

A qualitative account of young people's experiences of alcohol screening and brief interventions in schools: SIPS Jr-HIGH trial findings

E.L. Giles¹, G.J. McGeechan², S.J. Scott², R. McGovern³, S. Boniface⁴, A. Ramsay⁴, N. Hendrie⁵, E. McColl³, H. Sumnall⁶, D. Newbury-Birch², E. Kaner³, the SIPS Jr-HIGH Study Team

¹School of Health and Social Care, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BX, UK

²School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BX, UK

³Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE2 4AX, UK

⁴Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, & Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE5 8AF, UK

⁵Centre for Health Services Research, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NF, UK

⁶Faculty of Education, Health and Community, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 2ET, UK

Address correspondence to Dr Emma L. Giles, E-mail: e.giles@tees.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Background The United Kingdom (UK) has seen a decrease in the number of young people drinking alcohol. However, the UK prevalence of underage drinking still ranks amongst the highest in Western Europe. Whilst there is a wealth of evidence reporting on the effectiveness of both primary, and secondary interventions, there are few reports of the experiences of young people who receive them.

Methods The present study reports findings from interviews with 33 young people who were involved in an alcohol screening and brief intervention randomized controlled trial in schools in England. All interviews were analysed using inductive applied thematic analysis.

Results Three major themes were identified following the analysis process: 1) drinking identities and awareness of risk; 2) access to support and advice in relation to alcohol use; and 3) appraisal of the intervention and potential impact on alcohol use.

Conclusions There appeared to be a reluctance from participants to describe themselves as someone who drinks alcohol. Furthermore, those who did drink alcohol often did so with parental permission. There was variation amongst participants as to how comfortable they felt talking about alcohol issues with school staff. Overall participants felt the intervention was useful, but would be better suited to 'heavier' drinkers.

Keywords alcohol, brief interventions, drinking, interviews, qualitative research, school, young people

Introduction

Young people (aged between 10–24)¹ are at particular risk from negative physical and psychological health effects due to alcohol consumption, which led to the Chief Medical Officer for England advising that those under the age of 15 should not drink alcohol at all.² Evidence suggests that drinking alcohol before the age of 18 can impact on brain development, increase the risks of accidents and injury, teenage pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections,^{3–5} and is a strong prognostic indicator for the development of alcohol related issues in adulthood.⁶

The number of young people who have ever tried alcohol in the United Kingdom (UK) is declining. While 64% of

young people aged 11–15 reported ever having tried alcohol in 2003, reducing to 44% in 2016.⁷ However, as young

E.L. Giles, Reader in Public Health and Behaviour Change Research

G.J. McGeechan, Senior Lecturer in Health Psychology

S.J. Scott, Senior Lecturer in Sociology and Criminology

R. McGovern, Lecturer in Public Health Research

S. Boniface, Research Fellow

A. Ramsay, Research Worker

N. Hendrie, Research Assistant

E. McColl, Professor of Health Service Research

H. Sumnall, Professor in Substance Use

D. Newbury-Birch, Professor of Alcohol and Public Health Research

E. Kaner, Professor of Public Health & Primary Care Research

people become older, the level to which they consume alcohol increases,⁷ and those who do use alcohol are drinking more than people of the same age in the past.⁸ Furthermore, the prevalence of drinking among young people in England remains amongst the highest in Europe.⁹ Therefore, there are still those who remain at risk from their alcohol use, demonstrating a need for effective interventions.

Previous research suggests that the school is an ideal setting for delivering alcohol interventions,^{10,11} by providing access to a captive audience who¹² are used to receiving health and social care education.¹² Typically, in school settings, interventions tend to be primary prevention, aimed at the whole school population (e.g. in assemblies) regardless of individual consumption levels. Alcohol screening and brief interventions (ASBIs) are defined as a form of secondary prevention intervention, targeting individuals identified to be drinking alcohol at risky levels, which require limited curriculum time to deliver.¹³ Risky drinking is typically defined in relation to harmful drinking—levels of consumption that increase the chance of health issues developing; hazardous drinking—consumption which has already led to such issues and heavy episodic drinking—consuming more than six unit of alcohol in one sitting.¹⁴ While there is some evidence exploring the use of ASBIs for risky drinking among young people in other settings including emergency departments and universities, there is limited research in school settings.¹⁵ Furthermore, within these studies, there is a lack of exploration of the views of young people who have received targeted secondary prevention approaches; such views are required to understand the key mechanisms and acceptable processes that can lead to the effective implementation of these interventions.

