

# LJMU Research Online

Tsampasian, V, Victor, K, Bhattacharyya, S, Oxborough, D and Ring, L

Echocardiographic assessment of aortic regurgitation: a narrative review

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/22765/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Tsampasian, V, Victor, K, Bhattacharyya, S, Oxborough, D and Ring, L (2024) Echocardiographic assessment of aortic regurgitation: a narrative review. Echo Research and Practice, 11 (1).

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

# **REVIEW**

# **Open Access**

# Echocardiographic assessment of aortic regurgitation: a narrative review



Vasiliki Tsampasian<sup>1\*</sup>, Kelly Victor<sup>2</sup>, Sanjeev Bhattacharyya<sup>3</sup>, David Oxborough<sup>4</sup> and Liam Ring<sup>5</sup>

## Abstract

Aortic regurgitation (AR) is the third most frequently encountered valve lesion and may be caused by abnormalities of the valve cusps or the aorta. Echocardiography is instrumental in the assessment of AR as it enables the delineation of valvular morphology, the mechanism of the lesion and the grading of severity. Severe AR has a major impact on the myocardium and carries a significant risk of morbidity and mortality if left untreated. Established and novel echocardiographic methods, such as global longitudinal strain and three-dimensional echocardiography, allow an estimation of this risk and provide invaluable information for patient management and prognosis. This narrative review summarises the epidemiology of AR, reviews current practices and recommendations with regards to the echocardiographic assessment of AR and outlines novel echocardiographic tools that may prove beneficial in patient assessment and management.

Keywords Aortic regurgitation, Aortic valve disease, Transthoracic echocardiography

## Introduction

Aortic regurgitation (AR) may be secondary to abnormalities of the AV leaflets, the structure or geometry of the aortic root or the ascending aorta, or a combination of the two. AR may develop acutely or present as a chronic process, and results in diastolic blood flow reversal from the aorta to the left ventricle (LV) [1]. AR is the third most common native valvular heart disease behind aortic stenosis and mitral regurgitation with a prevalence of approximately 0.5% of the total population, increasing to almost 15% of individuals over the age of 65 [2, 3]. Furthermore, severe AR accounts for around 5% of all native

\*Correspondence:

valve intervention [4, 5]. With an ageing population, it is expected that healthcare professionals will encounter patients with AR increasingly frequently in clinical practice.

Severe AR is an important cause of morbidity and mortality. Left untreated, the risk of death is approximately one third over 10 years, and almost a half of all patients will develop heart failure [6, 7]. Even in asymptomatic patients, severe AR carries a noteworthy annual mortality risk of up to 2.2% [7, 8]. Echocardiography is central to the diagnosis and quantification of AR severity, in addition to delineating the aetiology and mechanism of valve insufficiency. Echocardiography is also key in the characterisation of important prognostic features including left ventricular (LV) dimensions and function, which may influence patient management. This narrative review summarises epidemiology and aetiology of AR, the evidence-base regarding echocardiographic assessment of aortic insufficiency, and novel echocardiographic tools that may prove beneficial in patient assessment and management.



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Vasiliki Tsampasian

v.tsampasian@uea.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK <sup>2</sup> Cleveland Clinic London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> St Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts' Heart Centre, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Research Institute of Sports and Exercise Science and Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> West Suffolk Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Bury St Edmunds, UK

### Aetiology of aortic regurgitation

AR may present and/or develop acutely or gradually and is caused by malcoaptation or malapposition of the AV cusps. This may be a result of abnormalities of the AV cusps and/or their supporting structures, including the AV annulus, the aortic root and the ascending aorta [9]. In Western countries, degenerative AV disease is the most common cause of AR, accounting for approximately half of the total cases [4]. Degenerative AV disease is more frequently encountered in the form of focal calcific deposits or diffuse fibrous thickening causing abnormal coaptation, although, rarely, myxomatous degeneration of the aortic cusps may also account for AR secondary to cusp thickening and/or prolapse [10]. Apart from myxomatous degeneration, aortic valve prolapse itself accounts for approximately 1.2% of all diagnosed AR lesions and may be encountered in patients with bicuspid AV and patients with aortic root disease, such as dissection or dilatation [11]. Rheumatic fever is the leading cause of AR in developing countries, and despite widespread use of antibiotics remains a notable cause of AR in Western countries alongside bicuspid aortic valve disease and infective endocarditis [4].

AR may also be a result of distortion of the structures that support the cusps and loss of support from the annulus, root and aorta. Minor dilatation of the ascending aorta occurs with ageing, a process mediated by cystic medial degeneration that weakens the aortic wall [12, 13]. However, this physiological process is commonly accelerated by the presence of hypertension, which leads to increased wall stress [12, 14]. Atherosclerosis may result in dilatation of the aorta, although this process is usually reserved to the descending rather than the ascending aorta [12]. A dilated ascending aorta is frequently seen to co-exist in patients with bicuspid AV and connective tissue disorders [12, 15]. Less commonly, AR may be caused by aortic dilatation and aortic root aneurysms associated with inflammatory changes in the aortic wall secondary to large vessel vasculitis and rheumatic diseases [16]. Rarely, congenital ventricular septal defects (VSDs), especially perimembranous or subarterial types of VSD, may lead to aortic valve prolapse and regurgitation as a result of loss of cusp structural support and the Venturi effect [17, 18]. Approximately half of subarterial VSDs with associated AV prolapse are complicated by AR therefore preventative early surgery is recommended, whilst for perimembranous VSDs with concomitant AV prolapse, surgery is recommended if more than trivial AR develops [18, 19].

Table 1 summarises the causes of acute and chronic aortic regurgitation.

# Echocardiographic assessment of aortic regurgitation

### Mechanism and classification of AR

Originally designed for the mitral valve, Carpentier's classification has been adapted for use in the assessment of AR mechanism, whereby the lesion is classified according to cusp morphology and motion [20, 21]. Type I includes AR with *normal* cusp motion, where the insufficiency is secondary to aortic root dilatation or cusp perforation; Type II AR refers to excessive cusp motion including aortic cusp prolapse; those with restricted cusp motion are grouped in type III [21] (Table 2). The functional classification is an invaluable tool that helps clinicians systematically evaluate the valve behaviour and may influence the type of intervention chosen for the valve [22, 23]. It also carries prognostic value both in terms of valve repairability and of long-term outcomes: Type III AR is associated with poorer long-term outcomes after valve sparing surgery and a higher risk of recurrent AR post valve repair [23–25]. Cusp perforation/fenestration is another important phenotype that has important implications for the choice of surgical treatment, as it has less favourable outcomes when treated with valve repair [25, 26].

The degree of AV calcification may influence clinical decision making, and a grading system has been proposed: no calcification is classed as grade 1; small calcification spots (grade 2); larger calcification spots interfering with cusp motion is grade 3; extensive calcification causing restricted cusp motion is grade 4 [20]. Valve sparing or valve repair surgery is not recommended in cases with moderate or extensive cusp calcification (grades 3 & 4), due to the substantial risk of recurrence of significant AR post valvuloplasty [25, 27].

Three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography may provide additional useful information, by enabling the reconstruction, visualisation and assessment of the morphology of the AV without the geometrical assumptions involved in 2D echocardiography [28, 29]. Multi-plane imaging of the AV removes the uncertainty of the single cut-plane position in the parasternal view, allowing correct identification of all the aortic cusps [29]. It is also beneficial for visualisation of the AV throughout the cardiac cycle, overcoming the issue of through-plane motion [29]. However, 3D echocardiographic assessment of the AV is challenging and often suboptimal in cases of significant AV calcification or in patients with poor acoustic windows [28, 29].

