

## Abstract

The female athlete triad is a condition where low energy availability is typically observed together with menstrual dysfunction and/or low bone mineral density. How this condition affects maximal work capacity in endurance athletes is not clear and the recovery time-course of menses with increased energy availability with concomitant high training load is unknown. This case-study of an amenorrheic elite road-cyclist reports resumption of normal menstrual function after weight-gain during a 5-year period (2014-2019) while engaged in high training load and competition. The athlete ( $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$  3.54 L/min, 64 ml/min/kg, PPO 300 W, 5.4 W/kg) reported amenorrhea(2013-2015)/oligomenorrhea(2015-2018). Training load increased from 2014 to 2019 (584 to 818 h/year and 26707 to 41945 Training Stress Score/year). Regular menses (every 23-35 days) resumed in June 2018, ~5-6 months after a weight gain episode. During the period of menstrual dysfunction body mass was  $51.3 \pm 2.25$  kg (mean  $\pm 95\%$  CL), and fat percentage 19% (Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA), 2016), and after weight gain,  $56.8 \pm 2.63$  kg and fat percentage 25% (DXA, 2019). Crank-based power-meter data showed absolute mean maximal power (MMP; watts) improvement over the 5s-4 h range through the 2014-2019 period, while relative MMP (watts/kg) likely peaked in the 2015-16 season for 5min, 20min and 30min but remained mostly unchanged across seasons. Results suggest that: 1)Best relative power-output associated with aerobic capacity (5min-1h) can be achieved during menstrual dysfunction, 2)High performance achieved despite an increase in body mass, and 3)Resumption of menses is achievable while maintaining high training loads when coupled with high energy availability.

**Key words:** Female athlete triad, endurance performance, energy availability, menstrual function

## Introduction

The female athlete triad has been identified as a condition where chronic low energy availability (LEA), is typically concomitant with menstrual dysfunction and/or low bone mineral density (Nattiv et al., 2007). Elements of the triad are often observed in athletes from sports focusing on leanness and low body weight (Torstveit, 2005), likely as a consequence of not providing enough energy to maintain normal function of physiological processes (Loucks et al., 2011). While the effects of LEA on physiological processes such as those related to reproductive function and bone formation/resorption are well established (De Souza et al., 2019), it is less clear what other physiological effects linked to physical capacity may be (De Souza et al., 2014; Mountjoy et al., 2018). The ‘Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport’ (RED-S) model emphasizes negative effects of LEA on performance (Mountjoy et al., 2018), but there is currently little evidence supporting this.

Additionally, the recovery time-course for resumption of menses with changes in energy status is not well characterised. Evidence suggests that increased energy availability slowly restores the normal hormonal milieu of energy-disrupted women (Loucks & Verdun, 1998), but proofs for the effectiveness of increased energy on actual resumption of menses in amenorrhoeic athletes over prolonged periods is limited (Stickler et al., 2019). Moreover, the interaction between the energy status, menstrual function and the heavy training load of elite athletes has never been addressed longitudinally.

The current case report shows for the first time detailed information on body mass and body composition data in relation to reported menstrual status together with detailed training records and markers of physical performance through the use of crank-based power meter data of an

elite female cyclist over a period of 5 years, evidencing resumption of regular menses after a prolonged period of menstrual dysfunction.

## **Athlete characteristics and methods**

### **The athlete**

The athlete was 23 years old in 2014. In mid-2014, after a 3.5 years break in training, she had been competing in road cycling at a club-level for <8 months. Through 2014-2019 she progressed to compete at a national level in Australia and internationally in Oceania consistently achieving podium finishes in major cycling road races and time-trials in these circuits.

Standard laboratory testing (Hawley & Noakes, 1992) determined 300 W and 5.39 W/kg of absolute and relative PPO respectively, and 3.54 L/min and 63.6 ml/kg/min of absolute and relative  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  respectively in June 2014.

The athlete read the case study in its entirety and provided written record of approval of this manuscript.

