

Abstract

This PhD research utilises, for the first time, sedimentary evidence for mid to late Quaternary environmental change in the Tequixquiac region of Central-eastern Mexico. This project has logged over 50 stratigraphic sections and geochemically analysed a 55 m lithostratigraphic sequence for multi-proxy palaeoenvironmental information. The main research objective was to develop a spatial and temporal Palaeogeographic and Palaeoenvironmental model for the study area the covered the late Pleistocene to early Holocene. The findings of the study, based on the analysis of sedimentology, micromorphology, stable isotopes $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carbonate}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ as well as ICP-OES sediment and tephra geochemistry, LOI, AMS radiocarbon, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ and Uranium-series dating has allowed a chronologically constrained paleoenvironmental and palaeogeographic reconstruction of the study area. The results of the study suggest that the Tequixquiac Basin has undergone a significant hydrological change from perennial lacustrine to ephemeral fluvial conditions between MIS15 – MIS 1 controlled by a combination of; climatic fluctuations, expressed as depositional cyclicity driven by precessional fluctuations to insolation levels. On shorter time-scales, changes in the mean position of the ITCZ related to SST, latitudinal gradients, atmospheric surface pressure gradients, the extent of Northern Hemisphere land and sea ice cover, and oceanic circulation patterns. Fluctuations in the TOC content of sediments are thought to be related to El Niño-like (dry) and La Niña-like (wet) events. While climate is thought to have been critical to the development the Quaternary localised uplift, deformation and normal faulting have also influenced paleohydrology and water-table elevation during the recorded depositional period (Figs 8.15 d & 8.16 d).

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank NERC for their funding and SUERC, without whom I would not have had the support to conduct the isotope, geochemical and Ar-Ar work. Appreciation and thanks are given to my project supervisors Prof. Silvia Gonzalez, Prof. David Huddart and my unofficial supervisor Prof. Christopher Hunt, whom I am indebted to. Special thanks are given to Dr Gilbert Price for help and assistance with U-Series dating and Prof Darren Mark for support and guidance with Ar-Ar dating; and to Dave Williams and Hazel Clark who have helped me numerous times throughout my studies, providing informal discussions about the best way to adapt methods to suit the sediment type. Also, to Dr Isabel Israde and Dr Gabriela Dominguez from the de la Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas, Mexico for help and support with diatom and pollen analysis, and to Dr Elizabeth Whitfield, who helped me from a distance and pushed me past the finish line. Remembrance and thanks are given to Prof. Alan Turner (1947 – 2012) for his advice, support, belief, wit and endless humour that still motivates and makes me smile today. Acknowledgement and gratitude are heaped onto my family (actual and adopted), partner Anthony and friends Laura and Sarah for their endless support, patience and for keeping me grounded. Lastly, endless thanks are given to my three amazing children Ethan, Callum and Jack who have equally sacrificed to get me to this point.

Statement of originality

All fieldwork, sample collection, laboratory work, data analysis, data interpretation and discussion, tables, images and figures were conducted and/or produced by Emma Toole unless otherwise stated. Below is a statement of co-workers and their contributions towards the research.

Carbonate stable isotope analysis of the first batch of carbonate sediment samples was carried out at SUERC by the author under the guidance and supervision of Dr Alex Brasier. The second batch of carbonate sediment samples was prepared at Liverpool John Moores University and sent to Dr Alex Brasier at SUERC for analysis.

For ICP- OES data analysis one week was spent at SUERC preparing sediment samples for analysis, and analysis methods were set out by Professor Rob Ellam. Preparation of blanks and reference materials along with sample mass spectrometric analysis was carried out by Anne Kelly at SUERC. All data were then forwarded to the author for interpretation.

Five bulk tephra samples were sent to the litho-geochemistry lab, Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada. Package 4B, (Lithium Metaborate /Tetraborate Fusion – ICP/OES) was used to obtain the bulk major oxide content of the samples.

Samples were sent to BETA Analytic, Miami, USA to obtain ^{14}C AMS radiocarbon dates for five bulk organic sediment samples, two gastropods, and two bivalve shells.

The author prepared two tephra samples for Ar/Ar dating that were sent for irradiation and returned to the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC) where all mass spectrometric analysis was carried out by Dr Darren Mark which was then forwarded to the author for interpretation.

The U – series dating three limestone samples were sent to Dr Gilbert Price, University of Queensland, Australia, for U-series dating. No preparation was carried out by the author (see Section 6.6).

Content	Page
Abstract	I
Acknowledgements	II
Statement of originality	III
Content	IV
List of figures	XV
List of tables	XXVi
Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1: Introduction	1
1.2: Aims and objectives	1
1.3: Research justification	3
1.3.1: Quaternary sedimentology	3
1.3.2: Mexican Quaternary Environments.	6
1.4: PhD Thesis structure	7
Chapter Two: Background to the Research	
2.1: Introduction	9
2.1.1: The impact of Quaternary climate records from low latitude regions	9
2.1.2: Quaternary environmental records from Central	9
2.1.3: Forcing mechanisms of depositional change	11
2.2: Physiography of Central Mexico	11
2.3: Quaternary Climate forcing across Mexico	12
2.4: Modern Climate of Central Mexico	17
2.5: Quaternary lacustrine records from the Basin of Mexico	18
2.5.1: Introduction	18
2.5.2: Review of Quaternary records from the Basin of Mexico	18
2.5.2.1: The early-mid Glacial: MIS 5 – MIS 4, 85,000 – 29,000 cal. BP	19

2.5.2.2: The Northern Hemisphere LGM: MIS 2, ca. 29,000 – 19,000 cal. BP	20
2.5.2.3: The Northern Hemisphere Deglaciation ca. 19,000 – 15,000 cal. BP	22
2.5.2.4: Pleistocene – Holocene transition ca. 15,000 – 11,000 cal. BP	22
2.5.2.5: Holocene	25
2.6: A broad overview of Mexico’s Quaternary history	25
2.6.1: Northern Mexico	25
2.6.2: Central Mexico	27
2.6.3: Southern Mexico	32
2.7: Summary	32
2.8: Gaps and Questions about Central Mexican Quaternary Environments	33
2.8.1: Problems	33
2.8.2: Gaps and open questions	34
2.9: Further justification of research aims and objectives	35
2.10: Conclusion	36
Chapter Three: Study area	
3.1: Introduction	37
3.2: Location	37
3.3: The Tequixquiac Basin	37
3.4: Topography	39
3.5: Vegetation	39
3.6: Drainage	39
3.6.1: Barrancas and catchment drainage	40
3.6.2: Natural springs	40
3.6.3: Artificial drainage	41
3.7: Geological Setting	41
3.8: Surface geology in the study area	42

3.8.1: Introduction	42
3.8.2: Cretaceous limestone system	43
3.8.2.1: El Doctor Limestone	43
3.8.2.2: Cuautla Formation	43
3.8.3: Cenozoic volcanic system	43
3.8.3.1: El Morro Formation (fanglomerate)	43
3.8.3.2: The Pachuca Group (Segerstrom, 1963) also known as the El Peñon Formation (Ledesma-Goerrror, 1987)	44
3.8.3.3: San Juan Group	44
3.8.4: Late Pliocene – early Pleistocene system	46
3.8.4.1: The Trango and Atotonilco El Grande Formations	46
3.8.5: The Quaternary system	47
3.8.5.1: Basalt	47
3.8.5.2: Tacubaya Formation	48
3.8.5.3: Older Becerra Formation	48
3.8.5.4: Younger Becerra Formation	48
3.8.5.4: Totolzingo Formation	48
3.9: Central eastern Mexico fault systems	49
3.9.4: Faulting associated with the Basin of Mexico	49
3.9.5: Faulting associated with the Mezquital Valley	49
3.10: Faults influencing the Tequixquiac Basin	50
3.11: Summary and conclusion	50
Chapter four: Methods used	
4.1: Introduction	52
4.2: Fieldwork	52
4.2.1: Mapping	52