## Severity of AR

Doppler assessment including colour flow and continue wave (CW) Doppler allow detailed assessment and visualisation of the aortic regurgitant jet and its components including the flow convergence zone, the vena contracta

Table 1         Causes of acute and chronic aortic regurgitat	ion
---	-----

Valve abnormalities	Abnormalities of the aorta		
Congenital			
Unicuspid/bicuspid/quadricus- pid aortic valve	Aortic dilatation associated with bicuspid aortic valve		
Ventricular septal defect	Annulo-aortic ectasia		
Connective tissue disease • Marfan syndrome • Ehlers-Danlos syndrome • Loeys-Dietz syndrome	Connective tissue disease • Marfan syndrome • Ehlers-Danlos syndrome • Loeys-Dietz syndrome • Osteogenesis imperfecta		
Acquired			
Degenerative • Calcific valve disease • Myxomatous valve disease	Degenerative • Systemic hypertension • Atherosclerosis		
Inflammatory • Rheumatic heart disease • Radiation-induced valve disease • Toxin-induced valve disease	Inflammatory • Rheumatic diseases • Ankylosing spondylitis • Reactive arthritis • Vasculitis • Giant cell arteritis • Takayasu arteritis • Behcet's disease • Psoriatic arthritis • Reactive arthritis		
	Infectious • Syphilis		
Causes of acute aortic regurgitat	tion		

Valve abnormalities	Abnormalities of the aorta
Infective endocarditis	Aortic dissection
Traumatic injury	Traumatic injury

(VC) and the jet area [21, 30]. Assessment of these characteristics constitute the primary method of evaluation of the severity of AR [20, 21].

A simple visual assessment of the CW signal density may provide a general idea of the severity of the AR; a denser CW Doppler signal indicating more regurgitant flow and a faint signal suggesting mild regurgitation. Beam alignment is an important issue when using this method, with eccentric jets resulting in faint signals because of the Doppler error stemming from the large angle of insonation. Importantly, both moderate and severe AR result in dense CW traces; ultimately, due to the these limitations, CW signal density is not recommended to be used to quantify AR [30].

A small study demonstrated that jet width, defined as the ratio of the jet diameter divided by the Left Ventricular Outflow Tract (LVOT) diameter, correlated well with the angiographically obtained grade of AR severity: a ratio of  $\geq$  65% being consistent with severe AR [31]. However, very few patients were included in this study, none of whom had congenital AV disease or AR type II, limiting its value in such circumstances [31]. Subsequent work has questioned the usefulness of this parameter, which has less physiological significance than the VC, even when normalising for the LVOT diameter [32]. As such, current guidelines advocate the use of jet width as part of a multiparametric assessment of AR [21, 30]. Jet length and jet area are very much dependent on LV compliance and diastolic pressure, and do not reflect the severity of the AR; accordingly they are not recommended for use [33].

Interpretation of colour Doppler is challenging in acute severe AR. In such cases, LV diastolic pressure rises rapidly as the non-compliant LV fills with blood from both the aorta and the left atrium during diastole [20, 21, 33]. This results in the AR jet being of shorter duration and lower velocity, becoming therefore difficult to detect with colour flow Doppler only. In these cases, other echocardiographic methods should be used for the assessment of the AR severity [21, 30].

Pressure half-time (PHT) is a technique in which the rate of deceleration of the regurgitant blood flow

Anotomical electification of AD locions
Table 2         Anatomical classification of AR lesions according to cusp motion

Anatomica	il classification of An lesions		
Туре	Dysfunction	Regurgitant jet	Echocardiographic findings
I	Normal leaflet motion	Central or eccentric jet	la: Sinotubular junction and ascending aorta dilatation lb: Sinuses of Valsalva and sinotubular junction dilatation lc: Annulus dilatation ld: Cusp perforation or cusp fenestration without a primary functional aortic annular lesion
lla	Excessive leaflet motion due to cusp prolapse	Eccentric jet	• Flail cusp • Partial cusp prolapse • Whole cusp prolapse
llb	Free edge fenestration	Eccentric jet	Mobile fibrous strands attached to cusp near its commissure
III	Restrictive leaflet motion	Central or eccentric jet	<ul> <li>Thickened cusps with restricted cusp motion</li> <li>Extensive calcification/calcific deposits</li> </ul>

can be measured from the CW Doppler of the AR. As AR becomes more severe, LV end-diastolic pressure increases and end-diastolic aortic pressure decreases, resulting in a smaller late diastolic gradient and therefore shorter pressure half-time [36]. Early work demonstrated that a PHT of 400ms can reliably identify important regurgitation, and angiographic grade 4+AR correlates with a PHT of approximately 200ms [35]. Multiple subsequent reports confirm that mild AR demonstrates significantly longer PHT compared to moderate to severe AR [36-38]. There are several important drawbacks of this technique. First, it is challenging in eccentric jets in which optimised alignment of the US beam is often not possible. Secondly, correlation between the pressure halftime and severity of AR is poor in mild or moderate AR, but better in severe AR cases [34, 35, 38]. A third concern is that PHT is highly influenced by the diastolic function of the LV, with the measurement becoming unreliable in cases of impaired relaxation and/or compliance and significant co-existent LVH [39]. Finally, changes in the diastolic blood pressure secondary to medications (i.e., vasodilators) can also affect the gradient between the aorta and the LV, rendering the method less useful in patients on those medications [40]. Acknowledging these limitations, the PHT is recommended to be used as a supplementary method of assessment and grading of the AR should not rely solely on this [21, 30].

In mild AR, early flow reversal may be seen in the proximal descending thoracic aorta. As the severity of AR progresses, the duration of flow reversal extends through diastole with the reversal velocity of the blood increasing [30]. In a small study, holodiastolic flow reversal with end-diastolic velocity of  $\geq 20$  cm/s was found to be a marker of severe AR and correlated well with a regurgitant fraction (RF) of  $\geq$  40% with a sensitivity and specificity of 88% and 96% respectively [30, 41]. MRI studies have confirmed the highly specific nature of this finding for severe AR [42, 43]. Colour-coded M-mode may help in the assessment of the timing of the flow signal in relation to the cardiac cycle [30]. Holodiastolic flow reversal in the abdominal aorta is also a highly specific marker of severe AR, but with moderate sensitivity [44, 45]. This sign is also commonly found in patients with congenital heart disease and aorto-pulmonary shunt, therefore, its presence on these occasions is not highly specific for severe AR [46, 47].

The VC represents the smallest flow diameter of the regurgitant jet going through the AV, and provides a surrogate for the effective regurgitant orifice area (EROA) and an indicator of the AR severity [30]. A number of studies have reported that a VC of > 5mm correlates with severe AR with a sensitivity up to 95% and specificity between 80 and 90%, making it an excellent tool in the

identification of severe AR [32, 48]. The main limitations of VC include the assumption of a circular regurgitant orifice, which is often not the case. Additionally, there are no studies investigating the accuracy or prognostic role of VC in the context of multiple AR jets: in such cases guidelines advocate that the VC of the largest jet should be reported, acknowledging that this will necessarily underestimate overall severity of AR [30]. This is an important limitation for clinical use.

Although qualitative assessment of AR is used more frequently in echocardiographic practice, quantitative measures provide the clinician with prognostic information which may inform management. The proximal isovelocity surface area (PISA) method directly assesses the EROA and can be used to the derive the regurgitant volume (RV). The ratio of forward flow or stroke volume to RV can be used to determine the regurgitant fraction (RF). An EROA  $\geq$  0.30cm<sup>2</sup>, regurgitant volume  $\geq$  60mls and regurgitant fraction > 50% all indicate severe AR [20].

In a study of over 250 asymptomatic patients with chronic severe AR, EROA and RV were shown to be independent predictors of 10-year survival and freedom from surgery from AR [8]. In a smaller analysis, integrated assessment and quantification of AR severity closely correlated with the clinical endpoint of AV surgery [49].

Despite the prognostic value of these tools, the PISA method can be challenging with substantial cusp thickening and/or calcification influencing the visible convergence zone. Additionally, the EROA appears to be significantly underestimated when there is an obtuse flow convergence zone angle (>220°) [50]. In the presence of eccentric jets, PISA tends to underestimate AR severity although these limitations can be overcome when the assessment is performed from the left parasternal instead of the apical window [51].

The calculation of the regurgitant volume requires the VTI obtained from the CW Doppler envelope of the regurgitation, which may be challenging to obtain in eccentric jets when alignment of the US beam is difficult. Regurgitant volume can also be derived by calculating the difference in the stroke volume through the LVOT and the mitral valve inflow. This method is timeconsuming, can only be applied if there is no significant co-existent mitral or pulmonary regurgitation, and is subject to significant inter-observer variability and errors in linear dimensions that may substantially impact on the final result [20].

