

LJMU Research Online

Karyono, K, Romano, A, Abdullah, BM, Cullen, J and Bras, A

The role of hygrothermal modelling for different housing typologies by estimating indoor relative humidity, energy usage and anticipation of fuel poverty

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/18608/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Karyono, K, Romano, A, Abdullah, BM, Cullen, J and Bras, A (2021) The role of hygrothermal modelling for different housing typologies by estimating indoor relative humidity, energy usage and anticipation of fuel poverty. Building and Environment. 207 (B). ISSN 0007-3628

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

The Role of Hygrothermal Modelling for Different Housing Typologies by Estimating Indoor Relative Humidity, Energy Usage and Anticipation of Fuel Poverty

Kanisius Karyono^{1, a,b}, Abbie Romano^{2, a}, Badr M. Abdullah^{3, a}, Jeff Cullen^{4, a}, Ana Bras^{5, a}

^aFaculty of Engineering and Technology, Liverpool John Moores University Byrom Street Campus, Liverpool, L3 3AF, United 6 Kingdom 8

> ^bFaculty of Engineering and Informatics, Universitas Multimedia Nusantara Scientia Garden, Gading Serpong, Tangerang, 15810 Indonesia

Highlights:
 There is a huge gap between building energy regulation and its implementation in th construction industry.
 A probabilistic approach is used to design retrofitting solutions for building energet rehabilitation and relative humidity (RH) management in residential houses from the 1920s an 2010s with the representations in three different areas.
• The work analyses the effect of fuel poverty and anticipating global climate change on healthier indoor environment.
 The indoor temperature is demonstrated to be efficient in the range between 16°C - 20°C highlighting the need to review regulation.

22 23

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18 19

20

21

24

Abstract 25

The nature of deem-to-satisfy standards in building energy performance results in a lack of insight over 26 their consequences. As a result, there is a huge gap between regulation and implementation in the 27 construction industry. The procedure proposed in this article aims to implement the probabilistic 28 approach method to design retrofitting solutions for building energetic rehabilitation and relative 29 humidity management. This is completed by considering the uncertainty associated with a building's 30 physical parameters, savings estimation, weather forecast, occupants' behaviour and building ventilation. 31 A computational hygrothermal modelling approach is utilised to emphasise the differences in the indoor 32 conditions for two typologies of residential houses common in the United Kingdom, located in three cities 33 with different weather conditions and fuel poverty levels: Liverpool, Aberdeen, and Kent. The first model 34 corresponds to houses built to standards from the 1920s', with solid external walls, and the second house 35

¹ k.karyono@2019.ljmu.ac.uk, <u>karyono@umn.ac.id</u>

² A.R.Romano@ljmu.ac.uk

³ B.M.Abdullah@ljmu.ac.uk

⁴ J.D.Cullen@ljmu.ac.uk

⁵ A.M.ArmadaBras@ljmu.ac.uk

model uses the 2010s' construction solution, where the double-glazed windows and the wall insulation materials are implemented. The indoor temperature is demonstrated to be efficient in the range between 16°C - 20°C. Lowering the current temperature set point to about 16°C will give healthier indoor conditions regardless of dwelling, highlighting the need to review the regulation. The simulation shows that 2% heating energy can be conserved for indoor thermal comfort and extensive environmental benefits. On particular assumption of energy use per household, this work will annually contribute to the carbon footprint reduction of approximately 635.8 - 847 thousand tonnes.

43 *Keywords:* occupant comfort, hygrothermal modelling, fuel poverty, indoor air quality, relative humidity

44 Graphical Abstract:



45

46

1. Introduction

In developed and industrialised countries, approximately 80-90% of human behaviour is spent indoors where the air quality can be significantly worse than outside [1, 2]. Despite this, there are only limited standards available for IAQ in housing, where the only exceptions are for places of work[3, 4]. Starting the process of analysing and understanding how occupants' comfort and health is affected by IAQ the quality of the building structure, finish, furnishings, and the degree of crowding of such environments and the various human activities must be considered. Therefore it is crucial for the development and implementation of a strategy to protect and promote the health and safety of those inside dwellings on both a national and international level (such as European prevention plans and United Nations programs on the Sustainability Development Agenda [4] and World Health Organisation (WHO) predictions [4]).

Although there has been a decrease during the pandemic, CO_2 emissions have previously grown by 1.7% 56 to reach 33.1 Gt in 2018 and become the highest growth in emissions since 2013 [5]. Although Global 57 Climate Change (GCC) can decrease heating needs by 2%, the need for air conditioning during summer 58 will increase because of increased humidity[6, 7]. As a function of time, the GCC impact will become 59 increasingly worse and globally will require approximately 3% excess energy [8]. Consequentially, the UK 60 will introduce a Future Homes Standard, mandating the end of fossil-fuel heating systems in all new 61 houses from 2025 [9]. Coupled with this, in the current building stock, many existing dwelling cannot 62 provide enough protection against either heat and/or cold weather fluctuations [10], reducing both IAQ 63 and thermal comfort for dwellings. Thermal comfort has become researchers' focus prior 1920 to date 64 due to the importance in the health aspects, energy usage, wellbeing, air quality factors (such as CO_2 65 levels) and productivity [11, 12] [13]. The analysis focus on human physiology which brought by Fanger's 66 research, human behaviour and psychology raise by adaptive thermal comfort approach. There is also 67 findings that people can have less body fat by regulating the indoor temperature with frequent cold 68 exposures [14]. The exposure to cold acclimation can improve the subjective responses to cold [15] 69

To gauge the requirements for parameters to improve thermal comfort and indoor hygrothermal 70 conditions there must be an investigation into the age, the number of properties, energy efficiency (and 71 therefore fuel demand). Consequentially it also must be understood as to how this can be measured and 72 predicted to optimise the retrofit of the current building stock. One of the key success factors in the 73 implementation of regulations is by completing appropriate, relevant, and thorough simulations and 74 modelling, giving a better view, and understanding of the problem and its potential solution. This paper 75 provided the hygrothermal simulation which utilised building typologies from both typical residential 76 properties utilising 1920s and 2010s building codes to model mean indoor relative humidity as a 77 consequential effect of locational weather conditions with the simulation conducted for different thermal 78 setting. This work used MATLAB as a HAM simulator and SIMSCAPE to simplify and accelerate the 79 simulation process where the previous model implements the MATLAB, and the differential equations 80 system was calculated using COMSOL. This paper has a potential influence on nearly 13 million homes in 81

the United Kingdom (UK) and potentially other areas that have the similar conditions. The locations analysed are Kent, Liverpool and Aberdeen and due to their varied climates, to maintain thermal comfort, this model was also able to predict the percentage of time with the heater turned on which represent the energy used for heating.

