

LJMU Research Online

Birch, P, Yoman, B and Whitehead, AE

'Think Aloud' as a facilitator of self-regulation in golfers

https://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/17581/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Birch, P, Yoman, B and Whitehead, AE ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0611-364X (2022) 'Think Aloud' as a facilitator of self-regulation in golfers. The Sport Psychologist. ISSN 0888-4781

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	'Think Aloud' as a facilitator of self-regulation in golfers
2	
3	Phil D. J. Birch ¹
4	Beth Yeoman ¹
5	Amy E. Whitehead ²
6	
7	¹ Institute of Sport, University of Chichester, Chichester, UK
8	² School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK
9	
10	
11	Corresponding author:
12	Phil D. J. Birch
13	University of Chichester
14	College Lane
15	Chichester
16	West Sussex
17	PO19 6PE, UK
18	Email: P.Birch@chi.ac.uk
19	Declarations of interest: none.

20	'Think Aloud' as a facilitator of self-regulation in golfers
21	
22	Abstract
23	Think Aloud (TA) has been used as a tool to promote self-regulation and reflection within
24	coaches, yet it has not been employed within the same context to support athletes. The aim of
25	the present study was to understand golfers' perceptions of using TA at two time points:
26	immediately post performance and after a six-to-eight-week reflection period. Six golfers (5
27	males, 1 female; age: $M = 30.8$ years, $SD = 14.8$; handicap: $M = 6.92$, $SD = 3.9$) used TA
28	during the performance on six holes of golf and listened back to their TA audio. Using semi-
29	structured interviews and subsequent thematic analyses, we generated four themes: increased
30	awareness, awareness of how behaviour influences performance, disruption of thought
31	processes and performance, and application to coaching. Preliminary evidence provides
32	support for TA as a potential tool to promote self-regulation in golfers which could be used to
33	inform coaching interventions.
34	

Keywords: Reflection, perceptions, performance, golf

36

Introduction

Learners who self-regulate are defined as "metacognitively, motivationally and 37 behaviourally active participants in their own learning process" (Zimmerman, 1986, p. 308). 38 Self-regulated learners generate their own thoughts, feelings, and actions to attain their 39 learning goals, which in turn, facilitates the problem-solving process and improves 40 effectiveness of learning. Given the demands placed on athletes (e.g., Sarkar & Fletcher, 41 42 2014), self-regulation plays an important role in one's development when striving for goal attainment (Jonker et al., 2011). Zimmerman's (1986, 2006) self-regulation model includes 43 44 three cyclical phases. Firstly, the forethought phase concerns how the individual approaches a task and consists of planning and analysis strategies (e.g., goal setting). Secondly, the 45 performance phase occurs during a task and is concerned with the use of self-control 46 strategies (e.g., self-instruction, imagery, attention focusing). Finally, the self-reflection phase 47 occurs after each performance bout and is concerned with deliberate efforts to adapt one's 48 performance in a systematic manner (e.g., casual attributions). This process of self-evaluation 49 is argued to facilitate causal attributions about one's success and failures. 50 Reflection is argued to be a sub-facet of metacognition and can be defined as an 51 individual's ability to apply previous experiences to improve future performances in a goal-52 directed manner (Peltier et al., 2006; Zimmerman, 2000). Metacognition is particularly 53 important as it enables one to evaluate their own thinking and can be defined as "the 54 55 awareness of, and knowledge about one's own thinking and consists of planning, selfmonitoring, evaluation and reflection" (Jonker et al., 2010, p. 902). Zimmerman (2000) posits 56 that learners can direct their reflection to the result (self-judgement) or to an objective (self-57 58 reaction). Specifically, self-judgement comprises of sub-processes referred to as selfevaluation and causal attributions (e.g., an athlete's assessment of their own performance), 59

60 while self-reaction comprises of sub-processes referred to as self-satisfaction and adaptive inferences (e.g., an athlete's emotional and cognitive response to their attributions). 61 62 Zimmerman (1986, 2006) began developing his theory in education settings and researchers have applied its principles to sport. Athletes who have developed high levels of 63 self-regulation compare self-observed performance against goal attainment, previous 64 performance of themselves and of others or contribution to performance of the team (i.e., 65 66 self-evaluation). They calibrate their causes of success and failure to their self-observed performance (i.e., causal attributions) and feel satisfied or dissatisfied during reflection by 67 68 their performance (i.e., self-satisfaction). Athletes with high self-regulatory skills also modify their decision making and behaviour accordingly to adopt more proficient learning and self-69 regulation strategies to improve in the future (i.e., adaptive inferences; Zimmerman, 2000). 70 71 Despite the importance placed on developing self-regulation in athletes, relatively few 72 studies have considered how athletes can develop their own reflection processes. Clearly and Zimmerman (2001) examined differences in self-regulatory forethought and self-reflection in 73 74 adolescent basketball players. Findings showed that expert basketball players set more specific goals, displayed higher levels of self-efficacy, made more strategy attributions, and 75 selected more technique-oriented strategies than non-experts and novices. Experts were also 76 better able to relate their success and failure experiences meaningfully by using appropriate 77 strategies to modify future performances (i.e., strategy attributions). More recently, Tan et al. 78 79 (2016) investigated the effects of a guided reflective diary on elite archery accuracy and the factors facilitating and hindering the usage of a guided reflective diary. Elite archers reported 80 that completing a reflective diary enhanced motivation, helped them forward plan, and 81 82 enabled them to understand their feelings during shooting. Nevertheless, the process of keeping a reflective diary was also perceived as time consuming and repetitive in nature. It is 83 therefore important to ensure that the methods used to promote self-regulatory skills are 84

efficient and athletes are challenged regularly to limit the negative impacts associated with
repetition. Collectively, these findings highlight the importance of self-reflection, the factors
that impact reflection efficacy, and ultimately, the processes underpinning the development
of self-regulation. Researchers and practitioners should therefore consider the available
reflection methods when striving to develop self-regulatory skills within athletes.

One method that has been previously used within practitioner (e.g., coaching and nursing) 90 91 settings to promote reflection is Think Aloud (TA: Ericsson & Simon, 1993; Whitehead et al., 2016a). TA involves an individual verbalising his/her/their thought process continuously 92 93 as they are performing. Ericsson and Simon (1993) proposed three levels of verbalisations. Level 1 verbalisation is simply the vocalisation of inner speech where the individual does not 94 need to make any effort to communicate his/her/their thoughts. Level 2 verbalisation involves 95 the verbal encoding and vocalisation of an internal representation that is not originally in 96 97 verbal code. Level 3 verbalisation requires the individual to explain his/her/their thoughts, ideas, hypotheses, or motives. 98

99 Previous research within the sport psychology literature using TA has predominantly

adopted the technique to collect in-event cognition (Kaiseler et al., 2012) and decision-

101 making data (Whitehead & Jackman, 2021). For example, TA has been used to collect data of

stress appraisals and coping in trap shooting, golf and tennis (e.g., Calmeiro et al., 2010;

