

1 Genomic imprinting and mammalian reproduction

2

3 William T. Swaney

4 University of Utrecht, Behavioural Biology and Helmholtz Institute, Utrecht University, 3508

5 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands

6 Email: [w.t.swaney@uu.nl](mailto:w.t.swaney@uu.nl), telephone: +31 (0)30 2535419, fax: +31 (0)30 2532837

7

## 8 Abstract

9 Amongst animals, genomic imprinting is a uniquely mammalian phenomenon in which  
10 certain genes are monoallelically expressed according to their parent-of-origin. This silencing  
11 of certain alleles often involves differential methylation at regulatory regions associated with  
12 imprinted genes and must be recapitulated at every generation with the erasure and  
13 reapplication of these epigenetic marks in the germline. Imprinted genes encode regulatory  
14 proteins that play key roles in fetal growth and development, but they also exert wider effects  
15 on mammalian reproduction. Genetic knockout experiments have shown that certain  
16 paternally expressed imprinted genes regulate post-natal behavior in offspring as well as  
17 reproductive behaviors in males and females. These deficits involve changes in  
18 hypothalamic function affecting multiple areas and different neurochemical pathways.  
19 Paternally expressed genes are highly expressed in the hypothalamus which regulates  
20 growth, metabolism and reproduction and so are well placed to influence all aspects of  
21 reproduction from adults to the resultant offspring. Coadaptation between offspring and  
22 mother appears to have played an important role in the evolution of some paternally-  
23 expressed genes, but the influence of these genes on male reproductive behavior also  
24 suggests that they have evolved to regulate their own transmission to successive  
25 generations via the male germline.

26  
27 Keywords: genomic imprinting; imprinted genes; reproduction; sexual behavior; maternal  
28 behavior; hypothalamus; paternally expressed genes; coadaptation; conflict

## Introduction

In the early nineteen-eighties it became clear that the parental genomes in mammals were functionally non-equivalent (McGrath and Solter, 1984; Surani et al., 1984), a phenomenon termed 'genomic imprinting'. A subset of autosomal genes is expressed not in accordance with classical Mendelian laws of inheritance, but according to the sex of the parent from which they are inherited. Imprinted genes are thus not expressed biallelically but in non-stochastic, monoallelic fashion from either the maternally donated allele or the paternally donated allele. This parent-of-origin silencing of specific alleles is an important example of stable epigenetic regulation of gene expression and of crucial importance in mammalian development. Silencing at imprinted genes involves both DNA methylation and chromatin modification (Delaval and Feil, 2004) and imprinting research has revealed much about mechanisms of epigenetic regulation.

The original experiments by McGrath and Solter (1984) and Surani et al (1984) demonstrated that mouse embryos created with two male pronuclei (androgenetic – 'AG') or two female pronuclei (gynogenetic – 'GG') failed to reach term. The absence or doubling of expression of imprinted genes resulted in lethal phenotypes very early in development. Later research in which such AG or GG embryos were rescued by fusing them with wild-type blastocysts revealed the different developmental roles played by the two parental genomes. AG chimeras were much larger at birth than wild-type embryos while GG chimeras were much smaller (Allen et al., 1995; Barton et al., 1991), suggesting that the paternal and maternal genomes regulate offspring growth in opposite directions. Analysis of the fate of AG and GG cells in brains of these chimeras showed that GG cells segregated almost exclusively to the cortex and striatum, while AG cells were only found in the hypothalamus (Allen et al., 1995; Keverne et al., 1996). The differential fate of these AG and GG cells suggested that paternally and maternally expressed genes regulate the development and function of different brain areas. In recent years imprinted genes have been shown to have important roles in brain function and in behavior (Davies et al., 2008; Isles and Wilkinson, 2000; Wilkinson et al., 2007).

Imprinted genes present a particularly interesting genetic conundrum, as their haploid expression results in the loss of protection from mutation which diploidy confers (Orr, 1995) and so would seem to be a non-adaptive evolutionary step. The debate about how and why such a mechanism has evolved has been ongoing since genomic imprinting was first discovered (Hurst and McVean, 1997; Hurst and McVean, 1998; Moore and Haig, 1991; Moore and Mills, 2008) but the evolution of placentation and viviparity in mammals appears to coincide with the acquisition of genomic imprinting (Kaneko-Ishino et al., 2003). There are approximately 80 imprinted genes in eutherian mammals (Morison et al., 2005), of which only a subset are imprinted in marsupials (Renfree et al., 2008), and none in monotremes (Hore et al., 2007; Killian et al., 2001). The taxonomic distribution of imprinting coincides with the relative placental complexity and the development of viviparity in these different mammalian groups. Eutherians have elaborate placentas and prolonged gestation, marsupials have more rudimentary placentas and give birth to very altricial young, while monotremes are egg-laying mammals in which there is only a brief period of maternal nutrient supply after fertilization (Renfree et al., 2009). Moreover, almost all imprinted genes are expressed in the placenta (Bressan et al., 2009; Coan et al., 2005) and imprinted genes are also strongly expressed in the developing embryo. The importance of imprinted genes in development is indicated by the proteins they encode, which include growth factors, transcription regulators, apoptotic proteins and regulatory non-coding RNAs (Morison et al., 2005). The taxonomic patterns of genomic imprinting show that this regulatory mechanism has evolved in step with modes of reproduction in mammals, while the expression and functions of imprinted genes show that they play important developmental roles in reproduction. However experimental evidence has been also been accumulating that suggests that imprinted genes are involved in mammalian reproduction beyond just fetal development and placental functions. The influence of imprinted genes on brain and behavior extends to post-natal offspring behavior as well as adult reproductive behaviors. These findings raise new questions about the roles that imprinted genes play in behavior and consequently about the selective pressures which drove their evolution.