86 **2.** Driving Factors

2.1. Current problems related to residential dwellings in the UK

The age range of residential dwelling typologies within the UK is vast, where only 17% of homes were built 88 in the last 30 years (demonstrated within Figure 1 [16]). With variation in age comes a variation in building 89 standards, techniques, and materials from those that are used today to improve energy efficiency and as 90 a result will have different heating requirements. For example, within pre-1919 dwellings, energy costs 91 are over 70% higher than their post-1990 equivalents [17]. Considering Figure 1, over 20% of English 92 residential dwellings are within this category (pre-1919 dwellings), which consequentially produce around 93 94 double the carbon emissions. Figure 1 also has a secondary y-axis which represents the number of houses that can be assigned to these dwelling ages. 95



96

Figure 1. Dwelling age of properties of English homes[16].

The dwellings which are built using solid masonry bricks without air gaps (solid uninsulated walls) are referred to as '1920s' homes and were constructed from both pre-1919 and 1919-44 in Figure 1., this equates to approximately 36.6% of the total dwellings or about 8.76 million homes. The dwellings which are built using the latest well-insulated walls mentioned in Figure 1 as the post-1990 are about 4.02 million or about 16.8% of the total dwellings. This study will focus on these two main groups which are about
 12.78 million houses or cover about 53.4% of the total dwelling in the UK.

103 2.2. Fuel Poverty in the UK and Global Climate Change

Using heating and hot water contributes to approximately 25% of total energy consumption for UK homes.
 As previously mentioned, there is also a requirement for more energy required to heat these older homes
 which has a subsequently higher fuel cost. The inability to afford adequate heating energy in a home is
 defined as fuel poverty [18]. Particularly in pre-1919 homes, the likelihood of fuel poverty is double the
 national average, where all countries within the UK experience fuel poverty as demonstrated in Figure 2.



109

Figure 2. Percentage of fuel poor households within the UK [19, Welsh 20, Scottish 21, Northern Ireland Housing 22]

Understanding how specific regions are affected by fuel poverty is imperative for developing a deeper knowledge and demonstration of locational requirements. Specifically, Figure 3, demonstrates two geographically opposite cities, Liverpool (North West) and Kent (South East) which have been highlighted in purple and orange (respectively). In Liverpool, it is demonstrated to be one of only 14 local authorities in the whole of England to be at the most end of fuel poverty between 14.1-20.9% of homes experiencing it. By contrast, Kent has between 8.1-10% of homes in fuel poverty being one of 106 local authorities.

By comparison, in Scotland, the national average for fuel poverty households from 2016-18 was 25% [23]; this is represented within Figure 4. Aberdeen is highlighted by an orange arrow. The results demonstrated within Figure 4 are that within Aberdeen, fuel poverty is approximately slightly below the national average at 23% of all households being in fuel poverty.

Further to this, Figure 5 demonstrates a clear correlation to fuel poverty homes and the building envelope typology. Solid and un-insulated homes have the largest proportion of homes that fall into the fuel poverty classification at approximately 16% of all homes. Figure 5 shows that if residents live in solid uninsulated
 homes the average fuel poverty gap is the largest. The fuel poverty gap represents the value or quantity
 of money required to move the household out of fuel poverty; for solid, uninsulated homes this is over
 £400 per year.



Figure 3. Sub-regional map of fuel poverty (by the proportion of local authority) within England[24].





138



Figure 5. Effect of wall type on the proportion of households in fuel poverty and average fuel poverty
 gap[19].

150 2.3. Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)

Considering the thermal comfort of the indoor environment, can severely reduce the energy demand for residential properties. Many different bacterium and health effects thrive in different RH (see Figure 6). The recommended levels within domestic properties as outlined in H.M.Government [25] are the daily average to be less than 85% RH, weekly average to be less than 75% RH and monthly average to be less than 65% RH. These regulations have been modified since the previous 2006 edition of the Building Regulations Part F. It was noted by H.M.Government [25] that these regulations were reformed to comply with research conducted by Altamirano-Medina, et al. [26].





Figure 6. The optimum zone for RH against different types of household nuisances [27].

Maintaining RH level to minimise the problems is one of the key drivers for avoiding sick building syndrome (SBS), attributing to several long-term occupant hazards such as upper respiratory illnesses [28]. Thermal comfort affects all occupants and directly impacts health and productivity [29] which is further exaggerated when succumbing to SBS [30]. SBS was explored by Yu and Crump [31] and Barreca, et al. [32].

165 2.4. Analysis of other modelling by other researchers

Simulations can model the probabilistic phenomena, for example, random resources availability and 166 weather. Modelling also can introduce some level of abstraction. This allows the problems to be portrayed 167 accurately according to the time and resources available. Some approaches are also available to be used 168 in the modelling of each fundamental aspect [33]. The computational fluid dynamics calculation and 169 modelling are also common to analyse indoor thermal conditions [34]. Other research has also been 170 conducted to consider the thermal comfort factors in dwellings from using mathematical models, 171 laboratory testing, numerical calculations and further computer-based simulations to develop the desired 172 performance of building materials [35]. Another mathematical model and the validation is presented in 173 Lelievre, et al. [36] and Richter, et al. [37]. 174

175 Multiple simulation steps were also being done for increasing the accuracy of the model. In this work, Heat, Air and Moisture (HAM) models are being elaborated in three steps: semi-infinite wall approach, 176 adiabatic building envelope, and building envelope with heat and moisture internal gains. This basic model 177 then followed with the complex model with adiabatic building envelope with heat and moisture internal 178 gains integrated with validated building energy simulation (BES)[38]. Besides using WUFI Plus, 3D 179 hygrothermal modelling also uses other software such as COMSOL Multiphysics [39] [40]. Some of the 180 simulation software models such as TRNSYS and TAS do not consider relative humidity. The hygrothermal 181 simulation software such as WUFI Plus can consider the humidity and additional loads such as occupancy 182 [41] using Nottingham's weather files. The thermal comfort parameter for relative humidity uses the 183 ASHRAE thermal comfort envelope which has the upper comfort limit of 70%RH using WUFI Plus. The 184 calibration and validation process using the climatic chamber compared with the software model has been 185 completed in Antretter, et al. [42]. The validation using the actual measurement in the real building, 186 compared with the simulation result, has been explored in Coelho, et al. [43] and Francesca, et al. [38]. 187 Coelho, et al. [43] addresses the importance of using detailed outdoor weather file and the soil 188 temperature. The real data from the weather station, even if it is not located directly on the premises, 189 will help obtain a more precise result. Using the weather files obtained from WUFI and EnergyPlus 190

database resulted in lower precision. The importance of using multiple geographical locations for
 simulation is also mentioned in work for simulating the moisture [44].

