Always a price to pay: Hibernation at low temperatures comes with a trade-off between energy savings and telomere damage

For published version see: https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0466

Julia Nowack[1,2]*, Iris Tarmann[1]*, Franz Hoelzl[3], Steve Smith[3], Sylvain Giroud[1], Thomas Ruf[1]

* shared first authors

[1]Department of Interdisciplinary Life Sciences, Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

[2]School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

[3]Department of Interdisciplinary Life Sciences, Konrad Lorenz Institute of Ethology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

Corresponding author: JN; J.Nowack@ljmu.ac.uk; +44(0)1512312415;

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4512-5160

Abstract

We experimentally tested the costs of deep torpor at low temperatures by comparing telomere dynamics in two species of rodents hibernating at either 3 °C or 14 °C. Our data show that hibernators kept at the warmer temperature had higher arousal frequencies, but maintained longer telomeres than individuals hibernating at the colder temperature. We suggest that the high-energy demand of frequent arousals is counteracted by a lower temperature differential between torpid and euthermic body temperature and that telomere length is restored during arousals, when the body temperature is returned to normothermic values. Taken together, our study shows that hibernation at low body temperatures comes with costs on a cellular level and that hibernators need to actively counterbalance the shortening of telomeres.

Introduction

Torpor and hibernation are states of prolonged inactivity associated with reduced metabolic rate (MR) and body temperature (T_b) and are regarded as the most efficient energy saving strategy employed by mammals and birds [1]. Despite its many benefits [2, 3], it is also increasingly recognised that torpor use also comes with costs, such as reduced immune function [4], slowed reactions [5] and increased oxidative stress [6] [for more see 7]. Hibernating edible dormice and woodchucks with large energy reserves show shallower torpor bouts, i.e. arousing more often from hibernation and maintaining a higher T_b during torpor than animals in poor condition [8, 9], which suggests that the costs of torpor could be temperature dependent. Energy saved through torpor is greatest at low T_b [10] and arousals from torpor represent the largest energy expenditure during hibernation [11].

Frequent arousals lead to rapid depletion of energy reserves and the upregulation of MR is associated with the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [6] that causes telomere shortening via DNA breaks [12-14]. Telomeres are noncoding, repetitive sequences of DNA at the end of chromosomes, which, together with telomere-associated proteins, prevent the degradation of the coding DNA during replication. Telomere length is often used as a marker of somatic maintenance and aging [15]. Telomeres shorten after each somatic cell division, i.e. mitosis, but telomere attrition can be accelerated by oxidative stress [14]. If telomere length is not restored, the cell eventually dies [16, 17]. During hibernation, mitosis is arrested at low temperatures and therefore telomere degradation is paused [18]. Hibernating at high T_b increases the frequency of arousal [19] and may increase the rate of telomere shortening [12-14]. However, if torpid T_b is near euthermic T_b then the associated increase in MR during frequent arousal may be less detrimental than fewer arousals from lower T_b

To test our prediction that individuals hibernating at warmer temperatures show less

RTL shortening over winter than animals hibernating at low T_bs, we performed a laboratory experiment investigating hibernation patterns (i.e. torpor bout length and arousal frequency) and relative telomere length (RTL) in edible dormice (*Glis glis*) and garden dormice (*Eliomys quercinus)*, hibernating at 3 °C or 14 °C.

Material & Methods

Experimental design

Experiments were carried out over 19 weeks (October 2016-March 2017) with 32 garden dormice and 15 edible dormice at the Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria (48.22° N, 16.28° E). In total 16 garden dormice and 7 edible dormice were kept at 3 °C and 16 garden dormice and 8 edible dormice were kept at 14 °C. The experiment was split into three periods of 5-7 weeks (Table S1) to allow regular sampling points between periods. Individuals were weighed, and DNA samples were taken at the start and end of the experiment as well as in between periods. We estimated RTL by a quantitative PCR technique (see Supplementary Material) using DNA extracted from the inner cheeks by gently twisting a small brush for ca. 30 s inside each cheek. During the entire experiment, recording of nest temperature were used as a proxy for T_b to estimate torpor use, frequency of rewarming from torpor (arousal) and length of interbout euthermia (IBE), as described by Willis et al. (2005) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Only torpor bouts >24 h were counted for calculation of mean torpor bout duration (TBD). We also measured MR in a subset (N=6 at each temperature) of garden dormice during periods 1 and 2 (see Supplementary Materials), but not in edible dormice.