4.2.2: Detailed sedimentological investigation	52
4.2.3: Sediment sampling	53
4.3: Petrographic analysis of hand specimens and sediment samples	53
4.4: Framework for the identification of depositional environments	54
4.4.1: Methodology used to describe the sediments	54
4.4.2: Fluvial facies and depositional model	55
4.4.3: Lacustrine carbonate facies and depositional model	56
4.4.4: Palustrine carbonate facies and depositional model	60
4.4.5: Spring carbonate facies and depositional model	60
4.4.6: Pedogenic calcrete	61
4.4.7: Groundwater calcrete formation	62
4.5: Geochemical analysis of sediment samples	64
4.5.1: ICP – OES $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ stable isotope carbonate geochemistry	65
4.5.1.1: Isotope terminology	65
4.5.1.2: Oxygen isotopes in lacustrine and marsh limestone carbonate deposits	66
4.5.1.3: Carbon isotopes in lacustrine and marsh limestone carbonate deposits	71
4.5.1.4: Composition of source waters	72
4.5.1.5: Sample preparation for ICP – OES $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis	73
4.5.1.6: Sample analysis for ICP – OES $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	73
4.5.2: Terrestrial carbonate ICP – OES geochemistry	74
4.5.2.1: Methodology	74
4.5.3: Tephra geochemistry	75
4.5.3.1: Methodology	75
4.5.4: Loss on ignition (LOI)	76
4.5.4.1: Methodology	76
4.6: Dating of the Quaternary sequence	76
4.6.1: AMS radiocarbon dating	76
4.6.1.1: Sample preparation	77

4.6.1.2: Gastropod and bivalve samples	77
4.6.1.3: Bulk organic sediment	78
4.6.1.4: Reporting Radiocarbon results	79
4.6.2: ⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar dating	79
4.6.2.1: Sample preparation and measurement	80
4.6.3: Uranium-series (U - series) dating	82
4.7: Conclusion	83
Chapter 5: Chapter Five: Tequixquiac Facies Descriptions	
5.1: Introduction	84
5.1.1.: Facies analysis	84
5.2: Tequixquiac Basin sediment types and facies	84
5.3: Terrestrial limestone facies	86
5.3.1.: Facies 1, Framestone limestone	86
5.3.1.1: Description	86
5.3.1.2: Defining characteristics	88
5.3.1.3: Tequixquiac Framestone deposits	89
5.3.2: Facies 2 a & b, Stromatolitic limestone	90
5.3.2.1: Description	90
5.3.2.2: Defining characteristics	91
5.3.2.3: Tequixquiac Stromatolitic limestone	91
5.3.3: Facies 3, Calc-mudstone	92
5.3.3.1: Description	92
5.3.3.2: Defining characteristic	93
5.3.3.3: Tequixquiac calc-mudstone deposits	93
5.3.4: Facies 4, Peloidal limestones	94
5.3.4.1: Description	94
5.3.4.2: Defining characteristics	97

5.3.4.3: Tequixquiac peloidal limestone	97
5.3.5: Facies 5, Pisolitic limestone	99
5.3.5.1: Description	99
5.3.5.2: Defining characteristics	99
5.3.5.3: Tequixquiac pisolitic limestone	99
5.3.6: Facies 6, Intraclastic limestone	99
5.3.6.1: Description	99
5.3.6.2: Defining characteristics	101
5.3.6.3: Tequixquiac intraclastic limestone	102
5.3.7: Facies 7, Laminated and bedded limestone deposits	102
5.3.7.1: Description	102
5.3.7.2: Defining characteristics	102
5.3.7.3: Tequixquiac laminated limestone	102
5.4: Mixed clastic and carbonate deposits	107
5.4.1: Tequixquiac mixed clastic and carbonate deposits	107
5.5: Clastic sedimentary facies	110
5.5.1: Facies 11(a-c), Clay	111
5.5.1.1: Description	111
5.5.1.2: Defining characteristic	112
5.5.1.3: Tequixquiac clay deposits	112
5.5.2: Facies 12 (a-c), Mud	113
5.5.2.1: Description	113
5.5.2.2: Defining characteristic	113
5.5.2.3: Tequixquiac mud deposits	113
5.5.3: Facies 13 (a & b), Silt	113
5.5.3.1: Description	113
5.5.3.2: Defining characteristics	113
5.5.3.3: Tequixquiac silt deposits	115

5.5.4: Facies 14(a-d), Sand	115
5.5.4.1: Description	115
5.5.4.2: Defining characteristics	116
5.5.4.3: Tequixquiac sand deposits	117
5.5.5: Gravels Facies 15 – Facies 17	119
5.5.5.1: Description	120
5.5.5.2: Tequixquiac gravel deposits	120
5.6: Facies 18 a - d, Volcanic tephra deposits	121
5.6.1 Tequixquiac volcanic tephra deposits	121
5.7: Facies 19, Calcrete	121
5.7.1: Pedogenic calcrete facies found in the Tequixquiac Basin	121
5.7.2: Groundwater calcrete facies found in the Tequixquiac Basin	127
5.7.3: Calcite cements	127
5.7.3.1: Sparry calcite cements	132
5.7.3.2: Drusy calcite cement	132
5.7.4: Non-carbonate evaporitic deposits	132
5.8: Conclusion	132
Chapter 6: Tequixquiac Basin Stratigraphy	
6.1: Introduction.	133
6.2: Barranca el Pocito, Site TB14	133
6.2.1: Geomorphology, Site TB14	133
6.2.2: Sedimentological description, Log 1, Site TB14	133
6.2.3: Interpretation, Log 1, Site TB14	137
6.3: San Mateo Hill, Site TB17	137
6.3.1: Geomorphology, Site TB17	137
6.3.2: Sedimentological description, Site TB17	142
6.3.2.1: Logs 1 and 2, Site TB17	142

6.3.2.2: Log 3, Site TB17	142
6.3.3: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age, tephra Facies 18d, Site TB17	143
6.3.4: Interpretation, Logs 1 - 2, Site TB17	144
6.3.4.1: Logs 1 & 2, Site TB17	144
6.3.4.2: Interpretation, Log 3, Site TB17	147
6.4: San Jose Hill	148
6.5: Barranca del Muerto, Site TB10	148
6.5.1: Geomorphology, Site TB10	150
6.5.2: Sedimentological description, Site TB10	150
6.5.2.1: Log 1, Site TB10	150
6.5.2.2: Log 2, Site TB10	156
6.5.2.3: Logs 3 and 4, Site TB10	157
6.5.4: Radiocarbon dating, Site TB10	157
6.5.5: Interpretation, Site TB10	158
6.5.5.1: Log 1, Site TB10	158
6.5.5.2: Log 2, Site TB10	160
6.5.5.3: Logs 3 and 4, Site TB10	162
6.6: Barranca de Colores, Site TB9	163
6.6.1: Geomorphology, Site TB9	165
6.6.2: Sedimentological descriptions, Site TB9	166
6.6.2.1: Log 1, Site TB9	166
6.6.2.2: Log 2, Site TB9	166
6.6.2.3: Log 3, Site TB9	177
6.6.2.4: Log 4, Site TB9	179
6.6.2.5: Log 5, Site TB9	179
6.6.3: Soft-sediment deformation features, Site TB9	179
6.6.4: Sediment geochemistry, Logs 1 & 2, Site TB9	180
6.6.4.1: Log 1, Combined geochemical results, Site TB9	180

6.6.4.2: Log 2, Combined geochemical results, Site TB9	180
6.6.5: Dating of the logged sections, Site TB9	183
6.6.5.1: Radiocarbon ages	183
6.6.5.2: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages	183
6.6.5.3: U -Series ages	185
6.6.6: Combined seismological and geochemical Interpretation, Log 1, Site TB9	186
6.6.6.1: Green mud and mixed carbonate-clastic beds Zones 1 – 5	186
6.6.6.2: Red mud, silt, sand and mixed carbonate-clastic Zones 1 – 5	187
6.6.6.3: Calc-mudstone and muddy micrite beds: Zones 1 – 6	187
6.6.8.4: Mud, silt, sand and carbonate and mixed carbonate-clastic: Zones 7 – 10	188
6.6.7: Combined sedimentological and geochemical Interpretation, Log 2, Site TB9	189
6.6.7.1: Massive to faintly laminated calc-mudstone sediments (Facies 3a & 3b)	190
6.6.7.2: Pisolitic limestones (Facies 5)	190
6.6.7.3: Peloidal limestones (Facies 4)	191
6.6.7.4: Framestone deposits (Facies 1)	191
6.6.7.5: Stromatolitic deposits (Facies 2)	191
6.6.7.6: Mixed carbonate-clastic (Facies 8 – 10)	192
6.6.7.7: Intraclastic beds (Facies 6 - 15)	192
6.6.7.8: Paleosol deposits	193
6.6.7.9: Tephra deposits	193
6.6.8: Equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ carbonate precipitation, Logs 1 & 2, Site TB9	193
6.6.9: Interpretation, Logs 4 and 5, Site TB9	194
6.6.9.1: Log 4, Site TB9	194
6.6.9.2: Log 5, Site TB9	194
6.6.10; Summary and conclusion	194

