

Oil palm 'slash-and-burn' practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland

Selvakumar Dhandapani^{a,b(#)}, Stephanie Evers^{a,b,c}.

Affiliations

^aSchool of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.

^bTropical Catchment Research Initiative (TROCARI), Malaysia.

^cSchool of Biosciences, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Semenyih, Malaysia.

(#) Corresponding author: Selvakumar Dhandapani,

Postal address: The Birmingham Institute of Forest Research (BIFoR), The University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT.

Telephone: +44 7413649444

Email address: sccalva@gmail.com

Abstract

Fire is one of the major issues facing Southeast Asian peatlands causing socio-economic, human health and climate crises. Many of these fires in the region are associated with land clearing or management practices for oil palm plantations. Here we study the direct post-fire impacts of slash-and-burn oil palm agriculture on greenhouse gas emissions, peat physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions were measured using Los Gatos ultraportable greenhouse gas analyser one month after a fire in dry season and five months after the fire event, in wet season. Surface soil samples were collected from each individual GHG measurement points, along with 50 cm cores from both burnt and non-burnt control areas for lab analyses. As an immediate post-fire impact, carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) emissions, pH, electrical conductivity, and all macronutrient concentrations except nitrogen (N) were increased multi-fold, while the redox potential, carbon (C) and N content were greatly reduced in the burnt region. While some of the properties such as CO₂ emissions, and electrical conductivity reverted to normal after five months, other properties such as CH₄ emissions, pH and nutrient concentrations remained high in the burnt region. This study also found very high loss of surface peat C content in the burnt region post fire, which is irreversible. The results also show that surface peat layers up to 20 cm depth were affected the most by slash-and-burn activity in oil palm agriculture, however the intensity of fire can vary widely between different oil palm management and needs further research to fully understand the long term and regional impacts of such slash-and-burn activity in tropical peatlands.

Keywords: tropical peat fire; oil palm; carbon dioxide; methane; land use change; burnt peatlands.

1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental challenges of the 21st century is to meet the global food and energy needs of the growing population, whilst conserving nature and soil carbon (C) storage to mitigate climate change (Godfray et al., 2010). Oil palm is considered as a crop for the future to serve these global needs, owing to its high productivity and versatility in usage (Abdullah et al., 2009; Corley, 2009; Tan et al., 2009). Oil palm has become the most consumed vegetable oil in the world over the past decade, and already represents 30% of the global usage (Lam et al., 2019). However, as oil palm is an equatorial crop, this has come at the expense of some of the world's most biodiverse and C rich ecosystems (Corley, 2009; Koh and Wilcove, 2008; Murdiyarso et al., 2010; Murdiyarso et al., 2019). For the same reason, oil palm has a higher C footprint than most other vegetable oil crops (Schmidt, 2015), with its expansion into tropical peatlands further increasing its C footprint (Reijnders and Huijbregts, 2008).

Oil palm is native to West Africa, and was first introduced to Malaysia as an ornamental plant in 1875 (Abdullah et al., 2009) and then commercially as a crop of choice for agricultural diversification in 1917 (Rashid et al., 2013). The initial expansion of oil palm plantations was in mineral soil at the expense of other agricultural plantations such as cocoa, rubber and coconut (Basiron, 2007; Dhandapani, 2015). Since then, oil palm has rapidly expanded in Southeast Asia, greatly expanding into peatlands from early 1990s (Miettinen et al., 2012; Shevade and Loboda, 2019). As a consequence, Malaysia had the highest 21st century deforestation rate globally (Hansen et al., 2013). A forested land should be completely cleared of vegetation, drained and levelled to establish an oil palm plantation (Luskin and Potts, 2011). The lowering of water table in peatlands make them highly susceptible for fire, as the dry peat itself is highly flammable (Posa et al., 2011). Unlike contexts involving mineral soils, peat fires can sustain both above and below ground, profoundly affecting surface and subsurface biota and seed bank, damaging the vegetation structure (Posa et al., 2011; Turetsky et al., 2015; Uda et al., 2017).

The forest fires in Southeast Asia are often associated with land clearing for oil palm plantations (Chazdon, 1998; Dennis et al., 2005). An estimated 60,000 peatland fires have occurred in Indonesia between 1997 and 2007, causing harmful haze (Tan et al., 2009). About 2.6 million hectares of land was burned in Indonesia between June and October of 2015, which led Indonesian president to cancel existing concession on agricultural expansion and make conversion of peatlands to agricultural land illegal (World Bank, 2016). However, despite this, fires and the use of fire in peatland agriculture persists in both Malaysia and Indonesia. Furthermore, the use of fire is not just confined to the initial conversion and establishment stage, it is also commonly used in ‘slash-and-burn’ to burn the waste from previous generation oil palms to clear land for the next generation of crops. Slash-and-burn practice has been used all round the world as a quick short term activity to shift agriculture or for land clearing (Myllyntaus et al., 2002). Even though under certain circumstances in some ecosystems, slash-and-burn practice can be sustainable (Kleinman et al., 1995; Myllyntaus et al., 2002), it is certainly not sustainable in tropical peatlands in any circumstance, as dried peat itself is highly flammable, and fundamentally any agriculture involving drainage itself in tropical peatlands is not sustainable (Evers et al., 2017).

Fires in such tropical peatlands can convert large amount of C stored in peat for millennia into carbon dioxide (CO₂) and cause socio-economic, human health, pollution and climate crises (Cheong et al., 2019; Page et al., 2002; Turetsky et al., 2015; Wiggins et al., 2018). Tropical peatlands in their natural undisturbed state are fire resistant, and historically fire had not played any significant role in tropical peatland ecology (Turetsky et al., 2015). Most of these fires have anthropogenic origin such as clearing of forest land for oil palm or similar agricultural use, and slash-and-burn agricultural practices (Page et al., 2002). As tropical peatlands are naturally acidic, this deliberate use of fire for agricultural practices brings short-term advantages such as increase in pH and reduced cost for land conversion (Islam et al., 2016).

Though there were some research and estimates of direct emission from fire as smoke, haze or CO₂ (Cheong et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2018; Islam et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2018; Varkkey, 2013; Varkkey, 2016; Wiggins et al., 2018), the subsequent impact of fire on peat physico-chemical properties and long term peat surface GHG emissions are not well documented.