### **Body mass**

Body mass was recorded repeatedly by the athlete throughout the period of October 2014 through to June 2019 using bathroom scales (October 2014-July 2016, analog scale, unbranded, July 2016-June 2019 Tanita BC-582, Tokyo, Japan) in the morning upon waking and after voiding, wearing minimal clothing, and recorded on-line (TrainingPeaks, Boulder, CO, USA).

These data were analysed utilising a dedicated tool to determine likelihood of individuals' changes from a trend ([sportsci.org/2017/wghtrend.htm](https://sportsci.org/2017/wghtrend.htm)).

## **Training log and data collection**

Training logs were kept for all training/racing sessions from June 2014 to June 2019 including recordings of crank-based power-output, heart-rate, global positioning system (GPS)-based speed and altitude, and rate of perceived exertion and relevant notes.

Five different crank-based power-meter units were used through the recording period (SRM (Jülich, Welldorf, Germany), Power2max (Saxonar GmbH, Nieder Seifersdorf, Germany; two units), Pioneer (Pioneer, Tokyo, Japan) and 4iiii precision (Cochrane, Alberta, Canada)).

Integrity and consistency of units was checked when changed by comparing power data to heart rate and climbing times.

Power data were analysed using specialised software (WKO4 TrainingPeaks, Boulder, CO, USA) with training load was quantified using Training Stress Score (TSS) (Allen & Coggan, 2012).

## **Weight loss interventions**

Two weight loss interventions lasting 6 weeks were devised for competitions (Figure 1). For intervention #1, a food-plan aimed at achieving ~1845 kcal/day (range 1040-3443 kcal/day, depending on training demands) and ranges of macronutrients as follows: protein 1.9-2.8 g/kg/day, fat 0.45-1.85 g/kg/day, CHO 0.6-8.8 g/kg/day. During intervention #2, a color-coded plan indicated carbohydrate amounts of meals on a meal-by-meal basis to self-select food sources providing ~50-150 g CHO per-meal and achieve 3-10 g CHO/kg/day to match the

demands of training (Impey et al., 2018), and instructed to repeatedly ingest ~25 g of high quality protein spread every ~3 h throughout the day (Areta et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2014), minimise fat intake and manipulate fibre intake (Melin et al., 2016).

## **Body composition**

Body composition was assessed using narrowed fan-beam Dual X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) (Lunar iDXAs, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA).

## **Low Energy Availability in Females Questionnaire (LEAF-Q)**

LEAF-Q (Melin et al., 2014) assessment was conducted in June 2019.

## **Menses**

Menstrual function was assessed based on the athlete's records, recalls and reports of menstrual bleeding.

## Results

### Body mass, body mass index & body composition.

Body mass records are reported on figure 1. From October 2014 up until November 2017, average body mass recorded was  $51.3 \pm 2.25$  kg (arithmetic mean  $\pm 95\%$  CL). Between November 2017 and February 2018 a body mass gain episode showed an increased to 58.2 kg and maintained at  $56.8 \pm 2.63$  kg thereafter showing a *very clear* (100% chance) increase above the trend in body mass prior to body mass gain. For the respective periods body mass index was  $18.8 \pm 0.83$  and  $20.8 \pm 0.97$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Body composition results are detailed in table 1.

### Weight loss interventions

Body mass reduced from 54 to 52.3 kg (1.7 kg decrease, 6-week intervention, rate of weight-loss 0.28 kg/week) during intervention #1, and from 59.2 to 55.9 kg (3.3 kg decrease, 6-week intervention, rate of weight-loss 0.56 kg/week), during intervention #2.

### Total Training Load and Mean Maximal Power

The data presented represents a summary of 2384 cycling (96%) and 50 running (2%) training log files between June 2014 and June 2019. In addition, the athlete completed a total of 49 strength-training (2%) sessions.

#### *Data exclusion*

Data for 4iiii power-meter (14/12/2018-14/04/2019) was excluded from MMP analysis due to reporting ~20 W higher in all durations, but was kept for TSS calculation.

#### *Training Load*

Total annual training hours increased between 2014 and 2017 from 584 to 806 h/year and plateaued for the 2017-2019 period but TSS increased linearly at an average of 3809 TSS per year (Figure 2).