This study formed part of a process evaluation of a large, multi-centre randomized controlled trial looking at the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a high school based alcohol screening and brief intervention.^{16,17}

The aim of the present paper is to outline the findings from interviews with young people exploring their views of being involved in the trial and their views on any derived benefits, adverse events or improvements related to an ASBI delivered in a school setting.

Methods

Intervention

The authors have detailed the intervention elsewhere.^{16,17} To summarize, learning mentors working within schools were trained to deliver a screening questionnaire followed by either an alcohol brief intervention based on principles of motivational interviewing¹⁸ or treatment as usual. The screening questionnaire included lifestyle questions on

smoking, energy drink consumption and risky sexual behaviour; questions related to well-being and the questions on alcohol consumption including the adolescent single alcohol question (A-SAQ) which is a modified version of the single alcohol-screening questionnaire.^{19,20}

Participant recruitment

To be eligible for the interviews, young people had to have agreed to be contacted about taking part in an individual interview and left their name on the screening questionnaire. Purposive sampling was employed to ensure representative recruitment in terms of sex, ethnicity, score on the A-SAQ (positive or negative), and study site (See Table 1 below). For the interviews, the aim was to recruit 10 young people from each of the four regions, five boys and five girls (40 in total). As the researchers were blinded to the study condition, it was not possible to recruit in line with trial arm. Therefore, for those participants who scored positive on the A-SAQ, we did not know prior to the interview whether or not they had received the ASBI.

Schools participating in the trial acted as gatekeepers for the qualitative interviews. They distributed the information sheets and invitation letters to those young people who consented to be contacted about the interview ($n = 206$), young people then informed their learning mentors if they did not want to participate in the interviews. Learning mentors liaised with the research team to organize a suitable date and time for interviews to take place. In order to minimize impact on schools the 206 eligible young people were randomly allocated to the sampling framework, with each young person approached in turn. All of those young people who were approached for an interview ($n = 33$) agreed to take part and were subsequently interviewed. Ethical approval was obtained from the School of Health and Social Care Ethics and Research Governance Committee (047/16).

Data collection

A standardized semi-structured interview guide was developed which asked a number of questions on the experiences of young people's involvement in the ASBI and wider views on alcohol use.

All interviews were held on school premises. Researchers undertook the interviews with young people in their region. Written assent or consent was obtained immediately prior to the interview, dependent on whether the young person was aged 15 or 16 years old, respectively.

Analysis

All interviews were recorded using a Dictaphone, and then transcribed verbatim. Any identifying information was

Table 1 Young People Interview Sampling Framework.

Region		North East				North West				London				Kent				Total
A-SAQ		positive		negative		positive		negative		positive		negative		positive		negative		
Target		8		2		4		6		2		8		6		4		40
Recruited		7		1		3		4		1		7		6		4		33
Ethnicity																		
White	Target	6		0		3		1		1		1		4		2		17
		2 M	4 F	0 M	0 F	2 M	1 F	1 M	0 F	1 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	3 M	1 F	1 M	1 F	
	Recruited	5		0		2		0		0		1		4		2		14
Asian		2 M	3 F	0 M	0 F	2 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	3 M	1 F	1 M	1 F	
	Target	0		1		0		1		0		1		2		1		6
		0 M	0 F	1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	1 M	0 F	0 M	2 F	0 M	1 F	
Black	Recruited	0		1		0		1		0		0		2		1		5
		0 M	0 F	1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	2 F	0 M	1 F	
	Target	0		1		1		2		0		6		0		1		11
Mixed		0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	1 F	1 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	3 M	3 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	
	Recruited	0		0		1		2		0		6		0		1		10
		0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	1 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	1 M	5 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	
Chinese	Target	1		0		0		1		1		0		0		0		3
		1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	
	Recruited	1		0		0		1		1		0		0		0		3
Other		1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	1 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	
	Target	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
		0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	
Other	Recruited	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
		0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	
	Target	1		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		2
Other		1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	
	Recruited	1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		1
		1 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	0 M	0 F	

removed from the transcripts to ensure anonymity. Interviews were subjected to applied thematic analysis²¹ with an inductive approach undertaken as we were not coding in line with theory. This allowed for a greater depth of experience to emerge as the analysis was not constrained by the researchers *a priori* assumptions.²²