Since hibernation at warmer temperatures is known to be associated with increased body mass loss [20], body mass loss was tightly monitored and body mass <70 g was used as

78 the threshold to stop the warm temperature treatment. Nevertheless, one garden dormouse
79 died unexpectedly at the end of period 2. We excluded seven further garden dormice of the
80 14 °C group, which had a low body mass, from the experiment after period 2 and allowed all
81 remaining eight individuals of the former 14 °C-group and all 16 animals of the 3 °C-group to
82 continue hibernation at 3 °C until the end of the experiment (Table S1). For the edible dormice,
83 all 14 °C animals were excluded after period 1, but we continued the trials for the 3 °C animals,
84 which were transferred from 3 °C to 22 °C (21.8 ± 0.1 °C (SE)) in period 3 (food and water
85 provided *ad libitum*).

86 Statistical analyses were conducted using R (Version 3.3.1) [21]. Our sample size for
87 garden dormice at 14 °C was reduced due to the death of one dormouse (excluded from all
88 analysis, including MR), a data logger failure and thus no available torpor parameter for this
89 individual and inefficient amounts of DNA for one individual (no RTL). Linear models were used
90 to test for initial differences between the groups for RTL and body mass (all animals), and to
91 test for differences in total MR (only garden dormice: $N_{3°C}$=6, $N_{14°C}$=5) caused by the
92 temperature treatment and/or period. Linear mixed effects models were used to test time
93 (time points 1,2,3, i.e. periods 1, 2) and temperature effects, and their interaction, on IBE
94 duration, arousal frequency, TBD, body mass, MR and RTL, followed by ANOVA [22, 23]. To
95 adjust for repeated measurements, we included individual as a random effect, but not state
96 (torpid/euthermic), as this random factor increased the model Akaike's Information Criterion
97 (AIC) [24] corrected for small sample sizes (AICc [25]) (see Supplementary Methods). The same
98 approach was used to test the effect of arousal frequency on body mass (garden dormice: $N_{3°C}$
99 =16, $N_{14°C}$=14; edible dormice: $N_{3°C}$=7, $N_{14°C}$ =8). For statistical analyses of RTL (garden dormice:
100 $N_{3°C}$=16, $N_{14°C}$=13; edible dormice: $N_{3°C}$=7, $N_{14°C}$ =8), we [26] included initial RTL as a covariate
101 to correct for the "regression to the mean" [12]. To evaluate whether RTL had increased or

decreased following temperature treatment, we used paired t-tests. For change in RTL we selected best models using AICc. Variables tested were arousal frequency, TBD, body mass loss and IBE duration. Because of the limited sample size, we only used models with a maximum of three predictors. To analyse MRs we used total MR per animal as the response variable and included body mass as a covariate. Mass-specific MRs are given for descriptive purposes but were not used in statistical analyses.

Results

Temperature significantly affected RTL of garden dormice (Fig. 1a; temperature x sampling point, χ^2=5.16, df=1, p=0.023): Whereas RTL of the 3 °C-group significantly shortened over the first two periods (t=3.79, df=15, p<0.01; mean: -0.10 ± 0.03), RTL remained unchanged in the 14 °C-group (t=0.78, df=13, p=0.45 mean= -0.02 ± 0.03). Individuals at both temperatures showed an elongation of RTL in period 3 at 3 °C of 15 % (14 °C-group) and 7 % (3 °C-group), respectively (Fig. 1a). In edible dormice, RTL change was also significantly influenced by temperature (Fig. 1b; temperature x sampling time, χ^2=7.74, df=2, p=0.021; Fig. 1b). However, RTL had neither significantly shortened at 3 °C (t=1.31, df=6, p=0.237, mean= -0.32 ± 0.24), nor significantly increased at 14 °C (t=1.6, df=7, p=0.153, mean= 0.22 ± 0.14) after period 1. The individuals at 3 °C showed a rapid increase of RTL by 20 % in period 3 at 22 °C with food being available (Fig. 1b).

Nest temperature recordings showed a significant increase in arousal frequency and TBD at 14 °C for both species. While IBE was also significantly increased for garden dormice hibernating at 14 °C, IBE duration did not differ for edible dormice at both temperatures (Table 1). In both species, RTL change was best explained by arousal frequency (Table 2). Arousal frequency also significantly affected body mass in both species (edible dormice, 1 period: χ^2=20.79, df=1, p<0.001; garden dormice, 2 periods: χ^2=132.33, df=1, p<0.001).