#### *Mean Maximal Power*

Absolute MMP of all durations (5sec-4 h) showed mostly improvements in absolute power (Watts) through the 2014-2019 period (Figure 3). Relative power (Watts/kg) seemed to peak in the 2015-16 season for 5min, 20min and 30min durations while 1min and 2-4 h durations MMP seemed to improve throughout this period.

#### **LEAF-Q Score**

Total score was 9 points (0 points from injuries, 2 points from gastrointestinal function, and 7 points from menstrual cycle). A score over 8 qualified her as ‘at risk’.

170   **Menses**

171

172   The athlete did not use birth-control hormonal replacement throughout the period, and reported  
173   late onset of menarche (17 years) and being amenorrhoeic from 2013 to 2015, oligomenorrhoeic  
174   from 2015 to 2018 reporting irregular cycles every 2-8 months with light bleeding. In late June  
175   2018, the athlete reported one significantly heavier bleeding and regular periods of 23-35 days  
176   and 2-5 days bleeding since, therefore it is considered she entered into a state of eumenorrhea  
177   then.



## Discussion

The main findings of this case-study are that 1) regular menses resumed after >4 years of menstrual dysfunction and ~5-6 months after body mass gain while maintaining high training load, 2) the highest relative MMP in durations 5min-1h were achieved during the period of menstrual dysfunction, while best absolute MMPs across a range of durations were achieved after body mass gain and during eumenorrhea, 3) A short (6-week) weight-loss intervention did not affect menses during the period of eumenorrhea.

This is the first long-term longitudinal analysis of an elite female endurance athlete resuming normal menses after a prolonged period of menstrual dysfunction while concomitantly exposed to a high training load. These results are important for understanding the interaction between energy balance, body composition, training load and physical capacity. In this context, these findings support prior laboratory-based research highlighting the importance of adequate energy availability for maintenance of normal physiological function of a range of systems (Loucks et al., 2011), as suggested by the triad and RED-S models (Mountjoy et al., 2018), with field data.

The menstrual disturbances observed through the period fell within a continuum ranging from amenorrhea to oligomenorrhea as it has previously been reported in exercising women (De Souza et al., 2010). These conditions are stipulated to happen due to physiological dysregulation when energy availability (EA) is reduced chronically under a threshold of ~30 kcal/kg/FFM/day (Loucks & Thuma, 2003). While this report includes no data on EA or hormonal status, the clinical signs documented of changes in body mass and composition, and menses frequency are considered as proxy markers of increase in energy availability (Hall, 2014) and re-adjustment of normal menstrual function, respectively.

The increase in body mass of ~5 kg during November 2017-February 2018 was unplanned and a consequence of the athlete's lifestyle change but indicates a significant increase in EA/energy surplus, followed by a period of maintenance of body mass ultimately resulting in resumption of regular menses ~5-6 months later (**Figure 1**). The sequence of events and the time-scales (months) are strongly suggestive of an increase in EA being what drove the resumption of menses. Acutely, increasing EA in energetically disrupted women results in a slow re-adjustment of the reproductive hormone circadian rhythm (Loucks & Verdun, 1998), while chronically, interventions increasing EA have shown to have the capacity to restore menses in exercising women after 23 days to 16 months (Łagowska et al., 2014; Mallinson et al., 2013; Stickler et al., 2019).

It is noteworthy that the resumption of menses happened despite a high training load (figure 2), which is on the upper end of that reported in a group of female cyclists of different levels (Sherk et al., 2014) and closer to that of one of the most successful female endurance athletes recorded (Solli et al., 2017). This again supports the concept that it is low energy availability and not high training load that disrupts normal physiological function (Loucks et al., 1998).