One researcher (GM) conducted initial coding of the transcripts, whilst a senior researcher (EG) second coded a proportion of the transcripts to ensure reliability of interpretation of the emergent themes.²³ Any disagreement between coders was resolved through discussion. Final themes were agreed in consultation with the study's qualitative steering group.

Results

Whilst the initial aim was to recruit 40 participants, due to time constraints it was only possible to recruit 33 young

people to these interviews. Of those who took part in the interviews, 20 were girls and 13 were boys. Interviews lasted on average 20 minutes (range = 8–36 minutes). Participant characteristics are outlined in Table 2.

Following analysis, three themes were identified: 1) drinking identities and awareness of risk; 2) access to support and advice in relation to alcohol use; and 3) appraisal of the intervention and potential impact on alcohol use. Illustrative quotations are provided below within each theme.

Drinking identities and awareness of risk

Most of the young people clearly identified themselves within the interviews as non-drinkers. Although some said that they did drink alcohol, they did not self-identify as drinkers, instead identifying 'others' who drank more than they did as such. This suggests that young people draw a sense of identity from their level of consumption and there is perhaps a level of stigma associated with being a 'drinker'.

Table 2 Participant characteristics—young people interviews.

Code	Gender	Age	Ethnicity	A-SAQ	Condition	Site
Young Person 1	Male	15	White	Positive	Intervention	Kent
Young Person 2	Female	16	White	Positive	Intervention	North East
Young Person 3	Male	16	White	Positive	Control	Kent
Young Person 4	Female	16	White	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 5	Female	15	White	Positive	Control	North East
Young Person 6	Female	16	Asian	Negative	N/A	Kent
Young Person 7	Female	16	White	Negative	N/A	Kent
Young Person 8	Female	16	White	Positive	Control	Kent
Young Person 9	Female	15	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 10	Female	16	Black	Negative	N/A	Kent
Young Person 11	Male	16	White	Positive	Intervention	North East
Young Person 12	Female	16	Mixed	Negative	N/A	North West
Young Person 13	Female	15	Asian	Positive	Intervention	Kent
Young Person 14	Male	16	White	Negative	N/A	Kent
Young Person 15	Female	15	White	Positive	Control	North East
Young Person 16	Male	15	Mixed	Positive	Control	North East
Young Person 17	Female	Unknown	Asian	Negative	N/A	North West
Young Person 18	Male	16	White	Positive	Intervention	Kent
Young Person 19	Male	Unknown	Black	Negative	N/A	North West
Young Person 20	Female	15	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 21	Male	16	White	Positive	Control	North East
Young Person 22	Male	Unknown	White	Positive	Intervention	North West
Young Person 23	Female	16	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 24	Female	16	Asian	Positive	Control	Kent
Young Person 25	Male	15	Other	Positive	Intervention	North East
Young Person 26	Male	16	White	Positive	Control	North West
Young Person 27	Female	16	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 28	Male	15	Asian	Negative	N/A	North East
Young Person 29	Female	Unknown	Black	Negative	N/A	North West
Young Person 30	Male	15	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 31	Female	16	Black	Negative	N/A	London
Young Person 32	Female	15	Black	Positive	Control	North West
Young Person 33	Female	16	Mixed	Positive	Control	London

'I think if I was drinking a lot more, or a little bit more, it would definitely change it, but because I don't drink that much, it [intervention] didn't have too much of an effect.'—Young Person (YP) 1

Participants were able to identify potential immediate risks associated with drinking at younger ages, particularly accidents and being separated from friends. However, some did also consider longer-term risks to health associated with initiating alcohol consumption at a young age.