Further to the previous research mentioned, Ji, et al. [45] uses a model to compare a 1920s' house with 193 the real house built inside the thermal chamber. The house model is developed in Integrated Environment 194 195 Solutions Virtual Environment (IESVE) and implemented with blocked chimney due to health and safety considerations. This model uses Manchester weather data for simulation. This work shows that the 196 construction details will improve the model accuracy. The model is then further extended by Ji, et al. [46] 197 to show the effects of a retrofit to a building. From this study, heating demands can be reduced by 27% 198 in a retrofitted house, but the space heating demands can vary significantly depending on how the 199 building is heated (as per the occupants' preference). This result addresses the importance of assessing 200 the thermal settings concerning the indoor condition inside the house. Ventilation, including infiltration 201 and leakages, also have a substantial impact on space heating energy demands. 202

3. Methodology

204 3.1. Modelling Approach and input characteristics

This work utilises computer-based simulations to simulate the effect of outdoor temperature and humidity on the internal temperature and humidity in the presence of occupants within different dwelling typologies. This value was then used to predict the indoor condition in the houses with two different construction typologies: the first model is the houses built in the 1920s' where the wall and floor insulation was not common. The second house model is using 2010s' construction solution where the double-glassed windows and the wall insulation materials are implemented.

211 **3.1.1 Construction Typology**

As demonstrated within Figure 1, approximately 16% of all homes were built within the 1920s where the construction was non insulated solid brick (as per Figure 7a). Within this paper, when '1920s' home is mentioned, it does not just refer to this period it also refers to any dwelling built to the same building standard of a solid masonry wall. While the 2010s' construction is the representation of the 'newer' and updated construction methodology (see Figure 7b). Similar to that of the 1920s typology, for this paper any building with the same construction is included in this description. These construction typologies are based upon 'to scale' British Research Establishment (BRE) exemplar houses built on-site at the Liverpool John Moores University (LJMU) Byrom Street (as pictured in Figure A1 in the Appendix) and are used to
 do hygrothermal experimental tests and simulations.

222

221

222 223 224

Figure 7a. 1920s Solid masonry wall.



Figure 7b. The 2010s Outer facing brick, 50mm clear cavity, 40mm insulation board, medium density inner.

227

225

226

3.1.2. Location environmental conditions

Using a computer simulation allows the indoor conditions to be simulated for different parts of the UK, based upon their differing weather conditions. The locations of the simulated dwellings are in Liverpool (representing North West England), Kent (representing South East England) and Aberdeen (to represent North East Scotland). Besides the difference in the characteristics of fuel poverty, Aberdeen was selected to represent the coldest place in the UK, Kent as the hottest and Liverpool as the location in the middle.

The data used for this simulation uses Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA) hourly weather data for 2017[47] and is demonstrated for Liverpool, Aberdeen and Kent in Figure 8. If needed, the model will also allow us to predict the indoor condition using the future weather forecast (for example in 2030)[48] as future weather data for the UK can also be obtained in CEDA datasets [34, 49]

Figure 8 demonstrate that out of the three locations there is a greater temperature range in Kent compared to Aberdeen and Liverpool. Aberdeen had the coldest temperature reading among the three locations, but Kent had the lowest mean temperature (7.54°C) in 2017 compared with Aberdeen (8.56°C) and Liverpool (8.25°C). The mean temperature of all cities was still below 9 °C. When considering RH Aberdeen had the lowest mean RH (80.57%RH), but all area still had the mean relative humidity above 80% (Kent 82.99% RH and Liverpool 82.26%RH).

244



		-	-								
	Kent	30.8 °C	- 6.8 °C	7.535 °C	6.013	100%	22.50%	82.99%	14.15		
Figure 8. The chart of	of hour	ly annu	al tem	perature	(upper	^r chart)	and re	lative l	numidit	y (lower cha	rt) for

245 246

Liverpool, Aberdeen and Kent in 2017.

247 3.2. Model Input Parameters

The model uses the approach of a single room, (similar to PASSYS test cells [50]) with two and four occupants constantly present inside the room. This model is deployed using MATLAB-Simulink-Simscape software. Consisting of two related parts simulated simultaneously, the model contains both a thermal model and a moisture model, where the input parameters can be found within Table 1. Some parameters related to the materials are simplified to match with the simulation approach.

253 **3.**3

3.3. Model Detailing and Assumptions

SIMSCAPE is a feature of SIMULINK in MATLAB where the simulation of the physical model can be completed simultaneously to represent identical physical conditions. This hygrothermal modelling was implemented with two loops of physical properties. The first loop is for the water vapour property and the second is the loop for thermal property – demonstrated in Figure 9.

Table 1. Model Parameters.

General							
Input Parameter	r	Value					
Number of Occupa	nts	2 and 4					
Sensible heat per occup	ant, (W)	100					
Ventilation area, (r	n²)	0.01					
Flow (m/s)		0.05 and 0.025					
Room Dimensions							
Input Parameter	r		Value				
Room Volume (m	3)	31.8	31.84 (4.35 x 3.05 x 2.4)				
Window Area (m	²)	2.8314					
Door Area (m²)	3.4						
Housing Typology Characteristic							
Typology Description	Specific Heat Capacity (J/(kg K)	Thermal Conductivity (W/mK)	Wall Thickness (m)	Density (kg/m³)			
1920s house solid brick wall	1920s house solid brick wall 800		0.215	1920			
2010s house internal blockwork concrete block		0.51	0.1	1400			
2010s house insulation	2010s house insulation 1500		0.09	30			
2010s house outer facing brick 800		0.98	0.1025	1920			