Peat fires can continue for a long time, lasting for months in the form of smouldering, persistent flameless low-heat combustion of organic matter (Ohlemiller, 1985; Rein, 2013). Smouldering in peatlands can be a complex process with interactions between aerobic and anaerobic conditions, where pyrolysis of porous fuel occurs in high temperature at anaerobic conditions, producing char (Ohlemiller, 1985; Rein, 2013) and the produced char is then oxidised when it comes in contact with atmospheric oxygen, simultaneously producing and burning char during the process (Rein, 2013). These complex interactions of aerobic and anaerobic conditions along with other variations in intensity of fire and peat moisture levels can greatly impact peat physico-chemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity and redox potential, yet these properties are not well documented for fire affected tropical peatlands.

The limited number of studies that do exist, have shown that peat fire significantly reduces the organic matter content of the peat soil (Sazawa et al., 2018), as that very organic matter is lost as C gases during the fire (Wiggins et al., 2018). Sazawa et al. (2018) also found that fire and resultant heat dehydrates and denatures organic matter, which leaves fire affected peat more susceptible to greater C losses, either through oxidation or repeated fire. Fire is generally found to increase both total and available nutrient concentrations in mineral soils due to disintegration of complex forms held in plants, and ash addition (Giardina et al., 2000; Van Reuler and Janssen, 1993). This increase in available nutrients make soils more fertile, which is another motive for the practice of slash-and-burn agriculture (Giardina et al., 2000). There are also instances when total nutrient concentrations increased immediately after fire and then levelled

off after few months (Kutiel and Naveh, 1987). The decrease in nutrient concentrations after few months may be because some of the nutrients are highly prone to leaching (Beliveau et al., 2015; Ulery et al., 1993). Similar increase immediately after a fire was also observed for other physico-chemical characteristics such as pH and electrical conductivity because of the addition of ash (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Gay-Des-Combes et al., 2017), before reverting back to normal over time (Kutiel and Naveh, 1987). Fire may also effect increased mineralisation indirectly through soil microbial processes (Gay-Des-Combes et al., 2017). This is especially important in nutrient poor and acidic tropical peatlands, where fire is seen as a short-term and cost-effective solution to make peat more cultivable. Naturally, tropical peat is a very complex soil system and many of the environmental and microbiological interactions are understudied and not well known (Dhandapani et al., 2019c). The interactions of fire in such a complex soil system that is, in itself flammable (Rein, 2013; Uda et al., 2017), needs a more intensive and greater number of research with varying environmental parameters to comprehend and infer a cause and effect pattern.

In this study, we aim to evaluate the direct post-fire impact of slash-and-burn agriculture on peat physico-chemical properties, nutrient concentrations and GHG emissions. We hypothesise that fire increases both CO₂ and methane (CH₄) emission as an immediate post-fire effect. We also hypothesise that fire significantly affects peat physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations at surface layers, driven by high heat denaturing organic matter and the addition of ash increasing pH and nutrient concentrations. We postulate that changes in peat physico-chemical properties exhibit significant correlation with GHG emissions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study site and sampling strategy

The study site ($3^{\circ}25'17.7''\text{N}$ $101^{\circ}18'44.4''\text{E}$) is located at Kampong Raja Musa village in North Selangor peatlands, Malaysia (Fig 1). The climatic conditions of the site is tropical, and the soil classification comes under Histosols. The North Selangor peatland complex is the second largest area of peatland in Peninsular Malaysia, and is located adjacent to Thennamaram region, where oil palm was first commercially planted in Malaysia. The site is bordered with oil palm monocropping, polyculture consisting of oil palm, yam and pineapple, pineapple monocropping under an electric pylon trail, and a gravel road on the fourth side. The study site and all the neighbouring land-use type blocks are roughly 2 ha in size each, consistent with other small-holdings in the village (Dhandapani et al., 2019a,b). Two drainage ditches run as two parallel borders with the oil palm monoculture, and the oil palm, yam and pineapple polyculture. There is no drainage ditch within the site itself (Fig 2). The previous generation of oil palm monoculture was cleared and the waste such as dead wood and fronds were stacked in two parallel lines within the site. The stacked piles were burnt on June 2018, but with the fire front extending into the peat itself, and smoke still visible from smouldering of the peat surface for few weeks. The depth of burn was uneven throughout the burnt region, with surface reaching mineral layer in some parts, and some other parts still containing peat to a depth of at least 50 cm from surface. New young oil palm rows were planted in non-burnt area in July 2018. Alongside this, a pineapple crop was planted in all open area in the non-burnt region and a banana crop was planted in rows in the burnt area, in between dry season (July 2018) and wet season (December 2018) sampling. Complete random sampling was carried out with 20 GHG measurements and surface peat (0-5 cm) collection each for burnt and non-burnt region during August 2018 dry season.

All sampling points were at least 1 metre away from each other. The same method was used for December 2018 wet season sampling with reduced number of measurements to 10 each for burnt and non-burnt region. The dry season measurement points were not the exact

measurement points used for the wet season, however they are in the same general area of burnt and non-burnt locations used for the wet season measurements. The measurement points from the first sampling were not marked with collars in order to minimise the disturbances from disturbance from our field measurements, and hence a different set of random measurement points in the same region were used for wet season sampling. Weather information for each sampling period is given in Table 1. During the dry season sampling, 3 peat cores to a depth of 50 cm were collected for each burnt and non-burnt region. In some areas of burnt area peat was completely burnt and mineral layers was reached at the surface. Selective sampling was carried out for core collection, unlike complete random sampling for GHG measurements and associated surface peat collection. The 3 peat cores for burnt region were collected from burnt areas with peat remaining and not the areas with surface mineral exposure, to characterise the impact on leftover peat through the peat depth profile.

2.2. Greenhouse gas emissions

CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from the peat surface were measured using a Los Gatos (San Jose, California, USA) ultraportable greenhouse gas analyser as described in Dhandapani et al. (2019a,b,c). The gas measurements were made using closed dynamic chamber method with an inlet and outlet connecting to the gas analyser. The dynamic chamber was inserted up to 1 cm into the peat during each measurement, to seal the chamber to the surface. The chamber was 15 cm high with 27 cm diameter. The Los Gatos gas analyser was set to record the gas flux changes within the chamber every 20 seconds, and measurement was made at each sampling point for 3 minutes, where the measurements from the first minute were ignored allowing the gas concentrations inside the chamber to settle down after initial disturbance. The gas concentrations were then converted to mg CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ and µg CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ for CO₂ and CH₄ respectively, as described in Dhandapani et al. (2019a,b,c).