'You know that you're on your way to being drunk? That's when I know that I should stop. I wouldn't feel safe. If I had to walk home by myself, I wouldn't feel safe.' YP15

'I think it was something to do with like, I can't remember what it was, but it can lead to cancer and stuff and there's just loads of illnesses that you can get from it.'—YP3

The social side of drinking was highlighted by many young people, alongside the extra confidence that drinking alcohol provided. Drinking was seen as a fun activity, and a way to de-stress, particularly at exam time and as part of a shared social identity. Those who did not conform could be subjected to peer pressure, a common reason offered by participants as to why they consumed alcohol. Such peer pressure seemed to come from wider social circles rather than from their immediate friendship group.

'So it may be, if you don't do it, you feel, like you're going to be judged by your friends, judged by others, or, another, reason why you may go drink out, like you go out to parties and, having the like, forced to drink, is, because maybe people, who are this age, think it's cool to drink.'—YP4

'I can just go out and like only two people drink, if they want to drink they can, some people don't want to, so we're not bothered.'—YP5

Inter-generational influences were said to have an impact on whether the participants consumed alcohol. Some participants only drank alcohol with parental agreement, usually for special occasions. That said, this parental influence could vary depending on cultural differences, with one participant in particular, from an Asian background, describing strict rules within their household relating to alcohol consumption. Furthermore, even with parental consent, there was a recognition that consumption needed to be within certain boundaries, and if they drank too much alcohol there would be repercussions. This trust between parents and young people allowed them to experiment with alcohol, but within a safe context.

'She [mother] doesn't like it. Sometimes like if I was at a party she'd go like in the shop for me and get me something to drink so she'd know what I was drinking and stuff but then if I was just saying I was drinking in the street or I drank like two days in a row then she'd say (name), don't drink anymore.'—YP2

'My parents do not allow drinking, but English people, I shouldn't say this, English people might find it alright to let the children drink at certain age, like 16 is alright.'—YP6

Access to support and advice on alcohol use

When discussing support and advice on alcohol use a distinction could be drawn in terms of formal support—that provided by individuals or organizations trained to offer such advice; and informal support—that delivered by peers or family members. In terms of formal support, young people felt there was a lack of guidance within the school. They acknowledged that schools provided general assemblies on alcohol, as well as one-off sessions within Personal, Social, Health and Economic Education (PSHE) lessons. However, they perceived it to be out-dated, and that it did not apply to them.

'No, it does pop up in assembly, like, a couple of times, but they don't go into depth about it. Just like kind of mention it and then go onto something else.'—YP7

'I believe that erm young people should be aware of the drinking and but I don't feel like what they are giving us is effective enough. I feel like it is just a waste of time, a waste of their money, a waste of our valuable education.'—YP9

As support and advice provided by schools were viewed as insufficient, participants pursued other sources of support. For example, young people sought advice from older friends, and family members. However, there were mixed feelings when it came to speaking to parents, very much depending on whether or not they allowed alcohol consumption. Those who did not discuss alcohol with their parents were perhaps fearful of repercussions from doing so, instead seeking advice from another family member or friend.

'And I've also had some advice from my brother as well, he says to make sure you go out with people you're actually good friends with so they don't leave you if you're really drunk.'—YP10

'Probably like my stepdad, my stepdad's kind of got the whole mentality of like, he kind of realized what it was like because he did it when he was my age and he could probably help me with it.'—YP11

Appraisal of the intervention and potential impact on drinking behaviour

Those participants randomized to the intervention arm of the trial thought it would have limited impact on their own drinking. The effectiveness of the intervention was linked to their identity—or not—as a drinker and feeling that because they did not drink a lot of alcohol they did not require support. That said, some of the young people who received the intervention did state that they had consequently made some small changes.