Chapter Seven: Tephra geochemistry

7.1: Introduction	195
--------------------------	------------

7.2: Regional Volcanic setting	195
7.3: Previous work on tephra deposits associated with north-eastern Central Mexico	197
7.3.1: Mafic tephtras	197
7.3.2: Felsic tephtras	197
7.4: Results and interpretation	198
7.4.1: Basaltic-andesite (TEQ 4)	198
7.4.2: Andesitic tephra layer (TEQ1)	203
7.4.3: Dacitic tephra Layers	204
7.5: Summary	206
Chapter Eight: Discussion	
7.4: Introduction	207
8.2: Tequixquiac Basin Quaternary stratigraphy	207
8.2.1: The Lower Colores Formation, > MIS 12c, Interval 1, Facies group 1	207
8.2.1.1: Lacustrine to wetland environments, Facies Group 1:1	207
8.2.1.2: Lacustrine to playa environments, Facies Groups 1:2	208
8.2.1.3: Lacustrine limestone interbeds, Facies Group 1:3	208
8.2.1.4: Geographical distribution, Facies Group 1	209
8.2.1.5: Age, Facies Group 1	209
8.2.2: Mid Colores Formation, > MIS 12c, Interval 2, Facies group 2	209
8.2.2.1: Shallow lacustrine marsh, mud-flat and playa environments, Facies Group 2:1	210
8.2.2.2: Tephra, Facies Group 2:2	210
8.2.2.3: Geographical distribution, Facies Group 2	211
8.2.2.4: Age, Facies Group 2	211
8.2.3 San Mateo Formation, MIS 14d, Interval 3, Facies Group 3	211
8.2.3.1: Fluvial and alluvial environments, Facies Group 3:1	211
8.2.3.2: Tephra, Facies Group 3:2	212

8.2.3.3: Geographical distribution, Facies Group 3	212
8.2.3.4: Age, Facies Group 3	213
8.2.4: Upper Colores Formation, MIS 12c – MIS 11, Interval 4, Facies Group 4	213
8.2.4.1: Fluvial-lacustrine to marsh environments, Facies Group 4:1	213
8.2.4.2: Tephra layers, Facies Group 4:2 and 4:3	214
8.2.4.3: Geographic distribution, Facies Group 4	214
8.2.4.4: Age, Facies Group 4	215
8.2.5: Quaternary fluvial and alluvial deposits, <MIS 3, Interval 5, Facies Group 5	215
8.2.5.1: Fluvial and alluvial depositional environments, Facies Group 5	215
8.2.5.2: Geographic distribution, Facies Group 5	216
8.2.5.3: Fossils, Facies Group 5	216
8.2.5.4: Age, Facies Group 5	216
8.3: Paleogeographic and palaeoenvironmental evolution of the study area	231
8.3.1: Basin formation and subsidence	231
8.3.2: Interval 1, > MIS 12c, Perennial lacustrine environments, Facies Group 1	235
8.3.3: Interval 2, > MIS 12c, Playa to mud-flat/marsh environments, Facies Group 2	237
8.3.4: Interval 3, MIS 14 d, Alluvial - fluvial environments, Facies Group 3	238
8.3.5: Interval 4, < MIS 12c, Fluvio-lacustrine environments, Facies Group 4	238
8.3.6: Interval 5, < MIS 3 Alluvial – fluvial environments, Facies Group 5	239
8.6: Conclusion	240
Chapter Nine: Conclusions	
9.1: Original thesis aims and outcomes of research	241
9.2: Limitations	243
9.3: Future work	243
9.4: Conclusions	244
References	245

Appendix 1: Calibrated chronology for Mexico

Appendix 2: Mansell Colour chart

Appendix 3: San Mateo Hill (Site TB17) logs

Appendix 4: Barranca de Colores (Site TB9), Arroyo Salado de Hueyoptla (Site TB12), Tlapanaloya (Site TB13), Barranca la Gloria (Site TB7)

Appendix 5: Cattle Shed (Site TB15)

Appendix 6: The mound and Presa Xocoyotl (Sites TB6 & TB3)

Appendix 7: Loma Bonita (Site TB11), Apaxco Football field (Site TB8) and Apaxco Quarry (Site TB18)

Appendix 8: Barranca Acatlan (Sites TB1), Tajo de Tequixquiac (Site TB2), Barranca El Salto (TB4), Barranca la Macura (Site TB5), Barranca la Botica (Site TB6)

Appendix 9: Chronology for the study area

Appendix 10: Modern ground and surface water geochemistry

Appendix 11: Sediment geochemistry (Sites TB9 & TB12)

List figures

Page

- | | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.1 | A: Central eastern Mexico (Ypsilon, 2015). B: The location of the studied area (red box) in Central-eastern Mexico (blue box) and the TMVB (Volcanic Belt) (after Gómez-Tuena et al. 2007). C: Detail of the Basin of Mexico (after Cross et al. 2012). Study area, Tequixuac Basin, within the red square. | 2 |
| 1.2 | The Basin of Mexico and Tequixquiac Basin hydrology and drainage (modified after Fox, 1965). For the location of Figure 1.2 within Central Mexico, see Figure 1.1. Also see Fig 1.4a, c & d for Gran Canal Desagüe Figure 1.4b. | 3 |
| 1.3 | Average annual rainfall values (mm) from Tequixquiac in the North to Mexico City in the Southern Basin of Mexico (rainfall data are taken from Lamb et al. 2006; Parsons et al., 2008). For the location, see Figure 1.1. | 6 |
| 1.4 | Gran del Canal Desagüe Tunnel exit (Tajo de Tequixquiac) in Barranca Acatlan (www.es.wikipedia.org/tequixquiac). B: El Sacro on display at the: National Museum of Anthropology, Mexico City, 2012. C: Megafaunal bones in the Barrera family museum at San Jose, Tequixquiac D: The second published illustration of El Sacro published (Bárcena, 1882). | 8 |
| 2.1 | Climatology of the North American tropics and sub-tropics regions located within the North American Monsoon region today a) summer b) winter. (from Metcalfe et al. 2015). | 10 |

- 2.2 **Figure 2.2: a:** Simplified map of the fault-controlled sedimentary basins, lake systems, neotectonic fault systems and active (in some cases inactive) volcanoes that transect E-W and NNW-SSE across Central Mexico (from: Johnson & Harrison, 1990; Ferrari et al, 2000; Alaniz & Nieto, 2007; Ferrari et al, 2012). TLPFS – Tenango – La Pera fault system; TCFS – Tepic Chapala fault system; COFS – Chapala Oaxaca fault system; CTFS – Chapala Tula fault system; TQFS – Taxco Queretaro fault system; MAFS – Morelia Acambay fault system; MAFS – Morelia Acambay fault system; C1 - Donguinyó-Huichapan; C2 - Aocolulco caldera. TEQ – Tequixquiac. **b:** Basin of Mexico, Including the pre-1700's lake shoreline and the positioning and extent of the lakes, (Chalco, Xochimilco, Texcoco, Xaltocan and Zumpango), before the major artificial drainage began. ✱ - Study area. 13
- 2.3 Simplified expression of the present volcanic arch, the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB) and Sierra Madre Occidental (SMO) which was the Mexican volcanic arch before the transition during the mid-Miocene. The TMVB represents the most recent episode of magmatic activity that has occurred in stages since the Jurassic Period (Ferrari et al. 2012). Also, see Figure 2.4. 14
- 2.4 Contemporary climatology of Mexico (NAM region) (from Metcalfe et al. 2015). The movement of low-level moisture flows (LLF) moving north from the Gulf of Mexico and the Gulf of California which is blocked to the west and east by the Sierra Madre Occidental (see Fig 2.4) and Sierra Madre Oriental mountain ranges respectively. The movements of the mid-latitude Flow or mid-latitude Westerly depression (MLF). The northward movement of the ITCZ (NH Summer) drives tropical moisture. Tropical moisture is limited by the North Pacific (NP) and the Bermuda High (BH) high-pressure systems. Mid-level moisture from the Gulf of Mexico supplies much of the summer precipitation in Mexico and across the NAM region. The loop current is the primary surface-ocean current in the Gulf of Mexico that transports warm waters from the Caribbean Sea through the Yucatan Strait into the Gulf of Mexico (From Metcalfe et al. 2015). 15
- 2.5 Sedimentary basins and topographic change east-west along the TMVB. Approximate altitude to illustrate change. 17
- 2.6 Synthesis of Quaternary records from the Basin of Mexico. (Bradbury, 1971; Gonzalez & Fuenteo, 1980; Heine, 1984; Bradbury, 1989; White, 1986; Lozano 1986; Lozano et al. 1993; Lozano & Ortega, 1994; Lozano, 1996; Bradbury, 1997, 1998; Caballero, 1997; Caballero & Ortega, 1998; Ortega & Newton, 1998; Lozano & Ortega, 1998; Caballero et al. 1999; Ortega, 2000; Gonzalez et al. 2001; Sedov et al. 2001; Nordt et al. 2002; Vázquez & Heine, 2004; Solleiro et al. 2006; Marcías, 2007; Lamb et al. 2009; Roy et al. 2009; Caballero et al. 2010; Sedov et al. 2010; Vázquez & Heine, 2011; In Ehlers et al. 2011; Roy et al. 2012; Cantarero, 2013; Lozano et al. 2015; Torres-Rodríguez et al. 2015; Siebe et al. 2017. * H: Hueyatlaco glaciation. M: Milpulco glaciation. Number s 1 – 9 correspond to the figure key above. * The numbers at the top and bottom of the columns correspond to the number in the key below. Roman numerals indicate interval numbers related to each lake system. 23
- 2.7 Mexican Quaternary environmental records from the NAM region (from Metcalfe et al. 2015). The figure highlights the uneven distribution of terrestrial records that predominantly come from the central and northern regions. Site 50: Babicora as referenced to in the text. For site names and references, see Metcalfe et al. (2015) and Appendix 1, Table 6. The red cross indicates the approximate location of the studies area. 26