85

## 86 **Genomic imprinting mechanisms and reproduction**

87 The majority of imprinted genes are organized into clusters where gene expression is  
88 regulated from imprinting control regions (ICRs) associated with these clusters (Williamson  
89 et al., 2006). The clustering of imprinted genes and the shared ICRs suggest that imprinting  
90 has evolved as a regulatory mechanism to which genes are recruited, rather than evolving  
91 independently at each imprinted gene. ICRs contain differentially methylated regions (DMRs)  
92 rich in CpG repeats which are methylated on one of the parental alleles and not the other,  
93 and which determine the monoallelic expression of genes in the imprinted cluster. While  
94 other epigenetic mechanisms are also involved, such as histone modification and non-  
95 coding microRNAs, DNA methylation is the main mechanism by which imprinted gene  
96 expression is regulated (Delaval and Feil, 2004; Kacem and Feil, 2009). The imprints at  
97 each DMR must be recapitulated within the germline of each generation to reflect the gender  
98 of the individual and ensure the correct complement of imprinted genes in offspring. This is  
99 achieved in the germ line when imprints are erased and re-established in a sex specific  
100 manner during gametogenesis in the early embryo. In both males and females, primordial  
101 germ cells (PGCs) migrate to the genital ridge where they undergo widespread epigenetic  
102 modification including active demethylation of parental imprints (between embryonic days  
103 10.5 and 12.5 in the mouse) (Sasaki and Matsui, 2008). After this demethylation of DMRs  
104 there is subsequent sexual dimorphism in imprint application. In females, DNA methylation  
105 at ICRs occurs after birth as the post-meiotic oocytes grow and mature (Hiura et al., 2006),  
106 while in males the paternal imprints are applied in the pre-meiotic prospermatogonia before  
107 birth. Moreover, the vast majority of imprinted ICRs are methylated during female gamete  
108 formation and only 3 DMRs have been identified which are methylated during  
109 spermatogenesis in males (Sasaki and Matsui, 2008). This asymmetry indicates that the  
110 mechanism of imprinting is primarily under maternal control and involves active silencing of  
111 maternal alleles in the female germ line.

As with other forms of epigenetic regulation, the methylation of imprinted DMRs during gametogenesis is mediated by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs). DNMT3A mediates *de novo* methylation in both male and female gametes, although in males a second methyltransferase, DNMT3B, is also involved in methylation at the *Rasgrf1* imprinted locus (Kato et al., 2007). Furthermore, the methylation of all imprinted DMRs is dependent on DNMT3L, which is non-enzymatic but plays a crucial role in methylation at imprinted loci (Kaneda et al., 2004). DNMT3L appears to form a complex with DNMT3A/DNMT3B and interprets an existing mark (possibly histone-based) which is then converted into gender-specific DNA methylation at DMRs. The importance of appropriate levels of imprinting in mammalian gametogenesis is illustrated by the phenotype of DNMT3L mutant mice. Deleting DNMT3L and thus disrupting methylation at DMRs causes gross reproductive deficits in both sexes such that males are azoospermic and infertile, while females are unable to produce viable offspring (Schaefer et al., 2007). DNMT3L's important role in imprinting mechanisms is also evident from phylogenetic comparisons of the mammalian taxa: while DNMT3L is found in both eutherians and marsupials, there appears to be no ortholog in the monotremes which lack imprinted genes (Renfree et al., 2009; Yokomine et al., 2006).

### **Imprinting and reproduction in mammals**

Almost all imprinted genes are expressed in either the embryo, the placenta or both and have been shown to have important regulatory roles in development. Imprinted genes are explicitly involved in mammalian reproduction through their influence on fetal development (Constancia et al., 2002) and placental function (Charalambous et al., 2010), however they also influence reproduction in other ways. Much of the research into the roles and functions of imprinted genes has involved the use of imprinted gene-knockout mice and while such studies have only been conducted in one experimental model, they indicate that imprinted genes are important regulators of reproductive behavior in the mouse and potentially also in other mammals. Due to monoallelic expression of imprinted genes, these knockouts also

provide unique opportunities to study imprinted genes independently in mother and offspring (fig. 1). Such knockout mouse studies have demonstrated that imprinted genes have important effects on brain function (Wilkinson et al., 2007) and behavior (Isles and Wilkinson, 2000) which include post-natal offspring behavior as well as reproductive behavior in both males and females. While direct effects on reproductive potential of global disruption of imprinting are evident in the infertility of DNMT3L-knockout mice in which appropriate imprinting is not established in the germline, behavioral effects suggest that imprinted genes also regulate different aspects of mammalian reproduction through effects on the brain (table 1). Such effects are particularly evident in knockouts of paternally expressed genes, perhaps due to their high levels of expression in the hypothalamus and related structures which mediate reproductive behaviors in mammals.

#### ***Imprinted gene effects in offspring***

The first mouse knockouts of the imprinted genes *Igf2*, *Igf2r* and *H19* demonstrated that imprinted genes are involved in placentation and embryonic development (Barlow et al., 1991; Bartolomei et al., 1991; DeChiara et al., 1991), and subsequent studies also showed imprinted gene effects on post-natal behavior in offspring. One of the first such studies involved the paternally expressed gene *Peg1/Mest* on mouse chromosome 6. Although a paternally inherited mutation in this gene results in reduced weight at birth, this deficit is subsequently exacerbated by reduced post-natal growth rate relative to wild-type littermates (Lefebvre et al., 1998). This suggested that imprinted genes not only regulate resource extraction during gestation, but could also influence offspring physiology or behavior post-natally.

