

# LJMU Research Online

Smith, M, McEwan, H, Tod, D and Martindale, A

UK Trainee Sport Psychologists' Perspectives on Developing Professional Judgement and Decision-Making Expertise during Training

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/10254/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Smith, M, McEwan, H, Tod, D and Martindale, A (2019) UK Trainee Sport Psychologists' Perspectives on Developing Professional Judgement and Decision-Making Expertise during Training. Sport Psychologist, 33 (4). pp. 334-343. ISSN 1543-2793

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	UK Trainee Sport Psychologists' Perspectives on Developing Professional Judgement
2	and Decision-Making Expertise during Training.
3	
4	
5	Date of Re-submission: 19th February 2019

# Abstract

2

7	The research team explored UK trainee sport psychologists' perspectives on developing
8	professional judgment and decision-making (PJDM) expertise during their British
9	Psychological Society (BPS) Qualification in Sport and Exercise Psychology (QSEP; Stage
10	2). An assorted analysis approach was adopted to combine an existing longitudinal qualitative
11	data set with the collection and analysis of a new qualitative data set. Participants (female, $n$
12	= 1; and male, $n = 6$ ) were interviewed 4 times over a 3-year training period, at minimum
13	yearly intervals. Interviews were transcribed verbatim, and reflexive thematic analysis
14	applied to transcripts using the theoretical concepts of PJDM. Experience, analytical
15	reasoning, and observation of other practitioners' practice was useful for developing PJDM
16	expertise. PJDM expertise might be optimised through the use of knowledge elicitation
17	principles. For example, supervisors could embed critical cues within the anecdotes they
18	share to expand the experience base that trainees can draw from when making decisions.

19

Keywords: professional training, judgement, decision-making, cognition

# 20 UK Trainee Sport Psychologists' Perspectives on Developing Professional Judgement 21 and Decision-making Skills during Training.

Professional judgement and decision-making (PJDM) is an important skill for applied sport 22 psychology (ASP) practitioners, because decisions made by the practitioner hold an 23 influential role in the selection, design, and implementation of successful interventions 24 (Martindale & Collins, 2005, 2010, 2012). For example, when assessing client needs, early 25 decisions made by a practitioner on the nature of the goal (e.g., working to improve 26 performance or well-being) will influence the type of relationship that a practitioner will elect 27 to establish with a client (Martindale & Collins, 2005; Poczwardowski, Sherman, & Ravizza, 28 2004). Inevitably, these decisions influence how the practitioner applies sport psychology 29 knowledge. 30

31 Although early decisions on the needs of the client are fundamental, there is a requirement within ASP practice for practitioners to continue to be adaptable to the dynamic 32 and ill-structured environments in which they operate. In other words, ASP is largely a series 33 of judgments and decisions (Martindale & Collins, 2012), and practitioners are required to 34 make these judgements and decisions at multiple levels of practice (e.g., programme, 35 36 intervention, and session) by responding to the changing needs of a client throughout the consulting process. For practitioners, professional educators, and supervisors these decision-37 making skills, along with the professional adaptability that is required to make them, should 38 be a clear goal of professional training and development. 39

Previous training and development research in ASP has examined individual trainee
accounts (e.g., McCormick, 2014), supervision (e.g., Andersen, Van Raalte, & Brewer,
1994), reflective practice (e.g., Knowles, Gilbourne, Tomlinson, & Anderson, 2007), and
current learning experiences (e.g., McEwan & Tod, 2015). To understand how trainee sport

44 psychologists (TSPs) develop service-delivery competence (SDC), researchers (e.g., Hutter, Oldenhof-Veldman, Pijpers, & Oudejans, 2016; McEwan & Tod, 2015; Tod, Marchant, & 45 Andersen, 2007) have identified the learning experiences that are useful for elements of 46 47 professional development. For example, peer mentoring has been identified as an alternative form of guidance (e.g., in addition to supervision) whereby feedback could be sought on 48 client cases. Research on TSP professional development continues to be useful for 49 illuminating the helpful practices that TSPs can engage with throughout the professional 50 training period. Nevertheless, to advance on these insights, there is scope to examine how 51 52 these practices may contribute to the cognitive development of TSPs. For example, if peer mentoring offers guidance to a TSP, it may be beneficial for professional educators to 53 understand what role peer guidance might play during the decision-making process (e.g., to 54 55 what extent do TSPs draw on this peer guidance during their own client consultations? Do TSPs understand why it may be appropriate to apply this peer guidance in one client case, 56 and not another? Does peer guidance help TSPs shape their mental representations of ASP 57 58 practice? Or do TSPs rigidly copy and paste this peer guidance into their own repertoire of practice techniques without a critical and nuanced understanding of its application?). 59

60 The term macrocognition has been used when referring to the cognitive functions and processes that characterize how an individual may think in naturalistic settings (e.g., outside a 61 62 laboratory setting, where conditions are dynamic; Crandall, Klein, & Hoffman, 2006). 63 Traditionally, macrocognition research is focused on the study of the cognitive functions and processes that affect individuals who are faced with difficult scenarios, in time-pressured 64 situations (e.g., firefighters or nurses; Klein, Calderwood, & Clinton-Cirocco, 2010; Patterson 65 66 et al., 2016). The delivery of ASP services also has time-critical features. For example, in presenting a PJDM case study of a practitioner working with an elite judo player, Martindale 67 and Collins (2012) demonstrated that although a practitioner will often have time to make 68

5

69 decisions analytically during the program and intervention level of practice (e.g., decisions about the direction of support provided), practitioners will also be required to respond almost 70 immediately to the changing needs of a client, on a session-by-session level. Although it is 71 72 clear the macrocognitive function of decision-making holds a fundamental role in ASP practice, what remains less clear, is how ASP practitioners develop the cognitive skills 73 74 required to make these decisions in ill-structured and time-pressured client situations, along with the contextual sensitivity to recognize how and when to adapt their ASP knowledge 75 during the decision-making process (Crandall et al., 2006). 76

77 To gain understanding of how decision-making expertise is acquired, researchers in other helping profession domains (e.g., nursing) have drawn comparisons between expert and 78 novice practitioners. For example, in a review of the perceptual-cognitive skills required to 79 80 make effective decisions, Klein and Hoffman (1993) noted that experts can perceive things that a novice may fail to identify. In other words, there are many things that an expert can 81 perceive that remain invisible to others (e.g., an expert ASP practitioner may focus on the 82 non-verbal cues of a client by observing how she interacts with others, whereas a novice 83 might find this difficult due to inward attention, or dedication to pre-defined routines). 84 85 Experience allows the expert to perceive when something is missing or when expectations have been violated. This cognitive expertise - whereby an individual can notice cues or 86 87 recognize patterns, and can make perceptual discriminations - is one of the key cognitive elements that distinguishes experts from novices (Patterson et al., 2016). Thus, cognitive 88 skills allow the practitioner to make sense of situations, to plan, to re-plan, and to make rapid 89 decisions in time-pressured situations. 90

Drawing from empirical findings on expert and novice differences in learning
strategies, Phillips, Klein, and Sieck (2004) present six goals that may be helpful for
developing the cognitive skills required for effective decision-making: (a) enhance perceptual