103 Kaiseler et al., 2012; Swettenham et al., 2018), expert-novice differences in planning

strategies in golf (e.g., Whitehead et al., 2016b), pacing in endurance sports such as running

and cycling (e.g., Whitehead et al., 2018) and decision making and anticipation (e.g.,

106 Whitehead & Jackman, 2021).

107 Despite the appeal of using TA to capture in-event cognitions, it is important to consider 108 the reactivity that TA may elicit within a participant when engaging in the method. Reactivity 109 refers to instances where participants may modify their behaviour as a result of being

measured or observed. In the case of TA research, the process of verbalising thoughts 110 throughout the duration of a task may increase positive behaviour or increase negative 111 behaviour (Double & Birney, 2019). Although Fox et al. (2011) found that level 2 112 verbalisations are nonreactive, Double and Birney (2019) highlight this potential issue where 113 participants might attend to internal cognitions in a way that they would not have without 114 thinking aloud. Nevertheless, thinking aloud could facilitate metacognition and possibly 115 116 reflection during the performance of the task. Within Whitehead et al.'s (2018) study, some cyclists reported becoming more self-aware, and in turn, they felt that it helped their 117 118 performance. Research is therefore needed to explore whether TA informs the self-regulation cycle. 119

The use of TA has been used as a reflective practice tool in a range of domains. For 120 example, Banning (2008) adopted TA as an educational tool to develop and assess clinical 121 reasoning in undergraduate nursing students. It is proposed that the process of thinking aloud 122 facilitated the nurses to "verbalise their thought processes and rationale for the types of 123 questions that they ask during a history or physical examination and for the diagnostic 124 examination and for the diagnostic hypotheses that they consider" (Lee & Ryan-Wenger, 125 1997, p. 102). Conversely, Whitehead et al. (2016a) asked sports coaches to verbalise their 126 thoughts during their live coaching sessions and partake in reflection by listening back to 127 these verbalisations and by reflecting with their peers. Findings revealed an increased 128 129 perceived self-awareness in coaching practice and a perceived improvement in communication and pedagogical practice. The evidence appears to suggest that practitioners 130 developed self-regulatory skills and developed a sense of self-awareness of their own 131 132 knowledge and thinking in relation to their context of practice. The facilitation of the metacognitive process (Banning, 2008) may aid self-reflection and discovery of ineffective 133 thought processes. 134

A growing body of literature supports the importance of self-reflection in developing self-135 regulatory skills (e.g., Zimmerman, 1986; Jonker at al., 2010; Brick et al., 2015). Previous 136 research has predominantly examined how coaches learn to reflect and how coaches stimulate 137 reflection in athletes. Subsequently, there is potential to examine how TA may facilitate the 138 process of self-regulation in golfers. Given the inherent limitations in using retrospective 139 methods to facilitate self-reflection (Bernard et al., 1984), we sought to advance the 140 141 knowledge base by examining the use of TA as a tool to promote self-regulation. Although TA was originally intended to capture naturalistic thought processes for research purposes, it 142 143 is important to note that we employed TA to facilitate reflection. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to understand golfers' perceptions of using TA at two time points: 144 immediately post performance and after a six-to-eight-week reflection period. 145

146

Method

147 **Philosophical position**

This study was underpinned by a realist ontology and constructivist epistemology. 148 Ontological realism assumes that a reality exists, but that it is independent of the conceptions 149 researchers have of it (Sayer, 2000), while epistemological constructivism posits that 150 knowledge is theory-laden and fallible (Wiltshire, 2018). In accordance with to our 151 philosophical stance, we recognise that knowledge can be refined, revised, or refuted 152 (epistemological constructivism) and that the views reported by participants reflect real 153 154 properties and events experienced by the participants, independent of the research (ontological realism). We adopted a qualitative approach to understand the subjective 155 behaviours and beliefs of participants in terms of their use of TA as a reflective practice tool 156 157 (Samdahl, 1999). We believe that our findings can be applied with reference to naturalistic generalisability, where readers may be able to take their own meaning from the findings 158 159 (Tracy, 2010; Smith, 2018).

160 Participants

Using personal contacts of the second author, we approached participants via email. 161 The second author had existing rapport with the participants due to her professional 162 involvement at the golf club where the data was collected and participants regularly attended 163 (Smith & Sparkes, 2016). Purposeful sampling was used to capture a broad skill level of 164 golfers (Braun & Clarke, 2013; Sparkes & Smith, 2014). Similar to sample sizes used in 165 166 previous research that have captured perceptions of TA to facilitate reflection (e.g., Whitehead et al., 2016a; Swettenham & Whitehead, 2021), participants were six golfers from 167 168 a golf club in the South of England (5 males, 1 female; age: M = 30.8 years, SD = 14.8; handicap: M = 6.92, SD = 3.9). We recruited two high skilled golfers (handicap ≤ 5.4), two 169 intermediate skilled golfers (handicap 5.5 - 12.4) and two low skilled golfers (handicap \geq 170 12.5). Participants had an average of 11.2 (SD = 4.9) years competitive playing experience, 171 played at least once per week and had played an average of 12.2 (SD = 10.5) competitions in 172 the 12 months prior to participation. All participants identified as white British. Institutional 173 ethical approval and informed consent were obtained prior to participation. 174

175 Materials

176 TA training video

Participants were instructed on how to TA using a training video developed by Birch 177 and Whitehead (2019). The video consisted of visual and verbal instructions and provided 178 179 participants with an understanding of how TA works so that participants could competently perform the technique. In line with Ericsson and Simon's (1993) guidelines, example 180 instructions included "think aloud involves you saying out loud everything that you are 181 182 thinking as you are performing the task." Given that we aimed to examine level 2 verbalisation, we used instructions to promote level 2 verbalisation and deterred level 3 183 verbalisation (e.g., "I don't want you to try to plan out what you say or try to explain to me 184

what you are saying"). It is important to note that we instructed participants to refrain from
thinking aloud during skill execution to reduce possible interference with motor movement
(Schmidt & Wrisberg, 2000). The remainder of the video comprised of three different
hypothetical golf scenarios (tee shot, fairway shot, green side shot) that required participants
to think aloud their planning strategies. The TA training video was 4:14 minutes in duration
(see Birch & Whitehead, 2019).

