

7th International Conference on Built Environment in Developing Countries

Challenges for Project Management in the 21st Century

Amin Akhavan Tabassi^a, David James Bryde^b, Ernawati Mustafa Kamal^c, Jane Dowson^d, *

^{a,c}*School of Housing, Building and Planning, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800-Penang, Malaysia*

^{b,d}*Liverpool Business School, Liverpool John Moores University, UK*

Abstract

Challenges could be observed as opportunities for success. The growth of project management body of knowledge and the advancement of project managers' capabilities in dealing with resources are crucial to the successful delivery of projects. This paper builds on vast review of literature that took place over a series of publications under Project Management topic. The management of uncertainty and dealing with different challenges are seen as necessary conditions for effective project management. Sources of challenges are wide ranging and have fundamental effects on projects and project management body of knowledge. These challenges are not limited to specific industry, and include scope management, information technology, team dynamics, customers' satisfaction, lean management, communication, innovation and quality. Common project management body of knowledge does not address many of the listed sources of challenges, particularly in 'soft' project management skills where flexibility and tolerance of PMs are necessary. More advanced efforts to figure out and handle important sources of challenges are needed. Such attempts need to entail project managers' capabilities as well as the organization maturities including some aspects of organisation culture and learning.

Keywords: Project management; challenges; 21st century.

1. Introduction

Challenges could be observed as opportunities for success. Prevalent unpredictability in different markets in recent years, conjunction with swift changes in technology, talent shortages, communication issues and even more bring in challenges for project managers. Although employing the knowledge of project management competently has been a vital topic of discussion for the past decades, organizations still battle with how to come up with this strategic shift in their projects (Atkinson et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2018).

The growth of project management body of knowledge and the advancement of project managers' capabilities in dealing with resources are crucial to the successful delivery of projects. Understanding the substantial influence of projects, and subsequently the impact of project management knowledge, to society and the economy has been raising and grew to become urgent to the EU countries in 2007 with the global recession. In line with this, the value of the global projects market is massive and interest in project management and operations as necessary body of knowledge enhances.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +60174709240.

E-mail address: akhavan@usm.my



The “Being Lean and Seen” programme is an EU-H2020 funded collaborative, multidisciplinary programme of research exchanges between 10 international partners over four years (2017-2020). From a wider perspective of advancing knowledge in project management through research exploration, the programme enriches and extends the field beyond its current intellectual foundations and connects it more closely to the challenges of contemporary practices. The multidisciplinary perspective of the work goes beyond the traditional boundaries of the PM body of knowledge to develop a holistic framework which will enable the successful delivery of projects both now and in the future. It is based on three major pillars:

- “Being Lean” – adapt, enhance and advance management practices from other industries in response to the need for efficiency and effectiveness of projects
- “Begin Seen” – incorporate the perspective of the people responsible for delivering projects by accentuating the psycho-social aspects
- “Being Lean and Seen” – adapt projects to dynamic environments in order to sustain competitive advantage in the long run with Dynamic Capabilities and adapt PM methods to developing countries.

As a starting point, this paper aims to highlight some on the wide range of issues that the PM professionals have faced with in the past decades, which could be consolidated into a list of major hurdles. While the hurdles discussed here might not be all-encompassing, addressing these predominant issues provides impetus for turning challenges into opportunities.

2. Project Management Concept

In the last few decades, project managers have witnessed how the profession has been evolved and grown to be increasingly more accepted (PMI, 2017). Project management frameworks and techniques have been formulated, from “traditional” perspectives to innovative solutions. Projects are now larger in size, with shorter duration bound timelines along with even more stakeholders. Technological advancement has experienced an intense impact on new project management tools and techniques. There is now a great deal more data not to mention much less paper. The project manager's profile has also changed, with fewer and fewer experts from industries in that role, which is a shift to general project management concept.

Since organisations seek for competitive advantages in today dynamic business environments, project management happens to be the method intended for quality improvements towards improving the overall organisation performance. In this regard, the management of organization's projects happens to be the means for change and growth. Organisations by making advantage from project management tools and techniques strategize and put into action innovative business approaches in order to gain or perhaps sustain competitive strengths. Since the life of many organizations bind with their projects in hand, their particular revolutionary organization strategies has been led to Project Management being viewed as a vital business practice. Project Management has been also deemed as a practical approach for the reason that it is a foundation of organisational strategy much like functional tactics and as such is likely to be lined up with the organization overall strategy.