6

94 skills (e.g., be able to detect typicality and variability in client cases), (b) enrich mental models about the domain (e.g., know how their 'tools' work and recognize the limitations of 95 them), (c) construct a large and varied repertoire of patterns (e.g., develop situation 96 97 awareness to recognize relevant cues from clients), (d) provide a larger set of routines (e.g., a range of skills and techniques that can be implemented with clients), (e) provide a larger 98 experience base of instances (e.g., a range of client experiences from which a TSP may draw 99 upon), and (f) encourage an attitude of responsibility for one's own learning (e.g., a desire to 100 engage in professional development opportunities). Phillips et al. (2004) suggest that a 101 102 scenario-based instructional approach that addresses these six goals in training, may be beneficial for facilitating the development of decision-making expertise within a specific 103 domain, such as ASP. For example, the use of case studies, where the practitioner is 104 105 encouraged to review decisions made and draw lessons learned, might be helpful for 106 expanding the vicarious experience base and enriching the mental models from which they may draw. Exploring how ASP practitioners develop the cognitive skills required for 107 effective decision-making may help to advance knowledge on how effectively current 108 practice addresses these six goals. The aim of this study, therefore, was explore how TSPs 109 develop decision-making expertise during their professional training qualification. 110

111

#### Method

#### 112 Research Design

This study used an assorted analysis approach that combined an existing qualitative data set (from previous doctoral studies) alongside the collection and analysis of a new qualitative data set (the first author's for current doctoral study; see Heaton, 2008 for more detail on assorted analysis). The existing dataset used in this study was longitudinal, and involved 3 semi-structured interviews, exploring UK trainee sport psychologists' development during professional training. The aim of the existing dataset was to explore perceived change and

7

development as a result of learning during professional training. Participants engaged in 119 semi-structured interviews to explore broad parameters of their development as TSPs, and 120 discussions around the decision-making process that participants engaged with began to 121 122 emerge. The current study aimed to add a 'new conceptual focus' (Heaton, 2008), by reexamining the existing dataset to distil new reflections on PJDM development. Through 123 informal data sharing, the existing data set described above was made available by the second 124 author and will now be referred to as data set A. For clarity, the steps associated with the 125 assorted analysis approach used in this study are illustrated in Figure 1. 126

# 127 Participants

Following university ethical approval, all 11 UK trainee sport psychologist 128 participants from data set A were contacted in relation to the re-analysis of their interview 129 130 data for the new research objectives. Participants were informed of the purpose, risks and safeguards of this study. Seven (female, n = 1; and male, n = 6) of the 11 participants granted 131 permission for their data to be re-analysed, and agreed to a follow-up interview with the 132 principal author. Four of the 11 participants did not respond. All participants were enrolled on 133 the British Psychological Society Qualification in Sport and Exercise Psychology (QSEP; 134 135 Stage 2) at the time of the collection of data set A, and were either awaiting qualification or were eligible for registration as a Sport and Exercise Psychologist, recognised by the Health 136 and Care Professions Council (HCPC) at the time of the follow-up interview. 137

### 138 **Procedures**

To be grounded in the context of training and development within the domain, the principal author became a member of the national training and development network for sport psychologists. Initially, this provided her with opportunities to listen to the training and development experiences of professional educators, supervisors, qualified practitioners, and

8

trainees. Exposure to this environment provided the building blocks for the principal author to familiarise herself with the vocabulary used by participants. The principal author was able to build relationships with the participants through informal discussions about their training experiences. Building rapport with the participants prior to the follow-up interview helped create researcher-participant familiarity and led to a richer discussion at the time of the follow-up interview.

After the analysis of data set A (described below) and before the follow-up interview, the principal author captured the training journey described in data set A for each participant by providing a written overview of our interpretation of their transcripts. This overview was sent to each participant to facilitate reflexive elaboration (Sparkes & Smith, 2014). Although none of the participants elaborated or added to what they had shared at previous interviews, the overview did create a discussion point for the start of each follow-up conversation.

Interview guide. The follow-up semi-structured interview guide was developed to 155 explore areas of training specific to the development of practitioner PJDM expertise. For 156 example, to understand how participants began to recognise a typical course of action, they 157 were asked to "tell us about a significant experience that influenced a future client session." 158 The interview guide was designed based on the PJDM literature and themes that were 159 developed from data set A. For example, participants from data set A referred to their 160 supervisor on multiple occasions when they discussed how and why they worked with clients 161 in particular ways. To ensure the research team understood the influence of the supervisor on 162 the development of practitioner PJDM expertise, participants were asked to "tell us about the 163 process of supervision during training." To probe further, participants were asked to 164 "describe a typical supervision meeting providing examples." The principal author piloted the 165 interview guide with the second author and a UK TSP. Interviews were conducted at the 166

participants' convenience via Skype, telephone, or face-to-face. The interview guide isavailable from the principal author on request.

## 169 Data Analysis

Following the guidelines offered by Braun, Clarke, and Weate (2016), a reflexive 170 thematic analysis (TA) was performed on data set A and B (generated from the follow-up 171 interview) using a PJDM framework while drawing on the principles of abductive reasoning 172 (e.g., while the principal author initially looked for evidence of development towards the six 173 goals in training, she also made note of anything outside of the PJDM framework). TA 174 allowed the principal author to search for patterns and develop themes which provided 175 congruence with the aim of the study. The PJDM framework was initially designed based on 176 the 6 goals of expertise offered by Phillips et al. (2004) and focused on how participants (1) 177 178 enhanced their perceptual-cognitive skills, (2) enriched their mental models, (3) developed their repertoire of patterns, (4) developed a larger set of routines, (5) enhanced the 179 meaningful experience base available to them, and (6) took responsibility for their own 180 learning. The TA aimed to capture the ways in which participants might work towards 181 achieving these goals in current training practice. For example, in data set A, participants 182 recalled how they had begun to recognise how to help the client. To understand how 183 participants developed perceptual-cognitive skills, the research team searched for training 184 practices and processes that helped TSPs to recognise practice situations as typical and 185 atypical. 186

187 All 28 transcripts (from dataset A & B combined) were transcribed verbatim, and read 188 and re-read while listening to the audio recordings to check for accuracy. During data 189 immersion, on-going discussions with the second author (who completed the semi-structured 190 interviews in data set A) provided an opportunity to recover the contextual features from data

10

191 set A that were not directly available to the principal author. These talks also provided an opportunity to glean insight into the rapport the second author and the participants had 192 created during the collection of data set A (Szabo & Strang, 1997). Understanding the 193 194 relationships between the second author and the participants helped the principal author to build on that rapport by referring to previous examples at each of the follow-up interviews. 195 Initial codes were developed to represent aspects of the data relating to the development of 196 PJDM expertise. For example, whenever a participant referred to 'understanding how a 197 consultation had developed into its current state' the code 'seeing consequences' was 198 199 allocated. A thematic map was created to assign each code to potential themes within the data sets. Themes and sub-themes were defined to capture the essence of what each theme 200 represented in relation to the development of PJDM expertise. For example, the theme 201 202 'learning and integrating new ideas' was defined as how participants developed techniques that could be integrated into their own practice. 203