2.8	2.8 Summary of Mexico's recorded Quaternary Environmental History. Northern Mexico (Metcalfe et al, 2002; Metcalfe et al. 2000, 2015; Metcalfe, 2006; Felstead, 2012): Western Central Mexico , predominantly Lakes Cuitzeo (Israde et al. 2002) and Pátzcuaro (Metcalfe et al. 2002, 2015; Metcalfe, 2006): Eastern Central Mexico records mainly from the south and central Basin of Mexico (Bradbury, 1971; Gonzalez & Fuenteo, 1980; Heine, 1984; Bradbury, 1989; White, 1986; Lozano 1986; Lozano et al. 1993; Lozano & Ortega, 1994; Lozano, 1996; Bradbury, 1997, 1998; Caballero, 1997; Caballero & Ortega, 1998; Ortega & Newton, 1998; Lozano & Ortega, 1998; Caballero et al. 1999; Ortega, 2000; Gonzalez et al. 2001; Sedov et al. 2001; Nordt et al. 2002; Vázquez & Heine, 2004; Solleríro et al. 2006; Marcías, 2007; Lamb et al. 2009; Roy et al. 2009; Caballero et al. 2010; Sedov et al. 2010; Vázquez & Heine, 2011; In Ehlers et al. 2011; Roy et al. 2012; Cantarero, 2013; Lozano et al. 2015; Torres-Rodríguez et al. 2015; Siebe et al. 2017). General trends across Central Mexico (Metcalfe et al. 2002, 2015; Metcalfe, 2006). Pre-57,000 ca. BP Lake Chalco (Lozano et al. 2015; Torres-Rodríguez et al. 2015); Southern Mexico, Yucatán (Metcalfe et al. 2002, 2015; Metcalfe, 2006). Numbers at the top of columns correspond to the key numbers below. SL: sea level, H: Hueyatenco glaciation. M: Milpulco glaciation, N1: Nexcoalango glaciation.	28
3.1	Google image of the study area, The Tequixquiac Basin, the dashed blue line indicates the limits of the basin	38
3.2	Simplified expression of the key crustal blocks and terranes that make-up Mexico (modified from Dickinson & Lawton, 2009). The Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt represents the most recent episode of long-running and continual magmatic activity occurring in stages since the Jurassic Period (Ferrari et al. 2012). SMO: Sierra Madre Occidental. SMOR: Sierra Madre Oriental.	42
3.3	Geological map of the study area (Sub-section of the geological map taken from Segerstrom, 1952, 1956, 1962)	45
3.4	The Tarango Formation, over 150 m of exposed lacustrine muds, tephra and limestones that were exposed when the drainage channel was cut through San Mateo Hill (Site TB17) for the Nochistongo in the southwestern study area (see Chapter 6) (image is taken from Segerstrom, 1962).	46
4.1	Conceptual model of the degree of a continuum between different terrestrial carbonate depositional environments (modified after Brasier, 2011). See section 4.4.8	58
4.2	Bench and ramp lake margin facies models for partially and dominantly carbonate lakes that are surface fed (from Platt & Wright, 1992. In: Gierlowski-Kordesch, 2010). See Table 4.11 for full facies description.	63
4.3	Transitional pedogenic – palustrine – lacustrine facies associations. Calcrete (1 – 3); palustrine carbonates (4 – 8), from the less developed (4) to more mature deposits (8); and none pedogenically altered lacustrine carbonates (9) (from Alonzo-Zarza, 2003; Alonzo-Zarza & Wright, 2010b). Facies in 1 – 3 are described in Figure 4.4 and Table 4.16. 5 – 8 facies codes are defined in Tables 4.12 and 4.13. Facies 9 is described in Table 4.10.	66
4.4	Idealised pedogenic calcrete profile development based on Manchette, (1985) after Esteban & Klappa, (1983) and Alonso-Zarza & Wright, (2010b).	67
4.5	The hydrological cycle, including fluxes for meteoric water between the oceans, atmosphere, terrestrial land surface and the ice caps expressed in m ³ /yr (modified after Sharp, 2007). The behaviour of the stable isotopes of deuterium and oxygen within the water cycle, during the	69

flux of meteoric waters between phase changes and different water stores and, the effects of temperature, altitude and continentality are also shown (adapted after, Brasier, pers comm, 2011).

4.6	Main regional-scale environmental factors which affect the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of microbial carbonates (from Andrews et al. 1997).	70
4.7	Carbon isotope values of carbon sources into lakes resulting in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ TDIC (Total dissolved inorganic carbon) from Leng and Marsahll (2004).	70
4.8	AMS Radiocarbon dated sample images, the image number, is related to sample numbers see Appendix 9, Table 2 and Chapters 6 & 8. Samples 6 (Site TB1) and 9 (Site TB3) are aquatic species, and samples 7 (Site TB10, Log 4) and 8 (Site TB9, Log 5) are land species.	78
4.9	Modern analogue conditions for fluvial and marsh environments and organic carbon sources in clastic sediments. Barranca La Macura (Site TB5).	79
4.10	A: Facies 18d (Table 5.23) medium light grey coarse ash to lapilli from San Mateo Hill (SMH, Site TB17). B: Facies 18c (Table 5.23) white fine dacitic sandy ash, from Barranca de Colores (BC, Site TB9).	80
5.1	Grain size classification used by this research for carbonate and clastic rocks (from Miall, 2016).	85
5.2	Example of framestone facies form Site TB9 a) Bed 19, Barranca de Colores (BDC) sample BDC T2 (see Chapter 6, Fig 6.33, and Appendix 4, Table). See Table 5.6 for bed descriptions.	88
5.3	Examples of a) Microdetrital limestone (Bed 2), Framestone limestone (bed 1) (Bed 5, sample ASH T7) b) Framestone limestone (sample ASH T7). c) The upper surface of sample ASH T7 (bed 2 a & b) in-situ before collection (see Table 5.7 for bed descriptions). d) section above sample ASH T7, Log 2 (samples ASH T8 to T10). Sample and images are from site TB12, Log 2 (Appendix 4, Table 6).	90
5.4	Example of stromatolitic limestone from the study area. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 5, corresponding to sample ASH T11 (Appendix 4, Table 6). See Table 5.8 for bed descriptions.	92
5.5	Field examples of stromatolitic limestone from the study area a: Facies 2a, Greyish pink (5R 8/2) stromatolitic limestone with peloidal beds Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 5 (see Appendix A4, Table 6). Hand sample collected from the bed is shown in Figure 5.4 and bed descriptions are given in Table 5.8. b: Facies 2b, Greyish yellow green (5GY 7/2) agglutinated (?) stromatolitic limestone. Site TB9, Log 2, Bed 1, BDC T32 (see Appendix 4, Table 2 and Chapter 6, Fig 6.33). c & d: Barranca la Botica (Figs 8.1 & 8.9) fluvial tufa? (see Table 4.8) lining the bottom of the barranca (Site TB6).	94
5.6	Example of calc-mudstone from the study area, site TB9, Log 2, Bed 16, BDC T5 (see Appendix 4, Table 2, and Chapter 6). For bed descriptions, see Table 5.10.	96
5.7	Example of calc-mudstone from the study area, sparse biogenic calc-mudstone, with shell (enlarged). Sample from site TB12, Log 2, Bed 4, sample ASH T7 (Appendix 4, Table 6). See Figure 5.3 also which shows the other half of this cut hand sample and Table 5.7 for bed descriptions.	96