The current market in different businesses asks the companies to become more multinational and more global. The modern world is getting smaller as the technology and financial systems try to make the global business groups scaled-down. In this business environment, a modern project manager is often asked to lead virtual world-wide projects. This trend is most likely going to keep going. To be involved in such competitive virtual environments project managers need to create, lead and deal with virtual teams and cope with all the related challenges. A virtual group is a decentralized team working for a core objective.



In developing the project management and making managers prepared for future we need to determine the current challenges and consequently the solutions for such challenges in order to be able to bright the future of PM in different industries. As a result some of the major challenges that PMs have been faced with them in the past years summarized in this study.

3.0 Major Challenges of Project Management

There has been a growing need for aligning project management with the organisation’s strategy and performance improvement. In doing so, identifying the common challenges faced by organisation in managing projects may assist us to make a better future for this necessary body of knowledge. Based on a vast literature review some of the major challenges for PMs related to areas, but not limited to, of scope management, information technology, team dynamics, customers satisfaction, lean management, communication and quality have been identified. Table 1 listed top 15 challenges that addressed in the extant literature. The parameters of the challenges also identified and summarized in this table. According to our findings and based on the number of repetitions of challenges in the literature and different point of views by researchers, Risk Management, Human Resource Management and Project Definition and Planning are ranked as number one in the list. We have not been able to make a clear differentiation in ordering these three challenges in our ranking system. Accordingly, we decided to consider Risk management, HRM and scope management as the number one challenges for PMs in managing different projects. Based on the analysis of current literature Stakeholders Management and Value Management and Sustainability are also ranked as number 4 challenges for project managers. However, these concepts may show more issues in some industries such the construction industry that is the main focus for sustainable development by different nations. Therefore, managing the stakeholder and addressing their requirements in line with value to the project and sustainability issues are among the key challenges for PMs.

The list of challenges continues till innovation and creativity issues. Project managers working on imaginative or creative projects such as software development are challenged by loosely defined innovative processes. Innovation, therefore, is counted as one of the necessary areas for improvement as the innovation that will result from advancement is unknown until the project commences. Therefore, innovation in agile projects are usually associated with high tech industries such as those in information technology projects.

Table 1.Top 15 Challenges for Project Management in the this century

Rank	Challenges	Parameters	References
1	Risk Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Risk • Legal & Contractual Risk • Political Risk • Operational Risk • Force Majeure • Technological Risk • Construction Risk • Maintenance Risk • Environmental Risk • Organizational Risk • Schedule Risk • Design Risk • Project Sponsor Risk 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Sawhney et al., (2014) Padalkar and Gopinath, (2016) Irimia-Diéguez, Sanchez-Cazorla&Alfalla-Luque, (2014) Kululanga and Kuotcha (2010) Dziadosz et al. (2015)
	Human Resource Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff Recruitment Issues • Teamwork • Virtual teams • Cultural challenges • Labor related challenges • Cross-cultural leadership skills 	Mir and Pinnington (2014), Hwang and Ng (2013), Sawhney et al. (2014), Padalkar and Gopinath (2016), Marrewijk (2010), Aarseth et al. (2014), Ainamo et al. (2010), Tabassi and Bakar (2009), Tabassi et al.