More detailed evidence for such post-natal effects came from studies of the paternally expressed gene *Peg3*, which encodes a large zinc-finger protein on mouse proximal chromosome 7 (Kuroiwa et al., 1996). Paternally-inherited deletions of *Peg3* cause deficits not only before birth but also post-natally, affecting growth, suckling and thermoregulation (Curley et al., 2004). *Peg3* mutant animals have small placentas and low birth weight which they fail to make up after birth. These pups remain smaller than their wild-type littermates

throughout post-natal nursing due to a suckling deficit which reduces their ability to take on milk. The *Peg3* mutation also affects thermoregulation as mutant pups are unable to maintain body temperature in response to maternal separation. Metabolism, appetite and thermoregulation are all mediated by the hypothalamus, an area where there is high *Peg3* expression during development (Li et al., 1999). The *Peg3* protein is involved in p53-mediated apoptosis (Deng and Wu, 2000) and these mutant animals show alterations in postnatal hypothalamic apoptosis (Broad et al., 2009), which suggests that aberrant neuronal pruning during development may disrupt normal functioning of the hypothalamus. Further disruption to hypothalamically-mediated behavior is seen in adolescence when *Peg3* females enter puberty later than their wild-type littermates (Curley et al., 2005).

The *GNAS* locus on mouse distal chromosome 2 encodes multiple transcripts with different parent-of-origin expression (Peters et al., 1999), including paternally expressed *Gnasxl* and *Nespas*, maternally expressed *Nesp*, and maternally expressed *Gnas*, which is only imprinted in adipose and endocrine tissues (Peters and Williamson, 2007). The relationship between these different transcripts is complex, however a lack of the protein XL $\alpha$ s, the *Gnasxl* product, causes deficits in post-natal behavior that bear some similarities to those seen in *Peg3* mutant pups (Plagge et al., 2004). Mice inheriting a paternal deletion of *Gnasxl* suffer post-natal growth retardation caused by metabolic deficits and an inability to suckle properly resulting in death no later than post-natal day 9. *Gnasxl* is expressed in the hypothalamus and pituitary that regulate energy homeostasis and in pontine and medullary nuclei which innervate the facial and jaw muscles involved in suckling. *Gnasxl* appears to be directly involved in the neural and endocrine control of post-natal suckling behavior and the similar phenotypes seen in the *Peg1*, *Peg3* and *Gnasxl* mutants suggest that these paternally expressed genes are all involved in the ability of mouse pups to thrive post-natally.

### ***Imprinted gene effects in females***

As well as affecting offspring, deletion of paternally expressed *Peg1/Mest* also affects female maternal care (Lefebvre et al., 1998). Pups born to mutant mothers suffer elevated



post-natal mortality, even if they are wild-type offspring with a normal paternal *Peg1/Mest* allele. The deletion affects multiple maternal behaviors and mutant females do not engage in placentophagia after birth and exhibit reduced levels of pup investigation, pup retrieval to the nest, nest building and post-natal nursing.

Similarly, the *Peg3* deletion also results in an adult phenotype which includes effects on maternal care. Li et al (1999) noted very high levels of pre-weaning mortality in the offspring of heterozygous *Peg3* mutant females despite these pups being wild-type, having been fathered by wild-type males with normal *Peg3* expression. The elevated mortality thus could not have been due to direct genetic effects in the wild-type offspring but rather to the maternal responses of the females. Behavioral phenotyping of the *Peg3* mutant mothers revealed a wide-ranging deficit affecting nest building, retrieval of scattered pups to the nest and milk letdown. More detailed characterization of maternal behavior showed that overall nursing levels are lower and that licking and grooming of pups is also reduced in these females (Champagne et al., 2009). Licking and grooming is an important modulator of offspring behavioral phenotypes (Francis et al., 1999) and it causes stable epigenetic changes in gene expression (Weaver et al., 2004). The offspring and even grand-offspring of these *Peg3* mutant mothers also display lower levels of maternal care, despite being wild-type themselves (Curley et al., 2008). *Peg3* thus appears to exert an influence on offspring behavioral phenotypes through its effects on maternal care. The *Peg3* mutation appears to involve disruption of the oxytocin circuitry in the hypothalamus where *Peg3* is expressed. Oxytocin is an important regulatory neuropeptide in maternal behavior and milk production and the maternal effects of the knockout appear to be mediated by a reduction in the number of oxytocinergic neurons in the paraventricular nucleus (Li et al., 1992) and a reduction in oxytocin receptor density in the medial pre-optic area (Champagne et al., 2009). *Peg3*'s apoptotic function suggests that developmental disruption in the hypothalamus leads to these functional changes and then to the maternal behavior deficits. Indeed, *Peg3* mutant mice have elevated levels of apoptosis in the medial preoptic area at postnatal days 4 and 6. No changes are seen in paraventricular apoptosis at these time points, however this does

not discount the possibility of perturbations during embryonic development (Broad et al., 2009).

Recent studies of the paternally expressed gene *Mage/2* have demonstrated that imprinted genes can influence female fertility and reproductive physiology as well as maternal care. The first knockout study of *Mage/2* showed that these mutant mice have aberrant circadian rhythms due to abnormalities in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus (Kozlov et al., 2007). Further phenotyping has shown they have a more wide-ranging deficit in hypothalamic function, with significant effects on female reproduction (Mercer and Wevrick, 2009). *Mage/2* mutant females enter puberty late, despite similar post-weaning body weights in mutant and normal females. These females also have extended and irregular estrous cycles and enter reproductive decline at an early age, becoming infertile after 24 weeks when corpora lutea are no longer seen in the ovaries, even though mature follicles are present. *Mage/2* mutant females were also slower to mate after pairing with males, produced smaller litters and had significantly higher litter mortality rates which were not connected to litter genotype.