Temperature treatments also influenced MR of garden dormice. During arousal, MR was higher in the 3 °C-group than in animals at 14° C (2.88 ± 0.19 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$ vs. 2.22 ± 0.10 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$). In contrast, MR during torpor was higher at 14 °C than at 3 °C (0.08 ± 0.01 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$ vs. 0.05 ± 0.01 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$). Because TBD was significantly shorter and arousal frequency and length of IBE were significantly higher in individuals at 14 °C (Table 1), total MR (individual mean calculated over the entire sampling period) was more than twice as high in the 14 °C-group than in the 3 °C-group (3 °C: 0.18 ± 0.04 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$, 14 °C: 0.37 ± 0.01 $mlO_2g^{-1}h^{-1}$; χ^2=7.14, df=1, p=0.0075). Arousal frequency decreased again in 14 °C animals kept at 3 °C in the last period, while TBD lengthened and IBE duration consequently decreased (data not shown).

Discussion

Our study shows that individuals of both species hibernating at 14 °C spent more energy than their conspecifics hibernating at 3 °C but experienced less telomere attrition over the hibernation period. Our data do not only shed light on the observed trade-off between energy saving and preferred hibernation temperature in edible dormice [9] and woodchucks [8], but also support the idea that torpor is costly [7, 27].

Telomere shortening correlates with cellular oxidative damage [13] and thus can be seen as an integrative measure of oxidative stress, which is increased during rewarming [12]. While being torpid at 14 °C may be more energetically costly than at 3°C, rewarming from 14 °C requires a lower increase in MR, which is related to lower ROS production and therefore is likely to lead to less RTL shortening. Our data are consistent with the finding that telomere length is positively correlated with torpor frequency in Djungarian hamsters using daily torpor (T_b typically around 18 °C) [28]. Mitosis is arrested during torpor and the small increase of MR during arousals from high T_bs is unlikely to be associated with a pronounced production of

149 ROS, explaining why daily torpor is positively associated with RTL. Interestingly, this may
150 provide an explanation for the abundance of daily heterotherms that do not reduce their T_b
151 lower than 10°C, while hibernators, which allow their T_b to drop to near ambient temperature
152 in deep torpor are less abundant in comparison [1].

153 Even without high intensity metabolic stress, RTL still decreases through high mitotic
154 activity during arousals [18], suggesting the existence of a repair mechanism during arousal
155 periods and/or also during torpor at warmer temperatures. This consideration is also in line
156 with a study in which hibernation under fluctuating T_a (10-15 °C) in the laboratory did not lead
157 to a decrease in RTL in garden dormice [29]. It has long been known that telomeres can be
158 elongated mainly by the activity of the enzyme telomerase [30, 31] as well as by a DNA-
159 recombination mechanism, i.e. alternative lengthening of telomeres [32]. Many small rodents
160 express telomerase activity in cells of various tissues, including somatic cells [33]. A previous
161 study found that telomerase activity in heart, spleen and kidneys was higher in hibernating
162 than in active bats [34], although no information on T_b during hibernation was provided.
163 Earlier work in ground squirrels has demonstrated that DNA [35], RNA [36], protein synthesis
164 [37] and low levels of mitotic activity [38] can still take place at low temperatures, but will
165 likely be drastically downregulated during torpor [39, 40] and only resumed during arousals.

166 Telomere elongation in edible dormice has so far been found in older individuals (≤ 41
167 %/year) [41], as well as in edible dormice that had a surplus of food (supplementary feeding
168 of a free-ranging population/ food *ad libitum* in the laboratory) [12, 42]. In contrast, the
169 observed increase of RTL in our study occurred in the 14 °C animals that had a higher energy
170 demand than 3 °C animals during all periods and suggests a certain amount of plasticity in the
171 maintenance of RTL throughout hibernation. Further, the maintenance of RTL through a
172 lengthening mechanism also requires the mobilization of energy. In this study, energy

originated exclusively from body energy reserves and our data indicate that RTL increase may be faster when food is provided, as seen by the rapid increase in RTL in edible dormice transferred from 3 °C to 22 °C. A similar strong increase in RTL has been found in food-supplemented edible dormice over 10 weeks [12]. These data support the hypothesis that telomere elongation is energetically costly [12]. The observed increase in RTL during the last period of hibernation in spring in both species suggests the existence of a predetermined seasonal, perhaps circannual program in hibernators. Dormice emerge from hibernation just before the start of the breeding season in mid to late spring (e.g. end of March in Northern Europe and in our colony). It has been shown that reproduction increases oxidative damage and/or telomere loss [43-45], suggesting that restoring RTL before the start of reproduction might be beneficial. The observed elongation just before the end of the hibernation season might also explain an earlier study that found that average telomere length did not shorten over the hibernation season in free-ranging edible dormice [42] (i.e. telomeres must have been either elongated at the end of the hibernation season - as found in this study - or at the beginning of the active season [41]).