259

Even when the model is divided into two loops, the simulation of the water vapour loop and the thermal 260 261 property loop is completed simultaneously. This means that their parameters are integrated and strongly correlated between each loop. The approach of splitting the model into two loops has the benefit of the 262 modularity aspects; the parameters defined in each loop can be properly identified and modelled in 263 different modules. Each loop can be isolated and executed to identify the effect of altering the simulation 264 parameters independently on each separated loops and in an integrated environment simulation. The 265 intersection of the loop is in the constant volume chamber component. This block model the moist air 266 behaviour inside a constant room volume. The mass and energy storage parameters are modelled with 267 the possibility of changing the simulated input parameters. Pressure and temperature will change based 268 on the thermal capacity and pressure of the moist air inside the chamber. The liquid water condenses out 269 of the moist air volume when it reaches saturation, but the rate is not logged in this simulation stage. 270

The moist air node is available for adding or removing moisture within the air. In this model, this feature is utilised to model the occupant's presence. The occupant's presence will give additional moisture because of the effect of human respiration with the different metabolism rate and other activities such as cooking. This component is the intersection between the water vapour loop and the thermal loop. Besides affecting the water vapour loop in terms of increasing the humidity, the occupant's presence will also raise the temperature of the moist air volume due to the heat dissipated by the human body. Liquid water condenses out of the moist air volume when it reaches saturation. In the thermal loop, the convective and conductive heat transfer between the air, the surrounding wall, roof, floor and the occupants are simulated.



280

281

Figure 9. Overview of the Simscape MATLAB Model

The heater model in this simulation is the convective panel electric heater model with the closed-loop control, shown in figure 10. The heater will be turned on if the room temperature falls below the setpoint and turned off if the temperature rises above the temperature set point. Measuring the percentage of heater activation time between different housing typology and location will generate an annual quantification of energy usage for typology and locational comparisons. The heater part is connected to the thermal property loop in the constant volume chamber component hence, a change in heater state will give an impact on the indoor air moisture.





Figure 10. Simplified electric heater model in the Simscape simulation.

²⁹⁰ This model uses the following assumptions:

- The heater is a model of the convective panel electric heater. The temperature of the panel when
 switched on is 40 °C. Dimensionally, the panel heater is 0.9 x 0.4 m² with a mass of 46.7 kg and
 radiator specific heat capacity is 447 %J/(kg K) and the heat transfer coefficient is assumed to be
 100 %W/m²K
- Occupants are simulated to be present all the time (24 hours) and having the same activity level.
 The amount of moisture produced to use the approximation of occupants being at a low activity
 or resting and as per BS 5925:1991 [51] and the ASHRAE Handbook Fundamentals 2017 [52] RH
 is no greater than 70%. Each occupant is assumed to produce a 50 g/h moisture level as per BS
 5925:1991 standard (as the value is 40 g/h for resting and 55 g/h for heavy activity). The model
 uses this single figure to represent the effect of human respiration with the different metabolism
 rate and other activities such as cooking.
- The solar heat gain is simplified in this model and not calculated using the weather files as temperature and humidity are.
- There is no air conditioning used in this model, the thermal set point is applied as a threshold for the heater to be activated.

Roof and floor constructions parameters are simplified in this model for the 1920s' and 2010s'
 house typology and considered to have the same impact in the indoor temperature.

• The condensation rate is not logged in this model and the risk of condensation is not assessed.

- The ventilation state is also being varied ventilation with the rate of air velocity 0.025 m/s and 0.05 m/s. In this rate of ventilation, the CO₂ level is below 1000 ppm for 2 and 4 occupants. This model uses the CO₂ gain per occupant value of 0.01 g/s and the CO₂ level in the fresh air is using the assumption of 0.04 % as stated in BS 5925:1991 [51].
- 313 3.4. Model Validation

The simulation uses three cities within the UK: Kent, Aberdeen and Liverpool, to represent conditions all 314 over the UK. The measurement data taken from ASHRAE Global Thermal Comfort Database II [53] for 315 Liverpool and all available UK data were used to validate the model. The data from Liverpool were selected 316 to represent one area of the UK with average weather conditions with 197 measurements data available 317 in the database. All areas across the UK were selected from the database with the data entry of 14,187 318 measurements from Midland, London, Hampshire, Oxford, St. Helens, Chester and Liverpool. These 319 measurements were taken in naturally ventilated buildings such as offices and classrooms. The data set 320 years are 1994, 1995, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2011 and 2012, with the data span throughout the years. 321

The measurements data in ASHRAE Global Thermal Comfort Database II are used to compare air velocity values in m/s and the RH in percentage (%). For the Liverpool region, the measurement data shows that the average temperature is 21.18 °C with a standard deviation of 1.60 and the RH value of 44.55 % with a standard deviation of 5.92. The average value of indoor air velocity is 0.06 m/s with a standard deviation of 0.05. Our simulation shows that for the Liverpool area with an average temperature of 21 °C and the indoor air velocity of 0.05 m/s, the RH is 44.52%. This result comparison can be seen in Table 2.

328 **Table 2.** Model Validation.

Simulation Result						ASHRAE Glo	bal Therm	al Database II		-	
	Tempera-	Relative	Indoor Air	Tempera-		Relative		Indoor Air		Number of	
Area	ture (°C)	Humidity (%)	Velocity (m/s)	ture (°C)	Std Dev	Humidity (%)	Std Dev	Velocity (m/s)	Std Dev	Samples	
Liverpool	21	44.52	0.05	21.18	1.60	44.55	5.92	0.06	0.05	197	
Linited Kingdom	22	40.63	0.05	22.67	22.67	1 0 2	41.07	12.14	0.07	0.00	14107
Chilea Kingdom	23	38.70	0.05	22.07	1.93	41.87	13.14	0.07	0.06	14187	

329

The global UK areas show that the mean of the RH is 41.87%, with a standard deviation of 13.14. This value is measured at the mean temperature of 22.67 $^{\circ}$ C with a standard deviation of 1.93. The mean air velocity value is 0.07 m/s with a standard deviation of 0.06. Our simulation shows that for the average
 temperature between 22 °C and 23 °C with the indoor air velocity of 0.05 m/s, the RH is between 38.7%
 and 40.63%. The average RH value deviation is less than 2%, so it justifies our simulation result.