#### ***Imprinted gene effects in males***

The effects on female behavior described above all involve paternally expressed genes. All are strongly expressed in the hypothalamus in females and males, and thus it is not surprising that the phenotypes of several paternally expressed gene knockouts involve effects on male reproductive behavior too. As well as disrupting female maternal behavior, a paternal deletion of *Peg3* also causes reproductive deficits in males. *Peg3* mutant males are fertile but are unable to improve copulatory ability with sexual experience, unlike wild-type animals. Sexual experience typically results in shorter latencies and increased frequencies of sexual behaviors such as mounting and intromission in wild-type males but these behavioral changes are not seen in *Peg3* mutant males whose behavior once sexually experienced does not differ from that of virgin animals (Swaney et al., 2007). Wild-type male mice also develop preferences for the odors of receptive estrous females once sexually experienced, potentially enabling them to focus reproductive effort towards receptive

252 females. *Peg3* mutant males show no such changes in female-odor sensitivity (Swaney et  
253 al., 2008). While such a deficit may appear relatively minor, olfaction is the primary sensory  
254 modality in rodents as illustrated by the gross deficits in reproductive behavior which result  
255 from ablation of olfactory membranes (Keller et al., 2009). The *Peg3* mutation appears to  
256 disrupt plasticity in the main and accessory olfactory systems and in hypothalamic regions  
257 which regulate sexual behavior, where significant increases in female odor-elicited neural  
258 activity are seen in sexually experienced wild-type males but not in *Peg3* mutant males  
259 (Swaney et al., 2007; Swaney et al., 2008).

260 Although *Peg1* mutant mice have not been reported to suffer deficits in male reproductive  
261 behavior, studies in humans and mice suggest that this gene is involved in male fertility. The  
262 human homolog *PEG1* and its paternally expressed anti-sense transcript are strongly  
263 expressed in human testes and studies of male infertility have shown that hypermethylation  
264 of the *PEG1* DMR and consequent silencing are strongly associated with different classes of  
265 male infertility (Hammoud et al., 2009; Poplinski et al., 2009). In mice, pre-natal  
266 administration of the endocrine disruptor vinclozolin produces male offspring with  
267 significantly lower sperm counts with adults. Sperm from these males have greatly increased  
268 methylation at both the *Peg1* and *Peg3* DMRs suggesting that normal methylation patterns  
269 at these imprinted loci are necessary for male fertility (Stouder and Paoloni-Giacobino,  
270 2009).

271 The *Mage/2* mutants also have deficits in male reproductive behavior (Mercer and Wevrick,  
272 2009), with some phenotypic similarities between mutant males and mutant females. Mutant  
273 males also become infertile by 24 weeks and take several days to mate after being paired  
274 with females. However the male phenotype also involves an olfactory deficit which includes  
275 a lack of preference for opposite-sex odors which is not seen in the female mutants. Basal  
276 forebrain neurochemistry animals is also affected by *Mage/2* deletion which causes  
277 reductions in levels of both serotonin and dopamine in the hypothalamus, as well as reduced  
278 amygdala and nucleus accumbens volumes (Mercer et al., 2009). In line with the  
279 reproductive deficits, *Mage/2*-knockout males also have reduced serum testosterone levels.

These hypothalamic and endocrine deficits are of interest given the involvement of the human homolog *MAGEL2* in Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS), a multigenic imprinting disorder involving paternal deletion or maternal duplication at chromosome 15q11-q13 region (Lee et al., 2000). PWS symptoms involve hypothalamic dysfunction affecting appetite and metabolism, as well as hypogonadism and infertility (Eiholzer et al., 2006). Aberrant expression of paternally expressed *NDN* is also involved in the etiology of PWS and mouse knockout studies have shown that paternal deletion of homologous *Necdin* disrupts reproductive hormone circuits. Muscatelli et al (2000) reported that *Necdin*-null mice (both male and female) have fewer oxytocin neurons in the paraventricular nucleus and fewer hypothalamic GnRH neurons. This latter deficit has recently been shown to be due to reduced migration of GnRH neurons to the hypothalamus during development (Miller et al., 2009), and lower numbers of both oxytocin and GnRH neurons have been reported in PWS patients. The *Magel2* and *Necdin* mutant phenotypes suggest that disruption of developmental expression contributes to PWS hypogonadism and provides further evidence that paternally expressed genes influence male reproductive behavior.

## **Reproduction and the evolution of genomic imprinting**

There have been many theories proposed to explain the evolution of imprinting, each of which has different strengths and weaknesses regarding mechanisms of imprinting and imprinted gene-related phenotypes. The most widely cited of these is the conflict or kinship theory for the evolution of imprinting (Haig and Graham, 1991; Moore and Haig, 1991). This predicts that the conceptus (placenta and offspring), which inherits approximately half of its genes from its mother and half from its father, may be a site for potential conflict between the two parental genomes. The paternal genome would favor maximal maternal investment in offspring, to the possible detriment of the mother's long term health and any subsequent offspring conceived with other males. Conversely, the maternal genome would favor balanced investment of resources in all offspring across her entire reproductive career. The placenta is an important endocrine organ that interfaces and communicates with the

