Homolog 2		•			P	5'-@-3' <sup>2</sup>	MN307088
Mlh3	MutL Homolog 3		•		•	C	C	MN307089
Mnd1	Meiotic nuclear divisions 1	•	•	•	•	P	$\mathbb{C}^3$	MN307090
Mre11	Meiotic Recombination 11 homolog	•	•			P	$\mathbb{C}^4$	MN307091
Msh2	mutS protein homolog 2-like	•	•	•		P	@-3'	MN307092
Msh4	mutS protein homolog 4-like	•	•	•	•	х	х	
Msh5	mutS protein homolog 5-like	•	٠	٠	٠	P	5'-®	MN307093
Msh6	mutS protein homolog 6-like	•	٠	٠		C	C	MN307094
Mus81	Structure-specific endonuclease subunit MUS81	•			•	C	C	MN307095
Pch2	pachytene checkpoint 2				•	C	C	MN307096
Pds5	Precocious dissociation of sisters 5	•	٠			P	5'-®-3'	MN307097
Pms1	Postmeiotic Segregation Increased 1	•	٠			P	@-3'	MN307098
Rad1 (Mei9)	RAD1 cell cycle checkpoint protein	•	•			C	C	MN307099
Rad21	RAD21 Cohesin Complex Component	•	•	•		C	C	MN307100
Rad50	RAD50 Double Strand Break Repair Protein	•	•			C	©	MN307101
Rad51	RAD51 Recombinase	•	٠	٠		C	C	MN307102
Rad52	RAD52 DNA repair and recombination protein	•	•			C	C	MN307103
Rec8	Meiotic recombination protein REC8 homolog		•	•	•	х	х	
Scc3	Sister-chromatid cohesion protein 3/Stromalin	•	٠			C	$\mathbb{C}^5$	MN307104
Smc1	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 1	•	٠			C	C	MN307105
Smc2	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 2	•	٠			C	C	MN307106
Smc3	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 3	•	•			C	$\mathbb{C}^1$	MN307107
Smc4	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 4	•	•			C	C	MN307108
Smc5	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 5	•	•			C	C	MN307109
Smc6 (Rad18)	Structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 6	•	•			C	$\mathbb{C}^1$	MN307110
Spo11	SPO11 Initiator Of Meiotic Double Stranded Breaks	•	•	•	•	C	C	MN307111
Zip4	Testis-expressed protein 11-like; "Meiosis protein SPO22/ZIP4 like"				•	x	x	

# Table 3:

Assembly statistics from Canu, SMARTdenovo -/+ Purge Haplotigs, and WTDBG assemblers. BUSCO statistics refer to analysis of these genome assemblies (involving interim Augustus annotation) thus statistics differ from analysis of our detailed annotated gene models (see text for gene model BUSCO statistics).

	Canu*	SMRTdenovo	SMRTdenovo + PH	WTDBG2
Genome size	633,344,238	552,280,189	409,058,333	434,742,709
Number of contigs	8,123	2,705	1,485	5,621
Shortest contig	1,009	8,168	8,168	1,428
Longest contig	1,888,480	2,968,193	2,968,193	1,543,548
N50	134,191	381,457	492,607	208,156
Median	44,961	108,241	164,117	27,424
Mean	77,969	204,170	275,460	77,342
GC	38	37.62	38	
Complete BUSCOs (%)	93.2 (912)	94.1 (920)	94.0 (919)	
Complete and single-copy BUSCOs (%)	50.7 (496)	21.4 (209)	58.7 (574)	
Complete and duplicated BUSCOs (%)	42.5 (416)	72.7 (711)	35.3 (345)	
Fragmented BUSCOs (%)	1.1 (11)	0.5 (5)	0.6 (6)	
Missing BUSCOs (%)	5.7 (55)	5.4 (53)	5.4 (53)	

\* with default error rate

## Table 4:

Acrorhagin-1 haplotypes in anemone samples from UK and Irish collections. See Figure 2 for sequence of haplotypes 1-7. N = number of samples. Number of haplotypes assumes diploidy. Of 57 samples sequenced, 8 (14%) were repeated (including the two specimens demonstrating the singleton haplotypes 3 and 7) with identical results.

					Haple	otype			
Location	Colour	Ν	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
New Brighton	Green	3	6						
	Red	6					6	6	1
Holyhead	Green	2	4						1
	Red	2					2	2	
Llandudno	Green	4	7	1					1
	Red	5					4	6	1
Marloes	Green	2	1		1	2			
	Red	2					2	2	
Rhosneigr	Green	3	4	2					1
	Red	2					4		1
Millport	Green	3	3	3					1
	Red	3					1	5	-
	Orange	3						6	1
Niarbyl	Red	2						4	1
Peel	Green	1	2						
	Red	4					4	4	1
Penbryn	Red	2					2	1	1
Portmarnock	Green	4	8						
	Red	4					3	5	