'I was really confused. I was like, 'Why am I doing this?' The closest I've got to something relating to alcohol is probably sparkling water.'—YP12

'So then like from the session, so I wanted to do it 'cause like it made me understand why I shouldn't do it and supported me in thinking why I should probably drink less. She was like you shouldn't drink that much because these are the reasons why, so basically it supported me into like reducing how much I drink, yeah.'—YP13

Most young people who received the intervention said that they were comfortable discussing alcohol with learning mentors, and felt reassured that the sessions were confidential. However, some students were aware that no matter how

informal their relationship with learning mentors was, mentors were still school staff. This led to a fear amongst some that fully disclosing the level of their alcohol use could lead to negative consequences, and as such, some students withheld information.

'Because I think, I knew that no one would be told about it and it's like personal and secretive so I knew like my name wouldn't be like going around and like and that it wouldn't be broadcast.'—YP13

'I just don't think it's one of those things that you'd really want to go and speak to someone about like going and talking to (name), because (name's) nice but I wouldn't feel comfortable just sitting there and telling him everything about me, do you know what I mean?'—YP16

Discussion

Main findings of the study

Within this study, it was clear that young people were wary of identifying themselves as 'drinkers'. However, it must be noted that those randomized to the intervention, who described themselves as non-drinkers, had scored positively on a validated measure for risky drinking.²⁴ This incongruity could be linked to social desirability bias, or that they were unwilling to fully disclose alcohol use to school staff. There was perhaps some stigma attached to being identified as an underage drinker.

While many of the young people could identify both short- and long-term risks associated with consuming alcohol, they tended to focus more on the short-term risks. Additionally, parental influences were identified as important in determining whether young people drank alcohol. Often, young people were only permitted to drink with parental consent, as part of a trusting relationship.

Young people found it acceptable to receive an alcohol brief intervention in school; however, there was concern around discussing their alcohol use with school staff. This may be indicative of an unwillingness to self-identify as a drinker.

What is already known on this topic?

Research indicates that young people (11–15) associate more with a prototype of a 'non-drinker'²⁵ however the term non-drinker can mean different things to different young people and may be related to the dominant norms within their social group such that 'non-drinkers' can be on a continuum ranging from tee-total to occasional drinker.²⁶ Furthermore,

research has highlighted that in order for young people to fit in with their peers then they must keep up with social norms,²⁷ suggesting that they may model their consumption levels based on their peer group yet apply labels to their behaviour based on dominant norms.^{28,29} However, other studies have suggested that those who self-identify as occasional, or light drinkers are actually at high risk of harm.³⁰ This suggests that young people's reluctance to self-identify as a drinker may be more to do with social identity, and unrealistic optimism than actual levels of consumption.^{7,31,32}

Parental influence has implications for reducing alcohol consumption by young people, in that the targets of health promotion interventions may not always need to be the young people themselves; rather, targeting parents may also be required.^{33,34} Parents and families are important influences on adolescents and factors such as communication about alcohol use, parental modelling of behaviour, parental monitoring/supervision of free time, and availability of alcohol have all been shown to effect children's own alcohol use. Furthermore, as touched on in our results there may be cultural differences in alcohol use such that children from Asian or African backgrounds may face greater parental monitoring and stronger disapproval of consumption compared to White British children. This could then lead to a barrier in accessing services for some ethnic minority children who do drink, for fear of reprisal.³⁵

Some parents may be willing to supply alcohol to their children in an attempt to minimize harm,^{36,37} feeling that they would probably drink alcohol anyway, and a desire not to isolate them from their peers.³⁸ However, research suggests that parental supply of alcohol can be associated with increased drinking episodes and harms amongst young people.³⁹

Within this present study, we aimed to recruit a number of parents to interviews to discuss their view on the intervention. However parents proved to be an extremely hard group to recruit, as found in previous work.¹⁵ Nonetheless, given that many of our participants drank with their parents' permission and the potential adverse impact of parental supply, parents remain a potentially valuable component in addressing adolescent alcohol consumption. Indeed a recent review of combined school and parental interventions highlighted their potential efficacy as parents and families can play a key role in influencing and delaying alcohol use in young people. This can be achieved by setting clear rules on alcohol use, encouraging open and honest dialogue to provide informal support to young people and by limiting parental supply.⁴⁰