The maximal aerobic capacity of the athlete was comparable to that of female world-class cyclists (Martin et al., 2001), matching her high-level performance. Interestingly, the relative MMP for durations directly related to maximal aerobic capacity (5min to 1 h) seemed to peak in 2015-2016, when the athlete presented low body mass and was amenorrhoeic (Figure 1). It is remarkable that despite a significant increase in the body mass of the athlete (~5 kg or ~10%; Figure 1, table 1) that would be expected to decrease the relative power, a concomitant increase in absolute MMPs throughout the period (Figure 3, A, C & D) meant that relative MMP (Figure 3) remained practically unchanged (5min to 1 h) or even improved (30sec, 1min,  $\geq 2$  h). It is unclear, however, if the MMP improvement was due to an enhanced adaptation to training with increased energy availability, a response to an increased training load (Figure 2), or a

combination of both. In any case, the relative MMPs  $\leq 1$  h remained mostly unchanged over the last 3 seasons, while the absolute MMPs saw mostly improvements, which can be equated with a competitive advantage, particularly for cycling performance on flat cycling stages. Accordingly, despite the athlete consistently achieved podium finishes and wins in races of Australia competing at National level and in Oceania since 2016 with most meaningful performances (higher *Union Cycliste Internationale* points) were achieved in 2018-2019.

Finally, the intervention devised to decrease body mass during the period of eumenorrhea (intervention #2, Figure 1), resulted in no disruption of menses despite energy deficit, though we did not test if during this period the menses were ovulatory or anovulatory. This lack of perturbation of menses is in accordance to what has been shown in some individuals during short-term LEA (Lieberman et al., 2018) and in a case-study of periodic weight loss in an Olympic-level female middle distance runner (Stellingwerff, 2018), supporting the idea that periodised weight-loss may be an optimised approach to achieve peak performance while maintaining metabolic health.

In conclusion, this report shows novel data on the resumption of menses in parallel with increased training load and increased physical capacity and provides new insights into the understanding of the female athlete triad. The findings suggest that while best relative power may be achieved in periods of menstrual dysfunction, a balanced approach to health and performance would likely include periodised events of weight loss that can improve power/weight ratio with little or no perturbation to normal reproductive function.

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank and acknowledge the athlete for the systematic approach to training and dedication to the sport, and Prof. J.P. Morton and Dr. A. J. McCubbin for support in this case study.

JLA reports no sources of funding for this study. JLA reports no conflict of interest.

**Table 1.** Athlete's body composition assessed with two dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans 3 years apart in two different clinics using two units of the same brand and model of DXA scanner. DXA results are shown mainly to indicate the large change in fat mass. The change in this parameter well above any technical error of measurement between or within scan settings (Nana, 2013). The first scan corresponds to a period of oligomenorrhea and the second to a period of eumenorrhea. BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index.

	Measurement date		Change	
	14/7/16	18/7/19	Absolute	%
<b>Body fat %</b>	18.8	25	6.2	
<b>Body fat % Z score</b>	-1.227	-0.524		
<b>Body fat (kg)</b>	9.9	14.8	4.91	49.8
<b>Lean mass (kg)</b>	42.66	44.37	1.71	4.01
<b>BMC total (kg)</b>	2.228	2.239	0.01	0.49
<b>Total body BMD (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)</b>	1.102	1.121	0.02	1.72
<b>Total body BMD Z score</b>	0.7	0.6		
<b>DXA total mass (kg)</b>	54.8	61.4	6.6	12
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	19.5	22.4	2.9	13

268 **Figure legends.**

269 **Figure 1.** Individual body mass measurements in kg between October 2014 and June 2019.  
270 The figure specifies period of no menses (amenorrhea), irregular menses (oligomenorrhea) and  
271 the period in which regular menses resumed (eumenorrhea). The insert in the figure reports the  
272 body mass (average  $\pm$ SD) for the period prior to a body mass gain episode in 11/2017-02/2018  
273 vs the body mass after regular menses resumed. \*, represents a *very clear* (100% chance)  
274 increase above the trend in body mass prior to body mass gain. WLI#1 & WLI#2, weight loss  
275 intervention #1 and #2, respectively.

276


277 **Figure 2.** Yearly training load in hours and TSS from June of one year, to June of the  
278 following year. TSS: Training stress score.

279

280 **Figure 3.** Mean maximal power of durations 5sec to 4 h, in absolute values (A, C and E) and  
281 relative to body mass (B, D and F) for each year running from June of one year to June of the  
282 following year. kg, kilograms; W, watts.