4. Results and Discussion

Simulations were completed to compare the performance of the housing location and construction 336 typologies with 2 and 4 people inside a room over 24 hours as demonstrated in Figure 11. The ventilation 337 rate is 0.05 m/s for Figure 11 a and c. For Figure 11 b and d the ventilation rate is 0.025 m/s. In terms of 338 339 CO₂ levels, a ventilation rate of 0.05 for 2 occupants inside the room will reach about 650 - 700 ppm; whereas for 4 occupants inside the room, CO₂ levels will reach about 900 to 950 ppm. Both of which are 340 still considered within the healthy region. By comparison, when the ventilation rate is halved to 0.025, the 341 CO_2 level will rise to 900 - 950 ppm for 2 occupants and with 4 occupants these levels rise to an unhealthy 342 level of 1400 - 1450 ppm. Besides simulating the CO₂ values, this work will also focus on relative humidity 343 levels and if they can be considered healthy. What is demonstrated is that the annual mean indoor 344 humidity is among the value range with no negative health effects, based on the simulation as outlined in 345 H.M.Government [25] except the case of 4 occupants with a ventilation rate of 0.025. 346

There is only a slight difference in the humidity between 1920s' dwellings and 2010s' with the ventilation 347 flow 0.05 (shown in Figure 11 a). This is observed particularly for both building typologies in Aberdeen 348 after approximately 15°C whereafter there is no difference in mean indoor RH. The trend for all three 349 locations is almost linear, proportionally with the temperature change. However, for Liverpool and Kent 350 at approximately 15°C, the RH for 2010s homes remains negligibly larger than that of its 1920s 351 counterpart. This difference is sustained until 21.5°C for Liverpool and 23°C for Kent homes. In terms of 352 location, Liverpool and Kent based homes have a similar RH with a difference in RH of 1% from 13-21°C 353 where above this temperature (21-25°C) RH appears to be the same. However, initially in Aberdeen RH is 354 only 2% lower than Kent but at approximately 16 °C this difference increases to 3.5% RH and is sustained 355 until the end of the simulation at 25°C. 356

Similar to the case of 2 occupants (in Figure 11a), the values of annual mean indoor humidity in Figure 11 c are among the healthy values based on the simulation. What Figures 11 a-d demonstrate is that due to the increase in people within the room, the starting RH values are approximately 3% high than those in Figure 11 a. What can be demonstrated within Figure 11 c is that there is a gap (of approximately 1%) in RH between 1920s' dwellings and 2010s' in the temperature from 13-18°C. After this temperature, both housing typologies seems to have the same mean indoor RH and the only difference is the location of the dwelling, where the trends are almost linear, proportionally with the temperature change. With less ventilation flow, the mean humidity value difference between 1920s' dwellings and 2010s' are distinguishable, especially with lower temperature settings. The efforts to reduce comfort temperature settings to conserve energy will be supported by the modern house typology which has lower relative humidity compared with the old typology.



368 369

370

371

Figure 11. Comparison of mean indoor relative humidity (% RH) for the 1920s and 2010s housing typologies in Liverpool, Aberdeen and Kent over the entire year of 2017 with **a.**) 2 occupants and flow 0.05m/s, **b.**) 2 occupants and flow 0.025, **c.**) 4 occupants and flow 0.05m/s and **d.**) 4 occupants and flow 0.025.

Figure 12 a, b and c demonstrate the probability of the relative humidity exceeded 70%, all the results 372 show the value below 25%. Only in Figure 12 d, for a dwelling with 4 occupants and ventilation flow 0.025 373 has the relative humidity exceeded 70% value above 25%. Besides CO₂ level, the value of the RH makes 374 IAQ conditions unhealthy. The graph displayed in Figure 12 a - d are not linear because of the humidity 375 change due to the heater effect. The use of a heater will decrease the indoor relative humidity. The 2010s' 376 dwelling tends to have higher humidity compared to the 1920s' dwelling. This happens due to the 377 difference in the heater state. In 1920s' dwelling, the desired temperature has to be achieved with the 378 heater activated, while in the 2010s' dwelling, the temperature still can be reached with no heater as 379 2010s' dwelling has better insulation to maintain the indoor temperature. 380

The correlation between the probability of the relative humidity exceeded 70% in Figure 12 a and the percentage of the heater activated is shown in Figure 13 a is negatively correlated. In 1920s' dwellings, for Liverpool, Kent, and Aberdeen, the values are -0.9579, -0.9830, and -0.9345. For the 2010s' dwellings, for Liverpool, Kent, and Aberdeen, the values are -0.9372, -0.9711, and -0.8817. This result shows that the decrease in the use of the heater will increase the probability of the relative humidity exceeded 70%, particularly the dwellings in Kent have the biggest inverse correlation values.

To minimize the probability of the indoor RH not exceeding 70%, the location will not give significant value if the temperature inside the dwelling is maintained at least 16°C. In this value of temperature set point, the number of people inside the room will affect more compared to the house location. Recommending this temperature set point to become the recommended standard temperature setting for comfort will consider giving a more uniform impact to the comfort.

Figures 12 c and 12 d shows that the 2010s' dwelling typology beginning to show the superior result 392 compared to the 1920s'. The probability of the RH exceeds 70% can be lowered down while still conserve 393 the energy for indoor heating. The correlation values between the probability of the relative humidity 394 exceeded 70% in Figure 12 c and the percentage of the heater activated is shown in Figure 13 c is similar 395 with the 2 occupants. In 1920s' dwellings, for Liverpool, Kent, and Aberdeen, the values are -0.9694, -396 0.9903, and -0.9405. For the 2010s' dwellings, for Liverpool, Kent, and Aberdeen, the values are -0.9624, 397 -0.9754, and -0.8564. The two groups have negative correlation values with the dwellings in Kent have 398 the biggest inverse values. 399



Figure 12. Comparison for the probability of indoor RH becoming >70% RH 1920s and 2010s housing
 typologies in Liverpool, Aberdeen, and Kent over the entire year of 2017 with a.) 2 occupants and flow
 0.05m/s, b.) 2 occupants and flow 0.025, c.) 4 occupants and flow 0.05m/s and d.) 4 occupants and flow
 0.025.

When the outdoor temperature reduces and there is a requirement of a heater for this 'optimum' indoor temperature to be reached. In terms of RH, the correlation between the probability of the relative humidity exceeded 70% in Figure 12 a and the percentage of the heater in the 'on' state shown in Figure 13 a is negatively correlated. This result shows that the decrease in the use of the heater will increase the probability of the relative humidity exceeded 70%, particularly the dwellings in Kent have the most significant inverse correlation values. Therefore, the lower the annual temperature in the area will benefit more from reducing the minimum indoor temperature setpoint to 16°C. This judgement is based on the annual temperature of Liverpool in 2017, which is 8.247 °C warmer than the annual temperature of Kent,
which is 7.535 °C. Aberdeen has the lowest inverse correlation among the other two cities due to its
annual temperature value, which is the warmest compared to Kent and Liverpool. The annual
temperature of Aberdeen in 2017 was 8.559 °C, as shown in figure 8.