# 204 Research Credibility

Guided by the work of Sparkes and Smith (2014), several principles were identified to 205 assist research credibility. The research team aimed to: (a) ensure we understood the training 206 207 journey of each participant, (b) demonstrate to each participant that we cared about them, (c) uncover the perspectives we brought to the study. (d) capture participants' perspectives on the 208 development of their PJDM expertise, (e) provide accounts of PJDM training practice that 209 would advance knowledge, and (f) provide information that is useful for practitioners and 210 professional educators. Based on these guiding principles, and from a relativist position (see 211 Smith & McGannon, 2018), rich rigour, credibility, sincerity, resonance, and significant 212 contribution were built into our research steps. To ensure we adhered to these principles we: 213 (a) created a data set that followed participants throughout their training journey; (b) built 214 trust and rapport with each participant; (c) immersed ourselves in the participants' training 215

216	environment; (d) employed principles of triangulation including analyst triangulation,
217	member reflections, and audience review; (e) used critical friends to encourage self-
218	reflexivity; (f) presented and discussed our findings within the field with other TSPs,
219	qualified practitioners, supervisors, and professional educators; and (g) provided implications
220	for TSP learning during training.
221	Analysis and Discussion
222	To illuminate that data analysis and interpretation took place in unison, the analysis
223	and discussion are presented together, and this is congruent with how participants expressed
224	their development towards the six goals proposed by Phillips et al. (2004); e.g., as
225	participants gained experience, aspects from each of these goals developed at once leaving
226	them difficult to separate. As a result of the TA, two themes and five sub-themes were
227	developed and are supported by participant quotations. In presenting this information, we
228	identified how the findings relate to and may extend understanding of how TSPs currently
229	develop decision-making expertise during training.

11

# 230 Theme 1: Creating a Case Library from which to Draw

When participants described the initial development of PJDM skills, it stemmed from 231 232 previous client experience. From each consultation, participants described a desire to understand why the client session had evolved in the way that it had. For example, 233 "it's...good to get an evaluation of the session, so what went well, why did it go well, what 234 was it that I did that made it go so well...things that I need to remember...so you can use it 235 236 again essentially" (TSP1). Participants suggested they wanted to learn from their experience to feed-forward to forthcoming client sessions, and previous experiences soon became the 237 238 initial reference point for future decisions.

12

239 The role of practical experience in the quest for the development of expertise has been well researched over the years (e.g., Bjork, 2009; Davis, 2009; Ericsson, Krampe, & Tesch-240 Römer, 1993) and at times, has been identified as a means to achieving expert performance 241 242 levels. Nevertheless, Klein and Hoffman (1993) and Ericsson et al. (1993) both suggest that direct experience alone, is insufficient. Instead, it is the opportunity to *learn* from experience, 243 along with the degree of engagement with the task at hand, combined with the opportunity to 244 be continually challenged that stimulates growth. This view is supported by TSP8 who 245 described the benefit of reflecting on practice, 246

247 "I tend to go in [to a consultation] and react to situations. You do the
248 reflections...pull apart the sessions...say what worked and didn't work, why did I do
249 that...where did it come from? ... It's the reflection afterwards...when you unpick,
250 that helps you understand."

Participants recognised that examining previous experience provided them with an 251 opportunity to draw upon their experience with clients when deciding on how to move 252 forward with new situations. This finding offers support to the claims by Cropley, Miles, 253 Hanton, and Niven (2007) that reflecting on previous client experience can generate 254 255 knowledge and self-awareness, and facilitated practitioner decision-making on how to improve future behaviour. Similar to the findings of McEwan and Tod (2015), participants in 256 this study reported reflecting with their supervisors, with other practitioners (e.g., other 257 TSPs), and individually (e.g., on their own without others) after client consultations. 258

Learning from experience, such as in the example above, has been increasingly linked to the development of perceptual-cognitive skills in a range of professions including medicine (e.g., Schubert, Denmark, Crandall, Grome, & Pappas, 2013), firefighting (e.g., Klein et al., 2010), and the military (e.g., Ross, Klein, Thunholm, Schmitt, & Baxter, 2004). Being able to

13

263 perceive and recognise what is important to derive from one situation to another has been identified as a significant step in the decision-making process. For example, Klein and 264 Hoffman (1993) suggest that as perceptual-cognitive skills develop, we can expect an 265 266 individual to begin to judge the typicality of a situation (e.g., a practitioner seeing what goals are feasible when deciding on how to proceed with a client), to see distinctions (e.g., a 267 practitioner learning to discriminate between complex client issues), and to see antecedents 268 and consequences (e.g., a practitioner visualising how a client situation has evolved into its 269 current state, and how it may continue to develop). 270

Analytical reasoning. For participants in this study, examining previous experience
was a means to engage in deliberate analytical reasoning, a process whereby practitioners
participate in structured, systematic contemplation of practice features, and how they relate to
judgement of client cases (Patterson et al., 2016). TSP2 exemplified this process,

"I'll have the consultations...and I'll...start to write reflections of ...the 275 situation...what I thought went really well and maybe...action planning for next 276 time...At that point I might keep my reflections pretty brief...and then I'll come back 277 to them... I'll start...an afternoon of research ...to find something out...like... ' how 278 can I add to this' ... it could be an answer that I'm looking for... That then starts a 279 process of me going out there and doing a bit of research, ... and reading some books." 280 281 Until recently, little was understood about the nature of the environment in which an ASP practitioner is required to make decisions. Nevertheless, in establishing theoretical 282 understanding on the development of PJDM expertise within ASP, Martindale and Collins 283 284 (2013) offered new insights on why the development of analytical reasoning may be of benefit to the decision-making process in which an applied practitioner will engage. For 285 example, in the presentation of a PJDM case study of a practitioner working with an elite 286

14

287 judo athlete, Martindale and Collins (2012) highlighted the influence of practitioner PJDM at multiple levels of practice including programme (e.g., anticipating how the theoretical 288 orientation will fit with the overall program of support), intervention (e.g., considering how 289 290 the direction of support will fit the design of the specific intervention) and session level (responding to emerging moment-to-moment issues within a session). The authors 291 emphasized the role of analytical reasoning in both the programme and intervention level of 292 practice, where the practitioner had a considerable amount of time available to engage in the 293 decision-making process. 294

The development of analytical reasoning in the present study was facilitated through 295 the examination of previous experience (See Huntley, Cropley, Gilbourne, Sparkes, & 296 Knowles, 2014 for a review of reflective practice in sport), by consulting contemporary 297 298 literature, or engaging in discussion with peers or supervisors. For example, TSP1 noted the benefit of discussing upcoming client sessions with a professional practice group, "[It's] 299 really useful and that tends to be more for the trainees so 'I've got this [client session] 300 upcoming and what is everyone's ideas and opinions?" Engaging in analytical reasoning 301 during training provided participants with an opportunity to consider different options that 302 303 could be applied to client cases, while evaluating an alternative course of action (from what they had originally planned), individually or with peers and supervisors. Training, as 304 305 illustrated by the examples provided above, where the action is slow and allows participants time to process information, may be of benefit for developing the analytical aspect of 306 reasoning that is necessary in ASP decision-making (Martindale & Collins, 2013). 307