191 **Procedure**

We conducted a pilot study using one intermediate skilled male golfer (handicap of 7, 192 193 13 years competitive playing experience) who completed the full procedure. The participant was confident that the TA training enabled him to understand how to competently TA and 194 that three practice holes enabled him to apply the technique on the golf course. The 195 participant also stated that the equipment (e.g., microphone) did not hinder his performance. 196 197 Interviews were conducted as a method to explore participants perspectives, experiences, emotions, and personal meanings of experiences of TA (Smith & Sparkes, 198 2016). Data collection was completed by the second author, who had a high level of golf 199 knowledge and experience. It may be inferred that the quality of information gained from the 200 interviews was enhanced by the researcher's involvement in data collection. Additionally, the 201 existing rapport between the researcher and participants during the on-course TA may have 202 allowed for more honest and authentic verbalisations from the participant. Finally, the second 203 204 author was familiar with TA in that they had previously completed traditional TA training (Ericsson & Simon, 1993), golf specific TA training (Birch & Whitehead, 2019), and 205 completed six holes of golf using TA as part of their familiarisation to the procedure adopted. 206 207 Participants viewed the TA training video using an Apple iPad and Sony MDR ZX660AP headphones. During the training exercises, the researcher ensured the participant 208 was competently thinking aloud in accordance with Ericsson and Simon's (1993) guidelines. 209

Participants then completed three holes of golf while thinking aloud to apply the learned 210 principles of TA. Feedback was given in instances where the participant deviated from the 211 previously outlined instructions (e.g., 'you are not required to explain your thoughts while 212 thinking aloud'). Data collection did not take place until the researcher was satisfied with the 213 quality of verbalisation which was classified as when the participant no longer needed 214 prompting to verbalise all their thoughts, the participant's thoughts were characteristic of 215 216 level 2 verbalisation, no level 3 was evident (although impossible to fully determine), and the participant did not verbalise their thoughts during shot execution. 217

218 Participants then individually completed six holes of golf on their home course whilst thinking aloud. The researcher walked behind the participant and there was no 219 communication beyond reinforcing the need to TA (Nicholls & Polman, 2008). If the 220 221 participant did not verbalise their thoughts for 20 seconds, the researcher would state the phrase "please think aloud." In an effort to facilitate authentic performance cognitions, 222 participants were told they were competing against each other with the lowest nett score (total 223 shots taken minus handicap) winning a £30 pro shop voucher. Research by Baumeister and 224 Showers (1986) has used similar means to facilitate competitive state emotions and research 225 by Nicholls and Polman (2008) deemed six holes to be sufficient to gather authentic in-226 performance cognitions in golf. To manage this competitive element, the researcher recorded 227 the participants' scores on each hole, calculated the participants' nett scores and updated a 228 229 leaderboard of the nett scores. To maintain a consistent level of pressure experienced between participants, the researcher did not reveal the participants' competitors scores until after they 230 had completed the six holes. To ensure confidentiality, names and handicaps of competitors 231 232 were not shared to the participants when scores were conveyed.

233 Immediate and follow-up interviews

Immediately following the completion of the six holes of golf, participants completed the immediate interview in the clubhouse. Participants were then sent an audio file with their TA from the six holes of golf and were required to listen to their verbalisations. Follow-up interviews took place at the golf course clubhouse six-to-eight-weeks after the six holes of golf. During this six-to-eight-week period, participants were not instructed to continue to use TA, but were not restricted to do so if they desired. During this period, participants listened to their on-course TA recording and reflected on their experience.

To examine participant experiences of using TA and listening back to their audio 241 242 verbalisations, we conducted semi-structured follow-up interviews. The follow-up interviews were conducted with the aim to increase the richness and depth of data captured by offering a 243 personal insight into participant experiences (Newton & Burgess, 2008). Using Page and 244 Thelwell's (2013) guidelines, an interview guide was developed based on the aims of the 245 study and previous research that has used TA as a reflective tool (e.g., Whitehead et al., 246 2016a) to help facilitate the gathering of rich, in-depth data (Smith & Sparkes, 2016). 247 Example interview questions for the immediate interview included 'what aspects of TA have 248 been specifically useful/not useful for you?' Example follow-up interview questions included 249 'can you tell me about your experiences of reflecting upon your use of TA?' Probing 250 questions (e.g., can you provide me an example?) were used to glean more in-depth 251 understandings of participant experiences in both interviews. Immediate and follow-up 252 253 interviews ranged from 11 mins 15 secs to 19 mins 33 secs and 13 mins 48 secs to 20 mins 36 secs in duration, respectively. 254

255 Data analysis and research credibility

All audio interview files were transcribed verbatim. Interviews ranged from five to eight pages of A4 1.5 spaced text and between 2465 - 3409 words. We conducted a thematic analysis to explore participant perceptions and beliefs regarding TA (Braun & Clarke, 2019).

To ensure credibility and trustworthiness a team approach to analysis was employed with the 259 aim of promoting critical reflexivity rather than consensual agreement (Braun & Clarke, 260 2019; Smith & McGannon, 2018). We engaged in a reflective thematic analysis process 261 (Braun & Clarke, 2021) and began by reading all transcripts of interviews (immersion in the 262 data) in Nvivo 10 (step 1). Once complete, we developed a list of codes from the first two sets 263 of interviews. To further emphasise credibility, the initial codes were reviewed as a team and 264 265 considered (step 2). Collaborative coding is supported by Saldana (2013) as it allows a "dialogic exchange of ideas" (p. 34) that support interrogation and discussion from multiple 266 267 perspectives. Following this critical review, codes were amended and definitions of codes were established. At this stage, all authors engaged in peer debriefing through formal 268 meetings (Creswell & Miller, 2000) and discussed the aim of the study and how interpreting 269 270 the data related to both our own biases as researchers and our interpretations of the interviews. One discussion concerned the third author's biases towards using TA as a self-271 reflection tool and this discussion enabled the remaining authors to challenge some of these 272 initial codes. At this stage, we generated 25 codes. We agreed that these codes would be 273 condensed into four main themes, however, a discussion on the agreement of these themes 274 included changes of theme names. Specifically, 'becoming aware of lack of focus' was 275 changed to 'increased awareness,' 'reinforcing positive behavior' was changed to 'awareness 276 of how behavior influences performance,' and 'recognizing negative behavior' was changed 277 278 to 'disruption of thought process and performance.' The theme 'application to coaching' remained the same. We felt these updated theme names better represented the data and the 279 aims of the study. 280

The codes were then adopted as a starting point to analyse the remaining transcripts. Once all interviews were coded, the second author searched for themes across all codes (step 3). Once more, these themes were reviewed by the third author (step 4). In light of the

potential limitations of inter-rater reliability, an external and independent researcher acted as 284 a critical friend to ensure data collection and analysis was plausible and defendable (step 5; 285 286 Smith & McGannon, 2017). This step was continued during the peer review phase. During this stage themes were reviewed and through a team discussion, the main theme names were 287 modified, and sub-themes were identified. Therefore, we developed the results via an 288 iterative process of theme generation. These additional sub-themes provide the reader with 289 290 more detail and insight into the findings of the interviews conducted. Following this final stage of refining and naming of themes, we generated our findings (step 6) which are 291 292 presented in the following section.

293

Results

We present the themes and sub-themes that were generated from the data. An 294 increased awareness of golfer's thought processes relative to their performance was present 295 296 throughout all interviews. Within this increased awareness emerged many more specific themes, such as being aware of drifting and losing focus and an awareness of overthinking. In 297 addition, participants were able to articulate how they became aware of their thoughts 298 impacting their performance. More specifically, golfers recognised the presence of positive 299 routines and how their emotions or negative reactions may have influenced their 300 performance. Although TA was reported to have positive benefits, we generated a theme 301 relating to the disruptions of thought processes and performance. This theme linked to how 302 303 some participants found it difficult to verbalise thoughts following less successful shots and how TA disrupted putting performance. Finally, participants reported the potential benefits of 304 TA within a coaching context and recommended specific suggestions for a coach using TA to 305 306 gain an understanding into a golfers/client thought processes.