415 416

417

Figure 13. Comparison for the percentage of time with the heater on in 2017 for the 1920s and 2010s housing typologies in Liverpool, Aberdeen and Kent with a.) 2 occupants and flow 0.05m/s, b.) 2 occupants and flow 0.025, c.) 4 occupants and flow 0.05m/s and d.) 4 occupants and flow 0.025.

This trend shows that to minimize the probability of the indoor relative humidity not exceeding 70%, the location will not give significant value if the temperature inside the dwelling is maintained at least 16°C in the house with 4 occupants. The house typology will have a significant impact on thermal comfort when the temperature set point is below 16°C. When the temperature set point is above 20°C, the dwelling typology becomes no longer important to the comfort but only give the impact in the energy usage. The
 indoor temperature is demonstrated to be efficient in the range between 16°C - 20°C. Therefore,
 recommending 16°C temperature set point to become the minimum set point for comfort standard
 temperature would be desirable.

Outlined in Figure 13 a, the energy used for the heating in the dwelling is represented by the percentage 426 of the heater activated. The more percentage value, the more energy spent to reach the desired indoor 427 temperature. The heating energy usage represented by the heater on state percentage over the year can 428 be compared between different set point and different housing typology. To reach the indoor 429 temperature set point of 13 °C, the Liverpool 1920s' house heater must be turned on for about 44% 430 throughout the year, while the Liverpool 2010s' house heater will be on for about 42%. The result will be 431 proportional to about a 1% difference in the 25°C set point. To reach the comfort temperature of 16 °C 432 for example, the heater in the Liverpool 1920s' house will be turned on 45.5% in a year, but it only needs 433 44% in a year for the 2010s' house in Liverpool to reach the minimum temperature of 16°C. With the same 434 temperature setting in the Aberdeen 1920s' house typology, it needs higher on time which is 46% due to 435 the lower outdoor temperature. However, lowering the temperature from 18 °C to 16 °C for example will 436 decrease more than 1% of the heating energy. 437

Like the dwelling with 2 occupants, Figures 13 c and d show the heater state for 4 occupants, The heater activated time is decreased with the use of better insulation material in the 2010s' dwelling. The two additional occupants' presence will decrease the heater on time to more than 1% in the 2010s' dwelling with 13°C setpoints shown in Figures 13 c and d but less than 1% in the 1920s' house. The value decrease also will be less significant in the higher temperature set point.

Based on the simulation result where 2% of heating energy can be conserved by the 2010s, dwelling typology and with the assumption of 16,500 kWh - 22,000 kWh on annual heating energy consumption per household per year, the energy-saving per house per year will be in the range of 330 - 440 kWh. If it's multiplied by the number of '1920s' homes which are approximately 36.6% of the total dwellings (approximately 8.76 million homes), the total energy conservation across the UK will reach about 2.89 -3.85 billion kWh. The carbon reduction per year can reach approximately 635.8 - 847 thousand tonnes with 220 gCO2eq/kWh.

- 450 **5.** Conclusion
- 451

Simulations and modelling can give a better view of the parameters required to improve thermal comfort
 and indoor hygrothermal conditions. This work examined dwelling typology from the 1920s and 2010s, in
 addition to locational environmental conditions from Kent, Liverpool and Aberdeen, whilst varying
 occupancy (2 and 4 people) to represent the UK dwellings.

This paper successfully modelled the use of a heater by considering the outside temperature and the requirement of its "power on" to maintain high indoor thermal comfort. From modelling the quantity of time and temperature the heater would be required to function for, the effects on RH and energy demand of each dwelling can be studied.

The simulation result shows that the 2010s' dwelling has an advantage of decreasing energy for heating. Furthermore, the number of occupants within the dwelling does not significantly reduce the energy required for heating 1920s' dwellings but can reduce energy requirements for heating 2010s' properties, especially in lower setpoint temperature. The indoor temperature is demonstrated to be efficient in the range between 16°C - 20°C.

Regarding indoor RH, lowering the current temperature set point to about 16°C will give healthier indoor conditions regardless of the dwelling location. Because of the uniformity of all home locations to this temperature, it could be proposed and recommended that this be a potential standard indoor temperature setting for optimum thermal comfort.

When considering the quantity of 1920s homes within the UK, reducing the set point temperature to 16°C
will annually contribute to the carbon footprint reduction of approximately 635.8 - 847 thousand tonnes,
what this paper has therefore highlighted the true impact of lowering an indoor setpoint temperature for
indoor thermal comfort and its extensive environmental benefits.

- 473 **References**
- 474
- Y. Al horr, M. Arif, M. Katafygiotou, A. Mazroei, A. Kaushik, and E. Elsarrag, "Impact of indoor
 environmental quality on occupant well-being and comfort: A review of the literature," *International journal of sustainable built environment*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1-11, 2016, doi:
 10.1016/j.ijsbe.2016.03.006.
- D. Maskell, Ferreira Pinto Da Silva, C., Mower, K., Cheta, R., Dengel, A., Ball, R., Ansell, M.,
 Thomson, A., Peter, U. and Walker, P., "Bio-based plaster for improved indoor air quality,"
 presented at the International Conference on Bio-Based Building Materials 2017, Clermont-