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transformational leadership 	(2012)
	Project Definition and Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Scope Management • Project Location 	Hwang and Ng (2013), Khan et al. (2018)
2	Performance Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relationship between standards and performance • Method to align intangible outcomes • Systematic biases and influence of culture in project outcomes • Project management maturity • Key performance indicators 	Sawhney et al. (2014), Padalkar and Gopinath (2016), Elonen and Artto (2003), Crawford (2005), De Reyck et al. (2005), Nogeste and Walker (2008), Shore (2008) Yazici (2009), Ogunlana (2010), Doloi et al. (2012), Meng (2012)
3	Knowledge Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge competencies in project organizations • Knowledge sharing contexts • Tacit knowledge acquisition and sharing • Integration processes in cross-functional projects • Knowledge creation in interdisciplinary project teams 	Padalkar and Gopinath (2016) Dogbegah et al. (2011)
4	Stakeholders Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal stakeholders • External Stakeholders • Stakeholder Relationship • Trust Building • Knowledge Sharing 	Aarseth et al. (2014) Hwang and Ng (2013) Padalkar and Gopinath (2016)
	Value Management and Sustainability	<p>Environmental sustainability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Energy Efficiency • Water Efficiency • Ecology • Conservation • Material Efficiency • Pollution Control • Land Utilization • Indoor Environmental quality <p>Social sustainability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of Life • Health • Transportable • Accessibility • Aesthetics • Safety • Nuisance to Neighbours <p>Economic sustainability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building Affordability • Expenditure in Renovating and developing • Business enhancement • Legislation Compliance • Profitability • Waste management 	Sawhney et al. (2014) Zhang et al. (2011) Tagaza and Wilson (2004) Williams and Dair (2007)
5	Cost Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost Estimation • Cost Monitoring and Controlling • Value analysis • Life Cycle Cost of Building 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Niazi et al. (2016) Padalkar and Gopinath (2016)
6	Agile Project Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fluctuation of prices of materials • Shortages of materials • Changes in material specification and type • Communicating • changing culture and mind-set • Day-to-day operational problems • Delay in delivery of materials • Equipment availability and failure • Late delivery of equipment • Insufficient number of equipment 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Ameh et al. (2010) Le-Hoai et al. (2008) Moura et al. (2007) Miller (2013) Sawhney et al. (2014) Golini and Kalchschmidt's (2015)



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High cost of machinery • Process not understood • Just-in-time Inventory Associated Risks • Single Source Alliance Associated Risks • Just-in-Time Management General Risks 	
7	Conflict and Dispute Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conflict management strategies • Identifying source of conflict • Conflict and Innovation 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Niazi et al. (2016) Sawhney et al. (2014) Tabassi et al. (2017)
8	Health and safety management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leadership mindset and corporate commitment • Life cycle approach to OH, investment in infrastructure and management systems • Awareness, Education and Training • Regulatory framework and compliance • Use of Technology as a strategic enabler 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Sawhney et al. (2014)
9	Communication Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rapid advancement of information and communication technologies • Virtual communications • Maintaining the authenticity and trustworthiness of electronic records • Violating Confidentiality 	Hwang and Ng (2013) Padalkar and Gopinath (2016)
10	Claim Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inconsistent Service Delivery • Increasing Customer Demand • Supplier Performance 	Dogbegah et al. 2011
11	Information Technology Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BIM Adaptation (construction industry) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Client demand ▪ Lack of BIM skills and expertise in technical and system requirements ▪ Significant adoption cost to implementing BIM ▪ Lack of knowledge about BIM ▪ Lack of BIM guidelines and standards • Primavera as a tools for managing projects not used widely by PMs • Cloud Computing and security issues 	Aarseth et al. (2014) Sawhney et al. (2014) Haron et al., 2017; Chan, 2014; Yang and Chou, 2018
12	Procurement Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract Documents • Sub-contract management • Supplier Preselection System • Supplier Performance Measurement • Negotiation • Contract Dispute and Resolutions 	Padalkar and Gopinath (2016) Johnson an Flynn (2015) Sollish and Semanik (2012)
13	Quality Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan Quality Management • Perform Quality Assurance • Measurement of Metrics • Regulation and traceability 	Sawhney et al. (2014) Padalkar and Gopinath (2016) Suwandej (2016)
14	Ethical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personal Level • Organizational Level • Trade/Professional Level • Societal Level • Global Level 	Dogbegah et al. (2011)
15	Innovation and creativity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Culture of innovation in project • Develop consensus on a set of objectives in a steering group with divergent interests • Hiring and Managing Remote Workers • Industry Changes • Demographic changes • New Knowledge 	Maghsoudi et al. (2015) Muller and Jugdev (2012)