In cases of acute AR or when LV is impaired and there is reduced LV stroke volume, both the EROA and the regurgitant volume may underestimate the severity of AR: in such circumstances, the RF may be more useful at indicating severe AR [30]. Early mitral valve closure and diastolic mitral regurgitation (MR) are important

echocardiographic signs that may alter the clinical course and management of patients with acute severe AR. Premature closure of the mitral valve may be categorised as grade I (up to 50ms before the Q wave) or as grade II (up to 200ms before the Q wave) and is a specific and sensitive indicator of acute severe AR [52]. Patients with grade II early mitral valve closure usually suffer significant elevations in their LV diastolic pressure and volume which cannot be adequately compensated [52]. Therefore, their presence suggests urgent surgical intervention [52, 53]. In addition, the presence of diastolic mitral regurgitation is an independent predictor of pulmonary oedema and/or haemodynamic instability in patients with acute severe AR and therefore is another echocardiographic finding that may play an important role in patient's management plan and prognosis [54].

In summary, a quantitative assessment of AR should be routinely performed for those patients with more than mild AR [20, 21, 30]. Additional parameters are useful if there is disagreement between these parameters and to corroborate the conclusion of quantitative assessment. Of the additional techniques, diastolic flow reversal in the descending aorta is the strongest parameter for the evaluation of the severity of AR [20]. Table 3 summarises the echocardiographic indicators of severe AR as per the American and European guidelines.

An algorithmic approach and hierarchical weighting of key echocardiographic parameters may be extremely helpful when grading the severity of AR [55]. Multiparametric assessment, as recommended by the current international guidelines, is a useful approach in the evaluation of the AR severity, however it increases the risk of interobserver variability of AR assessment and leads to significant inconsistencies between the assessors. This phenomenon becomes more pronounced in the presence of discordant parameters [55]. Preferential weighting of selected echocardiographic parameters may overcome this important limitation. Using a practical algorithm based on parameters both useful and highly influential when grading the severity of AR, minimises interobserver variability and improves concordance and accuracy [55]. Each of the echocardiographic parameters in isolation may have several limitations that make the grading of AR severity challenging and problematic. A practical algorithmic approach that incorporates not only a certain number of parameters but also the significance of each parameter, can help overcome this challenge and adopt a consistent and accurate method of assessing AR severity.

#### Haemodynamic consequences of AR

Chronic severe AR has important haemodynamic consequences that affect the LV size and function. Longstanding volume overload results in LV remodelling, which ultimately results in maladaptive changes to the myocardium, decline of LV function and the development of symptoms [57]. Multiple studies have shown that increased LV size and impaired systolic function are independently associated with adverse events and poor long-term survival [58–68]. AV surgery is therefore a Class I recommendation for patients with severe AR and impaired LV systolic function (LVEF  $\leq$  50%) or significantly dilated LV (LV end-systolic diameter > 50mm, indexed LV end-systolic diameter > 25mm/m<sup>2</sup> or LV

 Table 3
 Markers of severe Aortic Regurgitation (AR) according to international guidelines

Markers of severe AR		
	American College of Cardiology & American Heart Association [21, 56]	European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging & European Society of Cardiology [20, 30]
Qualitative parameters		
Doppler jet width	Large in central jets	Large in central jets
	Variable in eccentric jets	Variable in eccentric jets
Flow convergence zone	Large	Large
Diastolic flow reversal in descending aorta	Prominent holodiastolic reversal	Holodiastolic flow reversal (End-diastolic velocity≥20 cm/s)
Semi-quantitative parameters		
Vena contracta width	>0.6cm	>0.6cm
Jet width / LVOT width	≥65%	≥65%
Pressure half time	< 200ms	< 200ms
Quantitative parameters		
Effective Regurgitant Orifice Area	≥0.30cm <sup>2</sup>	≥ 0.30cm <sup>2</sup>
Regurgitant volume	≥60mls	≥60mls
Regurgitant fraction	≥50%	≥ 50%

CSA Cross-sectional area; LVOT Left ventricular outflow tract; ms milliseconds; mls millilitres; cm, centimetres

end-diastolic diameter > 65mm), even in the absence of symptoms [69].

Indexed LV end-systolic diameter (LVESDi) is an indicator of LV volume overload and systolic shortening. In a study of 1,417 patients with severe AR and minimal or no symptoms, there was a significant increase in mortality with an LVESDi > 20 mm/m<sup>2</sup>, a markedly lower cut-off than the guideline-recommended surgical threshold [6]. This cut-off value was confirmed by two further observational studies with a total combined population of more than 1000 patients [70, 71]. In another study of 284 patients, LVESD  $\geq$  45 mm was found to be an independent predictor of postsurgical mortality [72]. The LVEF threshold has also been challenged: observational studies suggest that 10-year mortality rates and adverse events are significantly higher in patients with an LVEF  $\leq$  55% when compared to those patients with an LVEF > 55% [63, 70]. Acknowledging the importance of these data, ESC guidelines suggest the consideration of surgery when LVESDi>20 mm/m<sup>2</sup> or LVEF<55% as class IIb recommendation in low-risk cases [69]. Volumetric assessment of the left ventricle has also been shown to be significant, with several studies demonstrating that indexed LV endsystolic volume (LVESVi) of 45 mL/m<sup>2</sup> or greater is significantly associated with an increased risk of mortality and adverse events [67, 68, 73]. In fact, there is evidence to suggest that the prognostic significance of LVESVi with mortality is stronger than that of the linear dimensions [73].

For patients with severe AR who do not meet the currently recommended criteria for surgery, regular echocardiographic monitoring is recommended, as serial changes in LV function and dimensions may identify those that are most likely to develop symptoms and need operation in the near future [56, 69, 71]. Asymptomatic patients with moderate and severe AR should have echocardiographic assessment on an annual basis, whilst those approaching the thresholds for intervention should be followed up at 3–6 monthly intervals [69, 74]. For patients with mild-to-moderate AR, echocardiographic assessment every 2–3 years is a reasonable timeline of surveillance [69, 74].

LV size and function is of high importance for patients' post-surgical mortality and morbidity [64, 75]. Significant LV dilatation and severely reduced LV systolic function (defined as LVEF < 35%) are associated with poor postoperative short- and long-term outcomes [65, 76]. Smaller baseline indexed LV systolic and diastolic dimensions are associated with early recovery of the LV systolic function after valve surgery [77]. Furthermore, a study with 69 patients who underwent AVR for severe AR demonstrated that postoperative reverse remodelling is associated with better 10-year outcomes and survival rates [78].

Table 4 summarises the main findings of the studies that have examined the prognostic significance of LV structural and functional remodelling parameters in patients with AR.

Given its significant prognostic value, echocardiographic assessment of the LV is of paramount importance in the evaluation and management of patients with aortic regurgitation not only before but also after they have AV surgery.

### Novel echocardiographic indices

3D transthoracic echocardiography facilitates advanced assessment of the valve anatomy and severity of the regurgitation. 3D interrogation allows delineation of anatomical features of the AV and nearby structures, such as inter-commissural distance and aortic annular diameter, that may be used for preoperative planning [29, 87]. As mentioned previously, a major limitation of the VC method is the assumption of a circular regurgitant orifice. 3D colour Doppler echocardiography allows visualisation of the VC in simultaneous orthogonal views and enables an assessment of the cross-sectional area of the VC [88]. This method has been shown to correlate well with Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) imaging, aortographic and surgical grading of AR severity [43, 88]. A number of small studies have suggested differing cut-off values for 3D VC, ranging from 30mm<sup>2</sup> to 60mm<sup>2</sup> that correspond with severe AR [88–91]. As yet, there is no outcome data for 3D VC, therefore further validation is required before it is widely incorporated into practice.