5.8	Example of calc-mudstone from the study area. White (N9) to very light grey (N8) biogenic calc-mudstone. Calc-mudstone with scattered bioturbation burrows (<1 mm in diameter), and organic detritus that are represented as void spaces with sparry calcite fill. The upper bed (5 mm) is medium grey (N5) and has desiccation features and manganese clusters. The upper right-hand side of the sample has a large (6 mm in diameter) root trace filled with drusy cement. Sample from Site TB13, Log 1, Bed 8 TLAP (Tlapanaloya) T31 (see Appendix 4, Table 7).	97
5.9	Example of pisolitic (brecciated) limestone from the study area. Sample from site TB9, Log 2, Bed 19, BDC T3 (Appendix 4, Table 2 and Fig 6.33). See Table 5.12 for bed descriptions.	98
5.10	Example of pisolitic (brecciated) limestone from the study area. Sample from site TB9, Log 2, Bed 19, BDC T3 (Appendix 4, Table 2 and Fig 6.33). See Table 5.12 for bed descriptions.	100
5.11	Example of intraclastic limestone from the study area. Sample is from site TB13 Log 2, Bed 15, TLAP T16 (Appendix 4, Table 7). See Table 5.13 for bed descriptions.	101
5.12	Example of laminated limestone despoths and associated facies from the study area. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 5, ASH T8 (see Appendix 4, Table 6). See Table 5.14 for bed descriptions.	103
5.13	Barranca de Colores site showing a field example of interbedded and laminated (1) Greyish yellow green (5GY 7/2) and moderate pink (5R 7/4) mud; Pale, greenish yellow (10Y 8/2) fine sand; Yellowish, grey (5Y 8/1) and white (N9) calc-mud; (2) Greyish yellow green (5GY 7/2) and moderate pink (5R 7/4) micritic mud; White (N9), yellowish grey (5Y 8/1) and moderate pink (5R 7/4) muddy micrite. All the above can be poorly lithified, massive or vertically jointed. Site TB9, Logs 1 and 2 (see Appendix 4, Tables 1 & 2 and Chapter 6 Figs 6.26 – 6.34).	104
5.14	Example of laminated limestone deposits from the study area. Greyish pink (5R 8/2), laminated muddy micrite. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 3, sample ASH T3 (see Appendix 4, Tables 5 & 6).	104
5.15	Mixed carbonate and siliciclastic sediment deposit classification used by this PhD research (after Mount, 1985).	107
5.16	Example of muddy micrite from the study area and associated facies. Bed descriptions are given in Table 5.16. Sample from site TB12, Log 2, Bed 3, ASH T4. (See Appendix 4, Table 6).	108
5.17	Example of intraclastic muddy micritic and associated, Sample from site TB12, Log 3, ASH T5, Bed 3 (See Appendix 4, Table 6). Bed description is given in Table 5.17.	109
5.18	Example of intraclastic fine sandy micritic and associated facies, site TB13, Log 2, Bed 6, TLAP L2 (Appendix 4, Table 7). Bed description is given in Table 5.18.	110
5.19	Example of micritic mud deposits and associated facies from the study area. Site TB9, Log 2, Bed13, BDC T10 (see Appendix 4, Table 2 and Chapter 6, Fig 6.33).	111
5.20	Field examples of laterally discontinuous, mixed carbonate and clastic sediments. 1: Moderate red (5R 5/4) muddy micrite (L28) . Laterally continuous, blocky in appearance and the bed, before desiccation, had horizontal to wavy, very fine lamination. Along brecciated jointing fractures secondary white (N9) silt (micrite) in-fill has occurred. Bed 2: Yellowish grey (5Y 8/1) clay (L27) . Very blocky in appearance and the bed, before desiccation, had horizontal to wavy, very fine lamination and trough-cross bedding. Bed 3: Moderate red (5R 5/4) muddy gravel (L24-L27) . Bed contains sub-angular to sub-rounded mud and muddy micrite	112

	lithoclasts < 5 mm – 0.40 m in diameter. Limestone gravel with sub-angular to sub-rounded clayey silt balls and rip-up clasts < 0.20 m in diameter. Bed 2: Yellowish grey (5Y 8/1) clay (L27) . Very blocky in appearance and the bed, before desiccation, had horizontal to wavy, very fine lamination. Bed 4: Moderate red (5R 5/4) gravel . Gravel contains a relatively high proportion of volcanoclastics (10%) and angular, greyish pink (5R 8/2) rip-up clasts (<5 mm in diameter). Site TB10, Barranca del Muerto, the upper contact of Log 1 overlain by Log 3 (Figs 6.15, 6.16a & b, 6.18, 6.19).	
5.21	Field example of mixed clastic and carbonate deposits from Site TB9. 1, Log 1. 2: Gravels , Log 5 cutting Log 1. (see Appendix 4, Tables 1, & 4 and Chapter 6).	115
5.22	Field examples of clay, silt and sand beds from the study area. Site TB2, Log 3, Beds 1, 2, 3 and 4 (see Appendix 8, Table 15).	116
5.23	Field examples of silt and angular-rounded gravels (Facies 17). Massive organic silt, Bed 9. Massive silt with erosional scours, Bed 8. Weakly bedded pebble to cobble angular to rounded gravel (Facies 17), Bed 6. Site TB1, Log 7 (see Appendix 8, Table 12).	117
5.24	Field examples of silt, sand and gravel deposits from the study area. Extraformational, massive, fine to medium sand fine pebble, polymictic gravel (Bed 1 base of section). Composite bed sets of tabular cross-bedded coarse sand and upper wave-rippled to plane cross-bedded and trough cross-bedded medium sand (Bed 2 of log). Example from site TB1, Log 1 (see Appendix 8, Table 6).	118
5.25	Field example of Facies 15, massive gravel. Site TB1, Logs 5 & 6 (see Appendix 8, Tables 10 & 11).	119
5.26	Field examples of Facies 16, massive matrix supported gravel (Facies 16). Site TB10, Log 3.	120
5.27	Field example of poorly sorted, matrix-supported angular-rounded gravel (Facies 17). Site TB5, Log 7.	121
5.28	Field example of Fine light grey (N7) ash interbedded with coarse sand sheets (CGwsh?). Site TB15 (see Chapter 6 and Appendix 5, Table 1)	126
5.29	Field example of pedogenic root-nodules. Site TB16, Log 1, bed 1 (see Appendix 6, Table 1 and Chapter 6).	128
5.30	Field example of soft nodular groundwater calcrete horizon that join to form thin (<5 mm) lamina in places. Site TB9, Log 1, bed 19 1 (see Appendix 4, Table 1 and Chapter 6). Arrows indicate examples.	128
5.31	Field example of coarse sand sheets containing sandy calcified horizons that transect stratigraphic boundaries (CGwsh?) (<15 mm). Site TB17, Log 1, bed 4 (see Appendix 3, Table 1 and Chapter 6). Arrows indicate examples.	129
6.1	TB14, Barranca el Pocito and Site TB17, San Mateo Hill. a) The green dashed line indicates the general location of the studied sites. b) Location of stratigraphic logs. L = Log. See also Figures 8.1 & 8.6.	134
6.2	Location map for Site TB14 and Site TB17. Source, Google Earth. Popo: Popocatépetl volcano. B. Acatlan = Barranca Acatlan.	135