In summary, our study suggests that deep hibernation comes with costs on a cellular level, i.e. increased telomere attrition, which has to be actively and energetically costly counterbalanced by the animals. Consequently, current estimates of the energetic savings during deep hibernation are likely overestimated.

Data accessibility

Data deposited in the Dryad repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.40br385 [46].

Literature

[1] Ruf T & Geiser F. 2015 Daily torpor and hibernation in birds and mammals. *Biological Reviews* **90**, 891-926. (doi:10.1111/brv.12137)

[2] Geiser F & Brigham RM. 2012 The Other Functions of Torpor. In *Living in a Seasonal World. Thermoregulatory and Metabolic Adaptations* (eds. Ruf T, Bieber C, Arnold W & Millesi E), pp. 109-121. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer

[3] Nowack J, Stawski C & Geiser F. 2017 More functions of torpor and their roles in a changing world. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* **187**, 889-897. (doi:10.1007/s00360-017-1100-y)

[4] Prendergast BJ, Freeman DA, Zucker I & Nelson RJ. 2002 Periodic arousal from hibernation is necessary for initiation of immune responses in ground squirrels. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **282**, R1054-R1082. (doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00562.2001)

[5] Rojas AD, Körtner G & Geiser F. 2012 Cool running: locomotor performance at low body temperature in mammals. *Biology Letters* **8**, 868-870. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.0269)

[6] Carey HV, Frank CL & Seifert JP. 2000 Hibernation induces oxidative stress and activation of NF-κB in ground squirrel intestine. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical Systemic and Environmental Physiology* **170**, 551-559. (doi:10.1007/s003600000135)

[7] Humphries MM, Thomas DW & Kramer DL. 2003 The Role of Energy Availability in Mammalian Hibernation: A Cost-Benefit Approach. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **76**, 165-179. (doi:10.1086/367950)

[8] Zervanos SM, Maher CR & Florant GL. 2014 Effect of Body Mass on Hibernation Strategies of Woodchucks (*Marmota monax*). *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **54**, 443-451. (doi:10.1093/icb/ict100)

[9] Bieber C, Lebl K, Stalder G, Geiser F & Ruf T. 2014 Body mass dependent use of hibernation: why not prolong the active season, if they can? *Functional Ecology* **28**, 167-177. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12173)

[10] Heldmaier G & Ruf T. 1992 Body temperature and metabolic rate during natural hypothermia in endotherms. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical Systemic and Environmental Physiology* **162**, 696-706. (doi:10.1007/BF00301619)

[11] Wang LCH. 1978 Energetic and field aspects of mammalian torpor: the Richardson's ground squirrel. In *Strategies in Cold: Natural Torpidity and Thermogenesis* (eds. Wang LCH & Hudson JW), pp. 109-145. New York, Academic Press.

[12] Hoelzl F, Cornils JS, Smith S, Moodley Y & Ruf T. 2016 Telomere dynamics in free-living edible dormice (*Glis glis*): the impact of hibernation and food supply. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **219**, 2469-2474. (doi:10.1242/jeb.140871)

[13] Richter T & von Zglinicki T. 2007 A continuous correlation between oxidative stress and telomere shortening in fibroblasts. *Experimental Gerontology* **42**, 1039-1042. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2007.08.005)

[14] von Zglinicki T. 2002 Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **27**, 339-344. (doi:10.1016/S0968-0004(02)02110-2)

[15] Sanders J & Newman A. 2013 Telomere Length in Epidemiology: A Biomarker of Aging, Age-Related Disease, Both, or Neither? *Epidemiol Rev* **35**, 112-131. (doi:10.1093/epirev/mxs008)

[16] Marcand S, Brevet V, Mann C & Gilson E. 2000 Cell cycle restriction of telomere elongation. *Current Biology* **10**, 487-490. (doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00450-4)