Myocardial deformation or strain imaging may allow the identification of subclinical myocardial impairment present even with a normal LVEF. LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) is significantly reduced in patients with severe AR and otherwise normal LVEF [92, 93]. Several observational studies have demonstrated that GLS is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with severe AR [94-97]. A recently published systematic review reports that worse values of GLS are associated with poor cardiovascular outcomes [98]. Owing to marked heterogeneity between the studies in the analysis, most of which included fewer than 100 patients, no specific threshold value of GLS could be identified that may be of clinical use, but certainly further investigation is warranted in this regard. In a large observational study including over 1000 patients with chronic asymptomatic severe AR, GLS was independently associated with 5-year all-cause mortality [96]. Interestingly all deaths in this study occurred in patients who did not meet criteria for intervention according to the current guidelines. The reasons for this are not clear, but the authors suggest that reliance on conventional tools in the assessment of LV remodelling are likely

Study	Year	Follow-up	Study population	Main findings
Henry et al. [79]	1980	5–43 months	50 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Pre-operative LVESD>55mm and fractional shortening < 25% were strongly associated with high risk of post-operative heart failure or death or both
Kumpuris et al. [80]	1982	8 months	43 patients with chronic and 14 patients with acute severe AR that underwent AVR	In patients with acute AR, LV dimensions normalised after AVR. In patients with chronic AR, some had persistent post-op LV dilatation. LVESD > 50mm was predictive of irreversible cardiac dilation
Gaasch et al. [58]	1983	1–6 years	32 patients who underwent AVR for severe AR	25 patients achieved normal LVEDDi post-operatively. These patients had less symptoms and significantly better 4-year survival, compared to the 7 patients who had persistent left ventricular enlargement. Pre-operative LVESDi > 25mm/m <sup>2</sup> and LVEDDi > 38mm/m <sup>2</sup> are predictive markers of persistent tent post-operative LV enlargement
Bonow et al. [60]	1988	3–7 years	61 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	short-term and long-term improvement in left ventricular systolic function after operation is related significantly to the early reduction in left ventricular dilatation
Tornos et al. [59]	1995	10±6 years	101 asymptomatic patients with chronic severe AR	LVESD > 50mm and LVEF < 60% were independent predictors of cardiac symptoms or LV dysfunction
Tarasoutchi et al. [81]	2003	10 years	75 patients with chronic severe AR	LVESD and age were the most predictive and specific, but not sensitive, indicators of symptom development. 10-year probability of developing symptoms was 58% for patients with LVEDD $\ge$ 70mm and 76% for patients with LVESD $\ge$ 50mm
Sambola et al. [82]	2008	8 ±6 years	147 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	LVESD and LVESDi were independent predictors of mortality after surgery. In patients with low BSA ( $\leq 1.68mm^2$ ), LVESDi $\geq 25mm/m^2$ should be used as a cut-off point for surgery rather than LVESD > 50mm
Brown et al. [76]	2009	10 years	301 patients who had AVR for moderate or greater AVR	LVESDi and LVEDDi were predictors of late survival. Patients with LVESDi > 20mm/m <sup>2</sup> and LVEDDi > 30mm/m <sup>2</sup> had significantly worse 10-year mortality post-operatively
Cho et al. [77]	2010	6 months	171 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Preoperative LVESDi and LVEDDi were independent predictors of the LV post-surgical recovery. The sensitivity and specificity in predicting normalisation of LV function were 88% and 92% for indexed LVESDi < 35.32 mm/m <sup>2</sup> and 71% and 86% for LVEDDi < 44.42 mm/m <sup>2</sup>
Park et al. [72]	2012	39.9 months	284 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Preoperative LVESD ≥ 45 mm and haemoglobin level < 13.4 g/dl are inde- pendent prognostic factors of survival after aortic valve surgery in patients with chronic severe AR and normal LV EFs
Saisho et al. [83]	2015	10 & 20 years	177 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	LVESDi and cardiac index were independent predictors of LV recovery post- operatively. LVESDi > 26.7mm/m <sup>2</sup> was the best cut-off value for predicting EF recovery after surgery
Zhang et al. [66]	2015	2 years	105 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Pre-operative EF > 52% is a good predictor of successful LV recovery early after AVR
Wang et al. [63]	2016	10 years	192 asymptomatic patients with severe AR, LVEF $\ge$ 50% and LVEDD $>$ 70 mm who underwent AVR	Pre-operative LVEF < 55% and LVEDD ≥ 81 mm were associated with poorer prognosis (5- and 10- year survival rates) in patients undergoing AVR

_
_
0
<b>d</b> 1
$\cong$
_
<u> </u>
7
<u> </u>
$\cap$
$\sim$
U
$\sim$
- <b>1</b>
N.
Ψ
<u> </u>
0
6

Table 4 (continue	(p			
Study	Year	Follow-up	Study population	Main findings
Bruno et al. [84]	2017	21 months	119 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Long-term postoperative survival was not affected by baseline EF, but age > 70 years and NYHA class II/IN symptoms were predictive of sur- vival. In-hospital and long-term survival was similar in patients with severe LV dysfunction and with preserved or moderately reduced LV function
Maeda et al. [75]	2019	10±5 years	268 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Long-term (10-year) survival after AVR was significantly worse in patients with LVESDi > 25mm/ m <sup>2</sup> and/or LVEDD > 65mm
De Meester et al. [70]	2019	10 years	356 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	LVEF < 55% and LVESDI > 20 to 22 mm/m <sup>2</sup> were markers of increased 10-year mortality. LV end-diastolic dimensions did not influence outcomes
Yang et al. [71]	2019	4.9 years	748 patients with significant AR, of whom 361 patients had AVR	LVESDi was the only LV parameter independently associated with all-cause mortality. Compared with patients having LVESDi < 20 mm/m <sup>2</sup> , those with LVESDi 20 to 25 mm/m <sup>2</sup> (HR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.01–2.31) and ≥ 25 mm/m <sup>2</sup> (HR: 2.23; 95% CI: 1.32–3.77) had increased risk of death
Dong et al. [65]	2020	10 years	212 patients with LVEF < 50% and LVEDD ≥ 70mm who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	In-hospital mortality was associated with preoperative age and LVEF. Patients with markedly reduced LV function (LVEF < 35%) had lower survival rates compared with other patients with moderate LV dysfunction (LVEF 36% to 50%)
Kim et al. [64]	2020	8.7 years	280 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Patients with reduced LVEF (<50%) had lower overall postoperative survival and cardiac mortality-free survival rates than the preserved LVEF group at 5 and 10 years. Preoperative E/e' was associated with postoperative improve- ment or normalization of LVEF and all-cause mortality in the patients with reduced LVEF
Koga-Ikuta et al. [85]	2021	1 year	246 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	Pre-operative LVEF and LVESDi were significant predictive factors of reverse remodelling 1 year after surgery, which was associated with late outcomes
Yang et al. [67]	2021	5.4 years	492 asymptomatic patients with chronic moderate to severe and severe AR	LVEF, LVESDi and LVESVi were independently associated with mortality. A LVESVi threshold of 45 mL/m2 or greater was significantly associated with an increased mortality risk
Anand et al. [73]	2021	5.4 years	1100 patients with chronic moderate to severe and severe AR	Both LVESVi and LVESDi were associated with worse outcomes, but the association of LVESVi was stronger. LVESVi $\geq$ 45 mL/m² was associated with worse outcomes
lliuta et al. [86]	2022	2 years	332 patients who underwent AVR for chronic severe AR	The restrictive LV diastolic filling pattern was an independent predictor for early and medium-term postoperative mortality. Other independent predictors for increased early postoperative mortality rate include advanced age (> 75 years), LVESD>58 mm, and comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, COPD)
Yang et al. [68]	2023	4.1 years	1259 patients with chronic moderate to severe and severe AR	All-cause and cardiovascular mortality were increased when LVEF $\leq$ 53%, LVESDi $\geq$ 22 mm/m <sup>2</sup> and LVESVi $\geq$ of 46 mL/m <sup>2</sup> . Early surgery was beneficial in 3 strata of LVESDi (< 20, 20 to < 25, and $\geq$ 25 mm/m <sup>2</sup> ) and 2 strata of LVESVi (< 46 and $\geq$ 46 mL/m <sup>5</sup> )
		-		

AR aortic regurgitation; AVR aortic valve replacement; COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LV Left ventricular; LVEDD Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDD i Left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVEDD i Left ventricular end end end end end end end end

inadequate in an overall assessment of cardiovascular risk [96]. GLS has a prognostic value postoperatively, with impaired GLS values both immediately following surgery and persistently after intervention being associated with increased long-term mortality [95, 99].

Strain imaging is not limited to the LV. Left atrial (LA) reservoir strain has been documented to be impaired in patients with chronic asymptomatic severe AR, but lower values of LA strain are associated with adverse prognosis, and show promise in risk stratification of patients with severe AR [100-102]. Figure 1 provides a summary of all the parameters of significance in the echocardiographic assessment of AR (Fig. 1).