307 Increased awareness

Increased awareness refers to how participants became aware of different elements of their thought processes. Specifically, within this theme, being aware of drifting through the use of TA and being able to prevent this was evident. In addition, participants reported being aware of their overthinking and how TA had helped them to understand their own thought processes.

313 Drifting

The term drifting refers to when a participant recalled thoughts relating to past experiences, projected thoughts into the future and/or thought about irrelevant cues. The participants in this study reported that they became aware of this drifting and as a result were able to reverse this process. Participant four explains below:

When I hit the pitch left, I was pretty pissed off about it, but by the time I had got to the next chip I had verbalised that I was pissed off about it, but I can do a little chip up and putt her in and it will still be a par ... I think it helped me see that something that I do struggle with is how I often drift off and find myself not thinking about shots at all and that's when I can cock up (P4, immediate interview).

323 Again, this idea of not being focused on the present performance was also reported by

324 participant six who explained how he realised that he was not fully focused on his

performance. Nevertheless, he recognised this within the interview and explained how he was

326 able to reflect as a result of using TA:

This (TA) has actually made me realise that there's a process that I need to go through every time and to keep that focus really. I've realised that I need to work on my concentration and every ball you go to hit you have to approach in the same manner and just try and make sure you get that process of talking through what you're planning on doing and don't get distracted like I do when I'm playing in a

competition ... I think that is something that I need to do when I'm playing in a 332 competition, it's worth focusing in on it (P6, follow-up interview). 333 This theme was also evident in participant one, who reported how her mind wandered. 334 Nevertheless, using TA enabled the participant to be more focused on her game: 335 I find that my mind wanders and that is kind of the same with golf. When it is in your 336 sub-conscious your mind wanders and does other things, but when you're having to 337 338 actually say it out loud your mind is focused on the words you are saying so I can see that would be useful. (P1, immediate interview). 339

340 Awareness of overthinking

This theme represents participants experiences of having too many thoughts during performances, which was perceived to have a detrimental impact on performance. Participant one articulated how using TA raised awareness of the number of thoughts present during performance:

The most interesting bit (about TA) is that I think I need to improve on the most is the 345 preshot. ... You know I think there's a couple times where I say 'make a full turn' and 346 talking about the backswing. In my own head that means about five things ... There's 347 way too much stuff going on in my head. Rather than doing all the analytical bit, pick 348 the shot and then go right 'commit to hitting the shot' (P1, immediate interview). 349 350 Participant one reinforced this idea of overthinking in her follow-up interview, where she 351 recognised how TA could help herself understand how much she should be thinking during her performance. In the following quote, participant one is referring to how TA could help 352 understand this: "Sometimes not having too many thoughts can be a good thing, but I think 353 354 there's a balance to be had and actually knowing where you've got too many thoughts or not enough thoughts could be quite helpful." 355

Participant two echoed the notion of having an optimal number of thoughts in that he 356 reported how he sometimes get into a loop of overthinking. Although participant two did not 357 report any immediate change or improvement in performance, they became aware of the 358 effect or danger of overthinking: 359 I realised (through using TA) the dangers of how sometimes I'm overthinking. I have 360 this tendency to think about my own thinking and it can be a dangerous loop for me to 361 362 get into. I think that it (TA) highlighted this for me even more (P2, follow-up interview). 363

364 Awareness of how behaviour influences performance

This theme refers to how participants not only became aware of their thought processes, but also how being able to articulate their thoughts impacted their performance. A range of sub-themes were identified, namely, following a set thought process, reflecting on emotional instability, and learning from TA.

369 Following a set thought process

Participants reported that using TA enabled them to be more aware of some of the more positive processes that they followed. The theme of following a set thought process refers to how some participants became aware of the pre-performance routines or thought processes that they perceived as being positive to their performance. Participant one reported how TA allowed them to illuminate their methodical thought process:

It (TA) made me aware of the processes I go through. For example, before the shot I would go through the same thing of; 'ok what's the wind doing?' 'Where's good to go on this hole?' 'Where's a good miss?' 'What kind of shot shape do I want?' 'What club am I hitting?' 'Is it the right club?' Going through it quite methodically definitely helped me see how I'm quite methodical (P1, immediate interview).

380 The perceived benefit of being aware of one's thought processes was also evident in the381 follow-up interviews:

Yes, I think it (TA) reinforced what I think I do; 'what's my yardage?' 'What club am I going to use?' 'Where am I going to aim the shot?' 'Am I going to have to take more club or less club because it's uphill or downhill?' I think it reinforces that I actually do that even though I'm not sure in my normal process that that's a conscious thought but it was quite reassuring that I do go through that process (P1, follow-up interview).

Participant four echoed this perceived benefit in that TA reinforced the process or routine that he followed: "So that's the good bit in confirming that I think I've got a fairly good routine and reinforcing that has helped me understand and confirm my own process" (P4, immediate interview).

392 *Reflecting on emotional response*

The theme of reflecting on emotional response refers to how using TA enabled participants to identify negative emotions during performance. Participant three explains how listening back to his TA audio file reinforced some of his original thoughts about his behaviour on the course and allowed him to see how he could improve his performance as a result:

Listening back to it (TA) was really useful as well. I can learn what makes me angry
and ... eliminate that from my game and don't let it bother me too much. Hearing on a
scale of how angry I get and I will be able to remember the shot I am sure. So yeah,
measuring my temperament and what my limits are (P3, follow-up interview).
Furthermore, participant five reflected on how he is hard on himself and he acknowledged
how he needed to be more positive and focused on his good performances:

Generally, when you're playing on your own or whenever it may be, you do focus on 404 the bad because that's what needs improving ... Being a bit kinder to myself when I 405 do hit a good shot can be really useful and maintain a positive mind-set. That is 406 something that I need to do more as I am very hard on myself as I found throughout 407 the TA (P5, follow-up interview). 408

In his follow-up interview, participant three explained how he felt embarrassed after listening 409 410 back to his audio file, due to his language and swearing. Nevertheless, he was able to reflect on this and consider how he has become calmer as a result: 411

412 I used to be quite well contained but it sort of built up and built up and built up so if I ever did have a bad hole it ruined the rest of my game and I'd swear a lot. Whereas 413

now I try and be a lot calmer and when I walk off the green I can go onto the next tee 414 with no worries because I have got it out. So, yeah quite embarrassing (listening back 415

to TA) would be the best way to describe it (P3, follow-up interview). 416

Furthermore, participant four reinforces how he developed awareness of their emotional 417

responses to performance and how they might be able to rationalise this in future 418

performance: 419

As I was speaking aloud it made me more aware of the situation, almost aware of the 420 emotional response I was giving to it. The main thing was making me aware of the 421

current situation rather than losing my head over a shot (P4, immediate interview). 422

423

Disruption of thought process and performance

The use of TA itself was reported to cause some disruption to thought processes, and 424 in some participants, to performance. Specifically, it was reported that verbalising 425 426 unsuccessful shots and performances was sometimes difficult and some participants may employ an avoidance focused coping strategy of ignoring this perceived negative 427

performance. In addition, putting performance seemed to be most affected, especially whenparticipants first started to use TA during this skill.