Previous research looking at the effectiveness of alcohol screening and brief interventions has been limited to primary

prevention models, with limited research into the effectiveness of secondary prevention.^{10,11,41} Therefore, it is important to explore young people's experiences of such interventions to ascertain whether they are a feasible model of delivery.^{15,42} Previous evidence has also highlighted that a lack of trust between young people and those delivering an intervention can be a barrier to effectiveness.^{43,44} Further evidence suggests that young people may be more willing to engage with a digital intervention which would potentially resolve this barrier.^{4,45}

What this study adds

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore young people's experiences of an individually randomized ASBI delivered in schools in England.¹⁹ The results of this study have highlighted that the students' informal relationship with learning mentors may have facilitated discussions with staff about alcohol use. However, some students admitted to withholding information, as they did not feel comfortable discussing alcohol use with school staff.

Health promotion messages often tend to focus on adopting short- to medium-term healthy behaviours to result in longer-term health benefits.⁴⁶ In this respect, it may be important with this age group that such health promotion messages and interventions focus more on the short- to medium-term risks, or the issues prevalent to young people such as being separated from friends on a night out, which may help deliver more appropriate harm minimizing messages.^{32,47,48} The results of this study indicate that young people are willing to receive advice and support in relation to alcohol use, however formal support offered in schools is outdated and does not resonate with them. Instead, they seek more informal advice from friends and family. As evidence suggests parental involvement in such interventions can be effective then future research should focus on how to better involve parents in school-based services and/or interventions.⁴⁰

Limitations of this study

Whilst we aimed to recruit a purposive sample of participants from across the four study sites, this was not possible due to regional variations in recruitment to the trial as a whole. Furthermore, whilst we aimed to recruit 40 participants, we were only able to interview 33 young people due to time constraints within schools. However, no regional specific issues were identified and data saturation was reached. Finally, the length of the interviews was quite short, averaging 20 minutes however, this is consistent with previous qualitative research with children.⁴⁹

Acknowledgements

This work has been previously presented as an oral presentation at the 14th conference of INEBRIA, International Network on Brief Interventions for Alcohol and Other Drugs, 14–15 September 2017, New York. The authors would like to acknowledge the participants who gave up their valuable time to take part in the qualitative interviews. We would also like to acknowledge the contributions of the SIPS Jr-HIGH study team who were not directly involved in the qualitative work: Simon Coulton, Paolo Deluca, Colin Drummond, Denise Howel, Elaine Stamp, Liz Todd, Luke Vale, Viviana Albani, Jo Frankham, Eilish Gilvary, Nicola Howe, Helen Mossop, Harry Sumnall, Jennifer Ferguson, Andy Bryant, and Grant Stanley.

Emma Giles, Grant McGeechan, Steph Scott, Ruth McGovern, Elaine McColl, Dorothy Newbury-Birch, and Eileen Kaner are members of Fuse, the Centre for Translational Research in Public Health (www.fuse.ac.uk). Fuse is a UK Clinical Research Collaboration (UKCRC) Public Health Research Centre of Excellence. Funding for Fuse from the British Heart Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Economic and Social Research Council, Medical Research Council, the National Institute for Health Research, under the auspices of the UKCRC, is gratefully acknowledged. The views expressed in this paper do not necessarily represent those of the funders or UKCRC. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Contributorship

ELG and GJM wrote the first draft of the manuscript, with all authors commenting and approving the final version.

Funding

This work is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Public Health Research (NIHR) Programme Grant Number 13/117/02.

The views expressed do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Health or NIHR, and the funder had no involvement in the study design, data collection and analysis, writing of the report, and decision to submit the findings as a manuscript.

References

- 1 United Nations. *Definition of Youth*. 2017 10/05/2019]; Available from: <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/social-and-human-sciences/themes/youth/youth-definition/>.