6.3	Site TB14, Log 1. See Figure 6.4 for stratigraphic log (see Figs 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 8.1 and 8.9).	135
6.4	Site TB14, Log 1. See Figure 6.3 for a photograph of the logged site. See also Figures 8.1, 8.3, 8.11 & 8.12.	136
6.5	Site TB17. a) Log 1 (see Figs 6.1, 6.2, 6.8, 8.1 and Appendix 3, Table 1). b) Nodule. Bed 2 (Fig 6.8). c) Carbonate nodules in-situ Bed 2 (Fig 6.8). d) Sandy carbonate layer (Facies 19d). Upper bed 4 (Fig 6.8).	138
6.6	Site TB1, Log 2 (see Figs 6.1, 6.2, 8.1). a): Upper section of a composite sequence. b): Lower section of composite log. Log locations indicated by grey arrows. See Figure 6.4. For the stratigraphic log. c): 19 b & c: Pedogenic calcrete horizon. See Figure 6.9 for the stratigraphic log.	139
6.7	Site TB17 a): Log 3, Barranca (see Figs 6.1 & 6.2). White lines indicate Log 1 location, is a composite section, see Figure 6.11 for the stratigraphic log. b): Southern wall of the barranca were interbedding of Facies 3a can be seen.	140
6.8	TB17, Log 1, see Figure 6.5 for a photograph of log site. For a sedimentological description of this sequence, see Appendix 3, Table 1. See Figure 6.5 a, b & c for Bed 2 and Figure 6.5 d for Bed 4. See also Figures 8.1, 8.5, 8.10. The blue triangle indicates the area highlighted in Figure 6.5 b & c.	141
6.9	TB17, Log 2, composite log. See Figure 6.6 for photographs of logged sections. The sedimentological description is given in Appendix 3, Table 2. See Figure 6.6a for Beds 6, 7 & 8 and 6.6 b & c for Beds 1 – 6. See also Figures 8.1 & 8.5.	143
6.10	Fence diagram, Site TB17, Logs 2 & 1. See also Figures 8.1, 8.5, 8.9 & 8.10	144
6.11	TB17, Log 3, San Mate, composite log. Lower and upper and lower portions shown in Figure 6.7. Sedimentological descriptions are given in Appendix 3, Table 3. See also Figs 8.1, 8.3, 8.9, 8.11 & 8.12	145
6.12	Ar/Ar dating of volcanic ash at San Mateo Hill: Inverse Isochron for Facies 18d (tephra sample SMH). The x-axis plots the $^{39}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar}$ ratios and $^{36}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar}$ ratios of the individual heating steps. The best line fit through the data set gives the trapped $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ values from the y-intercept and the $^{40}\text{Ar} / ^{39}\text{Ar}$ age value from the x-intercept (McIntosh et al. 2015). Left graph: Age probability spectrum for Facies 18d (tephra sample SMH). Data at 1 – sigma and results at 1 – sigma.	146
6.13	Location map for Sites TB1 Agua Negra, TB3 The Mound, TB4 El Salto, TB7 Barranca la Gloria, TB9 Barranca de Colores, TB10 Barranca del Muerto, TB12 Arroyo Salado de Hueypoxtla, TB13 Tlapanaloya. a) The green dashed line indicates the general location of the studied sites. b) Indicates the location of the studies sites. L = Log. See Figure 8.1 & 8.9 for the location of all logged sites and study area.	149
6.14	Location map, Sites TB9 and TB10. Log sites indicated. Source, Google Earth. See also Figure 8.1.	150
6.15	Site TB10. a) Log 4, b) Logs 1 & 2. Photograph was taken looking west, close to the head of the barranca. Also see Figure 6.13, 6.16 b & c & 8.1.	151
6.16	Site TB10. a) Logs 1 & 2 b) Log 2, see also Figure 6.17b c) Log 3.	152

6.17	a) The base of Log 4, terrace one, see Figures 6.15a and 6.22. b) Horse molar found in-situ. The black arrow in the image (a) c) Facies 18b, andesitic tephra.	153
6.18	Log 1, Site TB10. See Figure 6.16 a & b for photographs of logged sequence and Figure 6.19 for the upper section of the sequence (also see Figs 6.13, 8.1 & 8.9).	154
6.19	Log 2, Site TB10. See Figure 6.16 a & b for photographs of logged sequence and Figure 6.18 for the lower section of the sequence (also see Figs 6.13, 8.1 & 8.9).	155
6.20	Log 3, Site TB10. For a photograph of log, see Figure 6.16c. T1: Terrace 1. For the location, see Figures 6.13, 8.1 & 8.9.	156
6.21	Log 4, Site TB10. For images of the log see Figures 6.15a, 6.17 a & b.	158
6.22	Fence diagram for site TB10, Logs 1, 3 and 4. For log site locations, see Figures 6.13, 8.1 and 8.9.	159
6.23	Examples of fossil fauna bones associated with gravels (Figs 6.20 – 6.22), Site TB10. See Table 6.3 for descriptions	161
6.24	Location map for Site TB9, Barranca de Colores and Barranca la Bola. Google Earth image.	163
6.25	Site TB9. a) Log locations at the head of the barranca. b) Log 5 (Fig 6.35) incising the sequence described in Logs 1 & 2 and the position of the dacitic tephra layer below log 5.	164
6.26	a): Site TB9, Logs 1 & 2, see Figure 6.30. c & d): Bed 18, Log 1, Fig 6.30	165
6.27	View of Site TB9 from the western end of the barranca. Locations of photographs indicated on Fig 6.24. T1 = gravel terrace 1, see Log 5, Figure 6.35. b) Thick beds of unconsolidated clastic material located at the junction between Barrancas de Colores and la Bola	167
6.28	Log 1 lower; Site TB9 Log 1 (Fig 6.29). a) In-situ calc-mudstone interbedded within the unconsolidated clastic and mixed carbonate-clastic beds (for the location of photograph see Fig 6.24, for the stratigraphic sequence see Log 1, Fig 6.29). b) Calc-mudstone sample collected for U-series dating, sample C1 (see Section 6.6.7.3), the photographed bed is equivalent to bed 8, Log 1 (Fig 6.29).	168
6.29	Site TB9, Log 1, lower section (Figs 6.24, 6.25a, 6.26, 6.27a, 6.28, Table 6.4). For a photograph of the logged section, see Figure 6.25a. For U-Series age data see Section 6.6.7.3. ▲ Micromorphological analysis of sample BDC 56 (see Fig 6.36, Bed 6 and Appendix 4, Table 1, Bed 6)	169
6.30	Site TB9, Log 1, upper section (Figs 6.24, 6.25a, & 6.36, Table 6.4). For a photograph of the logged section, see Figure 6.26.	170
6.31	Site TB9, Log 2, logged and sampled limestone section at the head of the barranca (Fig 6.24, 6.25a & 6.36). see Figure 6.33	171
6.32	Site TB9, field example of the freshly exposed section recorded from the northern end of the limestone sequence logged at the head of the barranca (Fig 6.24). Beds that are indicated in Log 2 (Fig 6.33) can be traced along from the central section (Fig 6.33) to the lateral limits of the sequence where they thin and lens out. Basaltic-andesite (Facies 18a). See Appendix 4, Table 1.	172

6.33	Site TB9, Log 2, limestone sequence, (Figs 6.24, 6.25a, 6.27a & 6.31, Table 6.4, Appendix 4, Table 3 and section 6.6.6 onwards. For a photograph of the logged section, see Figure 6.31.	173
6.34	Site TB9, Log 3 (Figs 6.24 & Table 6.4). See Figure 6.39 for an image of the logged section.	174
6.35	Site TB9, Log 4, (Figs 6.24, 6.25b, 6.36, Table 6.4). T1 = Terrace 1. For a photograph of the logged section, see Figure 6.25b. See Section 6.6.6 the details of the ¹⁴ C age.	175
6.36	Barranca de Colores, Site TB9, Fence diagram Logs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. See Section 6.6.6 for details of the chronology.	176
6.37	Images of TX89 Mammoth molar collected from gravel, sand and silt deposits like those recorded Logs 5 (Fig 6.35) at Site TB9. See Table 6.5 for descriptions of specimens	177
6.38	a - c) Displaced beds in Barranca de Colores (Site TB9) of beds that correspond to the upper portion of Log 1 located at the southern end of the sequence at the head of the Barranca, the position of Log 3 is also indicated (see Fig 6.24 for location. b) Slumping sediments, basal contacts indicated by the black arrows. These sediments correspond to the top of the lower portion of Log 1. Location of photo indicated on Fig 6.24.	178
6.39	Geochemistry and stratigraphy, Log 1, Site TB9, composite section, 55 m. Beds 1 - 14 lower log (Fig 6.29). Beds 15 – 24 upper log (Fig 6.30). Mineral concentrations, ppm, TOC and TIC % (g), Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca molar concentrations (Appendix 11, Table 1). Facies key, Figs 5.25, 6.29 & 6.30. Z = Zones. Bed numbers on the left-hand side of log. ▲ = Bed 5, Sample BDC L58; Micromorphological analysis (Appendix 4, Table 2) and U-Series age of >500 ka BP, which is beyond the capabilities of the dating method.	181
6.40	Geochemistry and stratigraphy Log 2, Site TB9, 8.5 m. Mineral concentrations, ppm. TOC and TIC % (g). Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca molar concentrations. Facies key Figs 5.25 & 6.33. Z = Zones. Bed numbers on the left-hand side of log.▲= Bed 5, Sample BDC L58; Micromorphological analysis (Appendix 4, Table 2).	182
6.41	Age probability spectrum for the basaltic-andesite tephra layer, Barranca de Colores, Site TB9, Logs 2 & 4 (Figs 6.33, 6.34 & 6.36) Data at 1 – sigma and results at 1 – sigma.	184
6.42	Inverse Isochron for the basaltic-andesite tephra layer barranca de Colores, Site TB9 Logs 2 & 4 (Figs 6.33, 6.34 & 6.36). The x-axis plots the ³⁹ Ar / ⁴⁰ Ar ratios and ³⁶ Ar / ⁴⁰ Ar ratios of the individual heating steps. The best line fit through the data set gives the trapped ⁴⁰ Ar / ³⁶ Ar values from the y-intercept and the ⁴⁰ Ar / ³⁹ Ar age value from the x-intercept (McIntosh et al. 2015).	185
7.1	a) The Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt with the dashed black box: study area from Roy et al. (2012 (see b). b) Roy et al. (2012) study area (see a) and the volcanic structures that lie within that area. The Black arrow represents the location of Roy et al.'s core site. (image was taken Roy et al. 2012 and annotated for this study).	196
7.2	TEQ 4, Barranca de Colores basaltic-andesite tephra layer. Site TB 9, Logs 2 & 4, Figs 6.33 & 6.34. Scale 1:10. See Table 7.3 for beds descriptions. Blue triangle Ar/AR date 405.3 ± 5.1/5.8 ka bp (see Chapter 6)	200
7.3	Average K ₂ O+Na ₂ O vs SiO ₂ (TSA) geochemical data for samples TEQ 1 – 5 and ASH 1 (see Tables 7.4). See Table 7.2 for sedimentological descriptions and sample site details and see	201