430 Difficulty verbalizing and evaluating negative performance

It is important to note that participants acknowledged the process of TA to be challenging at times. For example, participant six reported the potential difficulties of verbalising his thoughts after a bad shot. Although this highlights how TA has potentially changed the focus of his natural attention, the following quote provides evidence of how this illumination of negative behaviour has been used to improve his thoughts process:

436 Something that I found particularly difficult when thinking aloud was verbalising

437 everything even when I'm hitting a bad shot. If it is something that is an unforced

438 error and it's not necessarily the fact that my swing was bad, I just didn't hit the shot

that I wanted to and it was just a one off maybe. I would maybe overlook it but where

440 I'm verbalising everything I'm kind of focusing more on the bad shots which actually

441 turned out to be really positive because I'm really focusing on those shots and making

sure that I don't do them again and making the same mistakes again (P6, follow-up

443 interview).

448

444 Participant four also explained the potential difficulties of verbalising less successful

445 performance. This links to the emotions that are elicited following both positive and negative

446 outcomes. Participant four, perceived the negative emotions following an unsuccessful

447 performance to be a potential issue when using TA:

thoughts. I would probably struggle with it a bit more because you know you get

450 emotional when you play badly or you miss a putt. (P3, follow-up interview).

451 *Potential distraction to performance*

19

If someone was playing bad I think it would be harder for them to say their true

This theme refers to some participants reporting how TA could possibly act as a distraction to their performance, especially when verbalising thought processes while putting. For example, participant two reported how he initially thought more about TA and less about his putt, which resulted in a negative outcome: "especially with the putts at the start, it affected me quite badly, because I was focusing more on speaking aloud at times rather than my actual shot" (P2, immediate interview).

One participant reported being distracted during putting performance due to his putting
routine being visual and how having to verbalise his thoughts directed his attention away
from the visual cues he would usually use when putting:

461 I am visual with putting. I'm like 'that is the spot I want to hit it on', and if I'm

talking to myself I'll almost forget where that spot is and if I don't look at it again, I

have to keep looking at the spot until I'm like that is exactly where I want to hit I

now. If I keep talking I put myself off where I'm trying to aim. Even though I'm

saying where I want to aim it, I've lost that visual connection with my reference point.

466 So as a result, on putts, I found it a lot more difficult (P4, follow-up interview).

467 The potential issue of TA being a distraction during putting is an important consideration for

468 how TA is employed and in what environment. For example, the evidence may suggest that

469 TA is best used in practice conditions only, given that it might be detrimental to competitive

470 performance.

471 Application to coaching

All participants recommended the use of TA as a useful coaching tool. Although this
is only from the perspective of the golfer and not the coach, participants reported how the
coach could use TA to understand, monitor, and challenge the golfers thought processes.
Participants stated that coaches could use TA to better understand their player's thoughts, and
in turn, be able to implement appropriate coaching interventions as a result. Specifically, the

sub-themes of gaining an insight into a golfer's thought process and coach intervention wereidentified.

479 Gaining an insight into a golfer's thought process

480 Our participants reported how TA could help the coach to gain an insight into what the golfer481 is thinking:

I could see how actually articulating (TA) is actually quite useful from a coaching
perspective, because if you're actually articulating what you're thinking your coach
knows whether you're actually going through a routine to your thinking which is
actually quite important and whether you're actually thinking in the correct way (P2,
follow-up interview).

487 Participant four also provides support for the use of TA in coaching, specifically when

488 making the coach aware of the golfer's thoughts relating to course management and focus:

489 If you've got an athlete that you feel has poor course management, is thinking the

490 wrong thoughts or is losing their head at some point in the round then you can use

491 think aloud to assess what they are thinking and see where you might be able to

- 492 change it (P4, follow-up interview).
- 493 *Coach intervention*

Through providing an awareness of thought processes, it was suggested that this could lead to a range of possible coaching interventions. Participant two explains the potential benefits of using TA as a remote coaching tool, where a coach could gain insights into their golfer's thought process and then suggest relevant coaching points:

I think it (TA) gives a coach ... an idea of the routine that you're going through and it
would enable them to help you by making suggestions by saying you're doing this,
how about if you try and do x, y and z. I can see that it would have benefits. Good
things that you're doing, bad things that you're doing, things that my help. Also, if

502 you've got too much going on in your head or perhaps not enough going on in your503 head (P2, immediate interview).

Participant five explains how using TA could enable the coach to identify when an athlete's
thoughts may disrupt their performance and allow the coach to challenge the player and
interrogate their thought processes at certain points of their game:

507 For coaching it is a positive thing because the coach can pick up on 'why were you 508 thinking that there?' 'Why were you thinking this here?' When you hit a bad shot and 509 you let it get to you. ... I think from a coaching perspective the coach can turn around 510 and say 'why do you think that way?' 'Why are you so harsh on yourself?' (P5, 511 follow-up interview).

512

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to understand golfers' perceptions of using TA at two time points: immediately post performance and after a six-to-eight-week reflection period. Using Zimmerman's (1986, 2000) self-regulated learning theory as a guiding framework, we were able to provide an original contribution to the literature by elucidating how using TA might promote self-reflection, and ultimately, self-regulation in golfers.

One major finding from the present study is that TA appears to have developed an 518 increased level of self-awareness in golfers. This finding is represented by both the increased 519 520 awareness and awareness of how behaviour influences performance theme. According to 521 Zimmerman (2000), reflection is a sub-component of metacognition that relates to awareness 522 of and knowledge about one's thinking and learning. The golfers in the present study appear to have developed their ability to evaluate their own thinking by a process of self-reflection. 523 524 In line with Zimmerman's (1986, 2000) self-regulated learning theory, it appears that using TA facilitated self-judgment as reflected by participants being aware of the consequences of 525 their thoughts and behaviours. The process of self-evaluation was facilitated as golfers 526

appeared to compare their self-observed performance against goal attainment, and in turn, 527 calibrate the causes of success and errors to their self-observed performance (causal 528 attributions). For example, close inspection of participant four's quotes suggest that he 529 attributed performance errors to controllable processes that may sustain motivation as he 530 implies that developing a strategy to manage drifting may lead to future success 531 (Zimmerman, 2002). 532

533 Despite the findings of the present study suggesting that TA developed self-awareness through reflection, participants did not report using TA as a reflective tool between the 534 535 immediate and follow-up interviews. Subsequently, participants did not engage in the subprocess of adaptive reactions as there was an absence of effort to adjust one's method of 536 learning. Individuals who judge their success and failure as opportunities to learn may 537 develop a more adaptive attribution style, which in turn, may enhance emotional control 538 (Zimmerman, 2000). Given the absence of adaptive reactions, caution should be taken when 539 interpreting the findings regarding the extent to which an improvement in self-regulation was 540 identified. Notwithstanding, these collective findings illustrate the reported benefits of TA 541 and its capability to facilitate increased self-awareness through the process of self-reflection. 542

Golfers reported that TA could be used by the coach to better understand their golfers 543 thought processes, and in turn, implement coaching interventions. These interventions could 544 cover a variety of areas such as pre-performance routines (e.g., monitoring pre-event anxiety 545 546 symptoms), performance strategy (e.g., pacing strategy), and psychological strategies aimed at responding to stressful situations (e.g., monitoring attentional control strategies). 547 Researchers (e.g., Swettenham et al., 2018; Kaisler et al., 2012; Whitehead et al., 2016a) have 548 supported the notion that TA could be used as a performance enhancement tool by coaches

and sport psychologists. To date, only one study has explored a sport psychologist's use of 550

549

TA to improve emotional control in a tennis player (Moffat et al., 2021). Therefore, more 551

research is needed to develop a better understanding of how practitioners may employ TAwithin their practice.