maternal brain to regulate maternal investment and so is functionally capable of influencing maternal behavior and physiology. The conceptus can thus be viewed as a parasite in which imprinted genes influence resource extraction from the mother in opposite directions. Paternally expressed genes are predicted to be growth-enhancing and maternally expressed genes are predicted to be growth-restricting. The first knockout studies showed that paternally expressed *Igf2* is indeed growth enhancing (DeChiara et al., 1991), while maternally expressed *Igf2r* and *H19* limit fetal growth (Lau et al., 1994; Leighton et al., 1995). While mutations at other imprinted loci also cause growth phenotypes which match the predictions of the conflict theory, there are some that do not (Hurst and McVean, 1997). Furthermore, some of the imprinted gene knockout mice also exhibit phenotypes which extend beyond birth and even into adulthood, where conflict between the parental genomes is less apparent. While it can be argued that post-natal, pre-weaning effects of imprinted genes are in line with the predictions of the conflict hypothesis (Isles and Holland, 2005), the adult phenotypes of some imprinted gene mutants are more difficult to reconcile with it.

The parent-of-origin transmission of the *Peg3* transgene allowed the effects of the mutation to be compared independently in mutant pups and mutant mothers. The phenotypes are remarkably complementary, with offspring deficits in pre-natal growth, suckling, and thermoregulation matched by reduced maternal food intake, milk letdown and nesting behavior (Curley et al., 2004). These result in similar outcomes for the offspring whether they or the mother carry the mutation. The different peri-natal behaviors are regulated by an endocrine interplay between the fetal hypothalamus, the maternal hypothalamus and the placenta (Keverne and Curley, 2008), all areas of high *Peg3* expression. *Peg3* appears not to have evolved in response to conflict between parental genomes but under selection pressures that favor coadaptation of behaviors between offspring and mother, leading to increased fitness for both. While no other imprinted gene mutants have such clearly dovetailing phenotypes, the effects of deletions of other paternally expressed genes on maternal behavior and post-natal behavior suggest that coadaptation may have played an important role in the evolution of imprinting at multiple loci. Detailed behavioral phenotyping

of other imprinted gene knockouts would help to substantiate the evolutionary significance of coadaptation and clarify whether other imprinted genes may also have evolved under such coadaptive selection pressures. Moreover, data from other species is required to confirm the importance of imprinted genes in mammalian reproduction and whether coadaptation has played a wider role in the evolution of imprinting.

Some imprinted genes seem to have evolved to regulate female reproductive behavior despite being paternally expressed. Such effects are not surprising given the high expression of paternally imprinted genes in the hypothalamus which regulates reproductive behavior and maternal care, however any adaptive effects will skip every other generation due to the silencing of paternally expressed genes in the female germline. Most of these genes have also been shown to influence male reproductive behavior to greater or lesser degrees. This is significant, as any effects of paternally expressed genes on male behavior would occur in every generation due to patrilineal transmission of actively expressed alleles at these loci. Any effects on male reproductive success would be magnified by a combination of paternal allele-only expression and reproductive skew, resulting in much faster spread of any paternally expressed alleles that regulate adaptive male reproductive behavior (Keverne, 2009). Paternal expression appears to have allowed the imprinted genes that govern the development and function of the hypothalamus to regulate both male and female reproduction, and so maximize reproductive success in both sexes.

Allen, N.D., Logan, K., Lally, G., Drage, D.J., Norris, M.L., Keverne, E.B., 1995. Distribution of parthenogenetic cells in the mouse brain and their influence on brain development and behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 10782-6.

Barlow, D.P., Stoger, R., Herrmann, B.G., Saito, K., Schweifer, N., 1991. The mouse insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor is imprinted and closely linked to the Tme locus. *Nature*. 349, 84.

Bartolomei, M.S., Zemel, S., Tilghman, S.M., 1991. Parental imprinting of the mouse H19 gene. *Nature*. 351, 153-5.

364 Barton, S.C., Ferguson-Smith, A.C., Fundele, R., Surani, M.A., 1991. Influence of paternally  
365 imprinted genes on development. *Development*. 113, 679-87.

366 Bressan, F.F., De Bem, T.H.C., Perecin, F., Lopes, F.L., Ambrosio, C.E., Meirelles, F.V.,  
367 Miglino, M.A., 2009. Unearthing the roles of imprinted genes in the placenta.  
368 *Placenta*. 30, 823-834.

369 Broad, K.D., Curley, J.P., Keverne, E.B., 2009. Increased apoptosis during neonatal brain  
370 development underlies the adult behavioral deficits seen in mice lacking a functional  
371 paternally expressed gene 3 (*Peg3*). *Dev. Neurobiol.* 69, 314-325.

372 Champagne, F.A., Curley, J.P., Swaney, W.T., Hasen, N.S., Keverne, E.B., 2009. Paternal  
373 influence on female behavior: the role of *Peg3* in exploration, olfaction, and  
374 neuroendocrine regulation of maternal behavior of female mice. *Behav. Neurosci.*  
375 123, 469-80.

376 Charalambous, M., Cowley, M., Geoghegan, F., Smith, F.M., Radford, E.J., Marlow, B.P.,  
377 Graham, C.F., Hurst, L.D., Ward, A., 2010. Maternally-inherited *Grb10* reduces  
378 placental size and efficiency. *Dev. Biol.* 337, 1-8.

379 Coan, P.M., Burton, G.J., Ferguson-Smith, A.C., 2005. Imprinted genes in the placenta - a  
380 review. *Placenta*. 26 Suppl A, S10-20.