283 **Figure 1.**


284



285


286 **Figure 2.**

287



288

289 **Figure 3.**





## References:

- Allen, H., & Coggan, A. (2012). *Training and Racing with a Power Meter* (2nd ed.). VeloPress.
- Areta, J. L., Burke, L. M., Ross, M. L., Camera, D. M., West, D. W. D., Broad, E. M., Jeacocke, N. A., Moore, D. R., Stellingwerff, T., Phillips, S. M., Hawley, J. A., & Coffey, V. G. (2013). Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. *The Journal of Physiology*, 591(9), 2319–2331. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2012.244897>
- De Souza, M. J., Koltun, K. J., Strock, N. C., & Williams, N. I. (2019). Rethinking the Concept of an Energy Availability Threshold and its Role in the Female Athlete Triad. *Current Opinion in Physiology*, S2468867319300458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2019.04.001>
- De Souza, M. J., Toombs, R. J., Scheid, J. L., O'Donnell, E., West, S. L., & Williams, N. I. (2010). High prevalence of subtle and severe menstrual disturbances in exercising women: Confirmation using daily hormone measures. *Human Reproduction*, 25(2), 491–503. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep411>
- De Souza, M. J., Williams, N. I., Nattiv, A., Joy, E., Misra, M., Loucks, A. B., Matheson, G., Olmsted, M. P., Barrack, M., Mallinson, R. J., Gibbs, J. C., Goolsby, M., Nichols, J. F., Drinkwater, B., Sanborn, C. (Barney), Agostini, R., Otis, C. L., Johnson, M. D., Hoch, A. Z., ... McComb, J. (2014). Misunderstanding the Female Athlete Triad: Refuting the IOC Consensus Statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48(20), 1461–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093958>

- 315 Hall, K. D. (2014). Estimating human energy intake using mathematical models. *The*  
 316 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 100(3), 744–745.  
 317 <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.094441>
- 318 Hawley, J. A., & Noakes, T. D. (1992). Peak power output predicts maximal oxygen uptake  
 319 and performance time in trained cyclists. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*  
 320 *and Occupational Physiology*, 65(1), 79–83.
- 321 Impey, S. G., Hearnis, M. A., Hammond, K. M., Bartlett, J. D., Louis, J., Close, G. L., &  
 322 Morton, J. P. (2018). Fuel for the work required: A theoretical framework for  
 323 carbohydrate periodization and the glycogen threshold hypothesis. *Sports Medicine*,  
 324 48(5), 1031–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0867-7>
- 325 Łagowska, K., Kapczuk, K., & Jeszka, J. (2014). Nine-month nutritional intervention  
 326 improves restoration of menses in young female athletes and ballet dancers. *Journal*  
 327 *of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 11(1), 52.  
 328 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-014-0052-9>
- 329 Lieberman, J. L., De Souza, M. J., Wagstaff, D. A., & Williams, N. I. (2018). Menstrual  
 330 Disruption with Exercise Is Not Linked to an Energy Availability Threshold:  
 331 *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 50(3), 551–561.  
 332 <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001451>
- 333 Loucks, A. B., Kiens, B., & Wright, H. H. (2011). Energy availability in athletes. *Journal of*  
 334 *Sports Sciences*, 29(sup1), S7–S15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2011.588958>
- 335 Loucks, A. B., & Thuma, J. R. (2003). Luteinizing Hormone Pulsatility Is Disrupted at a  
 336 Threshold of Energy Availability in Regularly Menstruating Women. *The Journal of*  
 337 *Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 88(1), 297–311.  
 338 <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-020369>