308 Situation awareness. Experience slowly became a catalyst for participants to
309 recognise client situations. For example, TSP7 reflected on how he had developed with more
310 experience,

15

311 "I've probably got a better sense of the decision making actually. I've got a better sense of...if I do action X now, then Y results, and I've got a better sense of well that 312 will also result in Z and I don't want Z, I'd rather have W so what I'll do is this." 313 By drawing on previous client experience, participants could recognise similar 314 situations to help them identify a typical course of action that could be used with a client. 315 According to Klein and Hoffman (1993), developing a sense of typicality, as in the example 316 described above, can help an individual to better identify what information is important to 317 derive from a situation. Participants were essentially *pattern matching* against what they had 318 already seen or done. Being able to see patterns provides an individual with an opportunity to 319 develop situation awareness, helping them to recognise relevant cues within the environment 320 (Klein, 2017). This awareness can help facilitate practitioners in using the macrocognitive 321 322 process of mental simulation that supports the primary functions of decision-making, sensemaking, and problem detection (Crandall et al., 2006). Mental simulation requires the 323 practitioner to enact a series of events, and assess them as they lead to possible outcomes 324 while anticipating difficulties (Klein, Moon, & Hoffman, 2006). For example, the participant 325 quotation above is exemplifying a variation of the recognition-primed decision model, 326 327 presented by Klein (2017). Here, the participant is evaluating option 'X' by imagining how this course of action may play out with the client (e.g., 'Y' and possibly 'Z'). The participant 328 329 anticipates problems with option 'X', and rejects this in favour of option 'W' as the anticipated end result. Being able to mentally simulate a course of action is derived from 330 extensive experience where mental models are formed to develop cognitive frameworks that 331 are *immediately* available to give meaning and structure to familiar situations (Hoffman et al., 332 333 2014).

While mental simulation is commonly associated with making decisions at speed
(Klein & Crandall, 1995), participants in this study continued to develop and engage in this

macrocognitive process in an analytical manner. For example, TSP8 reflected on hisapproach to decision-making,

16

"...I'd...do the reading on it [client situation] and chat to other colleagues and peers 338 that I've got... maybe even look back at other stuff that I've done before and see if 339 there was any similarities or maybe another athlete has shown something similar...-340 So how did that help them? And then decide the best way to move forward with that." 341 Although analytical reasoning may be useful for making judgements and decisions at 342 the programme or intervention stage of practice, it might not fully prepare a practitioner with 343 the skills required to make effective decisions at a session level where the practitioner is 344 expected to respond at speed, intuitively. 345 346 Vicarious experience. Participants also referred to the experiences of other practitioners as a source they could draw upon when making decisions. This experience 347 included reading, observing, and listening to the client experiences of others. For example, 348 when referring to a discussion with another practitioner, TSP7 noted, 349 350 "Now that I reflect on it, a lot of listening to other psychs [psychologists]; it's 351 storytelling, and hearing their stories...Maybe the massively experienced psych of 40 years has...got a lot of stories, cause they've seen a lot of stuff...I think that's very 352 valuable for trainees to hear those stories." 353 When asked what he found useful about this experience, TSP7 continued: 354 I guess a part of it is hearing what the approach was, how did they go about it? ... how 355 did it [the presenting issue] come to be there in the first place? ...but also...what 356 approach did you use? ... What did the client do? How did they respond? And 357 it's...like you get 20 sessions condensed into 2 minutes versus, you had to sit there for 358 6 months...So you...get that compression of knowledge. 359

17

360 The merits of sharing experienced practitioners' accounts of practice with trainee practitioners has been well-documented in other domains such as the military (Klein, Hintze, 361 & Saab, 2013), healthcare (Geis et al., 2018), and firefighting (Hintze, 2008). Often, when the 362 363 shared experience is vivid enough, it can add to the experience base that a practitioner may draw upon when making decisions. For example, using principles of cognitive task analysis 364 (see Crandall et al., 2006 for a review), Hintze (2008) developed scenario-based training to 365 allow novice firefighters to experience the situations through the eyes of expert firefighters. 366 Hintze (2008) found that scenario-based training, where expert feedback was made available, 367 368 was helpful for expanding the experience base of novice firefighters, with improvements in situation awareness and decision-making skills. 369 The sharing of experience was evident throughout participants' training, and was used 370 371 during supervision, peer discussions, and networking events. Although identified as a helpful experience that often stimulated the microcognitive process of storybuilding (Crandall et al., 372 2006), participants noted it could be unhelpful at times too. For example, TSP10 claimed, 373 "He's [his supervisor] brilliant but he'll go off on a tangent. Sometimes the meetings 374 can end up talking about one of his clients. Now he's doing it in a sense that I'll share 375 my experience and how I'm thinking about it, but sometimes...I don't know what the 376 point is here." 377 Participants claimed at times they failed to understand the importance of the 378 experienced being shared, missing the critical cues they might learn from, and subsequently, 379 were confused by the point of the scenario. For example, TSP7 described storybuilding 380

381 during supervision:

382 "The [supervision] sessions were so informal but I guess those stories are...a constant.
383 You're always gonna get stories both ways [from supervisor and trainee] but it's how

18

they tell that story that will often cause a reaction...your tone of voice just changed,and sometimes that can be all you need to see something is important here."

Although confusing, on occasion participants could pinpoint important cues within the scenario by how it was told (e.g., a change in tone). Although this strategy might be helpful for alerting the practitioner to 'something' important, unless the practitioner can understand and make sense of the situation, then the sharing of experience during training may not be sufficient to act as a vicarious experience where the practitioner can use the presenting information in an effective way in future client sessions (Klein, 2017).

# 392 Theme 2: Developing a Repertoire of Techniques

As participants developed mental models of how psychological skills and techniques 393 394 were supposed to work in practice, they began to shape their role as sport psychologists, and practice was often changed to reflect these developments. For example, TSP4 reflected on 395 how he practiced in year 1 of training: "I was so focused on getting through those questions 396 [from a book] that I wasn't listening properly, I was taking them [the client] to 397 places...they...had no interest in going. I was forcing them in directions..." When asked 398 how he practiced now, TSP4 responded: "It's client-centred...I'm quite passionate about 399 getting...to that root cause." Participants agreed that in the early stages of training, they were 400 more likely to apply and stick to a 'recipe-like' approach – lifting guidelines for practice from 401 a book, and applying each step in a structured manner. This finding reflects the work of Tod, 402 Andersen, and Marchant (2009) who found that TSPs adopt an external and rigid orientation 403 within their role during training. This practice approach may be a direct result of the 404 405 professional pressures initially placed upon the trainee practitioner to demonstrate competency in areas outlined by professional bodies, and may help to explain why 406

407 participants were reluctant to deviate from their initial plan and the decisions embedded408 within it, in the early stages of training.