381 Constancia, M., Hemberger, M., Hughes, J., Dean, W., Ferguson-Smith, A., Fundele, R.,  
382 Stewart, F., Kelsey, G., Fowden, A., Sibley, C., Reik, W., 2002. Placental-specific  
383 IGF-II is a major modulator of placental and fetal growth. *Nature*. 417, 945.

384 Curley, J.P., Barton, S., Surani, A., Keverne, E.B., 2004. Coadaptation in mother and infant  
385 regulated by a paternally expressed imprinted gene. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*  
386 271, 1303-9.

387 Curley, J.P., Champagne, F.A., Bateson, P., Keverne, E.B., 2008. Transgenerational effects  
388 of impaired maternal care on behaviour of offspring and grandoffspring. *Anim. Behav.*  
389 75, 1551-1561.

390 Curley, J.P., Pinnock, S.B., Dickson, S.L., Thresher, R., Miyoshi, N., Surani, M.A., Keverne,  
391 E.B., 2005. Increased body fat in mice with a targeted mutation of the paternally  
392 expressed imprinted gene Peg3. *FASEB J.* 19, 1302-4.

393 Davies, W., Isles, A.R., Humby, T., Wilkinson, L.S., 2008. What are imprinted genes doing in  
394 the brain? *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.*, 62-70.

395 DeChiara, T.M., Robertson, E.J., Efstratiadis, A., 1991. Parental imprinting of the mouse  
396 insulin-like growth factor II gene. *Cell.* 64, 849.

397 Delaval, K. and Feil, R., 2004. Epigenetic regulation of mammalian genomic imprinting. *Curr.*  
398 *Opin. Genet. Dev.* 14, 188-195.

399 Deng, Y.B. and Wu, X.W., 2000. Peg3/Pw1 promotes p53-mediated apoptosis by inducing  
400 Bax translocation from cytosol to mitochondria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97,  
401 12050-12055.

402 Eiholzer, U., l'Allemand, D., Rousson, V., Schlumpf, M., Gasser, T., Girard, J., Gruters, A.,  
403 Simoni, M., 2006. Hypothalamic and gonadal components of hypogonadism in boys  
404 with Prader-Labhart- Willi syndrome. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 91, 892-898.

405 Francis, D., Diorio, J., Liu, D., Meaney, M.J., 1999. Nongenomic Transmission Across  
406 Generations of Maternal Behavior and Stress Responses in the Rat. *Science.* 286,  
407 1155-1158.

408 Haig, D. and Graham, C., 1991. Genomic imprinting and the strange case of the insulin-like  
409 growth factor II receptor. *Cell.* 64, 1045-1046.

410 Hammoud, S.S., Purwar, J., Pflueger, C., Cairns, B.R., Carrell, D.T., 2009. Alterations in  
411 sperm DNA methylation patterns at imprinted loci in two classes of infertility. *Fertil.*  
412 *Steril.*

413 Hiura, H., Obata, Y., Komiyama, J., Shirai, M., Kono, T., 2006. Oocyte growth-dependent  
414 progression of maternal imprinting in mice. *Genes Cells.* 11, 353-361.

415 Hore, T.A., Rapkins, R.W., Graves, J.A.M., 2007. Construction and evolution of imprinted  
416 loci in mammals. *Trends Genet.* 23, 440-448.



417 Hurst, L.D. and McVean, G.T., 1997. Growth effects of uniparental disomies and the conflict  
418 theory of genomic imprinting. *Trends Genet.* 13, 436.

419 Hurst, L.D. and McVean, G.T., 1998. Do we understand the evolution of genomic imprinting?  
420 *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 8, 701-708.

421 Isles, A.R. and Holland, A.J., 2005. Imprinted genes and mother-offspring interactions. *Early*  
422 *Hum. Dev.* 81, 73-7.

423 Isles, A.R. and Wilkinson, L.S., 2000. Imprinted genes, cognition and behaviour. *Trends*  
424 *Cogn. Sci.* 4, 309-318.

425 Kacem, S. and Feil, R., 2009. Chromatin mechanisms in genomic imprinting. *Mamm.*  
426 *Genome.* 20, 544-556.

427 Kaneda, M., Okano, M., Hata, K., Sado, T., Tsujimoto, N., Li, E., Sasaki, H., 2004. Essential  
428 role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting.  
429 *Nature.* 429, 900-3.

430 Kaneko-Ishino, T., Kohda, T., Ishino, F., 2003. The regulation and biological significance of  
431 genomic imprinting in mammals. *J. Biochem.* 133, 699-711.

432 Kato, Y., Kaneda, M., Hata, K., Kumaki, K., Hisano, M., Kohara, Y., Okano, M., Li, E.,  
433 Nozaki, M., Sasaki, H., 2007. Role of the Dnmt3 family in de novo methylation of  
434 imprinted and repetitive sequences during male germ cell development in the mouse.  
435 *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 16, 2272-2280.

436 Keller, M., Baum, M.J., Brock, O., Brennan, P.A., Bakker, J., 2009. The main and the  
437 accessory olfactory systems interact in the control of mate recognition and sexual  
438 behavior. *Behav. Brain Res.* 200, 268-76.

439 Keverne, B., 2009. Monoallelic gene expression and mammalian evolution. *BioEssays.* 31,  
440 1318-1326.

441 Keverne, E.B. and Curley, J.P., 2008. Epigenetics, brain evolution and behaviour. *Front.*  
442 *Neuroendocrinol.* 29, 398-412.

443 Keverne, E.B., Fundele, R., Narasimha, M., Barton, S.C., Surani, M.A., 1996. Genomic  
444 imprinting and the differential roles of parental genomes in brain development. Dev.  
445 Brain Res. 92, 91-100.