2.3. Peat analyses

The procedure used for peat analyses were based on Dhandapani et al., (2019a,b,c). Peat temperature was measured *in situ*, using a digital thermometer Cosmark PDT300 (Norwich, UK). Peat samples were collected for measuring gravimetric moisture. For this, fresh peat was dried in an oven at 105°C for 48 hours. The gravimetric moisture was calculated as follows:

Gravimetric moisture (%) = Mass of the water lost in oven drying/ mass of oven dried peat

For pH, redox and electric conductivity measurements, 5 mL volume of peat sample was diluted in 10 mL deionised water in a centrifuge tube and shaken on a table shaker for 2 hours. The pH of the supernatant was then measured using a Eutech pH700 pH meter supplied by Thermo scientific (Loughborough, UK). The redox potential and electrical conductivity were measured using Eutech Ion 2100 (Thermo scientific, Loughborough, UK) and Groline HI98331 probe (Hanna, Leighton Buzzard, UK), respectively.

For analysing total C and nitrogen (N) content, all samples were oven dried (105°C for 48 h) and finely ground using a Fritsch mortar grinder pulveristte 2 (Brackley, UK). Approximately 70 mg of sample was weighed into a Skalar ceramic crucible and the exact weight was recorded. The samples were then transferred to an auto sampler in Skalar primacs series SNC100 TC TN analyser (Breda, The Netherlands) and analysed for C and N content.

2.4. Nutrient analyses

Total nutrient concentrations of phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sulphur (S) and potassium (K) in peat were analysed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS). For this, approximately 0.15 g of oven dried (105°C for 48 h) and ground peat were weighed in microwave digestion tubes (MAR SXpress vessels, CEM Microwave Technology Ltd., Buckingham, UK.). The digestion tubes are sealed with a stopper and a screw lid, after adding 10 mL of nitric acid to each sample. The digestion tubes were then placed in a

MARSXpress microwave (CEM Microwave Technology Ltd., Buckingham, UK.) and run at 1600 W & 100% power with a ramp for 20 minutes and held for 20 minutes at 170°C. The tubes were left overnight in the microwave to cool down. The digested samples were then filtered and made up to 30 mL using milliQ water. Then, 1 mL of each sample were transferred in to 10 mL tube and further diluted with 9 mL of milliQ water. The samples were then analysed using 'Agilent Technologies (Milton Keynes, UK) 7900 ICP-MS' fitted with 'SPS 4' autosampler.

2.5. Statistical analyses

All the statistical analyses were carried out using Genstat® 17th edition (VSN international, 2017). The significance of differences between sites for greenhouse gas emissions, nutrient concentrations and other physico-chemical properties were evaluated using linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood (REML) incorporating conditions (burnt or non-burnt) and season (August and December 2018) as fixed affects. Similar REML was also performed incorporating condition and depth as fixed effects, to identify the changes with depth. For the data sets that were not normally distributed, the data were log transformed. For data that did not meet normality assumption after log transformation, Boxcox transformation was used. Normality was assessed by visual examination of 4 different residual plots, namely histogram of residuals, fitted-value plot, normal plot and half-normal plot. Backward stepwise multiple regression was performed with CO₂ and CH₄ as response variables and nutrient concentration and other physico-chemical properties as fitted terms. Statistical significance was assessed at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Greenhouse gas emissions

CO₂ emissions in the burnt region were twice as high as the CO₂ emissions from the non-burnt region in the dry season one month after the fire incident (Fig 3a; Table 2). In wet season, five months after the fire incident, CO₂ emissions in the burnt region levelled off to the same level as non-burnt region. The difference between the seasons were significant, while the interactions between regions and season were not significant (Table 2).

CH₄ emissions also varied significantly between the burnt and non-burnt regions (Fig 3b; Table 2). CH₄ emissions were multi-fold higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region for both seasons, while the seasonal variations and interaction terms were not significant (Table 2).

3.2. Surface peat/soil properties

Peat surface temperature did not significantly differ between burnt and non-burnt regions, with significantly higher temperature in dry season than in wet season (Fig 4a; Table 2). The wet season temperature was slightly yet significantly different between the regions, resulting in significant interactions terms. Gravimetric moisture did not significantly vary between the burnt and non-burnt regions, while both regions had significantly higher gravimetric moisture content in wet season than in dry season with no significant interaction between region and season (Fig 4b; Table 2). During the dry season, electrical conductivity was more than 3 times higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region resulting in significant difference between the regions. Electrical conductivity was greatly reduced in wet season compared to that of the dry season, resulting in significant seasonal variation (Fig 4c; Table 2). During the wet season there was no significant difference in electrical conductivity between the two regions while the difference was significant in dry season, resulting in significant interactions (Fig 4c; Table 2). Both redox potential and pH were significantly higher in the burnt region

than in the non-burnt region, with no significant difference between seasons nor significant interactions (Fig 4d,e; Table 2).

3.3. Surface peat/soil C and nutrient content

C content in the non-burnt region was more than double the content in the burnt region, with no significant difference between seasons or interactions (Fig 5a; Table 2). N content was also significantly higher in the non-burnt region than in the burnt region, and both regions had significantly higher N content in wet season than in the dry season, with no significant interactions between region and season (Fig 5b; Table 2). The C:N ratio was higher in the non-burnt region during the dry season and the ratio was higher in the non-burnt region for wet season, resulting in significant seasonal variations and significant interaction terms (Fig 5c; Table 2).

All the rest of the macronutrients except N and S were greater in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region and only S and K varied significantly between seasons with lower concentrations for the burnt region in wet season (Fig 5d-h; Table 2). All macronutrients except K exhibited significant interactions between seasons, as most nutrient concentrations did not significantly differ between seasons in the non-burnt region (Fig 5d-h; Table 2).

3.4. Changes with peat depth

Electrical conductivity and pH were significantly higher in the burnt than in non-burnt region, while the variations with depth and the interactions were not significant (Fig 6a,c; Table 3). Gravimetric moisture in the non-burnt region was significantly higher than that of burnt region in all depths (fig 6b,c; Table 3). The gravimetric moisture increased up to 20-30 cm and 30-40 cm for burnt and non-burnt region respectively (fig 6b; Table 3) and then showed a slight decrease in deepest layers. Redox potential was significantly lower in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region in the top 3 surface layers upto the depth of 30 cm (Fig 6d; Table 3).

Redox potential increased with depth in the burnt region while the redox potential in the surface was slightly lowered in 10-20 cm and stayed at the same level in the deeper layers, resulting in significant seasonal variations and interaction terms (Fig 6d; Table 3).

C content was significantly greater in the non-burnt region than in the burnt region with no significant variations with depth nor interactions (Fig 7a; Table 3). N content and C:N ratio did not significantly vary between regions or depth, with no significant interactions between region and depth (fig 7b,c; Table 3).