As participants progressed through training, the accumulation of a range of experiences played a role in the development of practice models, and how skills and techniques embedded within practice could be used with clients. Participants frequently noted a change in their understanding of 'how things worked' and began to make changes. For example, TSP5 reflected on how his understanding of listening skills was beginning to change:

415 "I'm thinking...I've got to listen - not just hearing what they're saying but focusing
416 on what they are saying...I've found myself thinking what question am I going to ask
417 now and how does it fit in with my approach...before I've really finished listening to
418 what they are saying."

Participants agreed that their understanding of 'how things worked' developed 419 through learning from their own experience with clients, and by listening to or reading about 420 the experiences of others. For example, TSP5 described the changes he was making to his 421 practice: "I've read a book on counselling skills...learning about active listening, learning 422 about paraphrasing, summarising. But of course it's not just a series of techniques, it's more 423 the attitudes they reflect..." This cognitive representation of 'how things work' is often 424 425 referred to as a mental model - an internal representation of the external world. In cognitive science, these mental representations are a focus of how individuals understand systems 426 (Rouse & Morris, 1986). They allow the decision-maker to describe, explain, and predict the 427 428 purpose, form and function of practice skills and techniques. For example, as a mental model of 'listening to the client' develops, we can expect a practitioner to be able to describe the 429 purpose and form of listening (i.e., why listening skills exist, and what they look like in 430

practice), explain the function and state of listening skills (i.e., how listening to the client
works, and what this will achieve with a client), and predict the state of listening (i.e.,
foreseeing how this may influence the client session). In developing mental models of their
domain, participants began to create a set of skills and techniques they could draw upon when
working with clients.

20

436 Learning and elaborating on new ideas. Being exposed to skills, techniques, and
437 approaches used by other practitioners was identified by participants as an opportunity to
438 borrow and adapt ideas for their own practice. For example, TSP7 noted;

"I steal stuff...I stole one [an ice-breaker] from an Institute psych[ologist] that I use all
the time. It's great but I met up with another trainee...a few months ago, and he said,
'oh I always do this' - ...he was talking about decision-making under pressure, he's
got this little game he plays and I was like oh that's brilliant."

Participants often used skills and techniques that had worked for other practitioners
and adapted them in a similar context within their own practice. This shows similarities to
earlier discussions on situations becoming familiar, in that participants may be developing an
ability to recognise client situations as either typical or atypical, and draw upon the action
they have associated with this situation (Klein, 2017).

Although limited during the training period, formal observation (i.e., one-to-one
organised observation) was a training practice that prompted participants to think about *why*and *how* they could begin to adapt their own practice. For example, when discussing
observation, TSP1 noted,

452 "…one observation that I did of someone delivering a one-to-one…she was very
453 comfortable with silence and didn't…feel the need to jump in…and say something,
454 and…what often happened was she'd long that silence out, and the athlete would break

21

it...cause he just had some time to think. I remember taking that from that session and
thinking...that's something that I need to become more comfortable with - riding out
the silence and giving the athlete time to think... There were loads of strategies,
techniques that you pick up and just seeing it...reinforced that it might be something
that I want to consider in my practice."

Participants believed that formal observation made them think about their own 460 practice, and how they could develop it to become better practitioners. Examining experience 461 post-observation continued to provide participants with an opportunity to challenge their 462 thinking about why things worked for others, before implementing change in their own 463 practice. Modelling of performance, as in the example above, has been increasingly linked to 464 the development of perceptual-cognitive skills when observation acts as a prompt for learning 465 466 to occur (Klein & Hoffman, 1993). Despite what has been learnt from social psychologists (i.e., people learn from watching the behaviour of others), formal observation opportunities 467 within ASP training were limited. 468

Have I become my supervisor? As participants reflected on how they developed
their practice, it became apparent that informal observation had also played a role. For
example, TSP7 noted:

472 "...I think questioning skills - I think that's one thing [from a supervision meeting]
473 I've always picked up informally from [my supervisor], the other thing is...listening
474 skills...I picked up quite a lot of that from him informally...Looking for entry points
475 and listening and reflecting back. These are basic day one skills and just observing
476 how well he does that, you're like 'right ok I've got a long way to go.' "

477 Participants commented that they had integrated various skills and techniques from
478 supervision, and now adopted a similar approach to practice when deciding on how to work
479 with clients.

For example, TSP10 explained, "I feel through reflection that I... just embodied [his 480 supervisor], and his attitudes, and styles and mannerisms, not mannerisms per se but the way 481 he speaks, and his ideas and that makes me cringe." Participants in this study, who all had the 482 same philosophical perspective as their supervisor, agreed that supervisors were helping in a 483 similar way in which we would expect them to work with clients. This finding offers support 484 to the ethical concerns outlined by Castillo (2014) in that models of supervision tend to 485 mirror models of therapy. Castillo outlines various other concerns with the supervisory-486 trainee relationship including transference. Similar to Van Raalte and Andersen (2000), 487 488 Castillo suggests that due to the power and knowledge differential inherent in the supervisory relationship, it is entirely possible that a trainee practitioner may begin to mimic a past 489 significant relationship (e.g., parent or coach). This transference could lead the trainee 490 practitioner to relate to his or her supervisor with the aim of gaining approval or recognition. 491 Findings from this study may offer support to these claims by Castillo as TSP10 492

reflected on why he adopted a similar approach to his supervisor: "So I never felt I had to, it was just...what age was I? 22 - quite impressionable, still am... It's easy then to take on the beliefs of someone, I suppose in a sense you admired because of their different approach." In the early stages of training, TSPs professional identities may reflect those of their supervisors. With critical reflection on their own values and worldviews, TSPs might begin to develop their own approach to practice (Tod, Hutter, & Eubank, 2017).

The idea that supervisors hold a significant role in the development of practicemodels, and subsequently, philosophies of practice, echoes research findings on supervision

23

501 in sport psychology and teacher training (e.g., Van Raalte & Andersen, 2000; Walkington, 2005). For example, in a study where teacher supervisors were encouraged to think about 502 how the values and beliefs of trainee teachers influenced the dynamics of learning to teach, 503 504 Walkington (2005) emphasized the role supervisors hold in the development of a philosophy of practice, and suggested that supervisors must continually encourage trainee practitioners to 505 challenge their experiences and beliefs. Without the opportunity to do this, trainee 506 practitioners will simply maintain the beliefs and behaviours of the supervisor. Findings from 507 this study may offer support to the claims by Walkington. For example, while reflecting on 508 the development of his professional philosophy, TSP10 noted that although he was always 509 encouraged to do his own reading and generate his own ideas for practice, he commented that 510 his supervisor still held an influencing role: 511

So massive influence on it [his philosophy of practice]...when I look back to make it
more specific to my own experience [of training]...I'm like, where am I developing
though? [his supervisor], (1), you're not giving me anything else to read, and (2), I
shouldn't be expecting you to do that anyway because if you do give me anything it's
all influenced by existential approaches. It's almost like, I just want something else.
Something different."

518 TSP10 continued to reflect on his approach to training and practice:

"I don't know if you've came across Brene Brown on Vulnerability? ...I find it a
fascinating area, but for me I'm always looking at it from an existential perspective...
So it's kind of annoying because Brene Brown didn't write it in that context so why
am I then looking at it like that?"