An extremely novel approach is to assess cardiac mechanics with strain-volume loops, which may allow a deeper understanding into the haemodynamic consequences of AR. Whereas LVEF and strain do not necessarily distinguish between LV remodelling in valve disease and normal controls, strain-volume loops were significantly better at identifying adverse LV remodelling compared to the conventional echocardiographic approach [103].

Table 5 provides a summary of the studies that have investigated the role of strain parameters in AR.

### **Future directions**

Whilst current guidance is frequently derived from an evidence-base that consists of small studies conducted more than two decades ago, the future holds promise with a series of robust studies that will hopefully complement the current data, and improve the echocardiographic assessment of AR. Larger prospective studies have already set out to answer several questions around the established and novel echocardiographic parameters used and their potential additive value in risk stratification and management of these patients.

The 'Early Aortic Valve Surgery Versus Watchful Waiting Strategy in Severe Asymptomatic Aortic Regurgitation' (ELEANOR) study (NCT05438862) is a prospective randomised trial investigating optimal timing of surgical intervention in asymptomatic patients with severe AR. Patients are randomised to watchful waiting approach with guideline-indicated intervention, or to early surgery. Participants undergo echocardiographic and other advanced imaging assessments at regular follow-up intervals. This study should provide insight into the prognostic value of echocardiographic parameters and how these identify patients' clinical trajectory and adverse events.

Another upcoming study is the 'Comparative Imaging Assessment of Valvular Heart Disease' prospective observational study (NCT04126018) is investigating the accuracy of 2D and 3D echocardiographic methods of valvular quantification and is due to complete recruitment in 2023. Approximately 40 participants with moderate or severe valvular lesions, including AR, aortic stenosis and mitral regurgitation, will undergo 2D and 3D transthoracic echocardiographic studies. Conventional echocardiographic tools including Doppler, PISA, VC and volumetric method, will be compared to the



Fig. 1 Summary of key echocardiographic parameters for the assessment of Aortic Regurgitation (AR)

Study	Year	Follow-up	Study population	Main findings
Marciniak et al. [93]	2009	N/A	59 patients with mild/moderate/severe AR and 22 healthy controls	Radial as well as longitudinal peak systolic strain rates were significantly decreased in patients with both moderate and severe AR compared with healthy subjects. Changes in regional LV deformation correlated inversely both with LV end- diastolic volume and with end-systolic volume
Olsen et al. [95]	2011	19±8 months	64 patients with chronic severe AR	Reduced myocardial systolic strain, systolic strain rate and early diastolic strain rate by speckle-track- ing echocardiography were associated with dis- ease progression during conservative manage- ment and with impaired outcome after surgery. Conventional parameters of LV function and size (LVEF and LVEDDi) were associated with outcome after surgery but not with outcome during con- servative management
Mizarienė et al. [104]	2012	N/A	26 patients with moderate AR, 34 patients with severe AR and 28 healthy controls	The LV GLS, radial strain, and LV systolic diameter were the independent predictors of LV ejection frac- tion in the patients with AR. LV long-axis dysfunc- tion with an increased apical rotation was present in the patients with moderate AR, while LV radial function and systolic basal rotation were found to be reduced in more advanced disease
Ewe et al. [105]	2015	4.2 ± 3.2 years	129 patients with moderate-to-severe or severe AR and LVEF > 50%	In asymptomatic patients, impaired baseline LV GLS or circumferential strain was independently associated with the need for AVR
Lavine et al. [97]	2015	N/A	27 patients with no pathology, 87 patients with chronic AR and LVEF > 50%, 66 patients with chronic AR and LVEF < 50% and 82 patients with hypertensive heart disease	In chronic AR there is impaired longitudinal function despite preserved EF: GLS was reduced in all patients with AR compared to normal subjects, GLS was also reduced in patients with AR and LVEF > 50% compared to patients with hyper- tensive heart disease. GLS was well correlated with non-invasive estimated LV filling pressures
Park et al. [94]	2015	5.3 years	60 patients with chronic severe AR (median follow up 64 months)	On multivariate analysis decreased LV global strain rate (measured on apical four chamber view) was proved to be an independent predictor of mor- tality in patients with chronic AR
Hulshof et al. [103]	2017	N/A	7 patients with severe AR, 10 patients with severe AS and 10 healthy controls	Distinct strain-volume loop characteristics were present in the 3 subgroups who showed com- parable longitudinal peak strain. Early systolic strain and linear slope during systole (relationship between strain and volume) were lower in AR and AS patients compared with control subjects, with AR patients demonstrating lower values compared with AS
Verseckaite et al. [106]	2018	5 years	67 asymptomatic patients with chronic moderate or severe AR and LVEF > 50%	GLS was an independent predictor of LVEF deterio- ration. Probability of LVEF deterioration was signifi- cantly greater in patients with GLS
Alashi et al. [96]	2019	6.95 years	865 patients with severe AR and LVEF $\geq$ 50% who underwent AVR	Baseline LV-GLS value worse than -19% was asso- ciated with reduced survival. In a subgroup of patients who returned for 3- and 12-month follow-up examinations, persistently impaired LV- GLS was associated with increased mortality
Kalkan et al. [101]	2021	N/A	64 patients with mild, moderate and severe AR	This study showed that LA-Res and LA pump parameters of the patients with severe AR signifi- cantly decreased compared to those of the mild and moderate AR group
Jenner et al. [100]	2021	1 year	65 patients with severe AR who underwent AVR	Preoperative left atrial strain during the con- duit phase added to LVESVi for the prediction of impaired LV functional and structural recovery after aortic valve replacement (accuracy 70%; addi- tion of left atrial strain during the conduit phase to LVESVi $p = 0.006$ )

## Table 5 Studies evaluating the role of strain parameters in aortic regurgitation (in chronological order)

## Table 5 (continued)

Study	Year	Follow-up	Study population	Main findings
Martín et al. [102]	2022	2.8 years	126 asymptomatic patients with chronic severe AR	LVEDV and E/e' ratio were significant predic- tors of adverse events. Lower LA reservoir strain values (less than median of 34%) were associated with higher rates of events (hospital admission due to heart failure, cardiovascular mortality, or aortic valve surgery)

AR aortic regurgitation; AS Aortic stenosis; GLS Global longitudinal strain; LV Left ventricular; LVEDDi Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter indexed to body surface area; LVEF Left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDV Left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVESV Left ventricular end-systolic volume indexed to body surface area

reference standard of CMR, and will correlate with clinical outcomes.

Received: 9 October 2023 Accepted: 8 November 2023 Published online: 03 January 2024

## Conclusion

Aortic regurgitation is a common valvular heart disease with significant impact on patient mortality and morbidity. Echocardiography is a simple, yet invaluable, tool in the assessment of the morphology, mechanism and severity of the regurgitation. It provides important information about the impact of the lesion on the myocardium, adding prognostic data that influences patient management and treatment strategy. The use of advanced echocardiographic methods allows more precise quantification of regurgitation. Future studies that investigate the benefit of established and novel methods and link to clinical outcomes will improve our understanding of aortic regurgitation and enable improved clinical practice.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

### Author contributions

VT performed the literature review and drafted the first manuscript. KV critically evaluated and amended the manuscript. SB critically evaluated and amended the manuscript. DO critically evaluated and amended the manuscript. LR critically evaluated and amended the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

No funding was obtained for this review.