All macronutrient concentrations except S and N were significantly greater in the burnt than in the non-burnt region (Fig 7d-h; Table 3). Mg, P and Ca concentrations significantly decreased with depth, which is more pronounced in the burnt region (Table 3). Mg and Ca exhibited significant interactions between region and depth, as the concentration in the burnt region decreased with depth whilst the concentrations in the non-burnt region stayed at the same level throughout the depths. K and S did not show any significant variations with depth, nor any significant interaction between region and depth (Fig 7f,g; Table 3).

3.5. GHG emissions and environmental controls

Backward stepwise multiple regression showed that CO₂ emissions were positively correlated with pH and electrical conductivity and negatively correlated with P and K concentrations (Table 4).

Similar regression for CH₄ emissions showed that the CH₄ emissions were negatively correlated with pH and P concentrations, and positively correlated with Ca concentrations (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Drainage for agriculture in peatlands were known to increase the CO₂ emissions with exposure of peat to aerobic decomposition (Couwenberg et al., 2010; Dhandapani et al., 2019a; 2019c), this study shows that the use of fire in management practices results in further increase in CO₂ emissions post fire (Fig 3a). There are several factors that may have played a part in this increase in emissions in fire affected region, such as increased pH and increased concentrations of macronutrients. It should be noted that the CO₂ emissions decreased and levelled off with that of non-burnt region after few months in the wet season, while the CO₂ emissions from the non-burnt region did not significantly vary between the seasons, suggesting that this artefact was not just seasonal variations of CO₂ emissions, but rather a reverting of emissions along a temporal gradient since the fire. However, while CO₂ emissions of pristine, secondary peat forests and first generation oil palm showing no significant seasonal variations, seasonal variation have been observed in second generation agricultural systems in the area (Dhandapani et al., unpublished; Dhandapani et al., 2019a; 2019c; 2020).

The observed increase in CO₂ emissions post fire may be due to intense disturbance during fire and subsequent changes in peat physicochemical properties such as increased pH, electrical conductivity and nutrient concentrations (Fig 4c-e; Fig 5d-h), along with breakdown of complex organic matter due to fire and new exposure of deeper peat layers to aerobic conditions (Sazawa et al., 2018). However, this increase is short lived as the surface conditions are stabilised over time and also possibly because of greater loss of newly acquired nutrients over time (Fig 4c; Fig 5d-h). This trend of initial increase and consequent decline in CO₂ emissions post fire was previously observed by Astiani et al. (2018) in West Kalimantan peatlands. It should be noted that in Astiani et al. (2018)'s study, the peak in emission was observed in the 9th month post fire, and emissions stabilising at the 11th month post fire. The stabilisation of emissions in 5th month post fire (Fig 3a) in our study may be due to a lower intensity localised fire in our agricultural study site, compared to high intensity fire burning much greater forest

biomass in Astiani et al. (2018)'s study that would likely result in greater disturbance, greater nutrient addition from resultant ash, and thus would require greater time to recover and stabilise.

CH₄ emissions were also significantly higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region and stayed at similar higher levels even into the wet-season, five months after the fire event (Fig 3b). It was previously observed that fire would make the peat dry and effect lower CH₄ emissions from the burnt areas because of the lack of moisture (Davidson et al., 2019), but this study has shown that at similar moisture levels, burnt peat has a potential for higher CH₄ emissions than the non-burnt peat. This higher CH₄ emissions in the fire-affected region may be due to the availability of more labile C due to the denaturation of peat by fire and resultant heat (Sazawa et al., 2018). Once the moisture level for anaerobic conditions are met, CH₄ emissions are dependent on the availability of labile C in peatlands (Couwenberg, 2009). Fire in peatlands are also known to reduce methanotrophic activity (Danilova et al., 2015), further helping the increase in CH₄ concentration in the burnt areas. However, it should be noted that CH₄ emissions are very low overall, with mean values under 0.1 mg m⁻²hr⁻¹, which is in line with the lower near zero fluxes observed in different oil palm agricultural plantations in the region. Southeast Asian peatlands are naturally low methane emitting landscapes (Dhandapani et al., 2019c; Hatano et al., 2016) compared to peatlands in other regions such as neotropics (Wright et al., 2013), boreal (Kettunen et al., 1996) or temperate regions (Abdalla et al., 2016). This validates our first hypothesis that greenhouse gas emissions are significantly increased in the fire affected burnt region in relation to the non-burnt region.

The surface peat temperature and moisture did not significantly vary between the burnt and non-burnt region, possibly because both regions are open with no ground cover to provide shade and cool down surface peat (Dhandapani et al., 2019a). The significant seasonal changes in both these properties were as expected (Dhandapani et al., 2019a), with higher moisture and

lower temperature in both regions during wet season, because of increased rainfall in wet season compared to the dry season (Global Environmental Centre, 2014). Similarly, higher moisture with increasing depth were also as expected, as the top layers in these agricultural peatlands are actively drained, and the surface layers are exposed to the sun, further facilitating increased temperature and evaporation in the surface layers. Most other physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations showed that the surface layers such as 0-10cm and 10-20cm showed significant difference between burnt and non-burnt regions before gradually narrowing in on the difference, to the same level in deeper layers starting from 20-30cm layer.

Redox potential is an important soil property which shows the electron exchange capacity for reduction and oxidation reactions that have great impact on nutrient availability and dynamics in soil by changing their electric charges (Niedermeier and Robinson, 2007; Søndergaard, 2009). The reduction in redox potential in the burnt region shows the possible lack of oxidants in the burnt area (Fiedler, 2000). This is likely to be caused by fire, as this difference is observed only in the surface layers, with deeper layers having same level of redox potential in the deepest layers for both burnt and non-burnt regions. Fire were known to accumulate new particulate C forms in mineral soil humus layers that are highly resistant to redox reactions (González-Pérez et al., 2004), a similar trend is observed here in peat soil where fire affected surface layers have low redox potential.