523 These findings support Hutter (2014), who outlined ethical concerns for novice supervisors524 on whether they were examining their own work when monitoring trainee progress. For

24

525 example, Hutter noted that the supervisor will advise and guide TSPs in their work with clients. TSPs then absorb the supervisor's input, and (at least parts of) the input is worked 526 into their own practice. Thus, a case can be made for the influence that supervisors have on 527 528 TSPs in relation to professional behaviour. Although TSPs may find this imitation helpful in the early stages of training where they strive to demonstrate competence, TSPs may fall short 529 when forced to make decisions in atypical client situations. In short, unless TSPs understand 530 the rationale behind their behaviour, ASP as a profession is in danger of producing 531 practitioners who know how to fit the context, but lack the skills and confidence required to 532 533 make effective decisions in new or unfamiliar situations.

534

# **Applied Implications**

The current study has contributed to the literature on sport psychology training by providing empirical data on UK TSPs' perspectives on developing decision-making expertise during training. Findings indicate that opportunities exist to accelerate development of these cognitive skills (e.g., ensuring that TSPs have a critical and nuanced understanding of why skills and techniques were appropriate for application in one client case, and not another).

540 The current findings give rise to several applied implications. First, a recurrent finding in the study was the development of analytical reasoning to inform decision-making. TSPs 541 frequently created practice opportunities that provided time to process information by 542 543 examining previous experience, by consulting contemporary literature, and through discussions with peers and supervisors. For example, TSPs drew upon their previous 544 experience with clients to look for similarities across client cases while searching for 545 546 direction on how to move forward when selecting appropriate interventions for new client situations. TSPs also noted value in discussing client situations with peers where they could 547 collaboratively explore and identify ways to move forward in future client situations. 548

25

549 Although this practice is helpful for making decisions at the programme or intervention level of practice (Martindale & Collins, 2013), it may not fully prepare TSPs to 550 make decisions at a session level where the practitioner must respond to the changing needs 551 552 of a client, at speed. When a practitioner can see consequences at speed, it becomes a source of power (Klein, 2017). In the expertise literature, this source of power is often in the form of 553 a mental simulation, allowing the practitioner to explain cues and information they have 554 received to interpret and diagnose a situation at speed (Klein, 2017; Klein & Hoffman, 1993). 555 Given that ASP practitioners are required to think both analytically and intuitively when 556 557 applying sport psychology knowledge (Martindale & Collins, 2013), and with findings from this study suggesting that TSPs are more attentive to developing analytical reasoning, it may 558 be beneficial for professional educators and supervisors to introduce training practices that 559 560 require the TSP to apply mental simulation (e.g., in role-play) to a client situation where they can respond to the anticipated end states, at speed. 561

Tod et al. (2007) have documented the value in gaining service-delivery experience 562 via role-plays. Role-plays were useful for practicing how to manage specific situations that 563 may arise with clients, while drawing on past experiences, as practitioners performed within a 564 565 replicated client experience. Building on the work of Tod et al. role-play practice that prompts TSPs to use mental simulation (e.g., to enact a series of events) where they pattern 566 567 match from previous experience may be useful for fuelling the development of the intuitive type of thinking required in ASP decision-making. The model of mental simulation proposed 568 by Klein (2017) can guide this practice. Applying mental simulation during role-play would 569 require the TSP to first identify the need (e.g., to explain the past, or project the future of a 570 571 client situation). The TSP would then develop a 6-phase action sequence (e.g., the set of transitions that make up the simulation), before evaluating the sequence for coherence (e.g., 572 does it make sense?), applicability (e.g., will the TSP get what they need?), and completeness 573

26

(e.g., does it include enough detail?). The sequence is then run to form an explanation, model,
or projection. If the TSP experiences difficulties with the internal evaluation, the TSP may reexamine the need, and/or reconstruct the sequence before trying again.

Role-play with mental simulation could also *strengthen* the development of intuition. 577 For example, in clinical and counselling psychology, role-plays were identified as useful for 578 developing service-delivery knowledge through peer, actor, and supervisor feedback 579 (McEwan & Tod, 2015). Although Tod et al. (2007) reported that students felt uncomfortable 580 and fearful of their behaviour being identified as right or wrong during role-play, engaging in 581 critical discussions on decisions made during mental simulation might help to strengthen the 582 experience base that TSPs can draw from in future consultations. Pitt et al. (2015) have also 583 outlined the benefits of immediate, real-time feedback for TSPs who work in consultancy 584 585 teams, including opportunities to draw upon a greater depth of experience when interpreting client situations, and to enhance TSP expertise in consultancy settings. Martindale and 586 Collins (2013) noted that opportunities to engage in pro-longed practice in combination with 587 real-time feedback is considered one of the conditions for the development of skilled intuition 588 in ASP. For example, if a TSP applies sport psychology knowledge that is less helpful for a 589 590 client, and remains unaware of this, it is likely that the TSP will reproduce this behaviour from memory, creating a faulty intuition. The introduction of training practice that also 591 592 allows for real-time feedback, may create an opportunity to refocus aspects of training on why we do the things that we do, creating more meaningful learning experiences for the TSP 593 (Martindale & Collins, 2010). This blended approach may be useful for fuelling development 594 of both the analytical and intuitive thinking that is a requirement of ASP decision-making. 595

Findings also indicated ways in which the experiences of other practitioners (e.g.,
supervisors) could be optimised for use in sport psychology training. TSPs emphasised
drawing upon the experiences of others when deciding how to move forward during

27

599 consultations, and when to develop and refine their practice models. Although the sharing of scenarios from supervisor to trainee has been identified as a means to pattern match from 600 case-to-case in other domains (Patterson et al., 2016), trainees must first understand the 601 602 scenario being staged to use the presenting information in a meaningful way in future client cases (Crandall et al., 2006). For example, TSPs in this study reported missing critical cues 603 they might learn from within a scenario (e.g., supervisor's shared experience), and at times 604 were left confused. Nevertheless, TSPs noted that on occasion, even if they failed to 605 understand, they could still pinpoint important parts of the story by a change in tone. 606 607 Although this shared experience might be helpful in the short term, unless TSPs can make sense of the scenario being presented, and the decision-making process embedded within it 608 (e.g., what information was considered during decision-making?), it is unlikely to be helpful 609 610 in applied situations in the future (Klein, 2017). In other words, it would be naïve to assume that TSPs could borrow and apply the work of other practitioners with the same effect, unless 611 they understand the rationale for the application (i.e., why do they do the things that they do?; 612 Martindale & Collins, 2010). One way that TSPs may gain access to this rationale, is to elicit 613 the decision-making knowledge used by domain experts (e.g., ASP supervisors) via cognitive 614 apprenticeship. 615

Cognitive apprenticeship is a model of training that helps to make thinking visible by 616 617 illuminating the cognitive strategies used to make decisions (Collins, Brown, & Holum, 1991). In contrast to traditional apprenticeship models, where the expert (e.g., the supervisor) 618 shows the trainee how to complete a task, cognitive apprenticeship provides a platform to 619 elicit how the expert thinks, what they are paying attention to, how they structure 620 621 information, and the strategies they are using to make decisions or detect problems (Crandall 622 & Gamblian, 1991). These knowledge elicitation principles have been applied in various domains, and in various forms, to capture and disseminate the tacit knowledge used during 623