446 Killian, J.K., Nolan, C.M., Stewart, N., Munday, B.L., Andersen, N.A., Nicol, S., Jirtle, R.L.,  
447 2001. Monotreme IGF2 expression and ancestral origin of genomic imprinting. J.  
448 Exp. Zool. 291, 205-12.

449 Kozlov, S.V., Bogenpohl, J.W., Howell, M.P., Wevrick, R., Panda, S., Hogenesch, J.B.,  
450 Muglia, L.J., Van Gelder, R.N., Herzog, E.D., Stewart, C.L., 2007. The imprinted  
451 gene *Magel2* regulates normal circadian output. Nat. Genet. 39, 1266-72.

452 Kuroiwa, Y., Kaneko-Ishino, T., Kagitani, F., Kohda, T., Li, L.L., Tada, M., Suzuki, R.,  
453 Yokoyama, M., Shiroishi, T., Wakana, S., Barton, S.C., Ishino, F., Surani, M.A., 1996.  
454 *Peg3* imprinted gene on proximal chromosome 7 encodes for a zinc finger protein.  
455 Nat. Genet. 12, 186-90.

456 Lau, M.M., Stewart, C.E., Liu, Z., Bhatt, H., Rotwein, P., Stewart, C.L., 1994. Loss of the  
457 imprinted IGF2/cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor results in fetal  
458 overgrowth and perinatal lethality. Genes Dev. 8, 2953-2963.

459 Lee, S., Kozlov, S., Hernandez, L., Chamberlain, S.J., Brannan, C.I., Stewart, C.L., Wevrick,  
460 R., 2000. Expression and imprinting of *MAGEL2* suggest a role in Prader-willi  
461 syndrome and the homologous murine imprinting phenotype. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9,  
462 1813-9.

463 Lefebvre, L., Viville, S., Barton, S.C., Ishino, F., Keverne, E.B., Surani, M.A., 1998. Abnormal  
464 maternal behaviour and growth retardation associated with loss of the imprinted gene  
465 *Mest*. Nat. Genet. 20, 163-9.

466 Leighton, P.A., Ingram, R.S., Eggenschwiler, J., Efstratiadis, A., Tilghman, S.M., 1995.  
467 Disruption of imprinting caused by deletion of the H19 gene region in mice. Nature.  
468 375, 34-9.

469 Li, C.S., Kaba, H., Saito, H., Seto, K., 1992. Cholecystokinin - Critical Role in Mediating  
470 Olfactory Influences on Reproduction. Neuroscience. 48, 707-713.

471 Li, L., Keverne, E.B., Aparicio, S.A., Ishino, F., Barton, S.C., Surani, M.A., 1999. Regulation  
472 of maternal behavior and offspring growth by paternally expressed Peg3. *Science*.  
473 284, 330-3.

474 McGrath, J. and Solter, D., 1984. Completion of mouse embryogenesis requires both the  
475 maternal and paternal genomes. *Cell*. 37, 179-83.

476 Mercer, R.E., Kwolek, E.M., Bischof, J.M., van Eede, M., Henkelman, R.M., Wevrick, R.,  
477 2009. Regionally reduced brain volume, altered serotonin neurochemistry, and  
478 abnormal behavior in mice null for the circadian rhythm output gene *Magel2*. *Am. J.*  
479 *Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 150B, 1085-99.

480 Mercer, R.E. and Wevrick, R., 2009. Loss of *magel2*, a candidate gene for features of  
481 Prader-Willi syndrome, impairs reproductive function in mice. *PLoS ONE*. 4, e4291.

482 Miller, N.L., Wevrick, R., Mellon, P.L., 2009. *Necdin*, a Prader-Willi syndrome candidate  
483 gene, regulates gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons during development. *Hum.*  
484 *Mol. Genet.* 18, 248-60.

485 Moore, T. and Haig, D., 1991. Genomic imprinting in mammalian development - a parental  
486 tug-of-war. *Trends Genet.* 7, 45-49.

487 Moore, T. and Mills, W., 2008. Evolutionary theories of imprinting - enough already! *Adv.*  
488 *Exp. Med. Biol.*, 116-22.

489 Morison, I.M., Ramsay, J.P., Spencer, H.G., 2005. A census of mammalian imprinting.  
490 *Trends Genet.* 21, 457-65.

491 Muscatelli, F., Arous, D.N., Massacrier, A., Boccaccio, I., Moal, M.L., Cau, P., Cremer, H.,  
492 2000. Disruption of the mouse *Necdin* gene results in hypothalamic and behavioral  
493 alterations reminiscent of the human Prader-Willi syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 9,  
494 3101-3110.

495 Orr, H.A., 1995. Somatic mutation favors the evolution of diploidy. *Genetics*. 139, 1441-  
496 1447.

497 Peters, J. and Williamson, C.M., 2007. Control of imprinting at the *Gnas* cluster. *Epigenetics*.  
498 2, 207-213.

499 Peters, J., Wroe, S.F., Wells, C.A., Miller, H.J., Bodle, D., Beechey, C.V., Williamson, C.M.,  
 500 Kelsey, G., 1999. A cluster of oppositely imprinted transcripts at the Gnas locus in  
 501 the distal imprinting region of mouse chromosome 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 96,  
 502 3830-5.

503 Plagge, A., Gordon, E., Dean, W., Boiani, R., Cinti, S., Peters, J., Kelsey, G., 2004. The  
 504 imprinted signaling protein XLas is required for postnatal adaptation to feeding. *Nat.*  
 505 *Genet.* 36, 818-26.