Electrical conductivity and pH increased one month after fire, however electrical conductivity levelled off with that of non-burnt region during wet season, five months after the fire activity. The increase in pH after fire has been widely reported in different soil environments (Chungu et al., 2019; Heydari et al., 2017; Kennard and Gholz, 2001; Scharenbroch et al., 2012; Zaccone et al., 2014), that also holds true for highly acidic tropical peatlands where pH was almost twice as high in the burnt region compared to non-burnt region (Fig 4e). This increase may be directly related to the addition of ash from burning (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Zaccone et al., 2014),

as shown by the significant increase only in the top 20 cm surface layers. Electrical conductivity exhibited very similar trend of change with depth as pH, possibly because of the same influence of ash addition in the top layers (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Zacccone et al., 2014). Electrical conductivity indicates the salt content and is considered a rough estimate of soil nutrients that influence important soil processes such as GHG emissions (Visconti and De Paz, 2016). The significant reduction of electrical conductivity and levelling off during the wet season possibly shows that many of the nutrients that are made available after fire (Beest et al., 2019), were leached off in the proceeding few months (Beliveau et al., 2015), aided by heavy rainfall during the wet season (Global Environmental Centre, 2014). This is also evident in reduced concentration of all macronutrients in the wet season (Fig 5).

All of the macronutrients except N increased in the burnt region one month after fire and slightly decreased over time, yet remained higher than the concentrations in the non-burnt region throughout the seasons, except for S that had lower concentration in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region in wet season. The nutrients that are strongly basic and form important salts such as Mg and Ca (Visconti and De Paz, 2016), explicably exhibited the same trend of change with depth as pH and electrical conductivity. P is also widely reported to increase in concentration in burnt peat and soil, as fire causes the release of P from organic materials (Beest et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2015). The increase in Ca, Mg and K concentrations in the burnt region can also be attributed to high content of these nutrient in wood ash that accumulated in the region as a result of burning previous generation oil palm stems (Kennard and Gholz, 2001). These nutrients are also found to be highly susceptible to leaching (Kennard and Gholz, 2001), explaining the lower concentrations in wet season sampling, few months after the fire event. C content was reduced to half in the surface layers, because of the C lost on the burning of organic peat on the surface (Wiggins et al., 2018). The peat cores were selectively collected in areas where the peat is not completely burned off, thus the difference

in C content between the surface layers in the cores and high replicate surface samples associated with GHG emission measurements (Fig 5a and 7a). However, it should be noted that lower number of replicate peat cores may also be a factor limiting the usability of these results. This validates our second hypothesis that peat physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations were significantly affected by fire, and most of these properties showed significant variations with depth.

These changes in physico-chemical properties were significantly correlated with CO₂ and CH₄ emissions, most visibly CO₂ emissions exhibited the same pattern of change as electrical conductivity and pH of surface peat. Multiple regression analyses showed that peat physico-chemical properties and total nutrient concentrations were strong predictors of change in CO₂ and CH₄ emissions (Table 4). CO₂ emissions from tropical peat of different land uses are known to exhibit positive correlations with pH (Dhandapani et al., 2019a) and our results show that the fire event resulting in sudden and steep increase in pH does not override this relationship. Inversely, CH₄ was negatively related with pH, this negative correlation has also been observed in northern peatlands (Weslien et al., 2009). Acidic condition is a prerequisite to functionalise precursors such as H₂/CO₂, acetic acid, formic acid, methanol and methylamine, for CH₄ production (Qing-Yu et al., 2019), thus explaining this functional relationship between pH and CH₄ emissions in the field.

The positive relationship between electrical conductivity or salinity and CO₂ emissions has been observed in other ecosystems (Capooci et al., 2019), however this is the first time such relationship is explored and reported in tropical peatlands. Though very high or excess salinity can be toxic and can negatively impact microbial activity and reduce CO₂ production (Setia et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2015), tropical peatlands are naturally nutrient poor (Sjögersten et al., 2011) and this increase in electrical conductivity due to fire boosted CO₂ production (Table 4). P concentrations were negatively related with both CO₂ and CH₄ emissions. Additionally, CO₂

emissions were negatively related with K, and CH₄ emissions were positively related with Ca. Nutrient dynamics (with the exception of N) and their interactions with other peat processes such as C cycling were not well documented in agricultural tropical peatlands. Addition of manures containing these macronutrients had different effects on GHG emissions in different soil types (Ren et al., 2017), and their cause and effect pattern in tropical peatlands need more *in situ* research coupled with controlled experiments to understand the interactions, especially considering that they exhibit significant functional correlations with GHG emissions. However, P is known to support methane oxidation reactions in soil (Veraart et al., 2015) and similarly limited addition of Ca compounds were known to support methane production in varied set ups (Khor et al., 2015; Lar et al., 2010), suggesting similar functional relationship in tropical peatlands. In line with this, Murakami et al. (2005) also found CH₄ potential increased with liming (CaCO₃ addition) in agricultural tropical peatlands. This validates our third hypothesis that the changes in soil physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations correlate with changes in GHG emissions.

As oil palm is a high biomass crop, there is a potential alternative for the biomass removed from previous generation to be used for biochar production, which then could be used to enrich, and return C back to agricultural peatlands, potentially retaining some of the benefits of slash-and-burn practice such as increased pH and nutrient concentrations (Bista et al., 2019; Kong et al., 2014; Liew et al., 2018). Such biochar can be produced using methods ranging from constructing a conical soil pit in the ground, and simple conical or retort kilns to modern microwave pyrolysis equipment (Liew et al., 2018; Arafat Hossain et al., 2017). However socio-economic feasibility, and practicality of such alternatives for biomass management are yet to be explored and researched. There is a need for increased research in understanding the holistic environmental and socio-economic impacts of slash-and-burn practice in tropical peatlands, and other alternative practices for land clearing and biomass management between

oil palm generations. This study is a step towards such understanding of the impacts of different practices. Further research addressing these issues will help us make informed suggestions on land use policies and management practices, for rapidly increasing area of agricultural tropical peatlands.

5. Conclusion

The use of fire for slash-and-burn management practice severely alters peat physico-chemical properties and increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and peat nutrient concentrations. While some of the properties such as CO₂ emissions, and electrical conductivity reverted back to normal level after few months, other properties such as CH₄ emissions, pH and nutrient concentrations remained high in the burnt region, though nutrient concentrations were significantly reduced over time, possibly due to leaching. This study has shown very high loss of surface peat C content due to fire, which is irreversible. This has important implications if such a loss occurred in all agricultural peatlands in the region through slash-and-burn activity. The practice of intercropping was previously known to prolong defining peat properties and ameliorate environmental impacts of oil palm agriculture in peat, but the current results show that use of fire as a management practice overrides any such benefits from intercropping, because of high C loss. The peat surface physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations also exhibited functional correlations with GHG emissions, providing new insights into complex interactions between different biogeochemical processes in tropical peatlands. The results also show that peat layers from surface to 20 cm depth were most affected by this particular slash-and-burn activity in oil palm agriculture. However, the intensity of fire can vary widely between different oil palm management and needs further research to fully understand the long term and regional impacts of such slash-and-burn activity in tropical peatlands.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost thanks to welcoming people of Kampong Raja Musa village and Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. The fieldtrips were supported by Liverpool John Moores University (Faculty of Science) Early Career Fellowship awarded to Stephanie Evers. The lab analyses were supported by The School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University. We are also thankful to Phil Salmon and other technicians who assisted us with lab instrumentation in Liverpool John Moores University.