Figs 6.13 and 8.1 for a location map of sample sites (Chapter 6). TEQ1; Andesite. TEQ 2, TEQ 3, TEQ 5, ASH 1; Dacite. TEQ 4; Basaltic-andesite.

7.4	a & b) Chemical classification diagram of Silica vs total alkalis. c - f) Bivariate plots of silica vs aluminium oxide (c), calcium oxide (d), magnesium oxide (e), and titanium oxide (f). Plotted samples include the tephra layers sampled from the study area (Table 7.2), the bulk chemistry of the surrounding volcanic structures, and the tephra layers sampled from the Pachuca sub-basin (Table 7.1) by Roy et al. (2012). (Data were taken from Roy et al. (2012) and combined with sampled tephra layers from the study area; see Key and Table 7.4 & 7.5)	202
7.5	Example of andesitic coarse to fine ash (TEQ 1, Andesite) collected from Barranca del Muerto, Site TB10, Log 2. Ungulate imprint can be seen on the righthand side photograph.	203
7.6	Total alkali vs Silica (TAS) diagram based on the classification from Le Bas et al. (1986) (In Aguirre-Díaz & López-Martínez, 2009). Main products of the Donguinyó-Huichapan caldera complex and the surrounding volcanic structures see (Fig 7.1). ◊ TEQ 4, Basaltic-Andesite: ◆ TEQ 1, Andesite.	204
7.7	Donguinyó-Huichapan Caldera complex volcanic products. Bivariate plots of silica vs calcium oxide (a), titanium oxide (b) magnesium oxide (e), aluminium oxide (f). Plotted samples include the tephra layers sampled from the study area, the bulk chemistry of the Jiltopec volcanic field (Hu 54 & 50, Hux 28 & 29), Taxbathá andesite (Hue 31), Donguinyó Tuff (Hue 34 & 20-5), and the Nopala andesite (Hux 8 & (jil 42) from Aguirre-Díaz & López-Martínez, (2009), combined with sampled tephra layers from the study area; see Key)	205
8.1	Geological map of the study area and location sites of logged sequences. Modified after Mooser (1975) and Segerstrom, (1956, 1962) to include the results of this PhD Research. Position of SE – NW in Fence diagrams shown in Figures 8.3 – 8.7.	217
8.2	Fence diagram sedimentological/geological key for all the logged sections (Figs 8.3 – 8.7). MIS (Marine isotope stages), odd numbers represent warm interglacial stages, and even numbers represent cold glacial stages (Chapters 5 – 7 and Appendix 3 & 4).	219
8.3	Cross Section 1: A – B, SE – NW	220
8.4	Cross Section 2: C – D, SE – NW	221
8.5	Cross Section 3: E – F, SE – NW	222
8.6	Cross Section 4: G – H, SE – NW	223
8.7	Cross Section 5: I – J, SE – NW	224
8.8	Cross Section 1: K – L, SE – NW	225
8.9	Geological map of the study area and location sites of logged sequences. Modified after Mooser (1975) and Segerstrom, (1956, 1962) to include the results of this PhD Research. Figure also shows SW – NE cross sections. Fence diagrams shown in Figures 8.10 – 8.13).	226
8.10	Cross Section 1: M – N, SW – NE	227
8.11	Cross Section 1: O – P, SW – NE	228
8.12	Cross Section 1: Q – R, SW – NE	229

8.13	Cross Section 1: S – T, SW – NE	230
8.14	A conceptual model for the geological formation of the study area related to extensional deformation and subsidence in the Basin of Mexico. These are 16 NE – SW normal and/or strike-slip faults that form horst and graben structures. Figure adapted from Marín-Córdova et al. (2004) and based on geological studies of the Basin of Mexico by Mooser (1975); Marín-Córdova et al. (1986); De Cserna et al. (1988) and the Mezquital Basin by Suter et al. (2001); Medel & Armienta (2004). All the graben basins orientated NE – SW. Black arrows represent distensive axis and extensional faulting that, to the west of the figure, join Popocatepetl Volcano, the Tequixquiac - Huehuetoca zone, the lowest portion of the Basin of Mexico (central lakes) including Lake Texcoco and the Penón de los Baños zones. To the east, extensional faulting (orientation is NW –SE) joins the Ixmiquilpan (Hidalgo) valley, the Apizaco region of Tlaxcala and the La Malinche Volcano. The blue dashed line represents the watershed of the Basin of Mexico. The proposed half graben indicated for the study area is based on this PhD research and is the proposed working hypothesis of basin formation and evolution for the study area. Not to scale.	231
8.15	Geological key	232
8.15a	Interval 1: Facies Group 1, MIS 15 lacustrine environments. An aggregational lacustrine system, climate warm and humid, initial active subsidence followed by sediment loading and reduced accommodation space (Fig 8.16a)	233
8.15b	Interval 2: Facies Group 2, MIS 15 - 14 shallow marsh to playa lacustrine environments. Reduced available moisture, likely related to the onset of MIS 13, intermittent subsidence followed by sediment loading (Fig 8.16b). End of interval water body dried. Possible uplift along the southern margin (Fig 8.16b).	233
8.15c	Interval 3, Facies Group 3, MIS 14d Fluvial-alluvial environments, possibly with sub-basinal lacustrine environments (Fig 8.16c). End of lacustrine aggregational phase (sediment loading exceeded capacity) and the onset of alluvial-fluvial aggradation, incision and erosion. Localised deformation may have allowed standing water bodies to develop during periods of uplift along the southern margin (Fig 8.16c).	234
8.15d	Interval 4, Facies Group 4, MIS 12 & 11, fluvial-lacustrine pool – marsh environments possibly with sub-basinal lacustrine environments. Dry conditions and hydrological change in the study related the MIS 11 interglacial climates, reduced accommodation space and localised deformation and faulting. Alluvial-fluvial aggradation, incision and erosion continue. Potential for 30 m + of incision, deformation and/or uplift to have occurred between interval 3 and interval 4.	234
8.15e	Interval 5, Facies Group 5, MIS 3 – 1. Fluvial-Alluvial depositional environments: Changeable late glacial climates related to ITCZ, DO and HE climate anomalies. Alluvial-fluvial aggradation, incision and erosion continue. Potential for 60 m + of incision, deformation and/or uplift to have occurred between interval 4 and interval 5.	235
8.16	Potential tectonic and incisional evolution along of the study area between the Basin of Mexico and the southern hills in the Tequixquiac Basin. Up-lift and/or deformation related to NE – SW oriented normal fault associated with the Cuautitlan horst in the Basin of Mexico (Figs 8.14) likely influenced the study area along its southern margin due to its position immediately north	236

of this end of the horst graben system in the Basin of Mexico. See Fig 8.15 for descriptions of intervals a – e. BA = Barranca Acatlan. RS = Rio Salado. TB = Tequiquiac Basin