- 2 Department of Health. Guidance on the consumption of alcohol by children and young people, Sir Liam Donalson, Editor. 2009.
- 3 Newbury-Birch D. Impact of alcohol consumption on Young People: A Review of Reviews. 2009.
- 4 Patton R, Deluca P, Kaner E *et al.* Alcohol screening and brief intervention for adolescents: the how, what and where of reducing alcohol consumption and related harm among young people. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2014;**49**(2):207–12.
- 5 Clark DB, Lynch KG, Donovan JE *et al.* Health problems in adolescents with alcohol use disorders: self-report, liver injury, and physical examination findings and correlates. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 2001;**25**(9):1350–9.
- 6 DeWit DJ, Adlaf EM, Offord DR *et al.* Age at first alcohol use: a risk factor for the development of alcohol disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000;**157**(5):745–50.
- 7 National Health Service. Smoking, Drinking and Drug Use Among Young People in England. 2016.
- 8 Emerson E, Robertson J, Baines S *et al.* Predictors of self-reported alcohol use and attitudes toward alcohol among 11-year-old British children with and without intellectual disability: alcohol use. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2016;**60**(12):1212–26.
- 9 Hibell B, Guttormsson U, Ahlström S *et al.* The 2011 ESPAD Report: Substance Use Amongst Students in 36 European Countries. 2012: EPSAD.
- 10 Hale DR, Fitzgerald-Yau N, Viner RM. A systematic review of effective interventions for reducing multiple health risk behaviors in adolescence. *Am J Public Health* 2014;**104**(5):e19–41.
- 11 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Interventions in schools to prevent and reduce alcohol use among children and young people. 2007.
- 12 Siegel DM, Aten MJ, Enaharo M. Long-term effects of a middle school-and high school-based human immunodeficiency virus sexual risk prevention intervention. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2001;**155**(10):1117–26.
- 13 World Health Organization. *Prevention and Young People*. 2019 10/05/2019; Available from: https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/activities/prevention/en/.
- 14 Wilson GB, McGovern R, Antony G *et al.* Brief intervention to reduce risky drinking in pregnancy: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2012;**13**(1):174.
- 15 McKay MT, Sumnall HR, Harvey SA *et al.* Perceptions of school-based alcohol education by educational and health stakeholders: 'Education as usual' compared to a randomised controlled trial. *Drugs: Educ Prev Policy* 2018;**25**(1):77–87.
- 16 Giles EL, Coulton S, Deluca P *et al.* Multicentre individual randomised controlled trial of screening and brief alcohol intervention to prevent risky drinking in young people aged 14–15 in a high school setting (SIPS JR-HIGH): study protocol–15 in a high school setting (SIPS JR-HIGH): Study protocol. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**(12):e012474.
- 17 Giles EL, McGeehan GJ, Coulton S *et al.* Brief alcohol intervention for risky drinking in young people aged 14–15 years in secondary schools: the SIPS JR-HIGH RCT. *Public Health Res* 2019;**7**:1–134.
- 18 Miller WR, Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change*, 2nd ed. New York: Guildford Press, 2002.
- 19 Newbury-Birch D, Scott S, O'Donnell A *et al.* A pilot feasibility cluster randomised controlled trial of sc, A pilot feasibility cluster randomised controlled trial of screening and brief alcohol intervention to prevent hazardous drinking in young people aged 14–15 in a high school setting (SIPS JR-HIGH). 2014, NIHR Public Health Research Program Report: London.
- 20 Canagasaby A, Vinson DC. Screening for hazardous or harmful drinking using one or two quantity–frequency questions. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2005;**40**(3):208–13.
- 21 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 2006;**3**(2):77–101.
- 22 Patton MQ. *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA, United States of America: Sage Publications, 1990.
- 23 Barbour RS. The newfound credibility of qualitative research? Tales of technical essentialism and co-option. *Qual Health Res* 2003;**13**:1019–27.
- 24 Engs RC, Hanson DJ. The Student Alcohol Questionnaire: an updated reliability of the drinking patterns, problems, knowledge, and attitude subscales. *Psychol Rep* 1994;**74**(1):12–4.
- 25 Davies EL, Martin J, Foxcroft DR. Age differences in alcohol prototype perceptions and willingness to drink in U.K. adolescents. *Psychol Health Med* 2016;**21**:317–29.
- 26 Piacentini MG, Banister EN. Managing anti-consumption in an excessive drinking culture. *J Bus Res* 2009;**62**(2):279–88.
- 27 Scott S, Shucksmith J, Baker R *et al.* Hidden Habitus': a qualitative study of socio-ecological influences on drinking practices and social identity in mid-adolescence. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017;**14**(6):611.
- 28 Borsari B, Carey KB. Peer influences on college drinking: a review of the research. *J Subst Abuse* 2001;**13**(4):391–424.
- 29 Lau-Barraco C, Linden AN. Drinking buddies: who are they and when do they matter? *Addict Res Theory* 2014;**22**(1):57–67.
- 30 Pettigrew S, Jongenelis MI, Pratt IS *et al.* Drinkers' identification with varying alcohol consumption prototypes: alcohol consumption prototypes. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2017;**36**(4):464–7.
- 31 Pennay A, Livingston M, MacLean S. Young people are drinking less: it is time to find out why. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2015;**34**(2):115–8.
- 32 Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A *et al.* Social determinants of health and well-being among young people: Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) Study: International Report From the 2009/2010 survey. 2012: Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 33 Koutakis N, Stattin H, Kerr M. Reducing youth alcohol drinking through a parent-targeted intervention: the Orebro Prevention Program. *Addiction* 2008;**103**(10):1629–37.
- 34 Mattick RP, Clare PJ, Aiken A *et al.* Association of parental supply of alcohol with adolescent drinking, alcohol-related harms, and alcohol use disorder symptoms: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Public Health* 2018;**3**(2):e64–71.
- 35 Chan GCK, Kelly AB, Connor JP *et al.* Does parental monitoring and disapproval explain variations in alcohol use among adolescents from different countries of birth? *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2016;**35**:741–9.