- 339 Loucks, A. B., & Verdun, M. (1998). Slow restoration of LH pulsatility by refeeding in  
 340 energetically disrupted women. *The American Journal of Physiology*, 275(4 Pt 2),  
 341 R1218-1226.
- 342 Loucks, A. B., Verdun, M. & Heath, E. M.(With the Technical Assistance of T. Law, Sr. and  
 343 J. R. Thuma). (1998). Low energy availability, not stress of exercise, alters LH  
 344 pulsatility in exercising women. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 84(1), 37–46.  
 345 <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1998.84.1.37>
- 346 Mallinson, R. J., Williams, N. I., Olmsted, M. P., Scheid, J. L., Riddle, E. S., & De Souza, M.  
 347 (2013). A case report of recovery of menstrual function following a nutritional  
 348 intervention in two exercising women with amenorrhea of varying duration. *Journal*  
 349 *of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 10(1), 34.  
 350 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1550-2783-10-34>
- 351 Martin, D. T., McLean, B., Trewin, C., Lee, H., Victor, J., & Hahn, A. G. (2001).  
 352 Physiological Characteristics of Nationally Competitive Female Road Cyclists and  
 353 Demands of Competition: *Sports Medicine*, 31(7), 469–477.  
 354 <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200131070-00002>
- 355 Melin, A., Tornberg, Å. B., Skouby, S., Faber, J., Ritz, C., Sjödin, A., & Sundgot-Borgen, J.  
 356 (2014). The LEAF questionnaire: A screening tool for the identification of female  
 357 athletes at risk for the female athlete triad. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48(7),  
 358 540–545. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-093240>
- 359 Melin, A., Tornberg, Å. B., Skouby, S., Møller, S. S., Faber, J., Sundgot-Borgen, J., &  
 360 Sjödin, A. (2016). Low-energy density and high fiber intake are dietary concerns in  
 361 female endurance athletes: Energy availability and dietary intake. *Scandinavian*  
 362 *Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 26(9), 1060–1071.  
 363 <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12516>

- 364 Moore, D. R., Camera, D. M., Areta, J. L., & Hawley, J. A. (2014). Beyond muscle  
 365 hypertrophy: Why dietary protein is important for endurance athletes. *Applied*  
 366 *Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 39(9), 987–997.
- 367 Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J., Burke, L., Ackerman, K. E., Blauwet, C., Constantini, N.,  
 368 Lebrun, C., Lundy, B., Melin, A., Meyer, N., Sherman, R., Tenforde, A. S., Torstveit,  
 369 M. K., & Budgett, R. (2018). International Olympic Committee (IOC) Consensus  
 370 Statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S): 2018 Update.  
 371 *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 28(4), 316–331.  
 372 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0136>
- 373 Nana, A. (2013). *Reliability of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in assessing body*  
 374 *composition in elite athletes* [Thesis, RMIT University].  
 375 <https://researchbank.rmit.edu.au/eserv/rmit:160430/Nana.pdf>
- 376 Nattiv, A., Loucks, A. B., Manore, M. M., Sanborn, C. F., Sundgot-Borgen, J., Warren, M.  
 377 P., & American College of Sports Medicine. (2007). American College of Sports  
 378 Medicine position stand. The female athlete triad. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*  
 379 *Exercise*, 39(10), 1867–1882. <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e318149f111>
- 380 Sherk, V. D., Barry, D. W., Villalon, K. L., Hansen, K. C., Wolfe, P., & Kohrt, W. M.  
 381 (2014). Bone Loss Over 1 Year of Training and Competition in Female Cyclists:  
 382 *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 24(4), 331–336.  
 383 <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000050>
- 384 Solli, G. S., Tønnessen, E., & Sandbakk, Ø. (2017). The Training Characteristics of the  
 385 World's Most Successful Female Cross-Country Skier. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8,  
 386 1069. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.01069>
- 387 Stellingwerff, T. (2018). Case Study: Body Composition Periodization in an Olympic-Level  
 388 Female Middle-Distance Runner Over a 9-Year Career. *International Journal of Sport*

- 389        *Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 28(4), 428–433.  
390        <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2017-0312>
- 391    Stickler, L. G., Hoogenboom, B. J., & Brown, J. (2019). The Impact of Nutritional  
392        Intervention on Menstrual Dysfunction in Female Athletes: A Systematic Review. *SN*  
393        *Comprehensive Clinical Medicine*, 1(9), 669–676. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s42399-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42399-019-00107-z)  
394        019-00107-z
- 395    Torstveit, M. K. (2005). Participation in leanness sports but not training volume is associated  
396        with menstrual dysfunction: A national survey of 1276 elite athletes and controls.  
397        *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 39(3), 141–147.  
398        <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2003.011338>  
399