In addition to the above, an emerging tendency in the growth of high performing virtual teams in different industries is a corporately organised team building function. The main object in almost all team base activities is clearly set for



the team to perform in a stress-free atmosphere. Thus, the project manager is acting as a facilitator and needs to understand the cultural variations and possible sensitivities of different individuals to be able to attempt to gain alignment and coordination of the team to perform cohesively on the current and forthcoming projects (Chen 2016). Team members' perception of the way in which their desired goals may be affected by actions of others or the project managers significantly influences both the nature of interactions and the final results of conflict management (Tabassi et al., 2017). Preceding studies also outlined that conflict is more likely to arise and escalate when cultural differences are present among the parties (Fisher et al., 2011). Consequently, one of the main challenges of project managers in international projects is dealing with different cultures that may possibly use different methods in dealing with conflict in the course of managing multi-cultural teams (Tabassi et al., 2017).

4.0 Discussion and Conclusion

Findings of this review paper suggest that project managers are dealing with a number of challenges in daily activities, which is asked them to employ effective supervision criteria to reduce flawed results, rejection, and rework activities. PMs should implement, at the early stage of the management plan, an effective scope management, which can mitigate the risks of work interruptions due to unexpected scope creep. Furthermore, the results show that Human Resource Management is among the key challenges of project managers in the current century. Under this part the PMs are mostly faced with the issues associated with staff recruitment, teamwork management, specifically those under virtual teams, cultural challenges and issues, labour related challenges, and cross-cultural leadership skills.

The findings also recognize the following as the prominent demotivational components affecting the overall performance and efficiency of project managers in many projects: unclear relationship between standards and performance, lack of financial incentive strategies; method to align intangible outcomes, time-consuming decision-making process by clients; remuneration scale; systematic biases and influence of culture in project outcomes, delay in responding to request for information; project management maturity at project and organization level, shortage of skilled labour force; shortage of materials; setting up the key performance indicators for supplier evaluation, clarity and completeness of technical specifications; frequent changing orders during execution; and rework activities.

It is clear that the cultural background of team members, and the environment in which the project teams operate, are two significant aspects in figuring out inspiration drivers for team performance. As a result, it is recommended to look into the prominent culture and values of the individuals, along with the features of the working conditions, prior to apply appropriate motivational theories, or put into action specific management tactics. It may perhaps be put forward the proposition that the outcomes received by preceding studies are limited, or in other words they could be relevant only to that particular cultural features, thereby there is a lack to declare majority of lessons learned from the literature to generality in the international outline.

The other important challenge for organization is becoming an employer of preference. To reach at this point the project managers need to help the organization in creating an environment that employees desire to become a member of the crew and work for the best of the team while they are there and stay long enough to make a difference. Human resource management in dealing with human capitals is therefore a challenge, which is verified by ROI not merely with regards to money, but also in performance, efficiencies and organizational best practices. Without any doubt, technological innovation represents a critical factor in handling HR challenges by facilitating alternatives which will optimize the overall project success. This is evidenced by almost every exceptional organizations that try to find the best approaches to carry out HR-related tasks.

According to the findings of this review, future trends of project management are not very different from the ones they have witnessed in recent years. Complexity is expected to increase, in a global basis. Project teams will be more diverse and virtually-based, so the focus of the project manager will be communication. Clients' participation will



rise and collaboration between stakeholders will start earlier. New tools, technological models and risks will emerge, and new methods, specifically lean and agile, will become implemented more fully.

Project managers in the future are expected to have many different skills. Of prime importance are soft skills, social, communication and leadership skills, broad knowledge about economy, law, industry, tools and methods. Finally, technological skills will be more and more important in successful delivery of projects.

To sum up, the initial literature review across a broad range of journals has been conducted but few articles revealed anything specifically about trends and challenges within projects. A further, more focused literature review was undertaken across the specialist Project Management journals; including but not limited to International Journal of Project Management, Project Management Journal, Journal of Management in Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, International Journal of Managing Projects in Business. This returned 265 articles specifically featuring trends and challenges within projects, however, none explored the experience or perceptions of practitioners within project management, hence, these were of limited use when designing pre-coding structures for analysis. However, based on the vast review of literature project management as a discipline possesses a rich body of literature characterized by early determinism and later expansion to broader contexts aided by paradigmatic, thematic, and methodological diversity. It is noted that several topical themes such as performance, risk, governance & control, project complexity or uncertainty, and organizational factors involve a high degree of interdependence, intractable or unknown variables, and human cognitive factors.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge European Commission for providing the Grant Agreement number 734430, awarded in connection with the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange under Horizon 2020 as financial support to conduct the research.