482		Ferrand, 2017. [Online]. Available:
483		https://purehost.bath.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/157324808/ECOSEE ICBBM 2017 Bio based
484		plaster for improved indoor air quality paper Final Submission.pdf.
485	[3]	A. Sekar, G. K. Varghese, and M. K. Ravi Varma, "Analysis of benzene air quality standards,
486		monitoring methods and concentrations in indoor and outdoor environment," (in eng), Heliyon,
487		vol. 5, no. 11, p. e02918, Nov 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02918.
488	[4]	G. Settimo, M. Manigrasso, and P. Avino, "Indoor Air Quality: A Focus on the European
489		Legislation and State-of-the-Art Research in Italy," Atmosphere, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 370, 2020.
490		[Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/11/4/370.
491	[5]	Eurostat. "Energy consumption in households." <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-</u>
492		explained/index.php/Energy consumption in households (accessed 16 April 2019, 2019).
493	[6]	M. J. Scott, L. E. Wrench, and D. L. Hadley, "Effects of Climate Change on Commercial Building
494		Energy Demand," <i>Energy Sources</i> , vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 317-332, 1994/07/01 1994, doi:
495		10.1080/00908319408909081.
496	[7]	D. B. Jason A. Lowe, Philip Bett, Lucy Bricheno, Simon Brown, Daley Calvert, Robin Clark, Karen
497		Eagle, Tamsin Edwards, Giorgia Fosser, Fai Fung, Laila Gohar, Peter Good, Jonathan Gregory,
498		Glen Harris, Tom Howard, Neil Kaye, Elizabeth Kendon, Justin Krijnen, Paul Maisey, Ruth
499		McDonald, Rachel McInnes, Carol McSweeney, John F.B. Mitchell, James Murphy, Matthew
500		Palmer, Chris Roberts, Jon Rostron, David Sexton, Hazel Thornton, Jon Tinker, Simon Tucker,
501		Kuniko Yamazaki, and Stephen Belcher, "the UK Climate Projections 2018 (UKCP18) National
502		Climate Projections." Met Office, United Kingdom, 2018. [Online], Available:
503		https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/research/ukcp/ukc
504		p18-overview-slidepack.ff.pdf
505	[8]	R. S. J. Tol, "Estimates of the Damage Costs of Climate Change, Part II. Dynamic Estimates,"
506		Environmental and Resource Economics, journal article vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 135-160, February 01
507		2002, doi: 10.1023/a:1014539414591.
508	[9]	H. Treasury, "Spring Statement 2019: Philip Hammond's speech." [Online]. Available:
509		https://www.wired-
510		gov.net/wg/news.nsf/articles/Spring+Statement+2019+Philip+Hammonds+speech+1303201915
511		5100?open
512	[10]	D. Ormandy and V. Ezratty, "Thermal discomfort and health: protecting the susceptible from
513		excess cold and excess heat in housing," Advances in Building Energy Research, vol. 10, no. 1, pp.
514		84-98, 2016/01/02 2016, doi: 10.1080/17512549.2015.1014845.
515	[11]	K. Parsons, Human Thermal Comfort. Boca Raton, USA: CRC Press, 2020
516	[12]	K. Karyono, B. M. Abdullah, A. J. Cotgrave, and A. Bras, "The adaptive thermal comfort review
517		from the 1920s, the present, and the future," <i>Developments in the Built Environment</i> , vol. 4, p.
518		100032, 2020/11/01/ 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dibe.2020.100032.
519	[13]	R. Daniels, Building Bulletin 101 Guidelines on ventilation, thermal comfort and indoor air quality
520		in schools. United Kingdom: the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA), 2018.
521	[14]	A. A. J. J. van der Lans <i>et al.</i> , "Cold acclimation recruits human brown fat and increases
522		nonshivering thermogenesis," <i>The Journal of Clinical Investigation</i> , vol. 123, no. 8, pp. 3395-
523		3403, 08/01/ 2013, doi: 10.1172/JCI68993.
524	[15]	M. J. W. Hanssen <i>et al.</i> , "Short-term Cold Acclimation Recruits Brown Adipose Tissue in Obese
525		Humans," <i>Diabetes,</i> vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 1179-1189, 2016, doi: 10.2337/db15-1372.
526	[16]	H.M.Government, "English Housing Survey 2017-18," 2019. Accessed: 15/01/2021. [Online].
527	-	Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/english-housing-survey#2017-to-2018

[17]	H.M.Government, "English Housing Survey 2018: energy report," London, United Kingdom,
	2019. Accessed: 15/01/2021. [Online]. Available:
	https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-housing-survey-2018-energy-report
[18]	B. Boardman, Fuel poverty: from cold home to affordable warmth. London: Belhaven Press,
	1991.
[19]	H.M.Government, "Annual Fuel Poverty Statistics in England, 2020 (2018 data)," Department for
	Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, 2020. Accessed: 22/01/2021. [Online]. Available:
	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_dat
	a/file/882404/annual-fuel-poverty-statistics-report-2020-2018-data.pdf
[20]	W. Government, "Fuel poverty estimates for Wales, 2018: revised," Cardiff, 2019. Accessed:
	15/01/2021. [Online]. Available: <u>https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/statistics-and-</u>
	research/2019-12/fuel-poverty-estimates-wales-2018.pdf
[21]	S. Government, "Scottish house condition survey: 2019 key findings," 2020. Accessed:
	15/01/2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-house-condition-
	<u>survey-2019-key-findings/</u>
[22]	N. I. H. Executive, "Estimates of fuel poverty in Northern Ireland in 2017 and 2018," Belfast,
	2019. Accessed: 15/01/2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.nihe.gov.uk/Working-With-
	Us/Research/House-Condition-Survey
[23]	(2019). Scottish House Condition Survey: 2016-2018 Local Authority Tables Key Results. [Online]
	Available: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-house-condition-survey-local-authority-</u>
	analyses/
[24]	(2020). Sub-regional Fuel Poverty in England, 2020 (2018 data). [Online] Available:
	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_dat
	a/file/882192/fuel-poverty-sub-regional-2020.pdf
[25]	H.M.Government, "Appendix A of Approved Document Part F of the Building Regulations,"
	London, 2013. Accessed: 15/01/2021. [Online]. Available:
	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_dat
	a/file/468871/ADF_LOCKED.pdf
[26]	H. Altamirano-Medina, M. Davies, I. Ridley, D. Mumovic, and T. Oreszczyn, "Guidelines to Avoid
	Mould Growth in Buildings," Advances in Building Energy Research, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 221-235,
	2009/01/01 2009, doi: 10.3763/aber.2009.0308.
[27]	A. V. Arundel, E. M. Sterling, J. H. Biggin, and T. D. Sterling, "Indirect health effects of relative
	humidity in indoor environments," (in eng), Environmental health perspectives, vol. 65, pp. 351-
	361, 1986, doi: 10.1289/ehp.8665351.
[28]	H. J. Chao, S. Joel, K. M. Donald, and A. B. Harriet, "The Work Environment and Workers' Health
	in Four Large Office Buildings," <i>Environ Health Perspect</i> , vol. 111, no. 9, pp. 1242-1248, 2003,
	doi: 10.1289/ehp.5697.
[29]	C. A. Balaras, K. Droutsa, E. Dascalaki, and S. Kontoviannidis, "Heating energy consumption and
	resulting environmental impact of European apartment buildings." <i>Energy and buildings</i> , vol. 37.
	no. 5, pp. 429-442, 2005, doi: 10.1016/i.enbuild.2004.08.003.
[30]	P. Wargocki, D. P. Wyon, J. A. N. Sundell, G. E. O. Clausen, and P. O. Fanger, "The Effects of
[]	Outdoor Air Supply Rate in an Office on Perceived Air Quality, Sick Building Syndrome (SBS)
	Symptoms and Productivity: Effects of Outdoor Air Supply Rate." Indoor air. vol. 10, no. 4, no.
	222-236, 2000, doi: 10.1034/i.1600-0668 2000 010004222 x
[31]	C. Yu and D. Crump. "A review of the emission of VOCs from polymeric materials used in
[]	buildings." <i>Building and environment</i> , vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 357-374, 1998, doi: 10.1016/S0360-
	 [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30]