### Availability of data and materials

All data presented in this manuscript are already published data that are publicly available and are cited throughout the document.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

KV and DO are Editorial Board Members of the Echo Research & Practice Journal. This has not influenced their participation or any parts of the submitted manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Sallach SM, Reimold SC. Echocardiographic evaluation of aortic regurgitation. In: Solomon SD, Bulwer B, editors. Essential echocardiography. Totowa: Humana Press; 2007.
- D'Arcy JL, Coffey S, Loudon MA, Kennedy A, Pearson-Stuttard J, Birks J, et al. Large-scale community echocardiographic screening reveals a major burden of undiagnosed valvular heart disease in older people: the OxVALVE population cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(47):3515–3522a.
- Nkomo VT, Gardin JM, Skelton TN, Gottdiener JS, Scott CG, Enriquez-Sarano M. Burden of valvular heart diseases: a population-based study. Lancet. 2006;368(9540):1005–11.
- lung B. A prospective survey of patients with valvular heart disease in Europe: the Euro heart survey on valvular heart disease. Eur Heart J. 2003;24(13):1231–43.
- lung B, Delgado V, Rosenhek R, Price S, Prendergast B, Wendler O, et al. Contemporary presentation and management of valvular heart disease: the EURObservational research programme valvular heart disease II survey. Circulation. 2019;140(14):1156–69.
- Mentias A, Feng K, Alashi A, Rodriguez LL, Gillinov AM, Johnston DR, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with aortic regurgitation and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68(20):2144–53.
- Dujardin KS, Enriquez-Sarano M, Schaff HV, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Mortality and morbidity of aortic regurgitation in clinical practice. A long-term follow-up study Circulation. 1999;99(14):1851–7.
- Detaint D, Messika-Zeitoun D, Maalouf J, Tribouilloy C, Mahoney DW, Tajik AJ, et al. Quantitative echocardiographic determinants of clinical outcome in asymptomatic patients with aortic regurgitation: a prospective study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008;1(1):1–11.
- 9. Bekeredjian R, Grayburn PA. Valvular heart disease: aortic regurgitation. Circulation. 2005;112(1):125–34.
- Abdelazeem B, Hollander RM, Gresham TM, Gjeka R, Kunadi A. Aortic valve insufficiency due to myxomatous degeneration: a case report and literature review. AME Case Rep. 2022;6:10–10.
- 11. Shapiro LM, Thwaites B, Westgate C, Donaldson R. Prevalence and clinical significance of aortic valve prolapse. Br Heart J. 1985;54(2):179–83.
- 12. Isselbacher EM. Thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysms. Circulation. 2005;111(6):816–28.
- Kou S, Caballero L, Dulgheru R, Voilliot D, De Sousa C, Kacharava G, et al. Echocardiographic reference ranges for normal cardiac chamber size: results from the NORRE study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014;15:680–90.
- Cozijnsen L, Braam RL, Waalewijn RA, Schepens MAAM, Loeys BL, van Oosterhout MFM, et al. What is new in dilatation of the ascending aorta? Circulation. 2011;123(8):924–8.
- Nataf P. Dilation of the thoracic aorta: medical and surgical management. Heart. 2006;92(9):1345–52.
- Tyagi S, Safal S, Tyagi D. Aortitis and aortic aneurysm in systemic vasculitis. Indian J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2019;35(S2):47–56.

- 17. Kumari V, Shaikh AS, Zakai SB, Kumar N, Bangash SK, Patel N, et al. Incidence of Aortic Regurgitation in Association with Type of Ventricular Septal Defects and its Immediate and Intermediate Outcome after Surgical Closure. Cureus. 2019 Jul 8;11(7). https://www.cureus.com/articles/20353-incidence-of-aortic-regurgitation-in-association-with-type-of-ventricular-septal-defects-and-its-immediate-and-intermedia te-outcome-after-surgical-closure. Accessed 13 Sep 2023.
- Tweddell JS, Pelech AN, Frommelt PC. Ventricular septal defect and aortic valve regurgitation: pathophysiology and indications for surgery. Sem Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Pediatr Cardiac Surg Ann. 2006;9(1):147–52.
- Tohyama K, Satomi G, Momma K. Aortic valve prolapse and aortic regurgitation associated with subpulmonic ventricular septal defect. Am J Cardiol. 1997;79(9):1285–9.
- Lancellotti P, Tribouilloy C, Hagendorff A, Popescu BA, Edvardsen T, Pierard LA, et al. Recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an executive summary from the European association of cardiovascular imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;14(7):611–44.
- Zoghbi WA, Adams D, Bonow RO, Enriquez-Sarano M, Foster E, Grayburn PA, et al. Recommendations for noninvasive evaluation of native valvular regurgitation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2017;30(4):303–71.
- Khoury GE, Glineur D, Rubay J, Verhelst R, d'Acoz d'Udekem Y, Poncelet A, et al. Functional classification of aortic root/valve abnormalities and their correlation with etiologies and surgical procedures. Current Opinion in Cardiology. 2005;20(2):115.
- 23. le Polain de Waroux JB, Pouleur AC, Goffinet C, Vancraeynest D, Van Dyck M, Robert A, et al. Functional Anatomy of Aortic Regurgitation. Circulation. 2007 Sep 11;116(11\_supplement):I–264.
- Boodhwani M, de Kerchove L, Glineur D, Poncelet A, Rubay J, Astarci P, et al. Repair-oriented classification of aortic insufficiency: Impact on surgical techniques and clinical outcomes. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2009;137(2):286–94.
- Mathari S el, Boulidam N, Heer F de, Kerchove L de, Schäfers HJ, Lansac E, et al. Surgical outcomes of aortic valve repair for specific aortic valve cusp characteristics; retraction, calcification, and fenestration. The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. 2023 May 25. https://www. jtcvs.org/article/S0022-5223(23)00444-0/fulltext. Accessed 22 Jun 2023.
- Kunihara T. Current controversies in aortic valve-preserving surgery. J Cardiol. 2023;81(2):119–30.
- Abeln KB, Giebels C, Ehrlich T, Federspiel JM, Schäfers HJ. Which aortic valve can be surgically reconstructed? Curr Cardiol Rep. 2021;23(8):108.
- Smer A, Urja P, Anugula D, Dulal S, Elmarzouky ZM, Gill E, et al. Threedimensional echocardiographic assessment of the aortic valve and the aorta. Echocardiography. 2022;39(7):1011–27.
- Muraru D, Badano LP, Vannan M, Iliceto S. Assessment of aortic valve complex by three-dimensional echocardiography: a framework for its effective application in clinical practice. Eur Heart Journal Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;13(7):541–55.
- Lancellotti P, Pibarot P, Chambers J, La Canna G, Pepi M, Dulgheru R, et al. Multi-modality imaging assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an EACVI and ESC council of valvular heart disease position paper. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2022;23(5):e171-232.
- Perry GJ, Helmcke F, Nanda NC, Byard C, Soto B. Evaluation of aortic insufficiency by Doppler color flow mapping. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1987;9(4):952–9.
- Tribouilloy CM, Enriquez-Sarano M, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Assessment of severity of aortic regurgitation using the width of the vena contracta: a clinical color Doppler imaging study. Circulation. 2000;102(5):558–64.
- 33. Ekery DL, Davidoff R. Aortic regurgitation: quantitative methods by echocardiography. Echocardiography. 2000;17(3):293–302.
- Grayburn PA, Handshoe R, Smith MD, Harrison MR, DeMaria AN. Quantitative assessment of the hemodynamic consequences of aortic regurgitation by means of continuous wave Doppler recordings. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1987;10(1):135–41.
- Teague SM, Heinsimer JA, Anderson JL, Sublett K, Olson EG, Voyles WF, et al. Quantification of aortic regurgitation utilizing continuous wave Doppler ultrasound. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1986;8(3):592–9.