References

- Aarseth, w., Rolestad, A., & Anderson, B. (2014). Managing organizational challenges in global projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 7, 103-132.
- Ainamo, A., Arto, K., Levitt, R., Orr, R., Scott, W. R., & Tainio, R. (2010). Global projects, strategic perspectives. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 26, 343-351.
- Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., & Ward, S. (2006). Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 687-698.
- Bourne, L., & Walker, D. H. (2008). Project relationship management and the Stakeholder Circle. *International Journal of Managing Projects in*, 1(1), 125-130.
- Bresnen, M., Edelman, L., Newell, S., Scarbrough, H., & Swan, J. (2003). Social practices and the management of knowledge in project environments. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(3), 157-166.
- Crawford, L. (2005). Senior management perceptions of project management competence. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(1), 7-16.
- Dogbeah, R., Owusu-Manu, D., & Omoteso, K. (2011). A principal component analysis of project management competencies for the Ghanaian construction industry. *Australasian Journal of Construction Economics and Building*, 11(1), 26-40.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., Iyer, K. C., & Rentala, S. (2012). Analysing factors affecting delays in Indian construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 479-489.
- Dziodosz, A., Tomczyk, A., & Kapliński, O. (2015). Financial risk estimation in construction contracts. *Procedia Engineering* 122, 120 - 128
- Elonen, S., & Arto, K. A. (2003). Problems in managing internal development projects in multi-project environments. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(6), 395-402.
- Fernie, S., Green, S. D., Weller, S. J., & Newcombe, R. (2003). Knowledge sharing: context, confusion and controversy. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(3), 177-187.
- Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. (2011). *Getting to Yes: Negotiation Agreement without Giving in*. New York: Penguin Group.
- Fong, P. S. (2003). Knowledge creation in multidisciplinary project teams: an empirical study of the processes and their dynamic interrelationships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(7), 479-486.