[17] Meyne J, Ratliff RL & Moyzis RK. 1989 Conservation of the human telomere sequence $(TTAGGG)_n$ among vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **86**, 7049-7053. (doi:10.1073/pnas.86.18.7049)

[18] Vinogradova MS. 1988 Mitotic activity of stomach epithelium in the ground squirrel, *Citellus erythrogenys* Brandt. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A-Physiology* **91A**, 235-239. (doi:10.1016/0300-9629(88)90410-0)

[19] Twente JW & Twente JA. 1965 Regulation of hibernating periods by temperature. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **54**, 1058-1061. (doi:10.1073/pnas.54.4.1058)

[20] Geiser F & Broome LS. 1993 The effect of temperature on the pattern of torpor in a marsupial hibernator. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* **163**, 133-137. (doi:10.1007/BF00263598)

[21] R Development Core Team. 2014 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org.

[22] Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D & and the R Development Core team. 2014 *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models (R Package Version 3.1-117)*.

[23] Fox J & Weisberg S. 2011 *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif., Sage.

[24] Akaike H. 1974 A New Look at the Statistical Model Identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **19**, 716-723. (doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705)

[25] Barton K. 2016 MuMIn: Multi-model inference (R package version 1.15.6). http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn.

[26] Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B & Walker S. 2015 Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1-48. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)

[27] Boyles JG, Dunbar MB, Storm JJ & Brack V, Jr. 2007 Energy availability influences microclimate selection of hibernating bats. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **210**, 4345-4350. (doi:10.1242/jeb.007294)

[28] Turbill C, Smith S, Deimel C & Ruf T. 2012 Daily torpor is associated with telomere length change over winter in Djungarian hamsters. *Biology Letters* **8**, 304-307. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0758)

[29] Giroud S, Zahn S, Criscuolo F, Chery I, Blanc S, Turbill C & Ruf T. 2014 Late-born intermittently fasted juvenile garden dormice use torpor to grow and fatten prior to hibernation: consequences for ageing processes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **281**, 20141131. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1131)

[30] Greider CW & Blackburn EH. 1985 Identification of a Specific Telomere Terminal Transferase Activity in Tetrahymena Extracts. *Cell* **43**, 405-413. (doi:10.1016/0092-8674(85)90170-9)

[31] Harley CB, Vaziri H, Counter CM & Allsopp RC. 1992 The telomere hypothesis of cellular aging. *Experimental Gerontology* **27**, 375-382. (doi:10.1016/0531-5565(92)90068-B)

[32] Neumann AA, Watson CM, Noble JR, Pickett HA, Tam PP & Reddel RR. 2013 Alternative lengthening of telomeres in normal mammalian somatic cells. *Genes & Development* **27**, 18-23. (doi:10.1101/gad.205062.112)

[33] Seluanov A, Chen Z, Hine C, Sasahara TH, Ribeiro AA, Catania KC, Presgraves DC & Gorbunova V. 2007 Telomerase activity coevolves with body mass, not lifespan. *Aging Cell* **6**, 45-52. (doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00262.x)

[34] Wang L, McAllan BM & He G. 2011 Telomerase activity in the bats *Hipposideros armiger* and *Rousettus leschenaultia*. *Biochemistry* **76**, 1017-1021. (doi:10.1134/S0006297911090057)

[35] Adelstein SJ, Lyman CP & O´Brien RC. 1967 Cell proliferation kinetics in the tongue and intestinal epithelia of hibernating dormice, *Glis glis*. In *Mammalian hibernation III* (eds. Fisher KC, Dawe AR, Lyman CP, Schönbaum E & South F), pp. 398-408. Edinburgh, Oliver and Lloyd.

[36] Gordon RJ, Bocharova LS, Popov VI & Karnauchov VN. 1987 Structural and functional aspects of RNA metabolism in brain of hibernators during hibernation In *Mechanisms of hibernation* (ed. Kolaeva SG), pp. 25-30. Puschino, Puschino Research Center Press.