- 36 Gilligan C, Kypri K, Lubman D. Changing parental behaviour to reduce risky drinking among adolescents: current evidence and future directions. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2012;**47**(3):349–54.
- 37 Van Der Vorst H, Engels RCME, Meeus W *et al.* The role of alcohol-specific socialization in adolescents' drinking behaviour. *Addiction* 2005;**100**(10):1464–76.
- 38 Gilligan C, Kypri K. Parent attitudes, family dynamics and adolescent drinking: qualitative study of the Australian parenting guidelines for adolescent alcohol use. *BMC Public Health* 2012;**12**(1):491.
- 39 Abar C, Abar B, Turrissi R. The impact of parental modeling and permissibility on alcohol use and experienced negative drinking consequences in college. *Addict Behav* 2009;**34**(6–7):542–7.
- 40 Newton NC, Champion KE, Slade T *et al.* A systematic review of combined student- and parent-based programs to prevent alcohol and other drug use among adolescents: Combined prevention for alcohol and other drug use. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2017;**36**(3):337–51.
- 41 Griffin KW, Botvin GJ. Evidence-based interventions for preventing substance use disorders in adolescents. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am* 2010;**19**(3):505–26.
- 42 Derges J, Kidger J, Fox F *et al.* Alcohol screening and brief interventions for adults and young people in health and community-based settings: a qualitative systematic literature review. *BMC Public Health* 2017;**17**(1):562.
- 43 Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2010;**10**(1):113.
- 44 Derges J, Kidger J, Fox F *et al.* 'DrinkThink' alcohol screening and brief intervention for young people: a qualitative evaluation of training and implementation. *J Public Health* 2017;**40**(2):381–88.
- 45 Van de Mortel TF. Faking it: social desirability response bias in self-report research. *Aust J Adv Nurs* 2008;**25**(4):40.
- 46 Adams J, Nettle D. Time perspective, personality and smoking, body mass, and physical activity: an empirical study. *Br J Health Psychol* 2009;**14**(1):83–105.
- 47 Coleman L, Carter S. Underage 'binge' drinking: a qualitative study into motivations and outcomes. *Drugs Educ Prev Policy* 2005;**12**(2):125–36.
- 48 Viner RM, Barker M. Young people's health: the need for action. *BMJ* 2005;**330**(496):901–3.
- 49 Harden J, Scott S, Backett-Milburn K *et al.* Can't Talk, Won't Talk?: methodological Issues in Researching Children. *Sociological Research Online* 2000;**5**(2):1–12.