- Gasik, S. (2011). A model of project knowledge management. *Project Management Journal*, 42(3), 23–44.
- Golini, R., & Kalchschmidt, M. (2015). Managing inventories in global sourcing contexts: A contingency perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 165, 64–78.
- Huang, J. C., & Newell, S. (2003). Knowledge integration processes and dynamics within the context of cross-functional projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(3), 167–176.
- Hwang, B.-G., & Ng, W. J. (2013). Project management knowledge and skills for green construction: Overcoming challenges. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31, 272–284.
- Irimia-Diéguez, I. A., Sanchez-Cazorla, A., & Alfalla-Luque, R. (2014). Risk Management in Megaprojects. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 407 – 416.
- Johnson, P.F., Flynn, A.E.t.e., 2015. Purchasing and supply management, 15th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, New York.
- Kasvi, J. J., Vartiainen, M., & Hailikari, M. (2003). Managing knowledge and knowledge competences in projects and project organisations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(8), 571–582.
- Khan, M. A., Peters, S., Sahinel, D., Pozo-Pardo, F. D., & Dang, X.-T. (2018). Understanding autonomic network management: A look into the past, a solution for the future. *Computer Communications*, 122, 93-117.
- Koskinen, K. U., Pihlanto, P., & Vanharanta, H. (2003). Tacit knowledge acquisition and sharing in a project work context. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(4), 281–290.
- Kululanga, G., & Kuotcha, W. (2010). Measuring project risk management process for construction contractors with statement indicators linked to numerical scores. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 17(4), 336 – 351.
- Lombardi, P. L. (2001). Responsibilities Towards the Coming Generations: Forming a New Creed. *Urban Design Studies*, 7, 89-102.
- Maghsoudi, S., Duffield, C., & Wilson, D. (2015). Innovation Evaluation: Past and Current Models and a Framework for Infrastructure Projects. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 7(4), 281 – 297.
- Marrewijk, A. V. (2010). European developments in business anthropology. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 1(1), 26-44.
- Meng, X. (2012). The effect of relationship management on project performance in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(2), 188–198.
- Muller, R., & Jugdev, K. (2012). Critical success factors in projects Pinto, Slevin, and Prescott – the elucidation of project success. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 5(4), 757-775.
- Niazi, M., S.Mahmood, Alshayeb, M., Qureshi, A., Faisal, K., & N.Cerpa. (2016). Toward successful project management in global software development. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(8), 1553–1567.
- Nogeste, K., & D.H.Walker. (2008). Development of a method to improve the definition and alignment of intangible project outcomes and tangible project outputs. *International Journal of Management project and business*, 1(2), 279–287.
- Odusami, K. T. (2002). Perception of construction professionals concerning important skills of effective project leaders. *Journal of Management in Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 18(2), 61–67.
- Ogunlana, S. O. (2010). Beyond the ‘iron triangle’: stakeholder perception of key performance indicators (KPIs) for large-scale public sector development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(3), 228–236.
- Olander, S., & Landin, A. (2005). Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), 321–328.
- Padalkar, M., & Gopinath, S. (2016). Six decades of project management research: Thematic trends and future opportunities. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34, 1305–1321.
- Pinto, J. K., Slevin, D. P., & English, B. (2009). Trust in projects: An empirical assessment of owner/contractor relationships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(6), 638–648.
- PMI. (2017). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide)* (6th ed.). Pennsylvania, US: Project Management Institute.
- Reyck, B. D., Grushka-Cockayne, Y., Lockett, M., Calderini, S. R., Moura, M., & Sloper, A. (2005). The impact of project portfolio management on information technology projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(7), 524–537.
- Sawhney, A., & Paul, V. K. (2014). Grand challenges for the Indian construction industry. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 4(4), 317-334.
- Shore, B. (2008). Systematic biases and culture in project failures. *Project management journal*, 59(4), 5–16.
- Sollish, F., Semanik, J., 2012. *The Procurement and Supply Manager's Desk Reference*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken.
- Suwandej, N. (2016). Factors Influencing Total Quality Management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 2215-2222.
- Tabassi, A. A., & Bakar, A. H. A. (2009). Training, motivation, and performance: The case of human resource management in construction projects in Mashhad, Iran. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(5), 471-480.
- Tabassi, A. A., Ramli, M., & Bakar, A. H. A. (2012). Effects of training and motivation practices on teamwork improvement



- and task efficiency: The case of construction firms. *International Journal of Project Management* 30(2), 213-224.
- Tabassi, A. A., Ramli, M., Roufehaei, K. M., & Tabasi, A. A. (2014). Team development and performance in construction design teams: an assessment of a hierarchical model with mediating effect of compensation. *Construction Management and Economics*, 32(9), 932-949.
- Tabassi, A. A., Roufehaei, K. M., Bakar, A. H. A., & NorAini, Y. (2017). Linking Team Condition and Team Performance: A Transformational Leadership Approach. *Project Management Journal*, 48(2), 22-38.
- Tagaza, E., & J.L.Wilson. (2004). Green buildings: drivers and barriers e lessons learned from five melbourne developments. Paper presented at the Building Commission by University of Melbourne and Business Outlook and Evaluation.
- Williams, K., & Dair, C. (2007). What is stopping sustainable building in England? Barriers experienced by stakeholders in delivering sustainable developments. *Sustainable Development*, 15(3), 135-147.
- Yazici, H. J. (2009). The role of project management maturity and organizational culture in perceived performance. *Project management journal*, 40(3), 14–33.
- Zhang, X., Shen, L., & Wu, Y. (2011). Green strategy for gaining competitive advantage in housing development: a China study. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 19, 157-167
- Zhou, L., & Lowe, D. J. (2003). *Economic Challenges of Sustainable Construction*. Paper presented at the RICS Foundation Construction and Building Research Conference, University of Wolverhampton.
- Zimmermann, M., Althaus, H. J., & Haas, A. (2005). Benchmarks for sustainable construction A contribution to develop a standard. *Energy and Buildings*, 37, 1147–1157.