506 Poplinski, A., Tuttelmann, F., Kanber, D., Horsthemke, B., Gromoll, J., 2009. Idiopathic male  
 507 infertility is strongly associated with aberrant methylation of MEST and IGF2/H19  
 508 ICR1. *Int. J. Androl.* [Epub ahead of print].

509 Renfree, M.B., Ager, E.I., Shaw, G., Pask, A.J., 2008. Genomic imprinting in marsupial  
 510 placentation. *Reproduction.* 136, 523-31.

511 Renfree, M.B., Hore, T.A., Shaw, G., Marshall Graves, J.A., Pask, A.J., 2009. Evolution of  
 512 Genomic Imprinting: Insights from Marsupials and Monotremes. *Annu. Rev.*  
 513 *Genomics Hum. Genet.* 10, 241-262.

514 Sasaki, H. and Matsui, Y., 2008. Epigenetic events in mammalian germ-cell development:  
 515 reprogramming and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 129-140.

516 Schaefer, C.B., Ooi, S.K., Bestor, T.H., Bourc'his, D., 2007. Epigenetic decisions in  
 517 mammalian germ cells. *Science.* 316, 398-9.

518 Stouder, C. and Paoloni-Giacobino, A., 2009. Transgenerational effects of the endocrine  
 519 disruptor vinclozolin on the methylation pattern of imprinted genes in the mouse  
 520 sperm. *Reproduction.* 139, 373-9.

521 Surani, M.A., Barton, S.C., Norris, M.L., 1984. Development of reconstituted mouse eggs  
 522 suggests imprinting of the genome during gametogenesis. *Nature.* 308, 548-50.

523 Swaney, W.T., Curley, J.P., Champagne, F.A., Keverne, E.B., 2007. Genomic imprinting  
 524 mediates sexual experience-dependent olfactory learning in male mice. *Proc. Natl.*  
 525 *Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 6084-9.

526 Swaney, W.T., Curley, J.P., Champagne, F.A., Keverne, E.B., 2008. The paternally  
527 expressed gene Peg3 regulates sexual experience-dependent preferences for  
528 estrous odors. *Behav. Neurosci.* 122, 963-73.

529 Weaver, I.C., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F.A., D'Alessio, A.C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J.R.,  
530 Dymov, S., Szyf, M., Meaney, M.J., 2004. Epigenetic programming by maternal  
531 behavior. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 847-54.

532 Wilkinson, L.S., Davies, W., Isles, A.R., 2007. Genomic imprinting effects on brain  
533 development and function. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 832-843.

534 Williamson, C.M., Turner, M.D., Ball, S.T., Nottingham, W.T., Glenister, P., Fray, M.,  
535 Tymowska-Lalanne, Z., Plagge, A., Powles-Glover, N., Kelsey, G., Maconochie, M.,  
536 Peters, J., 2006. Identification of an imprinting control region affecting the expression  
537 of all transcripts in the Gnas cluster. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 350-355.

538 Yokomine, T., Hata, K., Tsudzuki, M., Sasaki, H., 2006. Evolution of the vertebrate DNMT3  
539 gene family: a possible link between existence of DNMT3L and genomic imprinting.  
540 *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 113, 75-80.

541

Figure 1. Non-Mendelian parent-of-origin expression allows imprinted genes to be studied independently in mother and offspring using knockout mice. Selective silencing of one parental allele means that heterozygous transgenic mice have either full mutant or full wild-type (WT) phenotypes depending on the imprinting status of the gene and the parent from which the mutation is inherited. By pairing different combinations of mutant-heterozygous, WT-heterozygous and WT individuals, mutant offspring can be born to wild-type mothers and wild-type offspring born to mutant mothers. a) Hypothetical mating combinations to study a paternally expressed gene knockout: i) crossing a WT father with a mutant-heterozygous mother produces WT and WT-heterozygous offspring; ii) crossing a mutant-heterozygous father with a WT mother produces WT and mutant-heterozygous offspring. b) Hypothetical mating combinations to study a maternally expressed gene knockout: i) crossing a WT father with a mutant-heterozygous mother produces WT and mutant-heterozygous offspring; ii) crossing a WT-father with a WT-heterozygous mother produces WT and mutant-heterozygous offspring.

Table 1. A summary table of the imprinted genes which have been linked to behavioral and neuroendocrine components of reproduction in mammals.

Gene	Expression	Offspring	Females	Males	References
Peg1	Paternal	Post-natal growth	Maternal behaviors	Male fertility and sperm viability	(Lefebvre et al., 1998; Hammoud et al., 2009; Poplinski et al., 2009)
Peg3	Paternal	Post-natal growth, suckling, thermo-regulation, puberty	Maternal behaviors, milk letdown, hypothalamic oxytocin	Sexual behavior, reproductive olfaction, hypothalamic plasticity	(Li et al., 1992; Curley et al., 2004; Champagne et al., 2009; Swaney et al., 2007; Swaney et al., 2008)
Gnasxl	Paternal	Post-natal growth, suckling	-	-	(Plagge et al., 2004)
Magel2	Paternal	-	Reproductive behavior, fertility, gonadal histology, hypothalamic serotonin and dopamine, hypogonadism	Reproductive behavior, fertility, reproductive olfaction, hypothalamic serotonin and dopamine, testosterone levels, hypogonadism	(Mercer and Wevrick, 2009; Mercer et al., 2009)
Necdin	Paternal	-	Hypothalamic oxytocin and GnRH, hypogonadism	Hypothalamic oxytocin and GnRH, hypogonadism	(Muscatelli et al, 2000; Miller et al., 2009)