6. References

- Abdalla M, Hastings A, Truu J, Espenberg M, Mander Ü, Smith P. Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options. *Ecology and Evolution* 2016; 6: 7080-7102.
- Abdullah AZ, Salamatinia B, Mootabadi H, Bhatia S. Current status and policies on biodiesel industry in Malaysia as the world's leading producer of palm oil. *Energy Policy* 2009; 37: 5440-5448.
- Arafat Hossain M, Ganesan P, Jewaratnam J, Chinna K. Optimization of process parameters for microwave pyrolysis of oil palm fiber (OPF) for hydrogen and biochar production. *Energy Conversion and Management* 2017; 133: 349-362.
- Astiani D, Curran LM, Burhanuddin, Taherzadeh M, Mujiman, Hatta M, et al. Fire-driven biomass and peat carbon losses and post-fire soil CO₂ emission in a West Kalimantan peatland forest. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 2018; 30: 570-575.
- Bang-Andreasen T, Nielsen JT, Voriskova J, Heise J, Rønn R, Kjøller R, et al. Wood Ash Induced pH Changes Strongly Affect Soil Bacterial Numbers and Community Composition. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2017; 8.

507 Basiron Y. Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.*
508 2007; 109: 289-295.

509 Beest, Petrone, Nwaishi, Waddington, Macrae. Increased peatland nutrient availability
510 following the Fort McMurray Horse River wildfire. *Diversity* 2019; 11: 142.

511 Beliveau A, Davidson R, Lucotte M, Do Canto Lopes LO, Paquet S, Vasseur C. Early effects
512 of slash-and-burn cultivation on soil physicochemical properties of small-scale farms
513 in the Tapajós region, Brazilian Amazon. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 2015;
514 153: 205-221.

515 Bista P, Ghimire R, Machado S, Pritchett L. Biochar Effects on Soil Properties and Wheat
516 Biomass vary with Fertility Management. *Agronomy* 2019; 9: 623.

517 Capooci M, Barba J, Seyfferth AL, Vargas R. Experimental influence of storm-surge salinity
518 on soil greenhouse gas emissions from a tidal salt marsh. *Science of The Total*
519 *Environment* 2019; 686: 1164-1172.

520 Chazdon RL. Ecology - Tropical forests - Log 'em or leave 'em? *Science* 1998; 281: 1295-
521 1296.

522 Cheong KH, Ngiam NJ, Morgan GG, Pek PP, Tan BYQ, Lai JW, et al. Acute Health Impacts
523 of the Southeast Asian Transboundary Haze Problem-A Review. *International Journal*
524 *of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019; 16: 18.

525 Chungu D, Ng'andwe P, Mubanga H, Chileshe F. Fire alters the availability of soil nutrients
526 and accelerates growth of *Eucalyptus grandis* in Zambia. *Journal of Forestry Research*
527 2019.

528 Corley RHV. How much palm oil do we need? *Environmental Science & Policy* 2009; 12: 134-
529 139.

530 Couwenberg J. Methane emissions from peat soils (organic soils, histosols) Facts, MRV-
531 ability, emission factors., Bonn, Germany, 2009.

532 Couwenberg J, Dommain R, Joosten H. Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in
 533 south-east Asia. *Global Change Biology* 2010; 16: 1715-1732.

534 Danilova OV, Belova SE, Kulichevskaya IS, Dedysh SN. Decline of activity and shifts in the
 535 methanotrophic community structure of an ombrotrophic peat bog after wildfire.
 536 *Microbiology* 2015; 84: 624-629.

537 Davidson SJ, Van Beest C, Petrone R, Strack M. Wildfire overrides hydrological controls on
 538 boreal peatland methane emissions. *Biogeosciences* 2019; 16: 2651-2660.

539 Dennis RA, Mayer J, Applegate G, Chokkalingam U, Colfer CJP, Kurniawan I, et al. Fire,
 540 people and pixels: Linking social science and remote sensing to understand underlying
 541 causes and impacts of fires in Indonesia. *Human Ecology* 2005; 33: 465-504.

542 Dhandapani S. Biodiversity Loss Associated with Oil Palm Plantations in Malaysia: Serving
 543 the Need versus Saving the Nature. 4th International Conference On Biodiversity. 4:3.
 544 *Journal of Ecosystem and Ecography*, Las Vegas, USA, 2015.

545 Dhandapani S, Girkin NT, Evers S, Ritz K, Sjögersten S. Is intercropping an environmentally-
 546 wise alternative to established oil palm monoculture in tropical peatlands? *Frontiers in*
 547 *Forests and Global Change* 2020; 3:70.

548 Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Sjögersten S. Environmental impacts as affected by different
 549 oil palm cropping systems in tropical peatlands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems &*
 550 *Environment* 2019a; 276: 8-20.

551 Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Sjögersten S. GHG emission under different cropping systems
 552 in some Histosols of Malaysia. *Geoderma Regional* 2019b; 18: e00229.

553 Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Yule CM, Sjögersten S. Are secondary forests second-rate?
 554 Comparing peatland greenhouse gas emissions, chemical and microbial community
 555 properties between primary and secondary forests in Peninsular Malaysia. *Science of*
 556 *The Total Environment* 2019c; 655: 220-231.

557 Evers S, Yule CM, Padfield R, O'Reilly P, Varkkey H. Keep wetlands wet: the myth of
558 sustainable development of tropical peatlands - implications for policies and
559 management. *Global Change Biology* 2017; 23: 534-549.

560 Fiedler S. In Situ Long-Term-Measurement of Redox Potential in Redoximorphic Soils. In:
561 Schüring J, Schulz HD, Fischer WR, Böttcher J, Duijnisveld WHM, editors. *Redox:
562 Fundamentals, Processes and Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,
563 Heidelberg, 2000, pp. 81-94.

564 Gay-Des-Combes JM, Sanz Carrillo C, Robroek BJM, Jassey VEJ, Mills RTE, Arif MS, et al.
565 Tropical soils degraded by slash-and-burn cultivation can be recultivated when
566 amended with ashes and compost. *Ecology and Evolution* 2017; 7: 5378-5388.