- Labovitz AJ, Ferrara RP, Kern MJ, Bryg RJ, Mrosek DG, Williams GA. Quantitative evaluation of aortic insufficiency by continuous wave Doppler echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1986;8(6):1341–7.
- Beyer RW, Ramirez M, Josephson MA, Shah PM. Correlation of continuous-wave Doppler assessment of chronic aortic regurgitation with hemodynamics and angiography. Am J Cardiol. 1987;60(10):852–6.
- Samstad SO, Hegrenaes L, Skjaerpe T, Hatle L. Half time of the diastolic aortoventricular pressure difference by continuous wave Doppler ultrasound: a measure of the severity of aortic regurgitation? Br Heart J. 1989;61(4):336–43.
- de Marchi SF, Windecker S, Aeschbacher BC, Seiler C. Influence of left ventricular relaxation on the pressure half time of aortic regurgitation. Heart. 1999;82(5):607–13.
- Griffin BP, Flachskampf FA, Reimold SC, Lee RT, Thomas JD. Relationship of aortic regurgitant velocity slope and pressure half-time to severity of aortic regurgitation under changing haemodynamic conditions. Eur Heart J. 1994;15(5):681–5.
- Tribouilloy C, Avinée P, Shen WF, Rey JL, Slama M, Lesbre JP. End diastolic flow velocity just beneath the aortic isthmus assessed by pulsed Doppler echocardiography: a new predictor of the aortic regurgitant fraction. Heart. 1991;65(1):37–40.
- Bolen MA, Popovic ZB, Rajiah P, Gabriel RS, Zurick AO, Lieber ML, et al. Cardiac MR assessment of aortic regurgitation: holodiastolic flow reversal in the descending aorta helps stratify severity. Radiology. 2011;260(1):98–104.
- Hlubocká Z, Kočková R, Línková H, Pravečková A, Hlubocký J, Dostálová G, et al. Assessment of asymptomatic severe aortic regurgitation by Doppler-derived echo indices: comparison with magnetic resonance quantification. J Clin Med. 2021;11(1):152.
- Takenaka K, Dabestani A, Gardin JM, Russell D, Clark S, Allfie A, et al. A simple Doppler echocardiographic method for estimating severity of aortic regurgitation. Am J Cardiol. 1986;57(15):1340–3.
- Panaro A, Moral S, Huguet M, Rodríguez Palomares J, Galián L, Gutierrez L, et al. Descending aorta diastolic retrograde flow assessment for aortic regurgitation quantification. Rev Argent Cardiol. 2016;84(4):336–41.
- Hasegawa T, Oshima Y, Tanaka T, Maruo A, Matsuhisa H. Clinical assessment of diastolic retrograde flow in the descending aorta for high-flow systemic-to-pulmonary artery shunting. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2016;151(6):1540–6.
- Avitabile CM, Whitehead KK, Fogel MA, Kim DW, Kim TS, Rose JD, et al. Holodiastolic flow reversal at the descending aorta on cardiac magnetic resonance is neither sensitive nor specific for significant aortic regurgitation in patients with congenital heart disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2016;37(7):1284–9.
- Eren M, Eksik A, Gorgulu S, Norgaz T, Dagdeviren B, Bolca O, et al. Determination of vena contracta and its value in evaluating severity of aortic regurgitation. J Heart Valve Dis. 2002;11(4):567–75.
- 49. Faber M, Sonne C, Rosner S, Persch H, Reinhard W, Hendrich E, et al. Predicting the need of aortic valve surgery in patients with chronic aortic regurgitation: a comparison between cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and transthoracic echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2021;37(10):2993–3001.
- Tribouilloy CM, Enriquez-Sarano M, Fett SL, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Application of the proximal flow convergence method to calculate the effective regurgitant orifice area in aortic regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32(4):1032–9.
- Pouleur AC, de le Waroux JBP, Goffinet C, Vancraeynest D, Pasquet A, Gerber BL, et al. Accuracy of the flow convergence method for quantification of aortic regurgitation in patients with central versus eccentric jets. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102(4):475–80.
- 52. Hamirani YS, Dietl CA, Voyles W, Peralta M, Begay D, Raizada V. Acute aortic regurgitation. Circulation. 2012;126(9):1121–6.
- Botvinick EH, Schiller NB, Wickramasekaran R, Klausner SC, Gertz E. Echocardiographic demonstration of early mitral valve closure in severe aortic insufficiency. Its clinical implications. Circulation. 1975;51(5):836–47.
- Chasapi A, Mbonye KA, Bajomo O, Young WJ, Primus C, Ambekar S, et al. Clinical and echocardiographic predictors of decompensation in acute severe aortic regurgitation due to infective endocarditis. Echocardiography. 2021;38(4):590–5.

- Dahiya A, Bolen M, Grimm RA, Rodriguez LL, Thomas JD, Marwick TH. Development of a consensus document to improve multireader concordance and accuracy of aortic regurgitation severity grading by echocardiography versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Cardiol. 2012;110(5):709–14.
- Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP, Gentile F, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;77(4):e25-197.
- Wisenbaugh T, Spann JF, Carabello BA. Differences in myocardial performance and load between patients with similar amounts of chronic aortic versus chronic mitral regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1984;3(4):916–23.
- Gaasch WH, Carroll JD, Levine HJ, Criscitiello MG. Chronic aortic regurgitation: prognostic value of left ventricular end-systolic dimension and end-diastolic radius/thickness ratio. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1983;1(3):775–82.
- Tornos MP, Olona M, Permanyer-Miralda G, Herrejon MP, Camprecios M, Evangelista A, et al. Clinical outcome of severe asymptomatic chronic aortic regurgitation: a long-term prospective follow-up study. Am Heart J. 1995;130(2):333–9.
- Bonow RO, Dodd JT, Maron BJ, O'Gara PT, White GG, McIntosh CL, et al. Long-term serial changes in left ventricular function and reversal of ventricular dilatation after valve replacement for chronic aortic regurgitation. Circulation. 1988;78(5 Pt 1):1108–20.
- Greves J, Rahimtoola SH, McAnulty JH, DeMots H, Clark DG, Greenberg B, et al. Preoperative criteria predictive of late survival following valve replacement for severe aortic regurgitation. Am Heart J. 1981;101(3):300–8.
- Wang Y, Jiang W, Liu J, Li G, Liu Y, Hu X, et al. Early surgery versus conventional treatment for asymptomatic severe aortic regurgitation with normal ejection fraction and left ventricular dilatation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2017;52(1):118–24.
- Wang Y, Shi J, Li F, Wang Y, Dong N. Aortic valve replacement for severe aortic regurgitation in asymptomatic patients with normal ejection fraction and severe left ventricular dilatation. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2016;22(4):425–30.
- Kim M, Kim JH, Joo H, Lee S, Youn Y, Lee SH. Prognostic markers and long-term outcomes after aortic valve replacement in patients with chronic aortic regurgitation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9(24): e018292.
- Dong N, Jiang W, Yin P, Hu X, Wang Y. Predictors of long-term outcome of isolated surgical aortic valve replacement in aortic regurgitation with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction and extreme left ventricular dilatation. Am J Cardiol. 2020;125(9):1385–90.
- Zhang Z, Yang J, Yu Y, Huang H, Ye W, Yan W, et al. Preoperative ejection fraction determines early recovery of left ventricular end-diastolic dimension after aortic valve replacement for chronic severe aortic regurgitation. J Surg Res. 2015;196(1):49–55.
- 67. Yang LT, Anand V, Zambito El, Pellikka PA, Scott CG, Thapa P, et al. Association of echocardiographic left ventricular end-systolic volume and volume-derived ejection fraction with outcome in asymptomatic chronic aortic regurgitation. JAMA Cardiol. 2021;6(2):189–98.
- Yang LT, Lee CC, Su CH, Amano M, Nabeshima Y, Kitano T, et al. Analysis of left ventricular indexes and mortality among asian adults with hemodynamically significant chronic aortic regurgitation. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(3): e234632.
- Vahanian A, Beyersdorf F, Praz F, Milojevic M, Baldus S, Bauersachs J, et al. ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. Eur Heart J. 2021;2021:1–72.
- de Meester C, Gerber BL, Vancraeynest D, Pouleur AC, Noirhomme P, Pasquet A, et al. Do Guideline-based indications result in an outcome penalty for patients with severe aortic regurgitation? JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;12(11 Pt 1):2126–38.
- Yang LT, Michelena HI, Scott CG, Enriquez-Sarano M, Pislaru SV, Schaff HV, et al. Outcomes in chronic hemodynamically significant aortic regurgitation and limitations of current guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(14):1741–52.
- Park HW, Song JM, Choo SJ, Chung CH, Lee JW, Kim DH, et al. Effect of preoperative ejection fraction, left ventricular systolic dimension and hemoglobin level on survival after aortic valve surgery in patients with severe chronic aortic regurgitation. Am J Cardiol. 2012;109(12):1782–6.
- 73. Anand V, Yang L, Luis SA, Padang R, Michelena HI, Tsay JL, et al. Association of left ventricular volume in predicting clinical outcomes

in patients with aortic regurgitation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2021;34(4):352–9.