624 expert decision-making. For example, Crandall and Gamblian (1991) used the critical decision method (CDM) to capture and communicate the perceptual skills needed by nurses 625 who were new to a neonatal ward. Patterson et al. (2016) also used the CDM to develop 626 627 simulation-based training that would facilitate the acquisition of expertise in the early recognition of sepsis. Using applied cognitive task analysis (ACTA), Martindale, Collins, and 628 Morton (2017) 'made thinking visible' by capturing the decision-making thought processes 629 of expert crime scene examiners, while highlighting the cognitive demands placed upon these 630 practitioners when working in an ill-defined domain. In these studies, the tacit knowledge 631 632 required for expert decision-making was extracted and used to develop training material to bring new practitioners up to speed. In ASP, ACTA could be applied to maximise the use of 633 shared experience between the supervisor and their TSPs. ACTA consists of three interview 634 635 techniques with the expert (e.g., the supervisor) to extract the cognitive demands of the experience they are sharing (Militello & Hutton, 1998). The final step in ACTA is to produce 636 a cognitive demands table to consolidate the data collected during each interview technique. 637 This data could then be used to create training scenarios for TSPs where they can compare 638 their thinking (e.g., cues, projections, and anomalies) in simulated client cases, to that of the 639 expert. 640

Findings from the current study offer support to Martindale and Collins (2010) 641 642 suggestion that there are several benefits to exploring the metacognition behind expert 643 decision-making including uncovering another layer of understanding when disseminating knowledge to the TSP. For example, instead of only explaining what the supervisor did, it 644 may be helpful for the trainee to hear why they recognised cues as relevant to that client 645 646 situation, what they anticipated would happen, or why some goals were more feasible than others (Klein & Hoffman, 1993). Exposure to this type of information during training could 647 encourage a step away from traditional procedural training approaches (e.g., a copy and paste 648

29

approach as discussed earlier in this study) by helping trainees to recognise different options
that may be available to them, and why these options might be applicable in some client
situations and not others (Cruickshank, Martindale, & Collins, 2018). The application of
knowledge elicitation studies in ASP that focus specifically on decision-making have the
potential to produce training material that could fuel development of TSPs' ability to make
effective decisions in a complex and ill-structured domain, such as ASP.

Finally, given that supervisors have been identified to influence how TSPs make 655 decisions, it may be helpful to uncover the supervisors' perspective on the role they play in 656 657 developing TSP decision-making expertise during professional training. For example, TSPs noted they unconsciously picked up skills and techniques that could be used with clients by 658 informally observing supervisors. Subsequently, practice models often mirrored the approach 659 660 adopted by the supervisor. Informal observation, where the TSP remains unchallenged on why supervisors might be practicing in the way that they do, may limit the development of 661 key microcognitive functions and processes such as decision-making, sensemaking, 662 storybuilding, and problem detection. Therefore, it may be beneficial to explore the intentions 663 of training practices offered by supervisors (e.g., observation), during supervision. This line 664 665 of enquiry may help to illuminate new training requirements for both TSPs and supervisors, while providing new training direction for professional training educators (Cruickshank et al., 666 667 2018).

# References

669	Andersen, M. B., Van Raalte, J. L., & Brewer, B. W. (1994). Assessing the skills of sport psychology
670	supervisors. The Sport Psychologist, 8(3), 238-247. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.8.3.238</u>
671	Bjork, R. A. (2009). Structuring the conditions of training to achieve elite performance: Reflections on
672	elite training programs and related themes in Chapters 10–13. In K. A. Ericson (Ed.),
673	Development of professional expertise (pp. 312-332). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University
674	Press.
675	Braun, V., Clarke, V., & Weate, P. (2016). Using thematic analysis in sport and exercise research. In B.
676 677	Smith & A. Sparkes (Eds.), <i>Routledge handbook of qualitative research in sport and exercise</i>
670	(pp. 191-200). London. Routledge.
670	(Eds.) Recoming a sport, eversice, and performance neuchology professional: A global
690	(Eus.), Becoming a sport, exercise, and perjormance psychology projessional. A global
000 601	Colling A Brown J. S. & Holum A (1001) Cognitive appropriate shine Making thinking visible
601	Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Holum, A. (1991). Cognitive apprenticeship. Making thinking visible.
082	American eaucalor, 15(3), 0-11.
083	Crandali, B., & Gambilan, V. (1991). Guide to early sepsis assessment in the NICO. Fairborn, OH. Kielin
004	Associates.
085	tral anglusia Combridge MA: Mit Dress
080	Creater D. Miles A. Henter C. & Niver A. (2007). Intervice the delivery of earlied event
687	Cropiey, B., Wiles, A., Hanton, S., & Niven, A. (2007). Improving the delivery of applied sport
688	psychology support through reflective practice. The Sport Psychologist, 21(4), 475-494.
689	dol: <u>https://dol.org/10.1123/tsp.21.4.475</u>
690	Cruickshank, A., Martindale, A., & Collins, D. (2018). Raising our game: The necessity and progression
691	of expertise-based training in applied sport psychology. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology,
692	1-35. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2018.14924/1</u>
693	Davis, D. A. (2009). How to neip professionals maintain and improve their knowledge and skills:
694	I riangulating best practices in medicine. In K. A. Ericson (Ed.), Development of professional
695	expertise (pp. 180-202). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
696	Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. I., & Tesch-Romer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the
697	acquisition of expert performance. <i>Psychological review, 100</i> (3), 363-406.
698	Geis, G. L., Wheeler, D. S., Bunger, A., Militello, L. G., Taylor, R. G., Bauer, J. P., Patterson, M. D.
699	(2018). A validation argument for a simulation-based training course centered on
700	assessment, recognition, and early management of pediatric sepsis. Simulation in
701	<i>Healthcare, 13</i> (1), 16-26. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000271</u>
702	Heaton, J. (2008). Secondary analysis of qualitative data: An overview. <i>Historical Social Research,</i>
703	<i>33</i> (3), 33-45.
704	Hintze, N. R. (2008). First responder problem solving and decision making in today's asymmetrical
705	environment. (Master's thesis), Defence technical information center database.
706	Hoffman, R. R., Ward, P., Fiore, S. M., Feltovich, P. J., DiBello, L., & Andrews, D. H. (2014).
707	Accelerated expertise: Training for high proficiency in a complex world. New York:
708	Psychology Press.
709	Huntley, E., Cropley, B., Gilbourne, D., Sparkes, A., & Knowles, Z. (2014). Reflecting back and
710	forwards: An evaluation of peer-reviewed reflective practice research in sport. Reflective
711	Practice, 15(6), 863-876. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2014.969695</u>
712	Hutter, R. I. (2014). Sport psychology supervision in the Netherlands: Starting from scratch. In J. G.
713	Cremades & L. S. Tashman (Eds.), Becoming a sport, exercise, and performance psychology
714	professional: A global perspective (pp. 260-267). New York: Psychology Press.
715	Hutter, R. I., Oldenhof-Veldman, T., Pijpers, J. R., & Oudejans, R. R. D. (2016). Professional
716	development in sport psychology: Relating learning experiences to learning outcomes.