567 Giardina CP, Sanford RL, Døckersmith IC, Jaramillo VJ. The effects of slash burning on
568 ecosystem nutrients during the land preparation phase of shifting cultivation. *Plant and
569 Soil* 2000; 220: 247-260.

570 Global Environmental Centre G. Integrated Management Plan for North Selangor Peat Swamp
571 Forest 2014-2023 for Selangor State Forestry Department, 2014, pp. 183.

572 Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF, et al. Food Security:
573 The Challenge of Feeding 9 Billion People. *Science* 2010; 327: 812-818.

574 González-Pérez JA, González-Vila FJ, Almendros G, Knicker H. The effect of fire on soil
575 organic matter—a review. *Environment International* 2004; 30: 855-870.

576 Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R, Hancher M, Turubanova SA, Tyukavina A, et al. High-
577 Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. *Science* 2013; 342:
578 850-853.

579 Hatano R, Toma Y, Hamada Y, Arai H, Susilawati HL, Inubushi K. Methane and nitrous oxide
580 emissions from tropical peat soil. In: Osaki M, Tsuji N, editors. *Tropical Peatland
581 Ecosystems*. Springer, Tokyo, 2016.

582 Heydari M, Rostamy A, Najafi F, Dey DC. Effect of fire severity on physical and biochemical
 583 soil properties in Zagros oak (*Quercus brantii* Lindl.) forests in Iran. *Journal of Forestry*
 584 *Research* 2017; 28: 95-104.
 585
 586 Hu Y, Christensen EG, Amin HMF, Smith TEL, Rein G. Experimental study of moisture
 587 content effects on the transient gas and particle emissions from peat fires. *Combustion*
 588 *and Flame* 2019; 209: 408-417.
 589 Hu YQ, Fernandez-Anez N, Smith TEL, Rein G. Review of emissions from smouldering peat
 590 fires and their contribution to regional haze episodes. *International Journal of Wildland*
 591 *Fire* 2018; 27: 293-312.
 592 Islam MS, Pei YH, Mangharam S. Trans-Boundary Haze Pollution in Southeast Asia:
 593 Sustainability through Plural Environmental Governance. *Sustainability* 2016; 8.
 594 Kennard DK, Gholz HL. Effects of high- and low-intensity fires on soil properties and plant
 595 growth in a Bolivian dry forest. *Plant and Soil* 2001; 234: 119-129.
 596 Kettunen A, Kaitala V, Alm J, Silvola J, Nykänen H, Martikainen PJ. Cross-correlation
 597 analysis of the dynamics of methane emissions from a boreal peatland. *Global*
 598 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 1996; 10: 457-471.
 599 Khor WC, Rabaey K, Vervaeren H. Low temperature calcium hydroxide treatment enhances
 600 anaerobic methane production from (extruded) biomass. *Bioresource Technology*
 601 2015; 176: 181-188.
 602 Kleinman PJA, Pimentel D, Bryant RB. The ecological sustainability of slash-and-burn
 603 agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 1995; 52: 235-249.
 604 Koh LP, Wilcove DS. Is oil palm agriculture really destroying tropical biodiversity? *Conserv.*
 605 *Lett.* 2008; 1: 60-64.

606 Kong S-H, Loh S-K, Bachmann RT, Rahim SA, Salimon J. Biochar from oil palm biomass: A
607 review of its potential and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*
608 2014; 39: 729-739.

609 Kutiel P, Naveh Z. The effect of fire on nutrients in a pine forest soil. *Plant and Soil* 1987; 104:
610 269-274.

611 Lam WY, Kulak M, Sim S, King H, Huijbregts MAJ, Chaplin-Kramer R. Greenhouse gas
612 footprints of palm oil production in Indonesia over space and time. *Science of the Total*
613 *Environment* 2019; 688: 827-837.

614 Lar JS, Li R, Li X. The Influence of Calcium and Iron Supplementation on the Methane Yield
615 of Biogas Treating Dairy Manure. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and*
616 *Environmental Effects* 2010; 32: 1651-1658.

617 Liew RK, Nam WL, Chong MY, Phang XY, Su MH, Yek PNY, et al. Oil palm waste: An
618 abundant and promising feedstock for microwave pyrolysis conversion into good
619 quality biochar with potential multi-applications. *Process Safety and Environmental*
620 *Protection* 2018; 115: 57-69.

621 Luskin MS, Potts MD. Microclimate and habitat heterogeneity through the oil palm lifecycle.
622 *Basic and Applied Ecology* 2011; 12: 540-551.

623 Miettinen J, Hooijer A, Tollenaar D, Malins C, Vernimmen R, Shi C, et al. Historical Analysis
624 and Projection of Oil Palm Plantation Expansion on Peatland in Southeast Asia.
625 International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington DC, 2012.

626 Murakami M, Furukawa Y, Inubushi K. Methane production after liming to tropical acid peat
627 soil. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 2005; 51: 697-699.

628 Murdiyarso D, Hergoualc'h K, Verchot LV. Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas
629 emissions in tropical peatlands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
630 *the United States of America* 2010; 107: 19655-19660.

631 Murdiyarso D, Lilleskov E, Kolka R. Tropical peatlands under siege: the need for evidence-
632 based policies and strategies. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*
633 2019; 24: 493-505.

634 Myllyntaus T, Minna H, Jan K. Sustainability in Danger?: Slash-and-Burn Cultivation in
635 Nineteenth-Century Finland and Twentieth-Century Southeast Asia. *Environmental*
636 *History* 2002; 7: 267-302.

637 Niedermeier A, Robinson JS. Hydrological controls on soil redox dynamics in a peat-based,
638 restored wetland. *Geoderma* 2007; 137: 318-326.

639 Ohlemiller TJ. Modeling of smoldering combustion propagation. *Progress in Energy and*
640 *Combustion Science* 1985; 11: 277-310.

641 Page SE, Siegert F, Rieley JO, Boehm HDV, Jaya A, Limin S. The amount of carbon released
642 from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. *Nature* 2002; 420: 61-65.

643 Posa MRC, Wijedasa LS, Corlett RT. Biodiversity and Conservation of Tropical Peat Swamp
644 Forests. *BioScience* 2011; 61: 49-57.

645 Qing-Yu J, Wen-Ying Y, Li Z, Ri-Hong W, Yan-bing X, Ni-Na C. Methane emissions from
646 typical paddy fields in Liaohe Plain and Sanjiang Plain, Northeast China.
647 *Environmental Research Communications* 2019; 1: 011006.