- F. Barreca, A. Martinez Gabarron, J. A. Flores Yepes, and J. J. Pastor Pérez, "Innovative use of
 giant reed and cork residues for panels of buildings in Mediterranean area," *Resources, conservation and recycling*, vol. 140, pp. 259-266, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.005.
- S. Gwynne, E. R. Galea, M. Owen, P. J. Lawrence, and L. Filippidis, "A review of the
 methodologies used in the computer simulation of evacuation from the built environment," *Building and Environment*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 741-749, 1999/11/01/ 1999, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323(98)00057-2.
- [34] C. Buratti, D. Palladino, and E. Moretti, "Prediction Of Indoor Conditions And Thermal Comfort
 Using CFD Simulations: A Case Study Based On Experimental Data," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 126,
 pp. 115-122, 2017/09/01/ 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.08.130.
- 585[35]N. Reuge *et al.*, "Hygrothermal effects and moisture kinetics in a bio-based multi-layered wall:586Experimental and numerical studies," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 240, p. 117928,5872020/04/20/ 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117928.
- [36] D. Lelievre, T. Colinart, and P. Glouannec, "Hygrothermal behavior of bio-based building materials including hysteresis effects: Experimental and numerical analyses," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 84, pp. 617-627, 2014/12/01/ 2014, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.09.013.
- [37] M. Richter, W. Horn, E. Juritsch, A. Klinge, L. Radeljic, and O. Jann, "Natural Building Materials
 for Interior Fitting and Refurbishment—What about Indoor Emissions?," *Materials*, vol. 14, no.
 1, p. 234, 2021.
- F. Francesca, V. Elena, C. Cristina, and S. A. Maria, "Performance assessment of hygrothermal modelling for diagnostics and conservation in an Italian historical church," *Building and Environment*, p. 107672, 2021/02/08/ 2021, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107672.
- [39] J. I. Knarud and S. Geving, "Implementation and Benchmarking of a 3D Hygrothermal Model in the COMSOL Multiphysics Software," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 78, pp. 3440-3445, 2015/11/01/
 2015, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.12.327</u>.
- [40] M. Y. Ferroukhi, R. Djedjig, R. Belarbi, K. Limam, and K. Abahri, "Effect of Coupled Heat, Air and
 Moisture Transfers Modeling in the Wall on the Hygrothermal Behavior of Buildings," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 78, pp. 2584-2589, 2015/11/01/ 2015, doi:
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.293.
 [41] M. R. Hall, S. P. Casey, D. L. Loveday, and M. Gillott, "Analysis of UK domestic building retrofit scenarios based on the E.ON Retrofit Research House using energetic hygrothermics simulation
- 608 Energy efficiency, indoor air quality, occupant comfort, and mould growth potential," *Building* 609 *and Environment*, vol. 70, pp. 48-59, 2013/12/01/ 2013, doi:
 610 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.08.015</u>.
- [42] F. Antretter, F. Sauer, T. Schöpfer, and A. Holm, "Validation of a hygrothermal whole building simulation software," in *Proceedings of Building Simulation 2011: 12th Conference of International Building Performance Simulation Association, Sydney, Australia*, 2011, vol. 14, p.
 16.
- G. B. A. Coelho, H. E. Silva, and F. M. A. Henriques, "Calibrated hygrothermal simulation models
 for historical buildings," *Building and Environment*, vol. 142, pp. 439-450, 2018/09/01/ 2018,
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.06.034.
- [44] P. Mukhopadhyaya, K. Kumaran, F. Tariku, and D. v. Reenen, "Application of Hygrothermal Modeling Tool to Assess Moisture Response of Exterior Walls," *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 178-186, 2006, doi: doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0431(2006)12:4(178).

- [45] Y. Ji, A. Lee, and W. Swan, "Building dynamic thermal model calibration using the Energy House
 facility at Salford," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 191, pp. 224-234, 2019/05/15/ 2019, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.03.001.
- [46] Y. Ji, A. Lee, and W. Swan, "Retrofit modelling of existing dwellings in the UK: the Salford Energy
 House case study," *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, vol. 37, no. 3,
 pp. 344-360, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJBPA-12-2018-0106.
- 628[47]M. Office. MIDAS Open: UK hourly weather observation data, v201908 [Online] Available:629http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/6c441aea187b44819b9e929e575b0d7e
- [48] M. Herrera *et al.*, "A review of current and future weather data for building simulation," *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 602-627, 2017, doi:
 10.1177/0143624417705937.
- [49] H. C. f. C. P. a. R. (MOHC). UKCP09: Probabilistic projections data of climate parameters over UK
 land [Online] Available:

https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/31cebae359e643ca9dbd1a8d0235d6fe

- F. Strachan, "Model validation using the PASSYS Test cells," *Building and Environment*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 153-165, 1993/04/01/ 1993, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-1323(93)90049-9</u>.
- 638 [51] Code of practice for Ventilation principles and designing for natural ventilation, BSI, May 1991
 639 2000.
- R. American Society of Heating, I. Air Conditioning Engineers, R. American Society of Heating,
 and A.-C. Engineers, ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook 2017 (SI. ASHRAE, 2017.
- K. Földváry Ličina *et al.*, "Development of the ASHRAE Global Thermal Comfort Database II," *Building and Environment*, vol. 142, pp. 502-512, 2018/09/01/ 2018, doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.06.022.

645

635