- 74. Yang LT, Enriquez-Sarano M, Michelena HI, Nkomo VT, Scott CG, Bailey KR, et al. Predictors of Progression in patients with stage B aortic regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74(20):2480–92.
- Maeda S, Taniguchi K, Toda K, Funatsu T, Kondoh H, Yokota T, et al. Outcomes after aortic valve replacement for asymptomatic severe aortic regurgitation and normal ejection fraction. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2019;31(4):763–70.
- Brown ML, Schaff HV, Suri RM, Li Z, Sundt TM, Dearani JA, et al. Indexed left ventricular dimensions best predict survival after aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic valve regurgitation. Ann Thorac Surg. 2009;87(4):1170–5.
- Cho SH, Byun CS, Kim KW, Chang BC, Yoo KJ, Lee S. Preoperative indexed left ventricular dimensions to predict early recovery of left ventricular function after aortic valve replacement for chronic aortic regurgitation. Circ J. 2010;74(11):2340–5.
- Zhang MK, Li LN, Xue H, Tang XJ, Sun H, Wu QY. Left ventricle reverse remodeling in chronic aortic regurgitation patients with dilated ventricle after aortic valve replacement. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2022;17(1):8.
- Henry WL, Bonow RO, Rosing DR, Epstein SE. Observations on the optimum time for operative intervention for aortic regurgitation. II. Serial echocardiographic evaluation of asymptomatic patients. Circulation. 1980;61(3):484–92.
- Kumpuris AG, Quinones MA, Waggoner AD, Kanon DJ, Nelson JG, Miller RR. Importance of preoperative hypertrophy, wall stress and endsystolic dimension as echocardiographic predictors of normalization of left ventricular dilatation after valve replacement in chronic aortic insufficiency. Am J Cardiol. 1982;49(5):1091–100.
- Tarasoutchi F, Grinberg M, Spina GS, Sampaio RO, Cardoso L, Rossi FEG, et al. Ten-year clinical laboratory follow-up after application of a symptom-based therapeutic strategy to patients with severe chronic aortic regurgitation of predominant rheumatic etiology. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41(8):1316–24.
- Sambola A, Tornos P, Ferreira-Gonzalez I, Evangelista A. Prognostic value of preoperative indexed end-systolic left ventricle diameter in the outcome after surgery in patients with chronic aortic regurgitation. Am Heart J. 2008;155(6):1114–20.
- Saisho H, Arinaga K, Kikusaki S, Hirata Y, Wada K, Kakuma T, et al. Long term results and predictors of left ventricular function recovery after aortic valve replacement for chronic aortic regurgitation. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2015;21(4):388–95.
- Bruno P, Cammertoni F, Rosenhek R, Mazza A, Nesta M, Burzotta F, et al. Outcomes of surgery for severe aortic regurgitation with systolic left ventricular dysfunction. J Heart Valve Dis. 2017;26(4):372–9.
- Koga-Ikuta A, Fukushima S, Kawamoto N, Saito T, Shimahara Y, Yajima S, et al. Reverse remodelling after aortic valve replacement for chronic aortic regurgitation. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2021;33(1):10–8.
- Iliuta L, Andronesi AG, Diaconu CC, Moldovan H, Rac-Albu M, Rac-Albu ME. Diastolic versus systolic left ventricular dysfunction as independent predictors for unfavorable postoperative evolution in patients with aortic regurgitation undergoing aortic valve replacement. Medicina. 2022;58(11):1676.
- Lang RM, Badano LP, Tsang W, Adams DH, Agricola E, Buck T, et al. EAE/ASE recommendations for image acquisition and display using three-dimensional echocardiography. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;13(1):1–46.
- Fang L, Hsiung MC, Miller AP, Nanda NC, Yin WH, Young MS, et al. Assessment of aortic regurgitation by live three-dimensional transthoracic echocardiographic measurements of vena contracta area: usefulness and validation. Echocardiography. 2005;22(9):775–81.
- Sato H, Ohta T, Hiroe K, Okada S, Shimizu K, Murakami R, et al. Severity of aortic regurgitation assessed by area of vena contracta: a clinical two-dimensional and three-dimensional color Doppler imaging study. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2015;13(1):24.
- Chin CH, Chen CH, Lo HS. The correlation between three-dimensional vena contracta area and aortic regurgitation index in patients with aortic regurgitation. Echocardiography. 2010;27(2):161–6.
- 91. Perez de Isla L, Zamorano J, Fernandez-Golfin C, Ciocarelli S, Corros C, Sanchez T, et al. 3D color-Doppler echocardiography and chronic aortic

regurgitation: a novel approach for severity assessment. Int J Cardiol. 2013;166(3):640–5.

- 92. lida N, Seo Y, Ishizu T, Nakajima H, Atsumi A, Yamamoto M, et al. Transmural compensation of myocardial deformation to preserve left ventricular ejection performance in chronic aortic regurgitation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2012;25(6):620–8.
- Marciniak A, Sutherland GR, Marciniak M, Claus P, Bijnens B, Jahangiri M. Myocardial deformation abnormalities in patients with aortic regurgitation: a strain rate imaging study. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10(1):112–9.
- Park SH, Yang YA, Kim KY, Park SM, Kim HN, Kim JH, et al. Left ventricular strain as predictor of chronic aortic regurgitation. J Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2015;23(2):78–85.
- Olsen NT, Sogaard P, Larsson HBW, Goetze JP, Jons C, Mogelvang R, et al. Speckle-tracking echocardiography for predicting outcome in chronic aortic regurgitation during conservative management and after surgery. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011;4(3):223–30.
- Alashi A, Mentias A, Abdallah A, Feng K, Gillinov AM, Rodriguez LL, et al. Incremental prognostic utility of left ventricular global longitudinal strain in asymptomatic patients with significant chronic aortic regurgitation and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;11(5):673–82.
- Lavine SJ, Al Balbissi KA. Reduced longitudinal function in chronic aortic regurgitation. J Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2015;23(4):219–27.
- deCampos D, Teixeira R, Saleiro C, Botelho A, Gonçalve L. Global longitudinal strain in chronic asymptomatic aortic regurgitation: systematic review. Echo Res Pract. 2020;7(3):39–48.
- Alashi A, Khullar T, Mentias A, Gillinov AM, Roselli EE, Svensson LG, et al. Long-term outcomes after aortic valve surgery in patients with asymptomatic chronic aortic regurgitation and preserved LVEF: impact of baseline and follow-up global longitudinal strain. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020;13(1, Part 1):12–21.
- Jenner J, Ilami A, Petrini J, Eriksson P, Franco-Cereceda A, Eriksson MJ, et al. Pre- and postoperative left atrial and ventricular volumetric and deformation analyses in severe aortic regurgitation. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2021;19(1):14.
- Kalkan S, Efe SC, Tasar O, Koyuncu A, Yilmaz FM, Batgerel U, et al. The role of the left atrial strain parameters on grading of aortic regurgitation. J Cardiovasc Echogr. 2021;31(3):151–6.
- 102. García Martín A, Abellás Sequeiros M, González Gómez AG, Rincón Díaz LM, Monteagudo Ruiz JM, Hinojar Baydés R, et al. Prognostic value of diastolic function parameters in significant aortic regurgitation: the role of the left atrial strain. J Echocardiogr. 2022;20(4):216–23.
- Hulshof HG, van Dijk AP, George KP, Hopman MTE, Thijssen DHJ, Oxborough DL. Exploratory assessment of left ventricular strain–volume loops in severe aortic valve diseases. J Physiol. 2017;595(12):3961–71.
- 104. Mizarienė V, Bučytė S, Zaliaduonytė-Pekšienė D, Jonkaitienė R, Janėnaitė J, Vaškelytė J, et al. Components of left ventricular ejection and filling in patients with aortic regurgitation assessed by speckle-tracking echocardiography. Medicina. 2012;48(1):31–8.
- 105. Ewe SH, Haeck MLA, Ng ACT, Witkowski TG, Auger D, Leong DP, et al. Detection of subtle left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with significant aortic regurgitation and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: speckle tracking echocardiographic analysis. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;16(9):992–9.
- 106. Verseckaite R, Mizariene V, Montvilaite A, Auguste I, Bieseviciene M, Laukaitiene J, et al. The predictive value of left ventricular myocardium mechanics evaluation in asymptomatic patients with aortic regurgitation and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. A longterm speckle-tracking echocardiographic study. Echocardiography. 2018;35(9):1277–88.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