List of tables	Page
1.1 Proposed late Quaternary formations that have been identified for the Tequiquiac Basin (Fig 1.2) (Furlong, 1925; Maldonado & Aveleyra, 1949; De Terra, 1949; Hibbard, 1955; Aveleyra, 1965). The older sediments identified in these studies (1 – 4, below) have also been grouped under the heading of the Tarango Formation and number 6 under the heading of Quaternary alluvial deposits (see Chapter 3).	5
4.1 Hydrodynamic erosional structures. The description, defined by Boggs, 2006; Nichols, 2009 and Miall, 2016, outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar deposits in the study area.	53
4.2 Hydrodynamic depositional structures. The description, defined by Boggs, 2006; Nichols, 2009 and Miall, 2016, outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar deposits in the study area.	54
4.3 Syndepositional deformation structures. The description, defined by Boggs, 2006; Nichols, 2009 and Miall, 2016, outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar deposits in the study area.	55
4.4 Carbonate grain (allogenic) classification. The description, defined by Flügel (2010) and combined with Tucker & Wright, 1990; Nichols, 2009; Brasier, 2011 outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar deposits in the study area. Also, see Table 4.9.	56
4.5 Carbonate grain classification and definitions. Adapted from Flügel (2010) and combined with Tucker & Wright, 1990; Nichols, 2009; Brasier, 2011 for this study.	57
4.6 Visual petrographic characteristics of spring, stream and lacustrine (SSL) carbonates and calcretes used for identification (from Brasier, 2011). Alpha refers to the micromorphological features of calcrete discussed in Section 4.4.8.	57
4.7 The defining characteristic of tufa and travertine, from Capezzuoli et al. (2014) (main numerical data is taken from Pentecost, 2005; Gandin & Capezzuoli, 2008 and the references within these papers.	58
4.8 Fluvial facies model. The description, defined by Miall, 1977; 1996; 2016 and combined with Pedley (2009) and Arenas-Abad et al. 2010, outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area. See also Tables 4.1, 4.2 & 4.3.	59
4.9 Architectural elements in fluvial deposits. The description, defined by Miall, (1985), outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area. See Table 4.8.	61
4.10 Common carbonate and clastic lacustrine facies, The description defined by Gierlowski-Kordesch, 2010 (and references therein), outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area.	62
4.11 Lake margin facies model for partially and dominantly carbonate lakes that develop with a surface input of carbonate. The description, defined by Gierlowski-Kordesch, (2010), outlines	63

	the criteria used for the identification of similar deposits in the study area. See also Table 4.10).	
4.12	Palustrine facies, defined by Alonso-Zarza, 2003 & Alonso-Zarza & Wright, (2010a) and references therein), outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area.	64
4.13	Interchangeable lacustrine, palustrine and fluvial facies defined by Alonso-Zarza, (2003) & Alonso-Zarza & Wright, (2010a) and reference therein, outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area.	65
4.14	Classification of autogenic, freshwater carbonate that displays an organic in-situ framework. Defined by Cipriani et al. (1977), Pedley, (1990 & 1992) and Ford & Pedley, (1996), outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar depositional environments in the study area.	67
4.15	Carbonate depositional models defined by Capezzuoli et al. (2014), outlines the criteria used for the identification of similar marsh depositional environments in the study area. Also, see Tables 4.8 & 4.10.	67
4.16	The six main types of Groundwater calcrete facies (adapted from Alonso-Zarza & Wright, 2010b and references therein).	68
5.1	Codes used for the description of facies	85
5.2	Prefix codes used for the description of grain size	85
5.3	Suffixes used for sedimentary structure description (Tables 4.1, 4.2 & 4.3)	86
5.4	Carbonate and mixed clastic-carbonate bed codes used for description (Tables 4.5 & 4.14, Fig 4.1)	86
5.5	Suffixes used for carbonate and mixed carbonate and clastic sedimentary structures and grains (see Table 4.4 & 4.6)	87
5.6	Example of framestone facies from the study area. Bed descriptions from site TB9, Log 2, sample BDC T2, (see Fig 5.2, Fig 6.33 and Appendix 4, Table 2).	89
5.7	Examples of bed descriptions from site TB12, Log 2, sample ASH T7, (Appendix 4, Table 6). See Figure 5.3 a, b & c images of the beds.	90
5.8	Example of stromatolitic limestone bed descriptions from the study area, site TB12, Log 2, Bed 5, corresponding to sample ASH T11, stromatolitic limestone, (Appendix 4, Table 6). For images of beds, see Figure 5.4.	93
5.9	Summary of the recorded Tequiquiac bio-mediated limestone and carbonate facies formed in-situ	95
5.10	Bed descriptions, calc-mudstone, site TB9, Log 2, Bed 16, BDC T5 (see Appendix 4, Table 2, Chapter 6). For images of beds, see Figure 5.6.	96
5.11	Example of peloidal limestone bed descriptions and associated facies from site TB9, Log 2, Bed 11, BDC T13 (Appendix 4, Table 2 and Chapter 6). See Figure 5.9 for images of the beds.	98

5.12	Example of pisolitic limestone bed descriptions from the study area, site TB9, Log 3, Bed 19, BDC T3 (Appendix 4, Table 2 and Fig 6.33). See Figure 5.10 for images of the beds.	100
5.13	Example of bed description of Intraclastic limestone, a sample from site TB13 Log 2, Bed 15, TLAP T13 (see Appendix 4, Table 7). See Figure 5.11 for images of the bed.	101
5.14	Examples of bed descriptions for laminated limestone and associated facies from the study area. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 5, sample ASH T8 (see Appendix 4, Table 6). See Figure 5.12 for sample images.	103
5.15	Summary of the recorded carbonate grain (allogenic) limestone and carbonate facies	105
5.16	Examples of muddy micrite bed descriptions and associated facies. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 3, ASH T4, (see Appendix 4, Table 6). See Figure 5.16 (a - e) for images of the beds.	108
5.17	Example of muddy micrite from the study area. Site TB12, Log 2, Bed 3, ASH T5 (See Appendix 4, Table 6). See Figure 5.17 for images of beds.	109
5.18	Example of an intraclastic sandy micrite bed description. Site TB13, Log 2, Bed 6, Sample TLAP L2 (see Appendix 4, Table 7). See Figure 5.18 for the image of beds.	110
5.19	Example of a micritic mud bed description Site TB9, Log 2, Bed 13, Sample BDC T10 (see Appendix 2, Table 4 and Chapter 6, Fig 6.33). See Figure 5.19 for sample image.	111
5.20	Summary of the recorded, mixed carbonates and clastic facies recorded in the study area.	114
5.21	Summary of the recorded clastics in the study area	122
5.22	Grain-size classification for tephra fragments used in the study area (Mullineaux, 1996).	126
5.23	Summary of recorded volcanoclastic facies recorded from the study area (also Chapter 7 and Table 7.2)	127
5.24	Summary of recorded calcrete facies recorded from the study area. See Figure 4.4 & Table 4.16.	129
5.25	Sedimentological symbol key for stratigraphic logs	130
6.1	Site information. Altitude recorded from the base of logged sequences. See Figures 6.1, 6.2, 8.1 & 8.9.	135
6.2	Site TB10, Log site location. Altitude recorded from the base of the logged sequence. Also, see Figure 8.1 & 8.9.	150
6.3	Examples of fossil fauna collected from gravels (Logs 3 and 4 Figs 6.20 – 6.22) at Site TB10. Mammalian Fauna collections housed at the Museum del Pueblo, Temoatzin totals. See Figure 6.23 for Photographs of some of the samples.	160
6.4	Site TB9, Barranca de Colores, altitude recorded at the base of logged sequence (see Figs 6.13, 6.24, 8.1 & 8.9)	163
6.5	TX89 Mammoth molar collected from T1 type deposits, Log 5 (Figs 6.24, 6.25a, 6.35 6.36), Site TB9. See Figure 6.37.	177

7.1	Tephra samples from Roy et al. 2012. Samples were collected from a 30 m thick tephra sediment sequence exposed in different parts of a mine exposure in the Pachuca sub-basin (see Fig 7.1).	197
7.2	Tephra samples geochemically analysed from the study area. For the location of the sampled tephra layers, see Chapters 6 & 8.	199
7.3	The lithology of TEQ 4, Basaltic-andesite, Barranca de Colores. Site TB 9, Logs 2 & 4. See Section 6.6	199
7.4	Major main oxide concentrations (%) of the Tequixquiac tephra layers (see Table 7.2)	201
7.5	Trace element concentrations (ppm) of the Tequixquiac tephra layers (Table 7.2).	201