717	Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 29(1), 1-16.
718	doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2016.1183152
719	Klein, G. (2017). Sources of power: How people make decisions. Cambridge, MA: MIT press.
720	Klein, G., Calderwood, R., & Clinton-Cirocco, A. (2010). Rapid decision making on the fire ground: The
721	original study plus a postscript. Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making, 4(3),
722	186-209. doi:https://doi.org/10.1518%2F155534310X12844000801203
723	Klein, G., & Crandall, B. W. (1995). The role of mental simulation in problem solving and decision
724	making, In P. A. Hancock, J. M. Flach, J. Caird, & K. J. Vicente (Eds.), Local applications of the
725	ecological approach to human-machine systems (pp. 324-358). New Jersey: Lawrence
726	Erlbaum Associates
727	Klein, G., Hintze, N., & Saab, D. (2013). Thinking inside the box: The ShadowBox method for cognitive
728	skill development. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 11th International Conference
729	on Naturalistic Decision Making (NDM 2013). Marseille, France.
730	Klein, G., & Hoffman, R. (1993). Seeing the invisible: Percentual-cognitive aspects of expertise. In M.
731	Rabinowitz (Ed.) Cognitive science foundations of instruction (pp. 203-226). New Jersey:
732	Lawrence Frihaum Associates
733	Klein G. Moon B. & Hoffman B. B. (2006). Making sense of sensemaking 1. Alternative
734	nerspectives IFFF Intelligent systems 21(4) 70-73
735	doi:http://doi.jeeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/MIS 2006.75
736	Knowles 7 Gilbourne D. Tomlinson V. & Anderson A. G. (2007). Reflections on the application of
737	reflective practice for supervision in applied sport psychology. The Sport Psychologist 21(1)
738	109-122 doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.21.1.109
739	Martindale, A., & Collins, D. (2005). Professional judgment and decision making: The role of intention
740	for impact. The Sport Psychologist, 19(3), 303-317, doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.19.3.303
741	Martindale, A., & Collins, D. (2010). But why does what works work? A response to Fifer. Henschen.
742	Gould, and Ravizza, 2008. The Sport Psychologist, 24(1), 113-116.
743	doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.24.1.113
744	Martindale, A., & Collins, D. (2012). A professional judgment and decision making case study:
745	Reflection-in-action research. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> . 26(4), 500-518.
746	doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.26.4.500
747	Martindale, A., & Collins, D. (2013). The development of professional judgment and decision making
748	expertise in applied sport psychology. The Sport Psychologist. 27(4), 390-398.
749	doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.27.4.390
750	Martindale, A., Collins, D., & Morton, V. (2017, 20/06/17). Cognition at the crime scene: Identifying
751	coanitive demands on professional judgement & decision making expertise of crime scene
752	examiners. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 13th bi-annual International
753	conference on naturalistic decision making. The University of Bath.
754	McCormick, A. (2014). Using solution-focused brief therapy with an amateur football team: A
755	trainee's case study. Sport & Exercise Psychology Review, 10(3), 45-57.
756	McEwan, H. E., & Tod, D. (2015). Learning experiences contributing to service-delivery competence
757	in applied psychologists: Lessons for sport psychologists. <i>Journal of Applied Sport</i>
758	<i>Psychology</i> , 27(1), 79-93, doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2014.952460
759	Militello, L. G., & Hutton, R. J. (1998). Applied cognitive task analysis (ACTA): A practitioner's toolkit
760	for understanding cognitive task demands. <i>Ergonomics</i> . 41(11), 1618-1641.
761	doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/001401398186108
762	Patterson, M. D., Militello, L. G., Bunger, A., Taylor, R. G., Wheeler. D. S., Klein. G., & Geis. G. L.
763	(2016). Leveraging the critical decision method to develop simulation-based training for
764	early recognition of sepsis. Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Makina. 10(1). 36-
765	56. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1555343416629520</u>

- 766 Phillips, J. K., Klein, G., & Sieck, W. R. (2004). Expertise in judgment and decision making: A case for training intuitive decision skills. In D. J. Koehler & N. Harvey (Eds.), Blackwell handbook of 767 768 judgment and decision making (pp. 297 - 315). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Pitt, T., Lindsay, P., Thomas, O., Bawden, M., Goodwill, S., & Hanton, S. (2015). A perspective on 769 770 consultancy teams and technology in applied sport psychology. Psychology of Sport and 771 Exercise, 16, 36-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.07.002
- 772 Poczwardowski, A., Sherman, C. P., & Ravizza, K. (2004). Professional philosophy in the sport 773 psychology service delivery: Building on theory and practice. The Sport Psychologist, 18(4), 774 445-463. doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.18.4.445
- 775 Ross, K. G., Klein, G. A., Thunholm, P., Schmitt, J. F., & Baxter, H. C. (2004). The recognition-primed 776 decision model. Military Review, 6-10.
- 777 Rouse, W. B., & Morris, N. M. (1986). On looking into the black box: Prospects and limits in the 778 search for mental models. Psychological bulletin, 100(3), 349-363. 779 doi:http://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0033-2909.100.3.349
- 780 Schubert, C. C., Denmark, T. K., Crandall, B., Grome, A., & Pappas, J. (2013). Characterizing novice-781 expert differences in macrocognition: an exploratory study of cognitive work in the 782 emergency department. Annals of emergency medicine, 61(1), 96-109. 783 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.08.034
- Smith, B., & McGannon, K. R. (2018). Developing rigor in qualitative research: Problems and 784 785 opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. International review of sport and 786 exercise psychology, 11(1), 101-121. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357
- 787 Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2014). Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and health: From 788 process to product. Oxon: Routledge.
- 789 Szabo, V., & Strang, V. R. (1997). Secondary analysis of qualitative data. Advances in Nursing Science, 790 20(2), 66-74.
- 791 Tod, D., Andersen, M. B., & Marchant, D. B. (2009). A Longitudinal Examination of Neophyte Applied 792 Sport Psychologists' Development. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 21, 1-16.
- 793 Tod, D., Hutter, R. I., & Eubank, M. (2017). Professional development for sport psychology practice. 794 Current Opinion in Psychology, 16, 134-137. 795
  - doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.05.007
- 796 Tod, D., Marchant, D., & Andersen, M. B. (2007). Learning experiences contributing to service-797 delivery competence. The Sport Psychologist, 21(3), 317-334. 798 doi:https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.21.3.317
- 799 Van Raalte, J. L., & Andersen, M. B. (2000). Supervision I: From models to doing. In M. B. Andersen 800 (Ed.), Doing sport psychology (pp. 153-165). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 801 Walkington, J. (2005). Becoming a teacher: encouraging development of teacher identity through 802 reflective practice. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 33(1), 53-64.
- doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866052000341124 803
- 804



Figure 1. Assorted analysis research steps