554 One suggestion could be to focus on how coaches challenge athlete learning. Hansen and Anderson (2014) suggest that challenging an athlete's reflection may enhance more 555 reliable learning experiences. Hansen and Anderson (2014) present the concepts of the coach 556 being the sense-giver and the athlete being the sense-maker, where the coach's role is to 557 558 stimulate athlete reflection through intervening in the sense-making process. This process of sense-giving is where the coach challenges the way the athlete perceives and interprets 559 560 training advice (Jones et al., 2012). Sense-giving interventions involve giving direction to athletes via training plans, observing and interacting with the athlete, encouraging the athlete 561 to reflect on their training, and asking challenging questions (Hansen & Anderson, 2014). 562 Using TA may provide an additional method of sense-making for the athlete where they are 563 able to not only self-reflect, but also have their TA challenged by the sense-giver (coach). 564 Given that self-regulated learners are eager to challenge established behavioural patterns 565 566 (Zimmerman, 1986, 2000), using TA alongside the sense-giving process may provide a fruitful means of developing highly valuable self-regulatory skills in athletes. Further 567 research is therefore warranted to examine the collaborative use of TA in developing self-568 reflection, and possibly self-regulation, in athletes. 569

As can be seen from the themes, golfers reported both positive and negative perceptions of using TA. Participants reported that thinking aloud helped identify problem areas (e.g., drifting) in their game. Being able to identify drifting of focus and concentration is a key component of successful performance in that drifting into the past or the future may inhibit performance (Wilson et al., 2006). Therefore, being able to identify this drift through the use of TA is an important finding for the present study. Despite participants not reporting using TA between the immediate and follow-up interviews, they did, however, consider

applying what they had learned to competitive environments. For example, participants
reflected on how they could apply the use of TA to competitive environments, where this
drifting could be identified to improve their focus. The six-to-eight-week reflection period
and the process of listening back to one's TA were also perceived positively by participants.
Participants reported the benefits of listening back to their TA and the collective findings
encompassed by the increased awareness and awareness of how behaviour influences
performance themes highlight the value and positive perceptions of golfers using TA.

Despite the reported benefits of using TA, some golfers revealed concerns about using 584 585 TA in that it caused disruption in thought processes and performance, especially after executing poor putts. The theme of disruption of thought process and performance highlights 586 the prevalence of reactivity from thinking aloud during performance. This evidences how 587 metacognition occurs where participants are potentially becoming more aware of their 588 thoughts and decision-making by virtue of TA directing attention towards the conscious 589 process. Indeed, a recent review of reactivity to measures of metacognition argued that TA 590 may cause reactivity whereby participants may attend to internal cognitions in a way they 591 would not have ordinarily done and may be compounded by the nature of their verbalisations 592 being recorded (Double & Birney, 2019). Although metacognition has been associated with 593 positive developmental (e.g., Lior, 2004; Theodosiou & Papaioannou, 2006) and performance 594 benefits (e.g., Jonker et al., 2011; Nietfeld, 2003), it may also promote conscious control of 595 596 movement that is argued to potentially hinder skill execution. According to Masters' (1992) theory of reinvestment, automated motor processes can be disrupted if the performer tries to 597 consciously control skill execution. Research (e.g., Pijpers et al., 2005; Wan & Huon, 2005) 598 599 has generally supported the contention that consciously controlling movement hinders performance in conditions of high anxiety. Given that participants in the present study used 600

TA under simulated competition conditions, reinvestment theory could explain thediscomfort experienced.

603 Nevertheless, this element of reactivity and leading participants to attend to internal cognitions becomes somewhat of a double-edged sword. By becoming aware of these thought 604 processes, golfers may increase his or her understanding of how their cognitions impact their 605 practice, and in turn, potentially develop self-regulatory skills to facilitate more effective 606 607 learning (Zimmerman, 1986, 2000). Conversely, directing one's attention towards cognitions that underpin skill execution can potentially hinder performance. Stephenson et al. (2020) 608 609 found that coach's use of TA during practice enabled them to become more aware of their own cognitions and coaching behaviours, yet TA also became a distraction from the task of 610 coaching and thus negatively impacted overall coaching performance. Despite the 611 overwhelming body of literature supporting the use of TA as a valid and reliable means to 612 capture in-event cognitions (for a review, see Fox, Ericsson, & Best, 2011), the present study 613 highlights the need for researchers and practitioners to acknowledge the potential for 614 reactivity when using TA as self-regulation tool. This also leads to considerations about the 615 learning of TA and that it is a skill. Initially, participants may become distracted as they are 616 in the cognitive phase of learning (Fitts & Posner, 1967). Consequently, researchers should 617 consider the length of TA training to overcome such impacts. 618

Although this is the first study to consider the use of TA as a tool to facilitate selfregulation within athletes (golfers), it is important to acknowledge the limitations of the study and consider how future research may develop this area further. We understand that reflection is more than just listening back to your thoughts. Future research may consider how the wider social environment and development of the individual athlete plays a role in how they reflect and engage in the TA process. Using TA in isolation also means that participants are only able to self-correct, which may result in an individual only knowing what they know or not

knowing what they don't know. Howell (1982) termed this phenomenon unconscious 626 incompetence. This may explain why participants acknowledged the importance of using TA 627 628 collaboratively with their coach. Research within coaching has emphasised the role of guidance or a critical friend in enhancing reflections (Szedlak et al., 2019). Furthermore, 629 using TA through a collaborative process with other coaches has also been suggested in 630 previous research (Stephenson et al., 2020). Future research should therefore consider 631 632 triangulating methods (e.g., performance measures) and gaining perceptions of others (e.g., the coach). 633

634 Due to the exploratory nature of the present study, participants were instructed to listen to and reflect upon TA audio using an open and unstructured process. We acknowledge 635 the benefits of structured reflection approaches and encourage researchers to harness 636 frameworks (e.g., Gibbs' reflective cycle; Gibbs, 1988) to facilitate deeper reflections. We 637 also acknowledge that caution should be taken when interpreting the findings regarding the 638 extent to which an improvement in self-regulation was identified. We encourage researchers 639 640 to analyse TA content and/or harness follow-up interviews more explicitly to assess changes in self-regulation to further shed light about the use of TA as a tool to promote self-regulation 641 in athletes. 642

Furthermore, it is important to consider and acknowledge how reactivity, 643 metacognition, and reflection are also very individualised processes, where some individuals 644 645 may benefit from reporting on their metacognition, while others may be impaired and some do not react at all. This was evidenced by Whitehead et al. (2018) where some cyclists 646 reported perceived benefits of using TA "it helped me pace myself better" (p.106), whereas 647 648 others reported negative reactivity "it slowed me down" (p.106) and others reported no reactivity "I was probably as per normal" (p.106). The absence of any long-term follow-up 649 interviews and the lack of questioning surrounding participant's effort to adjust one's method 650

of learning limit the scope of the study to reveal the wider implications of using TA to
develop the broader self-regulation process. Therefore, we encourage researchers and
practitioners to examine how TA could be used longitudinally and how the content of TA
may change with the potential development of self-regulation skills.