648 Rashid AHAM, Hamzah KA, Joseph KT. Land use change in Malaysia. Reports from the
649 technical panels of the 2nd green house gas. Roundtable on Sustainable palm oil, 2013.

650 Reijnders L, Huijbregts MAJ. Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse gases.
651 *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2008; 16: 477-482.

652 Rein G. Smouldering Fires and Natural Fuels. *Fire Phenomena and the Earth System: An*
653 *Interdisciplinary Guide to Fire Science*, 2013, pp. 15-33.

654 Ren F, Zhang X, Liu J, Sun N, Wu L, Li Z, et al. A synthetic analysis of greenhouse gas
655 emissions from manure amended agricultural soils in China. *Scientific Reports* 2017;
656 7: 8123.

657 Sazawa K, Wakimoto T, Fukushima M, Yustiawati Y, Syawal MS, Hata N, et al. Impact of
658 Peat Fire on the Soil and Export of Dissolved Organic Carbon in Tropical Peat Soil,
659 Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry* 2018; 2: 692-701.

660 Scharenbroch BC, Nix B, Jacobs KA, Bowles ML. Two decades of low-severity prescribed
661 fire increases soil nutrient availability in a Midwestern, USA oak (*Quercus*) forest.
662 *Geoderma* 2012; 183-184: 80-91.

663 Schmidt JH. Life cycle assessment of five vegetable oils. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2015;
664 87: 130-138.

665 Setia R, Gottschalk P, Smith P, Marschner P, Baldock J, Setia D, et al. Soil salinity decreases
666 global soil organic carbon stocks. *Science of The Total Environment* 2013; 465: 267-
667 272.

668 Shevade VS, Loboda TV. Oil palm plantations in Peninsular Malaysia: Determinants and
669 constraints on expansion. *PLOS ONE* 2019; 14: e0210628.

670 Sjögersten S, Cheesman AW, Lopez O, Turner BL. Biogeochemical processes along a nutrient
671 gradient in a tropical ombrotrophic peatland. *Biogeochemistry* 2011; 104: 147-163.

672 Smith TEL, Evers S, Yule CM, Gan JY. In Situ tropical peatland fire emission factors and their
673 variability, as determined by field measurements in Peninsula Malaysia. *Global*
674 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 2018; 32: 18-31.

675 Søndergaard M. Redox Potential. In: Likens GE, editor. *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*.
676 Academic Press, Oxford, 2009, pp. 852-859.

677 Tan KT, Lee KT, Mohamed AR, Bhatia S. Palm oil: Addressing issues and towards sustainable
678 development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2009; 13: 420-427.

679 Turetsky MR, Benscoter B, Page S, Rein G, van der Werf GR, Watts A. Global vulnerability
680 of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nature Geoscience* 2015; 8: 11-14.

681 Uda SK, Hein L, Sumarga E. Towards sustainable management of Indonesian tropical
682 peatlands. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 2017; 25: 683-701.

683 Ulery AL, Graham RC, Amrhein C. Wood-ash composition and soil pH following intense
684 burning. *Soil Science* 1993; 156: 358-364.

685 Van Reuler H, Janssen BH. Nutrient fluxes in the shifting cultivation system of south-west
686 Côte d'Ivoire. *Plant and Soil* 1993; 154: 169-177.

687 Varkkey H. Patronage politics, plantation fires and transboundary haze. *Environmental*
688 *Hazards* 2013; 12: 200-217.

689 Varkkey H. The haze problem in Southeast Asia: palm oil and patronage. Abingdon:
690 Routledge, 2016.

691 Veraart AJ, Steenbergh AK, Ho A, Kim SY, Bodelier PLE. Beyond nitrogen: The importance
692 of phosphorus for CH₄ oxidation in soils and sediments. *Geoderma* 2015; 259-260:
693 337-346.

694 Visconti F, De Paz JM. Electrical Conductivity Measurements in Agriculture: The Assessment
695 of Soil Salinity. InTech, 2016.

696 Wang G, Yu X, Bao K, Xing W, Gao C, Lin Q, et al. Effect of fire on phosphorus forms in
697 Sphagnum moss and peat soils of ombrotrophic bogs. *Chemosphere* 2015; 119: 1329-
698 1334.

699 Weslien P, Kasimir Klemetsson Å, Börjesson G, Klemetsson L. Strong pH influence on N₂O
700 and CH₄ fluxes from forested organic soils. *European Journal of Soil Science* 2009;
701 60: 311-320.

702 Wiggins EB, Czimczik CI, Santos GM, Chen Y, Xu XM, Holden SR, et al. Smoke radiocarbon
703 measurements from Indonesian fires provide evidence for burning of millennia-aged

peat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
2018; 115: 12419-12424.

World Bank. The cost of fire : an economic analysis of Indonesia's 2015 fire crisis (English)
. Indonesia sustainable landscapes knowledge; note no. 1. World Bank Group,
Washington D.C., 2016.

Wright EL, Black CR, Turner BL, Sjögersten S. Environmental controls of temporal and spatial
variability in CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in a neotropical peatland. Global Change Biology
2013; 19: 3775-3789.

Yan N, Marschner P, Cao W, Zuo C, Qin W. Influence of salinity and water content on soil
microorganisms. International Soil and Water Conservation Research 2015; 3: 316-
323.

Zaccone C, Rein G, D'Orazio V, Hadden RM, Belcher CM, Miano TM. Smouldering fire
signatures in peat and their implications for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions.
Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 2014; 137: 134-146.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Location of the study site.

Figure 2: Site pictures - a) picture of the study site during smouldering fire July 2018 b) picture
of the site during the dry season measurements, one month after the fire event, in August 2018
c) picture of the site during the wet season measurements, five months after the fire event, in
December 2018.

Figure 3: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon a) CO₂ emissions b) CH₄ emissions, during dry and wet season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20 each region; for wet season n=10 each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 4: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon a) Peat surface temperature b) gravimetric moisture c) electrical conductivity d) redox potential e) pH during dry and wet season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20 each region; for wet season n=10 each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 5: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon peat a) C content b) N content c) C:N ratio d) Mg concentrations e) P concentrations f) S concentrations f) K concentrations g) Ca concentrations during dry and wet season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20 each region; for wet season n=10 each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 6: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and depth upon a) pH b) gravimetric moisture c) electrical conductivity d) redox potential during dry season. Points denote mean values (n=3 for each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 7: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and depth upon peat a) C content b) N content c) C:N ratio d) Mg concentrations e) P concentrations f) S concentrations f) K concentrations g) Ca concentrations during dry season. Bars denote mean values (n=3 for each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.