[37] Derij LV & Shtark MB. 1985 Hibernators' brain: protein synthesis in the neocortex and the hippocampus. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B: Comparative Biochemistry* **80**, 927-934. (doi:10.1016/0305-0491(85)90486-9)

[38] Kruman II, Ilyasova EN, Rudchenko SA & Khurkhulu ZS. 1988 The intestinal epithelial cells of ground squirrel (*Citellus undulatus*) accumulate at G_2 phase of the cell cycle throughout a bout of hibernation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Physiology* **90A**, 233-236. (doi:10.1016/0300-9629(88)91109-7)

[39] Carey HV, Andrews MT & Martin SL. 2003 Mammalian Hibernation: Cellular and Molecular Responses to Depressed Metabolism and Low Temperature. *Physiological Reviews* **83**, 1153-1181. (doi:10.1152/physrev.00008.2003)

[40] Van Breukelen F & Martin SL. 2002 Invited review: molecular adaptations in mammalian hibernators: unique adaptations or generalized responses? *Journal of Applied Physiology* **92**, 2640-2647. (doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01007.2001)

[41] Hoelzl F, Smith S, Cornils JS, Aydinonat D, Bieber C & Ruf T. 2016 Telomeres are elongated in older individuals in a hibernating rodent, the edible dormouse (*Glis glis*). *Scientific Reports* **6**, 36856. (doi:10.1038/srep36856)

[42] Turbill C, Ruf T, Smith S & Bieber C. 2013 Seasonal variation in telomere length of a hibernating rodent. *Biology Letters* **9**, 20121095. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.1095)

[43] Heidinger BJ, Blount JD, Boner W, Griffiths K, Metcalfe NB & Monaghan P. 2012 Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 1743-1748. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1113306109)

[44] Stier A, Reichert S, Massemin S, Bize P & Criscuolo F. 2012 Constraint and cost of oxidative stress on reproduction: correlative evidence in laboratory mice and review of the literature. *Frontiers in Zoology* **9**, 37. (doi:10.1186/1742-9994-9-37)

[45] Beaulieu M, Reichert S, Le Maho Y, Ancel A & Criscuolo F. 2011 Oxidative status and telomere length in a long-lived bird facing a costly reproductive event. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 577-585. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01825.x)

[46] Nowack J, Tarmann I, Hoelzl F, Smith S, Giroud S & Ruf T. 2019 Data from: Always a price to pay: Hibernation at low temperatures comes with a trade-off between energy savings and cellular damage. *Dryad Digital Repository*. (doi:doi.org/10.5061/dryad.40br385)

Tables

Table 1: Comparison of torpor characteristics during the temperature treatment (Mean + SE). Mean torpor bout duration (TBD), mean interbout euthermia (IBE) and arousal frequency per week are shown over the total length of temperature treatments (T_a; 3 °C and 14 °C). Displayed values are calculated as average values of the individual means. Garden dormice were kept in two groups for 12 weeks (period 1+2, 3 °C: N=16, 14 °C: N=14), edible dormice only for 7 weeks (period 1, 3 °C: N=7, 14 °C: N=8).

			3 °C	14 °C	Test results
Garden dormice	Mean TBD (h)	P 1 + 2	239.4 ± 7.3	91.0 ± 4.2	T_a x period: χ^2=6.39, df=1, p=0.012
	Arousals/week	P 1 + 2	0.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	T_a: χ^2=144.6; df=1; p<0.001
	Mean IBE (h)	P 1 + 2	9.0 ± 0.3	11.1 ± 0.4	T_a: χ^2=16.7; df=1; p<0.001
Edible dormice	Mean TBD (h)	P1	304.2 ± 17.5	181.5 ± 7.5	T_a: χ^2=23.87, df=1, p<0.001
	Arousals/week	P1	0.4 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	T_a: χ^2=13.13, df=1, p<0.001
	Mean IBE (h)	P1	12.7 ± 3.2	7.8 ± 1.4	T_a: χ^2=2.29, df=1, p=0.130

Table 2: The three best candidate models explaining RTL after 12 and 7 weeks, respectively, in garden and edible dormice. All models were corrected for RTL1. Factors tested were arousal frequency, torpor bout duration (TBD), body mass loss (BMloss), interbout euthermia (IBE) and total metabolic rate (only for garden dormice).

	Model	AICc	ΔAIC
Garden dormice	**Arousal frequency + RTL1**	**-16.59**	**0**
	BMloss + RTL1	-16.24	0.35
	Metabolic rate + RTL1	**-15.93**	0.67
Edible dormice	**Arousal frequency + RTL1**	**78.99**	**0**
	BMloss + RTL1	84.23	5.24
	IBE + RTL1	85.27	6.28

Figure caption

Figure 1: Relative telomere length (RTL) over 4 sampling points (19 weeks) for (a) garden dormice and (b) edible dormice. Garden dormice were all kept at 3 °C during the last period; edible dormice at 22 °C. The 14 °C trial was ended after the first 7 weeks for edible dormice.