655 Conclusion

The aim of the present study was to glean golfers' perceptions of using TA, and 656 657 ultimately, to advance understanding of its use as a tool to promote self-reflection and selfregulation in golfers. Talented athletes are more likely to self-reflect during athletic 658 659 performance in comparison to lower-level peers (Jonker et al., 2011). Therefore, it is important that research and practice considers how best to facilitate this process within the 660 athlete population. More specifically, using TA may help athletes to better understand how 661 they think and feel within real-time performance in comparison to retrospective reflections 662 such as diary methods (Tan et al., 2016). Although the present study does pose 663 methodological questions for research using TA as a data collection tool for capturing 664 665 naturalistic cognition, TA offers a potential tool to promote self-regulation for golfers and other athletes who wish to self-evaluate their in-situ thought processes. Researchers are 666 encouraged to examine the use of TA as a self-regulation tool to further support or challenge 667 these preliminary findings. 668

669	References
670	Banning, M. (2008). A review of clinical decision making: Models and current research.
671	Journal of Clinical Nursing, 17, 187-195. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
672	2702.2006.01791.x
673	Baumeister, R. F., & Showers, C. J. (1986). A review of paradoxical performance effects:
674	Choking under pressure in sports and mental tests. European Journal of Social
675	Psychology, 16(4), 361-383. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420160405
676	Bernard, H. R., Killworth, P., Kronenfeld, D., & Sailer, L. (1984). The problem of informant
677	accuracy: The validity of retrospective data. Annual Review of Anthropology, 13(1),
678	495-517. doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.002431
679	Birch, P. D. J., & Whitehead, A. E. (2019). Investigating the Comparative Suitability of
680	Traditional and Task-Specific Think Aloud Training. Perceptual and Motor Skills,
681	127(1), 202-224. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512519882274
682	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). Successful qualitative research: A practical guide for
683	beginners. London: Sage.
684	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. <i>Qualitative</i>
685	Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 11(4), 589-597.
686	https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806
687	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in
688	(reflexive) thematic analysis? Qualitative research in psychology, 18(3), 328-352.
689	https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238
690	Brick, N., MacIntyre, T., & Campbell, M. (2015). Metacognitive processes in the self-
691	regulation of performance in elite endurance runners. Psychology of Sport and
692	Exercise, 19, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.02.003
693	Calmeiro, L., Tenenbaum, G., & Eccles, D. (2010). Event-sequence analysis of appraisals

and coping during trapshooting performance. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*,

695 22(4), 392-407. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2010.495325

- 696 Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2013). Teaching thematic analysis: Overcoming challenges and
 697 developing strategies for effective learning. *The Psychologist*, 26(2).
- Cleary, T., & Zimmerman, B.J. (2001). Self-regulation differences during athletic practice by
 experts, non- experts, and novices. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 13, 186-206.

700 https://doi.org/10.1080/104132001753149883

701 Creswell, J., & Miller, D. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. Theory Into

 702
 Practice, 39(3), 124–130. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip3903_2

- Double, K.S., & Birney, D.P. (2019). Reactivity to Measures of Metacognition. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 2755. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02755
- 705 Ericsson, K. A., & Simon, H. A. (1993). Verbal reports as data. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Fitts, P. M., & Posner, M. I. (1967). Human Performance. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Fox, M. C., Ericsson, K. A., & Best, R. (2011). Do procedures for verbal reporting of
- thinking have to be reactive? A meta-analysis and recommendations for best reporting
 methods. *Psychological Bulletin*, *137*(2). https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021663
- Gibbs, G. (1988). Learning by doing: A guide to teaching and learning methods. Further
 Education Unit.
- 712 Griffiths, M. A., Armour, K. M., & Cushion, C. J. (2018) 'Trying to get our message across':
- successes and challenges in an evidence-based professional development programme
- for sport coaches. *Sport, Education and Society, 23*(3), 283-295.
- 715 https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2016.1182014
- Hansen, P. Ø., & Andersen, S. S. (2014). Coaching elite athletes: How coaches stimulate

elite athletes' reflection, *Sports Coaching Review*, *3*(1), 17-32.

718 https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2014.901712

- Howell, W. S. (1982). The empathic communicator. University of Minnesota: Wadsworth
 Publishing Company.
- Jones, R., Morgan, K., & Harris, K. (2012). Developing coaching pedagogy: Seeking a better
 integration of theory and practice. *Sport, Education and Society*, *17*(3), 313-329.
- 723 https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2011.608936
- Jonker, L., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., & Visscher, C. (2010). Differences in self-regulatory
- skills among talented athletes: The significance of competitive level and type of sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(8), 901-908.
- 727 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640411003797157
- Jonker, L., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Toering, T. T., Lyons, J., & Visscher, C. (2011). The role
- of self-regulatory skills in sport and academic performances of elite youth athletes.
- 730 *Talent Development & Excellence, 3*(2), 263-275.
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2010.516270
- 732 Kaiseler, M., Polman, R. C., & Nicholls, A. R. (2012). Gender differences in Appraisal and
- 733 Coping: An examination of the situational and dispositional hypothesis. *International*
- *Journal of Sport Psychology*, *43*(1), 1–14.
- 735 https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2013.749004
- 736Lee, J. E. M., & Ryan-Wenger, N. (1997). The "think aloud" seminar for teaching clinical
- reasoning: A case study of a child with pharyngitis. *Journal of Paediatric Health*
- 738 *Care*, *11*(1). 105–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5245(97)90061-4
- 739 Masters, R. S. (1992). Knowledge, knerves and know-how: The role of explicit versus
- implicit knowledge in the breakdown of a complex motor skill under pressure. *British*
- 741 *Journal of Psychology*, 83(3), 343-358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-
- 742 8295.1992.tb02446.x
- Newton, P., & Burgess, D. (2008). Exploring types of educational action research:

- T44 Implications for research validity. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 7(4),
- 745 18-30. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690800700402
- 746 Nicholls, A. R., & Polman, R. C. (2008). Think aloud: Acute stress and coping strategies
- 747 during golf performances. *Anxiety Stress Coping*, 21(3), 283–294.
- 748 https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800701609207
- Nietfeld, J. (2003). An examination of metacognitive strategy use and monitoring skills by
- competitive middle distance runners. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 15(4),
- 751 307-320. https://doi.org/10.1080/714044199
- Page, J., & Thelwell, R. (2013). The value of social validation in single-case methods in sport
- and exercise psychology. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25, 61-71.
- 754 https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2012.663859
- Peltier, J. W., Hay, A., & Drago, W. (2006). Reflecting on reflection: Scale extension and a
 comparison of undergraduate business students in the United States and the United
- 757 Kingdom. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 28(1), 5-16.
- 758 https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475305279658
- Pijpers, J. R., Oudejans, R. R., & Bakker, F. C. (2005). Anxiety-induced changes in
- 760 movement behaviour during the execution of a complex whole-body task. *The*
- 761 *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 58(3), 421-445.
- 762 https://doi.org/10.1080/02724980343000945
- 763 Saldaña, J. (2013). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. Thousand Oaks, CA:
 764 Sage.
- Samdahl, D. (1999), Differences between quantitative and qualitative research. In E. J
- Jackson, & Burton (Eds.), T.L. Leisure Studies: Prospects for the 21st Century (pp.
- 767 119-133). State College, PA: Venture Publications.
- Sarkar, M., & Fletcher, D. (2014). Psychological resilience in sport performers: a review of

- stressors and protective factors. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *32*(15), 1419-1434.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.901551
- 771 Sayer A. (2000). *Realism and Social Science*. Sage Publications, London.
- Schmidt, R. A., & Wrisberg, C. A. (2000). *Motor learning & performance: A problem-based learning approach*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 574 Smith, B. (2018). Generalizability in qualitative research: Misunderstandings, opportunities
- and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. *Qualitative Research in*

776 *Sport, Exercise and Health, 10*(1), 137–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/

- 777 2159676X.2017.1393221
- 578 Smith, B., & McGannon, K. R. (2018). Developing rigor in qualitative research: Problems
- and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 11(1), 101-121.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357
- Smith, B., & Sparkes, A. C. (2016). *Routledge handbook of qualitative research in sport and exercise*, 103-123. London: Routledge.
- Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2014). *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and health: From process to product.* London: Routledge.
- 786 Stephenson, J., Cronin, C., & Whitehead, A. E. (2020). 'Suspended above, and in action':
- 787
 Think Aloud as a reflective practice tool. International Sports Coaching Journal. 7

 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 (1)
 <
- 788 (1), 11-21. https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2018-0022
- 789 Swettenham, L., Eubank, M., Won, D., & Whitehead, A. E. (2018). Investigating stress and
- coping during practice and competition in tennis using think aloud. *International*
- *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *18* (2), 218-238.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2018.1511622
- 793 Swettenham, L., & Whitehead, A. E. (2021). Developing the Triad of Knowledge in

- 794 Coaching: Think Aloud as a Reflective Tool Within a Category 1 Football
- Academy. *International Sport Coaching Journal*, 9(1), 122-132.
- 796 https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2020-0122
- 797 Szedlak, C., Smith, M. J., Callary, B., & Day, M. C. (2019). Using Written, Audio, and Video
- 798 Vignettes to Translate Knowledge to Elite Strength and Conditioning Coaches.
- 799 *International Sport Coaching Journal*, 6(2), 199-210.
- 800 https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2018-0027
- 801 Szedlak, C., Smith, M. J., Callary, B., & Day, M. C. (2020). Examining How Elite S&C
- 802 Coaches Develop Coaching Practice Using Reflection Stimulated by Video Vignettes.
- 803 International Sport Coaching Journal, 7 (3), 295-305.
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2019-0059
- Tan, S.L., Koh, K.T., & Kokkonen, M. (2016). The perception of elite athletes' guided selfreflection and performance in archery. *Reflective Practice*, *17*(2), 207-220.
- 807 https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2016.1146582
- 808 Theodosiou, A., & Papaioannou, A. (2006). Motivational climate, achievement goals and
- 809 metacognitive activity in physical education and exercise involvement in out-of-
- school settings. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 7(4), 361-379.
- 811 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2005.10.002
- 812 Tracy, S.J., 2010. Qualitative quality: eight "Big-Tent" criteria for excellent qualitative
- research. *Qualitative inquiry*, *16*, 837–851.
- 814 https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410383121
- 815 Wan, C. Y., & Huon, G. F. (2005). Performance degradation under pressure in music: An
- examination of attentional processes. *Psychology of Music*, *33*(2), 155-172.
- 817 https://doi.org/10.1177/0305735605050649
- 818 Whitehead, A. E., Cropley, B., Miles, A., Huntley, T., Quayle, L., & Knowles, Z. (2016a).

- 819 'Think Aloud': Towards a framework to facilitate reflective practice amongst rugby
- 820 league coaches. *International Sport Coaching Journal*, *3*, 269-286.
- 821 https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2016-0021
- Whitehead, A. E., & Jackman, P. C. (2021). Towards a framework of cognitive processes
 during competitive golf using the Think Aloud method. *Psychology of Sport and*
- *Exercise*, *53*, 101869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101869
- Whitehead, A. E., Jones, H. S., Williams, E. L., Rowley, C., Quayle, L., Marchant, D., &
- Polman, R. C. (2018). Investigating the relationship between cognitions, pacing
- strategies and performance in 16.1 km cycling time trials using a think aloud protocol.
- 828 Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 34, 95-109.
- 829 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.10.001
- 830 Whitehead, A. E., Taylor., J. A., & Polman, R. C. J. (2016b). Evidence for skill level
- 831 differences in the thought processes of golfers during high and low pressure
- situations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01974
- 833 Wiltshire, G. (2018). A case for critical realism in the pursuit of interdisciplinarity and
- impact. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 10(5), 525–542.
- 835 https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2018.1467482
- 836 Wilson, V.E., Peper, E. & Schmid, A. (2006). Training strategies for concentration. In
- 837 Williams, J.N. (ed). *Applied Sport Psychology: Personal Growth to Peak*
- 838 *Performance* (pp. 404-422). Boston: McGraw Hill.
- 839 Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M.
- Boekaerts, P. & M. Seidner (Eds.), *Self-regulation: Theory, research and application*
- 841 (pp. 13–39). Orlando, FL: Academic Press. Pintrich.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Bandura, A. (1994). Impact of self-regulatory influences on writing

843	course attainment. American educational research journal, 31(4), 845-862.
844	https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312031004845
845	Zimmerman, B. J. (2006). Development and adaptation of expertise: The role of
846	self regulatory processes and beliefs. In K. A. Ericsson, N. Charness, P. J. Feltovich,
847	& R. R. Hoffman (Eds.), The Cambridge handbook of expertise and expert
848	performance (pp. 705–722). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
849	Zimmerman, B. J., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1986). Development of a structured interview for
850	assessing students' use of self-regulated learning strategies. American Educational

Research Journal, 23, 614–628. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312023004614